File No.	100508	Committee Item No	3
		Board Item No	31

### COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee:	Government Audit and Oversight	Date <u>July 22, 2010</u>
Board of Su	pervisors Meeting	Date <b>8 3 / 10</b>
Cmte Boar	rd	
	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget Analyst Report Legislative Analyst Report Youth Commission Report Introduction Form (for hearings) Department/Agency Cover Letter MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Form 126 – Ethics Commission Award Letter Application	and/or Report
	Public Correspondence	. i
	(Use back side if additional space Youth Commission Recommendation,	
Completed be Completed by		ate July 16, 2010 ate July 23, 2010

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages. The complete document can be found in the file.



31/8/8

[Directing the Budget and Legislative Analyst to Audit the Department of Children, Youth and Their Families]

Motion directing the Budget and Legislative Analyst to audit the Department of Children, Youth and Their Families in their oversight of early childhood care and education programs.

WHEREAS, On January 26, 2010, the Budget and Legislative Analyst of the Board of Supervisors issued a letter to the Board of Supervisors outlining criteria for the selection of audits, including those departments or programs that have not been recently audited; and

WHEREAS, As part of that letter, a table was included of each department or program that has recently been audited, and it appears the Department of Children, Youth and Their Families is the largest City department that has not been subject of a performance audit, and which does not receive annual financial audits; and

WHEREAS, The Budget Analyst letter is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 100508, which is hereby declared to be a part of this motion as if set forth fully herein; and

WHEREAS, In Fiscal Year 2009-2010, the Department of Children, Youth and Their Families had a total budget of \$136.6 Million, with \$23.7 Million in General Fund and \$112.9 Million in departmental revenues; and

WHEREAS, Funding for the Department of Children, Youth and Their Families comes in part through the Children's Fund, a Charter mandated set-aside that fluctuates from year to year; and

WHEREAS, An audit of the Department of Children, Youth and Their Families will ensure that the Children's Fund allocations are proper, that programs are run in the most

Supervisor Alioto-Pier BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

efficient manner possible, and that San Francisco's families and taxpayers get a good return on their investment; and

WHEREAS, Approval of this audit is the first step in making sure that every City department is audited on a regular basis to identify waste, fraud and abuse in City government, and ensure that programs are run in the most efficient manner possible; and

WHEREAS, This audit will encompass both the Department of Children, Youth and Their Families, and any programs or services it funds outside of City government; now, therefore, be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors directs the Budget and Legislative Analyst to conduct an audit of the Department of Children, Youth and Their Families in their oversight of early childhood care and education programs; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors directs the Budget and Legislative Analyst to evaluate the Department of Children, Youth, and Their Families' coordination of early childhood care and education programs with the First Five Commission, the Human Services Agency, Department of Public Health, and other City departments; and, be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the scope of the Budget and Legislative Analyst's audit of the Department of Children, Youth and Their Families' oversight of early childhood care and education programs and coordination with other City departments includes evaluation of (a) best practices for early childhood care and education programs, (b) duplication or gaps in programs provided by City departments, (c) which communities are underserved and which programs are underutilized, (d) City departments' oversight of program providers, (e) opportunities for improved interdepartmental coordination of the City's early childhood care and education programs, and (f) coordination of early childhood care and education programs with child health programs.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Item 3	Department(s):	
File 10- 0508	Board of Supervisors	•
		t

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

#### Legislative Objectives

<u>File 10-0508:</u> Motion directing the Budget and Legislative Analyst to conduct a performance audit of the Department of Children, Youth, and Their Families in their oversight of early childhood care and education programs.

#### **Fiscal Impact**

The existing FY 2010-11 appropriation for Budget and Legislative Analyst services would cover the costs of the proposed performance audit. Therefore, there would be no additional costs to the City.

#### **Key Points**

The sponsor of the proposed motion will submit an Amendment of the Whole to the July 22, 2010 Government Audit and Oversight Committee meeting.

