1	[Supporting European Union Efforts to Regulate Hazardous Chemicals]
2	Resolution supporting efforts in the European Union to institute an effective new policy
3	for regulating hazardous chemicals.
4	
5	WHEREAS, tens of thousands of chemicals are currently manufactured and sold in
6	global commerce; and
7	WHEREAS, ample scientific evidence has demonstrated that citizens of San
8	Francisco, along with others in California and the U.S., are exposed to potentially dangerous
9	chemicals through consumer products, the workplace, and our air, water, and food; and
10	WHEREAS, even basic screening data is not available to the public and government
11	officials on the hazards to human health and the environment for over 90% of the highest
12	volume chemicals; ² and
13	WHEREAS, existing programs for the regulation of toxic chemicals by the
14	governments of the California and the United States (1) do not require adequate information
15	on the safety of most chemicals to which people or the environment are exposed, (2) result in
16	safety evaluations of relatively few chemicals each year and (3) are not expected to provide
17	safety information for most chemicals in commerce in the foreseeable future; and
18	WHEREAS, existing programs for regulation of toxic chemicals have focused most
19	resources on regulating new chemicals, while all existing chemicals were effectively grand-
20	fathered in, being considered safe until proven dangerous; and
21	
22	
23	James, Hertz-Picciotto, et al., <i>Environmental Health Perspectives</i> , 110(7):617-624; Davis,
24	May, et al., 2002, <i>Marine Pollution Bulletin</i> , 40(10):1117-1129, Brown, McCain, et al., 1998, <i>Marine Pollution Bulletin</i> , 37(1-2):67-85, 2002.
25	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, "Chemical Hazard Data Availability Study," Washington, DC: April 1998.

1	WHEREAS, the US EPA must prove a substance is harmful and that the benefits of
2	regulation exceed the costs before it can take preventative action on hazardous chemicals,
3	resulting in very limited use of TSCA's regulatory powers; and
4	WHEREAS, this lack of information renders the public and the government unable to
5	assess, avoid or otherwise control exposures to the unevaluated chemicals which in fact
6	present a risk to human health or the environment; and
7	WHEREAS, One result of this lack of information is the pre-mature death of over
8	60,000 U.S. workers each year as a result of exposures to hazardous chemicals in the
9	workplace, and over 800,000 new cases of occupational disease each year, along with a
10	national burden of 1.75 million people with work-related chronic obstructed pulmonary
11	disease (COPD); ³
12	WHEREAS, without including the cost of work-related COPD, the annual cost of
13	occupational disease in the U.S. is \$25.5 billion, representing a substantial drain on the U.S.
14	economy and on the lives of workers and their families;4
15	WHEREAS, the European Commission, recognizing the existence of these same
16	problems in the European Union, has prepared draft legislation, known as the Registration,
17	Evaluation, and Authorization of Chemicals (or "REACH") to reform the management of
18	chemicals in commerce in the EU;5 and
19	
20	
21	³ Leigh, Markowitz, Fahs, Shin. Occupational injury and illness in the United States: Estimation of costs, morbidity and mortality. Arch. Intern Med 157:1557-1567 (1997).
22	Balmes, Becklake, Blanc, Henneberger, Kreiss, Mapp, Milton, Torn, Viegi. American Thoracic Society Statement: Occupational contribution to the burden of airway disease. Am J
23	Repir Crit Care Med 167:787-97 (2003). Leigh, Markowitz, Fahs, Shin. Occupational injury and illness in the United States:
24	Estimation of costs, morbidity and mortality. Arch. Intern Med 157:1557-1567 (1997). ⁵ European Commission, Internet Consultation Draft: Proposal for a Regulation of the
25	European Parliament and of the Council Concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation and Restrictions of Chemicals, Brussels: May 7, 2003.

1	WHEREAS, the REACH legislation intends to shift the burden of proof onto industry by
2	requiring the development and communication of information on toxicity and exposures to
3	harmful chemicals including the persistence, bioaccumulation, and carcinogenic, mutagenic,
4	and reproductive toxicity of chemicals as a condition for their continued manufacture, import,
5	or use in the EU; and
6	WHEREAS, the REACH legislation could create strong incentives for developing safer
7	alternatives, be advantageous for innovation and eventually make the products produced by
8	the EU chemicals industry and for the EU market the safest in the world; ⁶ and
9	WHEREAS, implementation of progressive REACH legislation in the EU soon to
10	include 25 member states and over 550 million residents could create new market
11	opportunities for European, U.S, and California businesses that offer safer products, and
12	existing chemical regulations in Europe have caused California manufacturers to institute
13	substitutes to some chemicals, clearly benefitting California's workplaces and communities".
14	WHEREAS, REACH could generate substantial new safety information about
15	chemicals for use by the public and by government authorities in San Francisco, California,
16	and the United States to assess, eliminate, or otherwise reduce human and environmental
17	exposures to hazardous chemicals; and
18	WHEREAS, the European Commission's 2001 White Paper on a "Strategy for a
19	Future Chemicals Policy" envisioned REACH as being informed by the Precautionary
20	Principle, which has been adopted by the EU as a key tenet in its policy on protection of
21	human health and the environment;7 and

22

23

Copenhagen, 2001.

