23

24

25

[Various Codes - Streamlining Reporting Requirements and Other Code Cleanup]

Ordinance amending the Administrative, Environment, Health, Labor and Employment, Park, Planning, Police, Public Works, Subdivision, Transportation, and Building Inspection Commission Codes to modify the reporting requirements related to 1) value of City-owned parcels, 2) code enforcement violations, 3) updates to nutrition standards and guidelines, 4) rental of City vehicles, 5) revenue recovery for damage to City property, 6) representations of women on City property, 7) the Commission on Disability and Aging, 8) meetings of the State Legislation Committee, 9) the City records center, 10) claims to the Bureau of Delinquent Revenue Collection, 11) the District Attorney State Forfeiture Fund, 12) the Food Empowerment Market Fund, 13) the Infant and Toddler Early Learning Scholarship Fund, 14) the Low Carbon Fuel Standard Credit Sales Fund, 15) the Mayor's Home Ownership Assistance Loan Fund, 16) the Mayor's Housing Programs Fees Fund, 17) the Public Health Environment **Enforcement Fund, 18) Proposition 1B Local Street and Road Improvement Funds, 19)** the Community Mental Health Service, 20) studies and plans to develop the Moscone Center Garage and the Performing Arts Garage, 21) managed care contracts, 22) Good Food Purchasing Standards, 23) the City's telecommunications program, 24) City property leased for fossil fuel extraction, 25) the Redevelopment Agency, 26) the Healthy Nail Salon Recognition Program, 27) loans related to the designation of residential rehabilitation areas, 28) the Housing Code Enforcement Loan Program, 29) residential hotels, 30) the Short-Term Residential Rental Program, 31) the Affordable Housing and Home Ownership Bond Program, 32) nonprofit arts organizations, 33) the Healthy Food Retailer Ordinance, 34) the In-Home Supportive Services Public Authority, 35) the historical property contract (Mills Act) program, 36) the Housing

1	Innovation Program, 37) Healthcare Impact Reports, 38) the Better Streets Policy, 39)
2	Navigation Centers, 40) the Cooperative Living Opportunities for Mental Health
3	Program, 41) the Safe Oversight Parking Pilot Program, 42) surveillance technology
4	audits, 43) the Neighborhood Anchor Business Registry, 44) the Citywide Project Labor
5	Agreement Ordinance, 45) work performed under Chapter 6 public works contracts, 46)
6	the 706 Mission Fund, 47) the Animal Shelter Fund, 48) the County Surveyor's Survey
7	Monument Preservation Fund, 49) the Cultural District Fund, 50) the Disability and
8	Aging Services Community Living Fund, 51) the Jackson Playground Park Fund, 52)
9	the Public Works Adopt-a-Tree Fund, 53) the San Francisco Film Production Fund, 54)
10	San Francisco Gift Funds, 55) Housing Element Production, 56) the Office of
11	Environmental Review, 57) sexual harassment complaints, 58) City employee overtime,
12	59) the Early Care and Education for All Initiative, 60) the Homeward Bound Program,
13	61) the Shelter Monitoring Committee, 62) the Open Data Policy, 63) the Office of
14	Emerging Technology, 64) the Commission on the Status of Women, 65) management
15	information services, 66) the Entertainment Commission, 67) fees associated with
16	water conservation certification, 68) notices and orders issued to Large Refuse
17	Generators, 69) compliance with the Environmentally Preferable Purchasing Ordinance
18	70) restrictions on City purchases of bottled water, 71) the lead poisoning prevention
19	program, 72) the Hunters Point Shipyard health and safety ordinance, 73) the Assisted
20	Outpatient Treatment Program, 74) Equal Pay Reports, 75) noise assessment and
21	prevention in land use planning and environmental review, 76) amplified sound from
22	unenclosed tour buses, 77) adjustments to the street damage restoration fee, 78) fixed
23	pedestal zones, 79) cost of parking places, 80) use of a Public Works revolving fund,
24	81) offset of use of fresh water due to the Nonpotable and Reclaimed Water Use Master
25	Plan, 82) surface-mounted facility site permits, 83) Tier 3 Love Our Neighborhood

1	Project Applications, 84) limited equity housing cooperative conversions and related
2	fees, 85) Police Department and Municipal Transportation Agency costs associated
3	with street fairs, 86) the Housing Production Summary Attachment, 87) housing
4	production, 88) jobs-housing fit, 89) Administrative Code Chapter 31 appeals pursuant
5	to the California Environmental Quality Act, 90) progress of the Transit Center District,
6	Market/Octavia, East SOMA, West SOMA, Inner Mission, Lower Potrero/Showplace
7	Square, and Central Waterfront Area Plans, 91) the Short Term Rental program, 92) the
8	Housing Inventory, 93) impact fees for Area Plans, 94) Housing Balance, 95) bicycle
9	parking requirements for City properties, 96) the Transportation Demand Management
10	Implementation, 97) the Affordable Housing Bonus Program, 98) the Van Ness Special
11	Use District, 99) office development limits, 100) the Market Octavia Plan Area, 101)
12	economic feasibility of the Transportation Sustainability Fee, 102) the Rincon Hill
13	Community Improvements Fund, 103) the SOMA Community Stabilization Fund,
14	104) General Advertising Sign Inventory, 105) Neighborhood Commercial District
15	Zoning Controls, 106) residential density exceptions in RH (Residential, House)
16	Districts, 107) replacing auto-oriented uses with housing, 108) the Local Accessory
17	Dwelling Unit Program, 109) the State-mandated Accessory Dwelling Unit Program,
18	110) the legalization of Unauthorized Dwelling Units, and 111) the Van Ness & Market
19	Community Facilities Fee; remove various obsolete reporting requirements; eliminate
20	defunct funds, agencies, plans, and programs; make other miscellaneous updates to 1)
21	remove reference to library fines, 2) modify the library fee amnesty program, 3) modify
22	the permissible uses of the Administrative Services Vehicle Leasing Program Fund, 4)
23	approval of certain expenditures from the Library Special Collections and Services
24	Fund, 5) the process for preparing departmental equal employment opportunity plans,
25	6) reduce the scope of report regarding compliance with the Environmentally

1	Preferable Purchasing Ordinance, 7) reduce the scope of reporting required for Tier 3
2	Love Our Neighborhood Project Applications, 8) eliminate the Parking Authority as a
3	responsible party to report costs to maintenance districts of maintaining public
4	improvements and facilities, 9) eliminate the Human Rights Commission as a body that
5	verifies the absence of evictions for parcels whose owners apply for conversion of the
6	form of ownership and for the purpose of the residential condominium conversion
7	lottery, 10) update requirements for the Health Care Service Master Plan, and 11)
8	change the department responsible for submitting annual reports for the Van Ness &
9	Market Community Facilities Fee; other related amendments; affirming the Planning
10	Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making
11	findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of
12	Planning Code, Section 101.1; and making findings of necessity, convenience, and
13	welfare under Planning Code, Section 302.
14	
15	NOTE: Unchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font.

15 **Additions to Codes** are in *single-underline italics Times New Roman font*. 16 **Deletions to Codes** are in *strikethrough italics Times New Roman font*. Board amendment additions are in double-underlined Arial font. 17 Board amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font. Asterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code 18 subsections or parts of tables.

19

20

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

21

22

23

24

25

Section 1. Environmental and Land Use Findings.

(a) The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of

1	Supervisors in File No and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board
2	affirms this determination.
3	(b) On, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No,
4	adopted findings that the actions contemplated in this ordinance are consistent, on balance,
5	with the City's General Plan and eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. The
6	Board adopts these findings as its own. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of
7	the Board of Supervisors in File No, and is incorporated herein by reference.
8	(c) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, this Board finds that these Planning Code
9	amendments will serve the public necessity, convenience, and welfare for the reasons set
10	forth in Planning Commission Resolution No and the Board incorporates such
11	reasons herein by reference. A copy of Planning Commission Resolution No is o
12	file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No
13	
14	Section 2. Chapters 2A, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 10E, 12F, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21A, 22D, 22G,
15	23, 27, 31, 32, 33, 36, 37, 40, 41, 41A, 51, 83, 85, 90, 98, 106, 107, 117, and 119 of the
16	Administrative Code are hereby amended by revising Sections 2A.20, 2A.110, 2A.174,
17	2A.241, 2A.244, 2A.420, 4.9-1, 4.10-1, 4.27, 5.6-3, 5.25-4, 6.26, 6.27, 6.66, 8.5, 8.21-2, 8.21
18	3, 10.6-1, 10.25, 10.25-12, 10.100-7, 10.100-9, 10.100-24, 10.100-50, 10.100-52, 10.100-53,
19	10.100-60, 10.100-73, 10.100-81, 10.100-82, 10.100-88, 10.100-92, 10.100-108, 10.100-117
20	10.100-198, 10.100-217, 10.100-227, 10.100-297, 10.100-305, 10.170-1, 10E.4, 12F.6,
21	15.11, 16.9-24, 16.9-25, 16.9-27, 17.4, 18.13-1, 20.17-3, 20.19-4, 20.304, 21A.3, 22D.2,
22	22G.4, 23.42, 27.3, 31.05, 32.54, 33.6, 33.7, 36.1, 36.3, 36.4, 37.7, 40.19, 41.21, 41A.7,
23	51.03, 83.6, 85.4, 90.8, 98.1, 106.5, 107.6, 117.1, 117.2, 117.4, and 119.5, and deleting in
24	their entirety Sections 2.92, 2A.320, 4.26, 5.9, 8.21-1, 10.42, 10.100-11, 10.100-18, 10.100-
25	49.5, 10.100-118, 10.100-131, 10.100-136, 10.100-160, 10.100-162, 10.100-247, 10.100-375

1	10C.1 through 10C.12, 10E.1 through 10E.3, 12D.1, 12D.2, 12D.2-1 through 12D.2-6, 12D.3
2	through 12D.19, 12D.A.1 through 12D.A.22, 12J.8, 14A.01 through 14A.04, 14A.1 through
3	14A.17, 21D.3, 21D.4, 21D.5, 22B.3, 24.3, 41C.6, 43.3.7, 59.7, 70.5, 71.7, 78.3, 83.8, 84.1
4	through 84.5, 90A.5, 92.1 through 92.4, 97.1 through 97.4, 98.2, and 107.5, to read as
5	follows:
6	
7	ARTICLE X:
8	PERFORMANCE AND EFFICIENCY AUDIT OFFICE
9	SEC. 2.92. EFFICIENCY EVALUATION PLAN.
10	(a) The Controller shall establish a Performance and Efficiency Audit Office in the
11	Controller's Office to improve the overall efficiency of City government by identifying inefficient
12	operations and functions of departments, agencies, boards, and commissions of the City and County of
13	San Francisco that should be eliminated. The Controller's Office shall prepare a plan that shall
14	include, but not be limited to, an evaluation of expenditures in terms of the effectiveness of the service
15	or product delivered by City departments and utilization of employees and contractual services, and
16	shall include a review of the following:
17	— (1) Elimination of inefficient operations and functions,
18	— (2) Consolidation of duplicative and overlapping activities and functions,
19	— (3) Integration and standardization of information maintenance systems that promote
20	interdepartmental sharing of information and resources,
21	— (4) Departmental accounting for expenditure of resources in terms of effectiveness of the
22	service or product delivered,
23	— (5) Departmental deployment and utilization of personnel, the City's personnel
24	procurement system, and reforms to enhance the quality of work performance of public employees,
25	— (6) Methods of operation to reduce consumption and waste of resources,

1	— (7) Departmental compliance with judicial, legislative and administrative mandates,
2	— (8) Records available, such as, Grand Jury reports, Budget Analyst audits, previous
3	budgets and appropriations and justifications, and Controller internal audits,
4	(9) An analysis of cost-cutting recommendations from employees and suggestions from
5	users of governmental services.
6	(b) The Controller shall report the execution of the plan described herein and the
7	implementation of recommendations resulting from evaluations of City operations by December 31,
8	1991. The Controller shall establish a schedule for City departments to report annually departmental
9	administrative and operational changes undertaken to implement recommendations to the Board of
10	Supervisors.
11	
12	SEC. 2A.20. CONTROLLER'S AUDITS.
13	* * * *
14	(d) Surveillance Technology Audit.
15	(1) For purposes of this subsection (d), "Department," "Surveillance Technology,"
16	"Surveillance Technology Policy," and "Annual Surveillance Report" have the meanings set
17	forth in Section 19B.1 of the Administrative Code.
18	(2) Acting as City Services Auditor, and beginning in fiscal year 2019-2020,
19	the Controller shall audit annually at least once every five years the use of Surveillance
20	Technology by Departments. Such an audit shall include a review of whether a Department
21	has operated and is operating in compliance with an approved Surveillance Technology Policy
22	ordinance, and has completed an Annual Surveillance Report, and such other information as
23	the Controller determines helpful to assess the Surveillance Technology Policy. At the

completion of the audit and in consultation with the City Attorney, the Controller may

24

recommend any changes to any Surveillance Technology Policy ordinance and its implementation to the Board of Supervisors.

SEC. 2A.110. DIRECTOR OF PROPERTY.

The Director of Property shall have the charge of the purchase of real property and improvements required for all City and County purposes, and the sale and lease of real property and improvements thereon owned by the City and County, except as otherwise provided by the Charter. In the acquisition of property required for street opening, widening or other public improvements, the Director shall make preliminary appraisals of the value of the property sought to be condemned or otherwise acquired, and report thereon to the responsible officer. It shall be <u>the Director's his or</u> duty, in addition, to assist in such proceedings on the request of the responsible officer.

Except for the Convention Facilities Management Department, each department authorized by the approval of bond issues or by annual or supplemental appropriation ordinances to purchase or lease property or improvements needed for the purposes of such department shall make such purchases or leases through the Director of Property. The Director of Property shall make a preliminary valuation of the property to be acquired or leased and report the same to the department requiring such property. For such purposes the Director of Property may employ independent appraisers. The Director of Property shall conduct negotiations with the owner or owners thereof, at the conclusion of which the Director shall report the terms on which such sale or lease may be concluded, together with the Director shall report to the Board of Supervisors and recommend acceptance or that proceedings in eminent domain be instituted for the acquisition of such property.

The Director of Property shall maintain complete records and maps of all real property owned by the City, which shall show the purchase price, if known, and the department in charge of each parcel, with reference to deeds or grants establishing the City's title.

The Director of Property shall annually report to the Mayor, the Controller, the Director of Administrative Services, and the Supervisors, the estimated value of each parcel and improvement. The Director of Property shall make recommendations to the Mayor and Director of Administrative Services relative to the advantageous use, disposition, or sale of real property not in use.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

4

5

6

7

SEC. 2A.174. NAMING TERMINAL 1 OF THE SAN FRANCISCO INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT FOR HARVEY MILK.

- Terminal 1 of the San Francisco International Airport shall be named for Harvey Milk.
- (b) By no later than September 1, 2018, the Director of the Airport shall submit to the Arts Commission for the Commission's approval a plan for design and placement of artwork in the terminal memorializing the life and legacy of Harvey Milk. If the Arts Commission makes a decision to not approve the plan for design or placement of the artwork, the Director shall submit an alternative plan for design or placement of the artwork to the Arts Commission within 30 days.
- (c) By no later than December 1, 2018, the Director of the Airport shall submit a report to the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor describing the steps the Airport has taken and plans to take to implement this Section 2A.174 and publicize the name of the terminal, including plans for signage in the Airport and plans to place artwork in the terminal.
- (d)—The signage identifying the terminal for drivers, on the exterior of the terminal generally facing the roadway and the domestic parking garage, shall state "Harvey Milk Terminal" in a sign with lettering in which capital letters are at least four feet high, and, below

1	"Harvey Milk Terminal," shall state "Terminal 1" in a sign with lettering that is approximately
2	seventy-five percent of the height of the lettering of the "Harvey Milk Terminal" sign.
3	$(\underline{e}\underline{d})$ Additionally, wherever signage identifying "Terminal 1" appears on the interior or
4	exterior of the terminal or Airport, the words "Harvey Milk" shall appear in equal or greater
5	height.
6	
7	SEC. 2A.241. OFFICE OF SMALL BUSINESS.
8	(a) Duties and Functions. The Office of Small Business, which shall be a City
9	department under the direction of the Small Business Commission, shall perform the following
10	functions to assist small businesses located in San Francisco with a total workforce of 100 or
11	fewer fulltime employees:
12	* * * *
13	4. Report by March 1 and September 1 of each year Prepare an annual report to the
14	Mayor and Board of Supervisors on the numbers of small businesses served by case
15	managers and the Office of Small Business, types of services provided, numbers of small
16	businesses obtaining City contracts and their dollar amount and on other performance measures as
17	determined by the Small Business Commission and implementation of the Neighborhood Anchor
18	Business Registry in San Francisco established under Section 2A.244.
19	* * * *
20	(c) Annual Survey. The Office of Small Business, in coordination with the Controller's Office,
21	shall create and administer an annual survey of small businesses that use the Small Business Assistance
22	Center to evaluate the Center's performance in serving small businesses.
23	//
24	//

25

//

SEC. 2A.244. NEIGHBORHOOD ANCHOR BUSINESS REGISTRY.

2 * * * *

(g) To ensure that the implementation of the Neighborhood Anchor Business Registry program comports with the City's racial equity and language access goals and that the Registry includes an equitable balance of industries and types of businesses, the Office of Small Business shall, by October 1 of each year, prepare and submit to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors a report on the characteristics and demographics of the businesses included in the Registry. The report shall include a breakdown of the businesses by industry type, the method of nomination to the Registry, the geographic distribution of the businesses, and the number of any requests for financial assistance or lease assistance made by the businesses through the Office of Small Business. The report shall also include summaries of how many people are employed by the businesses in the Registry, the race or ethnicity of those employees, their gender identity, and the languages spoken by those employees, and summaries of the race or ethnicity of the owners or operators.

15 * * * *

SEC. 2A.320. REPORTING OF CODE ENFORCEMENT ACTIVITIES.

(a) This Section 2A.320 shall apply to the Department of Building Inspection, the Health Department, the Fire Department, and the Planning Department.

(b) All departments made subject to this Section by subsection (a) shall submit a quarterly report to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors regarding the department's code enforcement activities, in a format to be developed by City Administrator, in consultation with the Director of the Department of Building Inspection, the Health Director, the Planning Director, and the Fire Chief. Nothing in this Section 2A.320 shall be construed to require the City or any department to waive any applicable attorney-client communication or attorney work product privilege.

1	(c) For every case referred to a hearing by the department pursuant to the procedures set forth
2	in Building Code Section 102A, or similar hearing under the Health, Fire, or Planning Codes, the
3	report shall disclose:
4	(1) Whether the matter has been resolved, the violations abated, and the penalties, along
5	with any fees and costs, paid;
6	(2) Whether the matter has been or will be referred to the City Attorney for review and
7	possible litigation; and, if appropriate,
8	(3) Other detailed explanation of how the matter is being handled.
9	
10	SEC. 2A.420. OFFICE OF CANNABIS.
11	* * * *
12	(b) Duties and functions.
13	* * * *
14	(6) By no later than November 1, 2017, the Director, in consultation with the
15	Department of Public Health and the Controller, shall prepare and submit to the Board of Supervisors
16	and the Mayor a report analyzing the unique needs of individuals who use cannabis for medicinal
17	purposes. The report shall make recommendations on (A) preserving affordable and/or free access to
18	medical cannabis patients, (B) ensuring medical cannabis patients continue to receive high-quality,
19	appropriate care and (C) providing uninterrupted access to medical cannabis patients.
20	(7) By no later than January 1, 2020, and annually thereafter, the Director shall
21	produce and submit to the Cannabis Oversight Committee a report evaluating the growth of
22	the City's cannabis industry. This report shall include, but need not be limited to, the following
23	information, provided that the gathering or the disclosure of such information is not required
24	//

1	where it would violate Federal or State law, and further provided that any reports derived from
2	the data do not identify specific individuals:
3	* * * *
4	
5	SEC. 4.9-1. NUTRITIONAL STANDARDS FOR VENDING MACHINES;
6	NUTRITIONAL GUIDELINES FOR FOOD SERVED AT CITY MEETINGS AND EVENTS;
7	RECOMMENDED NUTRITIONAL GUIDELINES FOR RESTAURANTS ON CITY
8	PROPERTY.
9	* * * *
10	(g) Recommended Updates to Nutrition Standards and Guidelines. The Board of Supervisors
11	recognizes that dietary guidelines evolve over time to address pressing public health concerns and
12	the nutrition needs of specific populations and to conform to advances in scientific and medical
13	knowledge. Where the Department of Public Health, in consultation with the Health Service System,
14	concludes that the nutrition standards and guidelines set forth in this Section 4.9-1 should be updated
15	to reflect new research in the field of nutrition and health, it shall submit to the Board of Supervisors a
16	report that describes the recommended changes and sets forth the evidence in support of those
17	recommendations.
18	
19	SEC. 4.10-1. CITY-OWNED AND LEASED VEHICLES; FLEET MANAGEMENT
20	PROGRAM.
21	* * * *
22	(f) Each department that has rented one or more vehicles for a period of more than 30 days
23	during the preceding year (measured from April 1 through March 31) shall submit an annual report to
24	the City Administrator and the Board of Supervisors by May 1 including (1) for the 12 months ending

March 31: the number of vehicles the department rented or leased for a period of more than 30 days

1	during the reporting period, the length of each such rental or lease, the make, model, and year of each
2	vehicle, the use of the vehicle, and the cost of each rental or lease, including both the rate calculated on
3	a daily basis and the overall cost for the entire rental or lease period; and (2) the amount of the
4	department's anticipated spending to rent or lease vehicles in the next fiscal year.
5	(g)—The City Administrator may request, based on information provided under Section
6	4.10-2 or for any other reason, that a department using any vehicle owned, leased, or rented
7	by the City return the vehicle to the City Administrator to inspect or provide maintenance on
8	the vehicle to the extent authorized by the City's contract for rented or leased vehicles.
9	Departments shall provide vehicles to the City Administrator for inspection or maintenance
10	within five business days of receiving a written request.
11	
12	SEC. 4.26. ANNUAL REPORT OF REVENUE RECOVERY FOR DAMAGE TO CITY
13	PROPERTY.
14	Each department shall prepare and submit by April 15th of each year a report to the Board of
15	Supervisors and the Controller that identifies for the previous three fiscal years: 1) the value of any
16	damage sustained to City real and personal property under the control of the department; 2) the
17	amount billed or requested; 3) the amount of revenue recovered by the department, or other City
18	department or agency on behalf of that department, from those responsible for the damage to City
19	property; (4) amount outstanding and 5) number of claims sent to the City Attorney's Office.
20	
21	SEC. 4.27. POLICY OF PROMOTING REPRESENTATIONS OF WOMEN ON CITY
22	PROPERTY.
23	* * * *
24	(b) Department Reports. — (1) By no later than October 1, 2019, the Arts

Commission shall post on its website a list of all statues, monuments, memorials, plaques,

similar objects, and other works of art describedin subsection (a) that depict publicly	
recognizing historical figures; the Department of Public Works shall post on its website a list	of
all City streets named for historical figures; the City Administrator's Office shall post on its	
website a list of all City-owned buildings or designated rooms or spaces in those buildings	
named for historical figures; and the Recreation and Park Department shall post on its webs	site
a list of all parks named for historical figures. After October 1, 2019, each of these	
departments shall update these lists on a quarterly basis.	

(2) By no later than December 31, 2019, the Department on the Status of Women shall submit a written report to the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor regarding the proportion of women in each of the categories described in subsection (a). The Department on the Status of Women shall submit subsequent written reports by no later than December 31, 2020, and by December 31 every two years thereafter.

SEC. 5.6-3. POWERS AND DUTIES OF THE COMMISSION.

In addition to powers under the Charter, the Commission shall have the following powers and duties:

(a) To develop, as the Area Agency on Aging, policy goals for the City and County of San Francisco in the form of an Area Plan as specified by federal regulations. Such plan is to be *submitted to subject to the review and approval of* the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors;

21 * * * *

- (c) To establish an Advisory Council to advise the Commission in accordance with federal law and regulations; *and*
 - (d) To serve as advocate and focal point for Senior Citizens' Programs.: and

(e) To make an annual report to the Board of Supervisors

regarding the accomplishments of the Commission and the Council in terms of service, delivery and

coordination and development of senior resources in the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 5.9. REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS.

A report of the proceedings of each regular or special meeting of the State Legislation

Committee and the recommendations made thereat shall be submitted to the Board of Supervisors not later than the next regular business day following such meeting.

SEC. 5.25-4. SAFETY AND JUSTICE CHALLENGE SUBCOMMITTEE.

(i) The Subcommittee shall prepare and submit to the Board of Supervisors two reports that describe the Subcommittee's progress in fulfilling the duties set forth in this Section 5.25-4: a preliminary progress report due August 1, 2020, and a final progress report due October 1, 2020. Both reports shall include an assessment of progress made in reducing the daily total jail population to no more than 1,044 in County Jails 2 and 5 combined and sustaining the reduction, data on the average daily total jail population, the measures and strategies that have been implemented across all justice agencies to accomplish the goal of reducing the total jail population to no more than 1,044, and any outstanding tasks, challenges, or needs. Both reports also shall include an assessment of the impact of COVID-19 on the jail population, the ability to achieve social distancing to prevent spread of the virus within the jails, temporary facilities to house individuals to maintain social distancing recommended by the Health Officer, and policy recommendations to the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor to protect public health and prevent the spread of COVID-19. Both reports shall be accompanied by a proposed Board of Supervisors resolution accepting the report, and the Board may act by resolution to accept, reject, or modify the report. The Subcommittee also shall submit both reports to the Mayor, any City

1	department or office responsible for a program identified in the report, and the City Administrator. The
2	reports shall be available to the public, and the City Administrator shall post the reports on the City
3	Administrator's website.

- (j) In carrying out its duties, the Subcommittee shall receive prompt and full cooperation and assistance from all City departments, offices, officers, and employees. All components of City government shall promptly produce all records and information requested by the Subcommittee, unless prohibited from doing so by state or federal law.
- (*jk*) This Section 5.25-4 shall expire by operation of law, and the Subcommittee shall terminate, when the Sheriff certifies in writing that County Jail 4 is closed. After said certification, the City Attorney shall cause this Section 5.25-4 to be removed from the Administrative Code.
- (<u>k</u>) Nothing in this Section 5.25-4 shall be interpreted to interfere with the duties of the District Attorney, Sheriff, or Adult Probation Chief, under State law.

SEC. 6.26. CONTRACTOR PERFORMANCE EVALUATION AND DATABASE.

- (a) Each Department Head or Director authorized to enter into Contracts for Public Works or Improvements under Article IV of this Chapter 6 shall document, and evaluate, and report the performance of all Contractors awarded construction Contracts under this Chapter 6.
- 20 * * * *

(d) Within one year of the effective date of this Section 6.26, the Department Heads referenced in subsection (a) shall submit to the Board of Supervisors a report that describes the departments' implementation of this contractor performance evaluation program and database. The report shall include each department's outreach with different stakeholders including the Contract Monitoring

1	Division. Concurrent with the report, the Department Heads shall submit to the Board of Supervisors a
2	proposed resolution to accept the report.
3	
4	SEC. 6.27. CITYWIDE PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT ORDINANCE.
5	* * * *
6	(f) Annual Reporting. Beginning on the effective date of the ordinance in Board File
7	No. 181043 enacting this Section 6.27, the Office of the Controller shall, in collaboration with
8	the Contract Monitoring Division, collect utilization rates for LBEs on current Contracts
9	covered by this Section 6.27. Within one year after the City Administrator executes the PLA
10	on behalf of the City, and annually at least once every five years thereafter, the Controller shall
11	conduct annual reviews of the PLA to evaluate whether the PLA has promoted the efficient,
12	economical, and timely completion of Covered Projects, the costs of Covered Projects, and
13	the PLA's impact on LBEs and the local workforce.
14	* * * *
15	
16	SEC. 6.66. CONVENTION FACILITY PUBLIC WORKS.
17	* * * *
18	(c) In no event shall the Award of Contracts by the procedures set forth in this
19	Section 6.66 exceed the cumulative sum of \$3,000,000 in any fiscal year.
20	Except as provided herein, any Contract awarded under this Section must comply with
21	this Chapter 6 and with Administrative Code Chapter 14B and Labor and Employment Code
22	Articles 131 and 132.
23	All of the Contracts awarded and work performed under this Section 6.66 shall be
24	reported to the Board of Supervisors on <i>a quarterly an annual</i> basis.

SEC. 8.5. ESTABLISHMENT, USE, ETC., OF RECORDS CENTER.

The Director of Administrative Services shall provide for the establishment, maintenance and operation of a records center for the orderly storage, care, management and safeguarding of storage records of the departments and offices of the City and County and of the San Francisco Unified School District and for the destruction of storage records pursuant to retention and destruction schedules prepared and approved as provided in Section 8.3 of this Code. The Director of Administrative Services may establish, maintain and operate such a records center as a function of one of the departments under the Director of Administrative Services' jurisdiction or, in lieu thereof may contract with a reputable and experienced archival firm to establish, maintain and operate such a records center and to provide retrieval and accession services.

A representative of the Director of Administrative Services may also be available as a consultant to departments in the formulation of paper records storage alternatives such as microfilming and optical imaging records storage systems.

Within two years from the effective date of such contract, and at three-year intervals thereafter, the Director of Administrative Services shall have prepared for public hearing at the Board of Supervisors a report on the merits and demerits of the contract as compared with a municipal records center. Any of the departments or offices of the City and County and the San Francisco Unified School District may elect to use the facilities of the records center for its storage records provided that: (a) copies of an approved schedule for systematic retention and destruction of records shall first be delivered to the Director of Administrative Services and to the records center; and (b) the cost for the use of the records center facilities shall be the obligation of, and be paid by, the department or office using the facilities or by the San Francisco Unified School District if it shall use the facilities.

1 SEC. 8.21-1. ESTABLISHMENT OF A LIBRARY PUBLICATION FUND. 2 (a) Authority. The Library Commission is hereby authorized to publish and sell, at no cost to

the City and County, periodicals, monographs, pamphlets and books so published relating only to
 library subjects, San Francisco area subjects and San Francisco Library authorship as approved by the

Library Commission.

(b) Establish Fund. There shall be established in the treasury of the City and County of San

Francisco a special fund to be known and designated as the Library Publication Fund into which shall
be deposited all monies received from the sale of any and all periodicals, monographs, pamphlets and
books published pursuant to the authority herein contained.

The Library Commission is hereby authorized to accept any gift, devise or bequest for this purpose.

(c) Use of Money in Publication Fund. The monies received into the publication fund are hereby appropriated exclusively for the purpose of publishing and selling the items authorized and approved by the Library Commission.

(d) Administration of an Expenditure from Publication Fund. The sales price for said items shall be fixed by the Library Commission. Balances not in excess of \$10,000 remaining in the fund at the close of any fiscal year shall have been deemed to have been provided for a specific purpose within the meaning of Section 6.306 of the Charter, and shall be carried forward and accumulated in said fund for the purposes recited herein. Monies in excess of \$10,000 shall be transferred to the General Fund.

The Library Commission shall annually submit in writing to the Mayor, to the controller and to the Board of Supervisors a report showing the total receipts and disbursements of the preceding year together with a description of the items published.

SEC. 8.21-2. LIBRARY FINES AND FEES.

2 * * * *

(d) Ratification of Prior Fines and Fees. All fines and fees charged for the use of library materials and services before the effective date of the ordinance in Board File No. 161108 are hereby ratified.

SEC. 8.21-3. LIBRARY FEE AMNESTY PROGRAM.

The San Francisco Public Library ("Library") Commission shall be authorized to establish a library fee amnesty *program to take place during a two-week period prior to July 1*, 2001. The Library Commission, after notice and public hearing, is authorized to waive all fees charged for overdue San Francisco Public Library materials ("late fees") based on a finding that this amnesty program is likely to promote the return of needed Library materials or promote the increased use of the Library. Such amnesty program shall allow persons to return overdue Library materials without having to pay any late fees. However, in order for a person to obtain a waiver of their late fees, such person must return all overdue materials on their Library account during the two-week amnesty period designated by the Library Commission to establish subsequent Library fee amnesty programs under the standards set forth in this section.

SEC. 10.6-1. MONITORING OF NONPROFITS CONTRACTING WITH THE CITY.

(c) By November 1, 2024, the Controller shall complete a review of the publicly available information the City has about its nonprofit contracted services, including spending, performance, and types of services provided. At the conclusion of the review, the Controller shall issue a report

* * *

to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors recommending any policy changes that the Controller concludes would improve public access to this information in the future. Concurrent with the November 1, 2024 report, the Controller shall publish a directory webpage documenting where and how to access existing public information about nonprofit contracted services. The Controller shall maintain and update this directory webpage as additional public information is made transparent through the recommendations in the report, including information gathered by the Controller through the annual review required in subsection (d) below.

(d)—Each fiscal year, beginning with Fiscal Year 2025-2026, the Controller shall conduct a review of department compliance with the Controller's established contract monitoring standards and shall submit a report summarizing the review to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors. The Controller may limit the annual review to specific departments, service areas, or contracts as the Controller deems appropriate to achieve the goal of ensuring adequate Citywide programmatic and performance monitoring of nonprofit organizations.

(de) Starting with Fiscal Year 2024-2025, nonprofit organizations that received a total of at least \$750,000 in funding from the City in a fiscal year must submit to the City an audited balance sheet and the related statement of income and cash flows for that fiscal year within six months after the end of the fiscal year, certified by an independent accounting firm as accurately presenting the financial position of the organization. The Controller shall establish procedures and deadlines for submission of such audit materials to the City and distribution of such audit materials to City departments, provided that March 31, 2026 shall be the deadline for the submission of the audit materials for Fiscal Year 2024-2025. This subsection (de) does not limit the authority of the City, through the Controller or any other part of City government, as otherwise authorized by law, to conduct a fiscal review or require alternate documents

demonstrating sound financial controls in the absence of an audit, including for nonprofi
organizations receiving less than a total of \$750,000 from the City within a fiscal year.