To implement the proposed performance audit, the Budget and Legislative Analyst would:

- Identify the Department of Children, Youth, and Their Families' and other City departments', including the Human Services Agency's and the First Five Commission's, early childhood care and education programs and funding.
- Evaluate if City policies and standards for providing early childhood care and education are contradictory or inconsistent; and identify early childhood care and education best practices.
- Evaluate duplication or gaps in programs and funding; and evaluate if programs are underutilized or communities are underserved.
- Evaluate City department oversight of funds and program providers, including consistent criteria for evaluating program performance and use of performance evaluations in making program funding decisions.
- Evaluate if the City's early childhood care and education programs coordinate with child health programs; and identify opportunities for improved coordination or consolidation of City departments' early childhood care and education programs.

The Budget and Legislative Analyst estimates that the proposed performance audit would require approximately 1,000 service hours. The Budget and Legislative Analyst has sufficient performance audit and project service hours to complete this and other performance audits and projects previously approved by the Board of Supervisors or pending before the Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

#### Recommendation

Approval of the proposed motion is a policy matter for the Board of Supervisors.

#### **BACKGROUND**

The Budget and Legislative Analyst provides performance audit and project services to the Board of Supervisors. The Agreement between the Budget and Legislative Analyst Joint Venture Partnership and the Board of Supervisors defines the Budget and Legislative Analyst scope of services and requires that the Budget and Legislative Analyst submit an annual work plan estimating the allocation of staff hours for each service category and major project for input, review and approval by the Board of Supervisors.

The Board of Supervisors approved the Budget and Legislative Analyst work plan for 2010 on February 23, 2010 (File 10-0148), allocating approximately 4,450 service hours for performance audits and special projects.

#### DETAILS OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION

The proposed motion would direct the Budget and Legislative Analyst to conduct a performance audit of the Department of Children, Youth and Their Families in their oversight of early childhood care and education programs. The sponsor of the proposed motion will submit an Amendment of the Whole to the July 22, 2010 Government Audit and Oversight Committee.

To implement the proposed performance audit, the Budget and Legislative Analyst would:

- Identify the City departments that provide early childhood education and care. These departments would include the Department of Children, Youth, and Their Families, Human Services Agency, First Five Commission, Department of Public Health, and other departments that may have early childhood care and education programs.
- Evaluate if City policies and standards for providing early childhood care and education are contradictory or inconsistent.
- Identify best practices in Citywide provision of early childhood care and education.
- Evaluate duplication of services among City departments, gaps in program services, underutilized services, or underserved communities.
- Identify existing federal, state, local, or private (foundation) funding sources for programs, and evaluate if City departments comply with the requirements of these funding sources.
- Evaluate potential overlap or gaps in providing funding to various early childhood education and care programs.
- Evaluate City department oversight of funds and program providers, including consistent criteria for evaluating program performance and use of performance evaluations in making program funding decisions.

SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

**BUDGET AND LEGISLATIVE ANALYST** 

- Evaluate if the City's early childhood care and education programs coordinate with child health programs.
- Identify opportunities for improved coordination or consolidation of City departments' early childhood care and education programs.

The Budget and Legislative Analyst estimates that the proposed performance audit would require approximately 1,000 service hours. The Budget and Legislative Analyst has sufficient performance audit and project service hours to complete this and other performance audits and projects previously approved by the Board of Supervisors or pending before the Government Audit and Oversight Committee. We estimate completing the proposed performance audit by approximately October 31, 2010. The Budget and Legislative Analyst will conduct the performance audit based on audit priorities established by the Board of Supervisors.

#### FISCAL IMPACTS

The existing FY 2010-11 appropriation for Budget and Legislative Analyst services would cover the costs of the proposed performance audit. Therefore, there would be no additional costs to the City.

#### RECOMMENDATION

Approval of the proposed motion is a policy matter for the Board of Supervisors.

