<b>File No.</b> 250277
------------------------

Committee Item	No.	
Board Item No.	23	

## **COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee:		Date:		
Board of Supervisors Meeting		Date:	May 20, 2025	
Cmte Boar	_	: Report	•	
OTHER				
Prepared by:		Date:	May 16, 2025	

1	[Affirming the Categorical Exemption Determination - Proposed 1310 Junipero Serra Boulevard Project]
2	Bodic varu i Tojectj
3	Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that the proposed
4	project at 1310 Junipero Serra Boulevard is categorically exempt from environmental
5	review.
6	
7	WHEREAS, On April 5, 2024, the Planning Department determined that the proposed
8	1310 Junipero Serra Boulevard project (the Project), at Assessor's Parcel Block No. 7380, Lot
9	Nos. 005 and 036, is categorically exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act
10	(CEQA) under a Class 32 exemption for In-fill Development Projects - Section 15332 of the
11	CEQA Guidelines; and
12	WHEREAS, The Project proposes to demolish nine maintenance and facility structures
13	(totaling approximately 10,500 square feet in size) at the San Francisco Golf Club, and to
14	construct of a 23-foot-tall, one-story accessory maintenance and facility building
15	approximately 19,100 gross square feet in size; and
16	WHEREAS, The approximately 164-acre San Francisco Golf Club property is generally
17	bordered by Brotherhood Way to the north, Junipero Serra Boulevard to the east, Wilshire
18	Avenue (Daly City) to the south, and Lake Merced Boulevard to the west in the Lakeshore
19	neighborhood; and
20	WHEREAS, The project site, where construction would occur, consists of an
21	approximately 1.7-acre (75,473 square feet) portion immediately west of Thomas More Way,
22	immediately adjacent to the Saint Thomas More Catholic School, while other nearby land
23	uses include other schools, preschools, and senior homes; and
24	

25

1	WHEREAS, CEQA Guidelines, Sections 15301 through 15333 list the categorical
2	exemptions for classes of projects that have been determined not to have a significant effect
3	on the environment and that are exempt from further environmental review; and
4	WHEREAS, CEQA Guidelines, Section 15332 (In-Fill Development Projects), also
5	known as Class 32, applies to projects characterized as in-fill development meeting the
6	following conditions: the project is consistent with the applicable general plan designation and
7	all applicable general plan policies as well as with applicable zoning designation and
8	regulations; the project's location is within city limits on a project site of no more than five
9	acres substantially surrounded by urban uses; the project site has no value as habitat for
10	endangered, rare or threatened species; the project approval does not result in any significant
11	effects relating to traffic, noise, air quality, or water quality; and the project site can be
12	adequately served by all required utilities and public services; and
13	WHEREAS, The Planning Department determined that the Project is exempt under the
14	Class 32 categorical exemption, because it meets the criteria for applicability of the
15	exemption, and none of the exceptions that would preclude application of the exemptions
16	listed under CEQA Guidelines, Section 15300.2 are present; and
17	WHEREAS, On February 13, 2025, the Planning Commission approved the conditional
18	use authorization for the Project; and
19	WHEREAS, On March 13, 2025, Linda Shah, on behalf of Friends of Saint Thomas
20	More (hereinafter "Appellant"), filed an appeal with the Office of the Clerk of the Board of
21	Supervisors of the categorical exemption for the Project; and
22	WHEREAS, By memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated March 19, 2025, the
23	Planning Department's Environmental Review Officer determined that the appeal was timely
24	filed; and

25

1	WHEREAS, On May 20, 2025, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to consi
2	the appeal filed by Appellant; and
3	WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal, this Board reviewed and considered the CEQA
4	determination, the appeal letter, the responses to the appeal documents that the Planning
5	Department and the project sponsor prepared, the other written records before the Board of
6	Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to the appeal; a
7	WHEREAS, Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors
8	affirmed the CEQA determination, based on the written record before the Board of
9	Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed t
10	the appeal; and
11	WHEREAS, The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the
12	appeal and the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of
13	Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal, including
14	the deliberations by the members of the Board, is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors F
15	No. 250276, and is incorporated in this Motion as though set forth in its entirety; now,
16	therefore, be it
17	MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby adopts as its own and incorporates b
18	reference in this Motion, as though fully set forth, the CEQA determination; and, be it
19	FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that based on the whole
20	record before it there are no substantial Project changes, no substantial changes in Project
21	circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the

nds that based on the whole o substantial changes in Project circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the conclusions set forth in Planning Department's determination that the Project is categorically exempt from environmental review; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That after carefully considering the appeal of the CEQA determination, including the written information submitted to the Board of Supervisors and the

22

23

24

25

noticed public hearing to consider

1	public testimony presented to the Board of Supervisors at the hearing on the CEQA
2	determination, this Board concludes that the Project qualifies for a categorical exemption
3	under CEQA, as determined by the Planning Department.
4	
5	n:\land\as2019\1900434\01836518.docx
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## **Introduction Form**

(by a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor)

I here	by subm	nit the following item for introduction (select only one):
П	1.	For reference to Committee (Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment)
	2.	Request for next printed agenda (For Adoption Without Committee Reference) (Routine, non-controversial and/or commendatory matters only)
	3.	Request for Hearing on a subject matter at Committee
	4.	Request for Letter beginning with "Supervisor inquiries"
	5.	City Attorney Request
	6.	Call File No. from Committee.
	7.	Budget and Legislative Analyst Request (attached written Motion)
	8.	Substitute Legislation File No.
	9.	Reactivate File No.
	10.	Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the Board on
The p	roposed	legislation should be forwarded to the following (please check all appropriate boxes):
	□ Sn	nall Business Commission   Youth Commission   Ethics Commission
	□ Pla	anning Commission   Building Inspection Commission   Human Resources Department
Gener	ral Plan l	Referral sent to the Planning Department (proposed legislation subject to Charter 4.105 & Admin 2A.53):
	□ Ye	
(Note:	: For Im	perative Agenda items (a Resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Agenda Form.)
Spons	sor(s):	
Clerk	k of the	Board
Subjec	ct:	
Affirn	ming the	e Categorical Exemption Determination - Proposed 1310 Junipero Serra Boulevard Project
Long	Title or	text listed:
Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that the proposed project at 1310 Junipero Serra Boulevard is categorically exempt from environmental review.		