(ef) The Controller shall publicly issue on its website a draft version of any proposed policy, standard, or guidance required in subsections (a), (b), and (de) of this Section 10.6-1, and shall provide an opportunity for members of the public to review and provide written comments about the draft for at least 15 days prior to final adoption. In the public review process, the Controller shall make good-faith efforts to ensure community involvement and racial equity in its outreach efforts. Outreach and engagement for public review shall include engaging organizations, regardless of size, with a focus on fostering the growth of new, smaller institutions reflecting the experiences of historically underserved communities, including but not limited to African American communities, Asian American communities, disabled communities, Latinx communities, LGBTQIA+ communities, Native American communities, Pacific Islander communities, and women.

SEC. 10.25. EXCEPTIONS TO FOUR PRECEDING SECTIONS.

The provisions of Sections 10.21 to 10.24 of this Code shall not apply to claims referred to the Bureau of Delinquent Revenue Collection, pursuant to the provisions of Sections 10.37 to 10.4142 of this Code.

SEC. 10.25-12. SETTLEMENT OF GRIEVANCE FILED PURSUANT TO VALID MEMORANDA OF UNDERSTANDING.

(c) The Human Resources Director shall file with the Board of Supervisors on a quarterly basis, beginning July 15, 1997, for the period of April 1, 1997 through June 30, 1997, a written report identifying and summarizing all settlements approved under the provisions of this Section.

SEC. 10.42. QUARTERLY REPORTS.

as well as the amount collected on such claims.

Supervisors showing the total number of claims submitted to the Bureau during the preceding quarter,

SEC. 10.100-7, 706 MISSION FUND.

* * * *

(e) Conditions on Uses of the Fund.

(1) **706 Mission Open Space Fund.** The Department may expend monies in the 706 Mission Open Space Fund only after the SOMA Community Stabilization Fund Community Advisory Committee has held at least one hearing to make recommendations as provided in Section 5.27-1(d) of this Code. The Department shall expend a portion of the monies in the 706 Mission Open Space Fund by entering into one or more grant agreements following the process set forth in Chapter 21G of this Code. By September 30 of each year If the Department expends any monies in the 706 Mission Open Space Fund, the Department shall annually thereafter submit a report to the Recreation and Park Commission and the Board of Supervisors summarizing the past and anticipated future expenditures from this Fund.

The Bureau of Delinguent Revenue Collection shall make quarterly reports to the Board of

(2) **706 Mission Community Development Fund.** MOHCD may expend monies in the 706 Mission Community Development Fund only after the SOMA Community Stabilization Fund Community Advisory Committee has held at least one hearing to advise on expenditure of said monies as provided in Section 5.27-1(a)(5) of this Code. MOHCD shall expend a portion of the monies in the Fund by entering into one or more grant agreements following the process set forth in Chapter 21G of this Code. *By September 30 of each year, If* MOHCD *expends any monies in the 706 Mission Open Space Fund, it* shall *include in an annual*

1	report to the submit a report to the Board of Supervisors summarizing a summary of the past and
2	anticipated expenditures under the Fund.
3	
4	SEC. 10.100-9. ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES VEHICLE LEASING PROGRAM
5	FUND.
6	* * * *
7	(b) Use of the Fund. Money or property received into the fund shall be used
8	exclusively for maintaining and replacing general purpose vehicles-and for administering the
9	vehicle leasing program.
10	(c) Administration of Fund. The Director of Administrative Services shall, with its
11	annual budget submission submit semi-annual reports to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors,
12	report regarding expenditures from the fund, including the number, type, and cost of vehicles
13	purchased, the capacity of the fund to replace the City's fleet, and the rates charged to leasing
14	departments.
15	
16	SEC. 10.100-11. AFFORDABLE HOUSING PRODUCTION AND PRESERVATION
17	FUND.
18	(a) Establishment of Fund. The Affordable Housing Production and Preservation Fund ("the
19	Fund") is hereby established as a category four fund to receive monies appropriated from excess
20	Education Revenue Augmentation Fund ("Excess ERAF") revenues received by the City.
21	(b) Intent to Appropriate Excess ERAF Funds. It is the intent of the Board of Supervisors to
22	appropriate 50% of all projected Excess ERAF revenue to the Fund in each fiscal year beginning in
23	FY2019-2020, and to appropriate the remaining 50% of such revenue for general one-time or ongoing
24	uses, subject to the fiscal and budgetary provisions of the Charter. Further, it is the intent of the Board

of Supervisors to appropriate at least 50% of all projected Excess ERAF revenues in each fiscal year to

1	one-time uses and up to 50% for ongoing uses. The Board intends to make such appropriations on an
2	annual basis, appropriating in each year's Annual Appropriations Ordinance the projected Excess
3	ERAF revenues that the City receives in that fiscal year.
4	(c) Administration and Use of Funds. The Mayor's Office of Housing and Community
5	Development ("MOHCD") shall administer the Fund, and may consult with the City Administrator, the
6	Board of Supervisors, and other City bodies and departments on potential uses of monies in the Fund to
7	strive for a geographic balance of investments. Monies in the Fund shall only be used for the purposes
8	of (1) funding land acquisition and production of new 100% affordable housing projects, and (2)
9	acquisition and preservation of existing housing with the goal of making
10	such housing permanently affordable, including but not limited to acquisition of housing through the
11	City's Small Sites Program. In each fiscal year, up to 60% of the monies appropriated to the Fund in
12	the fiscal year shall be used for purpose (1), and at least 40% of the monies appropriated to
13	the Fund in the fiscal year shall be used for purpose (2).
14	(d) By no later than May 1, 2021 and every two years thereafter, MOHCD shall submit to the
15	Board of Supervisors and the Mayor a report evaluating the uses of monies in the Fund. In preparing
16	the report, MOHCD shall rely on data from the Housing Balance Report required under Planning
17	Code Section 103, as well as any other information MOHCD determines are relevant. MOHCD's
18	report shall include, at a minimum, information regarding the number of new affordable units built
19	using monies from the Fund, the number of units preserved as permanently affordable using monies
20	from the Fund, the geographic balance of investments from the Fund, any unspent monies in the Fund,
21	an analysis of funding gaps and ongoing needs for affordable housing, and potential recommendations
22	to alter the target funding allocations in order to meet these needs.
23	
24	
25	

1	SEC. 10.100-18. AIKFUKT CAPITAL IMPKOVEMENT PKOMOTION AND EVENT
2	FUND.
3	(a) Establishment of the Airport Capital Improvement Promotion and Event Fund. The Airpor
4	Capital Improvement Promotion and Event Fund is hereby established as a category six fund for the
5	purpose of receiving all donations of money, property and personal services which may be offered to
6	the City and County of San Francisco through the Airport for the uses described in subsection (b).
7	(b) Use of the Airport Capital Improvement Promotion and Event Fund. Money, property and
8	personal services comprising the Fund shall be used and expended for such purposes as will be
9	determined in the sole discretion of the Airport Commission to assist in promotional events and
10	community outreach activities associated with the opening of capital improvements of the San
11	Francisco International Airport. The Fund shall have a separate accounting for each capital
12	improvement project as determined by the Airport Commission.
13	(c) Exceptions to Fund Category. Money, property and personal services comprising the
14	Airport Capital Improvement Promotion and Event Fund shall be expended to promote the capital
15	improvement project for which the donation is made, at the sole discretion of the Airport Commission.
16	Any unexpended balances remaining in the Fund when it expires on December 31, 2023 shall be
17	deposited in the City's General Fund.
18	(d) Administration of Fund. The Airport Director shall submit to the Board of Supervisors and
19	the Budget Analyst on an annual basis written reports of revenues to and expenditures from the Airpor
20	Capital Improvement Promotion and Event Fund during the annual period covered by the report. This
21	fund shall expire on December 31, 2023. Acceptance of donations of \$100,000 or greater shall require
22	Board of Supervisors approval by resolution.
23	
24	SEC. 10.100-24. ANIMAL SHELTER FUND.
25	* * * *

1	(e) Reporting Requirement. The Animal Care and Control Department shall, with its
2	annual budget submission, submit an annual written report to the Board of Supervisors and the
3	Controller $\frac{1}{2}$ all donations received, the nature and amount of such donations, and a
4	description of the individual expenditures from the Fund. The report shall be submitted within the
5	first two weeks of July and shall cover the prior fiscal year.
6	
7	SEC. 10.100-49.5. COOPERATIVE LIVING OPPORTUNITIES FOR MENTAL HEALTH
8	LOAN FUND.
9	(a) Establishment
10	of Fund. The Cooperative Living Opportunities for Mental Health Loan Fund (the "Fund") is
11	established as a category eight fund to receive any monies appropriated or donated for the purpose of
12	expanding opportunities for people with chronic mental illness and/or substance use disorders to live in
13	communal, non-institutional, neighborhood-based, household settings with 24-7 on call individual and
14	household case management services ("Cooperative Living").
15	(b) Use of Fund. The Fund shall be used exclusively for the purpose of providing long-
16	term loans to nonprofit organizations to acquire, rehabilitate, and maintain residential housing under
17	the Cooperative Living Opportunities for Mental Health Program ("Program"), as set forth in Chapter
18	117 of the Administrative Code.
19	(c) Exceptions to Fund Category. The Director of the Mayor's Office on Housing and
20	Community Development ("MOHCD") shall approve all expenditures from the Fund.
21	(d) Administration of Fund. MOHCD shall administer a loan program under the Fund, and in
22	such capacity shall: (1) service loan agreements; (2) receive payments for, and maintain current
23	accounts of, principal, interest, and fees relating to the loan agreements, and redeposit them into
24	the Fund; and, (3) allocate funds for administration associated with the operation of
25	the Fund. MOHCD shall report annually to the Board of Supervisors on the current status of the Fund,

1	the amounts approved for disbursement, and the number and types of new Cooperative Living units
2	established during the preceding fiscal year.
3	
4	SEC. 10.100-50. COUNTY SURVEYOR'S SURVEY MONUMENT PRESERVATION
5	FUND.
6	* * * *
7	(c) Administration of Fund. The Director of the Department of Public Works shall,
8	with its annual budget submission submit an annual written report to the Mayor, to the Controller,
9	and to the Board of Supervisors, that addresses include the total receipts and use of proceeds
10	of the preceding year together with a description of the surveys prepared.
11	
12	
13	SEC. 10.100-52. CULTURAL DISTRICT FUND.
14	* * * *
15	(c) Administration of Fund. The Director shall submit an annual written report to the
16	Board of Supervisors and the Controller describing expenditures made from the Fund during the
17	previous fiscal year.
18	
19	SEC. 10.100-53. DISABILITY AND AGING SERVICES COMMUNITY LIVING FUND.
20	* * * *
21	(c) Administration of the Fund. The Executive Director of Disability and Aging
22	Services, or the Executive Director's designee, shall administer the fund. An annual plan shall
23	be prepared by DAS and submitted to the Disability and Aging Services Commission for
24	approval after a public hearing process that includes input from the Health Department and
25	the Long Term Care Coordinating Council. Wherever possible, the annual plan will prioritize

1	projects where providing funding is likely to result in additional State, federal, or charitable
2	dollars. The annual plan shall include a report on the level of service provided and costs incurred in
3	connection with the duties and services associated with this fund. A copy of the annual plan shall be
4	submitted to the Board of Supervisors.
5	(d) Reporting Requirement. Six months from the adoption of this ordinance, and every six
6	months thereafter, DAS shall report to the Board of Supervisors the level of service provided and costs
7	incurred in connection with the duties and services associated with this fund.
8	(e) Economic Security Index Pilot Program.
9	* * * *
10	
11	SEC. 10.100-60. DISTRICT ATTORNEY STATE FORFEITURE FUND.
12	* * * *
13	(d) Administration of Fund. The District Attorney shall provide a quarterly report to the
14	Controller, Budget Analyst of the Board of Supervisors of all expenditures made from said Fund during
15	the immediately preceding three months. All deposits and expenditures from the fund shall be
16	accounted for in a manner consistent with limitations imposed by State law and regulations.
17	
18	SEC. 10.100-73. FOOD EMPOWERMENT MARKET FUND.
19	* * * *
20	(e) Reporting. HSA shall, within the first two weeks of July, submit an annual report to the
21	Board of Supervisors and the Mayor describing the implementation of the grant program.
22	
23	SEC. 10.100-81. INFANT AND TODDLER EARLY LEARNING SCHOLARSHIP
24	FUND.
25	* * * *

1	(d) Administration and Oversight of Fund. The Department shall administer the
2	Fund.
3	* * * *
4	(3) The Department shall submit an annual report to the Board of Supervisors and the
5	Mayor describing the implementation of the program.
6	
7	SEC. 10.100-82. JACKSON PLAYGROUND PARK FUND.
8	* * * *
9	(c) Administration of Fund. The Recreation and Park Department shall administer
10	the fund and shall report annually to the Board of Supervisors on the current status of the fund, the
11	any amounts approved for disbursement, and the improvements and activities funded to the
12	applicable Interagency Planning and Implementation Committee authorized by Administrative Code
13	Section 36.3 for inclusion in the Annual Progress Report specified in Administrative Code Section 36.4.
14	The Recreation and Park Department shall have the authority to prescribe rules and
15	regulations governing the fund.
16	
17	SEC. 10.100-88. LIBRARY SPECIAL COLLECTIONS AND SERVICES FUND.
18	* * * *
19	(c) Exceptions to Fund Category. All expenditures from the fund are subject to approval of the
20	Library Commission, and the Board of Supervisors must approve any expenditure in excess of \$5,000.
21	
22	SEC. 10.100-92. LOW CARBON FUEL STANDARD CREDITS SALES FUND.
23	* * * *
24	(d) Administration of Fund. The SFPUC will administer the Low Carbon Fuel Standard
25	Credits Sales Fund. The General Manager of the SFPUC shall submit an annual written report to the

Board of Supervisors and the Controller: (1) specifying the number of Low Carbon Fuel Standard

Credits sold during the previous fiscal year, the price received for each credit, and the total amount of
the sales; and (2) describing the expenditures made from the Low Carbon Fuel Standard Credits Sales

Fund during the previous fiscal year.

SEC. 10.100-108. MAYOR'S HOME OWNERSHIP ASSISTANCE LOAN FUND.

7 * * * *

(d) Administration of Fund. The Mayor's Office of Housing, or its successor, shall administer a loan program under the Home Ownership Assistance Loan Fund, and in such capacity shall (1) prepare and service loan agreements; (2) receive payments from loans, maintain current accounts showing principal, interest, shared appreciation and fees relating to the loans made from the Home Ownership Assistance Loan Fund; and (3) allocate funds for administration associated with the operation of the Home Ownership Assistance Loan Fund, such administrative funds being limited to interest earnings generated by loans, the share of appreciation accruing to City as outlined in the loan agreements, collection of fees and proceeds of investments of unexpended cash balances from the Home Ownership Assistance Loan Fund. The Mayor's Office of Housing, or its successor, shall report annually to the Board of Supervisors on the current status of the Home Ownership Assistance Loan Fund, the amounts approved for disbursement, the number and types of units assisted, and shall make recommendations for any changes deemed necessary to improve the effectiveness of the Home Ownership Assistance Loan Fund in achieving its purpose.

SEC. 10.100-117. MAYOR'S HOUSING PROGRAMS FEES FUND.

24 * * * *

(d) Administration of Fund. The Mayor's Office of Housing and Community

Development shall administer the Fund, and in such capacity shall review the needs of the administration of affordable housing programs and the availability of monies from the fund for other eligible purposes. The Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development shall report annually to the Board of Supervisors on the current status of the Mayor's Housing Program Fees Fund as a part of its Annual Report, including the amounts of fees received and to be budgeted for administrative funding, and any recommendations deemed necessary to improve effectiveness of the Mayor's Housing Program Fees Fund in achieving its purpose.

SEC. 10.100-118, MAYOR'S NUISANCE ABATEMENT REVOLVING LOAN FUND.

(a) Establishment of Fund. The Mayor's Nuisance Abatement Revolving Loan Fund is established as a category four fund to receive any legally available monies appropriated for this purpose. The monies to be deposited in the fund shall include, subject to any prior legally-binding obligations, principal repayments of loans made from the fund and fees and interest earnings generated by loans made from the Fund.

(b) Use of Fund. Expenditures from the fund shall be for the following purposes: (1) to provide loans to building owners to make repairs and improvements needed to abate violations of the Building, Electrical, Green Building, Housing, Mechanical, and Plumbing Codes; and (2) to pay for expenses incurred in the administration of such loans. Administrative expenses shall be appropriated through the annual budget process or supplemental appropriation for the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development ("MOHCD"), or its successor.

(c) Administration of Fund. MOHCD shall administer loan programs under the fund, and in such capacity shall: (1) service loan agreements; (2) receive payments for, and maintain current accounts of principal, interest, and fees relating to the loan agreements, and redeposit them into the fund; and, (3) allocate funds for administration associated with the operation of the fund, such

fund being limited to interest earnings generated by loans, collection of fees and the proceeds of investments of unexpended cash balances from the fund.

MOHCD shall report annually to the Board of Supervisors on the current status of the fund, the amounts approved for disbursement, and the number and types of projects assisted.

The Director of MOHCD may, after a noticed public hearing, adopt rules and regulations for the loan program or programs and for the administration of the fund.

SEC. 10.100-131. MAYOR'S SUPPORTIVE HOUSING SERVICE FUND.

(a) Findings. Housing linked with services, "supportive" or "service-enriched" housing, has become widely recognized as an ideal model for addressing the problem of homelessness. This model recognizes that low-income people often have multiple unmet needs, including a need for both safe affordable housing and readily available support services to effectively stabilize their lives. There are opportunities to leverage federal, state and other funds to expand and improve our supportive housing stock. However, the requirements for integrated service programs and local matching funds demand timely access and coordinated support from a single City source, which has heretofore been unavailable.

(b) Statement of Intent. The Board of Supervisors wishes to address the above concerns by establishing a supportive services fund which will both facilitate the development of newly constructed or rehabilitated affordable supportive housing and ensure that a portion of the existing affordable housing in San Francisco is accessible and appropriate to those with service needs which put them at risk of repeated homelessness and hinder them from participating fully in the community. For purposes of this ordinance "affordable housing" shall mean housing affordable to low and moderate income households as defined by California Health and Safety Code Sections 50052.5, et seq. Such affordability shall be maintained for a period of not less than the greater of fifty years or the life of the project.

(c) Establishment of Fund. The Mayor's Supportive Housing Service Fund is established as a
category eight fund for the purpose of providing financial assistance to the owners of for-profit and
nonprofit affordable housing developments, where the contribution of monies from the fund will
provide necessary supportive services to the residents of these affordable housing developments. Said
fund shall be known as the Supportive Housing Service Fund. Subject to any prior legally binding
obligations, any grants, gifts or bequests from private or public sources for this purpose and any
monies appropriated to the fund shall be deposited into said special fund.

(d) Use of Fund. Monies deposited in the fund shall be expended exclusively in accordance with the rules and regulations promulgated pursuant to Subsection (e), below. The supportive housing services for which expenditures may be made by this fund are mental health services, services for people with HIV/AIDS, services for seniors, social work/case management services, substance abuse services, vocational services, child care, and community development services (such as establishing tenant councils or facilitating peer support groups). The services must be for the direct benefit of and easily accessible to the residents of low and moderate income housing developments.

(e) Exceptions to Fund Category. The Executive Director of the Mayor's Office of Housing, or his or her designee, shall administer expenditures from the fund, subject to the rules and regulations established through the process described in (f). Interest shall accrue to the fund if the balance in the fund exceeds \$10,000.

(f) Administration of Fund. The Executive Director of the Mayor's Office of Housing shall promulgate such rules and regulations as he or she may deem appropriate to carry out the provisions of the fund. Such rules and regulations shall be developed in consultation with the organizations that participate in the Council of Community Housing, or successor or similar organization, and any other appropriate agencies or organizations with which the Executive Director, or his or her designee, may choose to consult. Such rules and regulations shall include, but not be limited to the qualifications of applicants and factors to be considered in the award of grants to fund supportive housing services.

1	Such rules and regulations shall be designed to ensure that although the highest priority use is
2	established for supportive services at proposed new affordable housing developments, that monies from
3	this fund may also be expended for supportive services at existing affordable housing developments.
4	The rules and regulations shall be subject to a public hearing and approved by resolution of the Board
5	of Supervisors.
6	The Supportive Housing Services Fund Committee shall consist of those officials currently
7	serving as members of the Affordable Housing Loan Committee (the Executive Director of the
8	Redevelopment Agency, the Director of the Mayor's Office of Housing and the Mayor's Homeless
9	Coordinator, or any respective successors responsible for housing and homeless services as designated
10	by the Mayor), a representative from the Department of Social Services and a representative from the
11	Department of Public Health who shall be appointed by the respective department head in consultation
12	with the Mayor. Vacancies shall be filled by the Mayor as they may occur.
13	The Supportive Housing Services Fund Committee shall be delegated the following
14	responsibilities:
15	— (1) To prepare and distribute announcements and requests for grant proposals to existing
16	providers of affordable housing and supportive services, and others who may be interested;
17	— (2) To review and evaluate all proposals and requests of housing providers eligible to
18	receive funding under this program. The Supportive Housing Services Fund Committee shall consult
19	with individuals or groups who have expertise in the particular supportive services or target
20	populations under consideration, in making their evaluations;
21	— (3) To recommend appropriate action to the Mayor on all proposals and requests
22	for funding;
23	— (4) To monitor the implementation of the proposals approved for funding under this
24	program in compliance with the provisions herein;

1	— (5) To submit a semi-annual report to the Budget Committee of the Board of Supervisors
2	setting forth an accounting of the amounts disbursed and the uses for which said funds were made.
3	
4	SEC. 10.100-136. MAYOR'S URBAN DEVELOPMENT ACTION GRANT REVOLVING
5	FUND.
6	(a) Establishment of Fund. The Mayor's Urban Development Action Grant Revolving Fund is
7	established as a category four fund to receive proceeds related to the Urban Development Action Grant
8	Program. The monies to be deposited in the fund include, subject to any prior legally binding
9	obligations:
10	— (1) Repayments of Urban Development Action Grants awarded to the City and County of
11	San Francisco;
12	— (2) Principal repayments of loans made from the Fund;
13	— (3) Application and/or loan fees and interest earnings generated by loans made from the
14	Fund and the proceeds of investments of unexpended cash balances of Fund; and
15	— (4) Revenue received from City participation as defined by specific Urban Development
16	Action Grant agreements.
17	(b) Use of Fund. Expenditures from the Fund shall be for the following purposes:
18	— (1) To provide for economic development loans and/or grants for activities eligible under
19	Title I of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, as amended; and
20	— (2) To acquire real or personal property for use in connection with activities eligible under
21	Title I of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 and to maintain such property; and
22	— (3) To provide for expenses incurred in the administration of the Fund.
23	(c) Exceptions to Fund Category. Expenditures for loans, grants and property acquisitions and
24	maintenance shall be approved by resolution of the Board of Supervisors. Administrative and property

maintenance expenses are appropriated through the annual budget process or supplemental appropriation for the Mayor's Office of Housing and Economic Development.

(d) Administration of Fund. The Mayor's Office of Housing and Economic Development shall administer loan and grant programs under the Fund, and in such capacity shall (1) service loan and grant agreements; (2) receive payments for, and maintain current accounts of, principal, interest, and fees relating to the loan and grant agreements, and redeposit them into the Fund; (3) allocate funds for administration associated with the operation of the Fund, such funds being limited to interest earnings generated by loans, collection of fees and the proceeds of investments of unexpended cash balances from the fund.

The Mayor's Office of Housing and Economic Development shall report annually to the Board of Supervisors on the current status of the Fund, the amounts approved for disbursement, the number and types of projects assisted, and shall make recommendations for any changes deemed necessary to improve the effectiveness of the fund in achieving its purpose.

SEC. 10.100-160. THE NAVIGATION PARTNERSHIPS FUND.

(a) Establishment of Fund. The Navigation Partnerships Fund (the "Fund") is established to pay for navigation services provided by the City or contracted community-based organizations. "Navigation services" provide low barrier-to-entry alternatives to shelter with the goal of moving homeless individuals off of the streets, providing case management and connection to social service benefits, with an ultimate goal of stabilizing these individuals in housing placements in San Francisco or otherwise resolving their homelessness. The Fund is a category eight fund, authorized to receive private donations, grants, gifts, and bequests of money which may be offered to the City to assist in the provision of navigation services.

(b) Use of Fund. Money received into the Fund shall be used exclusively for the provision of navigation services. No costs which may be incurred by any City department in administering the Fund shall be recovered therefrom. Monies in the Fund may only be drawn and

1	expended when the City has appropriated an equal amount of funds for navigation services.
2	Appropriations include, but are not limited to, the budget process set forth in Article IX of the Charter,
3	supplemental appropriations, receipt of state or federal grants mid-year, and re-allocation of
4	departmental budgets mid-year.
5	(c) Administration of Fund. The Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing shall
6	administer the Fund.
7	(d) Reporting Requirement. The Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing shall
8	report at least annually to the Board of Supervisors and the Homelessness Oversight Commission on
9	private donations, grants, gifts, and bequests of money to and expenditures from the Fund.
10	
11	SEC. 10.100-162. OFFICE OF CANNABIS COMMUNITY REINVESTMENT FUND.
12	(a) Establishment of Fund. The Community Reinvestment Fund ("the Fund") is established as
13	a category six fund to receive any monies appropriated or donated for the purpose of providing
14	assistance to entities and organizations working to address the impact of: racially disproportionate
15	arrests and incarceration, generational poverty, community degradation, housing insecurity, loss of
16	educational and employment opportunities, disruption of family structures, and other burdens of the
17	failed War on Drugs.
18	(b) Use of Fund. The Fund shall be used by the Director of the Office of Cannabis or his or her
19	designee ("Director") to provide the following types of assistance:
20	— (1) Workforce development;
21	— (2) Access to affordable commercial real estate;
22	— (3) Access to investment financing;
23	(4) Access to legal services and business administration.
24	

1	(c) Dispursement. The Director shall authorize dispursements to eligible Equity Applicants
2	and Equity Operators on a case-by-case basis in accordance with the policy adopted pursuant to
3	subsection (d).
4	(d) Administration of Fund. By no later than April 1, 2018, the Director shall adopt a policy
5	for implementation of this Section 10.100-162, which the Director may modify from time to time as the
6	Director deems necessary or appropriate.
7	(e) Annual Report. The Director shall submit an annual written report to the Mayor, the Board
8	of Supervisors, and the Controller within the first two weeks of July, showing for the prior fiscal year
9	donations or appropriations received, the nature and amount of such donations or appropriations, and
10	the disposition thereof, together with a description of the individual payments made from the Fund.
11	
12	SEC. 10.100-198. PUBLIC HEALTH ENVIRONMENTAL ENFORCEMENT FUND.
13	* * * *
14	(c) Administration of Fund. Pursuant to the provisions of the MOU the Director of the
15	Department of Public Health or his designee shall maintain for five years books, and records,
16	documenting use of the funds, and shall report quarterly to the California Department of Health
17	Services on the amount of funds received. Such quarterly reports shall contain the information and be
18	filed on the dates specified in the MOU. The Director of Health shall forward copies of the reports
19	submitted to the California Department of Health Services to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors and
20	the Mayor.
21	
22	SEC. 10.100-217. PUBLIC HEALTH SOLID WASTE PENALTIES FUND.
23	* * * *
24	(d) In 2013 and 2014, before the Board of Supervisors considers the proposed budget for the
25	Department of Public Health for Fiscal Year 2013-14 and Fiscal Year 2014-15, the Department shall

1	submit to the Board of Supervisors a report stating the Public Health Solid Waste Penalties Fund
2	balance, accrued interest, and amounts and description of all deposits to and expenditures from
3	the Fund in the previous year.
4	
5	SEC. 10.100-227. PUBLIC WORKS ADOPT-A-TREE FUND.
6	* * * *
7	(c) Administration of Fund. <u>Included in the Annual Report required by Charter Section</u>
8	16.129(i), the Department of Public Works shall reportsubmit to the Board of Supervisors on a
9	quarterly basis a written report of revenues to and expenditures from the fund.
10	
11	SEC. 10.100-247. RECREATION AND PARKS ANIMAL PURCHASE AND EXCHANGE
12	FUND.
13	(a) Establishment of Fund. The Recreation and Parks Animal Purchase and Exchange Fund is
14	established as a category six fund to receive funds derived from the sale or exchange of animals at the
15	San Francisco Zoological Gardens, said special fund to be known as the Animal Purchase and
16	Exchange Fund.
17	(b) Use of Fund. Said special fund shall be used solely for expenses necessary for, and
18	incidental to the purchase, sale or exchange of animals for the San Francisco Zoological Gardens,
19	including, but not limited to, the following:
20	— (1) Administrative expenses other than the payment of salaries to City and County
21	employees and officials;
22	— (2) Freight or shipping charges;
23	— (3) Insurance requirements;
24	— (4) The purchase or construction of crates for transportation;

1	(5) Expenses incurred in obtaining any permits, including federal or state permits, or
2	permits from foreign entities;
3	— (6) Expenses arising when a quarantine of an animal is necessary; and
4	— (7) The purchase price of animals.
5	(c) Exceptions to Fund Category. All expenditures in excess of \$10,000 shall require approval
6	of the Board of Supervisors.
7	(d) Administration of Fund. All expenditures and any remaining balances in the fund shall be
8	reported to the Board of Supervisors each year concurrent with the submission of the budget.
9	
10	SEC. 10.100-297. SAN FRANCISCO FILM PRODUCTION FUND.
11	* * * *
12	(c) Administration of Fund. By March 1st of each year, the Commission shall prepare and
13	file with the Board of Supervisors an annual report detailing The Executive Director of the Film
14	Commission shall include in the Annual Report to the Board of Supervisors required by Administrative
15	<u>Code Section 57.8 the</u> revenues and expenditures from <u>the Fund for</u> the previous fiscal year.
16	SEC. 10.100-305. SAN FRANCISCO GIFT FUNDS.
17	* * * *
18	(c) Administrative Provisions. Each department, board, and commission
19	accepting gifts authorized hereunder shall furnish to the Board of Supervisors annually within
20	the first two weeks of July a report showing such gifts received, the nature or amount of
21	said gifts, and the disposition thereof. This report may be made as part of the annual budget
22	submission from the department, board, or commission to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors.
23	* * * *
24	
25	SEC. 10.100-375. 180 JONES STREET AFFORDABLE HOUSING FUND.

1	(a) Establishment of Fund. The 180 Jones Street Affordable Housing Fund is established as a
2	category four fund to receive fee revenue dedicated to affordable housing and other contributions to
3	the fund. Monies in the fund shall include:
4	(1) A payment of \$0.4 million in lieu of the Jobs-Housing Linkage Fee otherwise required
5	under Planning Code Sections 413 et seq. but waived by Board of Supervisors for the 950-974
6	Market Street project. This payment is addressed in an ordinance concerning the waiver of the Jobs-
7	Housing Linkage Fee and Inclusionary Housing Requirements, and authorizing other exemptions as
8	well as a land dedication (the "950-974 Market Street Ordinance"), which is on file with the Clerk of
9	the Board of Supervisors in File No. 161066.
10	(2) A payment of \$10.85 million in lieu of affordable housing fees otherwise required under
11	Planning Code Sections 415 et seq. (Inclusionary Housing Program) but waived by Board of
12	Supervisors for the 950-974 Market Street project in the 950-974 Market Street Ordinance, on file with
13	the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 161066.
14	(3) A gift of \$2.7 million from the Project Sponsor of the 950-974 Market Street Project, as
15	authorized in the 950-974 Market Street Ordinance, on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
16	in File No. 161066.
17	(4) Any other monies donated or appropriated to the fund.
18	(b) Use of Fund. The fund is to be used exclusively by the Mayor's Office of Housing and
19	Community Development (MOHCD), or its successor, for the purpose of supporting development and
20	construction of affordable housing units at 180 Jones Street (Assessor's Block 0343, Lot 14), and
21	supportive services, operating subsidies, and/or rental assistance for tenants at said affordable housing
22	units 1 If, however, the City fails to approve a revised project at 180 Jones Street within five years of
23	the last payment to the Fund authorized by the 950-974 Market Street Ordinance, as amended by the
24	ordinance in Board File No. 210534, the money in the Fund shall be deposited into the Citywide

Affordable Housing Fund as established in Administrative Code Section 10.100-49, or its successor fund.

(c) Exceptions to Fund Category. The Director of MOHCD shall approve all expenditures from the fund.

(d) Administration of Fund. The MOHCD shall administer the fund and shall report annually to the Board of Supervisors on the current status of the fund, the amounts approved for disbursement, and the number and types of housing units assisted. The MOHCD shall have the authority to prescribe rules and regulations governing the fund. Except as provided in subsection (b), any unexpended funds remaining after 10 years from the effective date of the ordinance identified in subsections (a)(1) and (a)(2) shall be deposited into the Citywide Affordable Housing Fund as established in Administrative Code Section 10.100-49 or its successor fund.

SEC. 10.170-1. GRANT FUNDS – ACCEPTANCE AND EXPENDITURE.

