

File No. 230924

Committee Item No. 3

Board Item No. _____

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: Land Use and Transportation

Date: Nov. 13, 2023

Board of Supervisors Meeting: _____

Date: _____

Cmte Board

- Motion
- Resolution
- Ordinance
- Legislative Digest
- Budget and Legislative Analyst Report
- Youth Commission Report
- Introduction Form
- Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report
- MOU
- Grant Information Form
- Grant Budget
- Subcontract Budget
- Contract / DRAFT Mills Act Agreement
- Form 126 – Ethics Commission
- Award Letter
- Application
- Public Correspondence

OTHER

- Planning Commission Transmittal Package – November 9, 2023
- CEQA Determination – October 2, 2023
- Referrals CEQA, Planning Commission – September 8, 2023
- Hearing Notice – October 13, 2023
- Committee Report Request Memo – November 8, 2023
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____

Prepared by: John Carroll

Date: Nov. 10, 2023

Prepared by: _____

Date: _____

Prepared by: _____

Date: _____

1 [Planning Code, Zoning Map - Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District]

2
3 **Ordinance amending the Planning Code to create the Non-Profit Arts Education Special**
4 **Use District (Assessor's Parcel Block No. 49, Lot No. 1, generally bounded by**
5 **Francisco Street, Jones Street, Chestnut Street, and Leavenworth Street, and**
6 **numbered as 800 Chestnut Street); amending the Zoning Map to show the Non-Profit**
7 **Arts Education Special Use District; affirming the Planning Department's determination**
8 **under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with**
9 **the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101; and**
10 **making findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare pursuant to Planning**
11 **Code, Section 302.**

12 NOTE: **Unchanged Code text and uncodified text** are in plain Arial font.
13 **Additions to Codes** are in *single-underline italics Times New Roman font*.
14 **Deletions to Codes** are in *strikethrough italics Times New Roman font*.
15 **Board amendment additions** are in double-underlined Arial font.
16 **Board amendment deletions** are in ~~strikethrough Arial font~~.
17 **Asterisks (* * * *)** indicate the omission of unchanged Code
18 subsections or parts of tables.

19 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

20 Section 1. Environmental and Land Use Findings.

21 (a) The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this
22 ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources
23 Code Sections 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
24 Supervisors in File No. 230924 and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board affirms
25 this determination.

1 (b) On November 9, 2023, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No. 21436,
2 adopted findings that the actions contemplated in this ordinance are consistent, on balance,
3 with the City's General Plan and eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. The
4 Board adopts these findings as its own. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of
5 the Board of Supervisors in File No. 230924, and is incorporated herein by reference.

6 (c) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, the Board finds that this Planning Code
7 amendment will serve the public necessity, convenience, and welfare for the reasons set forth
8 in Planning Commission Resolution No. 21436, and the Board incorporates such reasons
9 herein by reference. A copy of said resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
10 Supervisors in File No. 230924.

11
12 Section 2. Additional Findings.

13 (a) Founded in 1871, the San Francisco Art Institute ("SFAI") was one of the oldest
14 arts institutions in the country.

15 (b) In 1926, the SFAI moved to 800 Chestnut Street. The approximately 93,000-
16 square-foot art campus was designed by the architectural firm Bakewell & Brown, which
17 designed many classic structures in the Bay Area, including the iconic San Francisco City
18 Hall. The art campus includes a bell tower, interior courtyard, library, terrace, rooftop
19 amphitheater, galleries, studios and beautiful bay views.

20 (c) Several years later, Diego Rivera was hired to paint *The Making of a Fresco*
21 *Showing the Building of a City*. The mural was painted using the *buon fresco* technique,
22 meaning it was painted directly on fresh lime plaster using water-based tempera pigments.
23 The mural is a *trompe l'œil*, depicting Rivera and assistants on a scaffold painting their fresco
24 mural.

1 (d) For many years, the SFAI nurtured the creative arts, and provided arts education to
2 thousands of San Franciscans. Notable teachers included Ansel Adams, Dorothea Lange, Ad
3 Reinhardt, Mark Rothko, and Clyfford Still. Notable alumni include Richard Diebenkorn, Sam
4 Francis, Michael Heizer, Annie Leibowitz, Paul McCarthy, Catherine Opie, and Kehinde Wiley.

5 (e) In 1977, with the enactment of Ordinance No. 208-77, the original 1926 building at
6 800 Chestnut Street was designated as Historical Landmark No. 85.

7 (f) In 2016, the 800 Chestnut Street location was nominated for listing on the National
8 Register of Historic Places under Criterion A (events). That same year, the Historic
9 Preservation Commission concurred with the assessment based on the SFAI's role in the
10 development of American art and for its contributions to art education in the United States.

11 (g) On October 15, 2021, the City enacted Ordinance No. 169-21, finding that *The*
12 *Making of a Fresco Showing the Building of a City* has a special character and special
13 historical, architectural, and aesthetic interest and value, and that its designation as a
14 Landmark would further the purposes of and conform to the standards set forth in Article 10 of
15 the Planning Code. The mural was designated as Historical Landmark No. 294. Ordinance
16 No. 169-21 found that, notwithstanding the designation of SFAI as Landmark No. 85, pursuant
17 to Ordinance No. 208-77, the addition of a compatible entryway to the Diego Rivera Gallery
18 from the public right-of-way on Chestnut Street, on the south side of the Diego Rivera Gallery,
19 to provide and maintain public visibility of, and enhance access to, the fresco from the public
20 right-of-way, could be considered, subject to approval of a Certificate of Appropriateness by
21 the Historic Preservation Commission.

22 (h) In 2020, the SFAI announced that it would stop accepting students due to declining
23 enrollment, high real estate costs, and financial constraints. The SFAI closed permanently in
24 2022. On April 26, 2023, the SFAI filed for Chapter 7 bankruptcy.

1 Section 3. Article 2 of the Planning Code is hereby amended by adding Section 249.8,
2 to read as follows:

3 **SEC. 249.8. NON-PROFIT ARTS EDUCATION SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.**

4 (a) **General.** A special use district entitled the “Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use
5 District” consisting of Assessor’s Parcel Block No. 49, Lot No. 1, which is generally bounded by
6 Francisco Street, Jones Street, Chestnut Street, and Leavenworth Street, and is numbered as 800
7 Chestnut Street, is hereby established for the purposes set forth below. The boundaries of the Non-
8 Profit Arts Education Special Use District are designated on Sectional Map No. SU01 of the Zoning
9 Map.

10 (b) **Purpose.** The purpose of the special use district is to continue the tradition of arts
11 education at the site of the former San Francisco Art Institute and facilitate the establishment of a Non-
12 Profit Arts Education Institutional Use.

13 (c) **Definition.** For purposes of this special use district, a Non-Profit Arts Education
14 Institutional Use shall mean an Institutional use in which the chief activity is not carried on as a
15 gainful business and whose chief function is to operate as a post-secondary educational institution that
16 gathers persons for the purposes of offering arts education. A Non-Profit Arts Education Institutional
17 Use shall not be required to be certified by the Western Association of Schools and Colleges. Such
18 institution may include employee or student dormitories and other housing operated by and affiliated
19 with the institution.

20 (d) **Controls.** All provisions of the Planning Code applicable to the RH-3 District shall apply;
21 provided that a Non-Profit Arts Education Institutional Use is principally permitted and shall not be
22 obligated to comply with Section 304.5 concerning institutional master plans.

23
24 Section 4. Pursuant to Sections 106 and 302(c) of the Planning Code, Sheet SU 01 of
25 the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby amended, as follows:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Assessor's Parcels (Block/Lot Numbers)	Special Use District Hereby Approved
49/01	Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District

Section 5. Effective Date; Operative Date.

(a) This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

(b) This ordinance shall become operative upon the later of the effective date of this ordinance or the recordation of a grant deed for the sale of Assessor's Block 49, Lot 1.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
DAVID CHIU, City Attorney

By: /s/ Austin M. Yang
AUSTIN M. YANG
Deputy City Attorney

n:\legana\as2023\2400056\01702662.docx

LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

[Planning Code, Zoning Map - Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District]

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to create the Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District (Assessor's Parcel Block No. 49, Lot No. 1, generally bounded by Francisco Street, Jones Street, Chestnut Street, and Leavenworth Street, and numbered as 800 Chestnut Street); amending the Zoning Map to show the Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101; and making findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare pursuant to Planning Code, Section 302.

Existing Law

The former San Francisco Art Institute was located at 800 Chestnut Street (Assessor's Parcel Block No. 49, Lot. No. 1). Said parcel is subject to the controls in the Planning Code that govern the Residential, House 3 zoned areas.

Amendments to Current Law

This ordinance would create the Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District ("SUD") to continue the tradition of arts education at the site of the former San Francisco Art Institute and facilitate the establishment of a Non-Profit Arts Education Institutional Use. The SUD would define Non-Profit Arts Education Institutional Use for purposes of that site as an Institutional use in which the chief activity is not carried on as a gainful business and whose chief function is to operate as a post-secondary educational institution that gathers persons for the purposes of offering arts education. A Non-Profit Arts Education Institutional Use would not be required to be certified by the Western Association of Schools and Colleges.

This ordinance would also amend the Zoning Map to create the Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District.

n:\legana\as2023\2400056\01702814.docx



November 9, 2023

Ms. Angela Calvillo, Clerk
Honorable Supervisor Peskin
Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco
City Hall, Room 244
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Transmittal of Planning Department Case Number 2023-008250PCA:
Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District
Board File No. 230924

Planning Commission Recommendation: Approval

Dear Ms. Calvillo and Supervisor Peskin,

On November 8, 2023, and November 9, 2023, the Historic Preservation Commission and Planning Commission, respectively, conducted duly noticed public hearings at a regularly scheduled meeting to consider the proposed Ordinance, introduced by Supervisor Peskin that would establish the Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District under Planning Code Section 249.8. At the hearings, the Historic Preservation Commission adopted a recommendation for approval and the Planning Commission recommended approval.

The proposed amendments are not defined as a project under CEQA Guidelines Section 15060(c) and 15378 because they do not result in a physical change in the environment.

Please find attached documents relating to the actions of the Commission. If you have any questions or require further information please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Aaron D. Starr
Manager of Legislative Affairs

cc: Austin Yang, Deputy City Attorney
Sunny Angulo, Aide to Supervisor Peskin
John Carroll, Office of the Clerk of the Board

Attachments:

Historic Preservation Commission Resolution
Planning Commission Resolution
Planning Department Executive Summary



HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION RESOLUTION NO. 1355

HEARING DATE: NOVEMBER 1, 2023

Project Name: Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District
Case Number: 2023-008250PCAMAP [Board File No. 230924]
Initiated by: Supervisor Peskin / Introduced September 5, 2023
Staff Contact: Veronica Flores, Legislative Affairs
veronica.flores@sfgov.org, 628-652-7525
Reviewed by: Aaron D Starr, Manager of Legislative Affairs
aaron.starr@sfgov.org, (628) 652-7533

RESOLUTION ADOPTING A RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL OF A PROPOSED ORDINANCE THAT WOULD AMEND THE PLANNING CODE TO CREATE THE NON-PROFIT ARTS EDUCATION SPECIAL USE DISTRICT (ASSESSOR'S PARCEL BLOCK NO. 49, LOT NO. 1, GENERALLY BOUNDED BY FRANCISCO STREET, JONES STREET, CHESTNUT STREET, AND LEAVENWORTH STREET, AND NUMBERED AS 800 CHESTNUT STREET); AMENDING THE ZONING MAP TO SHOW THE NON-PROFIT ARTS EDUCATION SPECIAL USE DISTRICT; AFFIRMING THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT'S DETERMINATION UNDER THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT; MAKING FINDINGS OF CONSISTENCY WITH THE GENERAL PLAN, AND THE EIGHT PRIORITY POLICIES OF PLANNING CODE, SECTION 101; AND MAKING FINDINGS OF PUBLIC NECESSITY, CONVENIENCE, AND WELFARE PURSUANT TO PLANNING CODE, SECTION 302.

WHEREAS, on September 5, 2023 Supervisor Peskin introduced a proposed Ordinance under Board of Supervisors (hereinafter "Board") File Number 230924, which would amend the Planning Code to create the Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District (Assessor's Parcel Block No. 49, Lot No. 1, generally bounded by Francisco Street, Jones Street, Chestnut Street, and Leavenworth Street, and numbered as 800 Chestnut Street) and amend the Zoning Map to show the Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District;

WHEREAS, the Historic Preservation Commission (hereinafter "Commission") conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting to consider the proposed Ordinance on November 8, 2023; and,

WHEREAS, the proposed Ordinance are not defined as a project under CEQA Guidelines Section 15060(c) and 15378 because they do not result in a physical change in the environment; and

WHEREAS, the Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of Department staff and other interested parties; and

WHEREAS, all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Department, as the Custodian of Records, at 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, the Commission has reviewed the proposed Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the Commission finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience, and general welfare require the proposed amendment; and

MOVED, that the Historic Preservation Commission hereby **adopts a recommendation for approval** of the proposed ordinance.

Findings

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

The proposed Ordinance supports arts education institutional uses.

General Plan Compliance

The proposed Ordinance is consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

ARTS ELEMENT

OBJECTIVE I-1

RECOGNIZE THE ARTS AS NECESSARY TO THE QUALITY OF LIFE FOR ALL SEGMENTS OF SAN FRANCISCO.

Policy I-1.3

Increase public awareness of the arts in San Francisco by greater promotion of existing art programs and services in the community and schools.

OBJECTIVE IV-1

ADVOCATE AND ASSIST IN PROVIDING ARTS EDUCATION PROGRAMMING AT ALL LEVELS.

Policy IV-1.1

Advocate for arts education opportunities for all residents of San Francisco.

OBJECTIVE V-2

SECURE NEW SOURCES OF REVENUE FOR THE ARTS.

Policy V-2.3

Reduce or eliminate, whenever possible, City-imposed costs associated with producing the arts by non-profit organizations and educational institutions.

URBAN DESIGN ELEMENT

OBJECTIVE 2

CONSERVATION OF RESOURCES THAT PROVIDE A SENSE OF NATURE, CONTINUITY WITH THE PAST, AND FREEDOM FROM OVERCROWDING.

Policy 4

Preserve notable landmarks and areas of historic, architectural or aesthetic value, and promote the preservation of other buildings and features that provide continuity with past development.

The proposed Ordinance allows new Non-Profit Arts Educational Institutional Uses in the proposed SUD where it once was largely prohibited. This new opportunity supports the Arts Element goals of providing arts education opportunities for all San Franciscans. Additionally, the proposed Ordinance reduces City-imposed costs for non-profit organizations and educational institutions as noted in Policy V-2.3. The proposed Ordinance does not include any physical impacts, and future projects are still required to go through the proper historic preservation review. This ensures future projects will align with the Urban Design Element's priority of preserving notable landmarks, such as this property and the Diego Rivera fresco within it.

Planning Code Section 101 Findings

The proposed amendments to the Planning Code are consistent with the eight Priority Policies set forth in Section 101.1(b) of the Planning Code in that:

1. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses enhanced;

The proposed Ordinance would not have a negative effect on neighborhood serving retail uses and will not have a negative effect on opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of neighborhood-serving retail.

2. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods;

The proposed Ordinance would not have a negative effect on housing or neighborhood character.

3. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's supply of affordable housing.

4. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking;

The proposed Ordinance would not result in commuter traffic impeding MUNI transit service or overburdening the streets or neighborhood parking.

5. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced;

The proposed Ordinance would not cause displacement of the industrial or service sectors due to office development, and future opportunities for resident employment or ownership in these sectors would not be impaired.

6. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on City's preparedness against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

7. That the landmarks and historic buildings be preserved;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's Landmarks and historic buildings.

8. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas.

Planning Code Section 302 Findings.

The Commission finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience and general welfare require the proposed amendments to the Planning Code as set forth in Section 302.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Commission hereby ADOPTS A RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL the proposed Ordinance as described in this Resolution.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by the Commission at its meeting on November 8, 2023.



Jonas P. Ionin
Commission Secretary

Jonas P Ionin

Digitally signed by Jonas P
Ionin
Date: 2023.11.09 15:24:02
-08'00'

AYES: Campbell, Vergara, Foley, Matsuda

NOES: None

ABSENT: Wright, Nageswaran

ADOPTED: November 8, 2023

This page intentionally blank.



PLANNING COMMISSION RESOLUTION NO. 21436

HEARING DATE: NOVEMBER 9, 2023

Project Name: Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District
Case Number: 2023-008250PCAMAP [Board File No. 230924]
Initiated by: Supervisor Peskin / Introduced September 5, 2023
Staff Contact: Veronica Flores, Legislative Affairs
veronica.flores@sfgov.org, 628-652-7525
Reviewed by: Aaron D Starr, Manager of Legislative Affairs
aaron.starr@sfgov.org, (628) 652-7533

RESOLUTION APPROVING A PROPOSED ORDINANCE THAT WOULD AMEND THE PLANNING CODE TO CREATE THE NON-PROFIT ARTS EDUCATION SPECIAL USE DISTRICT (ASSESSOR'S PARCEL BLOCK NO. 49, LOT NO. 1, GENERALLY BOUNDED BY FRANCISCO STREET, JONES STREET, CHESTNUT STREET, AND LEAVENWORTH STREET, AND NUMBERED AS 800 CHESTNUT STREET); AMENDING THE ZONING MAP TO SHOW THE NON-PROFIT ARTS EDUCATION SPECIAL USE DISTRICT; AFFIRMING THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT'S DETERMINATION UNDER THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT; MAKING FINDINGS OF CONSISTENCY WITH THE GENERAL PLAN, AND THE EIGHT PRIORITY POLICIES OF PLANNING CODE, SECTION 101; AND MAKING FINDINGS OF PUBLIC NECESSITY, CONVENIENCE, AND WELFARE PURSUANT TO PLANNING CODE, SECTION 302.

