25

1	[General Plan /	Amendment - Affordable Housing Bonus Programs]
2		
3	Ordinance am	ending the General Plan to make conforming changes in association with
4	legislation cre	eating the Affordable Housing Bonus Program by amending the Housing
5	Element, Urba	n Design Element, Van Ness Avenue Area Plan, Chinatown Area Plan,
6	Downtown Are	ea Plan, and Northeastern Waterfront Area Plan; making findings,
7	including find	ings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies
8	of Planning C	ode, Section 101.1; and affirming the Planning Department's
9	determination	under the California Environmental Quality Act.
10	NOTE:	Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font.
11		Additions to Codes are in <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman font</u> . Deletions to Codes are in <u>strikethrough italics Times New Roman font</u> .
12		Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font. Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font.
13		Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.
14		
15	Be it ord	ained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:
16		
17	Section	1. Findings.
18	(a) C	harter Section 4.105 and Planning Code Section 340 provide that the Planning
19	Commission sh	nall periodically recommend to the Board of Supervisors, for approval or
20	rejection, propo	osed amendments to the San Francisco General Plan.
21	(b) P	lanning Code Section 340 provides that an amendment to the General Plan
22	may be initiated	d by a resolution of intention by the Planning Commission, which refers to, and
23	incorporates by	reference, the proposed General Plan amendment. Section 340 further
24	provides that the	ne Planning Commission shall adopt the proposed General Plan amendment

after a public hearing if it finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience

- and general welfare require the proposed amendment or any part thereof. If adopted by the Commission in whole or in part, the proposed amendment shall be presented to the Board of Supervisors, which may approve or reject the amendment by a majority vote.
 - (c) The Affordable Housing Bonus Program implements Housing Element Program 39b. The Affordable Housing Bonus Program provides incentives for developers to include more affordable housing for very low, low, moderate, and middle-income households. Development bonuses, such as increased density, would be offered on a graduated scale based on the percentage of affordable units provided. This proposed Program is one of the tools put forward by the City to address its affordable housing goals. The proposed Affordable Housing Bonus Program goals are to: (1) increase the numbers of on-site affordable units; (2) improve feasibility of underutilized sites; (3) increase availability of middle-income housing; and (4) expedite entitlement of 100 percent affordable housing units.
 - (d) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 340, the Planning Commission initiated this amendment on October 15, 2015, in Resolution No. 19494. Pursuant to Planning Code Section 340 and Charter Section 4.105, the Planning Commission adopted this amendment to the various elements of the General Plan on February 25, 2016 in Resolution No.19577, finding that this amendment serves the public necessity, convenience and general welfare, and is in conformity with the General Plan and the eight Priority Policies in Planning Code Section 101.1.
 - (e) The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 160347 and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board affirms this determination.

(f) The April 8, 2016, letter from the Planning Department transmitting the proposed
General Plan amendment to various elements of the General Plan associated with the
Affordable Housing Bonus Program, and the resolutions adopted by the Planning Commission
with respect to the approval of this amendment General Plan, are on file with the Clerk of the
Board of Supervisors in File No. 160347.

- (g) The Board of Supervisors finds, pursuant to Planning Code Section 340, that this General Plan amendment, set forth in the documents on file with the Clerk of the Board in File No.160347, will serve the public necessity, convenience and general welfare for the reasons set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. 19577 and incorporates those reasons herein by reference.
- (h) The Board of Supervisors finds that this General Plan amendment, as set forth in the documents on file with the Clerk of the Board in Board File No.160347, is in conformity with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1 for the reasons set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. 19577. The Board hereby adopts the findings set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. 19577 and incorporates those findings herein by reference.

Section 2. The San Francisco General Plan is hereby amended by revising the text, tables, and maps in the specified sections of the Housing Element, Urban Design Element, Van Ness Avenue Area Plan, Chinatown Area Plan, Downtown Area Plan, and Northeastern Waterfront Area Plan, as follows:

Housing Element

Map 6 – Generalized Permitted Housing Densities by Zoning Districts Add this language under the legend:

1	*To encourage greater levels of affordability on-site, the City may adopt affordable housing
2	policies to permit general densities that are higher than shown here.
3	
4	Table I-58 - Generalized Permitted Housing Densities by Zoning Districts
5	Add this language to the bottom of table:
6	* To encourage greater levels of affordability on-site, the City may adopt affordable housing
7	policies to permit general densities that are higher than shown here.
8	
9	POLICY 7.7 Support housing for middle income households, especially through
10	programs that do not require a direct public subsidy <u>such as providing development incentives for</u>
11	higher levels of affordability, including for middle income households.
12	
13	POLICY 11.3 Ensure growth is accommodated without substantially and adversely
14	impacting existing residential neighborhood character.
15	Accommodation of growth should be achieved without damaging existing residential
16	neighborhood character. In community plan areas, this means development projects should
17	adhere to adopted policies, design guidelines and community review procedures. In existing
18	residential neighborhoods, this means development projects should defer to the prevailing
19	height and bulk of the area, while recognizing that the City may maintain neighborhood character
20	while permitting larger overall building mass for projects including more affordable units on-site.
21	To ensure character is not impacted, the City should continue to use community
22	planning processes to direct growth and change according to a community-based vision. The
23	Planning Department should utilize residential design guidelines, neighborhood specific

design guidelines, and other documents describing a specific neighborhoods character as

24

guideposts to determine compatibility of proposed projects with existing neighborhood character.

The Department should support the adoption of neighborhood-specific design standards in order to enhance or conserve neighborhood character, provided those guidelines are consistent with overall good-planning principles and help foster a more predictable, more timely, and less costly pre-development process. To this end, the Department should develop official procedures for submittal of neighborhood-initiated design guidelines, for review by Department staff, and for adoption or endorsement.

POLICY 11.5 Ensure densities in established residential areas promote compatibility with prevailing neighborhood character.

Residential density controls should reflect prevailing building types in established residential neighborhoods. Particularly in RH-1 and RH-2 areas, prevailing height and bulk patterns should be maintained to protect neighborhood character. Other strategies to maintain and protect neighborhood character should also be explored, including "neighborhood livability initiatives" that could examine guidelines and principles to preserve what is beloved about the area. Such an initiative could result in strategies to improve the appearance and accessibility of neighborhood commercial districts, or neighborhood specific design guidelines for specific RH-1 and RH-2 neighborhoods. *Outside of RH-1 and RH-2 neighborhoods, the City may maintain neighborhood character while permitting larger overall building mass for projects including more affordable units on-site.*

Urban Design Element

Objective 3: Moderation of Major New Development To Complement The City Pattern, The Resources To Be Conserved, And The Neighborhood Environment.

1	As San Francisco grows and changes, new development can and must be fitted in with
2	established city and neighborhood patterns in a complementary fashion. Harmony with
3	existing development requires careful consideration of the character of the surroundings at
4	each construction site. The scale of each new building must be related to the prevailing height
5	and bulk in the area, and to the wider effects upon the skyline, views and topographic form.
6	Designs for buildings on large sites have the most widespread effects and require the greatest
7	attention. <u>*</u>
8	*To encourage greater levels of affordability on-site, the City may adopt affordable housing
9	policies to permit projects heights that are several stories taller and building mass that is larger than
10	described here.
11	
12	Map 4 - Urban Design Guidelines for Height and Bulk Districts
13	Add additional bullet point in box at bottom of page:
14	\rightarrow To encourage greater levels of affordability on-site, the City may adopt affordable housing
15	policies to permit heights that are several stories taller than described here.
16	Refer to the Affordable Housing Bonus Program Design Guidelines.
17	
18	Map 5 - Urban Design Guidelines for Bulk of Buildings
19	Add additional bullet point in box at bottom of page:
20	\rightarrow To encourage greater levels of affordability on-site, the City may adopt affordable housing
21	policies to permit heights and bulk restrictions that are several stories taller than described here.
22	Refer to the Affordable Housing Bonus Program Design Guidelines.
23	
24	Van Ness Avenue Area Plan

1	Objective 1: Continue existing Commercial Use of the avenue and add a significant
2	increment of new housing. Redwood to Broadway
3	Although there are 18 buildings containing 980 dwelling units in this subarea most of
4	the buildings are in non-residential use.
5	This section of Van Ness Avenue is one of the few areas in the city where new housing
6	can be accommodated with minimal impacts on existing residential neighborhoods and public
7	services.
8	Some of the features that make the area attractive for medium density mixed use
9	development with high density housing are as follows:
10	This 16 block strip along Van Ness Avenue maintains a "central place" location and
11	identity. The area is close to the city's major employment center, is well-served by transit, has
12	well developed infrastructure (roadway, water, sewer and other public services), wide
13	roadway (93+ feet) and sidewalks (16+ feet), has continuous commercial frontage and
14	numerous attractive, architecturally outstanding buildings.
15	There are a number of large parcels which are substantially under-developed.
16	A height limitation of between 80 and 130 ft.* would allow sufficient development to
17	make feasible over time the construction of housing on under used parcels.
18	*To encourage greater levels of affordability on-site, the City may adopt affordable housing
19	policies to permit heights that are several stories taller than described here.
20	
21	POLICY 5.1 Establish height controls to emphasize topography and adequately frame

Existing height limits on the Avenue range from 40 feet at the northern end to 130 feet

in the central portion. This height differentiation responds to topographic conditions as well as

land use patterns, maintaining distinctions between areas of different character. For example,

24 25

22

23

the great width of the Avenue.

height districts are gradually tapered from 130 feet around the hilltop at Washington Street to 80 feet at Pacific Avenue and further to 65 and 40 feet towards the Bay shoreline. Although the majority of existing height controls are adequate to define both the overall topography as well as the great width of the Avenue, the height limit between California and Pacific Streets should be lowered from the existing 130/105-ft. level to 80 ft. in order to facilitate the transition between the greater building heights along the southern part of the Avenue and the mostly low-rise residential development north of Broadway. Development to maximum height should be closely monitored to avoid blocking views between the high slopes on both sides of the Avenue. Good proportion between the size of a street and that of its buildings is important for streets to be interesting and pleasant places. The proposed height limits, combined with the Van Ness Plan's proposed bulk controls, encourage definition of the 93-foot wide Avenue.*

*To encourage greater levels of affordability on-site, the City may adopt affordable housing policies to permit heights that are several stories taller than described here.

Policy 5.3 Continue the street wall heights as defined by existing significant buildings and promote an adequate enclosure of the Avenue.

New construction on Van Ness Avenue can occur in two basic situations. In some cases, the development will take place between or adjacent to architecturally significant buildings. In this instance, continuity of design and scale between the old and the new is of major importance. In other cases, new development will take place in a more isolated design context; for example, between two existing two-story, non-descript commercial structures. In this instance, the overall continuity of scale along the Avenue is of greater importance than the design character of adjacent buildings. Setbacks of up to 20 feet in depth should be considered for all new development above 40 feet in height and should be required whenever necessary to continue existing significant street wall heights and to define an adequate

1	enclosure of the Avenue*. Setbacks can also serve to buffer the upper-level residential units
2	from street-level noise.
3	*To encourage greater levels of affordability on-site, the City may adopt affordable housing
4	policies to permit heights that are several stories taller and building mass that is larger than described
5	<u>here.</u>
6	
7	Map 1 - Van Ness Avenue Area Plan and Generalized Land Use and Density Plan
8	Add following asterisk to bottom of page:
9	*To encourage greater levels of affordability on-site, the City may adopt affordable housing
10	policies to permit heights that are several stories taller and building mass that is larger than described
11	<u>here.</u>
12	
13	Map 2 - Van Ness Avenues Area Plan Height and Bulk Districts Map
14	Add following asterisk to bottom of page:
15	*To encourage greater levels of affordability on-site, the City may adopt affordable housing
16	policies to permit heights that are several stories taller and building mass that is larger than described
17	<u>here.</u>
18	
19	Chinatown Area Plan
20	POLICY 1.1 Maintain the low-rise scale of Chinatown's buildings.
21	Although adjacent to Downtown, Chinatown is not the appropriate setting for tall
22	buildings. Seventy five percent of the structures in Chinatown are three stories or less in
23	height. Height districts in the Planning Code should be based on the generalized height plan
24	below.* Requiring setbacks for new buildings above three stories will help achieve a
25	complementary scale.

1	*To encourage greater levels of affordability on-site, the City may adopt affordable housing
2	policies to permit heights that are several stories taller and building mass that is larger than described
3	<u>here.</u>
4	
5	Map 1 - Chinatown Area Plan Generalized Height Plan
6	Add following asterisk to bottom of map:
7	*To encourage greater levels of affordability on-site, the City may adopt affordable housing
8	policies to permit heights that are several stories taller and building mass that is larger than described
9	<u>here.</u>
10	
11	Map 3 - Chinatown Area Plan Land Use and Density Plan
12	Add following asterisk to bottom of map:
13	*To encourage greater levels of affordability on-site, the City may adopt affordable housing
14	policies to permit heights that are several stories taller and building mass that is larger than described
15	<u>here.</u>
16	
17	Downtown Area Plan
18	Map 1 – Downtown Land Use and Density Plan
19	Add additional bullet in 'Map to be edited' box:
20	-To encourage greater levels of affordability on-site, the City may adopt affordable housing
21	policies to permit heights that are several stories taller and building mass that is larger than described
22	<u>here.</u>
23	
24	Map 5 - Downtown Area Plan Downtown Height and Bulk Districts
25	Add additional bullet in 'Map to be edited' box:

1	-To encourage greater levels of affordability on-site, the City may adopt affordable housing
2	policies to permit heights that are several stories taller and building mass that is larger than described
3	<u>here.</u>
4	
5	Northeastern Waterfront Area Plan
6	Objective 10: To develop the full potential of the northeastern waterfront in accord with
7	the unusual opportunities presented by its relation to the bay, to the operating port, fishing
8	industry, and downtown; and to enhance its unique aesthetic qualities offered by water,
9	topography, views of the city and bay, and its historic maritime character
10	Policy 10.26: Restrict development south of Broadway to the Height and Bulk Districts
11	shown on Map 2.*
12	*To encourage greater levels of affordability on-site, the City may adopt affordable housing
13	policies to permit heights that are several stories taller than described here.
14	
15	Map 2 Northeast Waterfront Area Plan Height and Bulk Plan (Map 2)
16	Add following asterisk under legend:
17	*To encourage greater levels of affordability on-site, the City may adopt affordable housing
18	policies to permit heights that are several stories taller than described here.
19	
20	Policy 26.27 Change the Height and Bulk District on Block 3743 from 84-E to 40-X.
21	Change the Height and Bulk District on the rest of the Rincon Park Site to open space. **
22	*To encourage greater levels of affordability on-site, the City may adopt affordable housing
23	policies to permit heights that are several stories taller than described here.
24	

1	Policy 30.18: Develop housing in small clusters of 100 to 200 units. Provide a range of
2	building heights with no more than 40 feet in height along the Embarcadero and stepping up
3	in height on the more inland portions to the maximum of 160 feet. In buildings fronting on
4	Brannan Street in the 160 foot height area, create a strong base which maintains the street
5	wall created by the residential complex to the east and the warehouse buildings to the west.
6	Orient the mix of unit types to one and two bedrooms and include some three and four
7	bedroom units. Pursue as the income and tenure goals, a mix of 20 percent low, 30 percent
8	moderate and 50 percent middle and upper income, and a mix of rental, cooperative, and
9	condominium units.*
10	*To encourage greater levels of affordability on-site, the City may adopt affordable housing
11	policies to permit heights that are several stories taller than described here.
12	
13	Policy 30.22: Do not permit buildings to exceed 65 percent coverage of land or parking
14	podium. To the maximum extent feasible, provide open space at ground level and provide
15	planting in the ground. Ensure that any open space on top of a podium provides easy
16	pedestrian and visual transition from the sidewalk. $\underline{*}$
17	*To encourage greater levels of affordability on-site, the City may adopt affordable housing
18	policies to permit heights that are several stories taller than described here.
19	
20	Section 3. The Board of Supervisors hereby approves the following amendments to
21	the General Plan Land Use Index:
22	The Land Use Index shall be updated as necessary to reflect the amendments set forth
23	in Section 2, above.
24	

1	Section 4. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after
2	enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the
3	ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board
4	of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.
5	Section 5. Operative Date. This ordinance shall not become operative unless and until
6	the Affordable Housing Bonus Program, Ordinance in Board file or any
7	part thereof becomes effective.
8	Section 6. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors
9	intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles,
10	numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal
11	Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment
12	additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under
13	the official title of the ordinance.
14	
15	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
16	DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney
17	By: AUDREY WILLIAMS PEARSON
18	Deputy City Attorney
19	
20	n:\legana\as2015\1600094\01098016.docx
21	
22	
23	
24	