Youth Commission City Hall ~ Room 345 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4532



(415) 554-6446 (415) 554-6140 FAX www.sfgov.org/youth\_commission

# YOUTH COMMISSION MEMORANDUM

TO: Alisa Somera, Clerk, Government Audit and Oversight Committee

FROM: Mario Yedidia, Coordinator, Youth Commission

**DATE:** July 22, 2010

RE: Youth Commission support of proposed motion file no. 100508 [Directing the Budget and

Legislative Analyst to Audit the Department of Children, Youth and Their Families]

At its regular meeting of Monday, May 17, 2010, the Youth Commission voted to support this motion sponsored by Supervisor Alioto-Pier.

#### CITY AND COUNTY



#### BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

#### BUDGET AND LEGISLATIVE ANALYST

1390 Market Street, Suite 1025, San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 554-7642 FAX (415) 252-0461

January 26, 2010

Honorable David Chiu, President,
Supervisor Eric Mar, Chair, Government Audit and Oversight Committee,
and Members of the Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco
Room 244, City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Dear President Chiu, Supervisor Mar, and Members of the Board of Supervisors:

Charter Section 16.114 grants the Board of Supervisors powers of inquiry. Under Charter Section 16.114, the Board of Supervisors directs the Budget and Legislative Analyst to conduct performance audits of City and County departments and functions by approval of a motion of the Board of Supervisors.

To assist the Board of Supervisors in determining which performance audits to assign to the Budget and Legislative Analyst, we have compiled a list of potential performance audit topics for 2010, based on the following criteria:

- City departments or programs that have not been recently audited by the Controller or the Budget and Legislative Analyst.
- City programs that are costly, inefficient, or have failed to achieve program goals that the Budget and Legislative Analyst has previously identified in our reports submitted to the Board of Supervisors.
- Significant programs or issues identified by members of the Board of Supervisors.

Under the 2010 Budget and Legislative Analyst proposed work plan pending before the Board of Supervisors, the Budget and Legislative Analyst provides up to approximately 4,450 professional staff hours in performance audit services each year, including special projects. In developing our list of potential audit subjects, we have estimated the range of hours necessary to complete each performance audit. Because this range is only an estimate, the actual number of hours to

Honorable David Chiu, President, Supervisor Eric Mar, Chair, Government Audit and Oversight Committee, and Members of the Board of Supervisors January 26, 2009 Page 2 of 7

complete each performance audit may change based on the initial audit survey and risk assessment and a resulting detailed audit work program.

The list of potential performance audits to be conducted by the Budget and Legislative Analyst is presented in the following table by department and program.

Department	Program	Audit Questions	Estimated Hours
Citywide	Revenues	Can the City increase General Fund revenues through new or increased fees, surcharges, negotiated lease increases and improved collection of lease revenues, improved collection of fines or penalties and delinquent accounts, revenue measures adopted by other jurisdictions, federal or state grants, or other non-tax sources?	1,200 to 1,500
Citywide	Revenues	Can the City increase revenues through auction of taxi medallions, condominium conversions, mobile food cart permits, and other limited permits.	240 to 360
Citywide	Revenues, Property	Would the City encounter legal restrictions from selling City properties that are not required for public purposes, including Administrative Code Section 23.2 requiring suitable properties to be used for affordable housing? What are the advantages or disadvantages of the property sale? What would be the potential one-time revenues?	240 to 360
Citywide, Department of Human Resources	Memoranda of Understanding	Does the Board of Supervisors want to adopt policies and/or criteria to better guide the City's negotiations with labor unions, such as minimum staffing requirements, special pay or benefits, performance bonuses, education and other trust funds, and other contract provisions?	480
Citywide	Operating Efficiency	What are the City's rules and requirements for employee travel costs; are these rules reasonable and are they enforced?	480

Honorable David Chiu, President, Supervisor Eric Mar, Chair, Government Audit and Oversight Committee, and Members of the Board of Supervisors January 26, 2009 Page 3 of 7

