

1 [Closed Session - Anticipated Litigation - Public Financing Law]

2

3 **Motion that the Board of Supervisors convene in closed session on September 27,**
4 **2011, to consult with Legal Counsel for the purpose of conferring with, or receiving**
5 **advice from, Legal Counsel regarding anticipated litigation involving the City's public**
6 **financing law (Campaign and Governmental Conduce Code Section 1.144) in light of**
7 **Arizona Free Enterprise Club's Freedom PAC et al. v. Bennett, U.S. Supreme Court**
8 **Case No. 10-238. Government Code Section 54956.9(b) and San Francisco**
9 **Administrative Code Section 67.10(d)(2) permit this closed session because discussion**
10 **in open session concerning this matter would likely and unavoidably prejudice the**
11 **position of the City in the anticipated litigation.**

12

13 WHEREAS, This closed session is held pursuant to Government Code Section
14 54956.9(b), and Administrative Code Section 67.10(d)(2); now, therefore, be it

15 MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors convene in closed session on September 27,
16 2011, to consult with Legal Counsel for the purpose of conferring with, or receiving advice
17 from, Legal Counsel regarding anticipated litigation involving the City's public financing law
18 (Campaign and Governmental Conduce Code Section 1.144) in light of Arizona Free
19 Enterprise Club's Freedom PAC et al. v. Bennett, U.S. Supreme Court Case No. 10-238.
20 Government Code Section 54956.9(b) and San Francisco Administrative Code Section
21 67.10(d)(2) permit this closed session because discussion in open session concerning this
22 matter would likely and unavoidably prejudice the position of the City in the anticipated
23 litigation.

24

25