From: Barbara Mann
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: AT&T antenna fire hazard
Date: Sunday, December 7, 2025 10:46:05 PM

Dear Supervisors,

NONE of this makes sense… This huge AT&T antenna is dangerous. please do Not let this be built.

this is a disaster in the making… please do not let this happen.

Sincerely,

Barbara Mann

From: Don Lapin
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)
Subject: Public Hearing Dec. 9 / 350 Amber Dr Cell Tower
Date: Friday, December 5, 2025 1:24:56 PM

To the Board of Supervisors,

As a resident of Diamond Heights/Goldmine Hill, I would like to express my views to the Board concerning the proposed installation of a 100-foot AT&T cell tower in our neighborhood.

I was an AT&T customer when I moved here in 1997, but their cell service was essentially unusable in Diamond Heights, and I switched to Verizon. So in general it does seem to be a good idea for AT&T to upgrade their service up here.

However, I question the approach that they have taken.

If you look at the SF Planning Commission map of wireless facility locations (https://sfplanninggis.org/wireless/), you may notice that both T-Mobile and Verizon have cell antenna locations along Diamond Heights Blvd. Yet neither company has found it necessary to erect a 100-foot monopole to provide this service. I have driven past these locations countless times, and have never seen either antenna.

So why should it be necessary for AT&T to erect such a large monstrosity in our neighborhood, and particularly adjacent to public parklands? It does not seem to be in keeping with the low-rise tenor of the area. Such an installation might also persuade competitors to request tall towers, both in our neighborhood and beyond, potentially resulting in a diminished skyline for the city at large.

I also understand that AT&T was able to persuade wavering members of the Planning Commission by claiming that without their approval of the monopole tower, the AT&T FirstNet emergency broadband network would be unavailable. The company representatives neglected to mention, however, that San Francisco has its own emergency network already, with antennas located on Twin Peaks.

My recommendation to the Board would be to overrule the Planning Commission on its approval of the AT&T monopole cell tower. Instead, urge the company to build out its infrastructure in a manner similar to its competitors, i.e., smaller antennas like the ones Verizon and T-Mobile use in our neighborhood.

Thank you,

Don Lapin

From: fiscal.obscure1r@icloud.com
To: BOS Legislation, (BOS)
Subject: Opposition letter to cell tower at 350 amber drive
Date: Monday, December 8, 2025 12:20:17 AM

I am writing as a resident of Diamond heights village on Duncan. For many years, living near Christopher playground and Glen canyon park has been a beautiful respite to the hustle and bustle of the city. The open and safe spaces are truly hidden gem within San Francisco. Myself, my partner, my family and my friends have all greatly enjoyed this area.

If the Macro tower were to be build, much of that open and safe space would be comprised. It would remove a beautiful and open skyline that all of the residents of Diamond heights village and those living in the surrounding buildings of Diamond heights enjoy on a daily basis. It would also greatly increase the fire risk in the area, since a large diesel fuel source would be placed in a grove of eucalyptus trees, which have a very high fire risk. Not only does it remove a place of solace so many residents hold dear, but it puts a direct threat on the safety of the homes in the area, and the delicate ecosystem within Glen Canyon.

I urge those in power to reconsider this plan, as it has little to no positive benefits for any residents in the community.

Thank you for your consideration