

1 [Planning Code - Landmark Designation - Ladies' Protection and Relief Society (3400 Laguna
Street)]

2
3 **Ordinance amending the Planning Code to designate the Ladies' Protection and Relief**
4 **Society, located at 3400 Laguna Street, Assessor's Parcel Block No. 0471, Lot No. 003,**
5 **as a Landmark consistent with the standards set forth in Article 10 of the Planning**
6 **Code; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California**
7 **Environmental Quality Act; and making public necessity, convenience, and welfare**
8 **findings under Planning Code, Section 302, and findings of consistency with the**
9 **General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.**

10 NOTE: **Unchanged Code text and uncodified text** are in plain Arial font.
11 **Additions to Codes** are in *single-underline italics Times New Roman font*.
12 **Deletions to Codes** are in *strikethrough italics Times New Roman font*.
13 **Board amendment additions** are in double-underlined Arial font.
14 **Board amendment deletions** are in ~~strikethrough Arial font~~.
15 **Asterisks (* * * *)** indicate the omission of unchanged Code
16 subsections or parts of tables.

17 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

18 Section 1. CEQA and Land Use Findings.

19 (a) The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this
20 ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources
21 Code Sections 21000 *et seq.*). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
22 Supervisors in File No. _____ and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board of
Supervisors affirms this determination.

23 (b) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, the Board of Supervisors finds that the
24 proposed landmark designation of the Ladies' Protection and Relief Society, located at 3400
25 Laguna Street, Assessor's Parcel Block No. 0471, Lot No. 003, will serve the public necessity,

1 convenience, and welfare for the reasons set forth in Historic Preservation Commission
2 Resolution No. _____, recommending approval of the proposed designation, which is
3 incorporated herein by reference.

4 (c) On _____, the Historic Preservation Commission, in Resolution No.
5 _____, adopted findings that the actions contemplated in this ordinance are
6 consistent, on balance, with the City’s General Plan and with the eight priority policies of
7 Planning Code Section 101.1. The Board adopts these findings as its own.

8
9 Section 2. General Findings.

10 (a) On March 22, 2024, community members submitted a nomination for Article 10
11 Landmark Designation under Planning Code Article 10 for the Ladies’ Protection and Relief
12 Society (3400 Laguna Street), Assessor’s Parcel No. 0471, Lot No. 003 to the Planning
13 Department.

14 (b) The Landmark Designation Report/Fact Sheet supporting the nomination was
15 prepared by VerPlanck Historic Preservation Consulting and reviewed by Planning
16 Department Preservation staff. All preparers meet the Secretary of the Interior’s Professional
17 Qualification Standards for historic preservation program staff, as set forth in Code of Federal
18 Regulations Title 36, Part 61, Appendix A. The report was reviewed for accuracy and
19 conformance with the purposes and standards of Article 10 of the Planning Code.

20 (c) On August 21, 2024, after holding a public hearing on the proposed initiation, the
21 Historic Preservation Commission initiated landmark designation of the Ladies’ Protection and
22 Relief Society as a San Francisco Landmark pursuant to Section 1004.1 of the Planning Code
23 by Resolution No. 1416. Said resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in
24 Board File No. _____.

1 (d) Pursuant to Charter Section 4.135, the Historic Preservation Commission has
2 authority “to recommend approval, disapproval, or modification of landmark designations and
3 historic district designations under the Planning Code to the Board of Supervisors.”

4 (e) The Historic Preservation Commission, at its regular meeting of
5 _____, reviewed Planning Department staff’s analysis of the architectural and
6 historical significance of the Ladies’ Protection and Relief Society set forth in the Landmark
7 Designation Report/Fact Sheet, dated _____.

8 (f) On _____, after holding a public hearing on the proposed designation,
9 and having considered the specialized analyses prepared by Planning Department staff, and
10 the Landmark Designation Report/Fact Sheet, the Historic Preservation Commission
11 recommended designation of the Ladies’ Protection and Relief Society as a landmark under
12 Article 10 of the Planning Code by Resolution No. _____. Said resolution is on file with the
13 Clerk of the Board in Board File No. _____.

14 (g) The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that the Ladies’ Protection and Relief
15 Society has a special character and special historical, architectural, and aesthetic interest and
16 value, and that its designation as a Landmark will further the purposes of and conform to the
17 standards set forth in Article 10 of the Planning Code. In doing so, the Board hereby
18 incorporates by reference the findings of the Landmark Designation Report/Fact Sheet.

19
20 Section 3. Designation.

21 Pursuant to Section 1004.3 of the Planning Code, the Ladies’ Protection and Relief
22 Society, located at 3400 Laguna Street, Assessor’s Parcel Block No. 0471, Lot No. 003, is
23 hereby designated as a San Francisco Landmark under Article 10 of the Planning Code.
24 Appendix A to Article 10 of the Planning Code is hereby amended to include this property.
25

1 Section 4. Required Data.

2 (a) The description, location, and boundary of the Landmark site consists of the City
3 parcel located at 3400 Laguna Street, Assessor's Parcel Block No. 0471, Lot No. 003, in San
4 Francisco's Marina District. Contributing elements of the property that support its architectural
5 and historical significance are the 1925 Morgan Building, the 1929 Stone Cottage, and the
6 landscape features of the Front Garden. The other buildings, courtyards, and landscape
7 features on the site were constructed outside of the period of significance and do not
8 contribute to the architectural or historical significance of the Landmark site.

9 (b) The characteristics of the Landmark that justify its designation are described and
10 shown in the Landmark Designation Report/Fact Sheet and other supporting materials
11 contained in Planning Department Record Docket No. 2024-001869DES. In brief, the Ladies'
12 Protection and Relief Society is eligible for landmark designation because it is associated with
13 events that have made a significant contribution to the broad patterns of San Francisco history
14 (National Register of Historic Places Criterion A) and as an example that embodies the
15 distinctive characteristics of a type, period, region, or method of construction and is
16 representative of the work of an architect of merit (Criterion C). Specifically, the Ladies'
17 Protection and Relief Society, is significant for association with the Ladies' Protection and
18 Relief Society, San Francisco's second oldest charitable organization and the first established
19 by and for women. Furthermore, the main building, constructed in 1925 by renowned architect
20 Julia Morgan, is an excellent, rare, and well-preserved example of a commercial building
21 designed in the Jacobethan Revival style by an architect of merit.

22 (c) The particular features that shall be preserved, or where the City determines it is
23 necessary due to deterioration of the feature, repaired or replaced in-kind, are those shown in
24 photographs and described in the Landmark Designation Report/Fact Sheet, which can be
25 found in Planning Department Record Docket No. 2024-001869DES, and which are

1 incorporated in this designation by reference as though fully set forth. Specifically, the
2 following buildings and landscape features are character-defining and shall be preserved,
3 repaired in-kind, or replaced in-kind:

4 (1) Exterior facades, forms, massing, structure, architectural ornament, rooflines,
5 and landscape features of the 1925 Morgan Building, 1929 Stone Cottage, and front
6 lawn/landscaping:

7 (A) Exterior facades, forms, massing, structure, architectural ornament,
8 roofline, and materials of the 1925 Morgan Building on all elevations, except
9 obscured east and south facades of the north wing, identified as:

10 (i) Overall regular massing with intersecting hipped roof;

11 (ii) U-shaped plan consisting of a central north-south volume, rear
12 wings at the east façade, and projections at the north and south façades;

13 (iii) Structural brick walls laid in five-course American bond with
14 narrow blind niches and recessed diamond and square details;

15 (iv) Slate roof shingles;

16 (v) Symmetrical primary (west) façade design with central gabled
17 parapet;

18 (vi) Symmetrical fenestration pattern consisting of evenly spaced
19 window openings displaying a hierarchy among basement, first-floor,
20 second-story, and attic windows;

21 (vii) Canted bay windows/oriels;

22 (viii) Hipped dormer windows on roof;

23 (ix) Operable divided-lite metal windows retaining their original
24 sash profiles and pattern;

25

1 (x) Terra cotta ornamental detailing, including window surrounds
2 with vegetal motifs in the segmental arches, decorative panels and
3 entablatures at the canted bays, the belt course above the second-floor
4 windows, projecting cornice with buttons at the eaves, coping and finial
5 above the central parapet, and the door surround at the main entrance;

6 (xi) Arched openings at the main entrance;

7 (xii) Stained glass art windows at the chapel;

8 (xiii) Three brick replacement chimneys;

9 (xiv) Front exterior stairs with iron railings;

10 (xv) Sunroom and elevator overrides on roof of east façade;

11 (xvi) Rain catch baskets;

12 (B) Exterior facades, forms, massing, structure, architectural ornament,
13 roofline, and materials of the 1929 Stone Cottage on all elevations, identified as:

14 (i) One-story massing;

15 (ii) L-shaped footprint;

16 (iii) Steeply pitched hipped roof;

17 (iv) Stone exterior cladding;

18 (vi) Punched window openings containing wood-sash casement
19 and double-hung windows;

20 (C) Landscape features and layout of Front Garden in front of Morgan
21 Building, identified as:

22 (i) Cast iron fence on brick plinth along the north, west, and south
23 property lines;

24 (ii) Curvilinear pedestrian footpaths leading from the two gates on
25 Laguna Street to the primary entrance and two paths curving north and

1 south from the primary entrance (paths have been resurfaced since the
2 period of significance so paving materials are not character-defining);

3 (iii) Lawn panels in Front Garden;

4 (iv) Copse of trees at the northwest corner of the site.

5 (2) The character-defining interior features of the 1925 Morgan Building are
6 those associated with portions of the first floor that have historically been accessible to
7 the public, identified as:

8 (A) Tiled flooring in entrance vestibule;

9 (B) Two paired, eight-lite wood paneled doors under paired, four-lite wood
10 casement transoms within now-enclosed entrance vestibule (original exterior
11 doors);

12 (C) Central stairwell with trefoil railing;

13 (D) Fireplace mantel;

14 (E) Wood coffered and decorative plaster ceilings.

15
16 Section 5. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after
17 enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the
18 ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board
19 of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

20
21 APPROVED AS TO FORM:
22 DAVID CHIU, City Attorney

23 By: /s/ Peter R. Miljanich
24 PETER R. MILJANICH
25 Deputy City Attorney

n:\legana\as2024\1800206\01791375.docx