14 * *

(k) Certain Transportation Funds (Proposition 1B Funds). The voters of California adopted Proposition 1B, the Highway Safety, Traffic Reduction, Air Quality, and Port Security Bond Act of 2006, at the November 7, 2006 California General Election. Under the Proposition, the State will appropriate two billion dollars (\$2,000,000,000) into the Local Streets and Road Improvement, Congestion Relief, and Traffic Safety Account of 2006 ("Proposition 1B Local Street and Road Improvement Funds"). These funds will be distributed to cities and counties for improvements to transportation facilities that will assist in reducing local traffic congestion and further deterioration, improving traffic flows, or increasing traffic safety that may include, but not be limited to, street and highway pavement, maintenance, rehabilitation, installation, construction and reconstruction of necessary associated facilities such as drainage and traffic control devices, or the maintenance, rehabilitation, installation,

1	construction and reconstruction of facilities that expand ridership on transit systems, safety
2	projects to reduce fatalities, or as a local match to obtain state or federal transportation funds
3	for similar purposes. The Proposition requires that the funds distributed to the City be
4	deposited in a local account that is designated for the receipt of state funds allocated for local
5	streets and roads.
6	(1) The Board of Supervisors finds that while there are a range of projects
7	involving various City departments that could benefit from the Proposition 1B Local Street and
8	Road Improvement Funds, implementing a coordinated planning process for use of those
9	funds will help ensure the effective and efficient expenditure of funds in a manner that will
10	maximize the benefit to the City and its residents.
11	* * * *
12	(4) Any department that receives an appropriation of Proposition 1B Local Street and
13	Road Improvement Funds shall report back to the Board of Supervisors beginning six months from the
14	date of the appropriation, and at six-month intervals thereafter until the appropriation has been spent.
15	The report required by this Section shall state the amount of Proposition 1B Local Street and Road
16	Improvement Funds expended as of the reporting date and shall describe the progress on the project,
17	the projected date of completion, and such additional information as the Board may require as a
18	condition of the appropriation.
19	* * * *
20	
21	CHAPTER 10C:
22	REIMBURSEMENT FOR TOWING AND STORAGE OF VEHICLES
23	SEC. 10C.1. REIMBURSEMENT OR WAIVER OF PAYMENT FOR TOW ON
24	VEHICLES; CONDITIONS THEREFOR.

1	(1) Except as provided in Section 10C.8, jees, charges or costs imposed for the towing or
2	storage of vehicles or the amount charged for removal of components of a vehicle may be waived or
3	reimbursed to the owner or person in lawful possession of the vehicle if the fees, charges or costs were
4	incurred:
5	(a) Because the subject vehicle was towed and stored at the order of the San Francisco Police
6	Department to examine the vehicle for evidence of a crime;
7	(b) Because the subject vehicle was towed and stored by the order of the San Francisco Police
8	Department or the Department of Parking and Traffic and said towing or storage was not authorized
9	by any of the several provisions of the Vehicle Code of the State of California;
10	(c) Because officers, agents or employees of the San Francisco Police Department or the
11	Department of Parking and Traffic were negligent in reporting, filing, or recording the circumstances
12	of the towing and storage of the vehicle;
13	(d) Because officers, agents or employees of the San Francisco Police Department or the
14	Department of Parking and Traffic were negligent in reporting a vehicle as subject to towing or
15	storage or ordering a vehicle towed and stored when, in fact, such vehicle was not subject to towing
16	and storage; or
17	(e) Because a vehicle was towed or stored by order of the San Francisco Police Department or
18	the Department of Parking and Traffic for removal of components of the vehicle, which components
19	were placed on the vehicle in violation of Section 10751 of the Vehicle Code.
20	(2) Residents of the City and County of San Francisco who own or are in lawful possession
21	of a vehicle that has been stolen and recovered within the City and County of San Francisco shall be
22	exempt from payment of the administrative fee imposed by Section 170.1 of the Traffic Code.
23	(3) No person shall be exempt from or reimbursed for tow and storage charges collected
24	pursuant to Section 170.2-A of the Traffic Code.

1 (4) Pursuant to the provisions of Section 10C.8, indigent owners of vehicles and victims of 2 auto theft shall be exempt from paying fees, charges, or costs imposed for the towing and storage of the 3 vehicle and shall be entitled to reimbursement for the same if collected. 4 5 SEC. 10C.2. PROHIBITION ON REIMBURSEMENT. No reimbursement or voucher shall be made to the owner of a vehicle or the person in lawful 6 7 possession of said vehicle pursuant to the provisions of this chapter, if: 8 (a) The owner or person in lawful possession of the vehicle is chargeable with violation of any 9 law of the City and County of San Francisco, the State of California or the United States, and said 10 charge relates to the towing and storage of the vehicle or the removal of component parts thereof; or (b) Reimbursement is requested pursuant to Subsections (c) or (d) of Section 10C.1 of this 11 12 Chapter and the owner or one in lawful possession of the vehicle was contributorially negligent; or 13 (c) The owner or other person in lawful possession of a motor vehicle, including a firm or corporation which owns vehicles used for commercial purposes, cannot show evidence of financial 14 responsibility for said vehicle as required by Section 16020 of the California Vehicle Code. 15 16 17 SEC. 10C.3. COMPUTING AMOUNT OF REIMBURSEMENT. 18 The amount of the requested reimbursement or voucher shall not exceed the actual fee, charges 19 or cost to the person requesting reimbursement or voucher nor shall such request exceed the amount of 20 the usual towing and storage fees as stated in the most recent contract between the Purchaser of 21 Supplies of the City and County of San Francisco and such companies, corporations or individuals for

the towing and storage of vehicles made pursuant to Section 163 of Part II, Chapter XI, of the San

22

23

24

25

Francisco Municipal Code (Traffic Code).

SEC. 10C.4. PAYMENT OF REIMBURSEMENTS.

The Chief of Police and the Director of the Department of Parking and Traffic shall designate a staff member of their departments to pay reimbursements not to exceed the amount authorized for payment of unlitigated claims under Section 10.21. Payments in excess of that amount shall be subject to approval of the Police Commission or the Parking and Traffic Commission and submitted to the Board of Supervisors for approval. Such payments shall be made from the Cash Revolving Fund as set forth in Sections 10.151-1 and 10.169-2.

SEC. 10C.5. TIME LIMIT TO REQUEST REIMBURSEMENT.

Requests for reimbursement or exemption from payment of fees, charges or costs incurred must be presented to the Director of Parking and Traffic or his or her designee, on a form provided therefor, within 30 calendar days of the date of the tow of the vehicle. The Director, or his or her designee, may, in his or her sole discretion, extend this deadline for good cause shown.

SEC. 10C.6. INFORMATION REQUIRED.

Requests for reimbursement or a voucher shall be itemized, giving full particulars of all the circumstances known to the complainant. The Chief of Police or Director of the Department of Parking and Traffic may request such additional information as necessary to determine the legitimacy of the request or exemption.

SEC. 10C.7. EFFECT OF POLICY OF INSURANCE.

No request for reimbursement or for a voucher, made pursuant to the provisions of this

Chapter, shall be considered by the Chief of Police or Director of the Department of Parking and

Traffic if the person requesting reimbursement or exemption has a policy of insurance that provides for reimbursement to the owner or one in lawful possession of the vehicle for towing and storage of vehicles or removal of component parts thereof under the same circumstances as the provisions of this Chapter.

SEC. 10C.8. INVESTIGATION BY CHIEF OF POLICE OR DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PARKING AND TRAFFIC; INDIGENT OWNER.

Should the owner of the vehicle or one in lawful possession sign an affidavit, under penalty of perjury, that said person is indigent and does not immediately have the funds to pay the accrued towing, storage or removal of component fee, the Chief of Police or the Director of the Department of Parking and Traffic or his or her designee shall immediately make such investigation as necessary to ascertain if said indigent person is entitled to immediate possession of his or her auto without the payment of the fees incurred for towing, storage or removal of component parts of said auto.

(a) Should the Chief of Police, the Director of Parking and Traffic or his or her designee, after an investigation, decide that the towing, storage or removal of the component parts of an auto comes within the provisions of Section 10C.1(a), (b), (c), (d) or (e) of this Chapter and the owner of the vehicle or one in lawful possession signs an affidavit of indigency, the Chief of Police, the Director of Parking and Traffic or his or her designee shall issue a voucher directed to the person, firm or corporation having custody of the auto. Said voucher shall be on a form jointly approved by the Controller, the Chief of Police and the Director of the Department of Parking and Traffic.

(b) Upon presentation of this voucher to the person, firm or corporation having custody of the vehicle, the vehicle shall be repossessed by the person presenting the voucher, without further payment.

(c) The person, firm or corporation receiving the voucher may present the voucher to the office of the Police Department designated by the Chief of Police, or the office of the Department of Parking

1	and Traffic designated by the Director of that Department, for payment of the fees stated on the
2	voucher.
3	
4	SEC. 10C.9. REQUESTS TO BE UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY.
5	All requests for reimbursement or exemption, presented pursuant to the provisions of this
6	Chapter shall be under penalty of perjury.
7	
8	SEC. 10C.10. PROSECUTION OF PERSON RESPONSIBLE.
9	No request for reimbursement or a voucher shall be considered by the Chief of Police unless
10	and until the person requesting reimbursement agrees in writing that said person will, without
11	reservation, cooperate in prosecuting any persons responsible for any violation of law giving rise to the
12	request for reimbursement or exemption.
13	
14	SEC. 10C.11. SUBROGATION.
15	Whenever reimbursement or a voucher is given or made, pursuant to the provisions of this
16	Chapter, the City and County of San Francisco is subrogated to all rights and privileges, at law or
17	equity, of the person, his or her heirs or assigns, to whom payment was made to recover any monies,
18	from any source whatsoever, due to the person requesting reimbursement or exemption arising from the
19	activity that caused the fees, charges or costs to be incurred.
20	
21	SEC. 10C.12. REPORTS BY THE CHIEF OF POLICE AND DIRECTOR OF THE
22	DEPARTMENT OF PARKING AND TRAFFIC.
23	Each three months, the Chief of Police and the Director of Parking and Traffic shall forward to
24	the Board of Supervisors a report containing the amount paid pursuant to the provisions of this
25	Chapter, to whom paid and the justification therefor.

'

SEC. 10E.1. DOWNTOWN PLAN.

(a) Findings. The Board of Supervisors makes the following findings in support of this ordinance.

(1) The Planning Commission has adopted the Downtown Plan as part of the General Plan of the City and County of San Francisco, and the Board of Supervisors, acting upon the recommendation of the Planning Commission, has adopted amendments to the Planning Code called for in the Downtown Plan. The Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors have adopted the Transit Center District Plan as a sub-area of the Downtown Plan, as well as implementing Planning Code provisions.

— (2) The focus of the Downtown Plan is to prevent development where change would diminish the city's character or livability but to allow appropriately scaled development that would further the City's economic, fiscal and social objectives.

absorb the impacts of growth in downtown San Francisco and the desirability of increasing housing, ridesharing and transit use in light of the anticipated downtown growth. The Downtown Plan proposes various actions which should be taken to achieve the following goals: An increase in the City's housing supply by an average of 1,000 to 1,500 new housing units per year; and increase in ridesharing to a point where the number of persons commuting by auto or van rises from 1.48 to 1.66 persons per vehicle; and an increase in the use of transit by downtown workers from 64 percent to 70 percent of all work trips.

— (4) The Downtown Plan recommends the adoption of a formal process for monitoring progress toward Plan goals. This monitoring process is necessary to evaluate the effectiveness of the Plan and the impacts of downtown growth, and to make any adjustments deemed appropriate to the controls described in the Downtown Plan or to additions to the City's infrastructure and services.

1	(5) The purpose of this monitoring system shall be to determine whether the infrastructure
2	and support systems necessary to accommodate the growth of downtown, particularly housing supply
3	and transit capacity, have kept pace with development in the C-3 Districts. If downtown is growing at a
4	faster pace than the necessary infrastructure and support systems, it may become necessary to make
5	further efforts to slow down the pace of development, or devise additional mechanisms for providing
6	required infrastructure and support systems.
7	(6) The Planning Department shall undertake a two-tiered monitoring program. The two
8	tiers are: A) An annual collection and reporting of data from selected sources that are gathered on a
9	regular basis, and B) every five years, a more extensive data collection effort that includes an analysis
10	of long-term policy indicators such as the TDR program, urban form goals, any impact fee funds, and
11	provides analysis of the Downtown Plan's policy objectives. The annual monitoring should provide an
12	early warning system for trends that may develop, indicating a shortfall in the long range goals.
13	(b) Annual Report. The Planning Department shall prepare an annual report detailing the
14	effects of downtown growth. The report shall be presented to the Board of Supervisors, Planning
15	Commission, Historic Preservation Commission, and Mayor, and shall address: (1) the extent of
16	development in the C-3 Districts; (2) the consequences of that development; (3) the effectiveness of the
17	policies set forth in the Downtown Plan in maintaining San Francisco's environment and character;
18	and (4) recommendations for measures deemed appropriate to deal with the impacts of downtown
19	growth.
20	— (1) Time Period and Due Date. Reports shall be due by July 1st of each year, and shall
21	address the immediately preceding calendar year, except for the five year report, which shall address
22	the preceding five calendar years.
23	— (2)—Data Source. The Planning Department shall assemble a data base for 1984 and
24	subsequent years for the purpose of providing the reports. City records shall be used wherever

1	possible. Outside sources shall be used when data from such sources are reliable, readily available and
2	necessary in order to supplement City records.
3	— (3) Categories of Information. The following categories of information shall be included:
4	— Commercial Space and Employment.
5	(A) The amount of office space "Completed," "Approved," and "Under Construction"
6	during the preceding year, both within the C-3 Districts and elsewhere in the City. This inventory shall
7	include the location and square footage (gross and net) of those projects, as well as an estimate of the
8	dates when the space "Approved" and "Under Construction" will become available for occupancy.
9	(B) Office Vacancy Ratio. An estimate of the current office vacancy rate in the C-3
10	Districts and citywide.
11	(C) Citywide and C-3 District Office Employment. An estimate of additional office
12	employment, by occupation type, in the C-3 Districts and citywide.
13	(D) Tourist Hotel Rooms and Employment. An estimate of the net increment or tourist
14	hotel rooms and additional hotel employment in the C-3 Districts.
15	(E) Retail Space and Employment. An estimate of the net increment of retail space and of
16	the additional retail employment relocation trends and patterns within the City and the Bay Area.
17	(F) Business Formation and Relocation. An estimate of the rate of the establishment of
18	new businesses and business and employment relocation trends and patterns within the City and the
19	Bay Area.
20	— Housing.
21	(G) Housing Units Certified for Occupancy. An estimate of the number of housing units
22	throughout the City newly constructed, demolished, or converted to other uses.
23	(H) Jobs/Housing Linkage Program. A summary of the operation of the Jobs/Housing
24	Linkage Program (formerly the Office Affordable Housing Production Program) and the Housing
25	

1	Affordability Fund, identifying the number and income mix of units constructed or assisted with these
2	monies.
3	— Transportation.
4	(I) Parking Inventory. An estimate of the net increment of off-street parking spaces
5	approved in C-3 Districts.
6	(J) Vehicle Occupancy Rates. An estimate of vehicle occupancy rates for vehicles in or
7	entering the City.
8	(K) Transit Service. An estimate of transit ridership for peak periods.
9	(L) Transit Impact Fee. A summary of the use of the transit impact development fee
10	funds, collected from development.
11	— Fiscal.
12	(M) Revenues. An estimate of the net increment of revenues by type (property tax,
13	business taxes, hotel and sales taxes) from office, retail and hotel space.
14	(N) Transit Center District Revenues and Implementation of Improvements. A summary
15	of the total revenues from Transit Center District Plan fees, including the Open Space Impact Fee and
16	Transportation and Street Improvement Impact Fee, as well as from any Community Facilities District
17	within the Transit Center District Plan area boundaries, and a summary of expenditures on public
18	improvements as described in the Transit Center District Plan Program Implementation Document.
19	—— Preservation.
20	(0) Significant or Contributory Buildings. Buildings designated as significant or
21	contributory buildings, or changes of designation, under Article 11 of the Planning Code.
22	(P) Transferred Development Rights. An inventory of buildings eligible for the Transfer
23	of Development Rights, of buildings where Transfer of Development rights have been completed, and of
24	Transfers of Development Rights completed within the year.

1	(4) Report. The analysis of the factors under Commercial Space and Employment will
2	provide an estimate of the increase in housing and transit demand. The comparison of increased
3	demand with the increase in the supply of housing and in transit ridership will indicate the degree that
4	the City is able to accommodate new development. Based on this data, the Department shall analyze
5	the effectiveness of City policies governing downtown growth and shall recommend any additional
6	measures deemed appropriate.
7	(c) Five Year Report. On March 15, 1990, and every fifth year thereafter by July 1st, the report
8	submitted shall address the preceding five calendar years and, in addition to the data described above,
9	shall include, as deemed appropriate, a cordon count of downtown oriented travel and an
10	employer/employee survey and any other information necessary for the purpose of monitoring the
11	impact of downtown development. The five-year report shall monitor long-term policy indicators such
12	as the TDR program, urban form goals, progress on the Downtown Streetscape Plan, any impact fee
13	funds, and provide analysis of the Downtown Plan's policy objectives. If the Planning Department
14	determines that early warnings from the annual reports indicate the need for collection of a cordon
15	count and employer/employee survey, it may include such data in any annual report, and may include
16	an analysis of data for a period of time earlier than the preceding calendar year.
17	(d) Information to be Furnished. It shall be the duty of the heads of all departments, offices,
18	commissions, bureaus and divisions of the City and County of San Francisco, upon request by the
19	Planning Department, to furnish such information as they may have or be able to obtain relating to the
20	matters to be included in the reports required herein.
21	
22	SEC. 10E.2. EASTERN NEIGHBORHOODS AREA PLANS MONITORING PROGRAM.
23	(a) FINDINGS.
24	— (1) The Board of Supervisors and the Planning Commission have adopted the Eastern

Neighborhoods Area Plans as part of the General Plan of the City and County of San Francisco as

further described in Ordinance Nos. 297-08, 298-08, 299-08, and,1 copies of which are on file
with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File Nos. 081152, 081153, 081154, and 130001, and are
incorporated herein by reference. The Area Plans outline specific goals that cumulatively frame the
community's vision for the management of growth and development in the Eastern Neighborhoods
(East SoMa, Western SoMa, Mission, Showplace Square/Potrero Hill, and Central Waterfront).
— (2) The Eastern Neighborhoods Area Plans introduce innovative policies and land use
controls to achieve the Plan goals. Successful realization of the Plan's goals requires a coordinated
implementation of land use controls, community and public service delivery, key policies, and
community infrastructure improvements.
— (3) The Eastern Neighborhoods Area Plans also establish general public improvements
and amenities needed to meet the needs of both existing residents, as well as those needs generated by
new development, and identified these in the Eastern Neighborhoods Needs Assessment. A copy of this
document is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 081155 and is incorporated
herein by reference.
(4) In order to ensure a Citywide commitment to implementation of the Eastern
Neighborhoods Area Plans, the implementing agencies identified in each Plan's Implementation
Matrix, including the Arts Commission, Department of Building Inspection (DBI), Department of
Public Health (DPH), Division of Emergency Services (DEM), Capital Planning Committee, City
Administrator's Office, Controller's Office, Department of Public Works (DPW), Human Services
Agency (HSA), Mayor's Office on Community Investment (MOCI), Mayor's Office of Education,
Mayor's Office of Housing (MOH), Office of Economic and Workforce Development (OEWD), or
successor offices, Planning Department, Port of San Francisco, Public Utilities Commission (PUC),
Recreation and Park Department (RPD), San Francisco County Transportation Authority (SFCTA),
San Francisco Unified School District (SFUSD), San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency
(SFMTA), and other necessary agencies, shall be responsible for making progress towards the Plan's

1	policies and implementation measures; for budgeting revenue towards such implementation where
2	possible; and for cooperating with the Planning Department to report on such progress.
3	— (5) In order to ensure a balanced implementation of the Eastern Neighborhoods Area
4	Plans, the Planning Department shall institute a formal monitoring program for the Area Plan policies
5	and implementation measures. This monitoring program shall provide basic statistics on development
6	activity, housing construction, and infrastructure improvements in the Eastern Neighborhoods Plan
7	Areas, and shall evaluate the effectiveness of the Plans' implementation according to growth in the
8	Plan Areas.
9	(6) The purpose of this Monitoring Program shall be to provide rigorous monitoring and
10	review of the effectiveness of the Eastern Neighborhoods Area Plans, to ensure rational growth in these
11	neighborhoods, and to ensure implementation of improvements to accompany this growth. The
12	program shall monitor progress towards the Eastern Neighborhoods Area Plans' objectives and
13	policies, by evaluating advancement according to each Plan's matrix of implementation actions; and
14	measure the balance of growth against needed improvements, according to standards established in the
15	Eastern Neighborhoods Needs Assessment.
16	(b) REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.
17	— (1) Report. By July 1 two years after Plan adoption, and on July 1 every five years
18	thereafter, the Planning Department shall prepare a report detailing development activity, housing
19	construction, and infrastructure improvements in the Eastern Neighborhoods Plan Area. The
20	information shall be presented to the Board of Supervisors, Planning Commission, the South of Market
21	Community Planning Advisory Committee, the Eastern Neighborhoods Community Advisory
22	Committee, and the Mayor, and shall also include recommendations for measures deemed appropriate
23	to deal with the impacts of neighborhood growth.
24	

1	(2) Time Period and Due Date. Reporting shall be presented by July 1st two years after
2	Plan adoption to address the time period since Plan adoption; and by July 1st during each required
3	year thereafter to address the five calendar years immediately preceding.
4	(3) Data Source. The Planning Department shall assemble data for the purpose of
5	providing the reports. City records shall be used wherever possible. Outside sources shall be used
6	when data from such sources are reliable, readily available and necessary in order to supplement City
7	records. When data is not available for the exact boundaries of the Plan Area, a similar geography will
8	be used and noted.
9	(4) Eastern Neighborhoods Implementation Matrix. The report shall review progress
10	toward each implementation measure specified in each Plan's Implementation Matrix. Copies of these
11	matrices are on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 081155 and are incorporated
12	herein by reference. It shall evaluate the actions of each responsible agency/ies according to the
13	timeline specified in the Implementation Matrix, and recommend amendments to implementation
14	measures where relevant. All departments responsible for implementation measures shall cooperate
15	and furnish information relating to their responsibilities as stated in the matrices.
16	(5) Development Activity. The report shall detail all development activity in the Plan Area
17	over the Monitoring Period, including additions and deletions of residential and commercial space,
18	and shall include unit size and bedroom count of units constructed, retail space and employment
19	generated, conversions and other development statistics. The monitoring program shall include the
20	following categories of information:
21	(A) Office Space. Amount of office space constructed in preceding years and related
22	employment.
23	(B) Visitor and Hotel Space. Amount of hotel rooms constructed in preceding years and
24	related employment.

1	— (C) Retail Space. Amount of retail space constructed in preceding years and related
2	employment.
3	(D) Business Formation and Relocation. An estimate of the rate of the establishment of
4	new businesses and business and employment relocation trends and patterns within the City and the
5	Bay Area.
6	(E) Housing. An estimate of the number of housing units newly constructed, demolished,
7	or converted to other uses.
8	— (6) Public Benefit. The report shall detail the construction of any improvements or
9	infrastructure as described in the Eastern Neighborhoods Public Benefits Program, a copy of which is
10	on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 081155 and is incorporated herein by
11	reference. The report shall include the following categories of information:
12	(A) Inclusionary Housing Program. A summary of the number and income mix of units
13	constructed or assisted through this program, an analysis of units constructed within each alternative,
14	including new alternatives established for the Eastern Neighborhoods UMU districts.
15	(B) Jobs/Housing Linkage Program. A summary of the operation of the Jobs/Housing
16	Linkage Program (formerly the Office Affordable Housing Production Program) and the Housing
17	Affordability Fund, identifying the number and income mix of units constructed or assisted with these
18	monies.
19	(C) Streetscape, Transportation, and Public Realm. A detailed description of any
20	transportation serving infrastructure completed in the preceding five years, including transit,
21	pedestrian, bike, traffic and other modes of transportation.
22	(D) Open Space and Recreational Facilities. A summary of new parks, trails, public
23	rights-of-way, recreational facilities or activity space completed to serve the purposes of recreation in
24	the preceding five years, as well as any improvements to parks or recreational facilities.

1	(E) Community Facilities. An assessment of the existing service capacity of community
2	services and facilities, and of any new services or facilities joining the neighborhood in the past five
3	years. This shall include a review of child care, library services and any other categories deemed
4	relevant, such as health care centers, human services, and cultural centers.
5	(F) Neighborhood Serving Businesses. An assessment of neighborhood serving
6	businesses in the area, including their establishment, displacement, and economic health.
7	(7) Fees and Revenues. The report shall monitor expenditure of all implemented fees,
8	including the Eastern Neighborhoods Impact Fee and all Citywide fees, and tax revenue, as listed
9	below. It shall report on studies and implementation strategies for additional fees and programming.
10	(A) Impact Fee. A summary of the collected funds from the Eastern Neighborhoods
11	Impact Fee collected from development, and a detailed accounting of its expenditure over that same
12	period.
13	(B) Fiscal Revenues. An estimate of the net increment of revenues by type (property tax,
14	business taxes, hotel and sales taxes) from all uses.
15	— (C) Fee Adjustments.
16	(i) The Planning Department shall review the amount of the Eastern Neighborhoods
17	fee against any increases in construction costs, according to changes published in the Construction
18	Cost Index published by Engineering News Record, or according to another similar cost index should
19	there be improvements to be funded through the Eastern Neighborhoods Impact Fee as listed in the
20	Eastern Neighborhoods Program.
21	(ii) The Planning Department shall review the level of the Eastern Neighborhoods
22	housing requirements and fees to ensure they are not so high as to prevent needed housing or
23	commercial development.
24	— (8) Agency Responsibilities. All implementing agencies identified in the Eastern
25	Neighborhoods Implementation Matrix shall be responsible for:

1	(A) Reporting to the Planning Department, for incorporation into the Monitoring report
2	on action undertaken in the previous reporting period to complete the implementation actions under
3	their jurisdiction, as referenced in the Eastern Neighborhoods Implementation Matrix.
4	(B) Providing an analysis of the actions to be completed in the next reporting period, for
5	incorporation into the Monitoring report, including a description of the integrated approach that will
6	be used to complete those tasks.
7	(i) To the extent the Agencies identified in the Implementation Matrix are outside the
8	jurisdiction of this Board, this Board hereby urges such Agencies to participate in this process.
9	(9) Budget Implications. In cooperation with the Annual Progress reports required by
10	Administrative Code Chapter 36.4, and prior to the annual budget process, the Board shall receive a
11	presentation by the Interagency Planning and Implementation Committee and its member agencies to
12	describe how each agency's proposed annual budget advances the Plans' objectives, including specific
13	projects called for by this section. The Board of Supervisors shall give particular consideration to
14	proposed agency budgets that meet the implementation responsibilities as assigned by the City's
15	General Plan, including the Eastern Neighborhoods Implementation Matrix. Budget proposals that do
16	not include items to meet these implementation responsibilities shall respond to Board inquiries as to
17	why inclusion was not possible.
18	(c) EASTERN NEIGHBORHOODS CAPITAL EXPENDITURE EVALUATION.
19	— (1) Purpose. The Board of Supervisors and the Planning Commission have adopted the
20	Eastern Neighborhoods Area Plans in part to further the implementation of capital improvements
21	within the neighborhoods affected by new development, as described in the Eastern Neighborhoods
22	Public Benefits Program and incorporated herein by reference. A Capital Expenditure Evaluation, in
23	conjunction with the Plan's Monitoring Programs, will provide a means to measure the balance of
24	growth against these needed improvements, and to evaluate the effectiveness of the Plans'
25	implementation as growth occurs.

12	۱ ۱	C_{α}	nt	ra	16
	_		τu	\mathbf{c}	3.

(A) Reporting Requirements. By July 1 five years after Plan adoption, and every five	
years thereafter, the Planning Department shall submit to the Board of Supervisors and the Office of	
the Controller an Eastern Neighborhoods Capital Expenditure Evaluation Report. The Eastern	
Neighborhoods Capital Expenditure Evaluation Report shall specifically report the amount of funds	
collected to date from the Eastern Neighborhoods Impact Fee, Central SoMa Infrastructure Impact	
Fee, Central SoMa Community Services Facilities Fee, and Central SoMa Community Facilities	
District special tax. The Capital Expenditure Evaluation Report shall also describe how these funds	
have been allocated or spent for the purpose of developing capital projects as identified in the Easter	n
Neighborhoods Priority Capital Project list. The Capital Expenditure Evaluation shall specifically	
report on allocations of funds or expenditures, based on their percentages share of the total fees	
collected to date, toward the following Eastern Neighborhoods Priority Capital Project development	
activities: planning, design, environmental review, approval, and implementation. For the purposes of	f
this Section 10E.2, the "Eastern Neighborhoods Priority Capital Project List" shall mean a list of	
capital projects which are a priority subset of the full Eastern Neighborhoods Public Benefits Progra	m
as set forth in the Eastern Neighborhoods Interdepartmental Memorandum of Understanding and the	L
Central SoMa Implementation Program and amended from time to time by the Planning Commission	
with advice from the Eastern Neighborhoods Community Advisory Committee and the South of Marke	et
Community Planning Advisory Committee. A draft copy of said memorandum is on file with the Clerk	,
of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 081446 and is incorporated herein by reference.	
(B) Office of Controller Assessment. Within 60 days of receiving the Eastern	

— (B) Office of Controller Assessment. Within 60 days of receiving the Eastern

Neighborhoods Capital Expenditure Evaluation Report, the Controller shall assess whether funds

collected from the Eastern Neighborhoods Impact Fee are being effectively utilized for capital projects

included on the Eastern Neighborhoods Priority Capital Project List, and whether such projects are

successfully advancing towards implementation. For the purposes of this section, "effectively utilized"

shall mean approximately eighty percent of total Eastern Neighborhoods impact fees collected in each
Improvement Type category (as identified in Tables 423.5 and 423.5A) upon issuance of the Eastern
Neighborhoods Capital Expenditure Evaluation Report have been allocated to one or more of the
projects identified in the Eastern Neighborhoods Priority Capital Projects list, whether or not such
projects have been approved or completed, or that all projects in that Improvement Type category have
been funded. On or after the ten-year anniversary of Plan Adoption the Controller shall also consider
whether projects that were initially funded by the issuance of the five year report, or any subsequent
updated or revised report, have been fully funded and/or completed, assuming sufficient funds are
available from the Eastern Neighborhoods impact fees collected to date.
— (C) Inclusion in Annual Capital Plan. Each year the Planning Department shall submit
for inclusion into the City and County of San Francisco Proposed Capital Plan for the current fiscal
year, in accordance with Sections 3.20 et seq., a schedule of capital improvements to be funded,
developed and implemented within the Eastern Neighborhoods, by neighborhood. That schedule shall
illustrate costs and revenue streams, total projects costs and the proposed timeline for implementation.
-(d) EASTERN NEIGHBORHOODS COMMUNITY ADVISORY COMMITTEE. The Eastern
Neighborhoods Community Advisory Committee, in Article XXXII of Chapter 5 of the Administrative
Code, shall serve the purposes and functions stated in that Article XXXII, in the manner described
therein.
SEC. 10E.3. BALBOA PARK STATION AREA PLAN MONITORING.
(a) Findings.
— (1) The Planning Commission has adopted the Balboa Park Station Area Plan as part of
the General Plan of the City and County of San Francisco. The Area Plan outlines specific goals that

cumulatively frame the community's vision for the management of growth and development in the Plan

Area. The Balboa Park Station Area Plan introduces innovative policies and land use controls to

achieve the Plan goals. Successful realization of the Plan's goals requires a coordinated

2	community infrastructure improvements. The Balboa Park Station Area Plan also establishes general
3	public improvements and amenities needed to meet the needs of both existing residents, as well as those
4	needs generated by new development.
5	— (2) In order to ensure a balanced implementation of the Balboa Park Station Area Plan,
6	the Planning Department shall institute a formal monitoring program for the Plan's implementation
7	measures and policies. This monitoring program shall provide basic statistics on development activity,
8	housing construction, and infrastructure improvements in the Balboa Park Plan Area, and shall
9	evaluate the effectiveness of the Plans' implementation according to growth in the Plan Area.
10	— (3) The purpose of this Monitoring Program shall be to provide monitoring and review of
11	the effectiveness of the Balboa Park Area Plan, to ensure implementation of improvements to
12	accompany this growth. The program shall monitor progress towards the Plans' objectives and
13	policies, by evaluating advancement according to the Plan's matrix of implementation actions and
14	measure the balance of growth against needed improvements.
15	(b) Reporting Requirements.
16	(1) By July 1st two years after Plan adoption, and on July 1st every five years thereafter,
17	the Planning Department shall prepare a report detailing development activity, housing construction,
18	and infrastructure improvements in the Plan Area. The information shall be presented to the Board of
19	Supervisors, Planning Commission and shall also include recommendations for measures deemed
20	appropriate to deal with the impacts of neighborhood growth.
21	(2) Time Period and Due Date. Reporting shall be presented by July 1st two years after
22	Plan adoption to address the time period since plan adoption; and by July 1st during each required
23	year thereafter to address the five calendar years immediately preceding.
24	— (3) Data Source. The Planning Department shall assemble data for the purpose of

providing the reports. City records shall be used wherever possible, Outside sources shall be used

implementation of land use controls, community and public service delivery, key policies, and

25

1	when data from such sources are reliable, readily available, and necessary in order to supplement City
2	records. When data is not available for the exact boundaries of the Plan Area, a similar geography wil
3	be used and noted.
4	(4) Balboa Park Implementation Matrix. The report shall review progress towards each
5	implementation measure specified in the Plan's Implementation Matrix, adopted by reference with the
6	Area Plan. It shall evaluate the actions of each responsible agency/ies according to the timeline
7	specified in the Implementation Matrix, and recommend amendments to implementation measures
8	where relevant. All departments responsible for implementation measures shall cooperate and furnish
9	information relating to their responsibilities as stated in the matrices.
10	(5) Development Activity. The report shall detail all development activity in the Plan Area
11	over the Monitoring Period, including additions and deletions of residential and non-residential space,
12	and shall include unit size, retail space and employment generated, conversions, and other
13	development statistics. The monitoring program shall include the following categories of information:
14	(A) Retail Space. Amount of retail space constructed in preceding years and related
15	employment.
16	(B) Business Formation and Relocation. An estimate of the rate of the establishment of
17	new businesses and business and employment relocation trends and patterns within the City and the
18	Bay Area. An assessment of neighborhood serving businesses in the Plan Area, including their
19	establishment, displacement, and economic health.
20	— (C) Housing. An estimate of the number of housing units newly constructed, demolished,
21	or converted to other uses.
22	— (6) Public Benefits. The report shall detail the construction of any improvements or
23	infrastructure as described in the Balboa Park Community Improvements Program, including the
24	following categories of information:

1	—— (A)—Streetscape, Transportation, and Public Realm. A detailed description of any
2	transportation-serving infrastructure completed in the preceding five years, including pedestrian, bike
3	traffic and other modes of transportation.
4	(B) Open Space and Recreational Facilities. A summary of new parks, trails, public
5	rights-of-way, recreational facilities or activity space completed to serve the purposes of recreation in
6	the preceding five years, as well as any improvements to parks or recreational facilities.
7	(C) Community Facilities. An assessment of the existing service capacity of community
8	services and facilitate, and of any new services or facilities joining the neighborhood in the past five
9	years. This shall include a review of child care, library services, and any other categories deemed
10	relevant, such as health care centers, human services, and cultural centers.
11	(D) Neighborhood Serving Businesses. An assessment of neighborhood serving
12	businesses in the area, including their establishment, displacement, and economic health.
13	(7) Fees and Revenues. The report shall monitor expenditure of all implemented fees,
14	including the Balboa Park Impact Fee and all Citywide fees, and tax revenue, as listed below. It shall
15	report on studies and implementation strategies for additional fees and programming.
16	(A) Impact Fee. A summary of the collected funds from the Balboa Park Impact Fee
17	collected from development and a detailed accounting of its expenditure over that same period.
18	(B) Fiscal Revenues. An estimate of the net increment of revenues by type (property tax,
19	business taxes, hotel and sales taxes) from all uses.
20	— (C) Fee Adjustments.
21	(i) The Planning Department shall review the amount of the Balboa Park impact fee
22	against any increases in construction costs, according to changes published in the Construction Cost
23	Index published by Engineering News Record, or according to another similar cost index should there
24	be improvements to be funded through the Balboa Park Impact Fee as listed in the Balboa Park
25	Community Improvements Program.

