

File No. 230569

Committee Item No. 1

Board Item No. _____

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: Rules Committee

Date July 10, 2023

Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date _____

Cmte Board

- Motion
- Resolution
- Ordinance
- Legislative Digest
- Budget and Legislative Analyst Report
- Youth Commission Report
- Introduction Form
- Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report
- Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)
- Grant Information Form
- Grant Budget
- Subcontract Budget
- Contract/Agreement
- Form 126 - Ethics Commission
- Award Letter
- Application
- Form 700
- Information/Vacancies (Boards/Commissions)
- Public Correspondence

OTHER (Use back side if additional space is needed)

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____

Completed by: Victor Young

Date July 6, 2023

Completed by: _____

Date _____

1 [Administrative Code - Extending Sunset Date of Sentencing Commission]

2

3 **Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to extend the sunset date of the San**
4 **Francisco Sentencing Commission to June 30, 2026.**

5 NOTE: **Unchanged Code text and uncodified text** are in plain Arial font.
6 **Additions to Codes** are in *single-underline italics Times New Roman font*.
7 **Deletions to Codes** are in ~~*italics Times New Roman font*~~.
8 **Board amendment additions** are in Arial font.
9 **Board amendment deletions** are in ~~Arial font~~.
10 **Asterisks (* * * *)** indicate the omission of unchanged Code
11 subsections or parts of tables.

9

10 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

11

12 Section 1. Background.

13 (a) The San Francisco Sentencing Commission ("Commission") was established in
14 2012 by Ordinance No. 10-12 to encourage the development of criminal sentencing strategies
15 that reduce recidivism, prioritize public safety and victim protection, emphasize fairness,
16 employ evidence-based best practices, and efficiently utilize San Francisco's criminal justice
17 resources. Codified in Chapter 5 of the Administrative Code as Article XXV, the Commission
18 was reauthorized once in 2015 by Ordinance No. 87-15, and a second time in 2018 by
19 Ordinance No. 5-18. Article XXV. The Commission is scheduled to sunset on June 30, 2023,
20 pursuant to Administrative Code Section 5.25-5.

21 (b) Section 5.25-5 provides, however, that Article XXV will not sunset if the Board of
22 Supervisors adopts an ordinance continuing its existence. Section 5.25-5 also directs the
23 Commission to submit a report to the Board recommending whether the Commission should
24 continue to operate and, if so, whether the Board should consider amendments that would
25

25

1 enhance the capacity of the Commission to further its goals, along with draft amendments to
2 implement its recommendations.

3 (c) At the December 13, 2022, Commission meeting, the Commission decided to
4 recommend that it continue to operate. This recommendation is contained in the Annual
5 Report dated December 13, 2022, which was sent as an attachment to a letter to the Clerk of
6 the Board of Supervisors dated December 23, 2022. The letter, including the attached Annual
7 Report for the Commission, is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No.
8 230569.

9
10 Section 2. Section 5.25-5 of Article XXV of the Administrative Code is hereby
11 amended to read as follows:

12 * * * *

13 **SEC. 5.25-5. SUNSET CLAUSE.**

14 Notwithstanding Rule 2.21 of the Board of Supervisors Rules of Order, which provides
15 that advisory bodies created by the Board should sunset within three years, this Article XXV
16 shall expire on June 30, ~~2023~~2026 unless the Board of Supervisors adopts an ordinance
17 continuing its existence. In the event this Article expires, the City Attorney shall cause it to be
18 removed from the Administrative Code. The Commission shall submit a report to the Board of
19 Supervisors by January 1, ~~2023~~2026 recommending whether the Commission should continue
20 to operate, and if so, whether the Board of Supervisors should consider legislative changes
21 that would enhance the capacity of the Commission to achieve the goals underlying this
22 Article. The Commission's recommendations shall include drafts of ordinances that would
23 implement its recommendations.

1 Section 3. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after
2 enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the
3 ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board
4 of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

5
6 Section 4. Retroactivity. The provisions of this ordinance shall be retroactive to June
7 30, 2023, if the effective date of the ordinance occurs after that date. Notwithstanding the
8 effective date of the ordinance, it is the intent of the Board of Supervisors that the authority
9 conferred on the Commission shall be without interruption, and that the incumbent public
10 members of the Commission shall continue to hold their seats until they are removed by their
11 respective appointing authorities.

12
13 Section 6. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors
14 intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles,
15 numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal
16 Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment
17 additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under
18 the official title of the ordinance.

