

September 6, 2016

Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board, City Hall City and County of San Francisco 1 Dr. Carlton Goodlett Place, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

RE: Abandonment of Parkmerced Development Area, File no. 160870

Dear Ms. Calvillo:

This is in response to your letter, dated August 17, 2016, informing PG&E of the proposed Resolution No. 360-16 for the abandonment of portions of the following streets (along with public service easements within those streets) that exist within the Subphases 1A and 1B of the Parkmerced Development Project area, more specifically as follows: Vidal Drive, Galindo Avenue, Chumasero Drive, Acevedo Avenue, Serrano Drive, Gonzalez Drive, Cambon Drive and Font Boulevard.

1) <u>Public Service Easements</u>: Currently, PG&E has existing in-place utility facilities serving the public within the proposed vacation of the public service easement referenced above. Therefore, PG&E objects to the proposed vacation as presented to us.

If the utility facilities need to be relocated to accommodate the proposed vacation, PG&E would do so at your expense. Also, you would be required to provide an equal replacement right for the relocated facilities in the new location.

2) <u>Street Vacations</u>: An investigation indicates that PG&E is presently operating and maintaining utility facilities within the streets of the proposed areas to be abandoned. PG&E objects to the proposed reservation language as presented in Resolution No. 360-16. PG&E does not accept the language for the reservation of rights granted under "temporary immediately revocable licenses" as written in Line 14 of Page 6 of the proposed Resolution No. 360-16. If the abandonment is approved by the City Council/Board of Supervisors, PG&E respectfully requests that the following reservation be inserted in the Resolution of Vacation or Abandonment for the proposed streets to be abandoned:

RESERVING therefrom pursuant to the provisions of Section 8340 of the Streets and Highways Code and for the benefit of Pacific Gas and Electric Company, the permanent easement and the right at any time and from time to time to construct, reconstruct, maintain, operate, replace, remove, repair, renew and enlarge lines of pipes, conduits, cables, wires, poles, electrical conductors, and other equipment, fixtures and appurtenances for the operation of electric, gas, and communication facilities, including

access, and also the rights to trim and cut down trees and brush that may be a hazard to the facilities; said area shall be kept open and free of buildings, structures and wells of any kind."

This reservation will protect our facilities installed pursuant to our franchise agreement with you. Upon approval of the abandonment by the City Council/Board of Supervisors, please send a certified copy of the Resolution of Vacation to:

Pacific Gas and Electric Company Morgan Bunone Land & Environmental Management 245 Market St, 1031F San Francisco, CA 94105

If you have any questions, please contact me at (415) 973-5559.

Sincerely,

Morgan Bunone Land Agent

Cc: Javier Rivera, Assistant Engineer, SFDPW

Carroll, John (BOS)

From:

Board of Supervisors. (BOS)

Sent:

Tuesday, September 06, 2016 11:25 AM BOS-Supervisors; BOS Legislation. (BOS)

Subject:

FW: SFBOS- item #10 -160880

Categories:

160880

----Original Message----

From: Aaron Goodman [mailto:amgodman@yahoo.com]

Sent: Tuesday, September 06, 2016 9:21 AM

Subject: SFBOS- item #10 -160880

SFBOS

I am unable to attend and speak on the issue of 160880 - vacate of streets at Parkmerced's proposed development.

My concerns about the overall impacts of the development and the environmental and physical changes that has already deeply affected the community in terms of displacement and gentrification of renters and loss of rental housing in D7 and are not to date addressed by the city in terms of the loss of open space (quantity and quality) and public land of the prior development.

Since the developer has never produced the documents on land title that indicate the original developments initial agreement with the city between met-life and the city of SF it is impossible to determine land-use and ownership and the allowance of the initial development of it was to be affordable rent controlled housing in perpetuity or if there was tax related issues to the city streets and what has occurred during the multiple "flips" of the property and challenges on the ownership of the development prior and currently.

The city is propelling forward and is loathe to look over its shoulder in the rush to redevelop, but it is worthwhile to ask and check facts and figures to ascertain if the development agreements are being enforced adequately.

Parkmerced had a minimal HABS historical study installation done in Juan Bautista circle but has continued to decimate the tree canopy.

Parkmerced is making deals with uber and other proposals for their needs but is the transit routing and design in the publics best interests and will the construction zones cause further parking and traffic impacts along 19th?

microclimate impacts of the development still have not been studied nor understood by planners with the loss of trees and impacts of heavy regrading and construction so physical data collection must occur to determine the overall air quality and impacts of regrading over 20 years during parkmerceds redevelopment.

many other impacts like displacement of renters, families and seniors in the SFSU and Parkmerced co-development impacts have not been assessed.

The initial street vacate opens the door to this destruction and rebuild and it behooves the public representatives to do justice and document what impacts occurs and assess the damage and reparations made to those impacted.

At this stage it's impossible to stop a juggernaut... but it is possible to make people think about each step, each action and its impacts...

please ensure if you move forward that the cities residents including the natural elements whether already displaced or to be jmpacted by this development is studied and properly documented. You have before you also today a TDM item 160925 which discusses the issue of transit management and concerns for how you will implement transit improvements in this development and others, the transit problems being the largest and most costly solution needed.

parkmerceds infrastructure begins at the streets as a prior walking walkable community, with direct access to transit. the steps take. Today begin to impact the city drastically and thus any effort to enforce and secure funding for the M line extension to Daly City Bart should be a priority up front and not in 20 years. Otherwise the below grade parking lots of parkmerceds future buildings will be a parking gridlock along 19th and lakeshore Blvd and traffic and transit issues will not be solved only worsened.

Please think through the decisions and costs and value of the streetscape of Parkmerced as it relates to transit improvement and capacity I needs of the city. To allow the developer carte Blanche risks more than just a landscape, it risks a city.....

A.Goodman D11
Amgodman@yahoo.com
Former Parkmerced resident....

Sent from my iPhone