1	(ii) The Planning Department shall review the level of the Balboa Park Impact Fee to
2	ensure that it is not so high as to prevent needed housing or commercial development.
3	— (8) Agency Responsibilities. All implementing agencies identified in the Balboa Park
4	Implementation Matrix shall be responsible for:
5	(A) Reporting to the Planning Department, for incorporation into the Monitoring report,
6	on action undertaken in the previous reporting period to complete the implementation actions under
7	their jurisdiction, as referenced in the Balboa Park Implementation Matrix.
8	(B) Providing an analysis of the actions to be completed in the next reporting period, for
9	incorporation into the Monitoring report, including a description of the integrated approach that will
10	be used to complete those tasks.
11	(i) To the extent the Agencies identified in the Implementation Matrix are outside the
12	jurisdiction of this Board, this Board hereby urges such Agencies to participate in this process.
13	— (9) Budget Implications. In cooperation with the Annual Progress reports required by
14	Administrative Code Chapter 36.4, and prior to the annual budget process, the Board shall receive a
15	presentation by the Interagency Planning and Implementation Committee and its member agencies to
16	describe how each agency's proposed annual budget advances the Plans' objectives, including specific
17	projects called for by this section. The Board of Supervisors shall give particular consideration to
18	proposed agency budgets that meet the implementation responsibilities as assigned by the City's
19	General Plan, including the Balboa Park Implementation Matrix. Budget proposals that do not include
20	items to meet these implementation responsibilities shall respond to Board inquiries as to why inclusion
21	was not possible.
22	
23	SEC. 10E.4. HOUSING ELEMENT PRODUCTION REPORTS AND HEARINGS.
24	(a) Findings.
25	* * * *


- (3) Pursuant to Government Code Section 65584, the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG), in coordination with the California State Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD), determines the Bay Area's regional housing need based on regional trends, projected job growth, and existing needs. *ABAG has calculated San Francisco's fair share of the regional housing need for January 2007 through—the implementation period for the current Housing Element—as 31,390 units, or about 4,160 units per year.*
- (4) The regional housing needs assessment (RHNA) determination includes production targets addressing housing needs of a range of household income categories. ABAG has projected that at least 3940% of new housing demands will be from low and very low income households (households earning under 80% of area median income), and another 2217% should be affordable to households of moderate means (earning between 80% and 120% of area median income). Market-rate housing is considered housing that is generally available to households making at or above 120% of median income. Because the median income in San Francisco is lower than the regional median income, the Mayor's Office of Housing publishes a local AMI standard.

16 * * * *

(6) Housing affordability continues to be a major concern as San Francisco has one of the least affordable housing markets in the nation. *Under the heading "Why is Housing an Issue," Part II: Objectives & Policies of the Housing Element's introduction says:*

"Based on the growing population, and smart growth goals of providing housing in central areas like San Francisco, near jobs and transit, the State Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD), with the Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG), estimates that San Francisco must plan for the capacity for roughly 31,000 new units, 60% of which should be suitable for housing for the extremely low, very low, low and moderate income households, in the 2007-2014 Housing Element period to meet its share of the region's projected housing demand."

1	Objective 1 of the San Francisco Housing Element states that the City should "identify and
2	make available for development adequate sites to meet the City's housing needs, especially permanently
3	affordable housing." Objective 7 states that San Francisco's projected affordable housing needs
4	far outpace the capacity for the City to secure subsidies for new affordable unitsTherefore,
5	the City needs to look for creative ways to facilitate affordable housing development.
6	(7) In January 2012, the San Francisco Budget and Legislative Analyst published a
7	Performance Audit of San Francisco's Affordable Housing Policies and Programs, which was prepared
8	at the request of the Board of Supervisors. Table 1 of the Performance Audit shows that between 1999
9	and 2006, San Francisco met 153.4% of its production goal for market-rate housing, 82.8% of its goal
10	for very low income housing, 52.4% of its goal for low income housing, and 12.9% of its goal for
11	moderate income housing. In Table 2 of the Performance Audit, San Francisco's housing production
12	goals for 2007-2014 are: 10.6% of all new housing for extremely low income households, 10.6% for
13	very low income households, 17.7% for low income households, 21.7% for moderate income
14	households, and 39.5% for market rate housing.
15	(8) Among other things, the Performance Audit concluded that the Planning
16	Commission does not receive a sufficiently comprehensive evaluation of the City's achievement of its
17	housing goals and that the Board of Supervisors does not receive consistent information on the overall
18	impact of the City's housing policies on the development of affordable housing in San Francisco.
19	Among other things, the Budget and Legislative Analyst recommended that:
20	(A) Planning Department staff reports to the Planning Commission include
21	data on the expected unit type and income level of any proposed projects or area plans under review,
22	including how such units would address the City's fair share of the regional housing need,
23	(B) the Planning Department resume providing the Commission with a
24	Quarterly Housing Production Report, and



within the current Housing Element statutory period, as it contributes towards meeting San Francisco's
quantified production goals for different household income levels as determined in the General Plan's
Housing Element, and including data on households earning approximately 120% to 150% of area
median income based on sponsors' disclosure of unit pricing for market rate housing proposals and
other available data; senior housing units; the number of efficiency, studio, one bedroom, two bedroom
and three bedroom and above units (when the ability to collect this data exists); and data tracking
revenue generated from the Affordable Housing Fee under Planning Code Section 415 et seq. Baseline
data on housing production for this reporting shall be updated quarterly.
(2) Quarterly Housing Production Reports. Planning Department staff shall provide
the Planning Commission with a quarterly Housing Production Report every three months that
contains, at a minimum, a comparative analysis of current housing production and regional housing
needs allocation for San Francisco for different household incomes as determined in the General

the Planning Commission with a quarterly Housing Production Report every three months that contains, at a minimum, a comparative analysis of current housing production and regional housing needs allocation for San Francisco for different household incomes as determined in the General Plan's Housing Element, and including data on households earning approximately 120% to 150% of area median income based on sponsors' disclosure of unit pricing for market rate housing proposals and other available data; senior housing units; the number of efficiency, studio, one bedroom, two bedroom and three bedroom and above units (when the ability to collect this data exists); and data tracking revenue generated from the Affordable Housing Fee under Planning Code Section 415 et seq. This report should also include information regarding the approval process for newly entitled housing. Specifically, the report should show which projects were considered at a public hearing before the Planning Commission, including Conditional Use or Mandatory Discretionary Review.

(3) Annual Housing Inventory Reports. The Planning Department shall publish an annual Housing Inventory on April 1st of each year that contains at a minimum:

(1)(A) an evaluation of how residential projects entitled or at any other stage of the housing production process during the preceding calendar year contribute to the City's quantified regional housing needs allocation for different household income levels as

1	determined in the General Plan's Housing Element, and, to the extent available, (i) affordability
2	levels for all units, including those designated as below-market-rate (BMR) units, along with (ii) data
3	regarding unit type and building size; including data on households earning approximately 120% to
4	150% of area median income based on sponsors' disclosure of unit pricing for market rate housing
5	proposals and other available data; senior housing units; and the number of efficiency, studio, one
6	bedroom, two bedroom and three bedroom and above units (when the ability to collect this data exists).
7	(2)(B) how residential projects in the housing production process met
8	inclusionary housing requirements as on-site below-market-rate (BMR) units, off-site BMR
9	units, or payment of an in-lieu fee, including data tracking revenue generated from the
10	Affordable Housing Fee under Planning Code Section 415 et seq.; and
11	(3)(C) the number of residential projects at any stage of the housing production
12	process during the preceding calendar year within the City's neighborhoodsPlanning Districts
13	and Plan Areas for different housing income levels as determined in the General Plan's Housing
14	Element, and, to the extent available (i) affordability levels for all units, including those designated as
15	below-market-rate (BMR) units, along with (ii) data regarding unit type and building size; including
16	data on households earning approximately 120% to 150% of area median income based on sponsors'
17	disclosure of unit pricing for market rate housing proposals and other available data; senior housing
18	units; and the number of efficiency, studio, one bedroom, two bedroom and three bedroom and above
19	units (when the ability to collect this data exists).
20	(4) the number of Accessory Dwelling Units constructed, type of permit or other
21	approval(s), and to the extent available, their unit types and affordability levels;
22	(5) the number of dwellings legalized under Section 207.3 of this Code, and to the extent
23	available, their unit types and affordability levels; and
24	

1	(6) the number of dwelling units at any stage of the housing production process using a
2	state or local density bonus program, which specific density bonus program is being used, and to the
3	extent available, their unit types and affordability levels.
4	(4) Annual Jobs-Housing Fit Report. The Planning Department shall publish a Jobs-
5	Housing Fit Report ("Report") on April 1 of each year, as a companion report to the annual Housing
6	Inventory. The Report shall analyze the number, types, and wage distribution by quartile of jobs
7	created or lost in the City, and provide an estimate of the housing needs associated with those jobs. The
8	Report shall compare those housing needs by wages to actual housing production in San Francisco by
9	affordability levels ("Jobs-Housing Fit"). The Report shall use available and relevant data from
10	regularly published sources on jobs, wages, commercial and housing production, project approvals,
11	standard assumptions for jobs per square foot by industry type, occupations and wage distribution by
12	quartile associated with those industry types, workers per household and household size, and shall use
13	the household income classifications expressed in the Housing Element of the General Plan. The
14	Report shall include the following components:
15	(A) Ten-year Retrospective Assessment. The Report shall provide an
16	assessment of the Jobs-Housing Fit in the City for the preceding ten years through the end of the
17	preceding calendar year.
18	(B) Pipeline Projection. The Report shall project the expected Jobs-Housing Fit
19	for the current pipeline of entitled projects. The projection shall include: commercial and housing
20	development projects that have received their first building or site permit; entitled commercial and
21	housing developments that have been approved but have not yet received their first building or site
22	permit; and projects subject to development agreements, but shall not include the portions of multi-
23	phase projects with phases expected to continue beyond ten years. The projection shall use the
24	affordability levels associated with entitled housing developments including on-site inclusionary units.
25	The Report shall compare projected housing needs by wages directly associated, and indirectly

1	associated, to the extent feasible, with the entitled commercial pipeline to the affordability levels of the
2	entitled housing pipeline. The Report shall separately evaluate the Jobs-Housing Fit for the extended
3	development pipeline including those portions of multi-phase projects extending beyond ten years.
4	(C) Area Plan and Major Projects. For each draft Area Plan and major
5	commercial or mixed-use development project larger than two acres subject to a development
6	agreement under consideration or approved in the previous two years, the Report shall identify the
7	Jobs-Housing Fit for each such project. To the extent Planning Department staff reports already have
8	evaluated the Jobs-Housing Fit for these projects, the Report may reference those staff reports.
9	(c) Annual Planning Commission Housing Hearing; Report to the Board of Supervisors.
10	(1) Commission Hearing. The Planning Commission shall hold an annual public
11	hearing subsequent to publishing the Housing Inventory. This hearing shall provide, at a minimum,
12	information on:
13	(A) Findings of the annual Housing Inventory regarding how housing
14	production trends match with San Francisco's quantified regional housing needs allocation for
15	different income levels as determined in the General Plan's Housing Element, and including data on
16	households earning approximately 120% to 150% of area median income based on sponsors'
17	disclosure of unit pricing for market rate housing proposals and other available data; senior housing
18	units; and the number of efficiency, studio, one bedroom, two bedroom and three bedroom and above
19	units (when the ability to collect this data exists); and
20	(B) Findings of the state mandated annual Housing Element Progress Report
21	regarding how housing production trends advance the Housing Element's policies and goals.
22	(C) Findings of the Annual Jobs-Housing Fit Report regarding how the housing
23	needs associated with job growth compare to actual housing production by income levels. The
24	Planning Department, in consultation with the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community
25	Development, shall report in writing on the allocated funding, sites, and timing necessary to meet the

1	affordable housing needs identified in the Report, and, insofar as the Report identifies unmet past and
2	projected needs, the amount of additional funding, and sites for affordable housing, that would need to
3	be allocated in order to meet the projected housing needs associated with job growth.
4	(2) Annual Report to the Board. The Planning Department shall provide an annual
5	report to the Board of Supervisors concerning the results of the Commission's hearing and any
6	recommendations for legislation.
7	
8	CHAPTER 12D:
9	MINORITY/WOMEN/LOCAL BUSINESS UTILIZATION
10	PROVISIONS EFFECTIVE FOR CONTRACTS SOLICITED PRIOR TO NOVEMBER 1,
11	1998
12	SEC. 12D.1. SHORT TITLE.
13	This ordinance shall be entitled the "Minority/Women/Local Business Utilization Ordinance"
14	and may be cited as the "MBE/WBE/LBE Ordinance - III."
15	
16	SEC. 12D.2. GENERAL FINDINGS.
17	The Board of Supervisors having adopted Ordinance No. 139-84 on April 2, 1984 to address
18	identified discriminatory practices inherent in the City's procurement process which resulted in the
19	virtual exclusion of minority and woman owned businesses as contractors on City prime contracts and
20	to offset economic disadvantages faced by local businesses that are not shared by nonlocal businesses;
21	And Ordinance No. 139-84 being remedial in nature will expire June 30, 1989 and thus
22	required the Human Rights Commission to study minority and woman owned business participation in
23	City contracting prior to the expiration of that Ordinance;
24	And the Human Rights Commission, pursuant to Section 12D.15 of Ordinance No. 139-84
25	having in June and July of 1988 heard the testimony of 42 witnesses, reviewed the transcript and

written submittals of 127 minority, women, local and other business representatives, studied the
testimonial and statistical evidence presented by the public, City departments, and the Commission's
staff to ascertain whether the objectives of Ordinance No. 139-84 had been met and having submitted
its October 23, 1988 report to this Board entitled "Investigation into Minority and Women Business
Participation in City Contracting, Comprehensive Edition: Findings, Recommendations and Support
Documentation";
And the United States Supreme Court on January 23, 1989 having decided City of Richmond
v. Croson which addresses the constitutionally acceptable quantum of evidence that enables a
municipality to adopt a race-conscious remedial ordinance in public contracting;
- And the Budget Analyst at the request of this Board having studied the participation of
minority and woman owned businesses in City contracts at the prime contractor level during fiscal year
1987-1988 and compared this business participation to minority and women businesses' share of their
relevant respective industries or professions and having submitted his report dated March 8, 1989 and
his revised report dated March 17, 1989 to this Board;
And BPA Economics, Inc. at the request of the City Attorney and the Director of the Human
Rights Commission having evaluated statistical evidence provided by City departments and the Budget
Analyst, conducted a statistical analysis of these data and having submitted its report entitled
"Statistical Support for San Francisco's MBE/WBE/LBE Ordinance," dated May 1, 1989 and his
revised report dated May 15, 1989, to this Board;
And this Board, having conducted 10 additional public hearings, taken additional testimony
and written submittals, and reviewed the 1983 and 1988 reports of the Human Rights Commission, the
1989 reports of the Budget Analyst, and the 1989 report of BPA Economics, Inc. (all of which shall be
incorporated herein by reference) and relying upon this Board's knowledge about the City's compliance
with Ordinance No. 139-84, such knowledge having been acquired during the past five years,
-This Board hereby makes the following findings:

- 1. Local	businesses that seek to enter into contracts with the City and County of San
Francisco continue t	to labor under a competitive disadvantage with businesses from other areas
because of the highe	r administrative costs of doing business in the City (e.g., higher taxes, higher rents
higher wages and be	enefits for labor, higher insurance rates, etc.). In 1991, this Board concluded that
MBEs and WBEs are	e currently receiving a very small share of City contracts through joint ventures
with majority-owned	firms, while a number of well-established LBEs have taken advantage of the five-
percent LBE bid prej	ference by joint venturing with each other. The Board concludes that the five-
percent MBE/WBE k	oid preference has not proved sufficiently effective in remedying the exclusion of
MBEs and WBEs fro	m City contracts through the vehicle of joint ventures. Accordingly, the Board is
granting a seven and	l one-half percent bid preference to joint ventures with MBE or WBE participation
between 40 percent e	and 50.9 percent to provide more incentives for majority firms to joint venture with
MBEs and WBEs to	provide services to the City.
— 2. <i>The p</i>	ublic interest is served by continuing to encourage business to locate and remain
in San Francisco thr	ough the provision of a minimal "good-faith" preference to local businesses in the
award of City contra	icts.
— 3. Polici	ies and programs that enhance the opportunities and entrepreneurial skills of
minority owned, wor	nan owned, and local businesses will best serve the public interest because the
growth and develops	nent of such businesses will have a significant positive impact on the economic
health of the City an	d will serve to reduce racial tension in our community.
— 4. The te	estimony of businesses that seek to enter into contracts with the City or are doing
business with the Cit	ty, as presented to this Board and as detailed in the Human Rights Commission's
1988 report, offer cl	ear and persuasive reasons for the Board of Supervisors to take the actions
proposed by this Ore	dinance to remedy: (1) City contracting practices and community conditions that

cause the exclusion or reduce the opportunities of minority and woman owned businesses to be

awarded City contracts to such an extent that the amount of City contract dollars awarded to them can

only be explained by discrimination; and (2) competitive disadvantages local business continue to face in providing goods and services to the City.

5. Outreach and advertising efforts by City departments have served to draw more minority and woman business enterprises into the City's procurement process. The City needs to intensify its outreach and advertising efforts as well as its education/training programs to reach a broader segment of the MBE/WBE community. However, past experience has taught that outreach and advertising efforts alone are not enough to remedy the exclusion of minority and women owned businesses as prime contractors with the City.

6. Ordinance No. 139-84 has provided City departments with uniform standards and criteria in the award of contracts. However, these standards have not been applied consistently Citywide. This inconsistent application of the Ordinance continues to give preference to majority businesses in the prime award process to the detriment of minority and woman business enterprises.

7. The bid preference mechanism has the advantage of affording minority, woman and local business enterprises a competitive "plus" when bidding or proposing on City contracts. The bid preference mechanism does not exclude any potential contractor. It encourages competition and thereby ensures that the City is contracting with responsible, efficient contractors. Consequently, the bid preference mechanism has assisted minority, woman and local business enterprises to obtain City contracts. The bid preference is allowed to joint ventures where MBEs and WBEs participate at a managerial and entrepreneurial level with nonminority and nonwoman entrepreneurs. Affording the bid preference to joint ventures has encouraged nonMBE/WBEs to enter into joint venture agreements with MBE/WBEs and compete for City contracts. Several joint ventures of minority/woman and majority/male owned firms have won large construction and professional services contracts with the assistance of the bid preference. The evidence in the record before this Board supports the conclusion that the bid preference invites participation by formerly excluded businesses and hence promotes more competition, resulting in more competitive bids submitted to the City.

8. In Orainance No. 1/3-89 this Boara concluded that set-asides of contracts, where
competition for selected contracts is limited to MBE/WBEs or joint ventures with MBE/WBEs, had been
authorized by the Director of the Human Rights Commission only on rare occasions over the past five
years (1984-1985). Before the adoption of Ordinance No. 175-89, the Director had regarded the
contract set-aside mechanism as a measure to be used in last-resort type cases where a department
could demonstrate, despite its good-faith efforts and the application of the bid preference, that it had
failed substantially to eliminate the exclusion of MBEs and WBEs from City contracting. In 1989, at the
time Ordinance No. 175-89 was adopted, this Board concluded that despite the claim by several
departments that the contract set-aside mechanism was essential to ensure participation by
MBE/WBEs, the City had made steady progress City-wide toward the MBE/WBE goals while only
making sparing use of the contract set-aside mechanism. However, in 1991, this Board found that the
use of good-faith efforts and the application of the bid preference did not appear in all cases to be a
sufficient remedy for opening the closed environment in which City departments operate or correcting
the identified discriminatory practices of the City against MBEs and WBEs. The Board reaffirms its
1991 finding that setting aside contracts limited to competition among MBE/WBEs or joint ventures
with MBE/WBEs is a necessary remedy in those limited cases where a department can demonstrate,
despite its good-faith efforts and the application of the bid preference, that it has failed substantially to
eliminate the exclusion of MBEs and WBEs from City contracting.
— 9. Without the Ordinance, many small MBE/WBEs would be unable to compete for and
win awards of prime City contracts.
— 10. Some City departments continue to operate under the "old boy network" when
awarding contracts. The City's "old boy network" constitutes a closed business system created and
implemented by the City for all contracts, including those subject to the competitive bid process.
Discrimination against and insensitivity to MBEs and WBEs continue to persist in the City's

procurement process. The closed environment in which City businesses operate has excluded MBEs

and WBEs and has placed them under a competitive disadvantage when competing for City prime contracts.

this Ordinance for fiscal year 1987-1988 reflects that in almost all areas of contracting MBEs (each ethnic group identified as a minority) and WBEs continue to be awarded contract dollars that are disproportionately lower than the available numbers of MBEs and WBEs in San Francisco. These data are gathered and summarized in Appendix X, which contains utilization indices described as Tables 1 through 7, and 10 through 11, and is attached to this Ordinance and incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth. These utilization indices measure the disparity between MBEs (as a group and each ethnic group identified as a minority) or WBE participation in City prime contracts and their share of their relevant industry or profession. That disparity is measured in terms of a statistical significance. When measure of the statistical significance is minus two (-2) or less, the Board concludes that the disparity cannot be attributed to chance. Based upon the weight of the testimony and other evidence before this Board and the Commission in 1983, 1984, 1988 and 1989, the Board finds that the statistical disparities can only be attributed to discriminatory procurement practices of the City against MBEs and WBEs.

—Based on the testimony and other evidence before this Board and the Commission in 1983, 1984, 1988 and 1989, the Board finds that the aforementioned statistical disparities are also attributed to discrimination in the private sector against MBEs and WBEs that is manifested in and perpetuated and exacerbated by the City's procurement practices.

12. Consistent with Ordinance No. 139-84, on December 19, 1988 the Board of
Supervisors adopted legislation which requires the City's Risk Manager to develop uniform insurance
requirements for City contracts. On May 2, 1989 the Risk Manager established these uniform standards
which are set forth in a document entitled "Contract Insurance Manual." This manual is intended to be
a guide for contract administrators to standardize bond and insurance requirements in City contracts.

1	— 13. Consistent with Ordinance No. 139-84, the Board of Supervisors has also considered
2	whether to: (1) create a special revolving fund to assist newly established MBEs and WBEs to meet
3	bonding and other fee-related requirements; and (2) relax or waive bonding requirements on certain
4	contracts to facilitate MBE/WBE participation. In view of the City's budgetary constraints and the
5	opposition voiced by segments of the local business community, this Board finds that creation of a
6	special revolving fund, or relaxation or waiver of bonding requirements is not feasible at this time.
7	
8	SEC. 12D.2-1. ADDITIONAL FINDINGS.
9	— Upon the expiration of Ordinance No. 139-84 this Board having adopted Ordinance No. 175-
10	89 on May 30, 1989 to address the same types of practices and to offset the same types of economic
11	disadvantages identified in Section 12D.2;
12	- And Ordinance No. 175-89 as amended being remedial in nature will expire June 30, 1992
13	and thus required the Human Rights Commission to study minority and woman owned business
14	participation in City contracting prior to the expiration of Ordinance 175-89;
15	And the Human Rights Commission, pursuant to Section 12D.15 of Ordinance No. 175-89
16	having in January and February of 1992 heard the testimony of 68 witnesses, reviewed the transcripts
17	and written submittals of 54 minority, women, local and other business representatives, studied the
18	testimonial and statistical evidence presented by the public, City departments, and the Commission's
19	staff to ascertain whether the objectives of Ordinance No. 175-89 as amended had been met and having
20	submitted to this Board its February 28, 1992, "Sunset Report" and its March 2, 1992 "Progress Report
21	FY 1990-91";
22	And this Board, having conducted additional public hearings, taken additional testimony and
23	written submittals, and reviewed the Human Rights Commission's February 28, 1992 "Sunset Report"
24	and its March 2, 1992 "Progress Report FY 1990-91" (all of which shall be incorporated by reference);

-And this Board incorporating by reference the findings set forth in Section 12D.2 above;

- And relying upon this Board's knowledge acquired during the past eight years about the City's
compliance with the MBE/WBE/LBE Ordinances and all amendments thereto. This Board hereby finds
that the purposes of the MBE/WBE/LBE Ordinances and the amendments thereto have not been
fulfilled and that it is necessary to extend Ordinance No. 175-89 as amended for an additional five-year
period.
SEC. 12D.2-2. FURTHER ADDITIONAL FINDINGS SUPPORTING SIX-MONTH
EXTENSION OF MBE/WBE/LBE ORDINANCE
Upon the expiration of Ordinance No. 175-89 this Board having adopted Ordinance No. 155-
92 to address the practices and to offset the economic disadvantages identified in Sections 12D.2,
12D.9(A), 12D.10(A), 12D.11(A) and 12D.11(A);
And Ordinance No. 155-92 being remedial in nature will expire June 30, 1997 and thus
required the Human Rights Commission to study minority and woman owned business participation in
City contracting prior to the expiration of Ordinance No. 155-92;
And the Human Rights Commission pursuant to Section 12D.15(E) of Ordinance No. 155-92,
through its MBE/WBE/LBE Community Advisory Committee and at its regular and special meetings,
having received public testimony concerning the City's compliance with Ordinance No. 155-92;
-And the Human Rights Commission on January 13, 1997 having hired Mason Tillman
Associates to conduct a disparity study which will be concluded on or before August 31, 1997;
- And the Human Rights Commission, pursuant to Section 12D.15(E) of Ordinance No. 155-92
having conducted public hearings on January 29, 1997, February 19, 1997 and February 27, 1997;
-And the Human Rights Commission having received from Mason Tillman Associates a
statistical analysis of the MBE/WBE/LBE Progress Reports for fiscal years 1992-93, 1993-94 and
1994-95 suggesting continuing patterns of underutilization of certified MBE and WBE contractors;

1	Ana the Human Kights Commission having submitted to this Board its March 3, 1997
2	"Resolution Certifying HRC Findings to the Board of Supervisors Supporting the Extension of the
3	MBE/WBE/LBE Ordinance" as well as supporting data and analyses;
4	- And the Human Rights Commission having resolved to transmit to this Board by August 31,
5	1997, the completed disparity study which will assist this board in determining whether the purposes
6	identified in Section 12D.3 have been achieved;
7	And this board incorporating by reference the findings set forth in Sections 12D.2 and 12D.2-
8	1 above;
9	- And this Board, having reviewed the MBE/WBE/LBE Progress Reports for fiscal years 1992-
10	93, 1993-94, and 1994-95 and other supporting data (all of which shall be incorporated by reference)
11	and having conducted public hearings on April 24, 1997 on the MBE/WBE program and policies and
12	on May 8, 1997 at which the Board received additional testimony and written submittals from the
13	public (which shall be incorporated by reference), finds that there is a good faith basis for concluding
14	that the purposes identified in Section 12D.3 have not yet been achieved;
15	- This Board hereby finds that there is a good faith basis to extend Ordinance 155-92, as
16	amended, for a six-month period during which time this Board, with the assistance of the Human Right
17	Commission and the City Attorney, will continue with the process of fact finding to ascertain whether a
18	strong basis in evidence exists for concluding that the purposes identified in Section 12D.3 have not
19	been achieved.
20	
21	SEC. 12D.2-3. FURTHER ADDITIONAL FINDINGS SUPPORTING THREE-MONTH
22	EXTENSION OF MBE/WBE/LBE ORDINANCE
23	On November 5, 1996, Californians voted to adopt the California Civil Rights Initiative
24	(Proposition 209) as an amendment to their Constitution. Proposition 209 provides that the State and
25	its subdivisions shall not discriminate against, or grant preferential treatment to, any individual or

1	group on the basis of race, sex, color, ethnicity or national origin in the operation of public
2	employment, education or contracting.
3	On December 23, 1996, the United States District Court for the Northern District of
4	California, granted a preliminary injunction to prevent the implementation of Proposition 209.
5	However, on April 8, 1997, the Ninth Circuit in Coalition v. Wilson reversed the district court's ruling
6	and upheld as constitutional Proposition 209. Then on August 21, 1997, the Ninth Circuit denied the
7	petition for rehearing the decision in Coalition v. Wilson. Finally, on November 3, 1997, the United
8	States Supreme Court denied the petition for certiorari and let stand the Ninth Circuit's decision to
9	uphold Proposition 209 as constitutional.
10	- As a result of the Ninth Circuit's ruling, Mason Tillman Associates will have to consider the
11	impact, if any, of Proposition 209 on its disparity study commissioned by the Human Rights
12	Commission on January 13, 1997.
13	- And although this Board expected to receive the disparity study on or before August 31, 1997,
14	which was on an expedited schedule, the San Francisco International Airport's data requires additional
15	verification in order to complete the disparity study. This circumstance has caused the compilation of
16	the study's date to take longer than originally anticipated;
17	- And where the Mayor's Office and other City departments should have an opportunity to
18	provide comment as to any proposed legislative changes to the ordinance before the legislation is
19	introduced at the Board;
20	And this Board incorporating by reference the findings set forth in Sections 12D.2, 12D.2-1
21	and 12D.2-2 above;
22	This Board hereby finds that there is a good-faith basis to extend Ordinance 155-92, as
23	amended by Ordinance 210-97, for a three-month period during which time this Board, with the
24	assistance of the Human Rights Commission and the City Attorney, will (1) complete the compilation of
25	the data sufficient to ascertain whether a strong basis in evidence exists for concluding that the

1	purposes identified in Section 12D.3 have not been achieved and (2) receive comment on proposed
2	legislative changes, if any, to the ordinance in light of the findings and recommendations set forth in
3	the disparity study.
4	
5	SEC. 12D.2-4. ADDITIONAL FINDINGS SUPPORTING A THREE-MONTH
6	EXTENSION OF MBE/WBE/LBE ORDINANCE.
7	On November 3, 1997, the United States Supreme Court denied the petition for writ of
8	certiorari in Coalition for Economic Equity et al. v. Pete Wilson et al. As a result of the Supreme
9	Court's decision not to grant review, Mason Tillman Associates and the City continue to consider the
10	impact, if any, of Proposition 209 on the Disparity Study commissioned by the Human Rights
11	Commission on January 13, 1997;
12	-And although a draft disparity study has been presented to the Human Rights Commission,
13	several new questions regarding the data and how it should be analyzed have been raised by the
14	Human Rights Commission and members of the public. In light of the concerns raised, Mason Tillman
15	Associates and the Human Rights Commission have determined that additional data gathering and
16	analysis is necessary in order to accurately determine whether a strong basis in evidence exists for
17	concluding that the purposes identified in Section 12D.3 have not been achieved and what remedy, if
18	any, is necessary to ensure that there is no discrimination in public contracting in the City and County
19	of San Francisco.
20	-And this Board incorporating by reference the findings set forth in Section 12D.2, 12D.2-1,
21	12D.2-2 and 12D.2-3 above;
22	This Board hereby finds that there is a good faith basis to extend Ordinance 155-92, as

amended by Ordinance 210-97 and 457-97, for a three-month period during which time this Board,

compilation of the data sufficient to ascertain whether a strong basis in evidence exists for concluding

with the assistance of the Human Rights Commission and the City Attorney, will (1) complete the

23

24

that the purposes identified in Section 12D.3 have not been achieved and (2) receive comment on proposed legislative changes, if any, to the ordinance in light of the findings set forth in the final disparity study.