WHEREAS, on September 5, 2023 Supervisor Peskin introduced a proposed Ordinance under Board of Supervisors (hereinafter "Board") File Number 230924, which would amend the Planning Code to create the Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District (Assessor's Parcel Block No. 49, Lot No. 1, generally bounded by Francisco Street, Jones Street, Chestnut Street, and Leavenworth Street, and numbered as 800 Chestnut Street) and amend the Zoning Map to show the Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District;

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission (hereinafter "Commission") conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting to consider the proposed Ordinance on November 9, 2023; and,

WHEREAS, the proposed Ordinance are not defined as a project under CEQA Guidelines Section 15060(c) and 15378 because they do not result in a physical change in the environment; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of Department staff and other interested parties; and

WHEREAS, all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Department, as the Custodian of Records, at 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has reviewed the proposed Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience, and general welfare require the proposed amendment; and

MOVED, that the Planning Commission hereby **approves** the proposed ordinance.

Findings

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

The proposed Ordinance supports arts education institutional uses.

General Plan Compliance

The proposed Ordinance is consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

ARTS ELEMENT

OBJECTIVE I-1

RECOGNIZE THE ARTS AS NECESSARY TO THE QUALITY OF LIFE FOR ALL SEGMENTS OF SAN FRANCISCO.

Policy I-1.3

Increase public awareness of the arts in San Francisco by greater promotion of existing art programs and services in the community and schools.

OBJECTIVE IV-1

ADVOCATE AND ASSIST IN PROVIDING ARTS EDUCATION PROGRAMMING AT ALL LEVELS.

Policy IV-1.1

Advocate for arts education opportunities for all residents of San Francisco.

OBJECTIVE V-2

SECURE NEW SOURCES OF REVENUE FOR THE ARTS.

Policy V-2.3

Reduce or eliminate, whenever possible, City-imposed costs associated with producing the arts by non-profit organizations and educational institutions.

URBAN DESIGN ELEMENT

OBJECTIVE 2

CONSERVATION OF RESOURCES THAT PROVIDE A SENSE OF NATURE, CONTINUITY WITH THE PAST, AND FREEDOM FROM OVERCROWDING.

Policy 4

Preserve notable landmarks and areas of historic, architectural or aesthetic value, and promote the preservation of other buildings and features that provide continuity with past development.

The proposed Ordinance allows new Non-Profit Arts Educational Institutional Uses in the proposed SUD where it once was largely prohibited. This new opportunity supports the Arts Element goals of providing arts education opportunities for all San Franciscans. Additionally, the proposed Ordinance reduces City-imposed costs for non-profit organizations and educational institutions as noted in Policy V-2.3. The proposed Ordinance does not include any physical impacts, and future projects are still required to go through the proper historic preservation review. This ensures future projects will align with the Urban Design Element's priority of preserving notable landmarks, such as this property and the Diego Rivera fresco within it.

Planning Code Section 101 Findings

The proposed amendments to the Planning Code are consistent with the eight Priority Policies set forth in Section 101.1(b) of the Planning Code in that:

1. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses enhanced;

The proposed Ordinance would not have a negative effect on neighborhood serving retail uses and will not have a negative effect on opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of neighborhood-serving retail.

2. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods;

The proposed Ordinance would not have a negative effect on housing or neighborhood character.

3. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's supply of affordable housing.

4. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking;

The proposed Ordinance would not result in commuter traffic impeding MUNI transit service or overburdening the streets or neighborhood parking.

5. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced;

The proposed Ordinance would not cause displacement of the industrial or service sectors due to office development, and future opportunities for resident employment or ownership in these sectors would not be impaired.

6. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on City's preparedness against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

7. That the landmarks and historic buildings be preserved;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's Landmarks and historic buildings.

8. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas.

Planning Code Section 302 Findings.

The Planning Commission finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience and general welfare require the proposed amendments to the Planning Code as set forth in Section 302.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Commission hereby APPROVES the proposed Ordinance as described in this Resolution.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by the Commission at its meeting on November 9, 2023.



Jonas P. Ionin
Commission Secretary

Jonas P Ionin

Digitally signed by Jonas P
Ionin
Date: 2023.11.09 15:24:41
-08'00'

AYES: Braun, Ruiz, Diamond, Imperial, Koppel, Moore

NOES: None

ABSENT: Tanner

ADOPTED: November 9, 2023

This page intentionally blank.



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

PLANNING CODE TEXT & ZONING MAP AMENDMENT

HEARING DATE: October 19, 2023

90-Day Deadline: December 7, 2023

Project Name: Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District
Case Number: 2023-008250PCAMAP [Board File No. 230924]
Initiated by: Supervisor Peskin / Introduced September 5, 2023
Staff Contact: Veronica Flores, Legislative Affairs
 veronica.flores@sfgov.org, 628-652-7525
Reviewed by: Aaron D Starr, Manager of Legislative Affairs
 aaron.starr@sfgov.org, (628) 652-7533
Environmental Review: Not a Project Under CEQA

Recommendation: Approval

Planning Code and Zoning Map Amendment

The proposed Ordinance would amend the Planning Code to create the Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District (SUD) (Assessor’s Parcel Block No. 49, Lot No. 1, generally bounded by Francisco Street, Jones Street, Chestnut Street, and Leavenworth Street, and numbered as 800 Chestnut Street) and amend the Zoning Map to show the Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District.

The Way It Is Now:

Institutional Uses are generally not permitted in RH-3, except for a few exceptions as outlined in Section 209.1.

The Way It Would Be:

The proposed Ordinance would establish the Non-Profit Arts Education SUD consisting of Assessor's Parcel Block No. 49, Lot No. 1. The SUD would principally permit a Non-Profit Arts Education Institutional Use, which shall be defined for the purposes of the SUD as:

Institutional use in which the chief activity is not carried on as a gainful business and whose chief function is to operate as a post-secondary educational institution that gathers persons for the purposes of offering arts education. A Non-Profit Arts Education Institutional Use shall not be required to be certified by the Western Association of Schools and Colleges. Such institution may include employee or student dormitories and other housing operated by and affiliated with the institution.

All provisions of the Planning Code applicable to the RH-3 District shall apply, provided that a Non-Profit Arts Education Institutional Use is principally permitted and shall not be obligated to comply with Section 304.5 concerning Institutional Master Plans (IMP).

Background

The proposed SUD is at 800 Chestnut Street, the former location of the San Francisco Arts Institute (SFAI). An interested party approached President Peskin to open a non-accredited art school at the property. Institutional Uses are not generally permitted in the RH-3 zoning district, with a few exceptions such as a Western Association of Schools and Colleges (WASC)-accredited Post-Secondary Educational Institution. The proposed SUD seeks to continue the tradition of arts education at the site and provide a pathway to establish a Non-Profit Arts Education Institutional Use in the future.

Issues and Considerations

Institutional Uses in Residential Districts

Currently, the property at 800 Chestnut Street is zoned RH-3. Institutional Uses are generally not permitted in RH-3, save for a few exceptions that have been deemed compatible within the Residential Districts. For example, a Child Care Facility and a Residential Care Facility are principally permitted within the RH Districts. Additionally, more intense Uses, such as a Hospital or a Religious Institution, require Conditional Use Authorization (CUA) for a closer review.

Post-Secondary Educational Institution

Post-Secondary Educational Institutions require a CUA within the RH-3 Zoning District and defined as:

An Institutional Education Use, public or private, that is certified by the Western Association of Schools and Colleges, provides educational services such as a college or university, and has met the applicable provisions of Section 304.5 of this Code concerning institutional master plans. Such institution may include employee or student dormitories and other housing operated by

and affiliated with the institution. Such institution shall not have industrial arts as its primary course of study.

Additionally, Post-Secondary Educational Institutions are required to have a current IMP on file with the Planning Department detailing the existing and anticipated future development of said institution.

The interested party is a non-profit and not accredited by WASC. Therefore, they would not meet the definition and a Post-Secondary Educational Institution, and thus not currently permitted within the RH-3 Zoning District.

Historical Landmarks

In 1977, the original 1926 building located at 800 Chestnut Street was designated as Landmark No. 85 through Ordinance No. 208-77. The Diego Rivera fresco, “The Making of a Fresco Showing the Building of a City”, was described and its significance was briefly discussed in this designation.¹ Diego Rivera’s 1969 fresco was not included in the landmark designation at that time and was subsequently designated in October 2021 as Landmark No. 294.²

If there are physical alterations associated with change of use now or in the future, then a COA would be required.

A Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) is required for any construction, alteration, removal or demolition of a structure, or any work involving a sign, awning, marquee, canopy, mural, etc. on a landmark site or in a historic district. A change of use to a Non-Profit Arts Education Institutional Use that does not involve any physical alterations would just require change of use permit, not a COA. If there are physical alterations associated with a change of use now or in the future, then a COA would be required. At this time, there are no physical changes proposed at the property.

General Plan Compliance

The proposed Ordinance allows new Non-Profit Arts Educational Institutional Uses in the proposed SUD where it once was largely prohibited. This new opportunity supports the Arts Element goals of providing arts education opportunities for all San Franciscans. Additionally, the proposed Ordinance reduces City-imposed costs for non-profit organizations and educational institutions as noted in Policy V-2.3. The proposed Ordinance does not include any physical impacts, and future projects are still required to go through the proper historic preservation review. This ensures future projects will align with the Urban Design Element’s priority of preserving notable landmarks, such as this property and the Diego Rivera fresco within it.

Racial and Social Equity Analysis

The proposed amendments cannot be directly tied to a negative or positive impact in advancing the City’s racial and social equity. There is not enough data to support the claim for the small number of businesses that it will affect.

¹ Both the original building and addition were surveyed as part of the Planning Department’s 1976 survey.

² [Ordinance 169-21, Board File 210565](#).

Implementation

The Department has determined that this ordinance will not impact our current implementation procedures.

Recommendation

The Department recommends that the Commission *approve* the proposed Ordinance and adopt the attached Draft Resolution to that effect.

Basis for Recommendation

The Department supports the proposed Ordinance because it supports continuing arts education at the former site of SFAI. There are no proposed physical alterations proposed as part of this Ordinance, it just provides an avenue for a future Non-Profit Arts Education Institutional Use to be able to open at the property. If there are physical alterations proposed to these historic landmarks in the future, then a COA would be required, and proper historic preservation review would be completed.

Required Commission Action

The proposed Ordinance is before the Commission so that it may approve it, reject it, or approve it with modifications.

Environmental Review

The proposed amendments are not defined as a project under CEQA Guidelines Section 15060(c) and 15378 because they do not result in a physical change in the environment.

Public Comment

The Planning Department has received three letters of support for the proposed Ordinance from Brenda Way, Founder and Artistic Director of ODC; Aiko Cuneo, daughter of Ruth Asawa; and Stephen Beal, President of California College of the Arts. All letters cited support for the legislation to create a path for a non-accredited arts educational use at the former SFAI cite. The letters also expressed how this proposed legislation would continue to support that a future non-profit at this site would foster a new generation of artists and change makers.

Attachments:

- Exhibit A: Draft Planning Commission Resolution
- Exhibit B: Board of Supervisors File No. 230294
- Exhibit C: Letters of Support



PLANNING COMMISSION DRAFT RESOLUTION

HEARING DATE: October 19, 2023

Project Name: Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District
Case Number: 2023-008250PCAMAP [Board File No. 230924]
Initiated by: Supervisor Peskin / Introduced September 5, 2023
Staff Contact: Veronica Flores, Legislative Affairs
veronica.flores@sfgov.org, 628-652-7525
Reviewed by: Aaron D Starr, Manager of Legislative Affairs
aaron.starr@sfgov.org, (628) 652-7533

RESOLUTION APPROVING A PROPOSED ORDINANCE THAT WOULD AMEND THE PLANNING CODE TO CREATE THE NON-PROFIT ARTS EDUCATION SPECIAL USE DISTRICT (ASSESSOR'S PARCEL BLOCK NO. 49, LOT NO. 1, GENERALLY BOUNDED BY FRANCISCO STREET, JONES STREET, CHESTNUT STREET, AND LEAVENWORTH STREET, AND NUMBERED AS 800 CHESTNUT STREET); AMENDING THE ZONING MAP TO SHOW THE NON-PROFIT ARTS EDUCATION SPECIAL USE DISTRICT; AFFIRMING THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT'S DETERMINATION UNDER THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT; MAKING FINDINGS OF CONSISTENCY WITH THE GENERAL PLAN, AND THE EIGHT PRIORITY POLICIES OF PLANNING CODE, SECTION 101; AND MAKING FINDINGS OF PUBLIC NECESSITY, CONVENIENCE, AND WELFARE PURSUANT TO PLANNING CODE, SECTION 302.

WHEREAS, on September 5, 2023 Supervisor Peskin introduced a proposed Ordinance under Board of Supervisors (hereinafter "Board") File Number 230924, which would amend the Planning Code to create the Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District (Assessor's Parcel Block No. 49, Lot No. 1, generally bounded by Francisco Street, Jones Street, Chestnut Street, and Leavenworth Street, and numbered as 800 Chestnut Street) and amend the Zoning Map to show the Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District;

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission (hereinafter "Commission") conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting to consider the proposed Ordinance on October 19, 2023; and,

WHEREAS, the proposed Ordinance are not defined as a project under CEQA Guidelines Section 15060(c) and 15378 because they do not result in a physical change in the environment; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of Department staff and other interested parties; and

WHEREAS, all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Department, as the Custodian of Records, at 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has reviewed the proposed Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience, and general welfare require the proposed amendment; and

MOVED, that the Planning Commission hereby **approves** the proposed ordinance.

Findings

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

The proposed Ordinance supports arts education institutional uses.

General Plan Compliance

The proposed Ordinance is consistent with the following Objectives and Policies of the General Plan:

ARTS ELEMENT

OBJECTIVE I-1

RECOGNIZE THE ARTS AS NECESSARY TO THE QUALITY OF LIFE FOR ALL SEGMENTS OF SAN FRANCISCO.

Policy I-1.3

Increase public awareness of the arts in San Francisco by greater promotion of existing art programs and services in the community and schools.

OBJECTIVE IV-1

ADVOCATE AND ASSIST IN PROVIDING ARTS EDUCATION PROGRAMMING AT ALL LEVELS.

Policy IV-1.1

Advocate for arts education opportunities for all residents of San Francisco.

OBJECTIVE V-2

SECURE NEW SOURCES OF REVENUE FOR THE ARTS.

Policy V-2.3

Reduce or eliminate, whenever possible, City-imposed costs associated with producing the arts by non-profit organizations and educational institutions.

URBAN DESIGN ELEMENT

OBJECTIVE 2

CONSERVATION OF RESOURCES THAT PROVIDE A SENSE OF NATURE, CONTINUITY WITH THE PAST, AND FREEDOM FROM OVERCROWDING.

Policy 4

Preserve notable landmarks and areas of historic, architectural or aesthetic value, and promote the preservation of other buildings and features that provide continuity with past development.

The proposed Ordinance allows new Non-Profit Arts Educational Institutional Uses in the proposed SUD where it once was largely prohibited. This new opportunity supports the Arts Element goals of providing arts education opportunities for all San Franciscans. Additionally, the proposed Ordinance reduces City-imposed costs for non-profit organizations and educational institutions as noted in Policy V-2.3. The proposed Ordinance does not include any physical impacts, and future projects are still required to go through the proper historic preservation review. This ensures future projects will align with the Urban Design Element's priority of preserving notable landmarks, such as this property and the Diego Rivera fresco within it.

Planning Code Section 101 Findings

The proposed amendments to the Planning Code are consistent with the eight Priority Policies set forth in Section 101.1(b) of the Planning Code in that:

1. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses enhanced;

The proposed Ordinance would not have a negative effect on neighborhood serving retail uses and will not have a negative effect on opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of neighborhood-serving retail.

2. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods;

The proposed Ordinance would not have a negative effect on housing or neighborhood character.

3. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's supply of affordable housing.

4. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking;

The proposed Ordinance would not result in commuter traffic impeding MUNI transit service or overburdening the streets or neighborhood parking.

5. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced;

The proposed Ordinance would not cause displacement of the industrial or service sectors due to office development, and future opportunities for resident employment or ownership in these sectors would not be impaired.

6. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on City's preparedness against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.

7. That the landmarks and historic buildings be preserved;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's Landmarks and historic buildings.

8. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development;

The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas.

Planning Code Section 302 Findings.

The Planning Commission finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience and general welfare require the proposed amendments to the Planning Code as set forth in Section 302.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Commission hereby APPROVES the proposed Ordinance as described in this Resolution.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by the Commission at its meeting on October 19, 2023.

Jonas P. Ionin
Commission Secretary

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ADOPTED: October 19, 2023

This page intentionally blank.

1 [Planning Code, Zoning Map - Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District]

2

3 **Ordinance amending the Planning Code to create the Non-Profit Arts Education Special**
4 **Use District (Assessor's Parcel Block No. 49, Lot No. 1, generally bounded by**
5 **Francisco Street, Jones Street, Chestnut Street, and Leavenworth Street, and**
6 **numbered as 800 Chestnut Street); amending the Zoning Map to show the Non-Profit**
7 **Arts Education Special Use District; affirming the Planning Department's determination**
8 **under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with**
9 **the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101; and**
10 **making findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare pursuant to Planning**
11 **Code, Section 302.**

12 NOTE: **Unchanged Code text and uncodified text** are in plain Arial font.
13 **Additions to Codes** are in *single-underline italics Times New Roman font*.
14 **Deletions to Codes** are in *strikethrough italics Times New Roman font*.
15 **Board amendment additions** are in double-underlined Arial font.
16 **Board amendment deletions** are in ~~strikethrough Arial font~~.
17 **Asterisks (* * * *)** indicate the omission of unchanged Code
18 subsections or parts of tables.

19 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

20

21 Section 1. Environmental and Land Use Findings.

22 (a) The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this
23 ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources
24 Code Sections 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
25 Supervisors in File No. 230924 and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board affirms
this determination.

1 (b) On _____, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No. _____,
2 adopted findings that the actions contemplated in this ordinance are consistent, on balance,
3 with the City's General Plan and eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. The
4 Board adopts these findings as its own. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of
5 the Board of Supervisors in File No. _____, and is incorporated herein by reference.

6 (c) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, the Board finds that this Planning Code
7 amendment will serve the public necessity, convenience, and welfare for the reasons set forth
8 in Planning Commission Resolution No. _____, and the Board incorporates such
9 reasons herein by reference. A copy of said resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
10 Supervisors in File No. _____.

11
12 Section 2. Additional Findings.

13 (a) Founded in 1871, the San Francisco Art Institute ("SFAI") was one of the oldest
14 arts institutions in the country.

