

1 [Department of Public Works – Bayview Transportation Improvement Project Fiscal
2 Feasibility]

3
4 **Resolution adopting findings that the Bayview Transportation Improvement Project is**
5 **fiscally feasible and responsible under Administrative Code Chapter 29.**

6
7 WHEREAS, Chapter 29 of the Administrative Code requires the Board of Supervisors
8 to determine whether certain project plans proposed by a City department or other entity are
9 fiscally feasible and responsible prior to initiating environmental review under the California
10 Environmental Quality Act (“CEQA”), or, if as of January 27, 2004, environmental review had
11 commenced already and has not been completed, a City department or other entity must
12 submit to the Board of Supervisors the required information under Section 29.3 for a
13 determination of fiscal feasibility; and

14 WHEREAS, The Bayview Transportation Improvements Project would establish
15 officially designated truck routes between northbound U.S. Highway 101 to the existing South
16 Basin industrial area and from northbound and southbound US 101 to the north and south
17 gates of the redeveloped Hunters Point Shipyard, and the Department of Public Works and
18 the Department of City Planning, in cooperation with the Federal Highway Administration
19 (“FHWA”), the California Department of Transportation (“Caltrans”), San Francisco
20 Redevelopment Agency, other regulatory agencies, and the public will evaluate alternatives
21 that may require the reconstruction of existing infrastructure, construction of new surface
22 roadways, and/or construction of a new bridge over Yosemite Slough on the east side of the
23 project area; and

24 WHEREAS, The purpose of the Bayview Transportation Improvements Project is to
25 develop a more direct truck route between U.S. Highway 101 and the existing industrial areas

1 as well as the north and south gates of the redeveloped Hunters Point Shipyard and to reduce
2 truck traffic on Third Street and other residential streets in the Bayview and Hunters Point
3 area; and

4 WHEREAS, Expenditures for the Bayview Transportation Improvement Project as of
5 August 6, 2007, totaled \$6,0186,911 and included environmental consultant fees, City project
6 management costs, and public outreach costs as follows: \$1,914,357 was paid to the
7 consultant hired for the environmental review phase of this project, who was selected by the
8 Department of Public Works through a competitive process; \$4,142,940 was expended on
9 City staff costs for performing civil engineering, traffic planning, landscape architecture, and
10 project management duties; and \$29,614 was spent on reproduction, mailing, newspaper
11 notices, and facility rentals for public outreach meetings; and

12 WHEREAS, A total of \$10,505,535 has been budgeted for Environmental Studies, 80
13 percent of which will be paid for with an existing TEA-21 federal earmark. Construction costs,
14 including right-of-way acquisition, utility relocation and reconstruction, and mitigation costs,
15 are estimated in current dollars at between \$70 and \$120 million, excluding land acquisition,
16 depending on which set of alternatives is selected. Design and construction management
17 costs are estimated to be \$22 to \$32 million, depending on which set of alternatives is
18 selected. Total project costs in 2012 dollars are estimated to be \$180 to \$300 million dollars;
19 and

20 WHEREAS, Most of the improvements are within existing road rights of way. Any
21 planned improvements would be done to City standards and designed to minimize any
22 maintenance costs and appropriate City departments would maintain all improvements; and

23
24
25

1 WHEREAS, On November 15, 2002, the Mayor signed Board of Supervisors
2 Resolution Number 773-02 to accept and expend \$9,375,000 in federal grant funds to
3 undertake environmental studies for the Bayview Transportation Improvement Project; and

4 WHEREAS, Environmental review began on the Bayview Transportation Improvements
5 Project on November 13, 2003 and expenditures to date are itemized in a letter to the Board
6 from the Department of Public Works dated August 6, 2007, which information is incorporated
7 herein by reference; and,

8 WHEREAS, an Ad Hoc Community Advisory Committee (“BTIP Ad Hoc CAC”) was
9 formed to review the project plan, selection criteria and alternatives as well as the outreach
10 plan. The BTIP Ad Hoc CAC membership consists of the Bayview Hunters Point Project Area
11 Committee (“BVHP PAC”) Land Use and Transportation Subcommittee, the chair of the BVHP
12 PAC Environment Subcommittee, the chair of the Hunters Point Shipyard Citizens Advisory
13 Committee (“HPS CAC”) Planning Committee, and the chair of the HPS CAC Environment
14 and Reuse Committee and has met with the project team over 15 times to date and will
15 continue to meet with project team for review and input on the project; and,

16 WHEREAS, Notices for the project scoping meeting and prescreening workshop were
17 mailed to over 6,500 addresses and were well attended by residents, businesses, youth, and
18 governmental entities; and,