SEC. 12D.2-5. ADDITIONAL FINDINGS SUPPORTING A TWO-MONTH EXTENSION OF MBE/WBE/LBE ORDINANCE

On November 3, 1997, the United States Supreme Court denied the petition for writ of certiorari in Coalition for Economic Equity et al. v. Pete Wilson et al. As a result of the Supreme Court's decision not to grant review, Mason Tillman Associates and the City considered the impact, if any, of Proposition 209 on the Disparity Study commissioned by the Human Rights Commission on January 13, 1997;

And although a draft disparity study was presented to the Human Rights Commission, several new questions regarding the data and how it should be analyzed were raised by the Human Rights

Commission and members of the public. In light of the concerns raised, additional necessary data gathering and analysis were conducted. Additional time is needed in order to accurately determine whether a strong basis in evidence exists for concluding that the purposes identified in Section 12D.3 have not been achieved and what remedy, if any, is necessary to ensure that there is no discrimination in public contracting in the City and County of San Francisco.

And this Board incorporation by reference the findings set forth in Section 12D.2, 12D.2-1, 12D.2-2, 12D.2-3 and 12D.2-4 above;

This Board hereby finds that there is a good faith basis to extend Ordinance 155-92, as amended by Ordinance 210-97, 457-97, 82-98, for a two-month period during which time this Board, with the assistance of the Human Rights Commission and the City Attorney, will (1) complete the compilation and analysis of the data sufficient to ascertain whether a strong basis in evidence exists for concluding that the purposes identified in Section 12D.3 have not been achieved and (2) receive

1	comment on proposed legislative changes, if any, to the Ordinance in light of the findings set forth in
2	the final Disparity Study.
3	
4	SEC. 12D.2-6. ADDITIONAL FINDINGS SUPPORTING A TWO-MONTH EXTENSION
5	OF MBE/WBE/LBE ORDINANCE.
6	In light of the United States Supreme Court's denial of the petition for writ of certiorari in
7	Coalition for Economic Equity et al. v. Pete Wilson et al. several new questions with respect to the data
8	collected for the City's disparity study and how it should be analyzed were raised. Because of those
9	concerns, the Human Rights Commission in March of this year embarked upon additional data
10	gathering and analysis in order to accurately determine whether a strong basis in evidence exists for
11	concluding that the purposes identified in Section 12D.3 have not been achieved and what remedy, if
12	any, is necessary to ensure that there is no discrimination in public contracting in the City and County
13	of San Francisco.
14	Although the Human Rights Commission has succeeded in gathering such additional data, th
15	data gathering process is not yet complete. Consequently, the analysis of the data is not complete.
16	Additional time is needed in order to accurately determine whether a strong basis in evidence exists fo
17	concluding that the purposes identified in Section 12D.3 have not been achieved and what remedy, if
18	any, is necessary to ensure that there is no discrimination in the procurement process of the City and
19	County of San Francisco.
20	This Board incorporates by reference findings set forth in Sections 12D.2, 12D.2-2, 12D.2-3,
21	12D.2-4 and 12D.2-5 above;

-This Board hereby finds that there is a good faith basis to extend Ordinance 155-92, as

amended by Ordinances 210-97, 457-97, 82-98 and 186-98 for a two-month period during which time

complete the compilation and analysis of the data to ascertain whether a strong basis in evidence exists

this Board, with the assistance of the Human Rights Commission and the City Attorney, will (1)

22

23

24

for concluding that the purposes identified in Section 12D.3 have not been achieved and (2) receive comment on proposed legislative changes, if any, to the ordinance in light of the findings set forth in the final disparity study.

SEC. 12D.3. DECLARATION OF POLICY.

—It is the policy of the City and County of San Francisco to ensure full and equitable opportunities for Minority Business Enterprises, Woman Business Enterprises, and local businesses to participate as prime contractors in the provision of goods and services to the City. This program is intended to correct identified discriminatory practices inherent in the City's procurement process and in the award of prime contracts to MBE/WBEs and to develop their status and capability as prime contractors of the City. Another goal of this Ordinance is to offset some of the economic disadvantages local businesses continue to face that are not shared by nonlocal businesses.

The City will continue to rely on the relationship between the percentages of minority (each ethnic group identified as a minority) and woman owned businesses in the relevant sector of the San Francisco business community and their respective shares of City contract dollars as a measure of the effectiveness of this Ordinance in remedying the effects of the aforementioned discrimination.

The City is continuing to use a preference for local business in the award of City contracts in order to encourage business to locate and remain in San Francisco and thereby enhance employment opportunities for persons living in San Francisco. The cost of locating and doing business in San Francisco continues to be as much as 15 percent and greater than the cost of doing business in the surrounding communities; affording a five-percent bid preference for local businesses bidding on City contracts reduces the disadvantages under which City-located businesses labor when competing for City contracts, affording them a five-percent bid preference makes good sense. In effect the bid preference assists these businesses in contributing to the economic health of the City. The five-percent

bid preference does not unduly hamper non-local businesses in the contracting process, and parallels the preferences awarded in many other local jurisdictions.

SEC. 12D.4. SCOPE.

The race- and gender-conscious bid preferences of this Ordinance shall be afforded only to economically disadvantaged minority and woman owned businesses in all specifically enumerated categories of City contracts for the procurement of goods and services subject to exemptions hereinafter specifically enumerated. The local business bid preference shall be afforded to all local businesses in the award of all City contracts for the procurement of goods and services subject to exceptions hereinafter specifically enumerated in Section 12D.13.

SEC. 12D.5. DEFINITIONS.

"Annual participation goals" shall mean the targeted levels of City-wide MBE/WBE

participation in City prime contracts that reflect the relevant share of MBEs or WBEs in a given industry or profession referred to as "percent availability" in the utilization indices contained in Appendix X to this Ordinance.

"Award of a contract" occurs when a contract is certified by the Controller of the City and County of San Francisco.

"Back contracting" shall mean any agreement or other arrangement between a prime contractor and its subcontractor where the prime contractor performs or secures the performance of the subcontract in such a fashion and/or under such terms and conditions that the prime contractor enjoys the financial benefits of the subcontract. Said agreement or other arrangement includes, but is not limited to, situations where either a contractor or subcontractor agrees that any term, condition or

1	obligation imposed upon the subcontractor by the subcontract shall be performed by or be the
2	responsibility of the prime contractor.
3	"Bid" shall mean and include a quotation, proposal, solicitation or offer by a bidder or
4	contractor to perform or provide labor, materials, equipment, supplies or services to the City and
5	County of San Francisco for a price.
6	"Bidder" shall mean any business that submits a quotation, bid or proposal to provide labor,
7	materials, equipment, supplies or services to the City and County of San Francisco.
8	"City" shall mean the City and County of San Francisco.
9	"Commercially useful function" for purposes of determining whether a business is a Minority
10	Business Enterprise, Woman Business Enterprise or Local Business Enterprise shall mean that the
11	business is directly responsible for providing the materials, equipment, supplies or services to the City
12	as required by the solicitation or request for quotes, bids or proposals. MBEs, WBEs or LBEs who
13	engage in the business of providing brokerage, referral or temporary employment services shall not be
14	deemed to perform a "commercially useful function" unless the brokerage, referral or temporary
15	employment services are those required and sought by the department.
16	"Commission" shall mean the Human Rights Commission of the City and County of San
17	Francisco.
18	"Concession" shall include any grant of land or other property by or on behalf of the City and
19	County of San Francisco to a person for the purpose or use specified in said grant. A "concession"
20	shall not include an agreement to perform construction-related services.
21	"Contract" shall mean and include any agreement between the City and a person to provide
22	or procure labor, materials, equipment, supplies or services to, for or on behalf of the City and County
23	of San Francisco. A "contract" shall include an agreement between the City and a person or nonprofit
24	entity to perform construction-related services or fund the performance of such services. Except as
25	otherwise specifically defined in this section, a "contract" does not include: (1) awards made by the

City with Federal/State grant or City general fund monies to a nonprofit entity where the City offers assistance, guidance, or supervision on a project or program and the recipient of the grant award uses the grant monies to provide services to the community; (2) sales transactions where the City sells its personal or real property; (3) a loan transaction where the City is acting as a debtor or a creditor; (4) lease, franchise, or concession agreements; (5) agreements to use City real property; or (6) gifts of materials, equipment, supplies or services to the City.

"Contract awarding authority" shall mean the City officer, department, commission, employee or board authorized to enter into contracts on behalf of the City. In the case of an agreement with a person or nonprofit entity to perform or fund the performance of construction-related services, the term "contract awarding authority" shall mean the person or nonprofit entity receiving funds from the City to perform or fund the performance of such services.

"Contractor" shall mean any person(s), firm, partnership, corporation, or combination thereof, who submits a bid to perform, performs any part of, agrees with a person to provide services relating to and/or enters into a contract with department heads and officers or contract awarding authorities empowered by law to enter into contracts on the part of the City for public works or improvements to be performed, or for goods or services or supplies to be purchased at the expense of the City or to be paid out of monies deposited in the treasury or out of trust monies under the control of or collected by the City.

"Controlled" for the purposes of determining whether a business is a Minority Business

Enterprise, or Woman Business Enterprise, shall mean the minority(ies), the woman or combination of
minorities and women, as the context requires, shall (1) possess legal authority and power to manage
business assets, good will and daily operations of the business; and (2) actively and continuously
exercise such authority and power in determining the policies and directing the operations of the
business.

"Director" shall mean the Director of the Human Rights Commission of San Francisco.

1	— Economically alsaavantagea business - shall mean a business whose average gross annual
2	receipts in the three fiscal years immediately preceding its application for certification as a MBE, WBE
3	or LBE do not exceed the following limits: (1) Public works/construction; (2)
4	Goods/materials/equipment and general services suppliers; (3) Professional services
5	"Equipment and supplies contract" shall mean term purchase agreements, contract orders,
6	purchase orders and any other agreement for the purchase of transportation equipment, office supplies,
7	data processing and office equipment, hospital and medical equipment and supplies, food, building
8	supplies, fire/safety equipment and supplies, clothing, miscellaneous and electrical equipment and
9	supplies. The term "equipment and supplies contract" shall not include contracts for fuels, lubricants
10	and illuminants.
11	- "Franchise" shall mean and include the right or privilege conferred by grant from the City
12	and County of San Francisco, or any contracting agency thereof, and vested in and authorizing a
13	person to conduct such business or engage in such activity as is specified in the grant. A "franchise"
14	shall not include an agreement to perform construction-related services.
15	"General services contract" shall mean term purchase agreements, contract orders, purchase
16	orders and any other agreement for the procurement of janitorial, security, equipment and computer
17	maintenance, miscellaneous, printing and graphics services.
18	"Good-faith efforts" when required of a contract awarding authority or department shall
19	mean the actions undertaken by a department to obtain MBE or WBE participation in a contract as
20	prime contractors, and shall include the following efforts: (1) encouraging MBE/WBEs to attend pre-
21	bid meetings, scheduled by a department or the Commission, to inform potential contractors of
22	contracting opportunities; (2) advertising in general circulation media, trade association publications
23	and minority/woman business focus media; (3) notifying MBE/WBEs who are available to perform the
24	work contemplated in a contract, soliciting their interest in the contract; (4) dividing the contract work
25	into economically feasible units to facilitate MBE/WBE participation in the contract; (5) pursuing

1 solicitations of interest by contacting MBE/WBEs to determine whether these businesses are interested 2 in participating on the contract; (6) providing MBE/WBEs with adequate information about the plan, 3 specifications and requirements of the contract; (7) where applicable, negotiating with MBE/WBEs in good faith and demonstrating that MBE/WBEs were not rejected as unqualified without sound reasons 4 5 based on a thorough investigation of their capabilities; and (8) using the services of available 6 community and contractors' groups, local, State or Federal minority and woman business assistance 7 offices that provide assistance in the recruitment of MBE/WBEs for public sector contracts. 8 -"Good-faith efforts" when required of a prime public works/construction contractor or 9 professional services provider shall mean the steps undertaken to comply with the goals and 10 requirements imposed by the City for participation by minority and women business enterprises as subcontractors, and shall include the following: 11 12 (1) Attending any presolicitation or prebid meetings scheduled by the City to inform all 13 bidders of the minority and women business enterprise program requirements for the project for which the contract will be awarded: 14 15 (2) Identifying and selecting specific items of the project for which the contract will be 16 awarded to be performed by minority or women business enterprises to provide an opportunity for 17 participation by those enterprises; 18 (3) Advertising, not less than 10 calendar days before the date the bids are opened, in one 19 or more daily or weekly newspapers, trade association publications, minority or trade-oriented 20 publications, trade journals, or other media, specified by the City for minority or women business 21 enterprises that are interested in participating in the project. This paragraph applies only if the City gave public notice of the project not less than 15 calendar days prior to the date the bids are opened; 22 23 (4) Providing written notice of his or her interest in bidding on the contract to the number 24 of minority or women business enterprises required to be notified by the project specifications not less

than 10 calendar days prior to the opening of bids. The City shall make available to the bidder not less

1	than 15 calendar days prior to the date the bids are opened a list or a source of lists of enterprises
2	which are certified by the Director as minority or women business enterprises;
3	— (5) Following up initial solicitations of interest by contacting the enterprises to determine
4	with certainty whether the enterprises were interested in performing specific items of the project;
5	— (6) Providing interested minority and women business enterprises with information about
6	the plans, specifications, and requirements for the selected subcontracting or material supply work;
7	— (7) Requesting assistance from minority and women community organizations; minority
8	and women contractor or professional groups; local, State or Federal minority and women business
9	assistance offices; or other organizations that provide assistance in the recruitment and placement of
10	minority or women business enterprises, if any are available;
11	— (8) Negotiating in good faith with the minority or women business enterprises, and not
12	unjustifiably rejecting as unsatisfactory bids or proposals prepared by any minority or women business
13	enterprises, as determined by the City;
14	— (9) Where applicable, advising and making efforts to assist interested minority and women
15	business enterprises in obtaining bonds, lines of credit, or insurance required by the City or contractor;
16	— (10) Making efforts to obtain minority and women business enterprise participation that
17	the City could reasonably expect would produce a level of participation sufficient to meet the City's
18	goals and requirements.
19	"Human Rights Commission (HRC)" shall mean the Human Rights Commission of San
20	Francisco, consisting of Commissioners appointed by the Mayor; hereinafter, it shall be referred to as
21	the "Commission."
22	"Joint venture" shall mean and may be referred to as an "Association" of two or more
23	businesses acting as a contractor and performing or providing services on a contract, in which each
24	joint venture or association partner combines property, capital, efforts, skill, and/or knowledge.

1	"Lease" shall mean and include an agreement by which the City and County of San Francisco
2	or any contracting agency thereof, grants to a person the temporary possession and use of property for
3	reward, and the latter agrees to return the same to the former at a future time. A "lease" shall not
4	include an agreement to perform construction-related services.
5	"Local business" or "Local business enterprise (LBE)" shall mean an economically
6	disadvantaged business which is an independent and continuing business for profit, performs a
7	commercially useful function and is a firm:
8	(1) With fixed offices or distribution points located within the geographical boundaries of
9	the City and County of San Francisco;
10	(2) Listed in the Permits and License Tax Paid File with a San Francisco business street
11	address; and
12	(3) Which possesses a current Business Tax Registration Certificate at the time of the
13	application for certification as a local business. Post Office box numbers or residential addresses shall
14	not suffice to establish status as a "local business." To qualify as a "local business" or "LBE" a
15	business must establish that it has been located and doing business in San Francisco for at least six
16	months preceding its application for certification as a local business.
17	"Lower-tier subcontracting" shall mean any agreement or other arrangement between a
18	subcontractor and a person as defined herein where it is agreed that said person shall perform any
19	term, condition or obligation imposed by the subcontract upon the subcontractor.
20	"Minority," "minorities," or "minority person" shall mean members of one of the following
21	ethnic groups: Asians (defined as Chinese, Japanese, Koreans, Pacific Islanders, Samoans, Filipinos,
22	Asian Indians, and Southeast Asians), Blacks, and Latinos (defined as Mexicans, Puerto Ricans,
23	Cubans, Central or South Americans).
24	—"Minority Business Enterprise (MBE)" shall mean an economically disadvantaged local
25	business which is an independent and continuing business for profit, performs a commercially useful

1	function, and is owned and controlled by one or more minority persons residing in the United States or
2	its territories.
3	—"Miscellaneous professional services" shall mean all professional services except legal,
4	architect/engineer, computer systems, management consulting and medical services.
5	"Office" or "offices" shall mean a fixed and established place where work is carried on of a
6	clerical, administrative, professional or production nature directly pertinent to the business being
7	certified. A temporary location or movable property or one that was established to oversee a project
8	such as a construction project office does not qualify as an "office" under the Ordinance.
9	"Owned," for purposes of determining whether a business is a minority business enterprise or
10	woman business enterprise, shall mean that the minorities or women as the context requires, shall
11	possess an ownership interest of at least 51 percent of the business, and shall:
12	— (1) Possess incidents of ownership, such as an interest in profit and loss, equal to at least
13	the required ownership interest percentage; and
14	— (2) Contribute capital, equipment and expertise to the business equal to at least the
15	required ownership percentage.
16	-Ownership of an individual seeking MBE or WBE certification shall be measured as though
17	the applicant's ownership is not subject to the community property interest of a spouse, if both spouses
18	certify that (a) only the woman or minority spouse participates in the management of the business and
19	(b) the nonparticipating spouse relinquishes control over his/her community property interest in the
20	subject business; or both spouses have bona fide management and control of the business.
21	-"Participation commitment" shall mean the targeted level of MBE/WBE subcontractor
22	participation that each prime public works/construction contractor or professional service provider ha
23	designated in its bid.
24	- "Participation goals" shall mean the targeted levels of City-wide MBE/WBE participation in

City prime contracts that reflect the relevant share of MBEs or WBEs in a given industry or profession

1	referred to as "percent availability" in the utilization indices contained in Appendix X to this
2	Ordinance.
3	"Percent availability": see "Participation goals."
4	"Person" includes one or more individuals, partnerships, associations, organizations, trade of
5	professional associations, corporations, cooperatives, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in
6	bankruptcy, receivers, or any group of persons, including any official, agent or employee of the City
7	and County of San Francisco.
8	"Professional services contract" shall mean agreements for the procurement of legal,
9	architect/engineer, computer systems, management consulting, medical services and miscellaneous
10	professional services.
11	"Public works/construction contract" shall mean agreements for the construction,
12	reconstruction or repair of public buildings, streets, utilities or other public works or improvements.
13	"Set aside" when referring to a contract or project shall mean a procurement or contract
14	award process where competition for a contract or project is limited to MBEs, WBEs and/or joint
15	ventures with MBE/WBEs.
16	"Subcontractor" shall mean any business providing goods or services to a contractor for
17	profit, if such goods or services are procured or used in fulfillment of the contractor's obligations
18	arising from a contract with the City and County of San Francisco.
19	"Subcontractor participation goals" shall mean the targeted level of MBE/WBE subcontractor
20	participation designated by the Director for prime public works/construction and professional services
21	contracts.
22	"Woman Business Enterprise (WBE)" shall mean an economically disadvantaged local
23	business which is an independent and continuing business for profit, performs a commercially useful
24	function and is owned and controlled by one or more women residing in the United States or its
25	territories.

1 "Woman/Minority Man Business Enterprise (W/MBE)" shall mean an economically 2 disadvantaged local business which meets the definition of an MBE or WBE, except that the aggregate 3 ownership interest of the woman and the minority man equals or exceeds 51 percent of the business. An W/MBE shall qualify and be deemed by a department an MBE or WBE, but not both, for purposes of 4 5 this Ordinance. Any reference in this Ordinance to MBE or WBE includes a W/MBE. 6 7 SEC. 12D.6. POWERS AND DUTIES OF THE COMMISSION AND THE DIRECTOR. 8 -(A) In addition to the duties and powers given to the Human Rights Commission elsewhere, 9 the Commission shall: 10 1. Collect and analyze relevant data which will assist the Board of Supervisors in determining whether race- or gender-conscious remedies are appropriate and necessary for contracts 11 12 not subject to or ethnic groups not afforded the race- and gender-conscious bid preferences of this 13 ordinance. The Commission shall periodically report the results of this study to this Board; 2. Levy sanctions as specified in Section 12D.8(B)(7); 14 15 3. When necessary, subpoena persons and records, books and documents for a proceeding 16 of the Commission or an investigation by the Director conducted to further the purposes of this ordinance; 17 18 4. Amend existing rules and regulations establishing standards and procedures for effectively carrying out this ordinance. The rules and regulations shall provide for administrative 19 20 procedures which will allow a business to prove and the Commission to recommend to this Board that 21 the ordinance's remedial measures should not be applied to an industry or profession because MBE/WBE participation in City prime contracts has reached parity with their numbers in the relevant 22 23 business community and MBE/WBEs no longer suffer from a discrimination-induced competitive 24 disadvantage in the applicable industry or profession. The regulations shall also provide a mechanism

for contractors to seek a determination by the Director that a MBE or WBE may not be granted a race-

1 or gender-conscious bid preference where it is demonstrated that the MBE or WBE's bid price is not 2 attributable to the effects of past discrimination. 3 -(B) In addition to the duties and powers given to the Director elsewhere, the Director shall have the following duties and powers: 4 5 1. Through appropriately promulgated procedures, certify businesses as bona fide 6 MBEs/WBEs/LBEs. These procedures shall provide that any business seeking certification as a local 7 business shall meet the definition of a LBE and possess or establish all of the following: (1) business 8 cards for the San Francisco office; (2) business stationery for the San Francisco office; (3) written 9 agreement for occupancy of the San Francisco office; (4) that the business is listed in an appropriate business buyers guide such as a telephone yellow pages listing San Francisco based businesses; (5) 10 that business is transacted in the San Francisco office; (6) a conspicuously displayed business sign at 11 12 the San Francisco business premises except where the business operates out of a residence; and (7) the 13 office is appropriately equipped for the type of business for which certification as a LBE is sought. 14 Except where the Director cannot certify a business because the business has not been 15 established in San Francisco for the requisite six months, whenever the Director denies an application 16 for or revokes the certification of a business as a MBE, WBE, LBE because the business is not a bona 17 fide MBE, WBE, LBE, the Director shall inform the aggrieved business in writing when the business 18 will be eligible to reapply for certification. The Director shall require a business to wait at least six 19 months but not more than two years after the denial or revocation before reapplying to the Director for 20 certification as a MBE, WBE or LBE. Except as provided in Section 12D.14(C), the Director's denial 21 or revocation of certification of a business as a MBE, WBE, LBE shall not be appealable to the Commission; 22 23 2. Annually, and more often if he deems necessary, analyze the most recently available 24 data on "percent availability" of MBEs and WBEs in the various industries and professions identified in

the utilization indices set forth in Appendix X to this ordinance and the Human Relations Commission's

1	1992 Sunset Report. Applying statistically sound methods of analysis, the Director shall identify areas
2	of contracting where the City or its departments are failing to meet the participation goals to such an
3	extent that an inference of discrimination can be made. In addition, the Director shall identify areas of
4	contracting where the City is meeting and/or exceeding participation goals to such an extent that the
5	MBE or WBE bid preferences can no longer be justified. The results of this study shall be included in
6	the Commission's annual report required by Section 12D.15(C).
7	Not later than March 1st of each fiscal year, the Director shall transmit to the Board of
8	Supervisors proposed amendments to this ordinance and the utilization indices necessitated by the data
9	he has collected and analyzed;
10	3. By July 1st of each fiscal year subject to this ordinance, inform the Controller of the
11	data each department is required to provide the Controller on each contract award. This data shall
12	form the basis of the Commission's report to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors and the public on
13	the participation of MBEs and WBEs on City prime contracts subject to the ordinance;
14	4. Provide information and other assistance to MBEs and WBEs to increase their ability to
15	compete effectively for the award of City contracts;
16	5. Assist the City to increase participation by MBEs and WBEs in City contracts;
17	6. Continue to develop and strengthen education and training programs for MBEs and
18	WBEs and City contract awarding personnel;
19	7. Where after determining that a department, despite its good-faith efforts and application
20	of the bid preference(s), has failed substantially to eliminate the exclusion of MBEs and/or WBEs from
21	City contracting, the Director, after consulting with the department responsible for the project(s), may
22	request the Contract Review Committee established in Section 12D.8.(A)(3) to review and approve the
23	proposed project(s) for a set aside;
24	8. Work with the Controller and representatives of City departments to implement a City-
25	wide prompt-payment policy requiring that MBEs, WBEs and LBEs be paid by the City within 60 days

1	of the date on which the City receives an invoice from an MBE, WBE or LBE for work performed for
2	the City.
3	(C) The requirements of this ordinance are separate from those imposed by the United States
4	or the State of California as a condition of financial assistance or otherwise; however, the Director
5	may authorize the substitution of such State or federal Minority Business Enterprise and Women
6	Business Enterprise requirements for the requirements of this ordinance whenever such State or federal
7	requirements are substantially the same as those of this ordinance.
8	(D) The Director, with the approval of the Commission, may enter into cooperative
9	agreements with agencies, public and private, concerned with increasing the utilization of MBEs and
10	WBEs in government contracting, subject to the approval of the Board of Supervisors of the City and
11	County of San Francisco.
12	
13	SEC. 12D.7. POWERS AND DUTIES OF THE CONTROLLER.
14	(A) In addition to the duties given to the Controller elsewhere, the Controller shall work
15	cooperatively with the Director to assemble and maintain the data the Director advises are necessary
16	to form the basis of the Commission's report to the Mayor, Board of Supervisors and the public on the
17	participation of MBEs and WBEs in City prime contracts.
18	(B) The Controller shall not certify the award of any contract subject to this ordinance until
19	the department requesting certification of the award of the contract has provided the Controller with

-(C) It is the City's policy that MBEs, WBEs and LBEs should be paid by the City within 30

days of the date on which the City receives an invoice from an MBE, WBE or LBE for work performed

for the City. The Controller shall work with the Director and representatives of City departments to

the information the Director advises is necessary under this ordinance.

implement this City-wide prompt-payment policy.

20

21

22

23

24

1 SEC. 12D.8. POWERS AND DUTIES OF THE MAYOR, DEPARTMENTS OR CONTRACT 2 AWARDING AUTHORITIES. 3 -(A) In addition to the duties given to the Mayor elsewhere, the Mayor shall: 1. By July 1st of each fiscal year subject to this ordinance, issue notices to all City 4 departments informing them of their duties under this ordinance. The notice shall contain the following 5 6 information: (1) the City-wide MBE/WBE participation goals that departments are expected to use 7 good-faith efforts to attain during the fiscal year and that a department's failure to use good-faith 8 efforts to attain the MBE/WBE participation goals shall be reported to the Board of Supervisors in the 9 Commission's annual report; and (2) the data each department is required to provide the Controller on 10 each contract award; 2. Coordinate and enforce cooperation and compliance by all departments with this 11 12 ordinance; 13 3. Establish a three-member Contract Review Committee who shall have the authority to 14 review contracts proposed by the Director or a department to be set aside, where competition for these 15 contracts is limited to MBEs, WBEs and/or joint ventures with MBE/WBEs. The three-member Contract 16 Review Committee shall be composed of the HRC Director, an individual appointed by the Board of 17 Supervisors and an individual appointed by the Mayor. The Board and the Mayor shall appoint 18 individuals who are knowledgeable about contracting practices of the City and of the industry or 19 profession affected by the set-aside of the contract; 20 4. Establish a three-member Subcontracting Goals Committee which shall have the 21 authority to review decisions by the Director denying a contractor's request, pursuant to Section 12D.9(D)-(4) or 12D.11(A)-(6), to waive or reduce subcontractor participation goals. The three-22 23 member Subcontracting Goals Committee shall be composed of an individual appointed by the

Commission, an individual appointed by the Board of Supervisors and an individual appointed by the

Mayor. The Commission, the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor shall appoint individuals who are

24

	Movieuzeubie about the City's contracting and subcontracting practices and the relevant construction
2	or professional service industry. The Commission, the Board of Supervisors, or the Mayor may not
3	appoint to the Subcontracting Goals Committee the Director or any employee of the Human Rights
4	Commission.
5	(B) Contract awarding authorities or in the case of a professional services contract, the
6	department making the contract award recommendation, shall:
7	— 1. Use good-faith efforts to solicit and obtain quotes, bids or proposals from MBEs and
8	WBEs on all solicitations, or document their unavailability;
9	2. Unless otherwise indicated in this ordinance and except where prohibited by State or
10	Federal law or regulation, extend a preference in all bids and contracts and in the composition of
11	rating scales as follows: (1) a five-percent preference to (i) a local business or (ii) a joint venture with
12	local MBE or local WBE participation which equals or exceeds 35 percent but is under 40 percent; or
13	(iii) where a joint venture is composed of only local businesses with no local MBE or WBE
14	participation or where the local MBE or local WBE participation is less than 35 percent; (2) a seven
15	and one-half percent (7.5%) preference to (i) a joint venture with local MBE or WBE participation
16	which equals or exceeds 40 percent but is less than 51 percent; (3) a 10-percent preference to (i) a
17	local MBE or local WBE or (ii) a joint venture with local MBE or local WBE participation which
18	equals or exceeds 51 percent.
19	— A joint venture shall receive the aforementioned appropriate bid preference when the
20	MBE or WBE is an active partner in the joint venture and performs work, manages the job and takes
21	financial risks in proportion to the required level of participation stated in the bid documents and is
22	responsible for a clearly defined portion of the work to be performed, and shares in the ownership,
23	control, management responsibilities, risks, and profits of the joint venture. The portion of the MBE or
24	WBE joint venturer's work shall be set forth in detail separately from the work to be performed by the

1	nonMBE or nonWBE joint venture partner. The MBE or WBE joint venturer's portion of the contract
2	must be assigned a commercially reasonable dollar value;
3	- 3. Arrange contracting by size and type of work to be performed so as most effectively to
4	enhance the opportunity for participation by MBEs and WBEs to the maximum extent feasible. As soon
5	as practical before soliciting quotes, bids or proposals, all contract awarding authorities or in the case
6	of a professional services contract, the department making the contract award recommendation, shall
7	submit all large proposals to the Director for review. The purpose of the Director's review is to
8	determine whether the proposed project can be divided into smaller projects so as to enhance the
9	opportunity for participation by MBEs and WBEs in the project. For purposes of this subsection, the
10	term "large project" shall mean the following: (1) any public works/construction project estimated to
11	cost more than \$5,000,000; (2) any professional services contract estimated to cost more than \$50,000.
12	If the Director determines, after consulting with the contract awarding authority or department
13	responsible for the project, that the project can be divided into smaller projects, the contract awarding
14	authority or department shall comply with the Director's determination and issue the solicitation for
15	quotes, bids or proposals in accordance with the Director's determination;
16	4. Adjust bid bonding and insurance requirements as recommended by the City Risk
17	Manager in his May 2, 1989 "Contract Insurance Manual";
18	5. Utilize a revolving fund as may be established by the City to assist MBEs and WBEs to
19	meet bonding, insurance and other fee-related requirements;
20	6. Submit to a central office all current bids, requests for proposals, and solicitations with
21	sufficient lead time to provide adequate notice and opportunity to MBEs and WBEs to participate;
22	7. Impose such sanctions or take such other actions as are designed to ensure compliance
23	with the provisions of this ordinance, which shall include, but are not limited to:
24	— (a) Refusal to grant the award of a contract;
25	——————————————————————————————————————

1	——————————————————————————————————————
2	— (d) Order the revision of a contract based upon a material breach of contract provisions
3	pertaining to MBE or WBE participation;
4	(e) Disqualification of a bidder, contractor, subcontractor, or other business from
5	eligibility for providing goods or services to the City for a period not to exceed five years, with a right
6	to review and reconsideration by the Commission after two years upon a showing of corrective action
7	indicating violations are not likely to recur;
8	8. Not award any contract to a person or business which is disqualified from doing
9	business with the City under the provisions of this ordinance, nor shall any contract be awarded to any
10	person or business which is disqualified from doing business with any governmental agency based on
11	failure to comply with Minority or Women Business Enterprise or contract compliance requirements
12	which are substantially the same as those of this ordinance;
13	— 9. Designate a staff person to be responsible for responding to the Director and
14	Commission and to the requirements of this ordinance;
15	— 10. Maintain accurate records for each contract awarded, its dollar value, the nature of
16	the goods or services to be provided, the name of the contractor awarded the contract, the efforts made
17	by a construction, architect/engineer contractor to solicit bids from and award subcontracts to MBEs
18	and WBEs;
19	— 11. Where feasible, provide technical assistance to MBEs and WBEs to increase their
20	ability to compete effectively for the award of City contracts;
21	— 12. Work with the Director and the Controller to implement a City-wide prompt-payment
22	policy requiring that MBEs, WBEs and LBEs be paid by the City within 30 days of the date on which
23	the City receives an invoice from an MBE, WBE or LBE for work performed for the City;
24	