⁶ European Commission, Assessment of the Business Impact of New Regulations in the Chemicals Sector, Final Report - June 2002, pages xv-xvi, 106, 122.

⁷ European Commission, White Paper: Strategy for a Future Chemicals Policy, COM(2001) 88 final, Brussels: February 27, 2001; Harremoës, Gee, et al. (eds.), Late Lessons from Early Warnings: The Precautionary Principle 1896-2000, European Environmental Agency: 24 25

1	WHEREAS, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors has passed a City Ordinance
2	incorporating into the San Francisco Environment Code the requirement that all officers,
3	boards, commissions, and departments of the City and County must implement the
4	Precautionary Principle in conducting the City and County's affairs; and
5	WHEREAS, the Precautionary Principle embodies a preference for preventing harm,
6	reliance on broad public participation and right-to-know, encouragement of the identification
7	of alternatives and explicit consideration of their full costs;8 and
8	WHEREAS, the San Francisco Board of Supervisors intends the Precautionary
9	Principle as a tool to help promote environmentally healthy alternatives while weeding out the
10	negative and often unintended consequences of new technologies;9 and
11	WHEREAS, effective practical implementation of the Precautionary Principal by the
12	City and County of San Francisco in managing the use of chemicals and their exposure to the
13	population and the environment would be aided by the public availability of increased credible
14	scientific information about the effects of exposure of chemicals on human health and the
15	environment; and
16	WHEREAS, the Bush Administration has consistently objected to the REACH
17	legislation, and has filed formal comments with the European Commission criticizing many
18	aspects of the proposed reforms; 10 and
19	WHEREAS, press reports document that the Bush Administration developed its
20	position on REACH in consultation with executives from Dow Chemical, Rohm & Haas and
21	Lyondell Chemical, among other chemical companies and trade associations and, as far as
22	8 Precautionary Principle Policy Statement, Environment Code for the City and County of San
23	Francisco, Chapter One, Section 101. Precautionary Principle Policy Statement, Environment Code for the City and County of San
24	Francisco, Chapter One, Section 100(E). 10 "United States Nonpaper on EU Chemicals Policy," Washington, D.C., (undated), circa
25	March 2002; "Comments of the United States on the European Commission's Draft Chemicals Regulation," July 2003, Washington, D.C.

1	the current record shows, without consulting with the State of California, the City and County
2	of San Francisco, their citizens or other State, local or representative bodies; 11 and
3	WHEREAS, over 10,000 Americans and more than 60 environmental, health, labor,
4	and trade groups representing millions of members recently signed "The Declaration of
5	Independence from Hazardous Chemicals," affirming their support for implementation of
6	effective provisions in the REACH legislation by the European Union and for complementary
7	efforts in the United States; 12 now, therefore, be it resolved that the Mayor and Board of
8	Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco respectfully call on the European
9	Commission, Members of the European Parliament, and the Council of Ministers to enact
10	progressive chemicals policy reform that would effectively:
11	(1) Lead to the rapid development and substitution of safer alternatives to hazardous
12	chemicals; and
13	(2) Shift the burden of proof onto industry to develop information over the next decade
14	on the environmental behavior and health effects of chemicals in commerce; and
15	(3) Ensure broad and ready access to this information by the public and government
16	authorities in San Francisco, California and the U.S.; and
17	(4) Apply fairly to EU producers and to businesses that export to Europe in
18	conformance with international trade laws; therefore
19	
20	BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Mayor and Board of Supervisors of the City
21	and County of San Francisco urge the business community in San Francisco, California, and
22	the U.S. to recognize the opportunities that the EU legislation can create for responsive
23	

Herrick, Newman & Schroeder, "U.S. Opposes EU Effort to Test Chemicals for Health Hazards", *The Wall Street Journal*, September 9, 2003.

12 "U.S. Declaration of Independence from Hazardous Chemicals," July 1, 2003, Washington, D.C. (see http://www.worldwildlife.org/toxics/whatsnew/declaration.cfm).

24

25

1	companies, and to invest in the development of innovative products that are safer for human
2	health and the environment to remain competitive in the global marketplace; and,
3	BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Mayor and Board of Supervisors of the City
4	and County of San Francisco respectfully request the State of California and the United
5	States to begin at once to actively invite broad participation by the public health,
6	environmental, Government, industry, public and other interested communities in fashioning
7	new State and Federal policies and laws that more effectively safeguard the health and
8	environmental quality of the people of San Francisco, California, and the United States; and
9	BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors shall send a
10	copy of this resolution to the Governor of the State of California, members of the California
11	State Legislature and California's representatives in the U.S. Senate and the U.S. House of
12	Representatives.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	