19
20
21 APPROVED AS TO FORM:
22 DAVID CHIU, City Attorney

23 By: /s/ Sarah Crowley
24 SARAH CROWLEY
25 Deputy City Attorney

n:\legana\as2023\2300349\01677416.docx

LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

[Administrative Code - Extending Sunset Date of Sentencing Commission]

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to extend the sunset date of the San Francisco Sentencing Commission to June 30, 2026.

Existing Law

The San Francisco Sentencing Commission (the “Sentencing Commission”), codified at Chapter 5, Article XXV of the Administrative Code, is scheduled to sunset on June 30, 2023.

Amendments to Current Law

This ordinance would extend the sunset date of the Sentencing Commission to June 30, 2026.

Background Information

The Sentencing Commission was established in 2012 to encourage the development of criminal sentencing strategies that reduce recidivism, prioritize public safety and victim protection, emphasize fairness, employ evidence-based best practices, and effectively utilize San Francisco’s criminal justice resources. The current sunset date for the Sentencing Commission is June 30, 2023. The Sentencing Commission has recommended that it be authorized to continue to operate through June 30, 2026.

n:\legana\as2023\2300349\01677448.docx



Brooke Jenkins
District Attorney

December 23, 2022

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: The San Francisco Sentencing Commission 2022 Annual Report

Dear Clerk Calvillo,

I am pleased to present the eighth annual report of the San Francisco Sentencing Commission in accordance with County Ordinance 10-12. The Sentencing Commission was established to encourage the development of criminal sentencing strategies that reduce recidivism, prioritize public safety and victim protection, emphasize fairness, employ evidence-based best practices, and efficiently utilize San Francisco's criminal justice resources.

The San Francisco Sentencing Commission facilitates conversations between criminal justice stakeholders about innovative approaches to sentencing and criminal justice reform and generates action-oriented recommendations resulting in successful program and policy implementation. The Commission has a proven track record of successes including but not limited to Young Adult Court, Law Enforcement assisted Diversion (LEAD), statewide policy reform, and data-driven criminal justice policy.

In accordance with Governor Gavin Newsom's state of emergency order and consistent with state and local orders addressing the COVID-19 pandemic, 2022 meetings of the Sentencing Commission continued to be held remotely via videoconferencing on Zoom and facilitated remote public comment via the videoconference and phone. A summary of previous year's reports is available on the Sentencing Commission website - <https://www.sfdistrictattorney.org/policy/sentencing-commission/>.

The San Francisco Sentencing Commission plans to continue to facilitate conversations between criminal justice stakeholders about innovative approaches to sentencing and criminal justice reform, as well as generate action-oriented recommendations resulting in successful program and policy implementation. Should you have any questions about the Commission's activities, progress, and recommendations please do not hesitate to contact my Director of Policy, Tara Anderson.

Sincerely,

Brooke Jenkins
District Attorney



The City and County of San Francisco
The San Francisco Sentencing Commission

2022 Annual Report

San Francisco Sentencing

Commission

December 13, 2022



San Francisco Sentencing Commission 2022 Annual Report

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
II.	BACKGROUND	3
III.	2022 MEETING TOPICS & PRESENTERS	4
IV.	MEMBERSHIP UPDATES	8
V.	REAUTHORIZATION & FUTURE ACTIVITIES	8
VI.	CONCLUSION	9

The activities of the 2022 calendar year of the San Francisco Sentencing Commission are summarized in this annual report as required by County Ordinance 10-12.

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The San Francisco Sentencing Commission, an initiative of the District Attorney's Office, was created to analyze sentencing patterns, to advance innovative solutions and outcomes; and to provide recommendations to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors that lead to a reduction in incarceration, lower recidivism rates, safer communities, and ensure that victims are made whole.

In accordance with Governor Gavin Newsom's state of emergency order and consistent with state and local orders addressing the COVID-19 pandemic, 2022 meetings of the Sentencing Commission continued to be held remotely via videoconferencing on Zoom and facilitated remote public comment via the videoconference and phone.

During the 2022 calendar year, the San Francisco Sentencing Commission held three virtual hearings covering Local Sentencing Trends, California Advancing and Innovating Medi-Cal (CalAIM) Young Adult Justice Initiative, Expanding Access to Housing for People in the Justice System, Jail Population Trends, the Criminal Justice Racial Equity Workgroup and the Safety and Justice Challenge.