1	— 13. Provide the Director with written notice of all contract modifications which result in an
2	increase or decrease of the contract's dollar amount of more than 10 percent. Such notice shall be
3	provided within 30 days of each such contract modification.
4	(C) Subject to the prior approval of the Director, contract awarding authorities or
5	departments may invite, encourage or request businesses to joint venture on any contract to promote
6	MBE or WBE participation.
7	(D) For the purpose of determining Minority and Women Business Enterprise participation:
8	— Contracts awarded to joint ventures in which one or more MBEs or WBEs are combined
9	with one or more businesses which are not Minority or Women Business Enterprises shall be deemed to
10	be awarded to Minority or Women Business Enterprises only to the extent of the Minority or Women
11	Business Enterprises' participation in the joint venture.
12	(E) All contracts subject to this ordinance shall include the following requirements, in
13	addition to such other requirements as may be set forth elsewhere:
14	1. Bidders and contractors on all contracts shall be required to sign before a notary an
15	affidavit prepared by the City Attorney, declaring under penalty of perjury their intention fully to
16	comply with the provisions of the ordinance;
17	2. Contracts shall incorporate this ordinance by reference and shall provide that the wilful
18	failure of any bidder or contractor to comply with any of its requirements shall be deemed a material
19	breach of contract;
20	3. Contracts shall provide that in the event that the Director finds that any bidder,
21	subcontractor or contractor wilfully fails to comply with any of the provisions of this ordinance, rules
22	and regulations implementing the ordinance or contract provisions pertaining to MBE or WBE
23	participation the bidder, subcontractor or contractor shall be liable for liquidated damages for each
24	contract in an amount equal to the bidder's or contractor's net profit on the contract, or 10 percent of
25	the total amount of the contract or \$1,000, whichever is greatest, said amount to be determined by the

1	Director pursuant to Section 12D.14(C). All contracts shall also contain a provision in which the
2	bidder, subcontractor or contractor acknowledges and agrees that the liquidated damages assessed
3	shall be payable to the City upon demand and may be set off against any monies due to the bidder,
4	subcontractor or contractor from any contract with the City;
5	4. Contracts shall require bidders, contractors and subcontractors to maintain records
6	necessary for monitoring their compliance with this ordinance;
7	5. Contracts shall require that during the term of the contract, the prime contractor shall
8	fulfill the MBE and WBE participation commitments submitted with their bid;
9	6. Contracts shall require prime contractors to include a contract provision in any
10	subcontract with an MBE or WBE which provides MBE and WBE subcontractors with a remedy for a
11	prime contractor's noncompliance with his or her commitment to utilize MBE and WBE subcontractors.
12	This contractual provision shall include an agreement by the prime contractor to compensate any MBE
13	or WBE subcontractor if the prime contractor does not fulfill its commitment to utilize the MBE or
14	WBE subcontractor. This contractual provision shall also state that it is enforceable in a court of
15	competent jurisdiction;
16	7. Whenever contract supplements, amendments or change orders are made which
17	cumulatively increase the total dollar value of a construction contract by more than 10 percent, the
18	contractor shall comply with those MBE and WBE provisions of this ordinance which applied to the
19	original contract with respect to the supplement, amendment, or change order;
20	8. Contracts in which subcontracting is utilized shall prohibit back contracting to the
21	prime contractor or lower-tier subcontracting for any purpose inconsistent with the provisions of this
22	ordinance, rules and regulations adopted pursuant to this ordinance, or contract provisions pertaining
23	to MBE and WBE utilization.
24	9. Contracts in which subcontracting is utilized shall require the prime
25	contractor/consultant to pay its MBE or WBE subcontractor/subconsultants within three working days

1	after receiving payment from the City unless the prime contractor/consultant notifies the Director in
2	writing within 10 working days prior to payment that there is a bona fide dispute between the prime
3	contractor/consultant and the MBE or WBE subcontractor/subconsultant. The Director may, upon
4	making a determination that a bona fide dispute exists between the prime contractor/consultant and
5	subcontractor, waive this contract requirement. In making the determination as to whether or not a
6	bona fide dispute exists, the Director will not consider the merits of the dispute.
7	(F) All contracts or other agreements between the City and County of San Francisco and
8	persons or entities, public or private, where such persons or entities receive money from or through th
9	City for the purpose of contracting with businesses to perform public improvements, shall require such
10	persons or entities to comply with the provisions of this ordinance in awarding and administering such
11	contracts, except where prohibited by State or Federal law or regulation.
12	(G) Where a department can demonstrate, despite its good-faith efforts and application of
13	the bid preference(s), that it has failed substantially to eliminate the exclusion of MBEs or WBEs from
14	City contracting, the department, after consulting with the Director, may request the Contract Review
15	Committee established in Section 12D.8(A)(3) to review and approve the proposed project(s) selected
16	by the department for a set-aside.
17	(H) City department heads and commissioners shall attend a one-hour mandatory training
18	session on an annual basis. The training session shall be organized and conducted by the Director and
19	shall inform City department heads and commissioners of the requirements of this ordinance.
20	
21	SEC. 12D.9. ADDITIONAL FINDINGS SUPPORTING RACE- AND GENDER-
22	CONSCIOUS BID PREFERENCES AND SUBCONTRACTOR PARTICIPATION
23	GOALSWORKS/CONSTRUCTION; SUBCONTRACTING PROGRAM.
24	(A) In addition to the general findings set forth in Sections 12D.2, 12D.2-1, 12D.2-2, 12D.2
25	3, 12D.2-4, 12D.2-5 and 12D.2-6 and based upon the record before this Board, the Board hereby

makes these additional findings in support of the race- and gender-conscious bid preference provisions

and subcontractor participation goals for public works/construction contracts:

1. In Ordinance No. 139-84 this Board identified discriminatory procurement practices against MBEs and WBEs in the award of prime public works/construction contracts.

2. The evidence before this Board relating to the award of prime public works/construction contracts for fiscal year 1987-1988 reflects that MBEs (each ethnic group identified as a minority) and WBEs continue to be awarded contract dollars in amounts that are disproportionately lower than the available number of MBE and WBE prime public works/construction contractors willing and able to perform City construction work. The evidence before this Board relating to the participation of MBE/WBE prime and subcontractors on City construction contracts for fiscal year 1989-1990 reflects that MBEs (each ethnic group identified as a minority) and WBEs continue to be awarded contract dollars in amounts that are disproportionately lower than the available number of MBE and WBE prime and subcontractors willing and able to perform construction work. The statistical results are the same for MBEs for fiscal year 1990-91. These results cannot be attributed to chance. In light of the testimony before this Board and the Commission in 1983, 1984, 1988, 1989 and 1992, and the Redevelopment Agency in 1991, this Board finds that these results can be attributed in part to discriminatory procurement practices and in part to discrimination in the local construction industry against MBEs and WBEs that is manifested in and perpetuated and exacerbated by the City's procurement practices.

3. The evidence before this Board supports the conclusion that MBEs and WBEs continue to be disadvantaged by discriminatory practices when competing for City prime construction contracts. The weight of the testimony and other evidence before this Board supports the conclusion that nonminority construction contractors competing for or doing business with the City and/or in the public sector limit the participation of MBE and WBE subcontractors on construction contracts by engaging in discriminatory business practices.

4. Race-neutral measures employed by the City in the past for those construction contracts
subject to the "lowest, reliable, responsible bidder" standard did not prevent the discriminatory
practices from occurring. Since February 1989 the City has pursued race-neutral measures to facilitate
MBE/WBE subcontractor participation in construction contracts. From about February 1st to June 30,
1989 the City adopted a race-neutral disadvantaged business program for its construction contracts.
Since July 1, 1989 the City has urged prime construction contractors to voluntarily extend
subcontracting opportunities to MBE/WBE subcontractors on City construction contracts. These race-
and gender-neutral measures employed by the City have not been successful in increasing MBE/WBE
subcontractor participation in City construction contracts.
5. The Board is granting a competitive advantage, the bid preference, to prime MBEs and
WBEs to offset the identified competitive disadvantage caused by the City's discriminatory procurement
practices.
6. The evidence before this Board relating to the award of prime public works/construction
contracts for fiscal year 1990-1991 reflects that WBEs have improved their participation in City
construction contracts. However, based on the testimony and other evidence before this Board, the
Board concludes that remedial action continues to be necessary for WBEs competing for construction
contracts to overcome past discrimination in the award of construction prime and sub-contracts. The
Director shall closely monitor the participation of WBEs in construction contracts and report the
results of such monitoring to the Board pursuant to Section 12D.6(B)2.
(B) For all public works/construction contracts, the contract awarding authority shall
furnish the Director with an informational copy of all bid conditions and requests for proposals, along
with a statement identifying all funds provided by any other governmental agency which will be used in
payment of the contract.
(C) Architect and engineer services provided to the City in connection with a public
works/construction contract are governed by Section 12D.11.

1	(D) MBE/WBE Subcontracting Program. For all public works/construction contracts in
2	which the contract awarding authority reasonably anticipates will include subcontractor participation,
3	the contract awarding authority, prior to the solicitation of bids, shall provide the Director with a
4	proposed job scope, and may submit written recommendations to the Director regarding MBE and
5	WBE subcontractor participation goals to be set for the contract. The Director shall set the
6	participation goals pursuant to Section 12D.9.(D)-(1).
7	-(D)- (1) Upon receipt of a proposed job scope and/or a written recommendation from a
8	contracting awarding authority pursuant to Section 12D.9.(D), the Director shall set the MBE and
9	WBE participation goals for each construction contract based upon the following factors:
10	— 1. The extent of subcontracting opportunities presented by the contract;
11	— 2. The availability of MBE/WBE subcontractors capable of providing goods and services
12	on the construction contract.
13	- The Director shall set the MBE and WBE participation goals within 10 working days of the
14	date the Director receives from a contract awarding authority a proposed job scope and/or written
15	recommendation. If the Director fails to act within 10 days, and if the contract awarding authority
16	submitted to the Director recommended goals pursuant to Section 12D.9.(D), the recommended goals
17	shall be deemed approved by the Director, provided that the recommended goals are based upon the
18	factors identified in Subsections (D)-(1) 1 and 2 above.
19	-(D)-(2) Bid conditions shall require bidders on prime construction contracts to do the
20	following:
21	— 1. Demonstrate in their bid that they have used good-faith efforts to utilize MBE and WBE
22	subcontractors;
23	— 2. Identify the particular MBEs and WBEs subcontractors to be utilized in performing the
24	contract, specifying for each the dollar value of the participation, the type of work to be performed and
25	such information as may reasonably be required to determine the responsiveness of the bid.

1	Except as provided in Section 12D.9.(D)-(5), bids not meeting the requirements of Section
2	12D.9.(D)-(2) shall be declared non-responsive.
3	(D)-(3) A contract awarding authority may request that the Director waive or reduce the
4	MBE and WBE subcontractor participation goals on construction contracts by submitting the reasons
5	therefor in writing to the Director prior to the solicitation of bids.
6	(D)-(4) A bidder or contractor may request that the Director waive or reduce the amount of
7	MBE or WBE subcontractor participation goals on a construction contract by submitting the reasons
8	therefor in writing to the contract awarding authority with its bid.
9	(D)-(5) The Director may grant the request for waiver or reduction made pursuant to
10	Sections 12D.9(D)-(3) and (D)-(4) upon a determination that:
11	1. The reasonable and necessary requirements of the construction contract render
12	subcontracting or the participation of businesses other than bidder unfeasible;
13	— 2. Qualified MBEs and/or WBEs capable of providing the goods or services required by
14	the contract are unavailable, despite the prime contractor's or the department's good-faith efforts to
15	locate MBEs and WBEs to meet the participation goals; or
16	3. The available MBEs and WBEs have given price quotes which are unreasonably high in
17	that they exceed competitive levels beyond amounts which can be attributed to cover costs inflated by
18	the present effects of discrimination.
19	(D)-(6) Whenever the Director denies a contractor's request to waive or reduce the
20	participation goals, the contractor may appeal that denial to the Subcontracting Goals Committee
21	established pursuant to Section 12D.8(A)4. The Subcontracting Goals Committee's decision on the
22	request shall be final. In reviewing the Director's denial of a contractor's request to waive or reduce
23	participation goals, the Subcontracting Goals Committee shall consider the extent of subcontracting
24	opportunities presented by the contract and the availability of MBE/WBE subcontractors capable of
25	providing goods and services on the construction contract.

1	— The Subcontracting Goals Committee may overrule the Director and grant the request for
2	waiver or reduction only upon finding that:
3	1. The reasonable and necessary requirements of the construction contract render
4	subcontracting or the participation of businesses other than bidder unfeasible;
5	2. Qualified MBEs and/or WBEs capable of providing the goods or services required by
6	the contract are unavailable, despite the prime contractor's or the department's good-faith efforts to
7	locate MBEs and WBEs to meet the participation goals; or
8	3. The available MBEs and WBEs have given price quotes which are unreasonably high in
9	that they exceed competitive levels beyond amounts which can be attributed to cover costs inflated by
10	the present effects of discrimination.
11	(D)-(7) Prior to entering into any prime construction contract, the contract awarding
12	authority shall require bidders on the contracts to contact all MBEs and WBEs before the MBE/WBEs
13	are listed as subcontractors in the bid.
14	(D)-(8) During the term of the contract, any failure to comply with the level of MBE and
15	WBE subcontractor participation specified in the contract shall be deemed a material breach of
16	contract.
17	
18	SEC. 12D.10. ADDITIONAL FINDINGS SUPPORTING RACE- AND GENDER-
19	CONSCIOUS BID PREFERENCES CONTRACTS.
20	(A) In addition to the general findings set forth in Sections 12D.2, 12D.2-1, 12D.2-2, 12D.2-
21	3, 12D.2-4, 12D.2-5 and 12D.2-6 and based upon the record before this Board, the Board hereby
22	makes these additional findings in support of the race- and gender-conscious bid preferences for
23	purchasing contracts:
24	1. In Ordinance No. 139-84 this Board identified discriminatory procurement practices in
25	the award of purchasing contracts.

1	2. The evidence before this Board relating to the award of contracts for fiscal year 198/-
2	1988 reflects that MBEs (each ethnic group listed as a minority) and WBEs continue to be awarded
3	contract dollars in certain categories of purchasing contracts in dollar amounts that are
4	disproportionately lower than the available number of MBEs and WBEs in the private sector. These
5	results cannot be attributed to chance. In light of the testimony before this Board and the Commission
6	in 1983, 1984, 1988 and 1989, this Board finds that these results can only be attributed to
7	discriminatory procurement practices.
8	- 3. The evidence before this Board supports the conclusion that MBEs and WBEs continue
9	to be disadvantaged by discriminatory practices when competing for the aforementioned purchasing
10	contracts.
11	4. Race-neutral measures employed by the City in the past for those purchasing contracts
12	subject to the "lowest, reliable, responsible bidder" standard did not prevent the discriminatory
13	practices from occurring.
14	5. The Board is granting a competitive advantage, the bid preference, to MBEs and WBEs
15	to offset the identified competitive disadvantage caused by the City's discriminatory procurement
16	practices.
17	— 6. The evidence before this Board relating to the award of purchasing contracts for fiscal
18	years 1989-1990 and 1990-1991 reflects that certain minority groups have improved their participation
19	in City purchasing contracts. However, based on the testimony and other evidence before this Board,
20	the Board concludes that remedial action continues to be necessary to enable these groups to overcome
21	past discrimination in the award of purchasing contracts. The Director shall closely monitor the
22	participation of these groups in purchasing contracts and report the results of such monitoring to the
23	Board pursuant to Section 12D.6(B)(2).
24	

1	—(B)—Equipment and supplies contracts or general services contracts as defined nerein
2	awarded by the purchaser shall be subject to the race- and gender-conscious bid preferences of this
3	ordinance.
4	(C) In addition to the duties given the purchaser elsewhere, the purchaser shall maintain,
5	with the assistance of the Director, a current list of Minority and Woman Business Enterprises certified
6	by the Commission to provide each of those commodities or services subject to this ordinance which the
7	purchaser indicates are required by the City. The purchaser shall notify the Director prior to
8	solicitation of bids or quotations whenever no such certified businesses are available for a contract
9	subject to the race- and gender-conscious bid preferences of this ordinance, unless the Director waives
10	such notification based on the known unavailability of such qualified businesses to perform a particular
11	contract. The Director shall attempt to identify qualified businesses, and if successful, shall notify the
12	purchaser of their availability; the purchaser shall provide such MBEs and WBEs every practical
13	opportunity to submit bids or quotations.
14	(D) The purchaser shall also maintain a central office where all bids, requests for proposals
15	and solicitations will be listed and kept current.
16	
17	SEC. 12D.11. ADDITIONAL FINDINGS SUPPORTING THE RACE- AND GENDER-
18	CONSCIOUS BID PREFERENCES AND PROFESSIONAL SERVICES.
19	(A) In addition to the general findings set forth in Sections 12D.2, 12D.2-1, 12D.2-2, 12D.2-
20	3, 12D.2-4, 12D.2-5 and 12D.2-6 and based upon the record before this Board, the Board hereby
21	makes additional findings in support of the race- and gender-conscious bid preferences for the
22	following specifically enumerated professional services contracts:
23	Legal, architect and engineer, computer systems, management consulting, medical services.
24	1. In Ordinance No. 139-84 this Board identified discriminatory procurement practices
25	against MBEs and WBEs in the award of prime professional services contracts.

for fiscal year 1987-1988 reflects that Black law firms continue to be awarded contract dollars in
amounts that are disproportionately lower than the available numbers of Black law firms willing and
able to perform legal services for the City. These results cannot be attributed to chance. This Board
finds that these results can only be attributed to discriminatory procurement practices.
— The statistical evidence before this Board reflects that there are few Asian, Black, Latino
and woman owned law firms certified by the Director to provide legal services to the City. An April
1988 San Francisco Bar Association study concludes that there is significant disparity between equally
qualified white and minority lawyers in terms of income, current employment positions, hiring,
promotion and retention in San Francisco. The study also concludes that a high percentage of white
and minority lawyers believe that racial discrimination plays a role in the employment practices of San
Francisco legal employers. The report of the Judicial Council Advisory Committee on Gender Bias in
the Courts on Civil Litigation and Courtroom Demeanor concludes, among other points, that
opportunities for advancement and promotion in the legal profession appear less available to women
than to men. In view of these studies, the Board finds that minority lawyers are excluded from
employment opportunities due to discriminatory employment practices in San Francisco and that
women lawyers are excluded from employment opportunities due to discriminatory practices in
California. These employment practices prevent minority and women lawyers from gaining the

2. The evidence before this Board relating to the award of prime legal services contracts

The City is granting Asian, Black, Latino and woman owned law firms a bid preference pursuant to Section 12D.8.(B)2 to encourage majority law firms to joint venture with these minority and woman owned law firms when competing for the award of City contracts for legal services.

Department shall also grant a seven and one half percent (7.5%) bid/rating preference to any majority

necessary experience that would enable minority and women lawyers to compete for City legal services

contracts. The City in its award of legal services contracts will become a passive participant in those

practices.

owned law firm based in San Francisco that enters into an affirmative action program with the Director and agrees to take affirmative action to perform the following: (1) increase the recruitment, hiring, retention and advancement to partnership of minority lawyers within the firm; (2) have minority lawyers within the firm capable of providing the required services included among those who represent the City; (3) maintain and expand existing joint ventures or other formal associations with minority owned law firms, and retain and otherwise enter into joint ventures or other formal associations with minority owned law firms with which the firm does not currently have such a relationship, on legal matters of the law firm clientele calling for such a relationship; (4) request all law firms which serve as associate counsel, co-counsel or local counsel to the firm to adopt in principle these goals; (5) refer conflict of interest situations to minority owned law firms; and (6) take such additional steps as are practicable to foster and enhance relations between the majority firm and minority owned law firms, including but not limited to providing educational and training opportunities in furtherance of the objectives of this Ordinance as it relates to the legal profession. The affirmative action program developed pursuant to this subsection shall be effective for a period of 12 months after the date of agreement in writing by the law firm and the Director or the term of the legal services contract, whichever term is greater.

— 3. The evidence before this Board relating to the award of prime architect and engineering contracts for fiscal year 1987-1988 reflects that Black and woman owned architectural/engineering firms continue to be awarded contract dollars in amounts that are disproportionately lower than the available numbers of Black and woman owned architectural/engineering firms willing and able to perform these services for the City. The evidence before this Board relating to the award of prime architect and engineering contracts for fiscal year 1989-1990 reflects that Asian owned architectural/engineering firms have been awarded contract dollars in amounts that are disproportionately lower than the available numbers of Asian owned architectural/engineering firms willing and able to perform these services for the City. Given that Asian owned

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

architectural/engineering firms enjoy a relatively large share of this market, these statistically significant results cannot be attributed to chance. This Board finds that these results can only be attributed to discriminatory procurement practices.

The evidence before this Board relating to the award of prime architect and engineering contracts for fiscal year 1989-90 reflects that Latino owned architectural/engineering firms have not been awarded any contract dollars notwithstanding the available numbers of Latino owned architectural/engineering firms willing and able to perform these services for the City. Based on the statistical and other evidence before this Board, the Board concludes that the lack of participation by Latino owned architectural and engineering firms on prime architectural/engineering contracts cannot be attributed to chance. This Board finds that these results can only be attributed to discriminatory procurement practices.

4. The evidence before this Board relating to the award of prime computer systems services contracts for fiscal year 1987-1988 reflects that Asian, Black and woman owned computer systems firms continue to be awarded contract dollars in amounts that are disproportionately lower than the available numbers of Asian, Black and woman owned computer systems firms willing and able to perform these services for the City. These results cannot be attributed to chance. This Board finds that these results can only be attributed to discriminatory procurement practices.

5. The evidence before this Board relating to the award of prime management consulting services contracts for fiscal year 1987-1988 reflects that Asian, Black and woman owned management consulting firms continue to be awarded contract dollars in amounts that are disproportionately lower than the available numbers of Asian, Black and woman owned management consulting firms willing and able to perform these services for the City. These results cannot be attributed to chance. This Board finds that these results can only be attributed to discriminatory procurement practices.

6. The evidence before this Board relating to the award of prime medical services contracts for fiscal year 1987-1988 reflects that Asian, Latino and woman owned medical services

1	firms continue to be awarded contract dollars in amounts that are disproportionately lower than the
2	available numbers of Asian, Latino and woman owned medical services firms willing and able to
3	perform these services for the City. The evidence before this Board relating to the award of prime
4	medical services contracts for fiscal year 1989-90 reflects that Black owned medical services firms are
5	awarded contract dollars in amounts that are disproportionately lower than the available numbers of
6	Black owned medical services firms willing and able to perform these services for the City. These
7	results cannot be attributed to chance. This Board finds that these results can only be attributed to
8	discriminatory procurement practices.
9	7. The evidence before this Board relating to the award of prime miscellaneous
10	professional services contracts for fiscal year 1989-1990 reflects that Asian, Latino, Black and woman
11	owned firms which provide miscellaneous professional services are awarded contract dollars in
12	amounts that are disproportionately lower than the available numbers of Asian, Latino, Black and
13	woman owned firms willing and able to perform these services for the City. These results cannot be
14	attributed to chance. This Board finds that these results can only be attributed to discriminatory
15	procurement practices.
16	8. The evidence before this Board supports the conclusion that aforementioned MBEs and
17	WBEs are disadvantaged by discriminatory practices when competing for City prime professional
18	services contracts.
19	9. The Board is granting a competitive advantage, the bid preference, to the MBEs and
20	WBEs identified as having been subject to the identified competitive disadvantage caused by the City's
21	discriminatory procurement practices in the award of the aforementioned professional services
22	contracts.
23	— 10. The evidence before this Board relating to the award of professional services contract.
24	for fiscal year 1990-1991 reflects that certain minority groups have improved their participation in
25	certain City professional services contracts. However, based on the testimony and other evidence

before this Board, the Board concludes that remedial action continues to be necessary to enable these groups to overcome past discrimination in the award of professional services contracts. The Director shall closely monitor the participation of these groups in professional services contracts and report the results of such monitoring to the Board pursuant to Section 12D.6(B)2.

-(A)-(1) In addition to the general findings set forth in Section 12D.2 and the findings set forth in 12D.2-2, 12D.2-3, 12D.2-4, 12D.2-5 and 12D.2-6 and 12D.11(A)3, and based upon the record before this Board, the Board hereby makes these additional findings in support of establishing subcontractor participation goals for architectural/engineering contracts:

a. The evidence before this Board relating to the award of architectural and engineering prime contracts and subcontracts for fiscal years 1989-1991 shows that Black, Asian, Latino and woman owned architectural and engineering firms continue to be awarded contract dollars in amounts that are disproportionately lower than the available numbers of Black, Asian, Latino and woman owned architectural and engineering firms willing and able to perform these services for the City. These statistically significant disparities exist despite the fact that Black, Asian, Latino and woman owned firms are entitled to a bid preference on prime architectural and engineering contracts. In light of the testimony before this Board and the Commission in 1983, 1984, 1988, 1989, 1991 and 1992, the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency in 1991, and the San Francisco Unified School District in 1991 and 1992, this Board finds that these results can be attributed in part to discriminatory contracting practices and in part to discrimination in the local architectural and engineering industries against MBE and WBE architects and engineers. This discrimination is manifested in, and perpetuated and exacerbated by, the City's contracting practices.

b. The evidence before this Board supports the conclusion that MBEs and WBEs continue to be disadvantaged by discriminatory practices when competing for City architectural and engineering contracts, and when competing to provide subcontractor services on City architectural and engineering contracts. The weight of the testimony and other evidence before this Board supports the

2	and/or in the private sector limit the participation of MBE and WBE subcontractors on architectural
3	and engineering contracts by engaging in discriminatory business practices.
4	c. Race-neutral measures employed by the City in the past did not prevent the
5	discriminatory practices from occurring. Since February 1989 the City has pursued race-neutral
6	measures to facilitate MBE/WBE subcontractor participation in architectural and engineering
7	contracts. From about February 1st to June 30, 1989 the City adopted a race-neutral disadvantaged
8	business program for its architectural and engineering contracts. Since July 1, 1989 the City has urgea
9	prime architects and engineers to voluntarily extend subcontracting opportunities to MBE/WBE
10	subcontractors on City architectural and engineering contracts. These race- and gender-neutral
11	measures employed by the City have not been successful in increasing MBE/WBE participation in City
12	architectural and engineering contracts.
13	(A)-(2) MBE/WBE Subcontracting Program. For all architectural and engineering contracts
14	which the contract awarding authority reasonably anticipates will include subcontractor participation
15	involving architectural/engineering and related services, the contract awarding authority, prior to
16	requesting proposals, shall provide the Director with a proposed job scope, and may submit written
17	recommendations to the Director regarding MBE and WBE subcontractor participation goals to be set
18	for the contract. The Director shall set the participation goals pursuant to Section 12D.11(A)-(3).
19	(A)-(3) Upon receipt of a proposed job scope and/or a written recommendation from a
20	contract awarding authority pursuant to Section 12D.11(A)-(2), the Director, shall set the MBE and
21	WBE participation goals for each architectural and engineering contract based on the following
22	factors:
23	— 1. The extent of subcontracting opportunities presented by the contract for
24	architectural/engineering and related services;

conclusion that nonminority architects and engineers competing for or doing business with the City

25

1	2. The availability of MBE/WBE subcontractors capable of providing such services on the
2	contract.
3	The Director shall set the MBE and WBE participation goals within 10 working days of the
4	date the Director receives from a contract awarding authority a proposed job scope and/or written
5	recommendation. If the Director fails to act within 10 days, and if the contract awarding authority
6	submitted to the Director recommended goals pursuant to 12D.11(A)-(2), the recommended goals shall
7	be deemed approved by the Director, provided that the recommended goals are based upon the factors
8	identified in this subsection.
9	-(A)-(4) Requests for proposals shall require bidders on architectural and engineering
10	contracts to do the following:
11	— 1. Demonstrate in their proposal that they have used good-faith efforts to utilize MBE and
12	WBE subcontractors;
13	— 2. Identify the particular MBE and WBE subcontractors (which may include lower-tier
14	MBE and WBE subcontractors) to be utilized in performing the contract, specifying for each the dollar
15	value of participation, the type of work to be performed and such information as may reasonably be
16	required to determine the responsiveness of the proposal.
17	— Except as provided in Section 12D.11(A)-(7), proposals not meeting the requirements of
18	Section 12D.11(A)-(4) shall be declared nonresponsive.
19	-(A)-(5) A contract awarding authority may request that the Director waive or reduce the
20	MBE and WBE subcontractor participation goals on architectural and engineering contracts by
21	submitting the reasons therefor in writing to the Director prior to requesting proposals.
22	-(A)-(6) A bidder may request that the Director waive or reduce the MBE and WBE
23	subcontractor participation goals on an architectural or engineering contract by submitting the
24	reasons therefor in writing to the contract awarding authority with its bid.

1	-(A)-(/) The Director may grant the request for waiver or reduction made pursuant to
2	Sections 12D.11(A)-(5) and (A)-(6) upon a determination that:
3	1. The reasonable and necessary requirements of the architectural or engineering contract
4	render subcontracting or the participation of businesses other than the bidder unfeasible;
5	— 2. Qualified MBEs and/or WBEs capable of providing the services required by the contract
6	are unavailable, despite the bidder's or the department's good-faith efforts to locate MBEs and WBEs
7	to meet the participation goals; or
8	— 3. The available MBEs and WBEs have given price quotes which are unreasonably high in
9	that they exceed competitive levels beyond amounts which can be attributed to cover costs inflated by
10	the present effects of discrimination.
11	-(A)-(8) Whenever the Director denies a bidder's request to waive or reduce the participation
12	goals, the bidder may appeal that denial to the Subcontracting Goals Committee established pursuant
13	to Section 12D.8(A)4. The Subcontracting Goals Committee's decision on the request shall be final. In
14	reviewing the Director's denial of a bidder's request to waive or reduce participation goals, the
15	Subcontracting Goals Committee shall consider the extent of subcontracting opportunities presented by
16	the contract and the availability of MBE/WBE subcontractors capable of providing services on the
17	contract.
18	— The Subcontracting Goals Committee may overrule the Director and grant the request for
19	waiver or reduction only upon finding that:
20	— 1. The reasonable and necessary requirements of the contract render subcontracting or the
21	participation of businesses other than bidder unfeasible;
22	— 2. Qualified MBEs and/or WBEs capable of providing the services required by the contract
23	are unavailable, despite the bidder's or the department's good-faith efforts to locate MBEs and WBEs
24	to meet the participation goals; or

1	— 3. The available MBEs and WBEs have given price quotes which are unreasonably high in
2	that they exceed competitive levels beyond amounts which can be attributed to cover costs inflated by
3	the present effects of discrimination.
4	(A)-(9) The contract awarding authority shall require bidders on architectural and
5	engineering contracts to contact all MBEs and WBEs listed as subcontractors in proposals before
6	listing such MBEs and WBEs.
7	(A)-(10) During the term of the contract, any failure to comply with the level of MBE and
8	WBE subcontractor participation specified in the contract shall be deemed a material breach of
9	contract.
10	(A)-(11) In implementing this subcontracting program, the Director may encourage contract
11	awarding authorities and prime contractors to take into consideration when recruiting subcontractors
12	the degree of underutilization of MBEs and WBEs within the specific industries or subindustries called
13	for by the contract.
14	(B) For all professional services contracts as defined herein, the contract awarding
15	authority or the department making the contract award recommendation shall furnish the Director with
16	an informational copy of all bid conditions and requests for proposals, if any, along with a statement
17	identifying all funds provided by any other governmental agency which will be used in payment of the
18	contract. Prior to solicitation of bids or proposals, the Director may make recommendations to the
19	contract awarding authority with respect to provisions pertaining to MBE and WBE participation.
20	(C) Professional services contracts, the estimated cost of which exceeds \$10,000, shall be
21	awarded and administered in accordance with the following standards and procedures:
22	1. The contract awarding authority or the department making the contract award
23	recommendation shall use good-faith efforts to solicit bids or proposals from MBEs and WBEs certified
24	to provide the specified services. MBEs and WBEs shall be provided every practical opportunity to
25	submit bids or proposals;

1	2. City departments shall include amongst consultant selection panelists individuals who
2	are women and minorities;
3	3. All consultant selection panels and awarding officers shall apply the bid/rating
4	preferences to each stage of the selection process, e.g., qualifications, proposals and interviews. Each
5	evaluator shall score each consultant on a point system based on a predetermined evaluation criteria
6	and predetermined point value. The selection criteria shall be based solely on objective factors that are
7	related to the ability of the contractor to perform the proposed project. The bid/rating preference shall
8	be applied to the score attained by the MBE, WBE, and/or LBE as set forth in this ordinance. If the
9	highest score is attained by a MBE or WBE, the department shall enter into good-faith negotiations
10	with that consultant. Subject to the prior approval of the Director and upon a showing that those
11	negotiations were undertaken in good faith with the aforementioned MBE or WBE consultant, a
12	department may award the contract to another competing consultant.
13	4. The Director is empowered to take actions as are designed to ensure compliance with
14	the provisions of this Section, which shall include, but are not limited to:
15	——————————————————————————————————————
16	(b) Intervene in the selection process to correct contracting practices which hinder equal
17	business opportunities for MBEs and WBEs.
18	
19	SEC. 12D.12. GOOD-FAITH EFFORTS REQUIRED FOR OTHER CONTRACTS.
20	(A) All City and County departments, commissions, boards, officers and employees, in the
21	deposit of City funds and performance of their other official duties, and in the award of leases,
22	franchises, concessions, and contracts not subject to the race- and gender-conscious bid preferences of
23	this ordinance shall make every good-faith effort to use the services of Minority Business Enterprises
24	and Women Business Enterprises. Such services shall include, but are not limited to, the financial
25	services of banks, savings and loan companies and other commercial financial institutions,

1 arrangement of travel and accommodations when traveling on official City business and such other 2 services needed by City departments. Commissions and boards shall submit to the Director on an 3 annual basis a written report on the efforts made pursuant to this Subsection. (B) The City Treasurer, the Controller, the Health Service System and the Retirement Board 4 5 shall report annually to the Director, with copies to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors, their 6 utilization of MBEs and WBEs. 7 SEC. 12D.13. EXCEPTIONS AND WAIVERS. 8 -(A) The Director shall waive the race- and gender-conscious bid preferences and good-faith 9 efforts requirements of this ordinance under the following circumstances: 10 1. Whenever the Director finds, with the advice of the contract awarding authority, that needed goods or services are available only from a sole source and the prospective contractor is not 11 12 currently disqualified from doing business with the City, or from doing business with any governmental 13 agency based on a failure to comply with Minority or Women Business Enterprise or contract 14 compliance requirements; 15 2. If the contract awarding authority certifies in writing to the Director that: (1) pursuant 16 to Administrative Code Section 6.30 the contract is necessary to respond to an emergency which 17 endangers the public health or safety and (2) there is no time to apply the bid preference(s) and no 18 MBEs or WBEs capable of performing the emergency work are immediately available; provided that such certification shall be made prior to the Controller's contract certification. 19 20 -(B) The Director shall waive the five-percent LBE bid preference for contracts in excess of 21 \$5,000,000 whenever a contract awarding authority establishes that: (1) sufficient qualified Local Business Enterprises capable of providing the needed goods and services required by the contract are 22 23 unavailable and (2) sufficient qualified businesses located outside of San Francisco capable of

providing the needed goods and services required by the contract are available; or (3) the application

24

of the five-percent LBE preference will result in significant additional costs to the City if the waiver of the bid preference is not granted.

