| 1 | [Affirming the statutory exemption issued for Wharf J-10] |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that the Wharf J-10 |
| 4 | project is statutorily exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act as specific |
| 5 | actions necessary to prevent or mitigate an emergency. |
| 6 | WHEREAS, On April 15, 2003, the Environmental Review Officer of the San Francisco |
| 7 | Planning Department determined that the proposed work for Wharf J-10 was excluded from |
| 8 | review under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") because the proposed work |
| 9 | consisted of specific actions necessary to prevent or mitigate an emergency as provided in |
| 10 | California Public Resources Code Section 21080(b)(4) and the CEQA Guidelines, 14 |
| 11 | California Code of Regulations Section 15269(c). A copy of said document is on file with the |
| 12 | Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 030838 and is incorporated by reference herein; |
| 13 | and, |
| 14 | WHEREAS, By letter to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors dated May 6, 2003 F. |
| 15 | Alioto Fish Company appealed the Planning Department's determination that the work for |
| 16 | Wharf J-10 was excluded from review under the California Environmental Quality Act; and |
| 17 | WHEREAS, The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors received this appeal letter on or |
| 18 | around May 6, 2003; and |
| 19 | WHEREAS, On June 10, 2003, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to |
| 20 | consider the appeal of the determination; and |
| 21 | WHEREAS, This Board has reviewed and considered the determination, the appeal |
| 22 | letter, the responses to concerns document that the Department prepared, and public |
| 23 | testimony; and, |
| 24 | WHEREAS, The determination files and all correspondence and other documents have |
| 25 | been made available for review by this Board and the public. These files are available for |

| 1 | public review by appointment at the Planning Department offices at 1660 Mission Street, and |
|----|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 2 | are part of the record before this Board by reference herein; and |
| 3 | WHEREAS, Since the determination of statutory exemption by the Environmental |
| 4 | Review Officer, there is no new information of significance that would contradict the |
| 5 | determination of the Planning Department that the proposed work for Wharf J-10 is statutorily |
| 6 | exempt from CEQA as provided in California Public Resources Code Section 21080(b)(4) and |
| 7 | the CEQA Guidelines, 14 California Code of Regulations Section 15269(c); now, therefore, be |
| 8 | it |
| 9 | MOVED, That based on substantial evidence in light of the whole record, this Board of |
| 10 | Supervisors finds that the proposed work for Wharf J-10 is statutorily exempt from CEQA as |
| 11 | provided in California Public Resources Code Section 21080(b)(4) and the CEQA Guidelines, |
| 12 | 14 California Code of Regulations Section 15269(c), and hereby affirms the decision of the |
| 13 | Planning Department. |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |