

FILE NO. 240237

Petitions and Communications received from March 7, 2024, through March 14, 2024, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on March 19, 2024.

Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information will not be redacted.

From the California Fish and Game Commission, submitting a notice of proposed changes in regulations pertaining to Central Valley sport fishing; and a notice of proposed changes in regulations pertaining to Klamath River Basin sport fishing. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (1)

From the Office of the City Administrator (ADM), Capital Planning Committee, pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 3.21, submitting a notice of approval of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) Power Enterprise Capital Budget for Fiscal Years (FY) 2025 and 2026, and the Capital Plan for FY 2025-2034. Copy: Each Supervisor. (2)

From the Recreation and Park Department (RPD), pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 10.100-305, submitting an Annual Report on Gifts Received up to \$10,000 for Fiscal Year FY 2022-2023. Copy: Each Supervisor. (3)

From the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA), submitting an agenda for an Interdepartmental Staff Committee on Traffic and Transportation (ISCOTT) meeting on March 14, 2024. Copy: Each Supervisor. (4)

From the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) Citizen Advisory Committee (CAC), submitting a Resolution regarding emergency authorizations and power outages on Treasure Island. Copy: Each Supervisor. (5)

From the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing (HSH), submitting the Rules of Order adopted by the Homelessness Oversight Commission. Copy: Each Supervisor. (6)

From various departments, pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 12B.5-1(d)(1), submitting approved Chapter 12B Waiver Request Forms. 3 Forms. Copy: Each Supervisor. (7)

From Todd A. Williams, regarding the proposed Ordinance amending the Planning Code to designate the Grand Theater, located at 2665 Mission Street, Assessor's Parcel Block No. 3637, Lot No. 023, as a Landmark consistent with the standards set forth in Article 10 of the Planning Code. File No. 231257. Copy: Each Supervisor. (8)

From Jordan Davis, regarding general public comment. Copy: Each Supervisor. (9)

From Carolyn Gage, regarding the Motion approving the President of the Board of Supervisors Aaron Peskin's nomination of Gilbert Williams to the Planning Commission, term ending July 1, 2026. File No. 240171; Motion No. M24-023. Copy: Each Supervisor. (10)

From the San Francisco Women's Political Committee, regarding the proposed Resolution declaring March 12, 2024, as Equal Pay Day in the City and County of San Francisco to raise public awareness about the impact of pay inequity for women, particularly women of color and LGBTQIA+ people. File No. 240206. Copy: Each Supervisor. (11)

From Nancy Arbuckle, regarding the Ordinance amending the Municipal Elections Code to opt out of state law that would require the names of supporters and opponents of a local ballot measure to be listed in the ballot statement or question for the measure for any election held in Fiscal Year 2023-2024 and for future elections subject to approval by the Board of Supervisors. File No. 230663; Ordinance No. 178-23. Copy: Each Supervisor. (12)

From Barklee Sanders, regarding power outages on Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island. Copy: Each Supervisor. (13)

From Eli Harrison, regarding Mayor London Breed's 2024 State of the City address. Copy: Each Supervisor. (14)

From David Romano, regarding artificial turf in Golden Gate Park. Copy: Each Supervisor. (15)

From members of the public, regarding a proposed Amazon delivery center on 7th Street. 5 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (16)

From Madison Kane, regarding parking options near the Heart of the City Farmers' Market. Copy: Each Supervisor. (17)

From Paul Shkuratov, regarding U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) policies. Copy: Each Supervisor. (18)

From Richard Kay, regarding Recology pick-up and drop-off services. Copy: Each Supervisor. (19)

From members of the public, regarding the proposed Ordinance appropriating \$50,000,000 of General Fund General Reserves to the Human Rights Commission to establish the Office of Reparations and to implement approved recommendations in Fiscal Year (FY) 2022-2023. File No. 230313. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (20)

From members of the public, regarding the Resolution urging the Municipal Transportation Agency (MTA) to develop and implement a plan for No Turn On Red (NTOR) at every signalized intersection in San Francisco and approve a citywide NTOR policy. File No. 231016; Resolution No. 481-23. 4 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (21)

From Karany Nhim, regarding the Hearing of persons interested in or objecting to the approval of a Conditional Use Authorization pursuant to Sections 145.2, 249.60, 754, and 303 of the Planning Code, for a proposed project at 2351 Mission Street. File No. 240162. Copy: Each Supervisor. (22)

From members of the public, regarding the proposed Ordinance amending the Planning Code to designate the Sacred Heart Parish Complex, located at 546-548 Fillmore Street, 554 Fillmore Street, 735 Fell Street, and 660 Oak Street, as a Landmark consistent with the standards set forth in Article 10 of the Planning Code. 2 Letters. File No. 240194. Copy: Each Supervisor. (23)

From a member of the public, regarding water recycling and algal blooms. Copy: Each Supervisor. (24)

From members of the public, regarding pedestrian safety at Fulton Street and Arguello Boulevard. 68 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (25)

From Patricia Holleran, regarding John F. Kennedy Drive. Copy: Each Supervisor. (26)

From Michael Dorf, regarding Lake Street. Copy: Each Supervisor. (27)

From members of the public, regarding San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) impacts on merchant corridors. 3 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (28)

From members of the public, regarding the San Francisco Planning Department's (CPC) Expanding Housing Choice, Housing Element Zoning Program. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (29)

Item 1

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 2 Letters CA Fish and Game Commission
Date: Friday, March 8, 2024 9:59:43 AM
Attachments: [2 Letters CA Fish and Game Commission.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached 2 Letters from the California Fish and Game Commission regarding notices of proposed changes in regulations pertaining to Central Valley and Klamath River Basin sport fishing regulations.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [California Fish and Game Commission](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Notice of Proposed Changes in Regulations - Central Valley Sport Fishing
Date: Friday, March 8, 2024 9:48:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

[View as a webpage / share](#)



California Fish and Game Commission
Wildlife Heritage and Conservation Since 1870

Notice of Proposed Changes in Regulations

Greetings,

A notice of proposed changes in regulations pertaining to Central Valley sport fishing has been posted to the Commission's website. The notice and associated documents can be accessed at:

<https://fgc.ca.gov/Regulations/2024-New-and-Proposed#cv>

Sincerely,

David Haug
California Fish and Game Commission

[Click here to visit our regulations page](#)

Not signed up to receive our informative emails?

[Sign Up](#)

Do not reply to this message. FGC@public.govdelivery.com is for outgoing messages only.

[California Fish and Game Commission](#)
715 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

SUBSCRIBER SERVICES:
[Manage Subscriptions](#) | [Help](#)

This email was sent to board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org from the California Natural Resources Agency utilizing govDelivery. California Natural Resources Agency, 715 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814



TITLE 14. Fish and Game Commission Notice of Proposed Changes in Regulations

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Fish and Game Commission (Commission), pursuant to the authority vested by sections 200, 205, 265, 270, 315, 316.5, 399 and 2084 of the Fish and Game Code and to implement, interpret or make specific sections 200, 205, 265, 270, 316.5 and 2084 of said Code, proposes to amend Section 7.40, Title 14, California Code of Regulations, relating to Central Valley sport fishing regulations.

Informative Digest/Policy Statement Overview

Current regulations in subsections (b)(4), (b)(43), (b)(66), and (b)(80) of Section 7.40 prescribe the 2023 seasons and daily bag and possession limits for Sacramento River fall-run Chinook Salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*; SRFC) sport fishing in the American, Feather, Mokelumne, and Sacramento rivers, respectively. Collectively, these four rivers constitute the “Central Valley fishery” for SRFC for purposes of this document. In considering the current 2023 regulations the Fish and Game Commission (Commission) accepted the Department of Fish and Wildlife’s (Department) recommendation for the most conservative option that prohibited fishing for Chinook Salmon in the Central Valley.

Each year, the Department recommends new Chinook Salmon bag and possession limits for consideration by the Commission to align the fishing limits with up-to-date management goals, as set forth below.

The Pacific Fishery Management Council (PFMC) is responsible for adopting recommendations for the management of recreational and commercial ocean salmon fisheries in the Exclusive Economic Zone (three to 200 miles offshore) off the coasts of Washington, Oregon, and California. When approved by the Secretary of Commerce, these recommendations are implemented as ocean salmon fishing regulations by the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS).

The PFMC will develop the annual Pacific coast ocean salmon fisheries regulatory options for public review at its March 2024 meeting and will adopt its final regulatory recommendations at its April 2024 meeting based on the PFMC salmon abundance estimates and recommendations for ocean harvest for the coming season. Based on the April 2024 recommendation by PFMC, the Department will recommend specific bag and possession limit regulations to the Commission at its April 18, 2024, meeting. The Commission will then consider adoption of the Central Valley sport fishing regulations at its May 15, 2024 meeting.

Proposed Regulations

Chinook Salmon Bag and Possession Limits

The Department recognizes the uncertainty of SRFC in-river harvest projections. Therefore, for the 2024 Central Valley fishery, the Department is presenting four regulatory options for the Commission’s consideration to tailor 2024 Central Valley fishery management to target 2024 in-river fisheries harvest projections. The Commission may adopt these options for each river section independently, or in combination to meet PFMC SRFC management objectives.

- American River, subsections 7.40(b)(4)(B), (C) and (D).
- Feather River, subsection 7.40(b)(43)(D) and (E).
- Mokelumne River, subsection 7.40(b)(66)(A), (B) and (D).
- Sacramento River below Keswick Dam, subsection 7.40(b)(80)(C), (D) and (E).

The following options are provided for Commission consideration:

Option 1 – Any Size Chinook Salmon Fishery

This option is the Department’s preferred option if the 2024 SRFC stock abundance forecast is sufficiently high to avoid the need to constrain in-river SRFC harvest.

Bag limit of [0-4] Chinook Salmon.

Possession limit - [0-12] Chinook Salmon.

Option 2 – Limited Adult and Grilse Salmon Fishery

Bag limit of [0-4] Chinook Salmon of which no more than [0-4] fish over 27 inches total length may be retained.

Possession limit - [0-12] Chinook Salmon of which no more than [0–12] fish may be over 27 inches total length.

Option 3 – Grilse Salmon Fishery Only

Bag limit of [0-4] Chinook Salmon less than or equal to 27 inches total length.

Possession limit - [0-12] Chinook Salmon less than or equal to 27 inches total length.

Option 4– No Salmon Fishing in all Central Valley Rivers, Streams, and Tributaries

No take or possession of Chinook Salmon.

Benefits of the Proposed Regulations

The Commission anticipates benefits to the environment in the sustainable management of Central Valley Chinook Salmon resources. Other benefits of the proposed regulations are consistency with federal fishery management goals, and health and welfare of California residents.

Consistency and Compatibility with Existing Regulations

Article IV, Section 20 of the State Constitution specifies that the Legislature may delegate to the Commission such powers relating to the protection and propagation of fish and game as the Legislature sees fit. The Legislature has delegated to the Commission the power to regulate sport fishing in waters of the state (Fish and Game Code sections 200, 205, 315 and 316.5). The Commission has reviewed its own regulations and finds that the proposed regulations are neither inconsistent nor incompatible with existing state regulations. The Commission has searched the California Code of Regulations and finds no other state agency regulations pertaining to Chinook Salmon sport fishing seasons, bag, and possession limits for Central Valley sport fishing.

Public Participation

Comments Submitted by Mail or Email

It is requested, but not required, that written comments be submitted on or before 5:00 p.m. Thursday, May 2, 2024 at the address given below, or by email to FGC@fgc.ca.gov. **Written comments mailed, or emailed to the Commission office, must be received before 12:00 noon on Friday, May 10, 2024.** If you would like copies of any modifications to this proposal, please include your name and mailing address. Mailed comments should be addressed to Fish and Game Commission, PO Box 944209, Sacramento, CA 94244-2090.

Meetings

NOTICE IS GIVEN that any person interested may present statements, orally or in writing, relevant to this action at a hearing to be held in San Jose Scottish Rite Center, 2455 Masonic Drive, San Jose, California, 95125 which will commence at **8:30 a.m.** on **Wednesday, April 17, 2024**, and may continue at **8:30 a.m.**, on **Thursday, April 18, 2024**, or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard. This meeting will also include the opportunity to participate via webinar/teleconference. Instructions for participation in the webinar/teleconference hearing will be posted at www.fgc.ca.gov in advance of the meeting or may be obtained by calling 916-653-4899. Please refer to the Commission meeting agenda, which will be available at least 10 days prior to the meeting, for the most current information.

NOTICE IS ALSO GIVEN that any person interested may present statements, orally or in writing, relevant to this action at a webinar/teleconference hearing which will commence at 8:30 a.m. on Wednesday, May 15, 2024, or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard. Instructions for participation in the webinar/teleconference hearing will be posted at www.fgc.ca.gov in advance of the meeting or may be obtained by calling 916-653-4899. Please refer to the Commission meeting agenda, which will be available at least 10 days prior to the meeting, for the most current information.

Availability of Documents

Copies of the Notice of Proposed Action, the Initial Statement of Reasons, and the text of the regulation in underline and strikethrough format can be accessed through the Commission website at www.fgc.ca.gov. The regulations as well as all related documents upon which the proposal is based (rulemaking file), are on file and available for public review from the agency representative, Melissa Miller-Henson, Executive Director, Fish and Game Commission, 715 P Street, Box 944209, Sacramento, California 94244-2090, phone (916) 653-4899. Please direct requests for the above-mentioned documents and inquiries concerning the regulatory process to Melissa Miller-Henson or David Haug at FGC@fgc.ca.gov or at the preceding address or phone number. **Senior Environmental Scientist Karen Mitchell, Department of Fish and Wildlife, Fisheries@wildlife.ca.gov, has been designated to respond to questions on the substance of the proposed regulations.**

Availability of Modified Text

If the regulations adopted by the Commission differ from but are sufficiently related to the action proposed, they will be available to the public for at least 15 days prior to the date of adoption. Circumstances beyond the control of the Commission (e.g., timing of Federal regulation adoption, timing of resource data collection, timelines do not allow, etc.) or changes made to be responsive to public recommendation and comments during the regulatory process may preclude full compliance with the 15-day comment period, and the Commission will exercise its powers under Section 265 of the Fish and Game Code. Regulations adopted pursuant to this section are not subject to the time periods for adoption, amendment or repeal of regulations prescribed in sections 11343.4, 11346.4, 11346.8 and 11347.1 of the Government Code. Any person interested may obtain a copy of said regulations prior to the date of adoption by contacting the agency representative named herein.

If the regulatory proposal is adopted, the final statement of reasons may be obtained from the address above when it has been received from the agency program staff.

Impact of Regulatory Action/Results of the Economic Impact Assessment

The potential for significant statewide adverse economic impacts that might result from the proposed regulatory action has been assessed, and the following initial determinations relative to the required statutory categories have been made:

- (a) Significant Statewide Adverse Economic Impact Directly Affecting Business, Including the Ability of California Businesses to Compete with Businesses in Other States:

The proposed action will not have a significant statewide adverse economic impact directly affecting business, including the ability of California businesses to compete with businesses in other states. The proposed changes are necessary for the continued preservation of the resource, while providing inland sport fishing opportunities and thus, the prevention of adverse economic impacts.

- (b) Impact on the Creation or Elimination of Jobs Within the State, the Creation of New Businesses or the Elimination of Existing Businesses, or the Expansion of Businesses in California; Benefits of the Regulation to the Health and Welfare of California Residents, Worker Safety, and the State's Environment:

The Commission does not anticipate significant adverse economic impacts but acknowledges the potential for short-term negative impacts on the creation or elimination of jobs within the state. The Commission anticipates no adverse impacts on the creation of new business, the elimination of existing businesses or the expansion of businesses in California. The management of an ongoing Chinook Salmon sport fishery with annual variations in the bag and possession limits and/or the implementation of a size limit is not anticipated to significantly impact the volume of business activity.

The loss of up to 22 jobs with Option 2, 43 jobs for Option 3, and 108 jobs for Option 4 is not expected to eliminate businesses because projected reduction in fishing days is expected to

be partially offset by opportunities to fish for grilse Chinook Salmon and other species for Option 2 and 3 and continued opportunities for other non-salmonid species for Option 4.

The Commission anticipates benefits to the health and welfare of California residents. Providing opportunities for a Chinook Salmon sport fishery encourages consumption of a nutritious food. The Commission anticipates benefits to the environment by the sustainable management of Chinook Salmon resources in the Central Valley.

The Commission does not anticipate any benefits to worker safety.

Other benefits of the proposed regulations are concurrence with federal fishery management goals and promotion of businesses that rely on Central Valley sport fishing.

(c) Cost Impacts on a Representative Private Person or Business:

The Commission is not aware of any cost impacts that a representative private person or business would necessarily incur in reasonable compliance with the proposed action.

(d) Costs or Savings to State Agencies or Costs/Savings in Federal Funding to the State:

None.

(e) Nondiscretionary Costs/Savings to Local Agencies:

None.

(f) Programs Mandated on Local Agencies or School Districts:

None.

(g) Costs Imposed on any Local Agency or School District that is Required to be Reimbursed Under Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4, Government Code:

None.

(h) Effect on Housing Costs:

None.

Effect on Small Business

It has been determined that the adoption of these regulations may affect small business. The Commission has drafted the regulations in Plain English pursuant to Government Code Sections 11342.580 and 11346.2(a)(1).

Consideration of Alternatives

The Commission must determine that no reasonable alternative considered by the Commission, or that has otherwise been identified and brought to the attention of the Commission, would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the action is proposed, would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed action, or would be more cost effective to affected private persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provision of law.

FISH AND GAME COMMISSION

Dated: February XX, 2024

Melissa Miller-Henson
Executive Director

From: [California Fish and Game Commission](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Notice of Proposed Changes in Regulations - Klamath River Basin Sport Fishing
Date: Friday, March 8, 2024 9:48:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

[View as a webpage / share](#)



California Fish and Game Commission
Wildlife Heritage and Conservation Since 1870

Notice of Proposed Changes in Regulations

Greetings,

A notice of proposed changes in regulations pertaining to Klamath River Basin sport fishing has been posted to the Commission's website. The notice and associated documents can be accessed at:

<https://fgc.ca.gov/Regulations/2024-New-and-Proposed#kr>

Sincerely,

David Haug
California Fish and Game Commission

[Click here to visit our regulations page](#)

Not signed up to receive our informative emails?

[Sign Up](#)

Do not reply to this message. FGC@public.govdelivery.com is for outgoing messages only.

[California Fish and Game Commission](#)
715 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

SUBSCRIBER SERVICES:
[Manage Subscriptions](#) | [Help](#)

This email was sent to board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org from the California Natural Resources Agency utilizing govDelivery. California Natural Resources Agency, 715 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814



TITLE 14. Fish and Game Commission Notice of Proposed Changes in Regulations

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Fish and Game Commission (Commission), pursuant to the authority vested by sections 200, 205, 265, 270, 315, 316.5, 399, and 2084 of the Fish and Game Code and to implement, interpret or make specific sections 200, 205, 265, 270, 316.5, and 2084 of said Code, proposes to amend Section 7.40, Title 14, California Code of Regulations, relating to Klamath River Basin sport fishing regulations.

Informative Digest/Policy Statement Overview

The Klamath River Basin, which consists of the Klamath River and Trinity River systems, is managed for fall-run Chinook Salmon (*Oncorhynchus tshawytscha*) through a cooperative system of state, federal, and tribal management agencies. Salmonid regulations are designed to meet natural and hatchery escapement needs for salmonid stocks, while providing equitable harvest opportunities for ocean sport, ocean commercial, river sport, and tribal fisheries.

The Pacific Fishery Management Council (PFMC) is responsible for adopting recommendations for the management of sport and commercial ocean salmon fisheries in the Exclusive Economic Zone (three to 200 miles offshore) off the coasts of Washington, Oregon, and California. When approved by the Secretary of Commerce, these recommendations are implemented as ocean salmon fishing regulations by the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS).

The California Fish and Game Commission (Commission) adopts regulations for the ocean salmon sport (inside three miles) and the Klamath River Basin (in-river) sport fisheries which are consistent with federal fishery management goals.

Tribal entities within the Klamath River Basin maintain fishing rights for ceremonial, subsistence, and commercial fisheries that are managed consistent with federal fishery management goals. Tribal fishing regulations are promulgated by individual tribal governments.

Klamath River Fall-Run Chinook Salmon

Adult Klamath River fall-run Chinook Salmon (KRFC) harvest allocations and natural spawning escapement goals are established by PFMC. The KRFC harvest allocation between tribal and non-tribal fisheries is based on court decisions and allocation agreements between the various fishery representatives.

PFMC Overfishing Review

KRFC stocks have been designated as “overfished” by PFMC. This designation is the result of not meeting conservation objectives for these stocks. Management objectives and criteria for KRFC are defined in the PFMC Salmon Fishery Management Plan (FMP). The threshold for overfished status of KRFC is a three-year geometric mean less than or equal to 30,525 natural area adult spawners. This overfished-threshold was met for KRFC during the 2015-2017 period. The 30,525 KRFC natural area adult spawners is considered the minimum stock size threshold, per the FMP. The KRFC adult natural area spawning escapement for 2022 was 22,051 natural area adult spawners, which is below the one-year conservation threshold of 40,700 natural area adult spawners. The most recent three-year geometric mean of 25,857 is still less than the required 40,700 natural area adult spawners

conservation threshold, therefore the KRFC are still considered as an “overfished” stock.

Accordingly, the FMP outlines a process for preparing a “rebuilding plan” that includes assessment of the factors that led to the decline of the stock, including fishing, environmental factors, model errors, etc. The rebuilding plan includes recommendations to address conservation of KRFC, with the goal of achieving rebuilt status. Rebuilt status requires meeting a three-year geometric mean of 40,700 adult natural area KRFC spawner escapement. The plan developed by representatives of National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), PFMC, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, the Department, and tribal entities, was submitted to PFMC in February 2019, adopted by PFMC in June 2019, and submitted to NMFS in August 2019. Forthcoming recommendations from the rebuilding plan may alter how KRFC are managed in the future, including changing the in-river allocation number, and/or allocating less than the normal target number.

Klamath River Spring-Run Chinook Salmon

The Klamath River Basin also supports Klamath River spring-run Chinook Salmon (KRSC). Naturally produced KRSC are both temporally and spatially separated from KRFC in most cases. Presently, KRSC stocks are not managed or allocated by PFMC. This in-river sport fishery is managed by general basin seasons, daily bag limit, and possession limit regulations. KRSC harvest is monitored on the Klamath River below the Highway 96 bridge at Weitchpec to the mouth of the Klamath River by creel survey. The upper Trinity River, upstream of Junction City, is monitored using tag returns from anglers. When needed, KRSC regulations are amended in a separate rulemaking.

KRFC Allocation Management

The PFMC allocation for the Klamath River Basin sport harvest is normally a minimum of 15 percent of the non-tribal PFMC harvest allocation of KRFC. Preseason stock projections of 2024 adult KRFC abundance will not be available from PFMC until March 2024. The 2024 basin allocation will be recommended by PFMC in April 2024. That allocation will inform the quota that the Department proposes to the Commission for adoption as a quota for the in-river sport harvest at the Commission’s May 2024 teleconference meeting.

The Commission may adopt a KRFC in-river sport harvest quota that is different than the quota proposed by the Department or the PFMC 2024 allocation for that fishery. Commission modifications need to meet biological and fishery allocation goals specified in law or established in the FMP.

The annual KRFC in-river sport harvest quota is specified in subsection 7.40(b)(50)(D)1. The quota is split among four geographic areas with a subquota for each area, expressed as a percentage of the total in-river quota, specified in subsection 7.40(b)(50)(D)2. For angler convenience, the subquotas, expressed as the number of fish, are listed for the affected river segments in subsection 7.40(b)(50)(E).

The in-river sport subquota percentages are as follows:

1. Main stem Klamath River from 3,500 feet downstream of Iron Gate Dam to the Highway 96 bridge at Weitchpec -- 17 percent of the in-river sport quota;

2. Main stem Klamath River downstream of the Highway 96 bridge at Weitchpec to the mouth of the Pacific Ocean -- 50 percent of the in-river sport quota;

The spit area (within 100 yards of the channel through the sand spit formed at the Klamath River mouth) closes to all fishing after 15 percent of the total Klamath River Basin quota has been taken downstream of the Highway 101 bridge.

3. Main stem Trinity River downstream of the Old Lewiston Bridge to the Highway 299 West bridge at Cedar Flat -- 16.5 percent of the in-river sport quota; and

4. Main stem Trinity River downstream of the Denny Road bridge at Hawkins Bar to the confluence with the Klamath River -- 16.5 percent of the in-river sport fishery quota.

These geographic areas are based upon the historical distribution of angler effort to ensure equitable harvest of adult KRFC in the Klamath River and Trinity River. The subquota system requires the Department to monitor or assess angler harvest of adult KRFC in each geographic area. All areas are monitored on a real time basis, except for the Klamath River upstream of Weitchpec and in the Trinity River. Due to funding and personnel reductions, the Department does not currently conduct real time harvest monitoring in the Klamath River upstream of the Weitchpec and in the Trinity River.