(C) Pursuant to Administrative Code Section 6.29-2, the bid preference provisions of this ordinance are not applicable to any contract for the construction, reconstruction or repair of public buildings, streets, utilities or other public work or improvement estimated to cost in excess of \$10,000,000.

(D) Pursuant to Administrative Code Section 21.11-2, the bid preference provisions of this ordinance are not applicable to any contract for the purchase of materials, supplies or equipment estimated to cost in excess of \$10,000,000.

SEC. 12D.14. MONITORING AND COMPLIANCE.

-(A) The Director shall monitor the City's progress toward achievement of the goals stated in Section 12D.3 (declaration of policy). The Director shall issue an exit report for any contract which includes MBE/WBE prime contractor participation as a joint venture partner. The purpose of the exit report is to ensure that MBE/WBEs are actually performing services on joint ventures.

(B) Noncompliance By Contractors. In cases where the Director has cause to believe that a contractor acting in good faith has failed to comply with any of the requirements of this ordinance, rules and regulations adopted pursuant to this ordinance, or contract provisions pertaining to MBE or WBE participation, the Director shall notify the contract awarding authority and shall attempt to resolve the noncompliance through conciliation. If the noncompliance cannot be resolved, the Director shall submit to the Commission and the contractor a written Finding of Noncompliance. The Human Rights Commission shall give the contractor an opportunity to appeal the Finding, and if the Commission concurs with the finding of the Director, it shall take such action as will effectuate the purposes of this ordinance.

1	(C) Wilful or Bad Faith Noncompliance by Contractors. In cases where the Director has
2	cause to believe that any bidder or contractor has wilfully failed to comply with any of the provisions of
3	this ordinance, rules and regulations adopted pursuant to this ordinance, or contract provisions
4	pertaining to MBE or WBE participation, the Director shall be empowered to conduct an investigation
5	and after affording the contractor notice and an opportunity to be heard, may impose sanctions for
6	each violation of this subsection. Such sanctions shall include but are not limited to:
7	— (a) Declare the bidder or contractor non-responsive and ineligible to receive the award of
8	the contract;
9	(b) Declare the bidder or contractor an irresponsible bidder and disqualify the bidder or
10	contractor from eligibility for providing goods or services to the City and County for a period of five
11	years, with a right of review and reconsideration by the Commission after two years upon a showing of
12	corrective action indicating violations are not likely to reoccur;
13	(c) If the bidder or contractor is a MBE, WBE and/or LBE, revoke that business'
14	certification as a MBE, WBE and/or LBE;
15	(d) Determine that the bidder or contractor has wilfully failed to comply with the
16	provisions of this ordinance and pursuant to the provision in the contract contemplated by Section
17	12D.8(E)3 of the ordinance, calculate the liquidated damages for which the bidder or contractor shall
18	be liable.
19	Thereafter the Director shall send a written notice to the Controller, the Mayor and to all
20	contract awarding authorities or City department officials overseeing any contract with the bidder or
21	contractor that a determination of a bad-faith compliance has been made and that all payments due the
22	bidder or contractor shall be withheld as agreed to by the bidder or contractor and the City pursuant to
23	<u>Section 12D.8(E)3.</u>
24	— In addition, the Director shall transmit to the Bureau of Delinquent Revenues a report of the
25	determination of liability and ask the Bureau of Delinquent Revenues to coordinate efforts with the

1	Controller and other applicable City departments to ensure that the liquidated damages are paid to the
2	City.
3	— The bidder or contractor may appeal the Director's decision to the Human Rights
4	Commission, which may sustain, reverse or modify the Director's findings and sanctions imposed or
5	take such other action as will effectuate the purposes of this ordinance.
6	— An appeal by a contractor under this subsection shall not stay the Director's findings.
7	(D) The Director may require such reports, information and documentation from
8	contractors, bidders, contract awarding authorities and the head of any department, division, or office
9	of the City as are reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the requirements of this
10	ordinance.
11	(E) Noncompliance by City Departments. Whenever the Director finds after investigation
12	that a contract awarding authority has wilfully failed to comply with the provisions of this ordinance, a
13	written Finding of Noncompliance specifying the nature of the noncompliance shall be transmitted to
14	the contract awarding authority, the Commission, the Mayor and Board of Supervisors; and
15	— The Director shall attempt to resolve any noncompliance through conference and
16	conciliation. Should such attempt fail to resolve the noncompliance, the Director shall transmit a copy
17	of the Finding of Noncompliance along with a finding that conciliation was attempted and failed to the
18	Commission which shall notify the contract awarding agency to take appropriate action to secure
19	compliance.
20	— The Finding of Noncompliance shall be communicated to the Mayor and the Board of
21	Supervisors.
22	-(F) If the Director has reason to believe that any person has knowingly made, filed, or
23	caused to be filed with the City any materially false or misleading statement or report made in
24	connection with this ordinance, the Director shall report that information to the City Attorney or the
25	District Attorney for appropriate action. The Director shall be empowered to conduct an investigation

1	and for each violation of this subsection, 12D.14(F), to impose sanctions as set forth in Section
2	12D.14(C).
3	
4	SEC. 12D.15. REPORTING AND REVIEW; EXTENSION.
5	(A) Reporting by Departments. By December 31st of each fiscal year all contract awarding
6	authorities and departments shall report annually to the Mayor on their progress in the preceding
7	fiscal year toward achievement of the MBE and WBE participation goals.
8	(B) Reporting by the Director.
9	1. The Director shall report quarterly to the Commission and the Board of Supervisors
10	whether the goals stated in Section 12D.3 have been met in whole or in part.
11	2. The Director shall report to the Commission all waivers acted upon pursuant to Section
12	12D.13. Such report shall be made at the first Commission meeting following the granting of the
13	waiver.
14	(C) Reporting by the Commission. By March 1st of each fiscal year subject to this ordinance,
15	the Commission shall submit an annual report to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors on the
16	progress of the City toward the goals stated in Section 12D.3 of this ordinance, together with an
17	identification of problems and specific recommendations for: (1) discontinuing the race- or gender-
18	conscious bid preferences in those cases where the bid preferences have remedied the identified
19	discrimination against MBEs and WBEs; and (2) improving the City's performance in remedying the
20	identified discrimination against MBEs and WBEs.
21	(D) The Board of Supervisors shall act upon the Commission's recommendations by the third
22	Board meeting of May in each fiscal year subject to this ordinance.
23	(E) Review by the Commission. This ordinance shall expire June 30, 1997 unless the
24	Commission, after conducting public hearings, finds that the purposes identified in Section 12D.3 have
25	not yet been achieved, in which case it shall certify said finding to the Board of Supervisors no later

1	than 120 days prior to the expiration date. Thereafter the Board of Supervisors may extend the
2	ordinance for additional three-year periods.
3	-(F) Review by the Contract Review Committee. The Contract Review Committee established
4	pursuant to Section 12D.8(A)3 shall have the following powers and duties:
5	1. To review contracts referred to it by the Director or a department for determining
6	whether the contract should be set aside, where competition for the contract is limited to MBEs, WBEs
7	and/or joint ventures with MBE/WBEs;
8	2. Before approving a set aside of a contract, the Contract Review Committee shall first
9	determine that: (1) the department seeking or affected by the set-aside has complied with all of the
10	requirements of Section 12D.8(B); and (2) there are at least three business enterprises which are
11	certified or eligible for certification as a MBE or WBE which can compete for the contract set aside.
12	3. After making the findings required by Section 12D.15(F)2, the Contract Review
13	Committee may approve that a contract be set aside. However, the Contract Review Committee shall
14	first consider the feasibility of approving a set-aside where competition is limited to joint ventures with
15	MBE and/or WBE participation which equals or exceeds 35 percent. The Contract Review Committee
16	shall issue its findings and approval in writing to the department affected by the set-aside.
17	(G) Extension. Pursuant to Section 12D.15(E) of Ordinance 155-92, as amended by
18	Ordinances 210-97, 457-97, 82-98 and 186-98 and based upon the Further Additional Findings
19	Supporting Six-Month Extension of MBE/WBE/LBE Ordinanceset forth in Section 12D.2-2, the Further
20	Additional Findings Supporting a Three-Month Extension of MBE/WBE/LBE Ordinanceas set forth in
21	Section 12D.2-3, Further Additional Findings Supporting a Three-Month Extension of MBE/WBE/LBE
22	Ordinanceas set forth in Section 12D.2-4, Further Additional Findings Supporting a Two-Month
23	Extension of MBE/WBE/LBE Ordinanceas set forth in Section 12D.2-5, and Additional Findings
24	Supporting a Two-Month Extension of the MBE/WBE/LBE Ordinance as set forth in Section 12D.2-6,
25	the Board hereby extends Ordinance 155-92, as amended, to October 31, 1998.

SEC. 12D.16. CLERK OF BOARD TO TRANSMIT COPIES OF THIS CHAPTER; INFORMING CITY EMPLOYEES.

The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors shall send copies of this Chapter, as amended, to every department, agency, commission and contract awarding authority in the City and County of San Francisco. Each appointing officer of the City shall inform all employees under his or her jurisdiction of the provisions of this ordinance and of the duty of all of his or her employees to comply with the provisions of this ordinance. Each appointing officer shall also inform employees that if the employee fails to comply with the requirements of this ordinance the employee shall be subject to appropriate disciplinary action.

The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors shall also inform every department, agency, commission and contract awarding authority that whenever in accordance with the provisions of the Charter or of the Administrative Code a proposed ordinance, resolution, contract, lease, franchise, license, or other agreement or transaction is submitted to this Board for its adoption or approval, it shall be the policy of this Board to adopt legislation or approve those agreements or transactions where the department first has demonstrated in writing to this Board that the department has engaged in good-faith efforts to include the participation of MBEs and WBEs in the department's procurement and contract award practices.

SEC. 12D.17. IMPLEMENTING REGULATIONS.

Not later than 30 days after the enactment of this ordinance, the Commission shall adopt amendments to the rules, regulations and procedures it adopted and publicly promulgated pursuant to Ordinance 175-89. The Commission shall afford the public and City departments the opportunity to provide input to and comment on the amendments to the regulations prior to their formal adoption.

SEC. 12D.18. SEVERABILITY.

The provisions of this ordinance are declared to be separate and severable. The invalidity of any clause, sentence, paragraph, subdivision, section or portion of this ordinance, or the invalidity of the application thereof to any person or circumstances shall not affect the validity of the remainder of this ordinance, or the validity of its application to other persons or circumstances.

SEC. 12D.19. EFFECTIVE DATE.

This ordinance shall take effect on July 1, 1992, and shall govern all contracts for which a bid has not been solicited by the effective date.

PROVISIONS EFFECTIVE FOR CONTRACTS SOLICITED ON OR AFTER NOVEMBER 1, 1998

13 SEC. 12D.A.1. SHORT TITLE.

This ordinance shall be entitled the "Minority/Women/Local Business Utilization Ordinance" and may be cited as the "MBE/WBE/LBE Ordinance-V."

SEC. 12D.A.2. GENERAL FINDINGS.

This Board initially passed Ordinance No. 139-84 on April 2, 1984 to combat the City and County of San Francisco's own active and passive participation in discrimination against minority- and women-owned businesses, both in its own contracting for goods and services and in the private market for such goods and services. At the time of passage, women- and minority-owned businesses were virtually excluded as contractors on prime City contracts. The ordinance also sought to offset economic disadvantages faced by local businesses that are not shared by nonlocal businesses, and to increase employment in the City and County of San Francisco by encouraging the participation of local business enterprises in City contracting.

1	Since that time, this Board and the City's Human Rights Commission have actively and
2	extensively documented and studied discrimination against and disadvantages faced by these groups to
3	gauge the effectiveness of the prior Minority, Women and Local Business Enterprise Ordinances (the
4	"M/W/LBE Ordinances") and to assess the need for further and continuing action.
5	The earlier studies are documented in the legislative history of the previous amendments and
6	re-enactments of the ordinance, including Ordinance Nos. 175-89, 155-92, 210-97, 457-97, 82-98, 296-
7	98, 210-99 and 283-99. The 1989 Ordinance was challenged in federal court and upheld by the Ninth
8	Circuit Court of Appeals. See Associated General Contractors of California v. Coalition for Economic
9	Equity, 950 F.2d 1401 (9th Cir. 1991).
10	-The findings underlying the 1984 and 1989 ordinances have been reviewed and analyzed in
11	the preparation of the current ordinance and are hereby incorporated by reference into the legislative
12	history of this ordinance. These materials, prepared up to and including May 1989, include disparity
13	studies, transcripts of live testimony by dozens of witnesses, case studies of discrimination, and
14	voluminous other materials. An index and a separate synopsis of this material are on file with the Clerk
15	of this Board in File No. 98-0612.
16	—Since 1989, the City has devoted substantial additional resources to the task of understanding
17	and documenting discrimination against women and minorities in awarding City contracts and in the
18	private market for such contracts. Given the prior findings of discrimination and the need for this
19	ordinance, this Board examined whether the identified discrimination had been eradicated.
20	—Between 1989 and 1998, together this Board and the Human Rights Commission held 14
21	hearings on the subject of women- and minority-owned business enterprises, heard live testimony from
22	254 witnesses, reviewed videotaped oral histories by numerous witnesses, reviewed many volumes of
23	social science materials, three disparity studies undertaken by the City and County of San Francisco
24	and numerous other relevant statistical disparity studies undertaken by the City agencies and various

other groups and governments from around the Bay Area. The Board also reviewed case studies and

other statistical information gathered by the Human Rights Commission. These materials are all
incorporated by reference into the legislative history of this ordinance and are in file with the Clerk of
this Board in File No. 98-0612.

In its hearings on the MBE/WBE/LBE ordinance between 1989 and 1998, this Board gave close consideration to the need for adding Native Americans and Arab Americans to the list of minority groups covered by the ordinance. As part of this process, the Board and the Human Rights Commission heard or reviewed testimony from 47 individuals concerning discrimination against Arab Americans and Native Americans. In addition, a Mason Tillman Associates study covering City contracting in the years 1992 through 1995 found statistically significant evidence of discrimination against Native Americans and Arab Americans in several categories of contracting. That study also closely reviewed testimonial evidence of discrimination against these groups.

In 1997 and 1998, this Board and the Human Rights Commission held eight public hearings at which testimony was given by 170 individuals concerning discrimination against Minority and Women Business Enterprises, the transcripts of which, the written submittals accompanying same, and other evidence that was before the Board are in file with the Clerk of this Board in Board File No. 98-0612.

On January 4, 1999 and June 30, 1999, the Human Rights Commission issued reports regarding discrimination in City contracting against Iranian Americans. Those reports recounted testimony from HRC hearings regarding discrimination against Iranian American contractors.

In addition, the Board considered and reviewed oral histories from many persons involved in the bidding and compliance process taken in the summer of 1998. Many of the oral histories have been preserved on video tape. These oral histories recount personal incidents of discrimination as well as compliance difficulties. The oral histories were taken in this manner because many of the individuals were fearful of retaliation and further discrimination if they testified at a public forum. In fact, this fear caused some of the oral histories to be given in a manner in which the identities of those testifying were

2	Board in File Nos. 98-0612, 99-0266 and 99-1326.
3	The findings and evidence underlying the 1998 ordinance and the subsequent amendments to
4	that ordinance have been reviewed and analyzed in the preparation of the current ordinance and are
5	hereby incorporated by reference into the legislative history of this ordinance.
6	In 2002 and 2003, this Board and the Human Rights Commission held additional public
7	hearings to determine the extent to which the remedies provided by this Ordinance continue to be
8	necessary. At these hearings, 134 individuals and organizations testified about the discrimination
9	minorities and women continue to face in City contracting and in obtaining contracts in the Bay Area
10	that are not subject to affirmative action programs. Additionally, in 2002 and 2003, the Human Rights
11	Commission and this Board received written statements of individuals describing the discrimination
12	minorities and women continue to experience in City contracting and in other contracting in the Bay
13	Area. In December 2001, the Human Rights Commission issued a report entitled "Violence in Our City
14	Research and Recommendations to Empower Our Community" regarding increasing violence and
15	discrimination against African Americans in San Francisco.
16	In September 2002, the Human Rights Com-mission issued a report entitled "Blacklash,
17	Violence, Human Rights Violations & Discrimination in San Francisco in the Wake of September 11,
18	2001." The report found that the bombing of the World Trade Center and Pentagon on September 11,
19	2001 have led to a significant increase in San Francisco in discrimination and violence against those
20	who are perceived to have Middle Eastern ancestry.
21	—In April 2003, the Human Rights Commission conducted a disparity analysis of the utilization
22	of minority-owned businesses and women-owned businesses in prime contracting and subcontracting.
23	Even with the remedial programs set forth in this Ordinance in place, the study shows statistically
24	significant underutilization of minorities and women in most City contracting programs.

not identified. An index and a separate synopsis of the oral histories are on file with the Clerk of this

25

-But as the Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals recently recognized in upholding the City and
County of Denver's remedial contracting program in Concrete Works of Colorado, Inc. v. City and
County of Denver (10th Cir. 2003) 321 F.3d 950, a public entity cannot reliably ascertain whether a
remedial race- and gender-conscious affirmative action contracting program that has been in place
should be continued based on a disparity analysis of the utilization of minority- and women-owned
businesses in the public entity's contracting programs. That the remedial program in place has given
some minorities and women contracting opportunities in certain limited industries provides little
evidence of whether minorities and women would be given those opportunities in the absence of the
remedial program. Instead, the Tenth Circuit concluded that disparities in private markets in the region
provide a strong indicator of the extent to which minorities and women would be used in public entity's
contracting programs absent the remedial affirmative action program.

Associates (NERA)—the same firm whose studies about discrimination in the Denver metropolitan area the Tenth Circuit found to be so persuasiveconduct studies to assess the level of discrimination against minority—and women—owned businesses in the Bay Area private sector. NERA examined business formation and earnings rates, and NERA found significant disparities in the formation and earnings rates of minorities and women as compared to majority men. These disparities are especially pronounced for African Americans and Latino Americans. NERA also examined the market for credit and capital and found strong evidence of discrimination against minorities, as well as evidence of recent discrimination against women. Consistent with the Tenth Circuit's ruling, NERA concluded that the evidence of discrimination it found in Bay Area private markets is a valid substitute for evidence of actual discrimination in City contracting programs.

In April 2003, the Human Rights Commission also retained Godbe Research to conduct a telephone survey of minority- and women-owned businesses certified with the HRC. Twenty-one percent of the 266 firms surveyed reported that since 1998 they have been declined Bay Area

1	subcontracting work that was not subject to affirmative action requirements by prime contractors who
2	typically do award them work on contracts that are subject to the remedial subcontracting
3	requirements of this Ordinance. And each of those firms that experienced such discrimination reported
4	that it had been rejected as a subcontractor by a prime contractor who gave it work on City contracts
5	on average 13 times in the last five years.
6	Additionally, the Board has reviewed studies undertaken by various public entities in the Bay
7	Area, and testimony, articles and studies prepared by academicians. All of these materials are
8	incorporated by reference into the legislative history of this Ordinance. The collection and analysis of
9	relevant information is ongoing.
10	As a result of these hearings and review of these materials and the materials archived by the
11	Human Rights Commission and the relevant statistical and social science data, oral histories, articles
12	and studies, the Board makes the following findings:
13	1. In April 2003, NERA conducted studies to assess the level of discrimination against
14	minority- and women-owned businesses in the Bay Area private sector. NERA examined business
15	formation rates, earnings rates, and disparities in the market for credit and capital.
16	- NERA reported significant disparities in the formation rates of minority- and women-owned
17	business as compared to businesses owned by Caucasian men. In particular, African Americans, Asian
18	Americans, Latino Americans, and women have statistically significantly lower business formation
19	rates in the Bay Area than do comparable Caucasian men in the construction, architectural and
20	engineering, professional services, general services and goods and services industries. These
21	disparities are especially large in the construction industry, where, for example, business formation
22	rates for African-Americans are approximately 12 percentage points lower than for comparable
23	Caucasian men. Further, NERA found that the disparities for African Americans and Latino Americans
24	are especially pronounced and have increased in the recent six years over the prior fourteen years.

1	NERA further reported significant disparities in the earnings of self-employed minorities and
2	women compared to the earnings of self-employed Caucasian men. The disparity in earnings between
3	self-employed African Americans and self-employed Caucasians, for example, has increased
4	dramatically from 1991-2002 over the prior 13 years, and is much greater than the disparity between
5	African American wage and salary workers and Caucasian wage and salary workers over the same
6	time period.
7	NERA also reported discrimination against minorities and women in the credit markets in all
8	industries, which NERA concluded partially explains the large disparities found in minority- and
9	women-owned business formation rates. NERA reported that even when controlling for firm size, credit
10	history and other valid credit worthiness factors, the loan applications of minority-owned firms were
11	substantially more likely to be denied than the loan applications of Caucasian firms. For example, the
12	loan rejection rates for African American and Latino American firms are roughly twice that of
13	Caucasian firms. NERA also found that minority firms are more likely not to apply for loans because of
14	the low loan approval rate for such firms, and that when minority businesses did receive loans, they
15	had to pay higher interest rates, regardless of their credit worthiness or geography. NERA further
16	reported that credit market conditions are a far bigger concern for minority-owned firms than for
17	Caucasian-owned firms, and that a greater share of minority-owned firms than Caucasian-owned firms
18	believe that credit availability is the most important issue likely to confront the firm in the next 12
19	months. NERA also reported that discrimination in the market for credit has increased for minority
20	groups during the 1990s, and re-appeared for women in the late 1990s.
21	-Based on NERA's studies, the testimony and all of the other evidence before the Board, the
22	Board finds that minority- and women-owned businesses continue to face systemic race and gender
23	discrimination in public and private markets in the Bay Area.
24	— 2. In April 2003, the City conducted a comprehensive disparity study to gauge

discrimination against women- and minority-owned businesses in the City's contracting from 1998 to

early 2003. Under a fair and equitable system of awarding contracts, the proportion of contract dollars awarded to minority- and women-owned business enterprises would be equal to the proportion of willing and able minority- and women-owned enterprises in the relevant market area. If, based on statistical testing, there is a very low probability of attributing to chance the existence of a disparity between these proportions, the Supreme Court has stated that an inference of discrimination can be made.

— 3. The Human Rights Commission's 2003-study thoroughly and conclusively documented the fact thatwith the City's remedial contracting programs in placeand women-owned business enterprises continue to receive a smaller share of certain types of contracts for the purchases of goods and services by the City than would be expected based on the number of able and available women-and minority-owned businesses. This poor utilization cannot be attributed to chance. This Board finds, based on these statistical studies, testimony and on all the other evidence of persistent discrimination presented to the Board, that the disproportionately small share of City contracting and subcontracting that goes to women- and minority owned businesses in certain industries is due to discrimination by the City and discrimination in the private market.

4. The Human Rights Commission's April 2003 study also documents that in the last five years, in certain limited industries, some minority groups and women have received City contract dollars close to or above the level that would be expected based on their availability. Based on the studies and reports issued by NERA and Godbe Associates, the testimonial evidence, the history of discrimination against minority and women contractors in City contracting programs and the other materials before the Board, the Board finds that these favorable minority utilization rates are attributable to the fact that the City has remedial contracting programs in place, and that the discrimination the City previously identified in its prime contracting and subcontracting programs has not yet been eradicated. In particular, the Board finds that if the City were to discontinue, at this time, the race—and gender-conscious bid discount program or the subcontracting program authorized by this

Ordinance, minority and women utilization rates in City contracting would plummet. Under those circumstances, the Board finds that minority and women utilization rates would likely return to the same judicially-recognized low levels to which they fell in 1989 after the City discontinued its prior race- or gender-conscious remedial contracting programs. In fact, many minorities and women report that they are frequently refused subcontracting opportunities on contracts that are not subject to a race- or gender-conscious affirmative action program by the same prime contractors that do hire them on contracts that are subject to a race- and gender-conscious affirmative action program. And, many minority- and women-owned businesses that have benefited from the City's remedial program and have since graduated from the program, report that prime contractors who gave them subcontracts on contracts subject to the City's subcontracting requirements before they graduated, refuse to give them subcontracts now that they are no longer certified under the M/WBE program.

5. The Human Rights Commission Study reviewed contracts entered into by the City and County of San Francisco in a variety of areas and categories from 1998 through early 2003 and determined the following:

bid/ratings discount program in place, African Americans, Arab Americans, Asian Americans and women still received fewer construction prime contracting dollars than would be expected given their availability. The disparity was statistically significant for African Americans, Asian Americans and Arab Americans. Although African Americans represent 4.49 percent of the available construction firms, they received only 1.01 percent of the construction contract dollars. Although Arab Americans represent 0.14 percent of the available construction firms, they received no construction contract dollars at all. Although Asian Americans represent 13.74 percent of the available construction firms, they received only 4.98 percent of the construction contract dollars. Although women represent 8.84 percent of the available construction firms, they received only 8.23 percent of the construction contract dollars. Although Caucasian men represent 67.74 percent of available construction firms, they received dollars. Although Caucasian men represent 67.74 percent of available construction firms, they received

70.79 percent of the construction contract dollars. Although Latino American firms received more construction contracts than expected based on their availability, the Board finds, based on the studies, statistics, testimony and other evidence before it of discrimination against Latino Americans in City contracting and contracting in other Bay Area markets, that in the absence of the bid/ratings discount program that the City has had in place, Latino Americans would receive well below the level of prime City construction contracts that one would expect based on their availability.

with the race- and gender-conscious bid/ratings discount pro- gram in place, African Americans, Arab Americans, Asian Americans, Iranian Americans, Latino Americans, and women received fewer contracts than would be expected given their availability. Notwithstanding the bid/ratings discount program, more than 87 percent of the contracts in this area went to Caucasian male-owned businesses, even though those firms represent less than 63 percent of the available architecture and engineering firms. The disparities against Arab Americans, Asian Americans, Iranian Americans, Latino Americans, and women, and the particularly pronounced disparity in favor or Caucasian men, were statistically significant.

C. For professional services prime contracts in the years 1998 through early 2003, even with the race-conscious bid/ratings discount program in place, Arab Americans, Iranian Americans and Latino Americans received fewer contracts than expected based on their availability, and the disparities were statistically significant for those groups. Arab Americans, who represent .11 percent of the available professional services firms, received only .08 percent of the professional services contract dollars. Iranian Americans, who represent .11 percent of the available professional services firms, received 0.00 percent of the professional services dollars. Latino Americans, who represent .79 percent of the professional services firms, received .22 percent of the professional service dollars. And, although African Americans, Asian Americans and women received more than the number of professional service contracts one would expect based on their availability, the Board finds, based on

the studies, statistics, testimony and other evidence before it of discrimination against African

Americans, Asian Americans and women in City contracting and contracting in other Bay Area

markets, that in the absence of the bid/ratings discount program that the City has had in place, African

Americans, Asian Americans and women would receive well below the level of prime City professional

service contracts that one would expect based on their availability.

D. For purchases of goods and services prime contracts for 1998 through early 2003, even with the race- and gender-conscious bid/ratings discount in place, Asian Americans, Iranian Americans and women received fewer contract dollars than expected. Although Asian Americans represent 4.15 percent of the available goods and services firms, those firms received only 1.84 percent of the goods and services contract dollars. Similarly, although Iranian Americans represent .22 percent of the available goods and services firms, those firms received only .17 percent of the goods and services firms, women received only 4.60 of the goods and services contract dollars. Although African Americans, Arab Americans and Latino Americans received slightly more than the number of good and services contracts one would expect based on their availability, the Board finds, based on the studies, statistics, testimony and other evidence before it of discrimination against African Americans, Arab Americans and Latino Americans in City contracting and contracting in other Bay Area markets, that in the absence of the bid/ratings discount program that the City has had in place, African American, Arab American and Latino American firms would receive well below the level of prime City goods and services contracts that one would expect based on their availability.

E. For general services prime contracts for 1998 through early 2003, even with the raceand gender-conscious bid/ratings discount in place, African Americans, Arab Americans, Asian

Americans and Iranian Americans received fewer contract dollars than expected based on their
availability. Although African Americans represent 1.28 percent of the available general services firms,
those firms received only .64 percent of the general services contract dollars. Similarly, although Arab

Americans represent .04 percent of the available general services firms, those firms received only .01
percent of the general services contract dollars. Although Asian Americans represent 2.60 percent of
the available general service firms, they received only 1.11 percent of the general services contract
dollars. Although Iranian Americans represent .09 percent of the general services firms, they received
0.00 percent of the general services contract dollars. The disparities against African Americans and
Iranian Americans are statistically significant. Although Latino Americans and women received
somewhat more than the number of general services contracts one would expect based on their
availability, the Board finds, based on the studies, statistics, testimony and other evidence before it of
discrimination against Latino Americans and women in City contracting and contracting in other Bay
Area markets, that in the absence of the bid/ratings discount program that the City has had in place,
Latino Americans and women would receive well below the level of prime City general services
contracts that one would expect based on their availability.

F. For telecommunications prime contracts entered into between 1998 and early 2003, even with the race- and gender-conscious bid/ratings discounts in place, African Americans, Asian Americans, Iranian Americans and women received fewer contract dollars than expected based on their availability. Although African Americans represent 2.26 percent of the telecommunications firms, they received only .19 percent of the telecommunications contract dollars. Although Asian Americans represent 13.53 percent of the telecommunications firms they received only 2.93 percent of the telecommunications contract dollars. Although Iranian Americans represent .75 percent of the telecommunications firms, they received .01 percent of the telecommunications contract dollars. Although women represent 14.29 percent of the telecommunications firms, they received only 12.86 percent of the telecommunication contract dollars. Even with the bid/ratings discount program in place, although Caucasian men represent 70.68 percent of the available telecommunications firms, they received 77.56 percent of the telecommunication contract dollars. The disparities against African Americans, Asian Americans and Iranian Americans are statistically significant. Although Latino

Americans received more than the number of telecommunication contracts one would expect based on their availability, the Board finds, based on the studies, statistics, testimony and other evidence before it of discrimination against Latino Americans in City contracting and contracting in other Bay Area markets, that in the absence of the bid/ratings discount program that the City has had in place, Latino Americans would receive well below the level of prime City telecommunication contracts that one would expect based on their availability.

with the race-conscious subcontracting program in place, Arab Americans and Asian Americans still received fewer construction subcontracts than expected based on their availability. Although Arab Americans represent .14 percent of the available construction firms, they received only .05 percent of the construction subcontract dollars. Although Asian Americans represent 13.74 percent of the construction firms, they received only 12.99 percent of the construction subcontract dollars. Although African Americans, Latino Americans and women received more than the number of construction subcontracts one would expect based on their availability, the Board finds, based on the studies, statistics, testimony and other evidence before it of discrimination against African Americans, Latino Americans and women in City contracting and contracting in other Bay Area markets, that in the absence of the subcontracting program that the City has had in place, African Americans, Latino Americans and women would receive well below the level of City construction subcontracts that one would expect based on their availability.

H. For City architectural and engineering subcontracts entered into between 1998 and early 2003, even with the race- and gender-conscious subcontracting program in place, African Americans, Arab Americans, Latino Americans and women received fewer architectural and engineering subcontracts than expected based on their availability. Although African Americans represent 4.67 percent of the available architectural and engineering firms, they received only 4.48 percent of the architectural and engineering subcontract dollars. Although Arab Americans represent

.98 percent of the architectural and engineering firms, they received only .40 percent of the architectural and engineering subcontract dollars. Although Latino Americans represent 4.18 of the available architectural and engineering firms, they received only 2.51 percent of the architectural and engineering subcontract dollars. Although women represent 12.53 percent of the available architectural and engineering firms, they received only 9.29 percent of the architectural and engineering subcontract dollars. Although Asian Americans and Iranian Americans received slightly more than the number of architectural and engineering subcontracts one would expect based on their availability, the Board finds, based on the studies, statistics, testimony and other evidence before it of discrimination against Asian Americans and Iranian Americans in City contracting and contracting in other Bay Area markets, that in the absence of the subcontracting program that the City has had in place, Asian Americans and Iranian Americans would receive well below the level of City architectural and engineering subcontracts that one would expect based on their availability.

I. For City professional services subcontracts entered into between 1998 and early 2003, even with the race-conscious and gender conscious subcontracting program in place, Arab Americans Iranian Americans and Latino Americans received fewer professional services subcontracts than expected based on their availability. Arab Americans and Iranian Americans received no professional services subcontracts at all. Although Latino Americans represent .79 percent of the professional services firms, they received only .46 percent of the professional services subcontract dollars. Although African Americans, Asian Americans and women received more than the number of professional service subcontracts one would expect based on their availability, the Board finds, based on the studies, statistics, testimony and other evidence before it of discrimination against African Americans, Asian Americans and women in City contracting and contracting in other Bay Area markets, that in the absence of the subcontracting program that the City has had in place, African Americans, Asian Americans and women would receive well below the level of City professional services subcontracts that one would expect based on their availability.