15 (b) In 1926, the SFAI moved to 800 Chestnut Street. The approximately 93,000-
16 square-foot art campus was designed by the architectural firm Bakewell & Brown, which
17 designed many classic structures in the Bay Area, including the iconic San Francisco City
18 Hall. The art campus includes a bell tower, interior courtyard, library, terrace, rooftop
19 amphitheater, galleries, studios and beautiful bay views.

20 (c) Several years later, Diego Rivera was hired to paint *The Making of a Fresco*
21 *Showing the Building of a City*. The mural was painted using the *buon fresco* technique,
22 meaning it was painted directly on fresh lime plaster using water-based tempera pigments.
23 The mural is a *trompe l'œil*, depicting Rivera and assistants on a scaffold painting their fresco
24 mural.

1 (d) For many years, the SFAI nurtured the creative arts, and provided arts education to
2 thousands of San Franciscans. Notable teachers included Ansel Adams, Dorothea Lange, Ad
3 Reinhardt, Mark Rothko, and Clyfford Still. Notable alumni include Richard Diebenkorn, Sam
4 Francis, Michael Heizer, Annie Leibowitz, Paul McCarthy, Catherine Opie, and Kehinde Wiley.

5 (e) In 1977, with the enactment of Ordinance No. 208-77, the original 1926 building at
6 800 Chestnut Street was designated as Historical Landmark No. 85.

7 (f) In 2016, the 800 Chestnut Street location was nominated for listing on the National
8 Register of Historic Places under Criterion A (events). That same year, the Historic
9 Preservation Commission concurred with the assessment based on the SFAI's role in the
10 development of American art and for its contributions to art education in the United States.

11 (g) On October 15, 2021, the City enacted Ordinance No. 169-21, finding that *The*
12 *Making of a Fresco Showing the Building of a City* has a special character and special
13 historical, architectural, and aesthetic interest and value, and that its designation as a
14 Landmark would further the purposes of and conform to the standards set forth in Article 10 of
15 the Planning Code. The mural was designated as Historical Landmark No. 294. Ordinance
16 No. 169-21 found that, notwithstanding the designation of SFAI as Landmark No. 85, pursuant
17 to Ordinance No. 208-77, the addition of a compatible entryway to the Diego Rivera Gallery
18 from the public right-of-way on Chestnut Street, on the south side of the Diego Rivera Gallery,
19 to provide and maintain public visibility of, and enhance access to, the fresco from the public
20 right-of-way, could be considered, subject to approval of a Certificate of Appropriateness by
21 the Historic Preservation Commission.

22 (h) In 2020, the SFAI announced that it would stop accepting students due to declining
23 enrollment, high real estate costs, and financial constraints. The SFAI closed permanently in
24 2022. On April 26, 2023, the SFAI filed for Chapter 7 bankruptcy.

1 Section 3. Article 2 of the Planning Code is hereby amended by adding Section 249.8,
2 to read as follows:

3 **SEC. 249.8. NON-PROFIT ARTS EDUCATION SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.**

4 (a) **General.** A special use district entitled the “Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use
5 District” consisting of Assessor’s Parcel Block No. 49, Lot No. 1, which is generally bounded by
6 Francisco Street, Jones Street, Chestnut Street, and Leavenworth Street, and is numbered as 800
7 Chestnut Street, is hereby established for the purposes set forth below. The boundaries of the Non-
8 Profit Arts Education Special Use District are designated on Sectional Map No. SU01 of the Zoning
9 Map.

10 (b) **Purpose.** The purpose of the special use district is to continue the tradition of arts
11 education at the site of the former San Francisco Art Institute and facilitate the establishment of a Non-
12 Profit Arts Education Institutional Use.

13 (c) **Definition.** For purposes of this special use district, a Non-Profit Arts Education
14 Institutional Use shall mean an Institutional use in which the chief activity is not carried on as a
15 gainful business and whose chief function is to operate as a post-secondary educational institution that
16 gathers persons for the purposes of offering arts education. A Non-Profit Arts Education Institutional
17 Use shall not be required to be certified by the Western Association of Schools and Colleges. Such
18 institution may include employee or student dormitories and other housing operated by and affiliated
19 with the institution.

20 (d) **Controls.** All provisions of the Planning Code applicable to the RH-3 District shall apply;
21 provided that a Non-Profit Arts Education Institutional Use is principally permitted and shall not be
22 obligated to comply with Section 304.5 concerning institutional master plans.

23
24 Section 4. Pursuant to Sections 106 and 302(c) of the Planning Code, Sheet SU 01 of
25 the Zoning Map of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby amended, as follows:

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Assessor's Parcels (Block/Lot Numbers)	Special Use District Hereby Approved
49/01	Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District

Section 5. Effective Date; Operative Date.

(a) This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

(b) This ordinance shall become operative upon the later of the effective date of this ordinance or the recordation of a grant deed for the sale of Assessor's Block 49, Lot 1.

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
DAVID CHIU, City Attorney

By: /s/ Austin M. Yang
AUSTIN M. YANG
Deputy City Attorney

n:\legana\as2023\2400056\01702662.docx

This page intentionally blank.



EXHIBIT C

San Francisco Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400
San Francisco, CA 94103

Sent via email to jonas.ionin@sfgov.org and veronica.flores@sfgov.org

Re: Record No. 2023-008250PCAMAP - [Board File No. 230924]: Non-Profit
Arts Education Special Use District

October 10, 2023

Dear Presidents Tanner and Matsuda,

I write this letter of support on behalf of a group of arts leaders that have convened to reimagine what is possible for the former San Francisco Arts Institute at 800 Chestnut. We hope you will support President Peskin’s legislation Board File No. 230924: Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District that would allow for a nonaccredited arts educational use at 800 Chestnut, the location of the former San Francisco Arts Institute (SFAI).

Since the closure of SFAI, a passionate group of arts leaders and historians which includes SF Conservatory of Music President David Stull, California College of the Arts President Stephen Beal and historic architects Page & Turnbull, have been working on a newly imagined non-profit art institution for over a year to fill the hole in arts education.

The San Francisco Art Institute, the oldest art institution in the American West, has a profound legacy, not only as an incubator for generations of artists who have defined Bay Area and American art as a whole, but also as the home to Diego Rivera’s priceless mural, The Making of a Fresco Showing the Building of a City (1930).

The new non-profit will foster a new generation of artists, restore and revitalize the historic San Francisco Arts Institute, and preserve the historic campus and the iconic Diego Rivera mural.

We are so grateful for the overwhelming support for this proposal from the arts community and local leaders and ask for your support of this legislation that would allow for this vision for a new nonprofit arts institution to come to fruition.

Sincerely,

DocuSigned by:
Brenda Way

Founder & Artistic Director
Brenda Way

Executive Director
Carma Zisman

BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Chair
Jackie Schneider

Vice Chairs
Mary Margaret Jones
Sally X. Yu,
Chief Investment Officer

Treasurer
Bart Deamer

Secretary
Cecilia Fok

Immediate Past Chair
Becky Saeger

- Anna Boyer
- Sean Dowdall
- Pamela Duffy
- Henry Erlich
- Justin Erlich
- Lynn Feintech
- Marlene Williams Ford
- Michelle Hansen
- Suzie Ivelich
- KT Nelson
- Kimi Okada
- Tim Schroeder
- Timothy Streb
- Heather Tay
- Brenda Way
- Pamela Wright
- Carma Zisman

Advisory Board
Darla Anderson
Simon Bax
Eleanor Coppola
Sakurako Fisher
Laurene Powell Jobs
David Landis
Tim Mott
Paula Powers
Paul Salinger

Contact
415/863.6606 Phone
info@odc.dance

351 Shotwell Street
San Francisco, CA 94110

odc.dance

Aiko Cuneo
3727 22nd Street
San Francisco, CA 94114
sfaiko@aol.com

San Francisco Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400
San Francisco, CA 94103

Sent via email to jonas.ionin@sfgov.org and veronica.flores@sfgov.org

Re: Record No. 2023-008250PCAMAP - [Board File No. 230924]: Non-Profit Arts Education
Special Use District

October 10, 2023

Dear Presidents Tanner and Matsuda,

I am writing in support of President Peskin's legislation Board File No. 230924: Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District that would allow for a nonaccredited arts educational use at 800 Chestnut, the location of the former San Francisco Arts Institute (SFAI).

Born and raised in San Francisco, I come from a family where the arts are considered part of everyday living. My parents went to Black Mountain College which included visual arts, architecture, literature, dance, mathematics, and music. An important mentor for many students, including my parents, was the former Bauhaus teacher and artist, Josef Albers, who taught basic design. Albers recruited talented artists, often those with contrasting sensibilities, to come and spend a summer, semester or a year to broaden the students' exposure to ways of seeing and working. There were no beginning or advanced courses. There were no degrees awarded. As Albers was fond of saying, "Art knows nothing about graduation."

Drawing inspiration from Black Mountain College, this legislation would allow for an innovative and interdisciplinary non-profit that could foster a new generation of artists and change makers.

Sincerely,



Aiko Cuneo

San Francisco Planning and Historic Preservation Commissions
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400
San Francisco, CA 94103

October 10, 2023

Dear Presidents Tanner and Matsuda,


I write this letter of support for President Peskin's legislation Board File No. 230924: Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District that would allow for a nonaccredited arts educational use at 800 Chestnut the location of the former San Francisco Arts Institute (SFAI).