The Department has developed Harvest Predictor Models (HPM), which incorporate historic creel survey data from the Klamath River downstream of Iron Gate Dam to the confluence with the Pacific Ocean, and the Trinity River downstream of Lewiston Dam to the confluence with the Klamath River. Each HPM is driven by the positive relationship between KRFC harvested in the respective lower and upper subquota areas of the Klamath River and the Trinity River. The HPMs will be used by the Department to implement fishing closures to ensure that anglers do not exceed established subquota targets. Using this method, the upper Klamath River subquota area generally closes between 28-30 days after the lower Klamath River subquota is reached. Similarly, the upper Trinity River subquota area generally closes 45 days after the lower Klamath River subquota has been met. The Department also takes into consideration several other factors when implementing closure dates for subquota areas, including angler effort, KRFC run timing, weir counts, and ongoing recreational creel surveys performed by the Hoopa Valley Tribe in the lower Trinity River below Willow Creek.

Sport Fishery Management

The KRFC in-river sport harvest quota is divided into geographic areas, and harvest is monitored under real time subquota management. The KRSC in-river sport harvest is managed by general season, daily bag limit, and possession limit regulations.

The Department presently differentiates the two stocks by the following sport fish season in each sub-area:

Klamath River

July 1 through August 14 – General Season KRSC.

For purposes of clarity, daily bag and possession limits apply to that section of the Klamath River downstream of the Highway 96 bridge at Weitchpec to the mouth.

August 15 to December 31 – KRFC quota management.

Trinity River

July 1 through August 31 – General Season KRSC.

For purposes of clarity, daily bag and possession limits apply to that section of the Trinity River downstream of the Old Lewiston Bridge to the confluence with the South Fork Trinity River.

September 1 through December 31 – KRFC quota management.

The daily bag and possession limits apply to both stocks within the same sub-area and time period. Current regulations in subsections 7.40(b)(50)(E)2.a. and b. specify bag limits for KRFC stocks in the Klamath River. Current regulations in subsections 7.40(b)(50)(E)6.b., e., and f. specify bag limits for KRFC stocks in the Trinity River. Current regulations in subsection 7.40(b)(50)(C)2.b. specify KRFC possession limits.

Proposed Changes

Option 1: KRFC Adult Stocks (Sport Fishery Quota Management)

Quota: For public notice requirements, the Department recommends the Commission consider a quota range of 0–67,600 adult KRFC in the Klamath River Basin for the in-river sport fishery. This recommended range encompasses the historical range of the Klamath River Basin allocations and allows PFMC and Commission to make adjustments during the 2024 regulatory cycle.

Subquotas: The proposed subquotas for KRFC stocks are as follows:

1. Main stem Klamath River from 3,500 feet downstream of the Iron Gate Dam to the Highway 96 bridge at Weitchpec -- 17 percent of the total quota equates to [0-11,492];
2. Main stem Klamath River downstream of the Highway 96 bridge at Weitchpec to the mouth of the Pacific Ocean -- 50 percent of the total quota equates to [0-33,800];
3. Main stem Trinity River downstream of the Old Lewiston Bridge to the Highway 299 West bridge at Cedar Flat -- 16.5 percent of the total quota equates to [0-11,154]; and
4. Main stem Trinity River downstream of the Denny Road bridge at Hawkins Bar to the confluence with the Klamath River -- 16.5 percent of the total quota equates to [0-11,154].

Seasons: No changes are proposed for the Klamath River and Trinity River KRFC seasons:

- Klamath River - August 15 to December 31
- Trinity River - September 1 to December 31

Bag and Possession Limits

Because the PFMC recommendations are not known at this time, ranges are shown in [brackets] below of bag and possession limits which encompass historical quotas. All are proposed for the 2024 KRFC fishery in the Klamath and Trinity rivers.

- Bag Limit - [0-4] Chinook Salmon – of which no more than [0-4] fish over [20-24] inches total length may be retained until the subquota is met, then 0 fish over [20-24] inches total length.

- Possession limit - [0-12] Chinook Salmon of which no more than [0–4] fish over [20-24] inches total length may be retained when the take of salmon over [20-24] inches total length is allowed.

The final KRFC bag and possession limits will align with the final federal regulations to meet biological and fishery allocation goals specified in law or established in the FMP.

As in previous years, no retention of adult KRFC is proposed once the subquota has been met.

Size Limits

KRFC are managed based on adult quotas which is the maximum number of adult fish (age three and older) that can be harvested. Last year, the Department moved away from the fixed standing cutoff size between grilse and adult Chinook Salmon of 23 inches total length to using a range between 20 to 24 inches total length as an annual option for cutoff size. This allows for annual variation in size cutoffs, as informed by previous year(s) data to manage the harvest of the adult KRFC quota more effectively. The Department is currently conducting a post season assessment of KRFC length and age data which will be used to help determine the proposed 2024 size cutoff. The 2024 proposed adult cutoff will be presented at the April Commission meeting.

Option 2: KRFC Fishery Closure

This option would close salmon fishing in the Klamath River Basin as specified by river reach(es) in subsection 7.40(b)(50) to provide protection to KRFC should a reduction in the stock be indicated by PFMC abundance projections. In any year, should the PFMC recommend a complete or near complete closure of ocean recreational salmon fishery and/or an allocation of 0 (zero) adult KRFC to the in-river fishery, this option would give the Department flexibility to respond to and support any federal action. This option prohibits all methods of targeting KRFC including catch and release fishing.

Klamath River Dam Removal ISOR

At this time, the Commission is considering several proposed changes to the existing sport fishing regulations on the main stem Klamath River as part of the Klamath River Dam Removal project and contained in the [Klamath River Dam Removal Sport Fishing Updates ISOR](#) (OAL Z2023-1106-05). Some of the proposed changes currently under consideration would affect Title 14 regulations contained in this ISOR specifically subsections (b)(50)(E)1. and (b)(50)(E)2. of Section 7.40. concerning the main stem Klamath River. The proposed changes to sport fishing regulations in anticipation of dam removals are anticipated to be approved by the Commission in February 2024 and in effect by mid-April, 2024. These new regulations for sport fishing for dam removal along the Klamath River would become the regulatory baseline for the proposed changes contained within this ISOR, and are planned to be updated as such for the Final Statement of Reasons.

Benefit of the Regulations

The benefits of the proposed regulations are conformance with federal fishery management goals, sustainable management of Klamath River Basic fish resources, health and welfare of California residents, and promotion of businesses that rely on salmon sport fishing in the Klamath River Basin.

Consistency and Compatibility with Existing Regulations

Article IV, Section 20 of the State Constitution specifies that the Legislature may delegate to the Commission such powers relating to the protection and propagation of fish and game as the Legislature sees fit. The Legislature has delegated authority to the Commission to promulgate sport fishing regulations (Fish and Game Code sections 200, 205, 315, and 316.5). The Commission has reviewed its own regulations and finds that the proposed regulations are neither inconsistent nor incompatible with existing state regulations. Commission staff has searched the California Code of Regulations and has found no other state regulations related to sport fishing in the Klamath River Basin.

Public Participation

Comments Submitted by Mail or Email

It is requested, but not required, that written comments be submitted on or before 5:00 p.m. Thursday, May 2, 2024 at the address given below, or by email to FGC@fgc.ca.gov. **Written comments mailed, or emailed to the Commission office, must be received before 12:00 noon on Friday, May 10, 2024.** If you would like copies of any modifications to this proposal, please include your name and mailing address. Mailed comments should be addressed to Fish and Game Commission, PO Box 944209, Sacramento, CA 94244-2090.

Meetings

NOTICE IS GIVEN that any person interested may present statements, orally or in writing, relevant to this action at a hearing to be held in San Jose Scottish Rite Center, 2455 Masonic Drive, San Jose, California, 95125, which will commence at **8:30 a.m. on Wednesday, April 17, 2024**, and may continue at **8:30 a.m., on Thursday, April 18, 2024**, or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard. This meeting will also include the opportunity to participate via webinar/teleconference. Instructions for participation in the webinar/teleconference hearing will be posted at www.fgc.ca.gov in advance of the meeting or may be obtained by calling 916-653-4899. Please refer to the Commission meeting agenda, which will be available at least 10 days prior to the meeting, for the most current information.

NOTICE IS ALSO GIVEN that any person interested may present statements, orally or in writing, relevant to this action at a webinar/teleconference hearing which will commence at 8:30 a.m. on Wednesday, May 15, 2024, or as soon thereafter as the matter may be heard. Instructions for participation in the webinar/teleconference hearing will be posted at www.fgc.ca.gov in advance of the meeting or may be obtained by calling 916-653-4899. Please refer to the Commission meeting agenda, which will be available at least 10 days prior to the meeting, for the most current information.

Availability of Documents

Copies of the Notice of Proposed Action, the Initial Statement of Reasons, and the text of the regulation in underline and strikeout format can be accessed through the Commission website at www.fgc.ca.gov. The regulations as well as all related documents upon which the proposal is based (rulemaking file), are on file and available for public review from the agency representative, Melissa Miller-Henson, Executive Director, Fish and Game Commission, 715 P Street, Box 944209, Sacramento, California 94244-2090, phone (916) 653-4899. Please direct requests for the above-

mentioned documents and inquiries concerning the regulatory process to Melissa Miller-Henson or David Haug at FGC@fgc.ca.gov or at the preceding address or phone number. **Senior Environmental Scientist Karen Mitchell, Department of Fish and Wildlife, Fisheries@wildlife.ca.gov, has been designated to respond to questions on the substance of the proposed regulations.**

Availability of Modified Text

If the regulations adopted by the Commission differ from but are sufficiently related to the action proposed, they will be available to the public for at least 15 days prior to the date of adoption. Circumstances beyond the control of the Commission (e.g., timing of Federal regulation adoption, timing of resource data collection, timelines do not allow, etc.) or changes made to be responsive to public recommendation and comments during the regulatory process may preclude full compliance with the 15-day comment period, and the Commission will exercise its powers under Section 265 of the Fish and Game Code. Regulations adopted pursuant to this section are not subject to the time periods for adoption, amendment or repeal of regulations prescribed in sections 11343.4, 11346.4, 11346.8 and 11347.1 of the Government Code. Any person interested may obtain a copy of said regulations prior to the date of adoption by contacting the agency representative named herein.

If the regulatory proposal is adopted, the final statement of reasons may be obtained from the address above when it has been received from the agency program staff.

Impact of Regulatory Action/Results of the Economic Impact Assessment

The potential for significant statewide adverse economic impacts that might result from the proposed regulatory action has been assessed, and the following initial determinations relative to the required statutory categories have been made:

- (a) **Significant Statewide Adverse Economic Impact Directly Affecting Business, Including the Ability of California Businesses to Compete with Businesses in Other States:**

The proposed action will not have a significant statewide adverse economic impact directly affecting business, including the ability of California businesses to compete with businesses in other states. The proposed regulations are projected to range from minor to no impact on the net revenues to local businesses servicing sport fishermen. If the 2024 KRFC quota is reduced, visitor spending may correspondingly be reduced, and in the absence of alternative visitor activities, the drop in spending could induce some business contraction. If the 2024 KRFC quota remains similar to the KRFC quotas allocated in previous years, then local economic impacts are expected to be unchanged. Neither scenario is expected to directly affect the ability of California businesses to compete with businesses in other states.

- (b) **Impact on the Creation or Elimination of Jobs Within the State, the Creation of New Businesses or the Elimination of Existing Businesses, or the Expansion of Businesses in California; Benefits of the Regulation to the Health and Welfare of California Residents, Worker Safety, and the State's Environment:**

An estimated 30-50 businesses that serve sport fishing activities are expected to be directly

and/or indirectly affected depending on the final KRFC quota. The impacts range from no impact to small adverse impacts.

Depending on the final KRFC quota, the Commission anticipates the potential for some impact on the creation or elimination of jobs in California. The potential adverse employment impacts range from no impact to the loss of 13 jobs. Under all alternatives, due to the limited time period of this regulation's impact, the Commission anticipates no impact on the creation of new businesses, the elimination of existing businesses, or the expansion of businesses in California.

For all of the proposed scenarios, the possibility of growth of businesses to serve alternative recreational activities exists. Adverse impacts to jobs and/or businesses would be less if fishing of other species and grilse KRFC is permitted, than under a complete closure to all fishing. The impacted businesses are generally small businesses employing few individuals and, like all small businesses, are subject to failure for a variety of causes. Additionally, the long-term intent of the proposed regulatory action is to increase sustainability in fishable salmon stocks and, consequently, promote the long-term viability of these same small businesses.

(c) Cost Impacts on a Representative Private Person or Business:

The Commission is not aware of any cost impacts that a representative private person or business would necessarily incur in reasonable compliance with the proposed action.

(d) Costs or Savings to State Agencies or Costs/Savings in Federal Funding to the State:

None.

(e) Nondiscretionary Costs/Savings to Local Agencies:

None.

(f) Programs Mandated on Local Agencies or School Districts:

None.

(g) Costs Imposed on any Local Agency or School District that is Required to be Reimbursed Under Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4, Government Code:

None.

(h) Effect on Housing Costs:

None.

Effect on Small Business

It has been determined that the adoption of these regulations may affect small business. The Commission has drafted the regulations in Plain English pursuant to Government Code Sections 11342.580 and 11346.2(a)(1).

Consideration of Alternatives

The Commission must determine that no reasonable alternative considered by the Commission, or that has otherwise been identified and brought to the attention of the Commission, would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the action is proposed, would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed action, or would be more cost effective to affected private persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provision of law.

FISH AND GAME COMMISSION

Dated: February 26, 2024

Melissa Miller-Henson
Executive Director

Item 2

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: CPC Meeting Memo 3/4/24
Date: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 4:03:58 PM
Attachments: [CPC Memo 24.03.04.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached memo regarding the Approval of the Public Utilities Commission Power Enterprise FY25 & FY26 Capital Budget and FY25-FY34 Capital Plan.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*



MEMORANDUM

March 4, 2024

To: Members of the Board of Supervisors

From: Carmen Chu, City Administrator & Capital Planning Committee Chair 

Copy: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board
Capital Planning Committee

Regarding: (1) Approval of the Public Utilities Commission Power Enterprise FY25 & FY26 Capital Budget and FY25-FY34 Capital Plan

In accordance with Section 3.21 of the Administrative Code, on March 4, 2024, the Capital Planning Committee (CPC) approved the following action items to be considered by the Board of Supervisors. The CPC's recommendations are set forth below.

1. Board File Number: TBD **Approval of the Public Utilities Commission Power Enterprise FY25 & FY26 Capital Budget and FY25-FY34 Capital Plan**

CPC Recommendation: The CPC recommends approval this capital budget and capital plan.

Comments: The CPC approves this item by a vote of 11-0.

Committee members or representatives in favor:

Carmen Chu, City Administrator; Carla Short, Director, Public Works; Aaron Peskin, Board President; Greg Wagner, Controller; Anna Duning, Mayor’s Budget Director; Jonathan Rewers, SFMTA; Dennis Herrera, General Manager, Public Utilities Commission; Josh Switzky, Planning Department; Nate Cruz, Port of San Francisco; Stacy Bradley, Recreation and Parks; Ivar Satero, Director, San Francisco International Airport.

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO

2024 MAR 13 PM 3:57


BY _____

Item 3

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#) on behalf of [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Annual Report on Gifts (FY23)
Date: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 1:37:55 PM
Attachments: [Memo Annual Report on Gifts Received up to10K FY23.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see the attached annual report on gifts received by the Recreation and Parks Department in FY23.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh
Executive Assistant
Office of the Clerk of the Board
Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Delmage, Michelle (REC) <michelle.delmage@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 12:07 PM
To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>
Cc: Guerra, Antonio (REC) <antonio.guerra@sfgov.org>; Mangrobang, Melson (CON) <melson.mangrobang@sfgov.org>; Nacino, Gisela (CON) <gisela.nacino@sfgov.org>; Luo, Kelly (CON) <kelly.luo@sfgov.org>; Zhu, David (CON) <david.zhu@sfgov.org>
Subject: Annual Report on Gifts (FY23)

Hi Angela,

Please see the attached annual report on gifts received by the Recreation and Parks Department in FY23.

Thanks,

Michelle Delmage
Finance and Accounting Operations Manager
San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department
415-831-6889 (office)



London N. Breed, Mayor
Philip A. Ginsburg, General Manager

Date: March 12, 2024
To: Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
From: Antonio Guerra
Director of Administration and Finance
Subject: Annual Report on Gifts Received up to \$10,000 (Fiscal Year 2022-23)

In accordance with Administrative Code Section 10.100-305, this memo serves to provide the Board of Supervisors with the enclosed Annual Report on Gifts up to \$10,000 received by the Department during the past fiscal year.

Please let me know if you have any questions about the information on the report.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Antonio Guerra". The signature is fluid and cursive.

Antonio Guerra
Director of Administration and Finance

cc: Philip A. Ginsburg, General Manager

Enclosure



RPD Donations
up to \$10,000
July 1, 2022 - June 30, 2023

Close Date	Donor Name	Amount	Description	Type
7/14/22	Benevity Community Impact Fund	\$3,902.50	To support volunteer events in Golden Gate Park.	Cash
7/25/22	Damien Posey	\$406.80	To support summer programs for youth.	In-kind
8/4/22	Larry Bennett	\$875.00	To support the purchase of flowers for the Conservatory of Flowers in Golden Gate Park.	In-kind
8/4/22	San Francisco Orchid Society	\$1,000.00	To support the purchase of orchids for the Conservatory of Flowers in Golden Gate Park.	In-kind
8/23/22	Elizabeth Wille	\$75.00	To support the Edwin M Lee Scholarship Program.	Cash
8/30/22	Benevity Community Impact Fund	\$210.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee scholarship program.	Cash
9/19/22	Salesforce	\$8,203.09	To support volunteer activations in San Francisco parks.	In-kind
9/21/22	Lesley Bunim	\$100.00	To support Let'sPlaySF!	Cash
10/12/22	California Department of Parks and Recreation, Office of Grants and Local Svcs	\$8,998.46	To support capital improvements to the Visitacion Avenue Corridor Trail	Cash
10/13/22	Benevity Community Impact Fund	\$105.00	In support of the Edwin M. Lee Scholarship Fund	Cash
10/17/22	Rebuilding Together	\$7,666.67	To support volunteer events at the EcoCenter at Heron's Head Park.	In-kind
10/21/22	FTC Skateboarding	\$3,300.00	To support of park amenities.	In-kind
10/26/22	Kunal Patel Foundation	\$5,000.00	To support the Tennis & Learning Center program.	Cash
11/3/22	One Tree Planted	\$2,500.00	In Support of Volunteer Activities	Cash
11/16/22	Alamo Square Neighborhood Association	\$7,805.86	To support the cost of new trees in Alamo Square Park.	In-kind
11/28/22	James Wendorf	\$500.00	To support maintenance and improvements for South Lake and Middle Lake in Golden Gate Park.	Cash
12/22/22	Carol Berluti	\$100.00	To support the Edwin M Lee Scholarship Program.	Cash
12/22/22	Jennifer Herriot-Hatfield	\$500.00	To support general operations.	Cash
12/22/22	San Francisco Foundation	\$5,000.00	To support RPD's general operations.	Cash
12/23/22	Alan Wong	\$1.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee scholarship program.	Cash
12/23/22	Sara Wagnor	\$5.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee scholarship program.	Cash

12/28/22	Cathy Cohn	\$8,000.00	To support improvements to the landscape on Strawberry Hill in Golden Gate Park.	Cash
12/29/22	CEMEX Northern California / Northern Nevada	\$2,000.00	To support the Greenagers program.	Cash
12/30/22	Donna Quan	\$200.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee Scholarship Fund.	Cash
12/30/22	Martin Kung	\$1,000.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee Scholarship program.	Cash
12/30/22	Ginsburg Family Fund	\$1,000.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee Scholarship program.	Cash
1/6/23	Alan Wong	\$1.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee scholarship program.	Cash
1/6/23	Sara Wagnor	\$5.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee scholarship program.	Cash
1/20/23	Alan Wong	\$1.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee scholarship program.	Cash
1/20/23	Sara Wagnor	\$5.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee scholarship program.	Cash
1/30/23	Carol Berluti	\$150.00	To support the Edwin M Lee Scholarship Program.	Cash
2/3/23	Alan Wong	\$1.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee scholarship program.	Cash
2/3/23	Sara Wagnor	\$5.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee scholarship program.	Cash
2/3/23	Molly Rosen	\$400.00	To support activities at recreation centers.	In-kind
2/6/23	Susan Fox	\$50.00	To support the scholarship program.	Cash
2/6/23	Athanasios Sakkas	\$150.00	To support the Edwin M Lee Scholarship Program.	Cash
2/14/23	Consulate General of the Netherlands	\$1,000.00	To support Golden Gate Park gardens.	In-kind
2/16/23	Jerome A Balest	\$100.00	To support the scholarship program.	Cash
2/17/23	Alan Wong	\$1.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee scholarship program.	Cash
2/17/23	Sara Wagnor	\$5.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee scholarship program.	Cash
2/21/23	Susannah Owen	\$100.00	To support the scholarships program.	Cash
2/21/23	One Tree Planted	\$3,600.00	To support volunteer activities.	Cash
2/22/23	Diane Jonte-Pace	\$6,000.00	To support the Harvey Milk Photo Center.	In-kind
3/3/23	Alan Wong	\$1.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee scholarship program.	Cash
3/3/23	Sara Wagnor	\$5.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee scholarship program.	Cash
3/8/23	Jeff Renfro	\$3,000.00	To support Golden Gate Park gardens.	In-kind
3/9/23	Rob Weir	\$50.00	To support a new turtle sculpture in Alvord Lake in Golden Gate Park.	In-kind
3/10/23	Supreme New York	\$5,360.00	To support mobile recreation programming.	In-kind
3/15/23	Betty Ann Ong Foundation	\$180.00	To support community programs.	In-kind
3/15/23	Benevity Community Impact Fund	\$375.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee Scholarship Fund.	Cash
3/17/23	Alan Wong	\$1.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee scholarship program.	Cash

3/17/23	Sara Wagner	\$5.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee scholarship program.	Cash
3/31/23	Alan Wong	\$1.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee scholarship program.	Cash
3/31/23	Sara Wagner	\$5.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee scholarship program.	Cash
4/6/23	TECTONICS	\$7,000.00	To support the Sue Bierman Fire Repair project.	In-kind
4/10/23	Kaiser Permanente	\$10,000.00	To support seasonal events and activities in parks and open spaces.	Cash
4/14/23	Alan Wong	\$1.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee scholarship program.	Cash
4/14/23	Sara Wagner	\$5.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee scholarship program.	Cash
4/18/23	Rajinder Uppal	\$100.00	To support the scholarship program.	Cash
4/28/23	Alan Wong	\$1.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee scholarship program.	Cash
4/28/23	Sara Wagner	\$5.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee scholarship program.	Cash
4/30/23	One Tree Planted	\$9,000.00	To support volunteer events.	Cash
5/12/23	Alan Wong	\$1.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee scholarship program.	Cash
5/12/23	Sara Wagner	\$5.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee scholarship program.	Cash
5/15/23	Andrea Ow	\$300.00	To support community programs.	In-kind
5/15/23	Adriaen Baniias	\$1,000.00	To support the scholarship program.	Cash
5/26/23	Alan Wong	\$1.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee scholarship program.	Cash
5/26/23	Sara Wagner	\$5.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee scholarship program.	Cash
6/2/23	Ray Pano	\$1.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee scholarship program.	Cash
6/9/23	Alan Wong	\$1.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee scholarship program.	Cash
6/9/23	Sara Wagner	\$5.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee scholarship program.	Cash
6/12/23	California Outdoor Rollersports Association	\$4,000.00	To support Golden Gate Park operations.	In-kind
6/21/23	St. Ignatius College Preparatory	\$1,000.00	To support RPD's Tennis & Learning Center program. Donation made in honor of Eva Lee.	Cash
6/23/23	Alan Wong	\$1.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee scholarship program.	Cash
6/23/23	Ray Pano	\$1.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee scholarship program.	Cash
6/23/23	Sara Wagner	\$5.00	To support the Edwin M. Lee scholarship program.	Cash
6/26/23	San Francisco Police Youth Fishing Program	\$5,000.00	To support Youth Fishing Program in RPD Leisure Recreation division.	Cash
6/29/23	Eun Young Lee	\$400.00	To support park amenities.	In-kind

\$126,849.38

Item 4

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#) on behalf of [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: ISCOTT Hearing on Thu, March 14 - Agenda - Temporary Street Closure Requests
Date: Friday, March 8, 2024 9:05:00 AM
Attachments: [ISCOTT_1565_Agenda.pdf](#)

From: SpecialEvents <SpecialEvents@sfmta.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 7, 2024 4:58 PM
To: SpecialEvents <SpecialEvents@sfmta.com>
Subject: ISCOTT Hearing on Thu, March 14 - Agenda - Temporary Street Closure Requests

Good Afternoon –

Attached is the agenda for the upcoming ISCOTT hearing on Thursday, March 14, 2024.

If you have any questions, please email us.

Nick Chapman

Manager, [Temporary Street Closures I Special Events](#)

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

1 South Van Ness Ave, 7th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94103

Pronouns: he/him, they/them



ISCOTT AGENDA

INTERDEPARTMENTAL STAFF COMMITTEE
ON TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION FOR
TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES

Meeting of March 14, 2024 - Thursday, 9:00 AM
1565th Regular Meeting

Online Participation

Please join Microsoft Teams Meeting at
SFMTA.com/ISCOTTHearing

Click on the Raise your hand icon . When you are prompted to unmute, click on the microphone icon  to speak.

Phone Participation

Please dial 415-523-2709 and enter the meeting code
635 030 720#

Dial *5 to be placed in the queue for public comment. When prompted dial *6 to unmute yourself.