19 WHEREAS, The project team has met with the following individuals and organizations
20 to solicit input, which has been reflected in the development of the project plan and
21 alternatives: Alice Griffith Tenants Association, All Islanders Gathered As One, Arriba Juntos,
22 Bay Conservation and Development Commission, Bayview Farmers Market, Bayview Hill
23 Homeowners Association, Bayview Hunters Point Community Advocates, BVHP PAC,
24 Bayview Merchants Association, Bayview Missionary Baptist Church, Bayview Opera House,
25

1 Bayview Public Schools, Saul Bloom, B-MAGIC Community Backpack Event, Bridge Housing,
2 BVHP Wellness Expo, California State Park Foundation, California State Parks, Caltrans,
3 Candlestick Point/ Bayview Heights Neighborhood Committee, Chinese for Affirmative Action,
4 Chinese Newcomer service, CityBuild, Community First Coalition, District 10 Council meeting,
5 Environmental Justice Advocacy, Environmental Protection Agency, Faith Based Coalition,
6 Golden Gate Recycling & Disposal Company, Health & Environment Resource Center, HPS
7 CAC, HPS CAC Planning Subcommittee, Hunters View Housing Development, India Basin
8 Neighborhood Association, Espanola Jackson, Keith/Revere Homeowners Association,
9 Lennar Corporation, Literacy for Environmental Justice, Mayor's Office District 10 Town Hall,
10 McFarlane Partners, Mission Education Centers, Mission Education Projects, Network for
11 Elders, Normandy Associates/Bob Legallet, interested parties at the Oakdale Caltrain Station
12 community meeting, Our Lady of Lourdes Church, Sulu Palega, Dorothy Peterson, Potrero Hill
13 Tenants Association, Providence Baptist Church, residents of Southeast Sector, Rotary Club
14 of San Francisco Bayview, Samoan Congregational Church, San Francisco 49ers, San
15 Francisco Housing Authority, San Francisco Housing Development Corporation, San
16 Francisco Produce Market, San Francisco Police Dept.-Bayview Station, Southeast
17 Community Facility Commission, Sun Reporter, Sunset Scavenger Company, interested
18 parties at Supervisor Maxwell's Town Hall meeting, True Hope Church of God in Christ, Unity
19 Peace & Freedom, Inc., Universal Paragon Corporation, US Army Corps of Engineers, US
20 Navy, Visitacion Valley Community Center, Visitacion Valley Parents Conference, Windows
21 on the Shipyard, The Yerby Company, and interested parties from the Yosemite Slough
22 Restoration community meeting,

23 WHEREAS, At the present time, technical studies for traffic, biology, hazardous
24 material, biology, air quality, diesel health risk, noise, water quality, parkland, geotechnical,
25

1 community impacts, cultural resources, visual effects, hydraulics, and utility relocations, are
2 complete; and,

3 WHEREAS, These studies need to be reviewed by the Planning Department's Major
4 Environmental Analysis unit in preparation for the consultant's writing of the Draft
5 Environmental Impact Report/Draft Environmental Impact Statement; and,

6 WHEREAS, The project has sufficient budget for the Planning Department to complete
7 this work; and

8 WHEREAS, Funding for the Bayview Transportation Improvement Project's design and
9 construction, including potential business relocation, if required, is expected to be provided
10 through a combination of state and federal sources and from various development projects in
11 the area that will benefit from the Bayview Transportation Improvement Project, including,
12 possibly, the redevelopment of the Hunters Point Shipyard and Candlestick Point. ; and

13 WHEREAS, The Bayview Transportation Improvements Project will minimize truck
14 travel time to the planned industrial portion of the redeveloped Hunters Point Shipyard and to
15 the existing South Basin industrial area; and

16 WHEREAS, The Bayview Transportation Improvements Project will reduce conflicts
17 between truck traffic and residential uses and between truck traffic and the new T-Third Street
18 Light Rail Line; and

19 WHEREAS, The Bayview Transportation Improvements project will aid in the economic
20 revitalization of Candlestick Point and Hunters Point Shipyard as well as enhance the
21 economic vitality of the existing industrial areas of the Bayview and will result in job creation;
22 and,

23 WHEREAS, These transportation improvements would help provide access to the
24 integrated development site of Candlestick Point and Hunters Point Shipyard and would be a
25

1 part of a game day transportation solution should the San Francisco 49ers football franchise
2 choose to build its new stadium in the Shipyard; and,

3 WHEREAS, The project will reduce traffic accidents and injuries, help in reducing
4 diesel emissions and noise in residential neighborhoods, and, through street lighting, improve
5 personal safety; now, therefore, be it

6 RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby declare the plan to undertake
7 and implement the Bayview Transportation Improvements Project to be fiscally feasible for the
8 purposes of environmental review under CEQA pursuant to Chapter 29 of the Administrative
9 Code.

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25