After the closure of SFAI, SF Conservatory of Music President David Stull, ODC Founder Brenda Way and historic architects Page & Turnbull have spent months working on a newly imagined nonprofit art institution to help fill the hole in fine arts education.

At California College of the Arts (CCA), we are an ambitious art and design school that educates students to shape culture and society through the practice and critical study of art, architecture, design, and writing. A reimagined SFAI would help support the ecosystem of arts education in the Bay Area and would also serve to mutually support our students, faculty and alumni.

We are so grateful for the overwhelming support for this proposal from the arts community and local leaders and ask for your support of this legislation which would be the first step in allowing for this vision for a new nonprofit arts institution to come to fruition.

Sincerely,



Stephen Beal
President
California College of the Arts

BOARD of SUPERVISORS




City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

Date: September 8, 2023
To: Planning Department / Commission
From: Erica Major, Clerk of the Land Use and Transportation Committee
Subject: Board of Supervisors Legislation Referral - File No. 230924
Planning Code, Zoning Map - Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District

- California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Determination Not defined as a project under CEQA Guidelines Sections 15378 and 15060(c)(2) because it would not result in a direct or indirect physical change in the environment.
(California Public Resources Code, Sections 21000 et seq.)
 - Ordinance / Resolution
 - Ballot Measure

10/02/2023 
- Amendment to the Planning Code, including the following Findings:
(Planning Code, Section 302(b): 90 days for Planning Commission review)
 - General Plan
 - Planning Code, Section 101.1
 - Planning Code, Section 302
- Amendment to the Administrative Code, involving Land Use/Planning
(Board Rule 3.23: 30 days for possible Planning Department review)
- General Plan Referral for Non-Planning Code Amendments
(Charter, Section 4.105, and Administrative Code, Section 2A.53)
(Required for legislation concerning the acquisition, vacation, sale, or change in use of City property; subdivision of land; construction, improvement, extension, widening, narrowing, removal, or relocation of public ways, transportation routes, ground, open space, buildings, or structures; plans for public housing and publicly-assisted private housing; redevelopment plans; development agreements; the annual capital expenditure plan and six-year capital improvement program; and any capital improvement project or long-term financing proposal such as general obligation or revenue bonds.)
- Historic Preservation Commission
 - Landmark (Planning Code, Section 1004.3)
 - Cultural Districts (Charter, Section 4.135 & Board Rule 3.23)
 - Mills Act Contract (Government Code, Section 50280)
 - Designation for Significant/Contributory Buildings (Planning Code, Article 11)

Please send the Planning Department/Commission recommendation/determination to Erica Major at Erica.Major@sfgov.org.

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING
LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, Land Use and Transportation Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard.

Date: October 23, 2023

Time: 1:30 p.m.

Location: **IN-PERSON MEETING INFORMATION**
Legislative Chamber, Room 250, located at City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA

REMOTE ACCESS

Watch: www.sfgovtv.org


Public Comment Call-In: <https://sfbos.org/remote-meeting-call>

Subject: **File No. 230924.** Ordinance amending the Planning Code to create the Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District (Assessor's Parcel Block No. 49, Lot No. 1, generally bounded by Francisco Street, Jones Street, Chestnut Street, and Leavenworth Street, and numbered as 800 Chestnut Street); amending the Zoning Map to show the Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101; and making findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare pursuant to Planning Code, Section 302.

In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email (board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org). Information relating to this matter is available with the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center (<https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-lrc>). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, October 20, 2023.

For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Land Use and Transportation Committee:

John Carroll (john.carroll@sfgov.org) ~ (415) 554-4445)



Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco

jec:bjj:ams

PUBLIC HEARING NOTICE
Community Development - Planning Division
701 Laurel St., Menlo Park, CA 94025
tel 650-330-6702



PLANNING COMMISSION MEETING – OCTOBER 23, 2023

The below item will be heard by the Planning Commission under hearing procedures conforming to Government Code §54953(e). The agenda will be published a minimum of 72 hours before the Planning Commission meeting and will provide a more detailed description of hearing procedures.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Planning Commission of the City of Menlo Park, California, is scheduled to review the following item:

PUBLIC HEARING

General Plan Amendments, Zoning Ordinance Amendments, El Camino Real/Downtown Specific Plan Amendments, and Rezoning/City of Menlo Park Housing Element Update Project:
The City of Menlo Park is proposing to amend the General Plan Land Use Element, Zoning Ordinance (Title 16 of the Menlo Park Municipal Code ("Municipal Code")), zoning map, and El Camino Real/Downtown Specific Plan in association with the implementation of the 2023-2031 6th Cycle Housing Element, adopted by the City Council on January 31, 2023. The proposed changes are intended to provide capacity to meet the City's Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) of 2,946 dwelling units and are generally summarized below:

General Plan Land Use Element and map

- Amendments for consistency with the proposed Zoning Ordinance amendments described below, including changes in land use designation for applicable Housing Element inventory sites and modifications to existing designations to reflect increased densities and floor area ratios (FAR).

Zoning Ordinance and map

- **Update the Affordable Housing Overlay (AHO) to further promote affordable housing development.** To implement Housing Element Program H4.D, amend Chapter 16.9B (Affordable Housing Overlay (AHO)) of the Municipal Code to work in combination with state density bonus law to allow up to approximately 100 dwelling units per acre, or more, for 100 percent affordable housing developments, and add the AHO to all 6th Cycle inventory sites (except Site #38, 320 Sheridan Drive, the former Flood School site) and all R-3 (Apartment District) zoned properties around downtown; and amend Chapter 16.97 (State Density Bonus Law) of the Municipal Code to resolve any inconsistencies between the City's ordinance and current and future state density bonus law regulations;
- **Allow residential development opportunities in existing commercial/retail zoning districts.** To implement Housing Element Program H4.J, and allow mixed-use residential development opportunities primarily along Willow Road, Middlefield Road, Sharon Park Drive and Sand Hill Road, amend the Municipal Code to repeal Chapters 16.32 (C-1A, Administrative and Professional District), 16.37 (C-2-S, Neighborhood Commercial District, Special), 16.39 (C-2-A, Neighborhood Shopping District, Restrictive), Chapter 16.40 (C-2-B, Neighborhood Mixed Use District, Restrictive) and 16.42 (C-4, General Commercial District), and replace with a C-MU (Commercial, Mixed Use) zoning district with a residential density of up to 30 dwelling units per acre and associated development standards; amend the zoning map to rezone C-1A, C-2-S, C-2-A, C-2-B, C-4, and C-2 parcels (except for Site #11, 325 Sharon Park Drive) to C-MU; amend Chapters 16.30 (C-1, Administrative and Professional District, Restrictive), 16.32 (C-1-A, Administrative and Professional District), 16.36 (C-1-C, Administrative, Professional and Research District, Restrictive), 16.38 (C-2, Neighborhood Shopping District), 16.43 (O, Office District) and 16.52 (Parking District) to allow a residential density of up to 30 dwelling units per acre and associated development standards;
- **Increase residential densities in the R-3 (Apartment) zoning district around downtown.** To implement Housing Element Program H4.J, amend the R-3 zoning district development regulations to allow a residential density up to 30 dwelling units per acre for R-3 zoned properties around downtown and up to 20 dwelling units per acre for other R-3 sites with a lot area of 100,000 square feet or more; increase maximum building coverage in the R-3, R-3-A (Garden Apartment Residential), R-3-C (Apartment-Office District), R-4 (High Density Residential), and R-4-S (High Density Residential, Special) zones to 55 percent and adjust maximum paving and minimum open space (landscaping) percentages accordingly; and reduce parking spaces per unit requirements;
- **Permit ministerial review for certain housing developments on inventory sites that were not developed during the previous Housing Element cycle.** To implement Housing Element Program H4.D, amend the Municipal Code so that parcels in the Housing Element site inventory identified as Reuse Sites allow for ministerial review for housing developments that propose at least 20 percent of units affordable to lower-income households, in accordance with California Government Code §66583.2(i);
- **Create a residential overlay to encourage residential development on underused or redeveloping sites.** To implement Housing Element Program H4.T, amend the Municipal Code to create a Residential Overlay for certain housing inventory sites that would allow residential development on properties with non-residential zoning; and
- **Allow family daycare homes with up to 14 children as a permitted use in residential zoning districts.** To implement Housing Element Program H2.F, amend Sections 16.04.165 and 16.08.085 of the Municipal Code to allow large family daycare homes as a permitted use in a legal dwelling unit in any residential zoning district.

El Camino Real/Downtown Specific Plan

- To implement Housing Element Program H4.L, amend the Specific Plan to:
 - Remove references to a maximum of 680 residential units at full build-out;
 - Increase the maximum base level density to at least 30 dwelling units per acre and the maximum bonus level density to up to 100 dwelling units per acre, with corresponding changes to FAR, height, and other development standards for the Specific Plan subdistricts, as applicable;
 - Establish a minimum density of 20 dwelling units per acre for all subdistricts, as a requirement upon the addition of residential uses to a site;
 - Remove the minimum parking requirements for residential uses on sites within one-half mile of transit as required by AB 2097; and
 - Modify the use of the public parking plazas to allow the development of multifamily residential housing.