Please ensure that you are in a quiet location, speak clearly, and turn off any TVs or radios around you.

Written Participation

Submit your written comments to SpecialEvents@SFMTA.com with "Public Hearing" in the subject line or by mail to SFMTA, 1 South Van Ness, 7th Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103. Written comments must be received by 12 noon on the day prior to the hearing to be considered.

 **415.646.2414:** For free interpretation services, please submit your request 48 hours in advance of meeting. / 如果需要免費口語翻譯，請於會議之前 48 小時提出要求 / Para servicios de interpretación gratuitos, por favor haga su petición 48 horas antes de la reunión. / Para sa libreng serbisyo sa interpretasyon, kailangan mag-request 48 oras bago ang miting.



MINUTES OF THE FEBRUARY 24, 2024, MEETING (ACTION ITEM)

The Committee to adopt the Minutes.

PUBLIC COMMENT

Members of the public may address ISCOTT members on matters that are within ISCOTT purview and are not on today's agenda.

TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES (ACTION ITEMS)

These proposed actions are an Approval Action as defined by S.F. Administrative Code Chapter 31.

CONSENT CALENDAR

If there are no objections from the committee or the public, the following items will be voted on as a group.

- A. Jefferson Street between Hyde Street and Westerly Terminus
Friday, September 6, 2024, 3 pm to
Saturday, September 7, 2024, 2 pm
Alcatraz Invitational Swim

- B. Joice Street between Clay and Sacramento streets
Saturday, May 4, 2024, 10 am to 6 pm
Cameron House Carnival

REGULAR CALENDAR

- C. Jackson Street between Divisadero and Broderick streets
Saturday, April 14, 2024, 11 am to 5 pm
Block Party - Jackson Steet

- D. Waller Street between Octavia and Laguna streets
Saturday, June 1, 2024, 6 am to 5 pm
Purple Pride Party

- E. Warner Place between Hyde Street and easterly terminus
Sunday, June 30, 2024, 12 noon to 7:30 pm
Habibi Bar Pride Block Party

- F. Russ Street between Folsom and Howard streets
Friday, March 22, 2024, 1 pm to 7 pm
PAGSASAMA-SAMA Mural Celebration



- G.** 4061 - 24th Street parking lot between Noe and Castro streets
Sunday, March 17, 2024, 8 am to 11:45 pm
Celtic Fest
- H.** Minna Street between 2nd and New Montgomery streets
Monday, May 6, 2024, 5 am to
Thursday, May 9, 2024, 2 am
111 Minna – RSA Event
- I.** Steiner Street between Duboce Avenue and Hermann Street
Sunday, April 21, 2024, 11 am to 5 pm
Wiggle Fest
- J.** Waverly Place between Sacramento and Washington streets
Saturday, April 6, 2024, 6 am to 5 pm
and
Saturday, June 8, 2024, 6 am to 5 pm
and
Saturday, June 22, 2024, 6 am to 5 pm
and
Saturday, July 20, 2024, 6 am to 5 pm
and
Saturday, October 26, 2024, 6 am to 5 pm
CYC 2024 Waverly Events
- K.** Balboa Street between 35th and 39th avenues; 37th avenue between Anza and Cabrillo streets
Intersection(s) closed: Balboa Street at 36th, 37th, and 38th avenues
Saturday, April 27, 2024, 5:30 am to 6 pm
SFUSD Citywide Youth Arts Festival
- L.** Marin Street between Illinois Street and Easterly Terminus; Michigan Street between Cesar Chavez and Marin Street
Intersection(s) closed: Michigan Street at Marin Street
(Local access allowed on Michigan Street via Cesar Chavez and Marin Street via Illinois)
Friday, April 5, 2024, 2 pm to
Sunday, April 7, 2024, 6 pm
Midway - Our House Block Party



- M.** Marin Street between Illinois Street and Easterly Terminus; Michigan Street between Cesar Chavez and Marin Street
Intersection(s) closed: Michigan Street at Marin Street
(Local access allowed on Michigan Street via Cesar Chavez and Marin Street via Illinois)
Friday, May 10, 2024, 2 pm to
Sunday, May 12, 2024, 10 pm
Midway - Playground Block Party
- N.** Battery Street between Jackson and Sacramento streets; Clay Street between Sansome and Front Streets; Merchant Street between Sansome and Battery Streets
Intersection(s) closed: Battery at Washington and Clay
Friday, May 10, 2024, 11 am to
Saturday, May 11, 2024, 2 am
and
Friday, July 12, 2024, 11 am to
Saturday, July 13, 2024, 2 am
and
Friday, September 13, 2024, 11 am to
Saturday, September 14, 2024, 2 am
and
Friday, November 15, 2024, 11 am to
Saturday, November 16, 2024, 2 am
Bhangra & Beats Night Market
- O.** Polk Street between Broadway and Pacific Street
Saturday, April 27, 2024, 6 am to 9 pm
and
Polk Street between Pacific and Jackson streets; Pacific Avenue between Van Ness Avenue and Larkin Street
Saturday, April 27, 2024, 10 am to 6:30 pm
and
Polk Street between California and Sacramento streets
Saturday, April 27, 2024, 5 pm to 11 pm
Pickin' on Polk
- P.** Yosemite Ave between Lane and 3rd streets
Saturday, March 30, 2024, 1 pm to 6 pm
Old School Block Party



- Q.** Berry Street between De Haro and 7th streets
Saturday, August 3, 2024, 6 am to 3 pm
and
Geneva Avenue between Moscow Street and Brookdale Avenue
Saturday, August 24, 2024, 6 am to 3 pm
and
Hollis Street between O Farrell and Ellis streets
Saturday, June 8, 2024, 6 am to 3 pm
and
Mistral Street between 19th and Harrison streets
Saturday, April 6, 2024, 6 am to 3 pm
and
Noe Street between 25th and Clipper streets
Saturday, July 13, 2024, 6 am to 3 pm
District Weekend Cleanup Events
- R.** 19th Street between Dolores and Guerrero streets
Saturday, April 13, 2024, 9 am to 7 pm
Cesar Chavez Parade

24th Street between Folsom and Bryant streets; Harrison Street between 23rd and 25th streets
Intersection(s) closed: 24th Street at Lucky Alley, Treat, Balmy Alley, Harrison, Alabama, and Florida streets
Saturday, April 13, 2024, 9 am to 7 pm
Cesar Chavez Festival
- S.** Stockton Street between Union and Filbert streets
Friday, May 10, 2024, 4 am to 6 pm
46th annual Penny Pitch
- T.** Carroll Avenue between Mendell and 3rd streets
Saturday, April 27, 2024, 7 am to 9 pm
44th Annual Black Cuisine Street Festival



- U.** Union Street between Gough and Fillmore streets; Octavia Street between Filbert and Green streets; Laguna Street between Filbert and Green streets; Buchanan Street between Filbert and Green streets; Webster Street between Filbert and Green streets;
Intersection(s) closed: Octavia; Laguna; Buchanan; and Webster streets at Union Street
Saturday, June 1, 2024, 12:01 am to
Sunday, June 2, 2024, 11:59 pm
46th Union Street Festival
- V.** Harrison Street between 11th and 13th streets; 12th Street between Harrison and Bernice streets; Norfolk Street between Harrison and Folsom streets
Intersection(s) closed: Norfolk and 12th streets at Harrison Street
Sunday, April 28, 2024, 8 am to 9 pm
SF Eagle Bar 11th Anniversary Party
- W.** Noe Street between Market and Beaver streets
Saturday, March 30, 2024, 7 am to 8:30 pm
and
Saturday, June 29, 2024, 7 am to 8:30 pm
and
Saturday, December 7, 2024, 7 am to 8:30 pm
Castro Merchants Events
- X.** Fulton Street between Hyde and Larkin streets; Grove Street between Polk and Larkin streets
Tuesday, June 25, 2024, 9:00 am to
Tuesday, July 2, 2024, 9:00 am
and
Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place between Grove and McAllister streets; Larkin Street between McAllister and Market streets
Thursday, June 27, 2024, 7:00 pm to
Monday, July 1, 2024, 6:00 am
and
Grove Street between Van Ness Avenue and Hyde Street
Friday, June 28, 2024, 9:00 am to
Monday, July 1, 2024, 6:00 am
and
Dr. Tom Waddell Place (Lech Walesa) between Van Ness Avenue and Polk Street
Friday, June 28, 2024, 8:00 pm to
Monday, July 1, 2024, 6:00 am



and

Polk Street between Grove and Market streets; McAllister Street between Van Ness Avenue and Leavenworth Street; Hyde Street between McAllister and Grove streets; Continuum Place from Golden Gate Avenue to Terminus; Elm Street between Van Ness Avenue and Polk Street; Golden Gate Avenue between Van Ness Avenue and Leavenworth Street; Hyde Street between Turk and McAllister streets; Larkin Street between Turk and McAllister streets; Polk Street between Turk and McAllister streets; Redwood Street between Polk Street and Van Ness Avenue

Friday, June 28, 2024, 8:00 pm to

Monday, July 1, 2024, 6:00 am

and

Leavenworth Street between McAllister and Market streets

Sunday, June 30, 2024, 12:01 am to 5:00 pm

and

Market Street between 8th and 9th streets

Sunday, June 30, 2024, 6:00 am to 5:00 pm

San Francisco Pride Festival and Celebration

Sutter Street between Sansome and Market streets; Sansome Street (northbound) between Sutter and Bush streets

Sunday, June 30, 2024, 12:01 am to 5:00 pm

San Francisco Pride Parade Broadcast Area

Categorically exempt from CEQA: CEQA Guidelines Section 15304 Class 4(e) minor temporary use of land having negligible or no permanent effects on the environment, including carnivals, sales of Christmas trees, etc. and/or Section 15305 Class 5(b) minor alterations in land use limitations, including street closings and equipment for special events

Forrest Chamberlain
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

Date



ROADWAY SHARED SPACES CLOSURES (ACTION ITEMS)

The following item has been environmentally cleared by the Planning Department on April 19, 2021, Addendum #2 to San Francisco Better Streets Plan Project [Case No. 2021-003010ENV (addendum to Case No. 2007.1238E)]:

- Y.** Alabama Street between 19th and 20th streets
 Thursday, April 4, 2024, through
 Friday, March 28, 2025
 8 am to 4 pm, **each Thursday and Friday**
 Mission Food Hub – Shared Space

- Z.** Jack Kerouac Alley between Grant and Columbus avenues
 Friday, April 5, 2024, through
 Saturday, April 5, 2025
 1 pm to 10 pm, **each Wednesday, Friday, Saturday, and Sunday**
 Vesuvio – Shared Space

ROADWAY SHARED SPACES CLOSURES (INFORMATIONAL ITEMS)

The following items are presented for informational purposes and public comment. Closures are subject to review and approval by the SFMTA Board.

- AA.** Gold Street between Montgomery and Balance streets
 Tuesday, April 23, 2024, through
 Wednesday, April 23, 2025
 4 pm to midnight, **Daily**
 Bix – Shared Space



***SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION FOR INTERDEPARTMENTAL STAFF COMMITTEE AGENDA ITEMS ARE AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW AT THE MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY'S OFFICES, ONE SOUTH VAN NESS, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103, DURING NORMAL BUSINESS HOURS. PLEASE CONTACT TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES/SPECIAL EVENTS AT (415) 646-2414. ***

Sound Producing Devices

The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.

Disability Access

To obtain a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to participate in the meeting, please contact (415) 701-4683 at least two business days before the meeting. In order to assist the City's efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illness, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to perfumes and various other chemical-based scented products. Please help the City to accommodate these individuals.

Know Your Rights under the Sunshine Ordinance

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decision in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code) or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator by mail to Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, One Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco CA 94102, by phone at (415) 554-7724, by fax at (415) 554-7854 or by email at sotf@sfgov.org. Citizens may obtain a free copy of the Sunshine Ordinance by contacting the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator or by printing Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code on the Internet, at web site <http://www.sfgov.org/sunshine>.

Lobbyist Registration and Reporting Requirements

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance [SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code Sec. 2.100] to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 3900, San Francisco, CA 94102, telephone (415) 581-2200, fax (415) 581-2217, web site www.sfgov.org/ethics.

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Appeal Rights under S.F. Admin. Code Chapter 31: For identified Approval Actions, the Planning Department or the SFMTA has issued a CEQA exemption determination or negative declaration, which may be viewed online at the Planning Department's website. Following approval of the item by ISCOTT, the CEQA determination is subject to appeal within the time frame specified in S.F. Administrative Code Section 31.16 which is typically within 30 calendar days. For information on filing a CEQA appeal, contact the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors at City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102, or call (415) 554-5184. Under CEQA, in a later court challenge, a litigant may be limited to raising only those issues previously raised at a hearing on the project or submitted in writing to the City prior to or at such hearing, or as part of the appeal hearing process on the CEQA decision.

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)
Subject: FW: Resolution for the BOS members FW: SFPUC Citizens' Advisory Committee Resolution
Date: Tuesday, March 12, 2024 4:17:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)
[2024 TI Resolution Cover Letter - signed.pdf](#)
[RESOLUTION RE POWER OUTAGES ON TREASURE ISLAND.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see below for communication from the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's Citizen Advisory Committee, regarding a Resolution pertaining to Emergency Authorizations and Power Outages on Treasure Island.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Moncrease, Lexus <LMoncrease@sfgwater.org>
Sent: Monday, March 11, 2024 3:21 PM
To: Young, Victor (BOS) <victor.young@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>
Cc: SFPUC Citizens' Advisory Committee <CAC@sfgwater.org>
Subject: SFPUC Citizens' Advisory Committee Resolution

Dear Clerk of the Board of Supervisors,

The SFPUC Full CAC adopted the attached resolution on February 20, 2024. This resolution urges the SFPUC and Board of Supervisors to work with TIDA to identify and secure funding to ensure the long-term sustainability and reliability of the power infrastructure on Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island.

Please forward the attached cover letter and resolution to the Board of Supervisors. Thank you!

Best Regards,

Lexus Moncrease

CAC Staff | External Affairs

Cell: 415-517-8465

Pronouns: She/Her



San Francisco
Water Power Sewer
Services of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission

March 7, 2024

President Tim Paulson
San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
525 Golden Gate Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Resolution Adopted by the SFPUC Citizens' Advisory Committee

Dear President Paulson,

This letter is to inform you that the SFPUC Citizens' Advisory Committee voted at their February 20, 2024, meeting to pass a Resolution Regarding Emergency Authorizations and Power Outages on Treasure Island. Please see the attached adopted resolution.

The SFPUC Citizens' Advisory Committee was established by ordinance to publicly discuss and make recommendations to the General Manager of the Public Utilities Commission, the Public Utilities Commission, and the Board of Supervisors on the agency's long-term strategic, financial, and capital improvement plans. Our seventeen members are appointed by each of the Supervisors, with additional appointments by the Board President and the Mayor.

We appreciate the opportunity to comment on this important topic and look forward to having staff report back on the progress made towards the recommendations.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Emily Algire', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Emily Algire
Full CAC Secretary

cc: Dennis Herrera, SFPUC General Manager
Ronald Flynn, SFPUC Deputy General Manager
Masood Ordikhani, Assistant General Manager, External Affairs

RESOLUTION REGARDING EMERGENCY AUTHORIZATIONS AND POWER OUTAGES
ON TREASURE ISLAND

Sponsors: Barklee Sanders, Jodi Soboll

WHEREAS, Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island have experienced an average of 18 power outages per year since 1997 averaging approximately four to five hours each incident, totaling at least 487 power outages (as of February 20, 2024), at a rate that is four times higher than PG&E power in San Francisco, highlighting a significant disparity in the frequency of power disruptions experienced by Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island inhabitants; and

WHEREAS, Census tract 6075017902, encompassing a portion of Treasure Island, is characterized by a demographic in which 60% of its residents are classified as low-income households struggling with high housing expenses, and ranks within the top 25% of the CalEnviroScreen 4.0 for SB 535 Disadvantaged Communities data, meaning these residents bear a disproportionate burden when it comes to power outages in San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, Residents of Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island are entitled to safe and reliable electric service and under CHAPTER 99: PUBLIC POWER as detailed in SEC. 99.1. FINDINGS, the City's provision of electricity not only ensures the delivery of clean, reliable, and safe electric service but also bestows economic benefits to both the City and the customer; and

WHEREAS, The Treasure Island Development Authority (TIDA), a nonprofit, public-benefit corporation, is tasked with the supervision of development within the Development Plan Area. TIDA manages the property under the purview of the Tidelands Trust, adhering to the land use constraints outlined in the Treasure Island Conversion Act of 1997, which amended Section 33492.5 of the California Health and Safety Code and introduced Section 2.1 to Chapter 1333 of the Statutes of 1968. TIDA has the authority and responsibility to take reasonable actions to address issues related to the power grid on Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island, and has failed to take sufficient action to address the ongoing power outages; and

WHEREAS, Neither the SFPUC, the Mayor, nor the Board of Supervisors possesses the authority to compel TIDA to stabilize the grid; and

WHEREAS, On July 25, 2017, under File Number: 170649, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors passed Resolution Number R0307-17 approving an emergency replacement of two generators on Treasure Island; and

WHEREAS, While the SFPUC and Treasure Island Community Development LLC are constructing new electrical infrastructure on Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island new development customers; while there are no improvements planned for the existing system which will remain operational for current residents for another 10 to 15 years creating an even greater disparity between these communities; and

WHEREAS, The City Charter (under Article III, section 3.100(14)) and Administrative Code (under Chapter 7, section 7.1(b), Chapter 21, section 21.15, Chapter 6, section 6.60, Chapter 10, sections 10.03 and 10.62) authorize the Mayor, Board of Supervisors, TIDA and SFPUC to take

immediate emergency action to protect the public that might be applicable to the situation at Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island; and

WHEREAS, on May 3rd 2023 Mayor London Breed declared that the “the safety and wellbeing of our residents and businesses is our first priority, and as of a fundamental principle of good government, its our duty to deliver services San Franciscans deserve” in response to a power outage in north eastern San Francisco.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, That the Citizens’ Advisory Committee of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commissioner hereby requests the Board of Supervisors, and The Mayor to endorse the emergency declaration for the immediate inspections and deployment of the new grid.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the SFPUC Citizens’ Advisory Committee requests the Board of Supervisors recommend that, by July 2024, TIDA conduct a comprehensive inspection of the electrical distribution system in accordance with the relevant utility industry standards and practices for inspections, as set forth in California Public Utilities Commission General Order 165, General Order 128, and General Order 95; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the SFPUC Citizens’ Advisory Committee requests the Mayor, and the Board of Supervisors identify a public agency that has authority and responsibility for oversight of The Treasure Island Development Authority (TIDA) to assure it is meeting all its obligations and responsibilities related to the existing performance, health and safety, current and future maintenance of the power grid on Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the SFPUC Citizens’ Advisory Committee requests the mayor, and the board of supervisors to obtain a detailed accounting from The Treasure Island Development Authority (TIDA) of all spending activities from the \$15M Funds allocated to TIDA for providing reliable, healthy, and safe power to the existing residents of Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island. This report should be provided by TIDA within two weeks of the Mayor and B.O.S. request.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the SFPUC Citizens’ Advisory Committee requests the SFPUC, using past maintenance reports and data, provides a complete analysis and report (referred to as the TREASURE ISLAND AND YERBA BUENA ISLAND FAILURE MODE ANALYSIS REPORT) characterizing and quantifying all past outages on Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island, including but not limited to; 1) Quantity and Types of Failure Modes, 2) Quantity and Type of Corrective Actions, 3) Failure rates over time, for no less than the past 15 years, for total failures and each failure mode, 4) Total cost of corrective action for total failures and for each Failure Mode, 5) Number of repeat failures for any location, 6) Map showing locations of all failures and by each failure mode, and 7) Total outage time (customer impact including time of outage, time to respond, and time for corrective action) for total failures and for each failure mode. Believing this data should be readily available in SFPUC records, the SFPUC Citizens’ Advisory Committee requests the SFPUC provide this analysis and report within 12 weeks of this Resolution.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the SFPUC Citizens' Advisory Committee requests the SFPUC, upon review of the complete the TREASURE ISLAND AND YERBA BUENA ISLAND FAILURE MODE ANALYSIS REPORT, provides a recommended Corrective Action Plan and Preventative Maintenance Action Plan (referred to as RECCOMENDATIONS FOR TREASURE ISLAND AND YERBA BUENA ISLAND POWER GRID CONFORMANCE TO CALIFORNIA CODES AND REGULATIONS) to prevent further outages impacting Treasure Island and Yerba Buena residents. Implementation of these recommended measures should bring the TREASURE ISLAND AND YERBA BUENA ISLAND power grid performance (based primarily on, but not limited to, outages) to the same levels as the City of San Francisco. Each recommendation should have a comprehensive description, note which failure modes are addressed, and provide an estimated cost of the measure and emergency maintenance savings. Th The SFPUC Citizens' Advisory Committee requests the SFPUC provide this analysis and report within 6 weeks of the TREASURE ISLAND AND YERBA BUENA ISLAND FAILURE MODE ANALYSIS REPORT.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the SFPUC Citizens' Advisory Committee requests the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, and SFPUC to work with the public agency that has been identified as having authority and responsibility for oversight of The Treasure Island Development Authority (TIDA), TIDA, and the SFPUC CAC and Power Subcommittee to review the TREASURE ISLAND AND YERBA BUENA ISLAND FAILURE MODE ANALYSIS REPORT and RECCOMENDATIONS FOR TREASURE ISLAND AND YERBA BUENA ISLAND POWER GRID CONFORMANCE TO CALIFORNIA CODES AND REGULATIONS findings with the goal of assuring TIDA is meeting all its obligations and responsibilities related to the existing performance, health and safety, current and future maintenance of the existing power grid on Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the SFPUC Citizens' Advisory Committee urges the Board of Supervisors to request that TIDA immediately inspect the overhead and underground electric distribution system and to develop, by July 2024, a capital improvement plan for replacing the aging electrical infrastructure, ensuring that the plan addresses the need for resilience and sustainability in the face of extreme weather events and climate change; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, That the SFPUC Citizens' Advisory Committee requests that the Board of Supervisors work with TIDA and the SFPUC to identify and secure funding to ensure the long-term sustainability and reliability of the power infrastructure on Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island, and mitigation of the continuing health and safety crisis affecting existing residents pending implementation of long-term corrective action.

PROPOUNDED BY: Barklee Sanders

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#) on behalf of [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)
Subject: FW: Communications posting request
Date: Thursday, March 14, 2024 1:16:00 PM
Attachments: [HOC RULES OF ORDER ADOPTED.pdf](#)
[image001.png](#)
[image002.png](#)
[image003.png](#)
[image004.png](#)

Hello,

Please see below and attached for the Homelessness Oversight Commission's adopted Rules of Order, submitted by the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Badasow, Bridget (HOM) <bridget.badasow@sfgov.org>
Sent: Monday, March 4, 2024 4:27:39 PM
To: Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>
Subject: Communications posting request

Hi Eileen,

Hope all is well. Will you please post/add the attached Homelessness Oversight Commission's adopted rules of order to the BOS's Communications.

Much appreciated.

Respectfully,



Bridget Badasow (she/her)
*Homelessness Oversight Commission (HOC) and
Shelter Monitoring Committee (SMC) Manager*
San Francisco Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing
bridget.badasow@sfgov.org | O: 628-652-7809



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email is intended for the recipient only. If you receive this email in error, notify the sender and destroy the email immediately.
Disclosure of the Personal Health Information (PHI) contained herein may subject the discloser to civil or criminal penalties under state

and federal privacy laws.



RULES OF ORDER
HOMELESSNESS OVERSIGHT COMMISSION (HOC)
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
Adopted: September 7, 2023

ARTICLE 1-Name and Purpose

SECTION 1. Name.

The name of the commission shall be “Homelessness Oversight Commission.”

SECTION 2. Purpose

Pursuant to Article IV, Section 4.133 of the San Francisco City Charter, there shall be a Homelessness Oversight Commission (“Commission”) to oversee the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing (“Department”), or any successor agency. The Department shall, to the extent prescribed by ordinance, manage and direct housing, programs, and services for persons experiencing homelessness in the City, including, but not limited to, street outreach, homeless shelters, transitional housing, homelessness prevention, and permanent supportive housing.

ARTICLE II-Members, Appointing Authorities and Seat Representation

SECTION 1 Members

The Commission shall consist of seven (7) voting members.

SECTION 2 Appointing Authorities

Seat 1, 2, 3, and 4 shall be appointed by the Mayor subject to confirmation by the Board of Supervisors. Each nomination of the Mayor shall be subject to approval by the Board of Supervisors, and shall be the subject of a public hearing and vote within 60 days of the date the Clerk of the Board receives notice of the nomination from the Mayor. If the Board fails to act on the nomination within those 60 days, the nominee shall be deemed approved. The appointment shall become effective on the date the Board adopts a motion approving the nomination or on the 61st day after the Clerk of the Board receives notice of the nomination, whichever is earlier. Seats 5, 6, and 7 shall be appointed by the Board of Supervisors

The Mayor and the Board of Supervisors shall make their initial appointments to Seats 1-7, respectively, on the Commission by no later than noon, March 1, 2023. The Commission shall come into existence upon the appointment, and confirmation where required, of four members, or at noon on May 1, 2023, whichever is later. The Commission shall have its inaugural meeting within 30 days of its coming into existence.

SECTION 3 Seat Representation

Seat 1 shall be held by a person who has personally experienced homelessness.

Seat 2 shall be held by a person with significant experience providing services to or engaging in advocacy on behalf of persons experiencing homelessness.

Seat 3 shall be held by a person with expertise in mental health service delivery or substance use treatment.

Seat 4 shall be held by a person with a record of participation in a merchants' or small business association, or neighborhood association.

In addition to the aforementioned qualifications, at least one of the Mayor's appointees shall have experience in budgeting, finance, and auditing.

Seat 5 shall be held by a person who has personally experienced homelessness.

Seat 6 shall be held by a person with significant experience providing services to or engaging in advocacy on behalf of persons experiencing homelessness.

Seat 7 shall be held by a person with significant experience working with homeless families with children and/or homeless youth.

Article IV, Section [4.101](#) of the San Francisco City Charter shall apply to these appointments, with a particular emphasis on diversity in ethnicity, race, age, sex, gender identity, sexual orientation, and types of disabilities.

Article III-Commissioner Terms, Commissioner Power and Duties, and Commission Secretary

Section 1. Commissioner Terms

Commission members shall serve at the pleasure of their respective appointing authorities and may be removed by their appointing authorities at any time. Vacancies shall be filled by the respective appointing authorities as prescribed in Charter Sec. 4.133. in subsections (b)(1) and (2).

Commissioners shall serve four-year terms, beginning at noon on May 1, 2023; provided, however, the term of the initial appointees in Seats 1, 4, and 6 shall be a two-year term, expiring at noon on May 1, 2025.

Section 2. Commissioner Powers and Duties

With respect to the Department, the Commission shall exercise all of the powers and duties of boards and commissions as set forth in Charter Sections [4.102](#), [4.103](#), and [4.104](#), including but not limited to, approving applicable departmental budgets, formulating annual and long-term goals consistent with the overall objectives of the City and County, establishing departmental performance standards, holding hearings and taking testimony, conducting public education and outreach concerning programs and services for homeless persons in San Francisco, and issues concerning homelessness, and conducting performance audits of the Department to assess the efficiency and effectiveness of the Department's delivery of services to persons experiencing homelessness and persons participating in programs overseen by the Department, and the extent to which the Department has met the annual goals and performance standards established by the Commission.

Notwithstanding the Commission's authority to review and set policies, the Commission shall not have the authority to approve, disapprove, or modify criteria used to ascertain eligibility or priority for programs and/or services operated or provided by the Department, where such criteria are required as a condition of the receipt of state or federal funding.

Section 3 Commission Secretary

The Commission Secretary shall manage the business affairs and operations of the Commission and any Committees. The Commission

Secretary shall work closely with the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing Executive Director to set meeting agendas, communicate the work of the Commission to the public, facilitate communications between the Commission and the Department, respond to requests for information, and respond to the public.

Article IV Election of Officers and Terms and Power and Duties

Section 1 Election of Officers and Term

The Commission shall elect among their number a Chair, Vice Chair, Data Officer, and officers for other such positions, if any, that it chooses to create. Each officer shall serve for a period of one year. The Chair and the Vice Chair will each be elected from one of the two appointing bodies.

If the position of the Chair becomes vacant before expiration of the term, the following shall occur: (1) the Commissioner serving as Vice Chair at the time of the vacancy shall automatically assume the position of Chair until the first to occur of the expiration of the Commissioner's term as Commissioner or the expiration of the remainder of the prior Chair's term and (2) at the next regular meeting of the Commission, the members of the Commission shall elect a new Vice Chair who shall serve as Vice Chair until the first to occur of the expiration of the new Vice Chair's term as a Commissioner or the expiration of the remainder of the prior Vice Chair's term. If the position of Vice Chair becomes vacant before expiration of the term, at the next regular meeting of the Commission after the vacancy occurs, the members of the Commission shall elect a new Vice Chair who shall serve as Vice Chair until the first to occur of the expiration of the new Vice Chair's term as a Commissioner or the expiration of the remainder of the prior Vice Chair's term.

The purpose of the Data Officer is to collect de-identified data, organize, analyze, and report to the HOC and the public, their findings and report any issues regarding Homelessness issues in San Francisco.

Section 2. Powers and Duties

The Chair shall preside at all Commission meeting; shall preserve order and decorum; shall decide all questions of order, subject to appeal to the Commission by any member; shall appoint any committee of the Commission; and shall perform all other duties necessary or incidental to the office. The Chair shall have the right to participate in the proceedings of the Commission, including the right to make and second any resolutions or other motions, and may speak to points of order in preference to the other members. In the absence of the Chair, the Vice Chair shall preside and in absence of both the Chair and the Vice Chair, the senior member of the Commission in length of service on the Commission shall preside.

Article V Meetings

Section 1. Meetings

- A. Regular Meetings: Meetings of the Commission will be held at City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place room 416 in San Francisco, on every first Thursday of each month at 9:00 a.m. except that the Commission may designate a different location by motion or resolution or designate a different time, provided that the Commission give advance and proper notification to all interested parties. If the regularly scheduled meeting is on a holiday, the replacement meeting date shall be designated by a motion of the Commission.

The Commission shall conduct all of its business in a public forum. Commissioners must attend public meetings in person, unless they have been granted a waiver by the Department of Human Resources to attend remotely. Meetings are noticed at the Main Public Library, the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing website and other appropriate public buildings at least 72 hours prior to each meeting.

- B. In the event that a quorum is not present, Commissioners may discuss the topics that were noticed, or other topics, with the individuals present, as the gathering is not a meeting of the body, but no action may be taken. The Commissioners must comply with the obligations and requirements of their membership on the Commission. The members present may continue the meeting to a new place and time.

- C. Special Meetings: Special Meetings of the Commission may be called at any time by the Chair or by a majority of the members of the Commission. Notice of the meeting must be given at least 72 hours prior to the Special Meeting. If the Commission holds a Special Meeting in a building other than its Regular Meeting place, it must give public notice of the meeting at least 15 days in advance. The 15-day notice does not have to include a formal agenda, but should specify the time, place, and generally identify the nature and purpose of the Special Meeting. A formal agenda must be posted 72 hours in advance of the Special Meeting. A Special Meeting may be strictly informational, for the purpose of conveying information to or receiving information from the public. Special Meetings that are strictly informational must conform to all of the same requirements as any other Special Meeting, including proper notice and agenda requirements. One or two Special Meetings will be held each year “In Community” at the Commission’s discretion.

D. Open and Public Meetings: The Commission and its Committees are governed by provisions of the Ralph M. Brown Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance, Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code.

All Commission and Committee meetings shall be open and public, and all persons shall be permitted to attend any meeting. However, the Commission and Committee may, with appropriate notice, meet in closed session to consider and act upon matters authorized by Charter Section 4.104(2), the Ralph M. Brown Act, and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance.

E. Attendance: Unless excused, all members of the Commission and its Committees shall be in their respective seats at the hour appointed for each meeting. The Executive Director of the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing and the Commission Secretary shall attend Commission meetings unless excused by the Chair or Vice-Chair of the Commission. Except in the event of a notified absence (defined below), each member of the Homelessness Oversight Commission is expected to attend each Regular or Special Meeting of the Commission. Commission Secretary shall maintain a record of members' attendance.

F. Notified Absences: A member's absence shall constitute a "notified absence" where the member, in advance of the meeting, informs the Homelessness Oversight Chair or Commission Secretary. An absence due to unforeseen circumstances such as illness or emergency shall also qualify as a notified absence where the member reports such absence to the Homelessness Oversight Commission Secretary as soon as reasonably possible. The

Commission Secretary shall record as non-notified all absences involving neither advance notice nor unforeseen circumstances.

- G. Reporting Absences to the Appointing Authority: The Commission Secretary shall report all instances of non-notified absences as well as any instance of three consecutive absences of a member from Regular Meetings to the Mayor.
- H. Annual Attendance Report: At the end of each fiscal year, the Commission Secretary shall submit a written report to the appointing authority, either the Mayor or the Clerk of the Board, as applicable detailing each Homelessness Oversight Commissioner's attendance at all meetings of the Homelessness Oversight Commission for that fiscal year.
- I. Quorum: The Homelessness Oversight Commission shall have a quorum of four members in order to transact official business. (Charter Section 4.104.)
- J. Call to Order and Roll Call: The Chair shall call each Commission meeting to order at the appointed hour. Immediately after the call to order, the Commission Secretary shall call the roll of the members of the Commission and shall record those present and those absent and shall enter in the minutes the names of those members present at the first roll call as well as the names and time of appearance of those members who arrive subsequent to the first roll call.
- K. Voting: All Commissioners present shall vote on all action items pending before the Commission unless a member is excused from voting by a motion adopted by a majority of the members present (Charter Section 4.104). Action items on the Commission calendar shall be voted on either by roll call vote, voice vote or by a show of

hands. The vote on resolutions and motions shall be "ayes" and "nays". Except as otherwise provided herein, an affirmative vote of the majority of the members of the Commission shall be required for the passage of any resolution or motion. The majority vote of the Commission is four out of seven.

- L. Rules of Debate: When a member desires to address the Commission, he or she shall seek recognition by addressing the presiding officer, and when recognized, shall proceed to speak, confining his or her remarks to the question before the Commission. No discussion shall take place until a resolution or motion has been moved and seconded, or a calendared item has been introduced.

- M. Recordings and Minutes of the Proceedings: The Commission Secretary shall ensure that every Commission meeting is recorded via audio device or audio/video device. All recordings of Regular or Special Meetings must be kept indefinitely. All recordings of closed sessions must be kept for at least ten years, or permanently if feasible. The Commission Secretary shall also record the proceedings of each Commission meeting in the minutes of the Commission and shall forward a copy of the minutes to the Main Public Library within ten (10) days of the completion of the meeting.

- N. All motions/resolutions will be noted with an indication of who will implement the motion/resolution, what will be implemented, and the date for any requested follow-up report. The Commission Secretary will include any follow-up report as an agenda item on the date specified in the original action. Such follow-up reports may be removed from the agenda only by action of the Commission.

- O. Recess During a Meeting: The Commission meeting may have one or more recesses at the discretion of the Chair.
- P. Adjournment of Meetings: The Commission may adjourn any regular, special or adjourned Special Meeting to a time and place specified in the order of adjournment.
- Q. Cancellation of Meetings: The Commission shall provide notice of the cancellation of a meeting to the public as soon as reasonably possible. The Commission shall post the cancellation notice at the meeting site and at the Department office. To the extent time permits, the Commission will post the cancellation notice on its website, at the San Francisco Main Library Government Information Center, and mail notice of the cancellation to those members of the public who have requested in writing to receive meeting agendas. The Commission will make every effort to give notice of the cancellation to parties with a matter on the agenda and to persons who normally receive agendas by e-mail.
- R. Agendas: Agendas for the Commission meetings will be set jointly by the Commission Chair and the Executive Director. The Mayor, members of the Board of Supervisors, HOC Commissioners, and members of the public may also request items to be considered by the Commission. Requests should be made by notifying the Commission Secretary. If Items can't be heard due to time constraints, the recommended calendar item will be placed on the next meeting agenda.
- S. Items to be Heard by the Commission: Only matters that have been calendared will be heard by the Commission at any meeting, unless action on the item is authorized under the Brown Act and the Sunshine Ordinance. The Commission shall consider information items and action items, in accordance with Section 3. Powers and

Duties, above. Authorization for the Department to accept and extend grants, enter into contractual agreements, accept gifts, or approve expenditures of funds may be made in the form of a motion.

The Commission Secretary shall call each item prior to consideration by the Commission. Discussions by the Commission shall be limited to the items called from the agenda.

The agenda shall include a consent calendar. Any member may request a consent item to be removed from the consent calendar, and the item will be taken off the consent calendar and treated as a separate agenda item.

The agenda shall include an "Commission Matters" item, during which Commissioners, the Executive Director, and the public may bring up topics for consideration at future meetings, providing that any discussion or action on a topic is taken after proper public notice is provided.

T. Public Participation and Testimony: Members of the public are encouraged to attend the meetings and may address the Commission on agenda items and on items of interest to the public that are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Commission that are not on the meeting agenda during general public comment. The Brown Act forbids a Commission from taking action or discussing any item not appearing on the posted agenda, including those items raised at general public comment. The Chair of the Commission shall ask for public comments prior to calling for a vote on action items.

At its discretion, the Commission may permit members of the public to address the Commission in person from the room where

the meeting is being held or remotely by telephone. Any member of the public who requests ADA accommodations to comment remotely must be obliged.

Decorum: Speakers who wish to testify before the Commission are requested to sign up at the beginning of each meeting to ensure that those who wish to speak are in the queue, but speakers may remain anonymous if they choose. During public comment to the Commission, remarks shall be addressed to the Commission as a whole, not to individual commissioners and not to the audience. Testimony shall be limited to comments pertaining to the items under consideration by the Commission. The Chair shall be the judge of the pertinence of such comments and has the authority to limit this privilege if the comments are not pertinent to the question before the Commission or the comments are reiterative of points made by previous speakers. When an agenda item is heard at one meeting and public testimony is taken on the item and the item is continued to the next meeting for deliberation and action, the Chair of the Commission can preclude individuals who have already provided testimony from testifying at the subsequent meeting. Individuals who have points to make regarding issues that were not raised at the first meeting will be allowed to testify.

Time Allocation: The Chair may place a reasonable overall limit on public comment on a particular item. The Chair may limit public comment on any particular item to less than three minutes per speaker based on such factors as the nature of the item, the number of anticipated speakers for the item, and the anticipated duration of other agenda items. The Commission Secretary may be asked to time the speakers and notify the speakers when they have exceeded the time limitation.

The Commission will apply any time limits uniformly to all speakers. The Commission will not count the time for question and answer against the speaker's time. Similarly, following the period for public comment on an agenda item, if a member of the Commission questions a speaker who has offered public comment on the item, then speaker may respond even if the speaker's time for public comment has been exhausted. If a speaker has a disability that impairs the ability to comment, the Commission will extend that speaker's public comment time as necessary to reasonably accommodate the speaker. The Commission may also grant additional time to accommodate a speaker who requires the use of a translator.

- U. Reports: For each Commission meeting, the Commission Secretary shall provide the Commission with written reports prepared by the Department providing background information on the items under consideration. The Commission Secretary shall provide all resolutions recommended by the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing staff for consideration by the Commission and shall amend the resolutions as directed by the Commission. The Commission Secretary shall make available to the public copies of resolutions under consideration at the Commission meeting.

For each Commission meeting, the Executive Director of the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing shall provide the Commission with a summary of pertinent information on the operations of the Department. The Director's Report shall be summarized in the Commission minutes.

V. Order of Business

- A. Call to Order
- B. Roll Call

- C. Announcements by Chair
- D. Ramaytush Ohlone Land Acknowledgement
- E. Approval of the Minutes from Prior Meeting(s)
- F. Communications
- G. Employee Recognition
- H. Director's Report
- I. Data Officer Report
- J. Quarterly Advisory Body Reports
- K. Nomination Committee Report
- L. General Public Comment
- M. Old Business
- N. Consent Calendar
- O. New Business
- P. Closed Session
- Q. Announcements Following Closed Session
- R. Commission Matters/Next HOC agenda meeting suggestions
- S. General Public Comment (Continued)
- T. Adjournment

W. The Ramaytush Ohlone Land Acknowledgement shall be added and announced by the Chair.

X. Rules of Order: Unless provided otherwise herein, Robert's Rules of Order shall guide the Commission in its proceedings.

Y. The Rules of Order shall be reviewed annually, at the Commission's discretion.

These Rules of Order may be adopted or amended at any regular or special meeting of the HOC, upon at least ten days' public notice for such meeting. These Rules of Order shall be filed with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

Article VI Committees

- A. Committees may be created by the Chair or by the Commission. Committee members are appointed by the Chair, and they shall serve on the committee at the pleasure of the Chair and may be removed at any time.
- B. Committees may elect amongst themselves their own officers. The committee chair presides over meetings and minutes shall be prepared and approved at the subsequent committee meeting.
- C. Action taken at these committee meetings shall be treated as recommendations or tentative decisions with no legal effect and shall be reviewed and approved at a Regular Meeting of the Commission.
- D. The Nomination Committee shall consist of three commissioners who shall consider candidates for appointment to the Local Homelessness Coordinating Board (LHCB), Shelter Grievance Advisory Committee (SGAC), and Shelter Monitoring Committee (SMC) members and to report their findings and recommendations to the Commission. The Committee will meet as needed to review candidates.

Item 7

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#) on behalf of [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)
Subject: 3 Approved Requests to Waive 12B Requirements
Date: Thursday, March 14, 2024 1:21:00 PM
Attachments: [3 Approved Requests to Waive 12B Requirements.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached for 3 approved requests to waive 12B requirements.

Requester: Stephanie Hon

Department: DPH

Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

Supplier ID: 0000012358

Requested total cost: \$5,233,796.00

Short Description: UCSF Citywide Care Court connects individuals with mental health needs to county services.

Requester: Stephanie Hon

Department: DPH

Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

Supplier ID: 0000012360

Requested total cost: \$9,859,828.00

Short Description: The Regents of the University of California operates throughout the state and thus cannot comply with each local ordinance. However, they will comply with stat

Requester: Feng Ling Jiang

Department: LIB

Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

Supplier ID: 0000011662

Requested total cost: \$1,494.00

Short Description: Adult eCollections

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins

Office of the Clerk of the Board

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [CCSF IT Service Desk](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: CMD12B0003404 - "Request to Waive 12B Requirements" has been Approved by (LIB) Department Head (Michael Lambert)
Date: Monday, March 11, 2024 4:48:09 PM
Attachments: [image](#)



Contract Monitoring Division

SF Board of Supervisors,

This is to inform you that CMD12B0003404 - 'Request to Waive 12B Requirements' has been approved by (LIB) Department Head (Michael Lambert).

Summary of Request

Requester: Feng Ling Jiang

Department: LIB

Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

Supplier ID: 0000011662

Requested total cost: \$1,494.00

Short Description: Adult eCollections

[Take me to the CMD 12B Waiver Request](#)

For additional questions regarding this waiver request please contact cmd.equalbenefits@sfgov.org

Thank you.

Ref:TIS4892120_X7Utok55MCDmKEQWIFhy

From: [CCSF IT Service Desk](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: CMD12B0003392 - "Request to Waive 12B Requirements" has been Approved by (DPH) Department Head (Michelle Ruggels)
Date: Thursday, March 7, 2024 4:16:47 PM
Attachments: [image](#)



Contract Monitoring Division

SF Board of Supervisors,

This is to inform you that CMD12B0003392 - 'Request to Waive 12B Requirements' has been approved by (DPH) Department Head (Michelle Ruggels).

Summary of Request

Requester: Stephanie Hon

Department: DPH

Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

Supplier ID: 0000012360

Requested total cost: \$9,859,828.00

Short Description: The Regents of the University of California operates throughout the state and thus cannot comply with each local ordinance. However, they will comply with stat

[Take me to the CMD 12B Waiver Request](#)

For additional questions regarding this waiver request please contact cmd.equalbenefits@sfgov.org

Thank you.

Ref:TIS4885628_2sqsJ7xqLrqPMmrHz4v1

From: [CCSF IT Service Desk](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: CMD12B0003393 - "Request to Waive 12B Requirements" has been Approved by (DPH) Department Head (Michelle Ruggels)
Date: Thursday, March 7, 2024 3:39:14 PM
Attachments: [image](#)



Contract Monitoring Division

SF Board of Supervisors,

This is to inform you that CMD12B0003393 - 'Request to Waive 12B Requirements' has been approved by (DPH) Department Head (Michelle Ruggels).

Summary of Request

Requester: Stephanie Hon

Department: DPH

Waiver Justification: 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

Supplier ID: 0000012358

Requested total cost: \$5,233,796.00

Short Description: UCSF Citywide Care Court connects individuals with mental health needs to county services.

[Take me to the CMD 12B Waiver Request](#)

For additional questions regarding this waiver request please contact cmd.equalbenefits@sfgov.org

Thank you.

Ref:TIS4885464_nr5eDvv1JH9QoQsZ8k2R

Item 8

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Request for Mayoral veto and/or rescission of landmark designation for Grand Theater
Date: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 2:21:49 PM
Attachments: [0.png](#)
[1.png](#)
[2.png](#)
[3.png](#)
[4.png](#)
[LT Mayor and City Attorney re landmark designation 2024.03.13.pdf](#)
Importance: High

Hello,

Please see attached regarding **File No. 231257**:

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to designate the Grand Theater, located at 2665 Mission Street, Assessor's Parcel Block No. 3637, Lot No. 023, as a Landmark consistent with the standards set forth in Article 10 of the Planning Code; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making public necessity, convenience, and welfare findings under Planning Code, Section 302, and findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Williams, Todd <toddwilliams@fennemorelaw.com>

Sent: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 2:06 PM

To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>; Hillis, Rich (CPC) <rich.hillis@sfgov.org>

Cc: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; LaValley, Pilar (CPC) <pilar.lavalley@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; EngardioStaff (BOS) <EngardioStaff@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; DorseyStaff (BOS) <DorseyStaff@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff (BOS) <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary (BOS) <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Matsuda, Diane (CPC) <diane.matsuda@sfgov.org>; Nageswaran, Ruchira (CPC) <ruchira.nageswaran@sfgov.org>; Baldauf, Hans (CPC) <hans.baldauf@sfgov.org>; Campbell, Amy (CPC) <amy.campbell@sfgov.org>; Foley, Chris (CPC) <chris.foley@sfgov.org>; Vergara, Robert (CPC) <robert.vergara1@sfgov.org>; Wright, Jason (CPC) <jason.wright@sfgov.org>; Amy Chung <amytchung@sbcglobal.net>; Bagshawe, Carol <cbagshawe@fennemorelaw.com>

Subject: Request for Mayoral veto and/or rescission of landmark designation for Grand Theater

Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mayor Breed, City Attorney Chiu and Planning Director Hillis,
On behalf of the property owner of the Grand Theater, please see the attached letter regarding the Board of Supervisor's recently adopted Ordinance to declare the Grand Theater a City landmark. As the letter sets forth, this action was taken without required notice being provided to the property owner, and the designation itself is overbroad, inadequately supported and constitutes an abuse of discretion and should be disapproved/vetoed and/or rescinded.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any concerns.

Regards,
Todd Williams

Todd A. Williams, he/him
Director

FENNEMORE.
WENDEL

1111 Broadway, 24th Floor, Oakland, CA 94607
T: 510.622.7630 | F: 510.834.1928
toddwilliams@fennemorelaw.com | [View Bio](#)



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: The information contained in this message may be protected by the attorney-client privilege. If you believe that it has been sent to you in error, do not read it. Please immediately reply to the sender that you have received the message in error. Then delete it. Thank you.



Todd A. Williams
Director
toddwilliams@fennemorelaw.com
1111 Broadway, 24th Floor
Oakland, California 94607
PH (510) 622-7630 | FX (510) 834-1928
fennemorelaw.com

March 13, 2024

Via email and hand delivery (to Mayor's Office only)

Mayor London Breed (MayorLondonBreed@sfgov.org)
City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200
San Francisco, CA 94102

David Chiu (cityattorney@sfcityatty.org)
City Attorney
City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 200
San Francisco, CA 94102

Rich Hillis (rich.hillis@sfgov.org)
Planning Director
City and County of San Francisco Planning Department
49 South Van Ness Avenue
San Francisco, CA 94103

Re: Landmark Designation of the Grand Theater
per Board of Supervisors Ordinance adopted March 5, 2024

Dear Mayor Breed, City Attorney Chiu and Planning Department:

On behalf of FCW Corporation ("FCW") and the Young China Daily Publishing Co. Stock Transfer Trust (the "YCD Trust"), we respectfully request that the Mayor veto/disapprove the Board of Supervisor's Ordinance adopted on March 5, 2024, to designate the Grand Theater (Planning File No. 231257, the "Ordinance") as a landmark per SF Charter Section 3.103. Since the Ordinance was adopted on March 5, 2024, we request that the veto be issued by March 15, 2024. Alternatively, we request that the Board's ordinance be vacated for the reasons set forth herein.

In short, the actions by the Board on February 27, 2024, and March 5, 2024, and the action of the Historic Preservation Commission ("HPC") on November 15, 2023 (hearing at which the HPC recommended that the Theater be declared a landmark), violated the notice provisions contained in San Francisco Ordinance Code sections 1004.2(a), 1004.3 and 1004.5. Each of those provisions require "due notice to the owners of the property included in the

Mayor London Breed
David Chiu
Rich Hillis
March 13, 2024
Page 2

proposal” *prior* to the public hearings to consider the designation, as well as notice once a landmark has been designated. Here, no such notice was provided to the property owner, FCW, of the HPC meeting at which it recommended landmark designation or the two Board meetings where the landmark designation was approved, in violation of those sections. As such, FCW and related members of the Trust were unaware of the proceedings, denied an opportunity to be heard and to provide evidence relevant to the question of whether the Theater should be declared a landmark.

Moreover, the Board abused its discretion in declaring the Grand Theater a landmark as to both the exterior of the structure, as well as extensive portions of the interior. In 1995, the Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors both considered, and rejected, landmark status for the building. Since then, the already extensively altered interior has been further altered compounding the loss of historic integrity of the interior of the building. Nothing has changed since 1995 to make the building, especially the interior, more historic than in 1995. The opposite is true. The interior has less historic integrity now than it did in 1995.

For these reasons, the Board’s Ordinance should be vetoed and/or vacated and further hearings held to allow the FCW and related persons and entities an opportunity to comment on the proposed designation.

This decision appears to be a thinly veiled attempt to depress the property value and limit the owner’s ability to redevelop and/or adaptively reuse portions of the building for other purposes, including, but not limited to, housing, rather than for historical merit.

Property Ownership and Alterations to the Theater:

The Grand Theater was built in 1940 and is located at 2665 Mission Street, Assessor’s Parcel Block No. 3637, Lot No. 023. FCW Corporation was incorporated in 1985, for the sole purpose of holding the Theater for a trust consisting of owners of Young China Daily (“YCD”) which published and distributed a daily Chinese language newspaper in San Francisco for 75 years. The Theater was one of several assets owned by Young China Daily, which wound down operations in the mid-1980s. The Theater was transferred to the YCD Trust¹ and later to FCW Corporation in late 1985.

¹ Young China Daily and four of its minority shareholders, Burk Chung, Kun-Kan Woo, Tien-Shan Wang, and Ta-Chuan Fang, acting as the Representatives of Minority Shareholders, entered into the Trust in 1985. Yu-Ching Chen, a shareholder and Chairman of the Board of Directors of Young China Daily, was a Trustee of Young China Daily. At the time of its formation, approximately 65 people compromised the Minority Shareholders.

Mayor London Breed
David Chiu
Rich Hillis
March 13, 2024
Page 3

The Theater shut down in the late 1980s. In 1990, a building permit was issued to convert the former theater into two retail spaces. At the foyer, a new opening was cut into the former auditorium wall and paired doors in the original openings to the theater aisles were removed. In the former theater auditorium, the floor was raised and flattened, the movie screen and seats were removed, walls were built around the proscenium, and a demising wall was added along the center of the former theater, dividing it into two long, narrow retail spaces. Two decorative 7' x 7' bas reliefs (of Neptune and a ship on the sea) on the north and south walls of the theater space were removed by a tenant subsequent to the 1995 landmark hearing. In 2009, another building permit was issued to remove the interior demising wall to create a single large retail space. At some point between 1990 and 2009, the former theater ticket booth may have been removed as it is not depicted in the 2009 building permit plans.

When the current occupant, Gray Area Foundation for the Arts, took possession of the premises on September 1, 2014, it substantially removed most of the decorative architectural features in the lobby, including the concession stand, the streamline lighting and ceiling over the stand, the original walls and doors behind the stand, the box office, and the projection room. Gray Area also added a new mezzanine of modern architectural design, where none existed before. It built an extensive stage area and side staging areas to accommodate concerts, TED talks and large sound amplification systems, and a "green room.. The Theater was originally constructed as a movie theater, with virtually no stage area. Gray Area also constructed a mid-century modern style bar on the right side of the lobby where none existed, a conference room/office space and a ticket booth at the left side of the lobby, opposite the bar. The building's façade and neon sign have been preserved and/or restored.

The mixing of so many eclectic and inauthentic architectural styles violates the very purpose of historic preservation. At the original hearings in 1995, the Planning Commission cited many better examples of this particular style of architecture elsewhere in San Francisco, and many more illustrious theaters designed by the Grand Theater's architect, including the War Memorial Opera House on Van Ness Avenue.

Required Notice to the Property Owner Was Not Provided:

As noted, required notice was not provided to FCW. The HPC met on November 15, 2023, to consider whether to recommend that the Board determine the Theater to be a landmark. Section 1004.2(a) requires notice of the time, place and purpose of such hearing shall be given by at least one publication in a newspaper of general circulation not less than 20 days prior to the date of hearing, and notice **“shall also be mailed not less than 10 days prior to the date of hearing to the owners of all property included in the proposed designation, using for this purpose the names and addresses of the last known owners as shown on the records of the**

Mayor London Breed
David Chiu
Rich Hillis
March 13, 2024
Page 4

Tax Collector ...” The Resolution No. 1359, adopted by the HPC on November 15, 2023, lists FCW as the property owner and includes its proper address of 150 Gardenside Dr., Apt. 102, San Francisco, CA 94131. However, FCW never received any notice of the HPC meeting as required by Section 1004.2(a) and was denied the opportunity to participate in said hearing.²

The Board met on February 27, 2024, to consider the potential designation. Section 1004.3 provides that “The Board of Supervisors shall hold a public hearing on any proposal so transmitted to it, after due notice to the owners of the property included in the proposal, and such other notice as the Board may deem necessary.” No such notice of that meeting was provided to FCW.³ After passing the Ordinance on first reading, the Board met on March 5, 2024, to finally consider the Ordinance and adopted it after a second reading. Again, no notice was provided to FCW regarding this meeting in violation of Section 1004.3, again denying it the opportunity to comment and object to the designation.

Neither the Ordinance, nor the Staff report for the Board, indicates that notice was provided to the property owner of the two Board meetings where the landmark designation was adopted. The Staff report states that no public comment was received on the proposed designation. Had FCW been notified as required, it would have vigorously participated in the hearings and submitted comments. Instead, it was denied this opportunity and the Board and public were denied the critical input of the entity that has owned the property for decades.

Section 1004.5 requires notice of the designation to the property owner by the Planning Department “promptly” after the Board’s decision. However, FCW has yet to receive notice of the designation but only learned about the decision from a third party after the Board’s March 5, 2024 action.

Such failures to adequately provide notice to FCW constitute an abuse of discretion, a failure to provide a fair hearing and a failure to proceed as required by law.

///

² FCW received notice on January 20, 2024 of the HPC’s November 15, 2023 resolution, i.e., over two months after the fact and not in compliance with Section 1004.2(a).

³ The Board packet included a copy of a Public Hearing Notice to a February 12, 2024 meeting of the Land Use and Transportation Committee meeting (i.e., not a full Board meeting). That notice was sent to FCW on February 9, 2024, however that notice does not provide notice of the full Board meetings at which the designation would be considered. No notice of either the February 27, 2024, or the March 5, 2024 Board meetings was provided to FCW. Moreover, the Board packet does not include evidence of any notice of either of the Board meetings where the Ordinance was actually considered and adopted.

Mayor London Breed
David Chiu
Rich Hillis
March 13, 2024
Page 5

The Board Abused its Discretion In Granting Landmark Designation to the Interior and Exterior of the Theater⁴

As noted above, the Theater was proposed for landmark designation in 1995 and such designation was rejected by both the Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors. Extensive alterations have been made to the interior of the building diminishing its historic integrity below the level that existed in 1995. If anything, the building – especially the interior spaces – are less eligible for designation than they were in 1995. Moreover, the Ordinance is overbroad and attempts to apply the designation to the entire interior of the building for areas that have “historically been accessible to the public” and noting “features” such as the “regular rectangular plan” of the lobby and auditorium, certain plaster decoration at walls and ceilings, metal vents with a sunrise motif, plaster moldings on the walls and ceiling of the auditorium and certain “decorative features” in the former lobby, including curved walls and stairs with metal hand rails. (Ordinance at Section 4(c)(2).)

These fairly mundane and commonplace features hardly rise to the level of landmark status for the entire interior of the building, especially considering the numerous and eclectic alterations. The designation itself is overly broad and restricts the entire building including areas that have lost integrity and were extensively altered. The effort to designate the Theater as a landmark appears to be an attempt to discourage – or prevent – any future renovation or redevelopment of the entire building (as opposed to preservation of the façade) by subjecting any work to the building to go through an arduous and restrictive certificate of appropriateness process.⁵

In summary, the Board’s decision lacks substantial evidence to support the findings that the entire Theater, inside and outside, meets the criteria for landmark designation and as such, is an abuse of discretion.

Conclusion:

The City failed to provide required notice in violation of its Planning Code. In addition, the evidence supporting the Board’s decision to landmark the entire Theater interior and exterior, constitutes an abuse of discretion and lacks evidentiary support. For the reasons stated here, we

⁴ Given that FCW just learned of the designation, it is beyond the scope of this letter to provide a detailed set of reasons in opposition to the substance of the designation, but a non-exhaustive list of shortcomings is provided.

⁵ While there are no current development plans, several former theaters in San Francisco and throughout the Bay Area have been successfully and thoughtfully redeveloped to more viable uses, including, but not limited to, housing.

Mayor London Breed
David Chiu
Rich Hillis
March 13, 2024
Page 6

request that the Mayor disapprove/veto the Ordinance, and/or that the Ordinance be vacated for failure to provide the required notice and as an abuse of discretion.

Sincerely,

FENNEMORE WENDEL



Todd A. Williams

/taw

cc: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco (board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org)
Board of Supervisors
Historic Preservation Committee
Pilar LaValley, Planning Department (pilar.lavalley@sfgov.org)
Amy Chung

Item 9

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Stay In Your Seats And Pay Attention During Public Comment
Date: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 12:24:42 PM

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding general public comment.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisor
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

-----Original Message-----

From: Jordan Davis <jodav1026@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 9:00 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; StefaniStaff (BOS) <stefanistaff@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; PeskinStaff (BOS) <peskinstaff@sfgov.org>; Engardio, Joel (BOS) <joel.engardio@sfgov.org>; EngardioStaff (BOS) <EngardioStaff@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; Dorsey, Matt (BOS) <matt.dorsey@sfgov.org>; DorseyStaff (BOS) <DorseyStaff@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff (BOS) <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary (BOS) <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; RonenStaff (BOS) <ronenstaff@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; SafaiStaff (BOS) <safaistaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: Stay In Your Seats And Pay Attention During Public Comment

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors,

I am sick and tired of Supervisors walking around, fucking around on their phone, or even leaving the chamber during public comment. This is the time to hear from the public and if you aren't practicing active listening, you are showing contempt to the public. I often see people across the spectrum Dean Preston, Myrna Melgar, and Joel Engardio paying attention.

However, I get really offended when Safa'i is walking around acting like he owns the place and shooting the shit with people, and Catherine Stefani disappearing because she is a delicate little flower who can't handle criticism. It's fucking disrespectful to the people who have to show up in person, and I don't care if you piss or shit yourself because you can't go to your luxury bathroom in back, you show respect for the public during this sacred period.

-Jordan

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Support of Gibert Williams to Board of Planning
Date: Tuesday, March 12, 2024 11:59:00 AM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Carolyn Gage regarding File No. 240171, which is Item No. 21 on today's Board of Supervisors meeting agenda.

File No. 240171: Presidential Appointment, Planning Commission - Gilbert Williams

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: carolyn gage <lbenoa644@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, March 11, 2024 9:51 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Support of Gibert Williams to Board of Planning

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is Carolyn Gage and I am a Native San Franciscan. Gilbert and I have advocated for affordable housing, rent control, and sustainability to keep homeowners in their homes. He is a man of faith and drive. D. -Dedication, R- Respect, I- Integrity, V- Values, E- Experience. I support Gilbert Williams for this position. Thank you,
Carolyn Gage

Item 11

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: SFWPC Support for Resolution #240206 - Equal Pay Day
Date: Tuesday, March 12, 2024 12:02:00 PM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from the San Francisco Women's Political Committee regarding File No. 240206, which is Item No. 24 on today's Board of Supervisors meeting agenda.

File No. 240206: Equal Pay Day - March 12, 2024 (Chan, Melgar, Walton, Ronen, Mandelman, Safai, Preston)

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins

Office of the Clerk of the Board

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: San Francisco Women's Political Committee Info <info@sfwpc.org>
Sent: Monday, March 11, 2024 9:05 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: SFWPC Support for Resolution #240206 - Equal Pay Day

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

On behalf of the San Francisco Women's Political Committee (SFWPC), we are writing in strong support of **Resolution #240206**, introduced by Supervisor Chan. This resolution would declare March 12, 2024, Equal Pay Day in our City and raise awareness around pay inequity.

Equal Pay Day marks the day up to which women need to work in order to earn the same amount that men earned in the previous year. It is a bitter reminder that the work of women is often undervalued, even though our economy relies precisely on the labor, paid and unpaid, of women. The latest data indicates that women, on average, earn 84 cents for every dollar men earn. The gap is even wider for women of color and LGBTQIA+ individuals, illustrating the intersectionality of discrimination.

Designating March 12, 2024, as Equal Pay Day will provide a platform to bring attention to these disparities and emphasize the urgency of addressing them.

By officially recognizing Equal Pay Day, our city sends a clear message that we stand for gender equity, as well as social and racial justice. We urge each member of the Board of Supervisors to vote in favor of Resolution #240206 and reaffirm our dedication to these principles.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,
SFWPC Board of Directors

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Why don't our ballots show supporters and opponents of local ballot measures?
Date: Tuesday, March 12, 2024 3:59:00 PM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Nancy Arbuckle regarding File No. 230663, Ordinance No. 178-23.

File No. 230663, Ordinance No. 178-23: Municipal Elections Code - Supporters and Opponents in Ballot Questions for Local Measures (Mayor)

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Nancy Arbuckle <crockerbuckle@mindspring.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 7, 2024 5:22 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Why don't our ballots show supporters and opponents of local ballot measures?

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

As a Clean Money supporter and a San Francisco resident, I'm very pleased our ballots for this week's election show a short list of supporters and opponents from the ballot arguments for state Proposition 1. Finally!

However, I'm very disappointed the Board of Supervisors voted to opt out of the same transparency for local ballot measures in the primary. Local ballot measures can have a huge impact on our lives, and the devil is often in the details. Most voters don't have the expertise to analyze those details. That's why it's so important for voters to know the position of informed local organizations and leaders especially when voters are too busy with work and family to comb through lengthy voter guides.

It's even more important for voters to know which trusted local organizations and leaders support and oppose local ballot measures because local measures almost always get less press and attention than statewide measures. Also, it's confusing and upsetting that local measures in the ballot don't show this crucial information when statewide measures do.

So thank you for amending the original draft regulation last year that would have permanently opted out of AB 1416 for local ballot measures. Please help lead to show supporters and opponents on the upcoming November ballot and future ballots, as counties representing a majority of Californians do. We deserve the same transparency they have!

Sincerely,

Nancy Arbuckle

crockerbuckle@mindspring.com

2111 Hyde Street, Apt 306

San Francisco, California 94109

Item 13

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)
Subject: FW: Requesting Hearing For 487 Power Outages On Treasure Island, With 7 In 2024 Alone!
Date: Tuesday, March 12, 2024 4:11:00 PM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Barklee Sanders regarding power outages on Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, March 8, 2024 5:35 PM
To: Barklee Sanders <barkleesanders@gmail.com>
Cc: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: Requesting Hearing For 487 Power Outages On Treasure Island, With 7 In 2024 Alone!

Thank you for your comment letter.

By copy of this message to the board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org email address, your comments will be forwarded to the full membership of the Board of Supervisors for their consideration.

John Carroll

From: Barklee Sanders <barkleesanders@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 3, 2024 2:22 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>
Subject: Requesting Hearing For 487 Power Outages On Treasure Island, With 7 In 2024 Alone!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please use <https://cal.com/barkleesanders> to schedule a meeting with me ASAP!

Please go to <https://www.treasureislandsfpoweroutages.com/> for full context and our SFPUC CAC Resolution!

Public Comment on the Chronic Power Outages on Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island

I stand before you today as a representative of the residents of Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island, voicing a critical concern that has plagued our communities for over two decades. Our islands have endured an average of 18 power outages annually since 1997, a rate three times higher than the rest of San Francisco. This stark disparity highlights not just an inconvenience, but a systemic failure affecting predominantly low-income households, constituting a violation of basic human rights and equity.

These outages are not mere interruptions; they are exacerbating the socioeconomic challenges our residents face, impacting health, safety, and economic stability. Despite the clear mandate and the allocation of substantial funds, the Treasure Island Development Authority (TIDA) and the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) have not undertaken adequate measures to upgrade our aging infrastructure or to ensure reliable electric service, as stipulated under the city's public power codes.

We are calling for:

An Emergency Declaration By SFPUC & TIDA & Mayor & Board OF Supervisors NOW!

Immediate action from TIDA and SFPUC for a comprehensive inspection and upgrades of our electrical distribution system to meet utility industry standards.

Accountability for the allocated \$15M funds intended for reliable power supply, including a detailed accounting and transparent action plans.

Advocacy for equitable treatment and infrastructure improvements, ensuring our communities receive the same level of service as the rest of San Francisco.

The residents of Treasure Island and Yerba Buena Island are in urgent need of your support to champion our rights for equitable, safe, and reliable essential services. We believe your intervention can catalyze the necessary actions to address this long-standing issue.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. We are prepared to provide further information and look forward to the possibility of collaborative efforts to resolve these critical infrastructure challenges.

Barklee Sanders

Technology Consultant

More about me: barkleesanders.com

Schedule a meeting: <https://cal.com/barkleesanders>

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)
Subject: FW: "Shackles of negativity"
Date: Tuesday, March 12, 2024 4:13:00 PM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Eli Harrison regarding Mayor London Breed's 2024 State of the City address.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Eli Harrison <ehco6823@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 10, 2024 11:20 PM
To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: "Shackles of negativity"

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Ms. Breed and SF Supervisors,

I must state my strongest possible objection to remarks in London Breed's State of the City address:

"I'm tired of the negativity. I want to say something to those inside San Francisco and out, who traffick in negativity. ...

"San Francisco is not wearing the shackles of your negativity any longer!"

What Ms. Breed calls "negativity" is the harsh reality of her neglect and disdain for the city she claims to represent.

- Half the time I go into my neighborhood CVS, it is getting ransacked.
- Grocery stores have entire aisles under lock and key. Some stores make customers scan their receipt to exit.
- Several of us on my block have recently had our windshields broken.

- My neighbor's car was stolen from his driveway.
- Business owners who speak out about the true state of the city, such as Chino Yang at Kung Food, get harassed by NGOs acting like mobsters on behalf of the mayor.
- Major retailers are abandoning downtown in droves. I no longer have any reason to take Muni to the city center, and many of the neighborhood businesses I enjoyed along the transit route have shuttered and left, too.

If stating these truths are "trafficking in negativity," that can only mean Ms. Breed desires the complete and utter ruin of the city.

Does she still live here? Did she ever live here?

Furthermore, by referring to this "negativity" as "shackles" and "trafficking", Ms. Breed implies that those who speak the truth about the condition of the city are the literal reincarnation of 19th-century slavery. She has repeatedly weaponized racial identity politics as the cornerstone of her neo-communist agenda for the city, and she used this phrase twice. It was deliberate, it was desperate, and it was despicable.

I have no confidence in London Breed. I would like the Board of Supervisors to cast a vote of no-confidence in her leadership and, to whatever possible extent, neutralize her executive authority before she can further damage what's left of this one-great city.

Eli Harrison
D4

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)
Subject: FW: The dangers of artificial turf
Date: Tuesday, March 12, 2024 4:19:00 PM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from David Romano regarding artificial turf in Golden Gate Park.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: David Romano <droma4@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 12, 2024 11:46 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary (BOS) <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>
Cc: SFUN - San Franciscans for Urban Nature <sfun---san-franciscans-for-urban-nature@googlegroups.com>; Michael Durand <editor@richmondsunsetnews.com>; Douglas L Comstock <dougcomz@mac.com>; dani.zacky@sierraclub.org
Subject: The dangers of artificial turf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors:

You can see it in the San Francisco Chronicle every Sunday; *Earthweek: Diary of a Changing World*, by Steve Newman

"A new study (by University of Rhode Island scientists) finds the microplastic pollution that now permeates the planet can travel to the brain and cause behavioral changes." - Week ending Friday, September 22, 2023

"A new study by the Ocean Conservancy and the University of Toronto found microplastics now contaminate more than a dozen kinds of protein consumed by humans, including beef, breaded shrimp and even tofu." - Week ending Friday, February 2, 2024

"Studies have found that microplastic pollution is accumulating not only in our arteries, but also in all 62 human placentas examined.
... the particles have also recently been found in human blood and breast milk, which indicates we are being exposed to the pollution on a massive scale, as are other creatures. 'If we're seeing effects on placentas, then all mammalian life on this planet could be impacted,'" said lead researcher Matthew Campen of the University of New Mexico." - Week ending Friday, March 1, 2024

Artificial turf should never have been used in Golden Gate Park or San Francisco playing fields. It is toxic, pollutes the environment and poses a danger to all San Franciscans. The plastic grass is continually shedding micro-plastics and can't be recycled or disposed of in a landfill. Phil Ginsburg, the head of the SFRPD, and Mark Buell, former President of the San Francisco Recreation and Parks Department, are directly responsible for the presence of artificial turf in our parks. To this day, artificial turf and rubberized surfaces are being installed in playing fields and playgrounds. Micro-plastic pollution is a threat to all life on the planet. (see "Microplastics in Clouds Could Be 'Contaminating Nearly Everything We Eat and Drink': Study" By Brett Wilkins [commondreams.org](https://www.commondreams.org) Sept 29. 2023)

To quote from the Petition (by the Sierra Club) requesting the City reject the Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the renovation of the Beach Chalet Soccer Fields:

"4. The artificial turf the City has elected to use consists of plastic blades of grass interspersed with infill material that cushions the turf. Unfortunately, the City has elected to use the most toxic type of artificial turf infill material – styrene butadiene crumb rubber (“SBR”), despite the fact that several non-toxic alternatives are available and are in use in places including Los Angeles, California; New York, New York; Salt Lake City, Utah; San Carlos, California; Piedmont, California; and dozens of other communities."

"Scientists have shown that both the grass-like blades and the backing of artificial turf contain PFAS, highly toxic fluorinated chemicals. PFAS are known as “forever chemicals” since they accumulate in the body and do not break down. They have been linked to endocrine disruption and cancer. Children are especially vulnerable to harm from PFAS because of their developing bodies and the chemicals’ persistence in the body. Most people think manufacturers must prove that chemicals are safe before they put them on the market. They are wrong. Weak and outdated federal law presumes that most chemicals are safe until proven toxic." -

Real Grass for Healthy Kids! greenwichfreepress.com March 12, 2021

Please stop Phil Ginsburg from poisoning our world.

Thank you for your consideration of the above.

David Romano
San Francisco CA

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)
Subject: FW: Amazon Project
Date: Tuesday, March 12, 2024 4:23:00 PM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Jenny Liu regarding a proposed Amazon delivery center on 7th Street.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Jenny Liu <jennyliuu@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 12, 2024 3:14 PM
To: CPC.900-7thStreet <CPC.900-7thStreet@sfgov.org>
Cc: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Amazon Project

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Planning Commissioners:

I am a nearby resident of the former Recology site on 7th Street where Amazon is proposing to build a major shipping depot. I am concerned about the impacts of this project on my health and safety, and on our neighborhood. Especially pollution, noise, safety, and my property value. Please vote NO on the authorization of this project.

Jenny Liu

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#) on behalf of [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)
Subject: 4 Letters regarding Amazon
Date: Thursday, March 14, 2024 2:42:00 PM
Attachments: [4 Letters regarding Amazon.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached for 4 letters regarding a proposed Amazon delivery center on 7th Street.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [Gautam Mulchandani](#)
To: [CPC.900-7thStreet](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Amazon Facility AT 900 7TH
Date: Thursday, March 14, 2024 1:08:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Planning Commissioners:

I am a nearby resident of 888 7th Street, right across from the old Recology site. This is where Amazon chooses to build a major shipping depot. I am concerned about the impact on my health and the community's safety. Please vote no on this project. This will increase pollution, noise, and traffic and reduce property values.

Sincerely,
Gautam Mulchandani

--

Gautam Mulchandani
gemsofclarity.com
Intuitive readings | Reiki
415.699.4263

From: [Eloise Duvillier](#)
To: [CPC.900-7thStreet](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: No to Amazon Shipping Depot!
Date: Thursday, March 14, 2024 10:07:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Planning Commissioners,

I am an owner of a condo at 888 7th Street near the former Rcology site on 7th Street where Amazon is proposing to build a major shipping depot. I'm very concerned about this project's impact on not only the health and safety of residents but also the quality of the neighborhood. The proposed shipping depot is **NOT** at all aligned with the vision for the Design district and does not belong in a neighborhood that is home to families, children, seniors and thriving small businesses.

As you know, there will be over 20 freight trucks, 175 delivery vans and 395 worker cars every day at this site which will have a huge impact on pedestrian safety, noise, property values, and pollution. Amazon has demonstrated time and again that they do not add value to the neighborhoods they operate in. For example, Seattle neighborhoods within two miles of Amazon's "last mile" facilities were exposed to twice as much traffic from trucks and other delivery vehicles than other communities as well as elevated levels of pollution.

The City's plans for the neighborhood promises a vibrant community for residents and small businesses that "supports walking and sustains a diverse, active and safe public realm." Please do not fail us in delivering this vision and supporting the health and safety of our residents. Please vote **NO** on the authorization of this project.

Sincerely,
Eloise Duvillier

From: [Paul Cruz](#)
To: [CPC.900-7thStreet](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Father of 2 and 7 year old boys- Kenji & Valen. Health and Safety concerns with 24hr Amazon industrial facility.
Date: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 12:34:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi Design District Planning Commissioners:

My name is Paul Cruz and I moved to the Design District over 15+ years ago in a tiny one-bedroom BMR condo. Since that time, I've grown a family with two wonderful growing boys. Ages 2 (Kenji) and 7 (Valen). Valen currently is in 1st grade at Daniel Webster and recently was placed in the 96th percentile for his reading comprehension through a standardized test. Kenji attends Felton Institute because he has severe speech delay and missed his development milestones.

