File No. 100145	Committee Item No
	Board Item No5

## COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee_		Date	
Board of Su	pervisors Meeting	Date <u>02/09</u>	/10
Cmte Boar	d	· ,	
	Motion Resolution Ordinance Legislative Digest Budget Analyst Report Legislative Analyst Report Introduction Form (for hearing Department/Agency Cover Le MOU Grant Information Form Grant Budget Subcontract Budget Contract/Agreement Award Letter Application Public Correspondence		
OTHER	(Use back side if additional s		
Completed Completed	by: <u>Joy Lamug</u> by:	Date <u>02/04/10</u> Date	

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 20 pages. The complete document is in the file.

1	[Findings – 1969 California Street]
2	
3	Motion adopting findings related to the appeal of a decision of the Planning
4	Commission, Motion No. 17959, approving Conditional Use Application 2009.0639C on
5	property located at 1969 California Street.
6	
7	WHEREAS, the Planning Commission issued a decision by Motion No. 17959 dated
8	October 8, 2009, approving Conditional Use Application No. 2009.0639C subject to certain
9	conditions, to allow the establishment of an art gallery and associated offices (d.b.a. Anthony
10	Meier Fine Art Gallery) within a designated City Landmark, Landmark #260, the Tobin House
11	in an RH-2 (Residential, House, Two-Family) District and a 40-X Height and Bulk District, on
12	property located at 1969 California Street, Lot No. 016 in Assessor's Block 0649; and
13	WHEREAS, said determination was timely appealed to the Board of Supervisors on
14	November 9, 2009, by Greg Scott on behalf of Pacific Heights Residents Association;
15	WHEREAS, on January 12, 2010, the Board conducted a duly noticed public hearing
16	on the appeal from the Planning Commission's approval referred to in the first paragraph of
17	this motion. Following the conclusion of the public hearing on January 12, the Board
18	disapproved the decision of the Planning Commission (Planning Commission Motion No.
19	17959 dated October 8, 2009) and approved the issuance of requested Conditional Use
20	Application No. 2009.0639C, subject to the conditions imposed by the Planning Commission
21	in its Motion No. 17959, and further subject to the following additional conditions imposed by
22	the Board of Supervisors:
23	<ol> <li>Added to Condition #11: Deliveries related to the art gallery shall occur only on</li> </ol>
24	street cleaning days;
25	

Clerk of the Board BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

1	
2	for
3	ор
4	
5	en
6	
7	au
8	Вс
9	
10	Co
11	the
12	da
13	
14	Pr
15	Qı
16	pr
17	the
18	cir
19	de
20	C
21	
22	
23	

2.	Added to Condition #14: Valet Parking for art events must have off-street	t parking
for all vehicle	les and in no instance shall off-street parking spaces be used. Valet parkir	ng
operations m	must not unreasonably obstruct driveways at any time; and	

3. New Condition #17: No residential parking permits shall be used by gallery employees. Alternatively, the gallery must provide employees with off-street parking; and

WHEREAS, in reviewing the appeal of the approval of the requested conditional use authorization, the Board of Supervisors reviewed and considered the written record before the Board and all of the public comments made in support of and in opposition to the appeal;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT MOVED, that the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by reference herein, as though fully set forth, the findings made by the Planning Commission in its Motion No. 17959 dated October 8, 2009, approving Conditional Use Application No. 2009.0639C;

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors took notice that Planning found the Project categorically exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) on September 18, 2009. Specifically, the Planning Department found the project exempt pursuant to section 15301(a) of the CEQA Guidelines. The Board finds that there have been no substantial Project changes, no substantial changes in Project circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the determination that the Project is categorically exempt from environmental review under CEQA.

24

25