The proposed changes were evaluated in the Subsequent Environmental Impact Report (SEIR) prepared for the Housing Element Update project (i.e., Housing Element and Safety Element updates, a new Environmental Justice Element, and associated changes). The City Council adopted Resolution No. 8808 and certified the SEIR on January 31, 2023. On February 1, 2023, a Notice of Determination (NOD) was filed.

The Planning Commission is scheduled to make a recommendation to the City Council, which will be the final decision-making body on the proposed changes. Separate notice will be given for the City Council public hearing. Please refer to the Housing Element Update project webpage (menlopark.gov/HousingElement) for additional information and announcements, and to sign up for the project email list.

NOTICE IS HEREBY FURTHER GIVEN that said Planning Commission will hold a public hearing on these items in a teleconference meeting (virtual and in Menlo Park City Council Chambers located at 751 Laurel St., Menlo Park, California) on October 23, 2023, beginning at 7 p.m. or as near as possible thereafter, at which time and place interested persons may appear and be heard thereon. The Zoom link will be available with publication of the Planning Commission agenda on the city website, not less than 72 hours in advance of the meeting. If you challenge this item in court, you may be limited to raising only those issues you or someone else raised at the public hearing described in this notice, or in written correspondence delivered to the City of Menlo Park at, or before, the public hearing. Written correspondence is typically considered a public record and may be attached to staff reports, which are posted on the city website.

NOTICE IS HEREBY FURTHER GIVEN that documents related to these items may be viewed by the public at City Hall (701 Laurel Street) and on the city website. Please contact the Planning Division if there are any questions and/or for complete agenda information at 650-330-6702.

Si usted necesita más información sobre este proyecto, por favor llame al 650-330-6702, y pregunte por un asistente que habla español.

Visit the city website for Planning Commission agenda, public hearing and staff report information: menlopark.gov/Agendas.

DATED: October 6, 2023
BY: Kyle Perata, Planning Manager
PUBLISHED: October 13, 2023, in The Examiner

CNSB #3747596

be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard. **File No. 230924.** Ordinance amending the Planning Code to create the Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District (Assessor's Parcel Block No. 49, Lot No. 1, generally bounded by Francisco Street, Jones Street, Chestnut Street, and Leavenworth Street, and numbered as 800 Chestnut Street); amending the Zoning Map to show the Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101; and making findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare pursuant to Planning Code, Section 302.

IN-PERSON MEETING INFORMATION Legislative Chamber, Room 250, located at City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA REMOTE ACCESS Watch: www.sfgovtv.org Public Comment Call-In: https://sfbos.org/remotemeeting-call.

In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email (board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org). Information relating to this matter is available with the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center (https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-irc). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, October 20, 2023. For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Land Use and Transportation Committee: John Carroll (john.carroll@sfgov.org - (415) 554-4445) **EXM-3747730#**

CIVIL

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)
CASE NUMBER (Número del Caso):
CGC-23-605286
NOTICE TO DEFENDANT (AVISO AL DEMANDADO): SAN MATEO KITCHEN & GLASS INC., A CORPORATION; XIN TRIEU AKA XIN REF TRIEU; AND DOES 1 THROUGH 50, INCLUSIVE YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): C O N T R A C T O R S W A R D R O B E , I N C . , A CORPORATION
NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard

unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below. You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court. There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. **NOTE:** The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien must be paid before the court will dismiss the case.

¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación. Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia. Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. **AVISO:** Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 ó más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desahar el caso. The name and address of the court is (El nombre y dirección de la corte es): SUPERIOR COURT, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO, LIMITED CIVIL JURISDICTION 400 MCALLISTER ST., ROOM 103 SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102 The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): ROBERT L. POLLAK BAR#:083950 GLASSBERG, POLLAK & ASSOCIATES, 1000 4TH STREET, SUITE 570, SAN RAFAEL, CA 94901-3118 4 1 5 - 2 9 1 - 8 3 2 0 FILE #: 2023021 DATE (Fecha): 3/21/2023 ---, Clerk (Secretario), by JEFFREY FLORES, Deputy (Adjunto) (SEAL)

NOTICE TO THE PERSON SERVED: You are served 1. AS AN INDIVIDUAL DEFENDANT 9/29, 10/6, 10/13, 10/20/23 **CNS-3743603# SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER**

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAMES

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT
File No. M-295481
The following person(s) is (are) doing business as: **STAR SMOG SAN MATEO, 1626 S. EL CAMINO REAL, SAN MATEO, CA 94402, MAILING ADDRESS: 800 UNIVERSITY DRIVE, MENLO PARK, CA 94025.** County of 94025
ABIDIN DUMAN, 800 UNIVERSITY DRIVE, MENLO PARK, CA 94025
This business is conducted by AN INDIVIDUAL
The registrant(s) commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on 10/17/2017
I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.)
S/ ABIDIN DUMAN - OWNER
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Mateo County on 09/28/2023

Mark Church, County Clerk SHEILA ARKONCEL, Deputy Clerk
NEW FILING OF PREVIOUS FILING NO.: M-275329 WITH CHANGES IN FACTS. 10/13, 10/20, 10/27, 11/3/23 **NPEN-3747634# EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER**

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT
File No. M-295401
The following person(s) is (are) doing business as: **LUNA DEL SOUL, 822 CASSIA ST., REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063,** County of SAN MATEO
LUKAS ALISAUSKAS, 178 EL CAMINITO, LIVERMORE, CA 94550
SIMONA ALISAUSKAS, 178 EL CAMINITO, LIVERMORE, CA 94550
This business is conducted by A MARRIED COMPANY
The registrant(s) commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on N/A
I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.)
S/ LUKAS ALISAUSKAS - OWNER
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Mateo County on 09/21/2023
Mark Church, County Clerk SHEILA ARKONCEL, Deputy Clerk ORIGINAL
10/13, 10/20, 10/27, 11/3/23 **NPEN-3747615# EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER**

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT
File No. M-295553
The following person(s) is (are) doing business as: PRIMCORE SECURITY SERVICES, 134 SANTA CRUZ AVE, DALY CITY, CA 94014 - 1050 County of SAN MATEO
Mailing Address: 134 SANTA CRUZ AVE, DALY CITY, CA 94014 - 1050
BEYOND PRO SERVICES, INC, 134 SANTA CRUZ AVE, DALY CITY, CA 94014
This business is conducted by a Corporation
The registrant(s) commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on N/A.
I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.)
S/ HARRISON POON, CEO
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Mateo County on 10/06/2023.
Mark Church, County Clerk HENRY SALGADO, Deputy Original Filing
10/13, 10/20, 10/27, 11/3/23 **NPEN-3746980# EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER**

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT
File No. M-295481
The following person(s) is (are) doing business as: **STAR SMOG SAN MATEO, 1626 S. EL CAMINO REAL, SAN MATEO, CA 94402, MAILING ADDRESS: 800 UNIVERSITY DRIVE, MENLO PARK, CA 94025.** County of 94025
ABIDIN DUMAN, 800 UNIVERSITY DRIVE, MENLO PARK, CA 94025
This business is conducted by AN INDIVIDUAL
The registrant(s) commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on 10/17/2017
I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.)
S/ ABIDIN DUMAN - OWNER
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Mateo County on 09/28/2023

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT
File No. M-295269
The following person(s) is (are) doing business as: **BAY AREA DENTAL OFFICE, 29 BIRCH ST, STE 3, REDWOOD CITY, CA 94062,** County of SAN MATEO
WILLIAM MEZA, DDS, A DENTAL CORPORATION, 29 BIRCH ST, STE 3, REDWOOD CITY, CA 94062
This business is conducted by A CORPORATION
The registrant(s) commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on 01/22/2018
I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.)
S/ WILLIAM MEZA, DDS, A DENTAL CORPORATION
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Mateo County on 09/07/2023
Mark Church, County Clerk MARIA P PEREZ, Deputy Clerk
NEW FILING
9/22, 9/29, 10/6, 10/13/23 **NPEN-3741541# EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER**

REDWOOD CITY, CA 94062
This business is conducted by A CORPORATION
The registrant(s) commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on 01/22/2018
I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.)
S/ WILLIAM MEZA, DDS, A DENTAL CORPORATION
S/ WILLIAM MEZA, CEO
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Mateo County on 09/07/2023
Mark Church, County Clerk MARIA P PEREZ, Deputy Clerk
NEW FILING
9/22, 9/29, 10/6, 10/13/23 **NPEN-3741541# EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER**

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT
File No. M-295270
The following person(s) is (are) doing business as: **BEKINS MOVING SERVICES, 444 VALLEY DR, BRISBANE, CA 94005,** County of SAN MATEO
AMS RELOCATION, INC, 444 VALLEY DR, BRISBANE, CA 94005
This business is conducted by A CORPORATION
The registrant(s) commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on 06/05/2018
I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.)
AMS RELOCATION, INC
S/ MICHAEL FOSTER, PRESIDENT
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Mateo County on 09/07/2023
Mark Church, County Clerk MARIA P PEREZ, Deputy Clerk
NEW FILING
9/22, 9/29, 10/6, 10/13/23 **NPEN-3741490# EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER**