Our lives and memories all developed around this area. Valen learned how to ride his bike by going up and down the sidewalk on King St and along the Embarcadero. One of Kenji's first words was choo-choo train because right across 7th street is the Caltrain station. Economically we are a working class family -two working parents trying to create a family community in San Francisco. Many of our neighbors have similar stories with their kids and elders living in small, city spaces and trying to make a life here. I remember Townsend St being full of abandoned cars, dimly lit, and not much of a sidewalk. Now it's bustling with a safe, lit path, an amazing bike lane, and parking that's designed to minimize accidents. I started running in the morning along Townsend because it is pedestrian friendly.

I have seen Amazon's plans for their industrial processing facility proposed at the former Recology 7th street site and I am very concerned that this swings the pendulum back to an industrial, less pedestrian friendly neighborhood. I'm especially concerned with the safety of my 2 boys with freight trucks, delivery vans and endless workers driving to the Amazon facility operating non-stop 24hrs a day. Not only the safety, but pollution is a deep concern from all those vehicles and noise throughout the night. Recology (before they closed) and the Caltrain did instill curfew hours as part of their social responsibility. From news reports of how Amazon treats its workers poorly, to the amount of pollution that's created through their high product returns, I am not confident Amazon will self regulate it's social responsibility to the community or environment.

I urge you to walk around King st, 7th st and Division st. Witness the amount of cars backed up in the morning and afternoon along 7th street as it is a single lane 2 direction street. Imagine adding non-stop freight trucks and delivery vans to an already congested area. It wasn't many years ago, when SF prided itself on supporting mom & pop business and help fight against the Walmart companies and monopolies that would out compete the small neighborhood businesses. I would argue that Amazon now is larger than Walmart in many instances and Amazon has used its power to force its will.

Amazon has resources to build anywhere in the world. For all the families, children, and seniors that live in this neighborhood we don't have those resources to just get up and move.

We spent much of our lives here establishing a liveable community and creating memories as our children grow. But it will make life difficult for everyone in this community, when we have to deal with more pollution, non-stop noise 24hrs a day (right across the street) and most importantly the danger of continual freight trucks, delivery vans and vehicles who are pressured to make timely deliveries. As father of two young boys and 15+ yr resident, I deeply and respectfully urge you to not allow this project to follow through and vote no on authorizing this project. Over the years many steps made this area a liveable family community however allowing a 24hr industrial processing facility to operate would go against that trend and hamper a community that we want to thrive.

Thank you for your time and ear.

Paul, Jessica, Valen & Kenji

From: [Jeff R](#)
To: [CPC.900-7thStreet](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please vote NO on Amazon Warehouse on 7th Street
Date: Tuesday, March 12, 2024 4:35:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Planning Commissioners -

I've owned a home at 888 7th Street since 2008. Our building (224 units) is across Berry Street from the former Recology site on 7th Street where Amazon is proposing to build a major shipping depot. I am concerned about this project for many reasons, especially the potential negative impacts on my health and safety as well as on our neighborhood. Amazon is a notoriously bad neighbor.

I'm writing to encourage you to please vote NO on the authorization of this project.

I've witnessed many positive changes in the development of our neighborhood since 2008, and would like to see the former Recology site also developed in a way that further enhances the livability of the neighborhood.

Please consider the following when making your decision:

Pollution: 20 freight trucks, 175 delivery vans, and 395 worker cars (x 3 shifts) every day

Noise: the facility will operate 24 hours a day across the street from homes occupied by families, seniors, children, and students

Safety: the amount of traffic will make the area unsafe for pedestrians, bicyclists, seniors, and children

Property Values: who wants to buy a home next to a giant warehouse operating 24 hours a day?

Parking: a parking lot for 395 cars makes a mockery of the City's policy for encouraging transit, bicycles, and walking; the City's plans for the neighborhood promise a vibrant community for residents and small businesses that "supports walking and sustains a diverse, active and safe public realm."

Please, please, please do not allow this monstrosity to be built.

Thank you - Jeff Raynor
888 7th Street, #26

Item 17

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)
Subject: FW: Lack of parking for Civic Center, San Francisco, farmers market
Date: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 11:44:00 AM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Madison Kane regarding parking options near the Heart of the City Farmers' Market.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

-----Original Message-----

From: sky Nevada <skyovernevada@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 10:29 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Lack of parking for Civic Center, San Francisco, farmers market

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As of today, the meter maids are out prohibiting people parking on the outskirts of farmers market, where we normally park for about 15 minutes to go shop. That leaves only a gigantic cavernous difficult to park in lot where you have to then go find someone to validate your ticket.

Why is the meter maids have decided to punish the residents who are only trying to bring revenue to the farmers is insidious.

I am a 35 year. Resident of San Francisco and I am a small business owner from what you guys did this morning to make a couple extra dollars or whatever you're trying to do Was unable to help out the farmers and instead went to a commercial grocery store.

This is beyond upsetting, and it goes beyond this particular issue. It means it as a small business owner. I prefer to work outside of the city of San Francisco because I can never park easily to service my clients without having to move my car every two hours

I've been here for 35 years and the parking has never been this difficult I would welcome, your board of supervisors addressing this and of course I will address it at the ballot box come November.

Thank you for hearing me out and if you ever need any citizen testimony, I'm happy to provide that

Madison Kane

Owner

Results at last

415-351-8777

Every year this city becomes less livable and less viable and what happened this morning was heartbreaking Sent from my iPhone

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)
Subject: FW: Policy through eviction
Date: Thursday, March 14, 2024 10:58:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Paul Shkuratov regarding U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) policies.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 9:21 AM
To: paul shkuratov <paulshkuratov41@gmail.com>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: Policy through eviction

Thank you for your comment letter.

Please note that I am not a member of the Board of Supervisors, but rather the Clerk for the Land Use and Transportation committee.

By copy of this message to the board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org email address, your comments will be forwarded to the full membership of the Board of Supervisors for their information and consideration.

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: paul shkuratov <paulshkuratov41@gmail.com>

Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 8:25 AM

To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>

Subject: Policy through eviction

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Carroll,

My wife and I live in HUD somewhere in San Francisco. We always pay our rent on time and we will continue to do so. There is another person who lives with us and who hasn't paid his rent since October. The manager treats the entire household with eviction just for one person. Why should the entire household suffer for one person? My wife and I are disabled and we have nowhere to go. This policy is wrong and they have to change everywhere in each HUD. If one person over 18 years old doesn't pay his/her rent on time or doesn't pay at all, the managers of each HUD cannot evict the entire household for one person and especially if you're disabled and have nowhere to go. So, please contact local and Federal HUDS and ask them to change this. Thank you.

Sincerely,

Paul shkuratov

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)
Subject: FW: Recology Has Failed SF -- Please Demand They Reinstate Their Services
Date: Thursday, March 14, 2024 11:04:00 AM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Richard Kay regarding Recology services.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Richard Kay <rkinsf@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 10:39 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Recology Has Failed SF -- Please Demand They Reinstate Their Services

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors:

Now that Recology is facing legal issues as noted in the SF Chronicle*, it is a good time to revisit much-needed services that Recology has stopped providing to SF rate payers:

--Recology used to provide a free neighborhood bulky items pick-up once a year all residents in our neighborhood (and I'm guessing throughout the City). They stopped doing this without notice years ago.

—Recology also used to host neighborhood drop-off points throughout the City, where residents could dispose of e-waste and other bulky items for free. They stopped providing this service without notice.

— While Recology does provide a free bulky item pick-up, it's unrealistic to use that resource for one TV or carpet, etc. These items end up on our sidewalks and are left to SF 311 services to pick up. The only real option Recology now provides is to drive to Recology's Tunnel drive HQ to dispose of this waste. This option is really

unacceptable.

I am asking the Board to demand that Recology reinstate the neighborhood bulky item drop-off points throughout the City, This was a once-a-year opportunity to get rid of items like TV's, carpets, etc. and recycle responsibly. Recology's current system has essentially transferred this service to the City as these items are dumped along sidewalks and picked up after neighbors call 311. This costs SF taxpayers, while Recology raises rates and cuts back on services.

We now know how Recology management has failed the residents of San Francisco. It might also be a good time for The City to look to other providers who can service SF responsibly. Now is the time to demand that Recology reinstate services that they stopped providing to the City.

Thank you.

Richard Kay
962 Page Street, SF
415-341-3019



[S.F. customers can seek further refunds from Recology. California Supreme Court rules](#)
sfchronicle.com

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#) on behalf of [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [BOS Legislation. \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 2 Letters regarding File No. 230313
Date: Thursday, March 14, 2024 1:26:00 PM
Attachments: [2 Letters regarding File No. 230313.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached for 2 letters regarding File No. 230313.

File No. 230313: Appropriation - General Reserve - Human Rights Commission - \$50,000,000 - FY2022-2023 (Walton, Preston, Ronen)

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [Teri McGinnis](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fund the Office of Reparations
Date: Tuesday, March 12, 2024 5:55:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

In February 2020, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors acknowledged the City's need to formally document the historical harms endured by San Francisco's Black communities by voting unanimously to form the San Francisco Reparations Advisory Committee (AARAC). In addition to enumerating these harms, the AARAC was tasked with proposing solutions. After two years of research, community engagement and public meetings, the AARAC submitted the final version of the San Francisco Reparations Plan to the SF Human Rights Commission, Mayor London Breed and the SF Board of Supervisors in July 2023. In September 2023, the Reparations Plan was unanimously approved by the Board of Supervisors.

A core component of the Reparations Plan is the creation of an Office of Reparations to carry out the recommendations. The Office of Reparations was funded last year for four million dollars, but that money has since been cut completely from the city's budget

The Office of Reparations needs to be fully funded on an ongoing basis in order to carry out the approved Reparations Plan. It is an essential step towards addressing the effects of decades of racism in San Francisco. Please restore funding for the Office of Reparations in the city of San Francisco's budget.

Teri McGinnis
teri.mcginnis.2010@gmail.com
853 Moultrie Street
San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Tatiana Tilley](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fund the Office of Reparations
Date: Tuesday, March 12, 2024 5:53:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Board of Supervisors Public Comment,

In February 2020, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors acknowledged the City's need to formally document the historical harms endured by San Francisco's Black communities by voting unanimously to form the San Francisco Reparations Advisory Committee (AARAC). In addition to enumerating these harms, the AARAC was tasked with proposing solutions. After two years of research, community engagement and public meetings, the AARAC submitted the final version of the San Francisco Reparations Plan to the SF Human Rights Commission, Mayor London Breed and the SF Board of Supervisors in July 2023. In September 2023, the Reparations Plan was unanimously approved by the Board of Supervisors.

A core component of the Reparations Plan is the creation of an Office of Reparations to carry out the recommendations. The Office of Reparations was funded last year for four million dollars, but that money has since been cut completely from the city's budget

The Office of Reparations needs to be fully funded on an ongoing basis in order to carry out the approved Reparations Plan. It is an essential step towards addressing the effects of decades of racism in San Francisco. Please restore funding for the Office of Reparations in the city of San Francisco's budget.

Tatiana Tilley
tatiana_tilley@yahoo.com
853 Moultrie St
San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#) on behalf of [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [BOS Legislation. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 4 Letters regarding File No. 231016
Date: Thursday, March 14, 2024 1:33:00 PM
Attachments: [4 Letters regarding File No. 231016.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached for 4 letters regarding File No. 231016.

File No. 231016, Resolution No. 481-23: Urging the MTA to Prohibit Right Turns on Red (Preston)

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [Alexandra Kenin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please approve a citywide No Turn On Red policy to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable for people to cross the street...
Date: Thursday, March 14, 2024 12:56:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

No more preventable deaths! Make headway toward vision zero!

I am writing to urge you to support and approve a citywide No Turn On Red to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco as well as make streets safer and more predictable for car drivers. No Turn On Red has been proven to increase safety — especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities — including where it's been implemented in San Francisco (e.g. the 50 intersections in the Tenderloin). Now is the time to expand No Turn On Red citywide, so drivers know this unsafe behavior is no longer permitted throughout the city while people can feel safe crossing the street with easier and greater access.

Our city faces a roadway safety crisis and a climate crisis, both of which require making it safer to get around without a car and encouraging people to shift trips from cars to public transportation and active transportation (e.g. bikes, scooters, skateboards, mobility devices, etc.). Implementing No Turn On Red citywide will increase roadway safety (decrease roadway injuries) and help more people shift trips to walking, public transportation, and active transportation, making our city safer for people, especially people who are disproportionately negatively impacted by our roadway safety crisis and car-dominated transportation system (children, seniors, people living with disabilities, BIPOC). We need your leadership to make this street safety improvement now.

I urge you to support and approve No Turn On Red citywide to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco. Please do everything in your power to ensure No Turn On Red is implemented citywide as soon as possible.

For those of you in state-level office, please work on legislation to allow SFMTA to implement No Turn On Red without installing signs at every intersection — which would enable the City to implement No Turn On Red citywide faster at a significantly lower cost and using significantly less staff time — and legislation to implement No Turn On Red statewide.

Thank you,

Alexandra Kenin
akenin@gmail.com
3670 18th Street SF CA

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Henry Crowell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please approve a citywide No Turn On Red policy to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable for people to cross the street...
Date: Thursday, March 14, 2024 11:12:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve a citywide No Turn On Red to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco as well as make streets safer and more predictable for car drivers. No Turn On Red has been proven to increase safety — especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities — including where it's been implemented in San Francisco (e.g. the 50 intersections in the Tenderloin). Now is the time to expand No Turn On Red citywide, so drivers know this unsafe behavior is no longer permitted throughout the city while people can feel safe crossing the street with easier and greater access.

Our city faces a roadway safety crisis and a climate crisis, both of which require making it safer to get around without a car and encouraging people to shift trips from cars to public transportation and active transportation (e.g. bikes, scooters, skateboards, mobility devices, etc.). Implementing No Turn On Red citywide will increase roadway safety (decrease roadway injuries) and help more people shift trips to walking, public transportation, and active transportation, making our city safer for people, especially people who are disproportionately negatively impacted by our roadway safety crisis and car-dominated transportation system (children, seniors, people living with disabilities, BIPOC). We need your leadership to make this street safety improvement now.

I urge you to support and approve No Turn On Red citywide to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco. Please do everything in your power to ensure No Turn On Red is implemented citywide as soon as possible.

For those of you in state-level office, please work on legislation to allow SFMTA to implement No Turn On Red without installing signs at every intersection — which would enable the City to implement No Turn On Red citywide faster at a significantly lower cost and using significantly less staff time — and legislation to implement No Turn On Red statewide.

Thank you,

Henry Crowell
henrycrowell5@gmail.com
38 Farallones Street
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Daniel Filipkowski](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please approve a citywide No Turn On Red policy to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable for people to cross the street...
Date: Thursday, March 14, 2024 10:48:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve a citywide No Turn On Red to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco as well as make streets safer and more predictable for car drivers. No Turn On Red has been proven to increase safety — especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities — including where it's been implemented in San Francisco (e.g. the 50 intersections in the Tenderloin). Now is the time to expand No Turn On Red citywide, so drivers know this unsafe behavior is no longer permitted throughout the city while people can feel safe crossing the street with easier and greater access.

Our city faces a roadway safety crisis and a climate crisis, both of which require making it safer to get around without a car and encouraging people to shift trips from cars to public transportation and active transportation (e.g. bikes, scooters, skateboards, mobility devices, etc.). Implementing No Turn On Red citywide will increase roadway safety (decrease roadway injuries) and help more people shift trips to walking, public transportation, and active transportation, making our city safer for people, especially people who are disproportionately negatively impacted by our roadway safety crisis and car-dominated transportation system (children, seniors, people living with disabilities, BIPOC). We need your leadership to make this street safety improvement now.

I urge you to support and approve No Turn On Red citywide to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco. Please do everything in your power to ensure No Turn On Red is implemented citywide as soon as possible.

For those of you in state-level office, please work on legislation to allow SFMTA to implement No Turn On Red without installing signs at every intersection — which would enable the City to implement No Turn On Red citywide faster at a significantly lower cost and using significantly less staff time — and legislation to implement No Turn On Red statewide.

Thank you,

Daniel Filipkowski
avantdan@gmail.com
199 New Montgomery St, Unit 709
San Francisco, California 94105

From: [Nick Rucinski](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please approve a citywide No Turn On Red policy to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable for people to cross the street...
Date: Thursday, March 14, 2024 10:45:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to support and approve a citywide No Turn On Red to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco as well as make streets safer and more predictable for car drivers. No Turn On Red has been proven to increase safety — especially for children, seniors, and people living with disabilities — including where it's been implemented in San Francisco (e.g. the 50 intersections in the Tenderloin). Now is the time to expand No Turn On Red citywide, so drivers know this unsafe behavior is no longer permitted throughout the city while people can feel safe crossing the street with easier and greater access.

Our city faces a roadway safety crisis and a climate crisis, both of which require making it safer to get around without a car and encouraging people to shift trips from cars to public transportation and active transportation (e.g. bikes, scooters, skateboards, mobility devices, etc.). Implementing No Turn On Red citywide will increase roadway safety (decrease roadway injuries) and help more people shift trips to walking, public transportation, and active transportation, making our city safer for people, especially people who are disproportionately negatively impacted by our roadway safety crisis and car-dominated transportation system (children, seniors, people living with disabilities, BIPOC). We need your leadership to make this street safety improvement now.

I urge you to support and approve No Turn On Red citywide to make it safer, easier, and more comfortable to cross the street in San Francisco. Please do everything in your power to ensure No Turn On Red is implemented citywide as soon as possible.

For those of you in state-level office, please work on legislation to allow SFMTA to implement No Turn On Red without installing signs at every intersection — which would enable the City to implement No Turn On Red citywide faster at a significantly lower cost and using significantly less staff time — and legislation to implement No Turn On Red statewide.

Thank you,

Nick Rucinski
rucinski.n.n@gmail.com
1352a Stevenson St
San Francisco, California 94103

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Please re-consider file no. 240162
Date: Thursday, March 14, 2024 1:48:00 PM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Karany Nhim, regarding File No. 240162.

File No. 240162: Hearing - Appeal of Conditional Use Authorization Approval - 2351 Mission Street

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: karany nhim <karany.nhim@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, March 12, 2024 7:13 PM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please re-consider file no. 240162

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board,

I am writing in objection to this appeal [file no. 240162](#) submitted by Down With This Sort of Thing LLC, dba Casements, requesting an amendment to the 10 pm closure of their outdoor patio space.

As one of five long standing Mission residents who attended a recent hearing to vocalize support for the Planning Department's Conditional Use Authorization for Casements to move their outdoor activities indoors at 10:00 pm, I urge you to please consider the community's interests.

While I understand the challenges faced by small businesses like Casements and fully support effective ideas to help them survive, there are compelling reasons to maintain the 10 pm closure condition. Here are some key points addressing the claims made in their appeal:

1. **Presence of Planning Commissioners:** The absence of some Planning Commissioners during the hearing should not invalidate the decision, as it was transparent and reached with input from both city and affected community members.
2. **Clarity on Requests:** Casements asserted that dissenting voices were unclear on their request.

As one of those voices, I fully understood their one-sided request to extend patio operating hours in a residential neighborhood to 11:00 pm on weekdays and midnight on weekends. Even though amplified sound / live music may end at 10:00 pm, the noise of increasingly intoxicated people past 10:00 pm presents an even more obnoxious noise disturbance than music. It seems, based on their appeal, that they were not clear on our concerns which ranged from excessive noise past 10:00 pm which continues to invite disruptive people to gather near the perimeter of their patio leaving piles of broken bottles, pools of urine and other trash that eventually spread to Capp Street and beyond.

3. **Operational History:** While Casements highlights their incident-free operation, it does not take into consideration the repeated complaints from us to non-emergency police and 311 about after-hours noise, public drinking, dumping and drug use that have noticeably become worse since Casements opened their open air patio at the corner of the California Parking Lot during the 2020 pandemic. We have also made repeated attempts to contact bar management both in person and over the phone only to be turned away, ignored and undermined. These should be acknowledged as incidents even though authorities may not have been involved.
4. **Opportunity to respond:** Casements stated that they were not given the opportunity to respond to our dissenting voices. Unfortunately, the process does not allow for back-and-forth arguments. Consequently, we only had two short minutes to voice our support for the condition. In contrast, they took full advantage of a complex government approval process to establish a permanent outdoor live music patio without properly contacting any of us neighbors before it was too late.
5. **Community Support:** Upholding the 10 pm closure aligns with supporting small businesses and fostering community spaces while respecting residents' needs—a fair compromise.
6. **Financial Benefit vs. Community Impact:** While Casements asserts a significant financial benefit from extending their hours, they cannot completely disregard the negative impact that their outdoor patio has already had on our community as outlined in point #3 in addition to the negative effects on our health and working lives from lack of sound sleep.
7. **Economic Impact on Staff:** The appeal highlights potential job losses and reduced hours for staff if the 10 pm patio closure is maintained. While sympathetic to the economic challenges, you also must consider the negative economic impact on our property value because of the noise and filth associated with an establishment like Casements who merely pretends to care about the community around them – hence the appeal.
8. **Sales Percentage:** The appeal provides detailed sales percentages to support the request for extended hours. Once again, the financial gains for Casements should be weighed against the potential negative impact of noise pollution on our property values which will be even more significant in a great city like San Francisco.
9. **Community Gathering Space:** Casements emphasizes its role as a community gathering space, particularly during the pandemic. This is great. We just want them to move the party indoors at 10:00 pm so that we can enjoy our evenings too, especially on weekends.
10. **Community Diversity:** Casements identifies itself as a queer, immigrant, and female-owned business, which has nothing to do with the issue. We are all part of this proud community and only wish them well. We just want them to move the party indoors at 10:00 pm like all other comparable businesses around them who truly respect their neighbors.

In conclusion, I urge the Board of Supervisors to prioritize the community's well-being. The potential financial benefits to Casements must be balanced against residents' need for peace and quality of life.

Thank you for your consideration of this matter.

Karany Nhim
438 Capp St., San Francisco, Ca 94110

415-235-8681 | karany.nhim@gmail.com

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#) on behalf of [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation. \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 2 Letters regarding File No. 240194
Date: Thursday, March 14, 2024 2:11:00 PM
Attachments: [2 Letters regarding File No. 240194.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached for 2 letters regarding File No. 240194.

File No. 240194: Planning Code - Landmark Designation - Sacred Heart Parish Complex (Preston)

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [William Walker](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: File 231045 Sacred Heart Church | SFBOS Item 15 March 12, 2024
Date: Tuesday, March 12, 2024 5:18:45 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you.

I've added your comment letter to the file for this ordinance.

John Carroll

From: William Walker <wiyum@wiyum.org>

Sent: Monday, March 11, 2024 5:50 PM

To: Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; PeskinStaff (BOS) <peskinstaff@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; SafaiStaff (BOS) <safaistaff@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; DorseyStaff (BOS) <DorseyStaff@sfgov.org>; EngardioStaff (BOS) <EngardioStaff@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff (BOS) <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; RonenStaff (BOS) <ronenstaff@sfgov.org>; StefaniStaff (BOS) <stefanistaff@sfgov.org>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>

Subject: Re: File 231045 Sacred Heart Church | SFBOS Item 15 March 12, 2024

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable Members of the Board of Supervisors:

Re: File 231045 Sacred Heart Church

To: Hon. Board of Supervisors:

I support the recommendation to the Board of Supervisors from the Historic Preservation Commission, and the Land Use and Transportation Committee *that Sacred Heart Church (554 Fillmore), Rectory (546-548 Fillmore), Convent (660 Oak), School Building (735 Fell) be historically preserved and protected*, and I respectfully urge the full Board of Supervisors to recommend doing the same.

Please ensure that Landmark Status includes protection for:

1. The interior of the church - especially the Achille Disi ceiling fresco. Disi was an artist who worked on the Vatican and for Eugénie de Montijo, the wife of Emperor Napoleon III. Also please protect the stained glass windows, the bells, and other painted decorations.
2. The connector bridge between the church and rectory.

Please also provide stronger protection than the current "preserved or replaced in kind" wording. The current language allows original architectural features to be removed if they are "replaced in kind." "In kind" is terminology derived from the Secretary of the Interior's Standards on preservation. What remains left of the original features should remain there as part of the landmark building.



Sacred Heart Church is one of few remaining institutions standing from before the Redevelopment era that decimated the Black community. From the 1960s until the early 2000s, the church was one of two gospel Black Catholic Churches in San Francisco, the other being St. Paul of the Shipwreck on Jamestown Avenue. Listed on the National Register of Historic Places (#100001665) and located on a promontory in the Western Addition since 1885, it can be seen from many points of the northeastern portion of San Francisco, especially east of Divisadero and north of Cesar Chavez.

My mom, Bertha Canty, was a member of the parish from 1973 until its closure, for four decades. She is now an active member of St. Boniface where the gospel choir from Sacred Heart still sings each Third Sunday of the month currently. I was baptized, received the Holy sacrament of the Eucharist, and was Confirmed at Sacred Heart. I was an alter server at Sacred Heart for nearly a decade and an active member for two decades. According to church parishioner and choir leader Robert Pritchard, only one other church building like it exists, located in Pasadena, and has only been in existence since 1936.

The church always struck me as a unique building. There are very few buildings made of brick in San Francisco. The gold color of the brick is also very unique. I moved away from San Francisco for a short period, around the time of the parish closure. Upon returning to San Francisco I was invited to an event at a Church on 8 Wheels. Upon arriving at my then and still now defunct parish, of a church community that I haven't been an active member of since the Sacred Heart closure, I cried, and was unable to attend the function that day.