Department	Program	Audit Questions	Estimated Hours
Assessor	Property Assessment	Has the Assessor filled the four new positions, approved in the FY 2009-10 budget to reduce the assessment backlog? Are property assessments current? What is the impact on FY 2009-10 and FY 2010-11 property tax collection?	500 to 750
Assessor and Department of Building Inspection	Property Assessment	Does the City have procedures for ensuring that building improvements result in new assessments, including DBI notification of the Assessor when building improvements should result in increased property assessment? Are values for improvements adjusted based on actual costs as opposed to estimated costs as stated on initial permits?	750
City Attorney's Office	Affirmative Litigation	What criteria does the City Attorney use to determine which cases to pursue on behalf of the City? How does the City Attorney assign staff and bill for such cases?	500
General Services Agency	Operating Efficiency	Has GSA realized the expected cost savings from consolidating the Department of Technology, Department of Public Works, and Department of Administrative Services into one department?	1,000 to 1,200
General Services Agency	Operating Efficiency	Has the City achieved the expected cost savings from the 311 Call Center?	750 to 1,000

Honorable David Chiu, President, Supervisor Eric Mar, Chair, Government Audit and Oversight Committee, and Members of the Board of Supervisors January 26, 2009 Page 4 of 7

Department	Program	Audit Questions	Estimated Hours
General Services Agency, City Attorney's Office, and Various City Departments	Risk Management	Do the City's existing risk management procedures adequately address the City's claims experience? Does the City have adequate processes to track and develop procedures to reduce claims, and does the City appropriately assign financial liability for claims to City departments with significant claims experience? (This audit would include the City's general liability but would not look at medical or workers compensation liability.)	1,200 to 1,500
General Services Agency, Real Estate Division and Other City Departments	Property Leasing	Could the City achieve better results from contracting with professional property managers rather than using City department staff to negotiate and manage leases for Cityowned property?	480
General Services Agency, Real Estate Division	Property Management	Has the City achieved expected cost savings by consolidating City departments into Cityowned buildings?	480
Mayor's Office of Housing and Human Services Department	Housing Programs	A comprehensive analysis of housing, homelessness and supportive services in the City, including historical trend data identifying the number of shelters, beds, SROs, supportive housing programs and the costs for such services. Does the City evaluate programs and services? If so, which programs are working? Which are not and why not?	500 to 750
Municipal Transportation Agency	Revenues and Expenditures	Is the MTA managing revenues and expenditures in the most economical, effective, and efficient manner?	1,200 to 1,500
Municipal Transportation Agency	Revenue Collection	Does the MTA effectively monitor the contract for parking meter revenue collection to ensure accurate collections?	360 to 480

Honorable David Chiu, President, Supervisor Eric Mar, Chair, Government Audit and Oversight Committee, and Members of the Board of Supervisors January 26, 2009 Page 5 of 7

Department	Program	Audit Questions	Estimated Hours
Municipal Transportation Agency	Revenue Collection	Does the MTA have sufficient controls over fare box collection and counting to ensure maximum collection free from waste or fraud?	360 to 480
Municipal Transportation Agency	Revenue Oversight	Does the MTA maintain sufficient controls over third party vendors of transit passes to ensure that purchasers of discounted passes meet the requirements and that all revenues are accounted for?	360 to 480
Municipal Transportation Agency	Revenue Oversight	Does MTA ensure that permit fees fully recover costs?	240 to 360
Municipal Transportation Agency	Operating Efficiency	Does the MTA deploy parking control officers to ensure effective enforcement of parking regulations?	360 to 480
Municipal Transportation Agency	Operating Efficiency	What are the costs of acquiring and operating historic street cars compared to cost of acquiring and operating replicas?	120
Municipal Transportation Agency	Proof of Payment Program	Has the MTA implemented Budget Analyst recommendations in the June 2009 audit?	120
Department of Public Health	Healthy SF	The Controller's Office presented a report on Healthy SF in 2007 with recommendations to assist the Department of Public Health in the planning and implementation of Healthy SF. What has been the cost of Healthy SF? What has been the participation and outcomes? Has the City set up systems to evaluate the program's costs, effectiveness, and improved outcomes (access to health care, improved healthcare)? If a Federal health insurance program is approved, how will Healthy SF be impacted?	1,200 to 1,500