The San Francisco Sentencing Commission not only facilitates conversations between criminal justice stakeholders about innovative approaches to sentencing and criminal justice reform but generates action-oriented recommendations resulting in successful program and policy implementation. In 2020, a significant amount of the work of the Sentencing Commission was focused on subcommittee support in fulfillment of Ordinance 80-20 and closure of County Jail no.4. In 2022, Sentencing Commission partners continued to focus on three key areas 1) understanding the prevalence and needs of people who are unhoused and with regular justice system contact, 2) understanding the characteristics of people with multiple systems contact and frequent jail stays, and 3) exploring the resources and programs best tailored to meet the needs of people with multiple system contact returning to community. Through this work the Sentencing Commission has continued to increased justice system partners knowledge and understanding of pathways to housing resources for people involved in the justice system and forged stronger relationships with housing experts and service providers. A summary of previous year's reports is available on the [Sentencing Commission website](#).

II. BACKGROUND

The San Francisco Sentencing Commission, an initiative of the District Attorney's Office, was created through local legislation to analyze sentencing patterns and outcomes, to advise the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, and other City departments on the best approaches to improve public safety, reduce recidivism, and to make recommendations for sentencing reforms that utilize best practices in criminal justice. Ultimately, the commission will make recommendations that establish a sentencing system that retains meaningful judicial discretion, avoids unwarranted disparity, recognizes the most efficient and effective use of correctional resources, and provides a meaningful array of sentencing options. The mandate of the Sentencing Commission includes the following:

- Evaluate effective and appropriate sentences for the most violent offenders;
- Explore opportunities for drug law reform;
- Examine inconsistencies in the penal code related to realignment sentencing; and
- Identify and define the most important factors that reduce recidivism.

The Sentencing Commission was created by County Ordinance 10-12 which amended the San Francisco Administrative Code by adding Article 25, Sections 5.250 through 5.250-3. The purpose of the Sentencing Commission is to encourage the development of criminal sentencing strategies that reduce

recidivism, prioritize public safety and victim protection, emphasize fairness, employ evidence-based best practices, and efficiently utilize San Francisco’s criminal justice resources. The Sentencing Commission is an advisory body to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors.

Commission Membership

The San Francisco Sentencing Commission membership was fully formed in July 2012 and subsequently renewed in 2015. At the time of this report additional authorization for a 5-year term to the year 2023 is pending before the Board of Supervisors. A current list of commission members and qualifications is found in Appendix A.

The membership of the Sentencing Commission was developed to ensure representation from City and County partners directly involved in the criminal justice system, and those who come in contact with it. Each seat represents a valuable perspective on criminal justice proceedings; from time of arrest to post release and the critical access points for support services provided to victims and survivors of crime. In addition to this practical and service experience, the commission includes experts in sentencing and statistical analysis. These are essential components to the commission membership and contribute to the development of data-informed, sustainable improvements to our sentencing practices. While this membership serves as the core of the Sentencing Commission’s work, the Commission invites broader participation from practitioners, researchers, and community to inform the proceedings.

List of member seats:

District Attorney’s Office (Chair), Public Defender’s Office, Adult Probation Department, Juvenile Probation Department, Sheriff’s Department, Police Department, Department of Public Health, Reentry Council, Superior Court, member of a nonprofit organization serving victims chosen by the Family Violence Council, member of non-profit organization working with ex-offenders chosen by the Reentry Council, sentencing expert chosen by the Board of Supervisors, and an academic researcher with expertise in data analysis appointed by the Mayor. Representatives from BART Police began attending meetings in December 2015 and serve as non-voting members.

III. 2022 MEETING TOPICS & PRESENTERS

The Sentencing Commission held four meetings in 2021. Full details are available on <http://www.sfdistrictattorney.org/>. Meeting dates and selected subject matter presenters are provided below. In addition to subject matter presentation regular status report are provided by Sentencing Commission staff, the Criminal Justice Racial Equity Workgroup, and Safety and Justice Challenge Workgroup.

March 15, 2022

Annual Review of San Francisco Sentencing Trends

Presenter: Dr. Mikaela Rabinowitz, Office of the San Francisco District Attorney, Director of Data Research and Analytics

Presentation on California Advancing and Innovating Medi-Cal (CalAIM)

Presenter: Dr. Horton Chief Medical Officer, San Francisco Health Network, Attending physician, Richard Fine People’s Clinic, and Professor of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco

September 20, 2022

Safety and Justice Challenge Future Funding Updates

Presenter: Tara Anderson Director of Policy, San Francisco District Attorney’s Office

Young Adult Justice Initiative Updates

Presenter: Patricia Martinez, Coordinator

Presentation on Stepping Up Initiative and Familiar Faces

Presenter: Rise Haneberg, Council on State Governments

Updates on CalAIM Rollout

Presenter: Bernadette Gates, DPT, CalAIM Manager, San Francisco Health Network

December 13, 2022

Young Adult Justice Initiative Updates

Presenter: Patricia Martinez, Coordinator

Sentencing Commission Annual Report

Presenter: Tara Anderson, Director of Policy, San Francisco District Attorney's Office

In addition to the specific items outlined above, each Sentencing Commission agenda included reports from the Safety and Justice Challenge Initiative and the Criminal Justice Racial Equity Workgroup.

Safety and Justice Challenge

With the support of the MacArthur Foundation's [Safety and Justice Challenge \(SJC\)](#), the City and County of San Francisco is working to safely reduce the local jail population and eliminate racial disparities in the justice system. In 2021, the San Francisco District Attorney's Office secured an additional \$2 million grant from the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation to reform San Francisco's criminal legal system. The Office completed the Accept and Expend Ordinance with CCSF in 2021 and is using these funds to reduce the overreliance on incarceration and change practices that disproportionately impact people of color, low-income communities, and people with behavioral health needs. The Safety and Justice Challenge builds on past reform efforts and uses evidence-driven strategies that move beyond the easiest-to-reach populations to reduce the jail population safely and sustainably. This multi-agency cross justice system effort is focused on five primary principles: 1) using data to inform decision-making, 2) regular review of the jail population, 3) improving criminal case court processing, 4) creating and maintaining connections to supportive services and 5) rooting out implicit bias. Especially notable are the public dissemination of the new Justice Dashboard, the creation of the Criminal Justice Racial Equity Workgroup, and the launch of the SJC Fellowship.

Just Home

In late 2021 San Francisco was invited by MacArthur to apply for a new SJC cohort-based project focused on equitable housing opportunities for people involved in the justice system. Applicant agencies were required to be non-criminal justice agencies specializing in housing development and services. The San Francisco District Attorney's Office and SJC partners supported the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing (HSH) application. San Francisco was chosen from a highly competitive applicant pool and was awarded \$775,000. In addition to San Francisco, other communities selected for the Just Home Project include Charleston County, South Carolina; Minnehaha County, South Dakota; and Tulsa County, Oklahoma. All communities will have the opportunity to receive additional support from MacArthur in the form of an impact investment. The formal [announcement of the Just Home Project](#) was made by Mayor London Breed in Spring 2022.

Sustainability

In Summer 2022 the City and County of San Francisco was invited by the MacArthur Foundation to apply for a Sustainability Grant application to continue the previously funded activities. On September 15, 2022, the San Francisco District Attorney's Office submitted the \$1.2 million Sustainability application as the lead SJC agency. The award notification is expected in early 2023.

Justice Dashboard

A top priority for the Sentencing Commission in this enabling ordinance was to identify and define the most important factors that reduce recidivism. After a collaborative planning effort system partners developed and launched the [Justice Dashboard](#), which much of the planning period devoted to understanding the various responsibilities of system partners on measuring and reporting recidivism. In August 2019, after years of internal review and validation the public Justice Dashboard went live. The goal of the Justice Dashboard is to help policymakers understand trends in how people interact with the criminal legal system and track progress toward reducing racial disparities in the system. The Justice Dashboard reviews subsequent criminal justice contact at distinct decision-making points for three years post-conviction: arrest, arraignment, and conviction. The Dashboard is disaggregated by race/ethnicity as well as gender, age, and offense type. Additional cohorts will be added each year, and CCSF partners explore the extent to which positive outcomes external to the justice system can be measured (i.e., housing and health). The Justice Dashboard is part of a larger movement within the City and County of San Francisco to use data, technology, and research as tools to reduce incarceration and racial disparities in our criminal legal system. Making the dashboard public is an essential step in promoting greater accountability and transparency. In 2022 the dashboard was renamed, the [Outcomes and Desistance Dashboard](#). The goal is to continue adding new conviction cohort years and additional data representing successful reentry. Tools like the Justice Dashboard/ Outcomes and Desistance Dashboard help us create safer communities and advance the national dialogue on best practices for local justice systems. This is just one example of CCSF increasing the knowledge of racial and ethnic disparities in the San Francisco Criminal legal system. It is one step toward realizing full cross system data sharing on subsequent system contact and positive outcomes from those who desist from crime.

Criminal Justice Racial Equity Workgroup

The San Francisco Community Corrections Partnership, Police Commission, Juvenile Justice Coordinating Council, Reentry Council and Sentencing Commission prioritize racial equity so that all people may thrive. San Francisco's criminal justice policy bodies collectively acknowledge that communities of color have borne the burdens of inequitable social, environmental, economic, and criminal justice policies, practices, and investments. The legacy of these government actions has caused deep racial disparities throughout San Francisco's juvenile justice and criminal legal system. We further recognize that racial equity is realized when race can no longer be used to predict life outcomes. We commit to the elimination of racial disparities in the criminal legal system.

On September 12, 2018, the San Francisco Sentencing Commission voted to create a Criminal Justice Racial Equity Workgroup (CJREWG). This group meets bi-monthly to discuss practical steps that criminal justice departments and support agencies can take to ensure progress is made toward the identified racial equity goal; to eliminate racial disparities in the criminal legal system. In both 2020 and 2021 efforts have focused on training for the implementation of the Racial Justice Act and the implementation of partner department's Office of Racial Equity Goals.

In addition, reducing racial disparities is a primary focus of San Francisco's implementation of the Safety and Justice Challenge, a multi-year and multi-disciplinary initiative aimed toward safely reducing the jail

population. As part of this initiative, partners agree to proactively frame all planning and evaluation around the impact on people of color in jail, and to build in feedback mechanisms to ensure accountability for results. Planning work is conducted under the auspice of the SJC Workgroup CJREWG. Regular reports from the CJREWG co-chairs are made to the Sentencing Commission, Reentry Council, and the Community Corrections Partnership to ensure that information is shared across agencies and to reinforce that action must accompany the racial equity statement.

V. MEMBERSHIP UPDATES

Membership Transitions

In the 2022 calendar year the San Francisco Sentencing Commission experienced transitions for two member seats. In Winter 2022, Cristel Tullock was appointed to serve as the City and County of San Francisco's Chief of Adult Probation. San Francisco District Attorney Brooke Jenkins was sworn in as San Francisco's 30th District Attorney on July 8, 2022, and subsequently elected to office on November 8, 2022.

Vacancy

In August 2022, Sentencing Commission Member Professor Steven Raphael gave formal notice he would need to step down from the San Francisco Sentencing Commission. Steven Raphael is a Professor of Public Policy at the University of California Berkeley, is an expert in economic methods, correctional policy, and employment. He has served since 2012 in the member set of an academic researcher with expertise in data analysis appointed by the Mayor. Sentencing Commission staff anticipate this vacancy being filled in early 2023.

Position of Superior Court

The San Francisco Superior Court is an invited member of the San Francisco Sentencing Commission. In 2018, representatives from the Administrative Office of the San Francisco Superior Court began participating in the Sentencing Commission as non-voting members. The court representatives are participating to advance the cross-system goals of San Francisco's Safety and Justice Challenge implementation. A detailed description of the Safety and Challenge goals is listed in section IV.

VI. REAUTHORIZATION & FUTURE ACTIVITIES

Reauthorize the San Francisco Sentencing Commission

In accordance with the requirements set for in the San Francisco Administrative Code Article 25, Sections 5.250 through 5.250-3 the Sentencing Commission hereby formally recommends the continued authorization of the San Francisco Sentencing Commission beyond the current sunset date of June 30, 2023. The Sentencing Commission has met since 2012 and continues to serve as a critical space for stakeholders to learn together and innovate. This work has led to over \$20 million dollars of new investment in San Francisco, resulting in real systems change. Reauthorization of the Sentencing Commission will permit this critical work to continue.

Looking Forward: 2023

The San Francisco Sentencing Commission is currently scheduled to conduct four sessions in 2023. Sentencing Commission topics 2022 include:

- Overview of San Francisco Sentencing Trends
- 2023 Sentencing Policy and Legislative Updates
- Safety and Justice Challenge Initiative
- Justice Reinvestment Initiative Young Adult Justice Initiative

Additional areas of focus will be identified during the March 2023 meeting of the Sentencing Commission.

VII. CONCLUSION

In 2022, the San Francisco Sentencing Commission successfully completed the tenth full year of hearings covering experts discussing Local Sentencing Trends, California Advancing and Innovating Medi-Cal (CalAIM) Young Adult Justice Initiative, Expanding Access to Housing for People in the Justice System, Jail Population Trends, the Criminal Justice Racial Equity Workgroup and the Safety and Justice Challenge. The San Francisco Sentencing Commission plans to conduct four meetings during the 2023 calendar year.

Appendix A: San Francisco Sentencing Commission Members

As of December 13, 2022

Agencies & Bodies	Member
District Attorneys' Office	Brooke Jenkins, District Attorney
Public Defender	Manohar Raju, Public Defender
Adult Probation	Cristel Tullock, Adult Probation Chief
Juvenile Probation	Katy Miller, Juvenile Probation Chief
Sheriff	Paul Miyamoto, Sheriff
Police	William Scott, Police Chief
Department of Public Health	Grant Colfax, Director
Reentry Council	Karen Roye, Director Child Support Services
Superior Court*	Presiding Judge
<i>Member of a nonprofit org serving victims chosen by the</i> Family Violence Council	Andrew Tan
<i>Member of non-profit org working with ex-offenders chosen by the</i> Reentry Council	William Palmer
Sentencing Expert chosen by the Board of Supervisors	Theshia Naidoo Senior Staff Attorney Drug Policy Alliance
Academic Researcher with expertise in data analysis appointed by the Mayor	Vacant

*Invited

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

TO: Tara Anderson, San Francisco Sentencing Commission

FROM: Victor Young, Assistant Clerk *Victor Young*

DATE: May 25, 2023

SUBJECT: LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Rules Committee received the following proposed legislation:

File No. 230569

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to extend the sunset date of the San Francisco Sentencing Commission to June 30, 2026.

If you have comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to me at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102 or by email at: victor.young@sfgov.org.

cc: Katie Miller, San Francisco Sentencing Commission



MATT DORSEY

MEMORANDUM

DATE: July 6, 2023

TO: Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

FROM: Supervisor Matt Dorsey Chairperson, Rules
Committee *Matt Dorsey*

RE: Rules Committee COMMITTEE REPORT

Pursuant to Board Rule 4.20, as Chair of The Rules Committee, I have deemed the following matters are of an urgent nature and request it be considered by the full Board on Tuesday, July 11, 2023, as a committee report.

- 230569 Administrative Code - Extending Sunset Date of Sentencing Commission**
- Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to extend the sunset date of the San Francisco Sentencing Commission to June 30, 2026.
- 230590 Mayoral Appointment, Sanitation and Streets Commission - Vincent Yuen**
- Motion approving/rejecting the Mayor's nomination for the appointment of Vincent Yuen to the Sanitation and Streets Commission, term ending July 1, 2026.
- 230758 Mayoral Appointment, Building Inspection Commission - Bianca Neumann**
- Motion approving/rejecting the Mayor's nomination for the appointment of Bianca Neumann to the Building Inspection Commission, for a term ending July 1, 2025.

**230759 Mayoral Appointment, Building Inspection Commission -
Angie Sommer**

Motion approving/rejecting the Mayor's nomination for the appointment of Angie Sommer to the Building Inspection Commission, for a term ending July 1, 2025.

**230760 Mayoral Appointment, Building Inspection Commission -
Raquel Bito**

Motion approving/rejecting the Mayor's nomination for the appointment of Raquel Bito to the Building Inspection Commission, for a term ending July 1, 2025.

**230802 Presidential Appointment, Building Inspection
Commission - Alysabeth Alexander-Tut**

Motion approving/rejecting President Aaron Peskin's nomination for the appointment of Alysabeth Alexander-Tut, term ending July 1, 2025, to the Building Inspection Commission.

**230804 Presidential Appointment, Building Inspection
Commission - Evita Chavez**

Motion approving/rejecting President Aaron Peskin's nomination for the appointment of Evita Chavez, term ending July 1, 2025, to the Building Inspection Commission.

**230805 Presidential Appointment, Building Inspection
Commission - Kavin Williams**

Motion approving/rejecting President Aaron Peskin's nomination for the appointment of Kavin Williams, term ending July 1, 2025, to the Building Inspection Commission.

These matters will be heard at the regularly scheduled Rules Committee Meeting on Monday, July 10, 2023, at 10:00am.