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT
File No. M-295273
The following person(s) is (are) doing business as: **GRUBSTAKE PROPERTIES V, 1107 S B ST, SAN MATEO, CA 94401,** County of SAN MATEO
TERRY ALLEN MICHAUD, 1107 SOUTH B ST, SAN MATEO, CA 94401
This business is conducted by AN INDIVIDUAL
The registrant(s) commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on 05/29/2012
I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.)
S/ TERRY ALLEN MICHAUD
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Mateo County on 09/07/2023
Mark Church, County Clerk MARIA P PEREZ, Deputy Clerk
NEW FILING
9/22, 9/29, 10/6, 10/13/23 **NPEN-3741483# EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER**

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT
File No. M-295273
The following person(s) is (are) doing business as: **GRUBSTAKE PROPERTIES V, 1107 S B ST, SAN MATEO, CA 94401,** County of SAN MATEO
TERRY ALLEN MICHAUD, 1107 SOUTH B ST, SAN MATEO, CA 94401
This business is conducted by AN INDIVIDUAL
The registrant(s) commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on 05/29/2012
I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.)
S/ TERRY ALLEN MICHAUD
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Mateo County on 09/07/2023
Mark Church, County Clerk MARIA P PEREZ, Deputy Clerk
NEW FILING
9/22, 9/29, 10/6, 10/13/23 **NPEN-3741483# EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER**

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT
File No. M-295269
The following person(s) is (are) doing business as: **BAY AREA DENTAL OFFICE, 29 BIRCH ST, STE 3, REDWOOD CITY, CA 94062,** County of SAN MATEO
WILLIAM MEZA, DDS, A DENTAL CORPORATION, 29 BIRCH ST, STE 3, REDWOOD CITY, CA 94062
This business is conducted by A CORPORATION
The registrant(s) commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on 01/22/2018
I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.)
S/ WILLIAM MEZA, DDS, A DENTAL CORPORATION
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Mateo County on 09/07/2023
Mark Church, County Clerk MARIA P PEREZ, Deputy Clerk
NEW FILING
9/22, 9/29, 10/6, 10/13/23 **NPEN-3741483# EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER**

GOVERNMENT

NOTICE OF REGULAR MEETING
SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS RULES COMMITTEE
CITY HALL, LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250
1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102
OCTOBER 16, 2023 - 10:00 AM

This meeting will be held in-person at the location above and accessible remotely (www.sfgovtv.org). Visit www.sfbos.org/remotemeeting-call

for remote access information. The agenda packet and legislative files are available for review at https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-irc or by calling (415) 554-5184. **EXM-3748274#**

NOTICE OF REGULAR MEETING
SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE CITY HALL, LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250 1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102
OCTOBER 16, 2023 - 1:30 PM

This meeting will be held in-person at the location above and accessible remotely (www.sfgovtv.org). Visit www.

sfbos.org/remotemeeting-call for remote access information. The agenda packet and legislative files are available for review at https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-irc or by calling (415) 554-5184. **EXM-3747733#**

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING
SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE MONDAY, OCTOBER 23, 2023 - 1:30 PM
NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will

CALIFORNIA NEWSPAPER SERVICE BUREAU

DAILY JOURNAL CORPORATION

Mailing Address : 915 E FIRST ST, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012
Telephone (800) 788-7840 / Fax (800) 464-2839
Visit us @ www.LegalAdstore.com

SF BOS (OFFICIAL) SF
CCSF BD OF SUPERVISORS (OFFICIAL NOTICES)
1 DR CARLTON B GOODLETT PL #244
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

COPY OF NOTICE

Notice Type: GPN GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE

Ad Description

JEC - LUT Hearing - October 23, 2023 - File No. 230924

To the right is a copy of the notice you sent to us for publication in the SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER. Thank you for using our newspaper. Please read this notice carefully and call us with ny corrections. The Proof of Publication will be filed with the County Clerk, if required, and mailed to you after the last date below. Publication date(s) for this notice is (are):

10/13/2023

The charge(s) for this order is as follows. An invoice will be sent after the last date of publication. If you prepaid this order in full, you will not receive an invoice.

Publication	\$523.80
Total	\$523.80

EXM# 3747730

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE MONDAY, OCTOBER 23, 2023 - 1:30 PM

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard. File No. 230924. Ordinance amending the Planning Code to create the Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District (Assessor's Parcel Block No. 49, Lot No. 1, generally bounded by Francisco Street, Jones Street, Chestnut Street, and Leavenworth Street, and numbered as 800 Chestnut Street); amending the Zoning Map to show the Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101; and making findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare pursuant to Planning Code Section 302.

IN-PERSON MEETING INFORMATION Legislative Chamber, Room 250, located at City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA REMOTE ACCESS Watch: www.sfgovtv.org Public Comment Call-In: <https://sfbos.org/remotemeeting-call>. In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email (board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org). Information relating to this matter is available with the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center (<https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-lrc>).

Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, October 20, 2023. For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Land Use and Transportation Committee: John Carroll (john.carroll@sfgov.org) - (415) 554-4445

EXM-3747730#



* A 0 0 0 0 0 6 4 2 8 4 1 8 *

SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER

This space for filing stamp only

835 MARKET ST, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103
Telephone (415) 314-1835 / Fax (510) 743-4178

SF BOS (OFFICIAL) SF
CCSF BD OF SUPERVISORS (OFFICIAL NOTICES)
1 DR CARLTON B GOODLETT PL #244
SAN FRANCISCO, CA - 94102

EXM#: 3747730

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE MONDAY, OCTOBER 23, 2023 - 1:30 PM

research-center-irc). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, October 20, 2023. For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Land Use and Transportation Committee: John Carroll (john.carroll@sfgov.org ~ (415) 554-4445) EXM-3747730#

PROOF OF PUBLICATION

(2015.5 C.C.P.)

State of California)
County of SAN FRANCISCO) ss

Notice Type: GPN - GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE

Ad Description:
JEC - LUT Hearing - October 23, 2023 - File No. 230924

I am a citizen of the United States and a resident of the State of California; I am over the age of eighteen years, and not a party to or interested in the above entitled matter. I am the principal clerk of the printer and publisher of the SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER, a newspaper published in the English language in the city of SAN FRANCISCO, county of SAN FRANCISCO, and adjudged a newspaper of general circulation as defined by the laws of the State of California by the Superior Court of the County of SAN FRANCISCO, State of California, under date 10/18/1951, Case No. 410667. That the notice, of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to-wit:

10/13/2023

Executed on: 10/13/2023
At Los Angeles, California

I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Handwritten signature of Ann Wong

Signature

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard. File No. 230924. Ordinance amending the Planning Code to create the Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District (Assessor's Parcel Block No. 49, Lot No. 1, generally bounded by Francisco Street, Jones Street, Chestnut Street, and Leavenworth Street, and numbered as 800 Chestnut Street); amending the Zoning Map to show the Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101; and making findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare pursuant to Planning Code, Section 302.

IN-PERSON MEETING INFORMATION Legislative Chamber, Room 250, located at City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA REMOTE ACCESS Watch: www.sfgovtv.org Public Comment Call-In: https://sfbos.org/remotemeeting-call. In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email (board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org). Information relating to this matter is available with the Office of the Clerk of the Board of the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center (https://sfbos.org/legislative-



Email



MYRNA MELGAR

DATE: November 8, 2023

TO: Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

FROM: Supervisor Myrna Melgar, Chair, Land Use and Transportation Committee

RE: Land Use and Transportation Committee
COMMITTEE REPORT

mm

Pursuant to Board Rule 4.20, as Chair of the Land Use and Transportation Committee, I have deemed the following matter is of an urgent nature and request it be considered by the full Board on Tuesday, November 14, 2023, as a Committee Report:

File No. 230924

**Planning Code, Zoning Map - Non-Profit Arts Education
Special Use District**

Sponsor: Peskin

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to create the Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District (Assessor's Parcel Block No. 49, Lot No. 1, generally bounded by Francisco Street, Jones Street, Chestnut Street, and Leavenworth Street, and numbered as 800 Chestnut Street); amending the Zoning Map to show the Non-Profit Arts Education Special Use District; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101; and making findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare pursuant to Planning Code, Section 302.

This matter will be heard in the Land Use and Transportation Committee at a Regular Meeting on Monday, November 13, 2023, at 1:30 p.m.

Introduction Form

(by a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor)



I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):

- 1. For reference to Committee (Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment)
- 2. Request for next printed agenda (For Adoption Without Committee Reference)
(Routine, non-controversial and/or commendatory matters only)
- 3. Request for Hearing on a subject matter at Committee
- 4. Request for Letter beginning with "Supervisor inquires..."
- 5. City Attorney Request
- 6. Call File No. from Committee.
- 7. Budget and Legislative Analyst Request (attached written Motion)
- 8. Substitute Legislation File No.
- 9. Reactivate File No.
- 10. Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the Board on

The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following (please check all appropriate boxes):

- Small Business Commission Youth Commission Ethics Commission
- Planning Commission Building Inspection Commission Human Resources Department

General Plan Referral sent to the Planning Department (proposed legislation subject to Charter 4.105 & Admin 2A.53):

- Yes No

(Note: For Imperative Agenda items (a Resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Agenda Form.)

Sponsor(s):

Subject:

Long Title or text listed:

Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor: