AMENDED IN BOARD 6/28/16 RESOLUTION NO.

FILE NO. 160696

1	[Urging California State Legislators to Amend or Oppose California State Assembly Bill 650 (Low) in Recognition of Negative Impact on Local Transportation Services and Consumer
2	2 Safety Protection]
3	
4	Resolution urging California state legislators to amend or oppose California State
5	Assembly Bill 650 (Low) in recognition of negative impacts on local transportation
6	services and consumer safety protection.
7	
8	WHEREAS, California Government Code, Section 53075.5. (a) provides every city or
9	county shall protect the public health, safety, and welfare by adopting an ordinance or
10	resolution in regard to taxicab transportation service which is operated within the jurisdiction of
11	the city or county; and
12	WHEREAS, Pursuant to the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco, the San
13	Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) regulates taxicab transportation
14	services in the City; and
15	WHEREAS, The purpose of taxi regulation by the SFMTA is to improve taxi service to
16	the public and to protect the public health and safety while providing such service; and
17	WHEREAS, Assembly Member Evan Low has introduced Assembly Bill 650 (AB 650),
18	which would repeal California Government Code, Section 53075.5 and take away taxi
19	regulation authority from cities and counties and transfer the authority to the California Public
20	Utilities Commission (CPUC), with the exception of taxicab transportation originating in the
21	City and County of San Francisco or San Francisco International Airport; and
22	WHEREAS, AB 650 would exempt the City and County of San Francisco and San
23	Francisco International Airport, and remove fair and consistent safety and security
24	requirements, including standardized drug and alcohol testing requirements that should also
25	be imposed on transportation services in other cities and counties; and

1	WHEREAS, AB 650 would eliminate the ability of cities or counties to regulate taxis
2	according to their perception of the public's needs, priorities and interests; and
3	WHEREAS, The CPUC would inherit taxi regulation everywhere but San Francisco,
4	has shown itself to be ineffective in regulating charter-party carriers (CPCs), including
5	Transportation Network Companies (TNCs), and lacks the capacity to perform adequate
6	enforcement against violations of the laws and rules governing CPCs; and
7	WHEREAS, The CPUC, in its regulation of TNCs, has ignored matters of extreme
8	importance to the City and County of San Francisco, and other cities and counties around the
9	State, particularly the provision of transportation service to the people with disabilities; and
10	WHEREAS, AB 650 would remove any limits on the number of taxis which allow
11	unlimited numbers of vehicles to act commercially with no clean air requirement, and ignore
12	environmental and local congestion management goals; and
13	WHEREAS, AB 650 would result in the de facto deregulation of the taxi industry, which
14	could negatively impact the quality of taxi service and consumer safety; and
15	WHEREAS, Further amendments to the bill, or amendments to the Taxi Transportation
16	Services Act in future years could eliminate San Francisco's special status and bring it in line
17	with the rest of the state, to the detriment of the public and the City and County of San
18	Francisco; and
19	WHEREAS, In certain parts of the state, taxi companies and drivers that operate in
20	more than one city are forced to obtain multiple permits and pay multiple fees to provide
21	service across municipal boundaries; and
22	WHEREAS, The need for multiple permits can be greatly reduced if not eliminated by
23	providing that counties, rather than cities, shall have the authority to regulate taxi
24	transportation service; and

25

1	WHEREAS, Local regulation of taxicab transportation services serves vital interests of
2	the public and of the City and County of San Francisco by, among other regulations, requiring
3	minimum liability insurance coverage of \$1,000,000 providing service to the disabled
4	community through the Paratransit Program, limiting taxi charges by setting maximum rates of
5	fare, and restricting greenhouse gas emissions by taxicab color schemes; now, therefore, be it
6	RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco
7	respectfully urges the California Legislature to amend or oppose AB 650; and, it is
8	FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby directs the Clerk of the
9	Board to transmit this resolution to the respective offices of the San Francisco Legislative
10	Delegation and City Lobbyists upon final passage.
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	