

1 [Rebuttal Argument]

2

3 AUTHORIZING REBUTTAL TO OPPONENT'S BALLOT ARGUMENT AGAINST
4 PROPOSITION A, A BOND MEASURE REGARDING LAGUNA HONDA HOSPITAL.

5

6 IT IS HEREBY MOVED, That pursuant to Section 550 of the Municipal Elections
7 Code, the Board of Supervisors does hereby authorize a rebuttal to opponent's ballot
8 argument against Proposition A, a bond measure concerning a Resolution determining and
9 declaring that public interest and necessity demand the acquisition, construction and/or
10 reconstruction by the City and County of San Francisco of a health care and assisted living
11 or other type of continuing care facility or facilities to replace Laguna Honda Hospital, and
12 that the estimated cost of \$299,000,000 for such municipal improvements is and will be too
13 great to be paid out of the ordinary annual income and revenue of the City and County and
14 will require lease financing and/or the incurring of bonded debt and/or other evidences of
15 indebtedness by or for the City and County Laguna Honda Hospital; and be it

16 FURTHER MOVED, That the full text of said argument hereby authorized be as
17 shown in the copy attached to this motion and is hereby declared to be a part hereof; and,
18 be it

19 FURTHER MOVED, That the Director of Elections be and is hereby authorized and
20 directed to include said argument in the pamphlet accompanying the sample ballots to be
21 mailed to the voters of the City and County of San Francisco for the election to be held on
22 Tuesday, November 2, 1999.

23

24

25

Supervisor Ammiano

The Undersigned authors of this rebuttal Ballot Argument: **X** *FOR* or *AGAINST*,
PROPOSITION A at the election to be held in San Francisco on November 2, 1999
 hereby state that such argument is true and correct to the best of (his/her/their) knowledge and belief.

FILE NO. 991407

Style Notes B, I, or BI	KEEP TEXT WITHIN THESE VERTICAL LINES	# of words in each line
	Painstaking analysis by healthcare, finance and social service experts shows that rebuilding Laguna Honda Hospital is the least expensive way to provide quality healthcare to the greatest number of San Francisco's elderly and disabled.	9 12 11 1
	Yes, rebuilding Laguna Honda is expensive, but other alternatives serving the same number of people would be far more costly. Talk of utilizing "community-based longterm care providers" is irresponsible, since San Francisco already faces a severe shortage of longterm care beds. The situation will only get worse as San Francisco's Baby Boomer population ages. Laguna Honda provides extensive 24 hour, 7 day a week care unavailable in community settings.	9 14 9 11 11 13 1
	Dispersing Laguna Honda's population to smaller public facilities would require wasteful duplication of costly medical equipment. And where would these facilities be located?	9 10 4
	Sadly, most efforts to establish healthcare facilities in residential neighborhoods generate opposition. Commercial areas are inappropriate as the home for frail elderly and disabled San Franciscans.	9 9 8
	Rebuilding Laguna Honda can begin almost immediately. Developing alternatives would take years. Further delay would result in higher costs.	8 11
	Meanwhile, people needing longterm care wouldn't receive it. Many Laguna Honda residents have smoking-related illnesses and cancer. Thus, Proposition A would use tobacco settlement funds exactly as Laguna Honda opponents say we should. Plus, \$1,000,000 a year of these funds would go toward preventing teenage smoking.	9 10 11 13 4
	Let's stop playing politics with our most vulnerable citizens.	9
	Vote YES on Proposition A.	5
	Supervisors Tom Ammiano	3
	Alicia Becerril	2
	Sue Bierman	2
	Amos Brown	2
	Leslie Katz	2
	Mark Leno	2
	Mabel Teng	2
	Michael Yaki	2
	Leland Yee	2
	Total # of Words =	249



City and County of San Francisco

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Tails Motion

File Number: 991407

Date Passed: August 23, 1999

Motion authorizing a rebuttal to opponent's ballot argument against Proposition A, a bond measure regarding Laguna Honda Hospital.

August 23, 1999 Board of Supervisors — APPROVED

Ayes: 9 - Ammiano, Becerril, Bierman, Brown, Katz, Leno, Teng, Yaki, Yee
Noes: 2 - Kaufman, Newsom

File No. 991407

I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on August 23, 1999 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

A handwritten signature in cursive script, reading "Gloria L. Young".

Gloria L. Young
Clerk of the Board