The parish is very significant to the San Francisco Black community, many of whom have been forced out. I, too, have been facing an eviction for 11 years by a bank landlord. My days here continue to be numbered. I would hope if for any reason I could no longer live in San Francisco, that the spirit and edifice of Sacred Heart can continue. That former parishioners, many of whom are in their eighties and dozens who are no longer on this Earth, can use the space on occasion to hold events, in the manner that the former St. Joseph's Parish basilica was preserved South of Market (near 10th and Howard streets).

The church led one of the first Head Start programs in the country. It existed as a place of refuge during the tumultuous end of the 20th Century that saw drastic changes to the Fillmore neighborhood, the assassinations of a Mayor and Supervisors, the AIDS crisis, and the waves of gentrification in the neighborhood that began at the behest of Justin Herman and Joe Alioto. According to author Rebecca Solnit, the Black Panthers held meetings there, a history I never knew as a parishioner. Many parishioners came back after moving away every Sunday, until their parish was no more. Please consider my support letter for the preservation of Sacred Heart Church and its ancillary buildings in your deliberations during the March 5 Board hearing. Thank you.

Kind regards,

William Walker

Tel. 415.260.2069

transitequity.substack.com

wiyum@wiyum.org



Committee February 26, 2024 Meeting Agenda 2. 231045 [Planning Code - Landmark Designation - Sacred Heart Parish Complex] Ordinance amending the Planning Code to designate the Sacred Heart Parish Complex, located at 546-548 Fillmore Street, 554 Fillmore Street, 735 Fell Street, and 660 Oak Street, Assessor's Parcel Block No. 0828, Lot Nos. 12, 21, 22, and 22A, as a Landmark consistent with the standards set forth in Article 10 of the Planning Code; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making public necessity, convenience, and welfare findings under Planning Code, Section 302, and findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1. (Historic Preservation Commission) 10/10/23; RECEIVED FROM DEPARTMENT. 10/24/23; ASSIGNED UNDER 30 DAY RULE to the Land Use and Transportation Committee. 2/2/24; NOTICED. 2/12/24; CONTINUED.

From: [Jan Robinson](#)
To: [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Sacred Heart Church Complex landmark designation (2015-005890DES) - Item 15 - 231045
Date: Saturday, March 9, 2024 2:16:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Member of the Board of Supervisors,

As a longtime San Francisco resident, and a member of the Committee to Save St. Brigid Church, I'm writing to express my full support for the landmarking of Sacred Heart Church. This building has been part of the City's skyline since 1897 - its elegant, golden form gracing the hill overlooking Hayes Valley, for longer than any of our lifetimes.

Having one of the most diverse congregations in San Francisco, Sacred Heart had its own Gospel Choir for many years. That choir recently celebrated its 50th anniversary, despite losing the beloved home that it had there.

Not only did the State Office of Historic Preservation find Sacred Heart Church eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places in 2010, but the building contains painted ceiling frescoes by acclaimed Italian artist Achille Disi. Having done work for the Vatican and for the wife of Emperor Napoleon III, this work is of great historical significance. Loss of the Disi frescoes would result cause irreparable damage to the character of Sacred Heart Church. This artwork is precious, valuable, and can never be replaced. Please provide or support a plan for their preservation, should they ever need to be removed from the ceiling.

Indeed, the whole interior of the Church should be recognized as character defining and protected as part of Landmark status. It is integral to the original Thomas Welsh architectural design - and due to fire and earthquakes, this is the last remaining church, showing his remarkable work. Maximum safeguards are needed to protect the existing architecture, in both the interior, and the exterior. The Board should not permit property owner and developer free rein to "replace in kind" any features without first obtaining City approval.

The connector bridge between the rectory and the church should also be recognized as a character defining feature of the structure and protected as part of Landmark status.

San Francisco has lost too many of its historic buildings, such as the Fox Theatre and City of Paris. Those buildings are irreplaceable, and it is tragic that future generations will never see or experience them. We at St. Brigid Church were successful with our landmarking efforts, and are so grateful to have had the City's help in making it San Francisco City Landmark #252.

Sacred Heart Church is also an artistic and historical treasure, and is fully deserving of strong landmark protection. Please honor the people who donated to and built it, plus those who fought so hard to save it. Its outline, up on the hill, proclaims "permanence", for all of the generations following ours.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Jan Robinson
1940 Washington St. Apt. C

San Francisco, CA 94109

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)
Subject: FW: Please Invest in Solutions to Prevent Harmful Algae Blooms & Fish Kills
Date: Thursday, March 14, 2024 2:15:00 PM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from a member of the public regarding algal blooms in the San Francisco Bay.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

-----Original Message-----

From: info@baykeeper.org <info@baykeeper.org> On Behalf Of news@baykeeper.org via San Francisco Baykeeper
Sent: Thursday, March 14, 2024 11:03 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please Invest in Solutions to Prevent Harmful Algae Blooms & Fish Kills

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: Mayor London Breed
CC: SFPUC General Manager Dennis Herrera, SFPUC Commission, and the San Francisco Board of Supervisors

Dear Mayor Breed,

I urge you to direct San Francisco's Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) to aggressively increase the city's investment in water recycling today.

Last summer, a large harmful algal bloom has spread across the Bay, leaving unimaginable numbers of dead fish in its wake. We're still learning about this particular bloom, but what we do know is that San Francisco's sewage effluent contributes to excessive levels of nutrients in San Francisco Bay that make the Bay fertile territory for the spread of harmful algae blooms.

Water recycling and other wastewater management technologies can help by reducing the volume of polluted discharges into the Bay. In addition, by producing potable supplies, water recycling will reduce San Francisco's reliance on water diverted from the Tuolumne River, increasing the city's resilience to climate change effects on water supply.

Other cities have learned the lessons of California's unpredictable climate and are quickly adopting water recycling to reduce their burden on the ecosystem, while increasing the reliability of their supply. Orange County gets more than 75 percent of its water through its water reuse program. Las Vegas recycles nearly all of its water used indoors. And Los Angeles is on the path to reusing 100 percent of its wastewater by 2035.

But, as San Francisco's draft Urban Water Management Plan recently revealed, the city currently has no plans to make recycled water widely available in the next 25 years. Instead, the city is pursuing multiple expensive and misguided lawsuits so that it can continue to rely, almost exclusively, on the Tuolumne River—one of the state's most overtapped rivers—for the next several decades. San Francisco and large agribusiness water districts divert four out of every five gallons of water that flow in the Tuolumne River during a typical year.

This overuse has caused the river's once mighty Chinook Salmon populations to crash. Meanwhile, low freshwater flows contribute to deteriorating water quality—including harmful algae blooms—in the Delta and San Francisco Bay.

I agree with Supervisor Aaron Peskin that “it is time for San Francisco and our sister cities in the nine Bay Area counties to start looking at what kinds of infrastructure investments will need to be made as this becomes, sadly, the new normal.” It's unacceptable for the city with the nation's greenest reputation to shirk its responsibilities to conserve California's precious and unpredictable water supply.

I support increasing river flows to protect San Francisco Bay's fisheries, water quality, and recreation. The city should do its part to protect the Bay and its rivers—water recycling is a common-sense way to limit the city's water use, increase the reliability of its supply, and protect the Bay from harmful wastewater treatment plant effluent.

Thank you,

San Francisco, California

Item 25

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#) on behalf of [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)
Subject: 68 Letters regarding Fulton Street and Arguello Boulevard
Date: Thursday, March 14, 2024 2:47:00 PM
Attachments: [68 Letters regarding Fulton Street and Arguello Blvd..pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached for 68 letters regarding pedestrian safety at Fulton Street and Arguello Boulevard.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins

Office of the Clerk of the Board

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [James Konugres](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Thursday, March 14, 2024 1:41:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

James Konugres
jwkonugres@gmail.com
319 Willard N
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Alyssa Traina](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Thursday, March 14, 2024 1:39:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Alyssa Traina
alystra1403@gmail.com
222 Willard North
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Ryan DaRin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Thursday, March 14, 2024 1:05:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Ryan DaRin
ryan.darin@gmail.com
338 2nd Ave
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Darrell Rodgers](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe!
Date: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 9:39:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Darrell Rodgers
igotwaterhere@gmail.com
145 Broderick St
San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Gianna Toboni](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 7:58:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Gianna Toboni
giannatoboni@gmail.com
849 44th avenue
San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Joy Risley](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 4:06:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Joy Risley
joyrisley@gmail.com
742 10th Ave
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Claire McDonell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 4:04:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Claire McDonell

clairemcdonell636@gmail.com

627 page st apt 1

San Francisco , California 94117

From: [Trevor Burke](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 3:20:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Trevor Burke
trevorpburke@gmail.com
552 16th Ave
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Zoe Mann](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 2:08:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

I've lived in 94118 for two decades and have seen too many deaths occur at Arguello and Fulton. It's a long-standing pattern.

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh

the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city’s climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Zoe Mann
zoeworkin@gmail.com
2756 golden gate
San Francisco , California 94118

From: [David Logan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 12:57:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

David Logan
okokokaynow@gmail.com
855 Arguello Blvd
San Francisco , California 94118

From: [Rachel Cloues](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 11:31:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

I ride my bike across Fulton from Golden Gate Park at Arguello every day, on my way to work at Roosevelt Middle School. I frequently see reckless driving, near accidents, the remnants of car wrecks, and cars going too fast and making dangerous left turns.

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city’s climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Rachel Cloues
cloues@gmail.com
2682 44th Ave
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Renee Halvorsen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 9:45:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Renee Halvorsen
renee.lobes@gmail.com
2614 Fulton St
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Asim Bhansali](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 8:09:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Asim Bhansali
asim.bhansali@gmail.com
25 Sea View Ter
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Sara Allen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 8:06:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Sara Allen

sara.aubrey.allen@gmail.com

772 6th Ave Apt 6

San Francisco , California 94118

From: [Jacob Jurlina](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Tuesday, March 12, 2024 9:40:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Jacob Jurlina
jacobjurlina@gmail.com
19 Palm Avenue
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Aly Geller](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Tuesday, March 12, 2024 8:38:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Aly Geller

alygellerhome@gmail.com

276 30th Ave

San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Larry Oliver](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Tuesday, March 12, 2024 6:06:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

I have worked in Golden Park for over 10 yrs and in the last few years I have been victim of a very close call as I tried to cross Fulton @ 10th Ave. Admittedly with phone in hand, I checked that I had the green light and stepped off of the curb to cross Fulton. I looked to my left and saw a car fast approaching, but assumed it would come to a stop. Instead the driver sped towards and past me at what felt like at least 50 mph. This was such a close call that as I continued to cross the intersection moments later, other drivers stopped and asked if I was OK. I responded yes, that I managed to stop my stride and avoid being roadkill. A woman crossing in the opposite direction simply shook her head.

Then I was a post accident witness to the wreckage caused by a car crashing into a traffic post at the intersection (Fulton and 10th again)

Awful place with so many vulnerable folks trying to and from the park

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on

Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less. Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Larry Oliver
drawman71@yahoo.com
430 Haight Street
San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Robert C. Bakewell](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Tuesday, March 12, 2024 3:27:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello.

Four suggestions :

1. Corner ' bulb (s) at Arguello Fulton intersection .
2. Automated speed measuring signage both ways Fulton near Arguello ,
3. Speed max reduction to 25 MPH on Fulton and Arguello .
4. No Right on Red turning west onto Fulton at Arguello Blvd .

I was hit by car turning west off Arguello onto Fulton 2 years ago .. driver was distracted by looking east up Fulton while turning R on Red .

I was knocked down but not injured.

Driver drove away without stopping !

Thank you, and please take care.

Robert Bakewell
863 Arguello Blvd #5
SF 94118
425-710-9617

Robert C. Bakewell
rcbakewell@gmail.com
863 Arguello Blvd, Apt 5
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Hunter Oatman-Stanford](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Tuesday, March 12, 2024 1:17:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Hunter Oatman-Stanford
hoatmanstanford@gmail.com
855 Folsom Street, #502
San Francisco, California 94107

From: [Syed Ahmad](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Tuesday, March 12, 2024 12:49:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Syed Ahmad
owaceinsf@gmail.com
65 Levant Street
San Francisco, California 94114-1409

From: [Dan Baroni](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Tuesday, March 12, 2024 11:51:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Dan Baroni
dmbaroni@me.com
2828 Fulton St
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Katy Baroni](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Tuesday, March 12, 2024 11:50:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Katy Baroni

kathrynbaroni@gmail.com

2828 Fulton St

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Lindsey Reed](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Monday, March 11, 2024 8:39:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Lindsey Reed

lindsey.mary.reed@gmail.com

574 3rd Ave, Apt 2

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Loriane Benjamin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Monday, March 11, 2024 6:30:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Loriane Benjamin
lorianebenjamin@gmail.com
796 Arguello Blvd
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Raymond Ho](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Monday, March 11, 2024 5:58:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Raymond Ho
tyran75@hotmail.com
526 2nd Ave
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Genevieve Cadwalader](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Monday, March 11, 2024 10:23:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Genevieve Cadwalader
cadwalader@gmail.com
2736 Fulton Street
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [megan gibes](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Monday, March 11, 2024 9:53:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

megan gibes

Megan.gibes@gmail.com

1275 3rd ave, apt 3

SAN FRANCISCO, California 94122

From: [J Forch](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Sunday, March 10, 2024 6:51:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. We must lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection absolutely **needs** an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less!!

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider

the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

J Forch

chicory.root@protonmail.com

174 Cook St

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Kathryn Stefanski](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Sunday, March 10, 2024 3:04:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Kathryn Stefanski
katcfrazer@gmail.com
452 11th Ave
San Francisco , California 94118

From: [Johnny Stefanski](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Sunday, March 10, 2024 1:52:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Johnny Stefanski
stefanski.john@gmail.com
452 11th Avenue
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Alexander Marson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Sunday, March 10, 2024 9:22:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Alexander Marson
marsonalexander@gmail.com
774 10th avenue
San francisco, California 94118

From: [Valentina Leoni](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Sunday, March 10, 2024 9:17:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Valentina Leoni
valentinatleoni@gmail.com
774 10th Ave
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Brady B](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Sunday, March 10, 2024 3:10:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Brady B

bradybeek@gmail.com

620 Jones St

San Francisco, California 94102

From: [Leah Attai](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Saturday, March 9, 2024 3:23:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Leah Attai

leah.attai@gmail.com

1038A, Guerrero St

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Odin Palen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Saturday, March 9, 2024 12:29:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Odin Palen

odinpalen@gmail.com

PO Box 481

Greenbrae, California 94914

From: [Diane Sikkens](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Saturday, March 9, 2024 11:49:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Diane Sikkens
dmsikkens@gmail.com
767 9th Ave
San Francisco , California 94118

From: [David Shaff](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: SERIOUSLY, Make Fulton Safe
Date: Saturday, March 9, 2024 11:47:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

David Shaff
shaftomagic@gmail.com
2311 Cabrillo Street
San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Declan Donohue](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Saturday, March 9, 2024 10:44:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Declan Donohue
decjdonohue@gmail.com
2482 Fulton Street
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Christopher Ulrich](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Saturday, March 9, 2024 9:22:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Christopher Ulrich
21st Avenue

Christopher Ulrich
data_meson.0n@icloud.com
21st Ave
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Marisa Weidner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Saturday, March 9, 2024 8:47:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Marisa Weidner
marisaweidner@gmail.com
300 Cabrillo St, Apt 9
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Bruce Halperin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Saturday, March 9, 2024 7:56:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Bruce Halperin
bhalperin28@gmail.com
1 Elizabeth St Apt 1
San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Peter Vliet](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Saturday, March 9, 2024 7:12:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Peter Vliet
petervliet@gmail.com
758A 7th Avenue
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Brooke Kuhn](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Friday, March 8, 2024 10:24:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. My young children and I cross at this intersection at least twice a day and am stunned how unsafe it is still. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both

transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Brooke Kuhn
brookekuhn@gmail.com
604 Second Ave
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Sunny Yau](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Friday, March 8, 2024 6:16:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Sunny Yau
j4me28@gmail.com
2832 FULTON ST
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94118

From: [Karen Leung](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Friday, March 8, 2024 5:44:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Karen Leung
carinkaren@gmail.com
344 N Willard St
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Jenna Newgard](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Friday, March 8, 2024 4:32:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Jenna Newgard
jennanewgard@gmail.com
2811 Golden Gate
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Kate Reder Sheikh](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Friday, March 8, 2024 2:54:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Kate Reder Sheikh

kate.reder@gmail.com

619 2nd avenue

San Francisco , California 94118

From: [Bethany Kerner](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Friday, March 8, 2024 2:50:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Bethany Kerner

bkerner@gmail.com

663 2nd Ave

San Francisco, California 94118-4007

From: [Trevor Tubelle](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Friday, March 8, 2024 1:23:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Trevor Tubelle

biz@tubelle.com

586 41st. Ave

San Francisco, California 94121

From: [David Tejada](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Friday, March 8, 2024 1:16:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

David Tejada
dtrepairs@gmail.com
2261 Market st. #186
San Francisco, California 94114

From: [Gianna Cecil Frick](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Friday, March 8, 2024 11:33:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Gianna Cecil Frick
gcmfrik@gmail.com
766 7th Ave
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Anh Thu Cao](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Friday, March 8, 2024 11:21:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Anh Thu Cao
avhcvo@gmail.com
766 7th Ave
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Brian Quan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Friday, March 8, 2024 10:59:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Brian Quan

brian.r.quan@gmail.com

409 - 35th AVE

San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Courtney Bankhead](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Friday, March 8, 2024 10:30:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Courtney Bankhead
cbankhead15@gmail.com
831 Arguello Blvd
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Johanna Loacker](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Friday, March 8, 2024 9:51:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide. I commute by walking from the Richmond neighborhood into Golden Gate Park every day, and I therefore cross Fulton street on foot at least twice a day. I cannot express the amount of times I have almost been hit in a crosswalk by cars not paying attention as they take illegal lefts onto Fulton Street or as they drive along Fulton at high speeds. The lack of enforcement is only part of the issue, the streets need to be better designed for safety. Given the recent death at Fulton and Arguello, action needs to happen to make this street safer for EVERYONE.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do.

Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users. Not everyone in this city has the privilege of owning and driving a car, so

why must transportation be geared at cars?

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging dangerous speeding.

It is frustrating, scary, and disheartening to see so many unsafe drivers. Please make our streets safer for all users.

Thank you for your time and the work that you do and continue to do.

Please take care,

Johanna

Johanna Loacker

johanna.loacker@gmail.com

332 1/2 19th Ave

San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Jonathan Bünemann](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Friday, March 8, 2024 7:09:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Jonathan Bünemann
jonathanbuenemann@gmail.com
1971 GREEN ST APT B
San Francisco, California 94123

From: [Carlo Casareo](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Friday, March 8, 2024 6:58:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Carlo Casareo
carlo@casareo.com
733 5th Avenue
San Francisco , California 94118

From: [Stephanie Vertongen](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Thursday, March 7, 2024 10:32:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Stephanie Vertongen
stvertongen@gmail.com
330 2nd Avenue, Unit 3
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94118

From: [Jenna Oliver](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Thursday, March 7, 2024 9:07:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Jenna Oliver
jennaoliverrealestate@gmail.com
932 Cabrillo St
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Lauren Biscombe](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Thursday, March 7, 2024 7:41:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Lauren Biscombe

laurenbiscombe@gmail.com

815 Fell St

San Francisco, California 94117-2511

From: [Nancy Arbuckle](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Thursday, March 7, 2024 5:32:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Nancy Arbuckle
crockerbuckle@mindspring.com
2111 Hyde Street, Apt 306
San Francisco, California 94109

From: [Joshua Martin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Thursday, March 7, 2024 5:18:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Joshua Martin
joshualmartin21@gmail.com
213 West Mosley Street
San Francisco, California 94109

From: [Davis Johnson](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Thursday, March 7, 2024 5:14:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Davis Johnson
davrayjohn@gmail.com
663 10th Ave
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Caroline Rubin](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Thursday, March 7, 2024 3:37:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Caroline Rubin
carolinesrubin@gmail.com
134 Clement St
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Tiziana Perinotti](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Thursday, March 7, 2024 2:06:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Tiziana Perinotti
tgp_2001@hotmail.com
1111 Jones St.
, 94109-4233

From: [Lauren Greig](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Thursday, March 7, 2024 12:28:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Lauren Greig
laurencgreig@gmail.com
486 Funston Apt. 3
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Lili Tan](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Thursday, March 7, 2024 12:10:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

I moved to Fulton St. in June of 2023. Since then, I've seen 3 totaled cars and multiple mirrors knocked off just on one corner. The first night I parked on the street, my side mirror was knocked off. My upstairs neighbor has lived here for more than a decade and 3 of his cars were totaled as well. This is all within 20 feet of the corner of Fulton and Arguello. And then on January 31, an elderly man was killed in the crosswalk.

I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton.

Drivers treat Fulton like a highway, flying down the hill at high speeds and taking the corner way too fast. At minimum, we need to guard rails at the corner. Going further, speed bumps in the right lane would help immensely. Even further, reducing Fulton westbound to one lane, as the buses already straddle two lanes so they don't hit the parked cars.

I've also been told there have been safety measures that have yet to be implemented 4 years after being passed.

I urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello, to lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 15 mph between Stanyan and Arguello, to program an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

LiLi Tan

heyllitan@gmail.com

2480 Fulton St. #2

San Francisco , California 94118

From: [Scott Ringwelski](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Make Fulton Safe
Date: Thursday, March 7, 2024 8:37:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Board of Supervisors,

Dear Mayor London Breed and Board of Supervisors; Directors Jeffrey Tumlin and Carla Short, and City Traffic Engineer Ricardo Olea,

An elderly man was killed in the crosswalk in the morning of January 31 at Fulton and Arguello. We all know that both Fulton and Arguello, like the rest of San Francisco's High Injury Network, are streets that have killed and injured before and will do so again. I'm writing to urge SFMTA to immediately implement improvements at the Fulton and Arguello intersection, create a safer and slower Fulton, and proactively prioritize safety-forward measures citywide.

The Fulton Street Safety and Transit Project failed to lower speeds, or introduce significant vehicle calming measures. While the project introduced bus bulbs, the other main safety measure was painted safety zones. The planned transit bulb-out at the north-west corner of Fulton and Arguello (which very well may have helped the pedestrian in this case) has yet to be installed, nearly four years after it was approved. Paint does not protect. Concrete, slower speeds, and narrower lanes do. Therefore:

We urge the Department of Public Works and SFMTA to prioritize the completion of the transit-bulb-out on the north-west corner on Fulton and Arguello.

We know that speed kills. So let's lower the speed limit on Fulton from 30 to 25 mph between Arguello to the Great Highway. This matches the 25 mph limit east of Arguello.

We know that this intersection is heavily used by cyclists and transit riders accessing stops on Fulton and Arguello. The intersection needs an automatic pedestrian cycle with a leading pedestrian interval accommodating a walking speed of 2.5 feet/second or less.

Because other Fulton crossings are likewise crucial entrances to Golden Gate Park for people of all ages and abilities, let's make sure every signalized intersection on Fulton from Stanyan to the Great Highway has these same signal improvements.

These are basic safety features that will make Fulton, and access to Golden Gate Park, safer for all road users.

To our elected leaders: I also urge you to remember our neighbor who was killed as you weigh the costs and benefits of future Muni Forward, Active Community Plan, and Vision Zero Quick Build projects. For example, building a transit-only lane on Fulton would allow us to put both transit and safety first, by making the bus faster and more convenient, while discouraging

dangerous speeding. And there will be other projects that arise, offering safety, transit, and economic benefits—making it easier for San Franciscans to shift more trips to sustainable modes of travel to meet our city's climate goals—at the cost of some parking. Please consider the lives that you will save as you approve these projects.

Thank you, and please take care.

Scott Ringwelski
me@sgringwe.com
457 Buena Vista Ave E
San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)
Subject: FW: Reopen JFK Drive
Date: Thursday, March 14, 2024 2:52:00 PM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Patricia Holleran regarding John F. Kennedy Drive.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Patricia Holleran <Patricia.Holleran.494236002@sendgrassroots.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 13, 2024 4:33 AM
To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Subject: Reopen JFK Drive

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I fully support bicyclist and pedestrian safety. That's why I am asking you to reopen JFK Drive to how it was before COVID. It is closed all Sundays and half of the Saturdays every year, with ample bike lanes and pedestrian walkways each day of the week. We need to balance equity AND safety!

Regards,
Patricia Holleran

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)
Subject: FW: No further changes to Lake Street
Date: Thursday, March 14, 2024 2:54:00 PM

Hello,

Please see below for communication from Michael Dorf regarding Lake Street.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Michael Dorf <lake@openslowstreets.com>
Sent: Sunday, March 10, 2024 2:28 PM
To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Sweet, Alexandra C. (MYR) <alexandra.c.sweet@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>
Subject: No further changes to Lake Street

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My name is **Michael Dorf**

My email address is michael_dorf@comcast.net

In light of recent proposals to alter Lake Street's "Slow Street" designation, our community is united in its call to maintain the status quo. Since the SFMTA Board enacted the designation on December 16, 2022, we have moved past initial resistance to embrace the changes, finding a delicate balance in our daily lives. The push for modifications threatens to undo this balance, bringing unwelcome disruption and uncertainty back to our neighborhood.

The community's acceptance of the "Slow Street" setup has been a journey of adaptation, not an outright achievement. It represents a compromise that has brought unexpected stability and safety. The prospect of revisiting these

measures stirs concern, suggesting a return to the conflicts and challenges we've worked hard to overcome. Any change at this juncture would unsettle this hard-earned equilibrium and compromise the community's well-being.

We request that the city officials consider the substantial efforts our community has made to adapt to the "Slow Street" designation and refrain from implementing any further changes. Preserving the current setup is essential for maintaining the peace and stability that, while not initially sought, has become valued by our residents.

Thank you for your attention to this matter and for respecting the wishes of our community. Your support in keeping Lake Street's designation unchanged is crucial for our continued harmony and safety.

Sincerely,
Michael Dorf

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#) on behalf of [Board of Supervisors. \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)
Subject: 3 Letters regarding SFMTA Impacts on Merchant Corridors
Date: Thursday, March 14, 2024 2:58:00 PM
Attachments: [3 Letters regarding SFMTA Impacts on Merchant Corridors.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached for 3 letters regarding SFMTA impacts on merchant corridors.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins

Office of the Clerk of the Board

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [Lucy Ho](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Enough is enough: Fire Jeff Tumlin
Date: Monday, March 11, 2024 5:47:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor and SFMTA

From your constituent Lucy Ho
Email lucyho888@gmail.com
I live in District

Enough is enough: Fire Jeff Tumlin

Message:

Dear Mayor Breed,

Valencia Street is the last straw. This is an emergency that you need to get under control. SFMTA runs rampant and unchecked damaging San Francisco neighborhoods and business corridors, and it is destroying our beloved City. Jeff Tumlin is an unelected bureaucrat accountable to no one, and he is imposing HIS dysfunctional and biased vision on the streets of San Francisco to the detriment of the vast majority of residents, commuting workers and businesses. It is time: Tumlin must be fired or forced to resign.

Here is just a small sample of merchant corridors, already struggling from the pandemic, where closures are happening or have happened along streets that SFMTA destroyed all while turning a deaf ear to the concerns voiced in public forums about their plans in these corridors:

Valenica Street
Van Ness Avenue
Market Street
Geary Boulevard
Taraval Street
Ocean Avenue
Polk Avenue
Hayes Street
and the list goes on and on...

This is a crisis: SF is losing too many beloved neighborhood institutions in once-vibrant business corridors, the unique areas that define SF. You must act NOW to stop this.

SFMTA's job is to make movement of people and goods as friction-free and safe as possible. ALL modes of transportation, including driving (what the vast majority of San Franciscans do). Tumlin has made it clear that he wants to end car use. If you support him I expect that you will only take public transit and bike from now on, to all of your work-related, personal and public engagements. You are currently chauffeured, in a CAR. Don't be a hypocrite - either stop that or stand up for the rest of us.

Pre-pandemic ridership on Muni averaged over 700,000 per day, since the pandemic ridership averages less than 400,000 per day. But instead of making MUNI safer, more reliable and more attractive to riders, SFMTA is focused on forcing its anti-car ideology while prepping yet another bond measure to "save MUNI". No thanks.

City Hall elevates itself above citizens. It is beyond selfish for public servants to have parking spaces and drive where they need to go, yet dictate to the taxpaying citizens that our goals and needs should be met in a different way.

We, the silent majority of over 490,000 registered vehicles in SF, want ALL transportation to be facilitated and are coming together to fight the counterproductive, biased SFMTA and Bike Coalition agenda. Tumlin and the unchecked SFMTA will be an election issue next year. The monopoly on power is ending.

We insist that you replace Tumlin with an SFMTA director who is willing to listen and serve the needs of ALL San Franciscans

Enough is ENOUGH: SFMTA's destruction of small businesses and the overall quality of life in SF will not be tolerated any longer.

From: [Robert Schaezlein](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Enough is enough: Fire Jeff Tumlin
Date: Saturday, March 9, 2024 12:05:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor and SFMTA

From your constituent Robert Schaezlein
Email rschaezlein@msn.com
I live in District

Enough is enough: Fire Jeff Tumlin

Message:

Dear Mayor Breed,

Valencia Street is the last straw. This is an emergency that you need to get under control. SFMTA runs rampant and unchecked damaging San Francisco neighborhoods and business corridors, and it is destroying our beloved City. Jeff Tumlin is an unelected bureaucrat accountable to no one, and he is imposing HIS dysfunctional and biased vision on the streets of San Francisco to the detriment of the vast majority of residents, commuting workers and businesses. It is time: Tumlin must be fired or forced to resign.

Here is just a small sample of merchant corridors, already struggling from the pandemic, where closures are happening or have happened along streets that SFMTA destroyed all while turning a deaf ear to the concerns voiced in public forums about their plans in these corridors:

Valenica Street
Van Ness Avenue
Market Street
Geary Boulevard
Taraval Street
Ocean Avenue
Polk Avenue
Hayes Street
and the list goes on and on...

This is a crisis: SF is losing too many beloved neighborhood institutions in once-vibrant business corridors, the unique areas that define SF. You must act NOW to stop this.

SFMTA's job is to make movement of people and goods as friction-free and safe as possible. ALL modes of transportation, including driving (what the vast majority of San Franciscans do). Tumlin has made it clear that he wants to end car use. If you support him I expect that you will only take public transit and bike from now on, to all of your work-related, personal and public engagements. You are currently chauffeured, in a CAR. Don't be a hypocrite - either stop that or stand up for the rest of us.

Pre-pandemic ridership on Muni averaged over 700,000 per day, since the pandemic ridership averages less than 400,000 per day. But instead of making MUNI safer, more reliable and more attractive to riders, SFMTA is focused on forcing its anti-car ideology while prepping yet another bond measure to "save MUNI". No thanks.

City Hall elevates itself above citizens. It is beyond selfish for public servants to have parking spaces and drive where they need to go, yet dictate to the taxpaying citizens that our goals and needs should be met in a different way.

We, the silent majority of over 490,000 registered vehicles in SF, want ALL transportation to be facilitated and are coming together to fight the counterproductive, biased SFMTA and Bike Coalition agenda. Tumlin and the unchecked SFMTA will be an election issue next year. The monopoly on power is ending.

We insist that you replace Tumlin with an SFMTA director who is willing to listen and serve the needs of ALL San Franciscans

Enough is ENOUGH: SFMTA's destruction of small businesses and the overall quality of life in SF will not be tolerated any longer.

From: [mark Stoddard](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Breed, Mayor London \(MYR\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Enough is enough: Fire Jeff Tumlin
Date: Friday, March 8, 2024 9:26:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor and SFMTA

From your constituent mark Stoddard
Email stod.mark@gmail.com
I live in District

Enough is enough: Fire Jeff Tumlin

Message:

Dear Mayor Breed,

Valencia Street is the last straw. This is an emergency that you need to get under control. SFMTA runs rampant and unchecked damaging San Francisco neighborhoods and business corridors, and it is destroying our beloved City. Jeff Tumlin is an unelected bureaucrat accountable to no one, and he is imposing HIS dysfunctional and biased vision on the streets of San Francisco to the detriment of the vast majority of residents, commuting workers and businesses. It is time: Tumlin must be fired or forced to resign.

Here is just a small sample of merchant corridors, already struggling from the pandemic, where closures are happening or have happened along streets that SFMTA destroyed all while turning a deaf ear to the concerns voiced in public forums about their plans in these corridors:

Valenica Street
Van Ness Avenue
Market Street
Geary Boulevard
Taraval Street
Ocean Avenue
Polk Avenue
Hayes Street
and the list goes on and on...

This is a crisis: SF is losing too many beloved neighborhood institutions in once-vibrant business corridors, the unique areas that define SF. You must act NOW to stop this.

SFMTA's job is to make movement of people and goods as friction-free and safe as possible. ALL modes of transportation, including driving (what the vast majority of San Franciscans do). Tumlin has made it clear that he wants to end car use. If you support him I expect that you will only take public transit and bike from now on, to all of your work-related, personal and public engagements. You are currently chauffeured, in a CAR. Don't be a hypocrite - either stop that or stand up for the rest of us.

Pre-pandemic ridership on Muni averaged over 700,000 per day, since the pandemic ridership averages less than 400,000 per day. But instead of making MUNI safer, more reliable and more attractive to riders, SFMTA is focused on forcing its anti-car ideology while prepping yet another bond measure to "save MUNI". No thanks.

City Hall elevates itself above citizens. It is beyond selfish for public servants to have parking spaces and drive where they need to go, yet dictate to the taxpaying citizens that our goals and needs should be met in a different way.

We, the silent majority of over 490,000 registered vehicles in SF, want ALL transportation to be facilitated and are coming together to fight the counterproductive, biased SFMTA and Bike Coalition agenda. Tumlin and the unchecked SFMTA will be an election issue next year. The monopoly on power is ending.

We insist that you replace Tumlin with an SFMTA director who is willing to listen and serve the needs of ALL San Franciscans

Enough is ENOUGH: SFMTA's destruction of small businesses and the overall quality of life in SF will not be tolerated any longer.

From: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#), on behalf of [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)
Subject: 2 Letters regarding Upzoning
Date: Thursday, March 14, 2024 3:03:00 PM
Attachments: [2 Letters regarding Upzoning.pdf](#)

Hello,

Please see attached for 2 letters regarding the San Francisco Planning Department's Expanding Housing Choice, Housing Element Zoning Program.

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163
board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: [Joseph Smooke](#)
To: [Diamond, Sue \(CPC\)](#); [Moore, Kathrin \(CPC\)](#)
Cc: [Hillis, Rich \(CPC\)](#); [Chion, Miriam \(CPC\)](#); [Chen, Lisa \(CPC\)](#); [Koppel, Joel \(CPC\)](#); [Braun, Derek \(CPC\)](#); [Imperial, Theresa \(CPC\)](#); [Ionin, Jonas \(CPC\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#); David.Zisser@hcd.ca.gov; [Charlie Sciammas](#); [John Avalos](#); [Molly Goldberg](#)
Subject: REP-SF Letter re: Upzonings
Date: Tuesday, March 12, 2024 8:03:20 AM
Attachments: [REP-SF re Upzoning 12March2024.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear President Diamond and Vice President Moore

Please find REP-SF's letter to the Planning Commission regarding the proposed upzonings attached as a pdf to this e-mail.

We look forward to your response.

--joseph

co-founder of [People Power Media](#)

[Creators of PRICED OUT](#)

[See the animation that will change the way you think about housing!](#)



12 March 2024

Sue Diamond
President, SF Planning Commission
Sue.Diamond@sfgov.org

Kathrin Moore
Vice President, SF Planning Commission
Kathrin.Moore@sfgov.org

49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400
San Francisco, CA 94103

Re: Upzoning, aka "Expanding Housing Choice"

Dear President Diamond, Vice President Moore, and Planning Commissioners

The Race & Equity in all Planning Coalition (REP-SF) continues to have significant concerns with the upzoning as proposed by Planning staff. The upzoning proposal fails to honor [Housing Element Actions](#) designed to capture the new value conferred by upzoning for the benefit of affordable housing; threatens to displace existing small businesses and residents; and the upzoning proposal fails to coordinate with other City departments and processes to ensure that additional tenant protections are put in place prior to the upzonings being finalized.

Zoning Affects More Than Housing

Although the upzoning proposal is called "Expanding Housing Choice" and was described as part of the "Housing Element", it's critically important to consider that the proposed upzoning will impact whole communities. Housing is just one vitally important aspect of a community. Planning's upzoning map incentivizes new expensive housing development that will either temporarily or permanently displace critical small, neighborhood-serving businesses that are culturally, linguistically and economically responsive to diverse communities across the City. Included among these are over 170 legacy businesses that have served our communities for more than three decades. Without businesses that are culturally, linguistically and economically

responsive to the needs of people of color, immigrant, and low income residents, even if there is some affordable housing left after developers take advantage of these upzonings, these residents will become dissociated from their communities¹. They may have an affordable place to live, but they will no longer be a part of a community.

As we consider the fact that businesses will be displaced; that even a temporary displacement can be devastating for both residents and businesses; that there is no commercial rent control for businesses in California, we have to anticipate that incentivizing the upscaling of development around a business that remains in an adjacent or nearby building could result in increasing commercial rents that end up displacing or shuttering businesses. This upzoning proposal does not prevent against these impacts, and the report by the Budget and Legislative Analyst of January 30, 2024, does not consider these equity impacts².

The Housing Element Demands More

The Housing Element is a complex document full of Implementing Actions that relate to each other, but the way it's written and presented makes it very difficult to see these connections. Following is a list of Housing Element Implementing Actions that directly relate equity outcomes and concepts to the rezonings.

Some of these actions refer to "Priority Equity Geographies and areas vulnerable to displacement". Although Planning's proposed rezonings do not change zoning controls within the Priority Equity Geographies, they do change zoning controls within areas vulnerable to displacement. And, the impacts of the rezonings will be felt both within the areas specifically targeted for rezoning, and throughout the Priority Equity Geographies as well since incentivizing speculation, increasing land values, and escalation of high-priced market rate housing will impact all areas of the City.

Housing Element Action 1.4.6 (Implementation Timeline: Short 0 - 2 Years)

Utilize value capture from up-zonings to support large affordable housing developments in need of substantial repair or rehabilitation, to fund rebuilding and financial feasibility of existing affordable units for current residents while creating more affordable homes.

Housing Element Action 2.1.4 (Implementation Timeline: Short 0 - 2 Years)

Increase funding to expand the services of community-based organizations and providers for financial counseling services listed under Action 1.7.5, as well as tenant and eviction prevention services listed under Program 2, to better serve vulnerable populations, populations in areas vulnerable to displacement, and Cultural Districts. Tenant and eviction protection services include legal services, code enforcement outreach, tenant counseling, mediation, and housing-related financial assistance; expansion of such services should be informed by

¹

https://www.theguardian.com/business/2024/feb/25/black-small-businesses-closing-los-angeles?campaign_id=49&emc=edit_ca_20240226&instance_id=116088&nl=california-today®i_id=63827784&segment_id=159172&te=1&user_id=cd001436e06ef27a3880678394b01f22

² https://sfbos.org/sites/default/files/BLA_Mitigating_Residential_Construction_Impacts_013024.pdf

community priorities referenced under Action 4.1.3. Complete by completion of Rezoning Program or no later than January 31, 2026.

Housing Element Action Action 1.7.5: Expand existing culturally responsive housing counseling to applicants of MOHCD Affordable Rental and Homeownership Opportunities through a network of community-based housing counseling agencies, in consultation with Cultural Districts, and as informed by the needs identified under Actions 1.7.1, 1.7.2 and 5.4.9. These programs include financial counseling, market-rate and below market rate rental readiness counseling, and other services that lead to finding and keeping safe and stable housing, expansion of such services should be in coordination with Actions 2.1.4 and 4.1.2.

Housing Element Action Action 4.1.3: Identify priority actions in the Housing Element Implementing Programs that respond to the needs of American Indian, Black, and other people of color, and other disadvantaged communities, through collaboration with Cultural Districts or other racial and social equity-focused community bodies such as the Community Equity Advisory Council or the African American Reparations Committee. Report back to communities on the progress of those priority actions and update prioritization annually.

Housing Element Action 4.2.7 (Implementation Timeline: Medium 3 - 5 Years)

Consult with related Cultural Districts or other racial equity-focused community bodies such as the Community Equity Advisory Council to evaluate the racial and social equity impacts of proposed zoning changes within Priority Equity Geographies and areas vulnerable to displacement, using the framework identified under Actions 4.1.7 and 4.1.8

Housing Element Action Action 4.1.7: Continue racial and social equity and displacement analysis to target levels of investments that prevent community displacement through increased permanently affordable housing production, equitable access to housing, and other community stabilization strategies for vulnerable populations. This will include a triennial progress report on the displacement of population by income, race, and geography in relation to existing community stabilization programs and production of affordable housing.

Housing Element Action Action 4.1.8: Identify and implement affordable housing production and preservation investments and other community stabilization strategies targeted at levels that will prevent displacement and other adverse racial and social equity impacts of future zoning changes, development projects and infrastructure projects, as informed by ongoing racial and social equity analysis related to housing.

Housing Element Action 4.2.8 (Implementation Timeline: Medium 3 - 5 Years)

Allocate resources and create an implementation plan for any applicable anti-displacement measures parallel with the adoption of zoning changes within Priority Equity Geographies and areas vulnerable to displacement.

Housing Element Action 8.1.9 (Implementation Timeline: Short 0 - 2 Years, and Ongoing)

Create a Monitoring Program to track progress against Affirmatively Furthering Fair Housing goals and metrics and evaluate the effectiveness of AFFH programs, including but not limited to

displacement and place-based strategies that address community preservation and revitalization. The evaluation should also seek to harmonize the multiple goals of housing supply, choice, affordability, and conservation. Evaluate reductions to project approval timelines through constraints reduction programs; and monitor housing production from a variety of sources, including pipeline projects, the rezoning described in Program 7.1.1, SB 9, and ADUs. This team shall provide a mid-term evaluation of progress against these metrics and make adjustments to improve performance through additional programs, increased constraints reduction, and additional rezoning, as necessary. This Monitoring Program will be led by the Interagency Housing Element Implementation committee in consultation with community organizations described in Action 4.1.4

Housing Element Action Action 4.1.4: *By January 31, 2023, establish an interagency Housing Element implementation committee. This committee should meet with members of racial and social equity focused bodies as cited in Action 4.1.3 to inform the City's budget and work program on housing equity. The committee would be responsible for creating a Monitoring Program described in Action 8.1.9, developing an affordable housing strategy, reviewing the City's annual affordable housing funding budget, and reporting progress measured in Actions 8.1.9, 4.1.1 and 4.1.3 to the Planning Commission and Mayor's Office and for identifying financial or legal challenges to progress.*

Rent Control and Affordable Housing

REP-SF is extremely concerned about the strategy proposed by Planning Staff to accomplish a portion of the affordable housing goals in the areas proposed for rezoning by creating an option for developers of projects smaller than 25 units to provide these units with price controls and just cause protections consistent with SF's Rent Stabilization program.

As important as rent stabilization is for residents of San Francisco, *rent stabilization is not the same as affordable housing and provides a lower level of both short and long term assistance to low-income renters.* Over time, a rent stabilized unit might be affordable for a tenant who has remained in place for long enough that their annual rent increases have been less than the increase in surrounding market rents. But a new building renting out new apartments will do so at the market prices at the time when the new building opens, and for any older tenants vacating their units later, these units also return to market-rate rents. This can hardly be considered "below market" because these units will be offered at market prices whenever possible. Therefore, Planning Staff's proposal that these units be considered part of an affordable housing or an equity or an AFFH strategy is completely inadequate.

It's important to remember that the RHNA allocation is distributed across four different income categories. Three of those income categories are targeted at very low to moderate income households with housing that must be priced below market rate. Those three income categories add up to roughly 57% of the housing San Francisco is charged to build in this RHNA cycle. Affordable housing advocates consulted directly with the Director of the State's Department of Housing and Community Development, and other senior staff tasked with Housing Element

enforcement. We were told that HCD enforces implementation of *all* Actions in a city's approved Housing Element.

It has become apparent that decreasing the inclusionary rates has not resulted in more developments moving forward into construction. Yet, as Planning continues to propose upzonings that will automatically increase land values, Planning is creating a market condition that will make acquiring sites for 100% affordable housing nearly impossible. Whether market-rate developers move projects forward into construction or not, they will take advantage of the upzoning (as they have been taking advantage of density bonuses that are already available) by claiming entitlements based on the upzoned potential of the land. This will translate into increased per square footage costs for land that will be beyond the limits of any funding that affordable housing developers have access to. So, not only is Planning giving up on inclusionary in market rate developments, it is creating a condition where 100% affordable developments will be nearly impossible financially.

Imposing a rent control requirement on developers does not solve this problem, unless Planning is committed to **Housing Element Action 2.2.7** which says *"Advocate for State legislation to reform the Costa-Hawkins Housing Law to allow cities to better stabilize tenants by, for example, allowing cities to extend rent control to multifamily housing that is at least 25 years old. Assign City staff to lead this task."*

Of course to address this issue, Costa Hawkins would have to be amended to allow for cities to enact *real* rent control, with vacancy pricing control, and this price control would have to be applied to newly constructed buildings in San Francisco. Until these changes are made to state and local laws, BMR ("inclusionary") units are the only source of "affordable housing" in new market rate housing developments.

Heights, Density and Displacement

Pending at the Board of Supervisors is a legislative proposal (File #230734) to remove density controls³. This legislation was heard at the Board's Land Use Committee on March 4 when a substantial set of amendments was introduced. The introduction of these amendments resulted in the legislation being sent back to the Planning Department for further review.

Incentivizing developers to force smaller and smaller units into new buildings along our commercial corridors will eventually make it impossible for families to live along our commercial corridors. In addition to recognizing that upzoning isn't just about housing, Planning must recognize that the proposed upzoning is about both heights and density. To be considering these proposals completely separately, one for heights and another for density, makes no sense from either a planning or a public policy perspective.

3

<https://sfgov.legistar.com/LegislationDetail.aspx?ID=6262133&GUID=18ABBE16-FBF1-4834-A799-D0434CFE78AF>

To consider any policy that will ultimately displace businesses and residents with no systems in place to manage or hold those demolitions accountable can be expected to have very harmful impacts. State and local laws allow demolition of existing residential units as long as rent controlled units are replaced, the new development includes significantly more units than existed at that site prior to demolition, and displaced tenants are provided a right to return. There is, however, no system of accountability for supporting those who are displaced.

Before taking action many critical questions must be resolved for the safety of tenants: Who makes sure tenants are not displaced long before demolition happens? Who makes sure that the developer is held accountable to build the new development and its replacement housing as quickly as possible? Who is maintaining communication with tenants while they are displaced? Who is paying relocation compensation to tenants while they're displaced? Who is making sure that tenants are moving back, at their prior rents once the new building is completed? How are new rent controlled units tracked and held accountable once the new building is built and after there's turnover in the tenancies?

REP-SF raised all these questions during the Housing Element drafting and none of them have been answered thus far. Since these protective systems have not yet been put in place, yet two proposals are moving forward for upzoning vast areas of the city in terms of increased heights and increased unit density, there is no other way to assess the potential impacts other than to liken this to the Redevelopment and Urban Renewal programs of decades ago. We should at least learn from the lessons of those programs which were eventually reformed to include robust programs for tenant relocation and return.

Conclusion

So far, the City of San Francisco and this Planning Commission have focused its efforts entirely on "reducing constraints" for market rate housing. Our calls for focusing attention on affordable housing are met by the argument that there are budget constraints and other feasibility challenges for affordable housing. Despite there being impediments to both market rate and affordable housing, all efforts so far to implement this Housing Element have been to reduce impediments and create feasibility for market rate housing, while no efforts have been made to increase feasibility for affordable housing. In fact, as described above, the efforts to increase feasibility for market rate housing have actually *diminished* feasibility for affordable housing.

This imbalance in implementation persists despite the RHNA goals being tilted clearly toward the greatest need which is for affordable housing. And, this imbalance persists despite AB686's clear legal requirement for the City of San Francisco and the State of California to enforce its obligations to Affirmatively Further Fair Housing⁴.

HCD informs us that they enforce Housing Element implementation on a complaint basis. So far, they have been overwhelmed by complaints from the market rate developer lobby demanding deregulation of market rate housing. That strategy is clearly working.

⁴ <https://www.hcd.ca.gov/planning-and-community-development/affirmatively-furthering-fair-housing>

Therefore, affordable housing advocates and advocates of racial and social equity are ready to rise to this challenge and escalate complaints to HCD demanding enforcement of the dozens of equity-oriented Implementation Actions that are in the Housing Element including the ones cited above in this letter.

REP-SF urges the Planning Commission to work with Planning staff to coordinate implementation of the critically important Actions detailed above with other City Departments as a prerequisite to rezoning. The State's Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD) doesn't require the rezoning to be completed until January of 2026. There is time to do this properly, in a way that respects the City's Housing Element, that embraces racial, social and economic equity; that puts affordable housing first; that honors and respects the expertise of our low income communities, and of our American Indian, Black and other communities of color; and that fulfills San Francisco's and the State's obligations under State and Federal law, and its Housing Element provisions to Affirmatively Further Fair Housing.

Respectfully submitted,

The Race & Equity in all Planning Coalition, San Francisco (REP-SF)

**cc Planning Director, Rich Hillis
 Planning Equity Director, Miriam Chion
 Principal Planner, Citywide Planning Division, Lisa Chen
 Planning Commissioners
 Planning Commission Clerk, Jonas Ionin
 Board of Supervisors
 Board of Supervisors, Legislative Aides
 HCD Assistant Deputy Director, David Zisser
 Council of Community Housing Organizations
 SF Anti Displacement Coalition**

From: [Dede Estey](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Up zoning proposals
Date: Thursday, March 14, 2024 12:40:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors

I am a long time resident of San Francisco since 1989. I am aware that we need affordable housing in the city, affordable housing, not market rate. Developers just do studios to get the most money out of their investment. Build some family size units!

The plans for Clement Street, California St and Fulton and Geary are really plans to destroy lovely, walkable, neighborhoods of unique homes, businesses, and will displace residents and businesses vital to our neighborhoods. Using existing housing stock, building new buildings not higher than 6 stories, in our delightful, historic, and quiet, part of the city would look in keeping with the proportions of our residential neighborhoods.

We have over 170 legacy businesses in this area !!

There is not enough water sewers to fight a large earthquake fire here right now from 12Ave out to the ocean! The infrastructure of the Richmond is horribly inadequate for the addition of 82,000 new units and close to 200,000 additional people.!

PLEASE REJECT SF PLANNING UPZONE PROPOSAL!!!!

Thank you.

Deidre Estey
771-21st Avenue
San Francisco
94121