Honorable David Chiu, President, Supervisor Eric Mar, Chair, Government Audit and Oversight Committee, and Members of the Board of Supervisors January 26, 2009 Page 6 of 7

Department	Program	Audit Questions	Estimated Hours
Department of Public Health	Community Health Contracts	Does the Department of Public Health appropriately identify services to be provided by non-profit community organizations? Do these services duplicate in-house services? What performance standards has the Department of Public Health set up for contracts awarded to non-profit community organizations? Does the Department sufficiently monitor contract expenditures and performance?	1,200
Department of Public Health and Sheriff's Department	Jail Health Services and Inpatient Care at Ward 70	Does the Sheriff's Department reimburse the Department of Public Health for all costs incurred by the Department of Public Health for nursing and medical services provided to inmates in the Hall of Justice and San Bruno jails as well as for the costs for inmates who are hospitalized in San Francisco General Hospital's inpatient jail ward?	500 to 750
Department of Public Health and Sheriff's Department	Security Services	Does the Sheriff's Department provide security staffing for the Department of Public Health in the most efficient manner? Could the Sheriff's Department achieve cost savings through better resource allocation? Could the City achieve savings from contracting with a private security firm?	500 to 750
Recreation and Park Department and Department of Children, Youth and Their Families	Childcare Services	What are the different childcare services in the City (including afterschool care, daycare, recreation programs, school programs)? What different funding sources are currently and potentially available? Can better coordination of resources and information provide improved services?	500 to 750
Recreation and Park Department	Marina Yacht Harbor	Has the Recreation and Park Department implemented 2008 Marina Yacht Harbor Fund recommendations?	120

Honorable David Chiu, President, Supervisor Eric Mar, Chair, Government Audit and Oversight Committee, and Members of the Board of Supervisors January 26, 2009 Page 7 of 7

Department	Program	Audit Questions	Estimated Hours
Treasurer/Tax Collector's Office	Revenue Collection	Does the City lose parking tax revenue because operators do not comply with the Business and Tax Code in recording all parking revenues? Has implementation of Business and Tax Code requirements for revenue control equipment and other requirements improved parking collection rates?	500 to 750

Attached to this memorandum is a list of audits previously conducted by the Budget Analyst or the Controller.

We are available to discuss these recommended performance audits or any other performance audits or special projects that may be of interest to the Board of Supervisors.

Respectfully submitted,

Severin Campbell, Audit Manager

Budget and Legislative Analyst's Office

cc: Supervisor Alioto-Pier

Supervisor Avalos

Supervisor Campos

Supervisor Chu

Supervisor Daly

Supervisor Dufty

Supervisor Elsbernd

Supervisor Mirkarimi

Supervisor Maxwell

Clerk of the Board

Harvey Rose, Budget Analyst

Dept Title or Program	Auditor	Dates of Performance Audits	Most Recent Audits	Annual Fiscal Audits	Budget and Legislative Analyst's List of Potential Projects 2010	Controller Work Plan FY 2009- 2010
Academy of Sciences			Not	-		
Adult Probation	Controller	Oct 2000 - Performance audit	Oct 2000			
Airport	Budget Analyst Controller	May 2003 - Airport Development Bureau June 98 - Parking Control System	May 2003	Yes		Concession and lease audits
Arts Comm	-		Not current	Yes		
Asian Art Museum			Not current	Yes		
Assessor/ Recorder	Controller	Aug 09 - Performance audit	Aug 2009		Assessment backlog	
Board of Appeals			Not current			
Board of Super:			Not current	Yes		
Building Inspection	Controller	June 2001 - Performance audit	June 2001		Property tax revenues from updated assessments Parking tax revenues	
Children, Youth, and Families			Not	,	Assessment of City childcare	Grant audits

Dept Title or Program	Auditor	Dates of Performance Audits	Most Recent Audits	Annual Fiscal Audits	Budget and Legislative Analyst's List of Potential Projects 2010	Controller Work Plan FY 2009- 2010
City Attorney	Controller	Jan 2002 - Performance audit	Jan 2002		Affirmative Litigation	
City Planning	Budget Analyst	June 2002 - Performance audit	June 2002			
Controller	Budget Analyst	Sep 2003 - Performance audit	Sep 2003		THE PERSON NAMED IN COLUMN TO THE PE	
Dept. of Tech	Budget Analyst	Oct 07 - Information technology practices	Oct 2007			
District Attorney	Budget Analyst . Controller	Sep 09 - First Offender Prostitution Program Aug 05 - Financial, organization, staffing	Sep 2009			
Economic						
& Workforce Devel.	Budget Analyst Budget Analyst	Feb 10 - Office of Small Business Aug 07 - SF's workforce development programs	Feb 2010	Yes	-	
Elections			Not			
Emerg. Mgmt	Budget Analyst	May 06 - Performance audit	May 2006		The state of the s	
Environ.	Controller	Oct 09 - Follow Up review of department audit	Oct 2009			
Ethics			Not			
Соппт.		Total Control of the	current			
Fine Arts			Not			
Museum			current			

d Controller Jist of FY 2009- 2010		m on of rices	ıter	u.	on of es in	e of		ases			ineme.
Budget and Legislative Analyst's List of Potential Projects 2010	7	Savings from consolidation of DT, DPW, Admin Services	311 call center	consolidation	Consolidation of City agencies in City-owned buildings	Potential use of   professional	property managers to	negotiate leases	and manage	properues	Risk management
Annual Fiscal Audits						-			······································		**************
Most Recent Audits	Jan 2002				Dec 2009						
Dates of Performance Audits	Jan 2002 - Performance audit		,						-		
Auditor	Budget Analyst		-		Budget Analyst GSA Study Controller Controller Controller	Budget Analyst Budget Analyst					
Dept Title or Program	Fire			Ton Care	Services Agency (except Public	Dept. of Techn.)					

Dept Title or Program	Auditor	Dates of Performance Audits	Most Au Recent F Audits A	Annual Fiscal Audits	Budget and Legislative Analyst's List of Potential Projects 2010	Controller Work Plan FY 2009- 2010
Health Service System	Controller	June 2005 - Performance Audit	June 2005			
Human Resources	Budget Analyst	Feb 1994 - Workers Compensation Division	Not current		Board of. Supervisors criteria to guide labor negotiations Employee travel costs	
Human Rights Comm.			Not current			
Human Services Agency	Controller Controller Controller Controller Controller	Jan 09 - Follow Up of Family Services Division Apr 08 - Audit of Care Not Cash Feb 06 - Audit of Foster Care/Children's Services Mar 03 - Adult & Aging Services Whistleblower May 02 - Homeless Services (Citywide audit) Jun 99 - Public Guardian	Jan 2009			
Juvenile Probation	Controller Controller	Apr 05 Staffing analysis of Juvenile Hall June 98 - Management Audit	April 2005		-	
Law Library			Not current			
Mayor	Budget Analyst	Jun 02 MOH's affordable housing	June 2002		Housing assessment	

Dept Title or Program	Auditor	Dates of Performance Audits	Most Recent Audits	Annual Fiscal Audits	Budget and Legislative Analyst's List of Potential Projects 2010	Controller Work Plan FY 2009- 2010
					Management of revenues and expenditures	
					Oversight of parking meter collection contract	
Municipal	Controller Controller Budget Analyst	Dec 2009 - Audit of Parking Garages Completed 2009 - MTA Transit Effectiveness June 2009 - Proof of Payment Program	Š		Oversight over fare box collection	Transit Effective. Project
Transp. Agency	Budget Analyst Budget Analyst Controller Controller	April 99 - Department of Parking and Traffic July 96 - Audit of the Municipal Railway Oct 94 - Cable Car Fare Collection Follow Up Sep 92 - Parking Ticket Process	2009	Yes	Deployment of parking control officers	Implement.; Parking Garage
					Third party transit pass vendors	Audits
					Cost recovery permit fees	
				÷	Proof of Payment audit follow up	

