

# DECARBONIZING RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS BY ELIMINATING NATURAL GAS USAGE

*Report for Supervisor Gordon Mar*

---

Presentation to:

LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

July 26, 2021

# SF Goal: Net-zero greenhouse gas emissions by 2050

---

38% SF's GHG emissions: natural gas combustion in buildings.

For residential buildings: emissions largely due to gas-fueled appliances:

- Furnaces
- Water heaters
- Ovens and cooktops
- Laundry appliances

Replacement of gas-fueled appliances with electric could significantly reduce SF's GHG emissions

# Costs to retrofit SF residences from natural gas to electric

## Estimated total costs for retrofitting 240,231 SF residences

|                                 | Low Cost               |                 | High Cost              |                 |
|---------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|
|                                 | Single family          | Multi-family    | Single family          | Multi-family    |
| <b>Cost/housing unit</b>        | \$14,363               | \$14,363        | \$34,790               | \$19,574        |
| <b># Housing Units</b>          | 76,470                 | 163,761         | 76,470                 | 163,761         |
| <b>Subtotal</b>                 | \$1.098 Billion        | \$2.352 Billion | \$2.660 Billion        | \$3.205 Billion |
| <b>Grand Total: all housing</b> | <b>\$3.450 Billion</b> |                 | <b>\$5.866 Billion</b> |                 |

Sources: Low: Navigant Consulting, prepared for California Building Industry Association  
High: E3 Consulting, funded by SCE, SMUD, & LADWP

*Current state: less costly to replace natural gas appliances with same*

|                                     | Single-Family House, Pre-1978 Electric Retrofit | Single-Family House, Pre-1978, Gas Replacement | Four-Unit Building, Pre-1978, Electric Retrofit (Per Unit) | Four-Unit Building, Pre-1978, Gas Replacement (Per Unit) |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| Cooktop                             | \$2,295   | \$1,510  | \$2,118  | \$1,510  |
| Dryer                               | 2,944   | 1,805  | 2,118  | 1,805  |
| HVAC                                | 20,633  | 16,793   | 8,343  | 7,276  |
| Water Heater                        | 4,662   | 2,598  | 4,251  | 2,461  |
| Electric Panel Upgrade              | 4,256   | -  | 2,744  | -  |
| <b>Total</b>                        | <b>\$34,790</b>                                 | <b>\$22,706</b>                                | <b>\$19,574</b>  | <b>\$13,052</b>  |
| <b>Difference: Gas vs. Electric</b> | <b>\$12,084</b>                                 | <b>(\$12,084)</b>                              | <b>\$6,522</b>   | <b>(\$6,522)</b>   |

Source: E3 Consulting (high-cost scenario)

*Current state:* electricity > natural gas costs. *Future:* could reverse.

**Average Prices (2009-2019)**

Electricity  
\$0.22/kWh

Natural Gas  
\$1.209/therm

**Conversion**

1 therm of natural gas = 29.3 kWh of electricity  
\$6.50 for electricity equivalent of 1 therm vs. \$1.209

*However...*

- Energy specialists forecast: cost advantage could be reversed in coming years
- Natural gas costs could increase by 2050 by 127 – 1,399% vs. electricity 20-40% (*source: E3 Consulting*)
- Electric appliances more efficient (heat pumps)
- Electricity costs can be offset through solar panels/renewables; natural gas can only be provided through utility pipelines

# More building electrification over time = higher natural gas rates

➤ Baseline price: \$1.32/therm

| Scenario   | 2050 Natural Gas Rates Per Therm (2018 Dollars) |
|--|---|
| State emissions reduction goal not met by 2050   | \$3.00  |
| Emission reduction goals met by 2050 through renewable gas & transportation electrification but no change in building energy use | \$5.50  |
| Slower Building Electrification  | \$5.70  |
| Faster Building Electrification  | \$19.00   |