Dept Title or Program	Auditor	Dates of Performance Audits	Most Recent Audits	Annual Fiscal Audits	Budget and Legislative Analyst's List of Potential Projects 2010	Controller Work Plan FY 2009- 2010
Police	Controller Controller Controller Controller Budget Analyst Budget Analyst Controller	Oct 09 - Office of Citizen Complaints Dec 08 - Report on Organizational Assessment Apr 08 Foot patrol evaluation May 1998 Phase II Management Audit Dec 1996 Phase I Management Audit Feb 91 - 911 Call Center audit Aug 89 Court Overtime Practice and Usage	Oct 2009			
Port	Controller Budget Analyst	Mar 08 Purchasing and Inventory Controls Apr 04 Audit of Port	Mar 2008	Yes		Concession and lease
Public Defender	Controller	Aug 03 – Case management & staffing review	Aug 2003			
Public Health	Controller Controller Controller Budget Analyst Controller	Jun 08 DPH revenue maximization Dec 07 DPH market assessment/benchmarking Jul 07 - Audit of DPH contract with Conard House 2003 - SFGH Revenues & Expenditures Dec 91 - Performance review of SFGH	June 2008	Yes	Healthy SF costs and participation Management of community health contractors Sheriff's Cepartment's reimbursements for DPH costs for incarcerated patients	Medicare Reimburse.

Dept Title or Program	Auditor	Dates of Performance Audits	Most Recent Audits	Annual Fiscal Audits	Budget and Legislative Analyst's List of Potential Projects 2010	Controller Work Plan FY 2009- 2010
Public Library	Controller	Sep 07 - Branch Library Improvement Program	Sep 2007			
Public Utilities Comm.	Budget Analyst Controller	Aug 05 - Management audit of the PUC Nov 93 - PUC's Security Services	Aug 2005	Yes		Lease and Water System Improvemen t Program Contract Audits
Public Works	Budget Analyst Controller	Jan 07 - Management Audit of DPW Oct 93 - DPW's Capital Project Outlays	Jan 2007	Yes		Capital project fund balances
Recreation and Park	Budget Analyst Budget Analyst Controller Budget Analyst Controller Controller	Mar 08 - Marina Yacht Harbor Fund Jan 06 - Audit of Recreation & Park Department June 02 - Audit of the Marina Yacht Harbor May 00 - Management Audit of the Zoo June 95 - Audit of the Open Space Fund June 96 - Overtime at 3 Com Park	Mar 2006	Yes		Park Bond Program Audit
Rent Arbitration Board			Not current			
Retirement System			Not current			
		-				

		THE RESERVE THE PROPERTY OF TH				
Don't Title					Budget and	Confroller
Dept anie	Anditor	Dates of Days and A - 322-	Most	Annual	Legislative	Work Plan
Program	TOMBRE TO	Dates of Keliolinalice Audits	Kecent	Fiscal	Analyst's List of	FY 2009-
0	or Principles and the second s		Summer	Addits	Projects 2010	2010
					Sheriff's	
					Department's	
					reimbursements	
			4		for DPH costs for	
					incarcerated	
Sheriff	Budget Analyst   Controller	Jun 08 - Fixed Post Staffing Analysis Nov 99 - Management Audit	June 2008		patients	
					Sheriff's	
					Department's	
					costs for	
	7				providing	
					security services	
Status of Women	Controller	Sep 99 - Management Audit	Sep 1999			
Superior			Not			
Court			current			•
Taxi			Not			
Comm.		CONTROL CONTRO	current			
Treasurer/	Budget Analyst	April 1995 - Audit of the Tax Collector's Office	April	20%	Property tax revenues from	
Collector	Controller	Sep 92 - Treasurer's Tax Collection Division	1995	3	updated	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					assessments	
war Memorial	Controller	Nov 94 - Selected Assets	Nov 1994			
		**************************************			The Part of the Pa	