***\* Natural gas rates above \$3.40 would mean electricity less costly ongoing***

## Cost Favorability Changes to Electricity as Natural Gas Rates Increase

---

|                                      | All-Electric | Natural Gas<br>(Low-Cost<br>Estimate) | Natural Gas<br>Cutoff point | Natural Gas<br>(High-Cost<br>Estimate) |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Energy Usage                         | 5,314 kWh    | 454.5 Therms                          | 454.5 Therms                | 454.5 Therms                           |
| Energy Rate                          | \$0.289/kWh  | \$3.00/Therm                          | \$3.40/Therm                | \$19.00/Therm                          |
| Cost                                 | \$1,533.62   | \$1,363.50                            | \$1,545.30                  | \$8,635.50                             |
| Difference<br>(Compared to Electric) | N/A          | (\$170.12)                            | \$11.68                     | \$7,101.88                             |

# Barriers to residential retrofitting: high cost; who pays?

---

- If property owners pay under mandate:
  - High costs incurred by individual owners
  - Equity issues
- If City funds all or a portion of costs:
  - Substantial City costs; could be covered by issuing bonds
    - All at once or phased over time
  - Combine debt issuance with rebates, incentives, and some property owner contribution to reduce costs incurred by City



## Cost vs. time to reduce emissions: alternatives & impacts

| City mandate alternative                                     | GHG Emission Impact   | Cost Impact  |
|--|---|--|
| Retrofit of all residences                                   | Major reduction: all 240,231 gas-fueled housing units retrofitted.        | High costs at once (\$14,363 to \$34,970/unit). Incurred by property owners &/or City. |
| Retrofitting as appliances are naturally replaced            | Appliances can last 20-40 years; multi-decade process                     | Only incremental costs of retrofitting incurred (\$2,674 - \$12,084/unit).             |
| Electric appliances for all new construction                 | No impact on existing residences (only 4,044 units added in 2020).        | No City impact: construction costs passed on to developers.                            |
| Electric appliances required at transfer of ownership        | Estimate 2,464 SFH units sold/yr. Decades before all housing retrofitted. | No City impact: retrofitting costs passed on.  |
| Emission fee to property owners based on energy use & source | Potentially large impact depending on fee.                                | Property owners incur costs; could pass on to tenants.                                 |

# Reducing retrofitting costs/potential funding support

---

## Reduce retrofit costs

Rebates

Low amperage appliances

Obtain volume discounts for program by pre-qualifying contractors and appliance manufacturers

Noticing requirements

## Potential funding sources

Impose a residential utility users tax

Impose building emissions limits

State Cap-and-Trade funding (AB 1477)

Bay Area Regional Energy Network (BayREN)

Low-income Weatherization Program

Financing programs for property owners (e.g., PACE)

# California rebate program examples

---

| Organization                          | Max. rebate              | Source                      |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Sacramento Municipal Utility District | Up to \$13,750/household | Ratepayer revenue set-aside |
| Marin County                          | Up to \$4,500/household  | BAAQMD grant                |
| City of San Jose                      | Up to \$3,500/household  | BAAQMD grant                |
| City of Palo Alto                     | Up to \$1,500/household  | Ratepayer revenue           |

# Other considerations

---

**Job creation:** Retrofit program would generate an estimated 423-774 construction jobs/year in San Francisco.

**Electrical grid capacity:** PG&E states it has capacity for increased electrical.

**Pruning the natural gas grid (redirect gas line maintenance \$ to electrification efforts):** PG&E states considering this approach but no official policy at time of report.

# Questions and comments

---

## DECARBONIZING RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS BY ELIMINATING NATURAL GAS USAGE

*Report for Supervisor Gordon Mar*

Presentation to:  
LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

July 26, 2021

Project staff: Reuben Holober [reuben.holober@sfgov.org](mailto:reuben.holober@sfgov.org)

Fred Brousseau [fred.brousseau@sfgov.org](mailto:fred.brousseau@sfgov.org)