J. For City telecommunications subcontracts entered into between 1998 and early 2003,
even with the race- and gender-conscious subcontracting program in place, African Americans, Asian
Americans, Iranian Americans and women received fewer telecommunications subcontracts than
expected based on their availability. Iranian Americans received no telecommunications subcontracts
at all. Although Asian Americans represent 13.82 percent of the available telecommunications firms,
they received only .83 percent of the telecommunications subcontract dollars. Although women
represent 13.82 percent of the telecommunications firms, they received only 8.84 percent of the
telecommunications subcontract dollars. Although African Americans represent 2.44 percent of the
telecommunications firms, they received only 2.22 percent of the telecommunications subcontract
dollars. The disparity is statistically significant for Asian Americans. And, even with the subcontracting
program in place, although Caucasian men represent less than 70 percent of the telecommunications
firms, they received more than 86 percent of the telecommunications subcontracts. Although Latino
Americans received somewhat more than the number of telecommunication subcontracts one would
expect based on their availability, the Board finds, based on the studies, statistics, testimony and other
evidence before it of discrimination against Latino Americans in City contracting and contracting in
other Bay Area markets, that in the absence of the bid/ratings discount program that the City has had
in place, Latino Americans would receive well below the level of City telecommunications subcontracts
that one would expect based on their availability.
6. In 2002 and 2003, the Human Rights Commission and this Board heard testimony from
134 individuals at public hearings about discrimination against minority- and women-owned
businesses and received written statements documenting such discrimination. Additionally, in 2003,
Godbe Research conducted a telephone survey of HRC-certified MBEs and WBEs.
—Based on this evidence, and the findings and evidence supporting the 1984, 1989 and 1998
Ordinances, and amendments to those ordinances, the Board finds that minorities and women
continuously face racial prejudice in both the public and private sector markets in San Francisco. The

prejudice against minorities takes the form of stereotyping, prejudging, discomfort in working with minorities, an absence of opportunities to prove one's skill and ability, exclusion, networking difficulties, and racial slurs. Women also face prejudging and stereotyping. Women are often made to feel that they are not qualified to be running a company and that they are innately incapable of certain tasks. Women also sometimes face questions as to whether they are really running their firms. Women and minority owned firms also face overt hostility from majority male firms, reporting harassment, intimidation, and undue pressure during the course of doing business with majority male firms. Women—and minority owned businesses also are often subjected to increased and higher standards of review of their work than Caucasian, male owned firms. Minorities and women also reported difficulties and discrimination in obtaining financing and credit for their firms, difficulty obtaining bonding and insurance, and other forms of business institutional discrimination.

—Minorities and women also report of discrimination in the award of City prime contracts.

Minorities and women report that project managers in many City Departments continue to operate under an "old boy network in awarding City prime contracts. The practice creates a barrier to the entry of women-and minority-owned businesses and puts those firms at a competitive disadvantage in their efforts to secure City prime contracts.

Minority- and women-owned businesses also reported being discriminated against by prime contractors, by, for example, being given inadequate lead time to bid on projects, being paid late after a bid award, being listed on a bid without permission, and having the scope of their work reduced or canceled after the bid award. Minority- and women-owned businesses report that the only reason they are able to get work from many prime City contractors is because the City requires prime contractors to provide minorities and women with opportunities to compete for City subcontracts. In particular, many minorities and women report that they are frequently refused subcontracting opportunities on contracts that are not subject to a race- or gender-conscious affirmative action program by the same prime contractors that do hire them on contracts that are subject to a race- and gender-conscious

affirmative action program. And, many minority- and women-owned businesses that succeeded because of the City's remedial program, and graduated from the program, report that prime contractors who gave them subcontracts on contracts subject to the City's subcontracting requirements before they graduated, refuse to give them subcontracts now. Finally, minorities and women report of hostility in the industry toward the M/WBE program.

7. In February 1998, the Human Rights Commission issued a report that documents hostility and active resistance to the W/MBE program by various City departments and agencies. The HRC report also found the following discriminatory practices at work in City contracting: (1) listing minority- and women-owned enterprises as subcontractors but never using the listed minority- and women-owned subcontracting firms, (2) the use of additional nonminority, male subcontractors never listed on the relevant HRC forms, and (3) the creation of fraudulent joint ventures involving minorityor women-owned and majority, men-owned firms. In particular, the HRC's investigation found that in at least four out of 86 contracts involving joint ventures, the minority- or women-owned firms listed in the joint venture did not perform any work on the project. A report issued by the HRC in May 2003 reveals that these discriminatory practices continue, and that the HRC has encountered the following additional discriminatory practices in City contracting: (1) attempts by City personnel to improperly influence contract selection panels to ensure that MBEs/WBEs do not obtain City prime contracts; (2) attempts by City personnel to blame MBEs/WBEs unjustifiably for project delays; (3) the imposition of unnecessary minimum requirements on City contracts that act as a barrier to MBEs/WBEs; (4) the failure by City departments to submit draft requests for proposals to HRC with sufficient time to permit the HRC to ensure that adequate MBE/WBE subcontracting goals have been set; (5) attempts by City departments to circumvent the requirements of this ordinance by extending or modifying existing contracts rather than putting new contract out to bid; (6) the failure by City departments to comply with the prompt payment provisions of this ordinance which ensure that MBEs/WBEs do not suffer unnecessary financial hardships; and (7) resistance by City prime contractors to provide the City with

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

required subcontractor payment information, making it difficult for the City to ensure that MBE/WBE subcontractors receive prompt payment for their work on City contracts.

8. Based on the studies, reports, testimony and other evidence before it, the Board finds that the race- and gender-conscious remedial programs authorized by this Ordinance continue to be necessary to remedy discrimination against minority- and women-owned businesses in City prime contracting and subcontracting. The Board finds that the City and County of San Francisco is actively discriminating against women and minority groups in its contracting, and is passively participating in discrimination in the private sector. This Board finds that the evidence before it establishes that the City's current contracting practices are in violation of federal law and that, as a result, this ordinance continues to be required by federal law to bring the City into compliance with federal civil rights laws in its contracting practices.

— 9. In addition, the Board has reviewed numerous studies by San Francisco-based agencies.

These studies, although narrower in scope than San Francisco's study, support the findings undertaken to assess discrimination against women and minorities in City contracting:

—In 1991, the San Francisco Unified School District undertook a disparity study of its contracting in various categories. The study found "substantial evidence of statistically significant disparities between utilization and availability of minority and women contractors." For prime contracts over \$15,000 in value, the study found statistically significant evidence of discrimination against African Americans, Latino Americans, and other minorities, in the number of contracts willing and able firms owned by these groups were able to obtain. For prime contracts under \$15,000 in total value, the study found statistically significant evidence of discrimination against Asian Americans, Latino Americans, minorities in general, and women, in the number of contracts willing and able firms owned by members of these groups were able to obtain. For subcontracts, the study found statistically significant evidence of discrimination in the number of subcontracts that African American, Asian American, Latino American, and minority firms in general were able to obtain. In a review of contracts

under its Earthquake program, the study found statistically significant evidence of discrimination against Asian Americans, minorities in general, and women in the number of contracts businesses owned by members of these groups were able to obtain. In construction-related professional services, the study found statistically significant evidence of discrimination against African Americans, Asian Americans, minorities in general and women. In printing and publishing contracts, the study found statistically significant discrimination against African Americans, Asian Americans, Latino Americans, minorities in general, and women. The study also reviewed testimonial evidence of discrimination that supported its findings of discrimination.

In November 1992, the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency ("SFRA") issued a study of its use of minority- and women-owned business enterprises. The comprehensive study found that women-owned business enterprises received none of the publicly funded prime contract dollars and only 24 percent of the privately funded contract dollars SFRA would have expected given their availability. The study found from a survey of private construction contractors that minority- and women-owned businesses received none of the prime contracts and only 2.32 percent of the subcontract dollars. The study also surveyed 95 local minority- and women-owned construction firms, out of which 75 percent reported that prime contractors who use their firms on public contracts with W/MBE requirements never use their firms on private contracts.

—In May 1993, the Regional Transit Association of the San Francisco Bay Area issued a report entitled "The Utilization of Minority and Women-Owned Business Enterprises by Member Agencies of the Regional Transit Association." The study found significant underutilization of minority and women-owned enterprises in those jurisdictions in the Bay Area without programs designed to increase minority and women participation. The study also found that for each transit agency, including San Francisco's Municipal Railway, "M/WBEs were used less than we would expect given their availability." The study also examined anecdotal evidence of discrimination from 502 minority- and women-owned enterprises in the Bay Area.

-In December 2001, the Human Rights Commission issued a report entitled "Violence in Our
City: Research and Recommendations to Empower Our Community," which addresses the increase in
violence against African Americans that began in 2000, and discrimination against African Americans
in San Francisco. This report supports the finding of the Board that an ordinance encouraging
minority-owned enterprise participation in City contracting is necessary to remedy race-discrimination
against African American-owned firms in San Francisco.
10 A number of broad disparity studies undertaken by State and other local governments

— 10. A number of broad disparity studies undertaken by State and other local governments and agencies also support the findings of discrimination in San Francisco's studies, including:

—In 1992, the Contra Costa County issued a comprehensive study of the use of women—and minority owned businesses by that county. The study examined Contra Costa's own contracts, data about subcontractors collected from prime contractors, data on Contra Costa's payments to vendors, data on 7,993 minority—and women—owned vendors in the Bay Area identified from various directories, questionnaires on purchasing practices by Contra Costa officials and census data, testimony Contra Costa solicited in public hearings in Alameda and San Francisco, and Bay Area wide mail surveys of 540 women—and minority owned businesses. The study found that minorities received a smaller share of Contra Costa County contracts than would be expected given their availability. The study also examined the private sector for construction in San Francisco, Oakland, and San Jose and found that minority—and women—owned businesses received a smaller share of prime and subcontracts than would be expected given their availability. The study also found strong evidence of discrimination against women and minority firms in Contra Costa's professional services contracting and commodity purchases.

In 1996, the City of Oakland and the Oakland Redevelopment Agency issued a study of the utilization of minorities and women in their contracting programs. The study revealed that even after having programs aimed at increasing contracting opportunities for minority- and women-owned businesses, those businesses still get fewer contracts than one would expect based on their availability.

The study revealed that a culture of discrimination among prime contractors, lending institutions, and other businesses prevented minority- and women-owned businesses from competing for public contracting opportunities in Oakland. For instance, even though the majority of ready and willing construction contractors in Oakland were African American owned, Caucasian male contractors received more than twice the contract dollars from 1991-1994 as African American contractors. And although nearly 68 percent of all ready and willing contractors were minority- and women-owned businesses, Caucasian male owned firms received more than 55 percent of the contract dollars during this period. Even those minorities who achieved statistical parity in contract availability during the study period suffered from discrimination. Anecdotal evidence gathered for the study revealed that prime contractors often refuse to allow the minority- and women-owned businesses to perform subcontracting work after the contract has been awarded. Women contractors reported that they must ask male co-workers to present their ideas to prime contractors, since otherwise their ideas are ignored.

In 1994, the City of Richmond, California commissioned a study to determine whether its race—and gender-conscious remedial contracting programs continued to be necessary. The study revealed great disparities between Caucasian male-owned firms, and minority—and women-owned businesses. For instance, although Caucasian men represented only 49 percent of the available contracting firms, 85 percent of all contract dollars went to those firms. The disparity was even greater in Richmond's professional services contracts, where Caucasian firms received 95 percent of the contract dollars even though such firms represent only 15 percent of the available firms. The study further revealed that although minority—and women-owned firms represented between 32 and 71 percent of the available firms depending on the particular industry (construction, professional services, engineering, and procurement), minority—and women-owned businesses never received more than 14.8 percent of the contract dollars in any industry. And testimonial evidence revealed that Richmond's MBE/WBE ordinance had done little to address the underlying causes of discrimination. Minorities and

women were consistently faced with obstacles not placed before Caucasian male contractors, based
solely on their race and gender. In fact, based on their experience, some MBEs and WBEs gave up
trying to contract with Richmond in the future.

In 1995 the California Senate Office of Research issued a report entitled "The Status of Affirmative Action in California." The report explained, in part, that "[c]ities and counties have affirmative action programs as a matter of public policy, as a requirement for contracting with the State, or because they receive federal money that requires attention to nondiscrimination hiring." The report concluded that despite past affirmative action efforts, "salaries remain disparate among racial and ethnic groups and between men and women."

In April 1996, the California Senate Office of Research issued a report entitled "Exploring the Glass Ceiling and Salary Disparities in California State Government." The report examined the salary levels of 164,000 state civil service employees and compared compensation according to gender, race and ethnicity. The study found that women of equal educational attainment earn only \$.74 for every dollar earned by their male counterparts.

0266 and 99-1326, and the evidence before the Board in support of this Ordinance, the Board finds that Arab and Iranian Americans continue to suffer discrimination in the City's procurement process. In fact, discrimination against Arab Americans and Iranian Americans has increased dramatically. Based on testimony presented at public hearings before the Human Rights Commission and this Board between 2001 and 2003, and the Human Rights Commission Report issued in September 2002, the Board finds that since September 11, 2001, there has been a sharp increase in threats, harassment, violence, and discrimination against individuals perceived as having Middle Eastern origins in both the private sector in San Francisco as well as in the City's procurement processes. As a direct result of this systemic discrimination, Arab American and Iranian American owned businesses have been prevented from obtaining City prime contracting and subcontracting.

— 12. In 1989, based on the significant evidence before it, this Board found that Native
Americans who sought prime and subcontracting opportunities have received fewer such contracts than
expected based on their availability, and that such underutilization was attributable to discrimination
both in the private sector and in the City's procurement practices. Based on the historical record of
discrimination against Native Americans, and the testimonial evidence given at public hearings, the
Board found that there was compelling evidence of discrimination to support the addition of Native
Americans to the MBE program and to justify remedial measures on their behalf. The HRC's 2003
disparity study reveals that there are no longer any San Francisco-based businesses in any industry
that are owned by Native Americans and available to perform City prime contracts or subcontracts.
Based on the significant evidence before it, the Board finds that the pervasive discrimination and
hostility against Native Americans in the Bay Area and in the City's procurement processes has
resulted in the recent disappearance of available San Francisco-based Native American-owned
contractors. The Board further finds that this discrimination against Native Americans will prevent
Native Americans from re-establishing businesses in San Francisco without the bid/ratings discount
program and subcontracting program set forth in this Ordinance. For that reason, the Board finds it
necessary to continue to extend its remedial contracting program to businesses owned by Native
Americans.
— 13. The Board has also reviewed and considered several volumes of collected social
science materials concerning discrimination against women and minorities in the Bay Area and in

- 13. The Board has also reviewed and considered several volumes of collected social science materials concerning discrimination against women and minorities in the Bay Area and in public contracting in California. These social science materials strongly support, and are consistent with, the findings in the statistical and testimonial evidence that discrimination exists against women and minorities in the City's contracting and in the private market for similar contracts.

— 14. The Board has considered a substantial body of evidence in enacting the ordinance.

The findings set forth herein represent certain salient portions derived from the evidence and hearings.

These findings, however, are intended to be representative and non-exhaustive of the evidence and

reasons supporting the enactment herein.	The Board will consider	relevant evidence thai	t continues to
be collected.			

- 15. In enacting this ordinance, the Board considered and relied on (a) the fact that a substantial percentage of City agencies receive federal funds, a vast portion of which is expended in City contracts, (b) the federal requirements for eradication of discrimination, including the evidence supporting those requirements, and (c) all applicable constitutional standards including those that apply to federally funded projects.

— 16. This Board finds that the testimony of minority and women business owners who seek to enter into contracts with the City or are doing business with the City, as presented to this Board and the Human Rights Commission, offer clear and persuasive evidence of discrimination to such an extent that the disparity of contract dollars awarded to minority- and women-owned enterprises can only be explained by discrimination. The statistical evidence, oral and written histories, and social science evidence reviewed by this Board also support this finding. Accordingly, this Board adopts this ordinance to remedy the specifically identified City contracting practices and conditions in the Community and industries that cause the exclusion or reduction of contracting opportunities for minority- and women-owned businesses in City prime and subcontracting programs.

17. Based on a comparative review of the use of minority- and women-owned businesses in the public and private sectors in the City, oral and written histories and additional evidence, this Board finds that there is a substantial reduction in the use of minority- and women-owned firms in private sector contracting in the absence of MBE/WBE requirements such as those found in this ordinance. In the private sector, substantial evidence demonstrates that minority- and women-owned businesses are seldom or never used by prime contractors for projects that do not have MBE/WBE goal requirements. Therefore, this Board finds that if this ordinance were not enacted and the MBE/WBE goal requirements eliminated, the discrimination against and nonutilization of minority- and women-owned

1	businesses now existing in the private sector would occur immediately in the awarding of City
2	contracts.
3	— 18. This Board further finds that local businesses that seek prime contracting and
4	subcontracting opportunities in City contracting continue to labor under a competitive disadvantage
5	with businesses from other areas because of the higher administrative costs of doing business in the
6	City (e.g., higher taxes, higher rents, higher wages and benefits for labor, higher insurance rates, etc.).
7	19. This Board finds that public interest is served by encouraging economically
8	disadvantaged businesses to locate and to remain in San Francisco through the provision of bid
9	discounts to such San Francisco businesses in the award of City contracts and by requiring prime
10	contractors to use good faith efforts to use such businesses as subcontractors when there are
11	subcontracting opportunities available on City contracts.
12	20. Additionally, this Board finds that policies and programs that enhance the
13	opportunities and entrepreneurial skills of local businesses will best serve the public interest because
14	the growth and development of such businesses will have a significant positive impact on the economic
15	health of San Francisco by, among other things, the creation of local jobs and increased tax revenue.
16	— 21. The Board finds that affording a five percent bid discount for economically
17	disadvantaged local businesses bidding on City contracts reduces the disadvantages under which these
18	businesses compete.
19	22. The bid discount mechanism in this ordinance is used to assure equality in the
20	treatment of opportunities to any bidder for City contracts. This Board further finds that the failure to
21	use such a bid discount would result in discrimination against or preferential treatment to certain
22	individuals and/or groups.
23	
24	

SEC. 12D.A.3. DECLARATION OF POLICY.

It is the policy of the City and County of San Francisco to ensure full and equitable opportunities for minority business enterprises, woman business enterprises, and local business enterprises to participate as prime contractors in providing goods and services to the City. This program is intended to correct identified discriminatory practices inherent in the City's procurement process and in the award of prime contracts to MBE/WBEs. Another goal of this ordinance is to offset some of the economic disadvantages local businesses continue to face that are not shared by nonlocal businesses.

The City will continue to rely on the relationship between the percentages of MBEs/WBEs in the relevant sector of the San Francisco business community and their respective shares of City contract dollars as a measure of the effectiveness of this ordinance in remedying the effects of the aforementioned discrimination.

The City is continuing to use a discount for local business in the award of City contracts in order to encourage businesses to locate and to remain in San Francisco and thereby enhance employment opportunities for persons living in San Francisco. The cost of locating and doing business in San Francisco continues to be as much as 15 percent and greater than the cost of doing business in the surrounding communities. Providing a five-percent bid discount for local businesses bidding on City contracts reduces the disadvantages under which City-located businesses labor when competing for City contracts. For that reason, affording them a five-percent bid discount makes good sense. In effect, the bid discount assists these businesses in contributing to the economic health of the City. The five-percent bid discount does not unduly hamper nonlocal businesses in the contracting process, and parallels the discounts awarded in many other local jurisdictions.

SEC. 12D.A.4. SCOPE.

The race- and gender-conscious bid discounts of this ordinance shall be afforded only to economically disadvantaged minority- and women-owned businesses in all specifically enumerated categories of City contracts for the procurement of goods and services subject to exemptions hereinafter specifically enumerated. The local business bid discount shall be afforded to all economically disadvantaged local businesses in the award of all City contracts for the procurement of goods and services subject to exceptions hereinafter specifically enumerated in Section 12D.A.15.

SEC. 12D.A.5. DEFINITIONS.

"Architect/Engineering Contracts" shall mean an agreement for architects, engineers, and other outside temporary professional design, consultant or construction management services for a public work project.

"Back contracting" shall mean any agreement or other arrangement between a prime contractor and its subcontractor that requires the prime contractor to perform or to secure the performance of the subcontract in such a fashion and/or under such terms and conditions that the prime contractor enjoys the financial benefits of the subcontract. Such agreements or other arrangements include, but are not limited to, situations in which either a prime contractor or subcontractor agrees that any term, condition or obligation imposed upon the subcontractor by the subcontract shall be performed by or be the responsibility of the prime contractor.

"Best efforts" when required of contract awarding authority shall mean reasonable efforts to include minorities, MBEs, women, or WBEs in City contracting.

"Bid" shall mean and include a quotation, proposal, solicitation or offer by a bidder or contractor to perform or provide labor, materials, equipment, supplies or services to the City and County of San Francisco for a price.

1	"Bidder" shall mean any business that submits a quotation, bid or proposal to provide labor,
2	materials, equipment, supplies or services to the City and County of San Francisco.
3	"City" shall mean the City and County of San Francisco.
4	"Commercially useful function" shall mean that the business is directly responsible for
5	providing the materials, equipment, supplies or services to the City as required by the solicitation or
6	request for quotes, bids or proposals. MBEs, WBEs or LBEs that engage in the business of providing
7	brokerage, referral or temporary employment services shall not be deemed to perform a "commercially
8	useful function" unless the brokerage, referral or temporary employment services are those required
9	and sought by the City. When the City requires and seeks specialty products made to order for the City
10	or otherwise seeks products which, by industry practice, are not regularly stocked in warehouse
11	inventory but instead are purchased directly from the manufacturer, the value of the "commercially
12	useful function" provided by a supplier or distributor shall be valued at no more than five percent of the
13	cost of the product. When the City requires and seeks products which are, by industry practice, stocked
14	in warehouse inventory and are in fact, regularly stocked by the listed supplier or distributor, the value
15	of the "commercially useful function" provided by the supplier or distributor shall not exceed sixty
16	percent of the cost of the product. If the listed supplier or distributor does not regularly stock the
17	required product, the value of the "commercially useful function" provided by the supplier or
18	distributor shall be valued at no more than five percent of the cost of the product.
19	"Commission" shall mean the Human Rights Commission of the City and County of San
20	Francisco.
21	"Commodity" shall mean products, including materials, equipment and supplies, purchased
22	by the City.
23	"Concession" shall mean any privilege conferred by the City on a person to engage in
24	business on property owned or leased by the City.

—"Contract" shall mean and include any agreement between the City and a person to provide
or procure labor, materials, equipment, supplies or services to, for or on behalf of the City. A
"contract" shall include an agreement between the City and a person or nonprofit entity to perform
construction-related services or fund the performance of such services. A "contract" does not include:
(1) awards made by the City with federal/State grant or City funds to a nonprofit entity where the City
offers assistance, guidance, or supervision on a project or program and the recipient of the grant
award uses the grant monies to provide services to the community; (2) sales transactions where the
City sells its personal or real property; (3) a loan transaction where the City is acting as a debtor or a
creditor; (4) lease, franchise, or concession agreements; (5) agreements to use City real property; (6)
gifts of materials, equipment, supplies or services to the City; or (7) agreements with a public agency
except as provided in Section 12D.A.9(E).
"Contract awarding authority" shall mean the City officer, department, commission, employee
or board authorized to enter into contracts on behalf of the City. In the case of an agreement with a
person or nonprofit entity to perform or fund the performance of construction-related services, the term
"contract awarding authority" shall mean the person or nonprofit entity receiving funds from the City
to perform or fund the performance of such services.
"Contractor" shall mean any person(s), firm, partnership, corporation, or combination
thereof, who submits a bid or proposal to perform, performs any part of, agrees with a person to
provide services relating to and/or enters into a contract with department heads and officers or
contract awarding authorities empowered by law to enter into contracts on the part of the City for
public works or improvements to be performed, or for goods or services or supplies to be purchased at

"Control" of a business shall refer to the possession of the legal authority and power to manage business assets, good will and daily operations of the business, and the active and continuous

the expense of the City or to be paid out of monies deposited in the treasury or out of trust monies

under the control of or collected by the City.

•	exercise of such dumorny and power in determining the policies and directing the operations of the
2	business.
3	"Director" shall mean the Director of the Human Rights Commission of San Francisco.
4	"Discount" shall mean an upward or downward price adjustment, according to the context,
5	that is made for the purpose of remedying, in the case of MBEs and WBEs, identified discrimination,
6	and, in the case of LBEs, the competitive disadvantage caused by the higher administrative costs of
7	doing business in the City.
8	"Economically disadvantaged business" shall mean a business whose average gross annual
9	receipts in the three fiscal years immediately preceding its application for certification as a MBE, WBE
10	or LBE do not exceed the following limits: (1) public works/construction; specialty construction
11	contractors; (2) goods/materials/equipment and general services suppliers; (3) professional services
12	and architect/engineering; (4) trucking; and (5) telecommunicationsAny business under common
13	ownership, in whole or in part, with any other business(es) shall be considered an "economically
14	disadvantaged business" only if the aggregate gross annual receipts of all the businesses under such
15	common ownership do not exceed the limits specified in this section. All businesses owned by married
16	spouses or domestic partners shall be considered under common ownership unless the businesses are
17	in unrelated industries and no community property or other jointly owned assets were used to establish
18	or are used to operate either business.
19	"Franchise" shall mean and include the right or privilege conferred by grant from the City, or
20	any contracting agency thereof, and vested in and authorizing a person to conduct such business or
21	engage in such activity as is specified in the grant. A "franchise" shall not include an agreement to
22	perform construction-related services.
23	—"General services contract" shall mean an agreement for those services that are not
24	professional services. Examples of "General Services" include: janitorial, security guard, pest control,
25	parking lot management and landscaping services.

"Good-faith efforts" when required of a contract awarding authority or department shall
mean the actions undertaken by a department to obtain MBE or WBE participation in a contract as
prime contractors, and shall include the following efforts: (1) encouraging MBE/WBEs to attend prebid
meetings scheduled by a department or the Commission to inform potential contractors of contracting
opportunities; (2) advertising in general circulation media, trade association publications and
minority/woman business focused media and posting the contacting opportunity on the Office of
Contract Administration's website pursuant to Section 12.D.A.9(A)6; (3) notifying MBE/WBEs that are
available to perform the work contemplated in a contract and soliciting their interest in the contract;
(4) dividing the contract work into economically feasible units to facilitate MBE/WBE participation in
the contract; (5) pursuing solicitations of interest by contacting MBE/WBEs to determine whether these
businesses are interested in participating on the contract; (6) providing MBE/WBEs with adequate
information about the plan, specifications and requirements of the contract; (7) where applicable,
negotiating with MBE/WBEs in good faith and demonstrating that MBE/WBEs were not rejected as
unqualified without sound reasons based on a thorough investigation of their capabilities; and (8)
using the services of available community and contractors' groups, local, State or federal minority and
woman business assistance offices that provide assistance in the recruitment of MBE/WBEs for public
sector contracts.
"Good-faith efforts" when required of a prime city contractor shall mean the steps undertaken
to comply with the goals and requirements imposed by the City for participation by MBE/WBEs as
subcontractors, and shall include the following:
— (1) Attending any presolicitation or prebid meetings scheduled by the City to inform all
bidders of MBE/WBE program requirements for the project for which the contract will be awarded;
— (2) Identifying and selecting specific items of the project for which the contract will be
awarded to be performed by MBE/WBEs to provide an opportunity for participation by those
enterprises;

1	— (3) Advertising for MBEs or WBEs that are interested in participating in the project, not
2	less than 10 calendar days before the date the bids can first be submitted, in one or more daily or
3	weekly newspapers, trade association publications, minority or trade-oriented publications, trade
4	journals, or other media, specified by the City. This paragraph applies only if the City gave public
5	notice of the project not less than 15 calendar days prior to the date the bids can first be submitted;
6	— (4) Providing, not less than 10 calendar days prior to the date on which bids can first be
7	submitted, written notice of his or her interest in bidding on the contract to the number of MBEs or
8	WBEs required to be notified by the project specifications. The City shall make available to the bidder
9	not less than 15 calendar days prior to the date the bids are opened a list or a source of lists of
10	enterprises that are certified by the Director as MBE/WBEs;
11	— (5) Following up initial solicitations of interest by contacting potential MBE/WBE
12	subcontractors to determine with certainty whether those enterprises were interested in performing
13	specific items of the project;
14	— (6) Providing interested MBE/WBEs with information about the plans, specifications, and
15	requirements for the selected subcontracting or material supply work;
16	(7) Requesting assistance from minority and women community organizations; minority
17	and women contractor or professional groups; local, State or federal minority and women business
18	assistance offices; or other organizations that provide assistance in the recruitment and placement of
19	minority or women business enterprises, if any are available;
20	— (8) Negotiating in good faith with interested MBEs or WBEs, and not unjustifiably
21	rejecting as unsatisfactory bids or proposals prepared by any MBEs or WBEs, as determined by the
22	City;
23	— (9) Where applicable, advising and making efforts to assist interested MBE/WBEs in
24	obtaining bonds, lines of credit, or insurance required by the City or contractor;

1	— (10) Making efforts to obtain MBE/WBE participation that the City could reasonably
2	expect would produce a level of participation sufficient to meet the City's goals and requirements.
3	"Human Rights Commission (HRC)" shall mean the Human Rights Commission of San
4	Francisco, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission."
5	"Joint venture" shall mean an association of two or more businesses acting as a contractor
6	and performing or providing services on a contract, in which each joint venture partner combines
7	property, capital, efforts, skill, and/or knowledge and each joint venture partner shares in the
8	ownership, control, management responsibilities, risks and profits of the joint venture in proportion to
9	its claimed level of participation.
10	"Lease" shall mean and include an agreement by which the City or any contracting agency
11	thereof, grants to a person the temporary possession and use of property for consideration.
12	-"Local business" or "Local business enterprise (LBE)" shall mean an economically
13	disadvantaged business that is an independent and continuing business for profit, performs a
14	commercially useful function and is a firm that:
15	— (1) Has fixed offices or distribution points located within the geographical boundaries of
16	the City where a commercially useful function is performed. Businesses that supply commodities must
17	continuously maintain warehouses stocked with inventory within the geographical boundaries of the
18	City. Truckers must park their registered vehicles and trailers within the geographical boundaries of
19	the City. Post office box numbers or residential addresses shall not suffice to establish status as a
20	"Local Business";
21	— (2) Is listed in the Permits and License Tax Paid File with a San Francisco business street
22	address; and
23	— (3) Possesses a current Business Tax Registration Certificate at the time of the application
24	for certification as a local business;

1	— (4) Has been located and doing business in the City for at least six months preceding its
2	application for certification as a local business; and
3	(5) Is certified as an LBE pursuant to Section 12D.A.6(B)(1).
4	-No business that is owned in part or in whole by a full time City employee or City officer shall
5	be considered a "local business" or "local business enterprise (LBE)" within the meaning of this
6	ordinance.
7	—"Lower-tier subcontracting" shall mean any agreement or other arrangement between a sub-
8	contractor and a person as defined herein where it is agreed that said person shall to perform any
9	term, condition or obligation imposed by the subcontract upon the subcontractor.
10	-"Minority," "minorities," or "minority person" shall mean members of one or more of the
11	following ethnic groups:
12	- African Americans: (defined as persons whose ancestry is from any of the Black racial groups
13	of Africa or the Caribbean);
14	- Arab Americans: (defined as persons whose ancestry is from an Arabic speaking country that
15	is a current or former member of the League of Arab States);
16	- Asian Americans (defined as persons with Chinese, Japanese, Korean, Pacific Islander,
17	Samoan, Filipino, Asian Indian, and Southeast Asian ancestry);
18	- Iranian Americans (defined as persons whose ancestry is from the country of Iran);
19	- Latino Americans (defined as persons with Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, Central American
20	or South American ancestry. Persons with European Spanish ancestry are not included as Latino
21	Americans); and
22	- Native Americans (defined as any person whose ancestry is from any of the original peoples
23	of North America, and who maintains cultural identification through tribal affiliation or community
24	recognition.

1	-"Minority business enterprise (MBE)" shall mean an economically disadvantaged local
2	business that is an independent and continuing business for profit, performs a commercially useful
3	function, is owned and controlled by one or more minority persons residing in the United States or its
4	territories and is certified as an MBE pursuant to Section 12D.A.6(B).
5	"Office" or "offices" shall mean a fixed and established place where work is performed of a
6	clerical, administrative, professional or production nature directly pertinent to the business being
7	certified. A temporary location or movable property or one that was established to oversee a project
8	such as a construction project office does not qualify as an "office" under the ordinance. Work space
9	provided in exchange for services (in lieu of monetary rent) does not constitute an "office." The office is
10	not required to be the headquarters for the business but it must be capable of providing all the services
11	to operate the business for which LBE certification is sought.
12	"Owned," for purposes of determining whether a business is a MBE or WBE shall mean that
13	minorities or women, as the context requires:
14	(1) Possess an ownership interest of at least 51 percent of the business;
15	(2) Possess incidents of ownership, such as an interest in profit and loss, equal to at least
16	the required ownership interest percentage; and
17	(3) Contribute capital, equipment to the business equal to at least the required ownership
18	percentage. Promissory notes are not sufficient to constitute capital contributions.
19	(4) Contribute expertise relevant to the business' essential functions at least equivalent to
20	the ownership interest.
21	-For an individual seeking MBE or WBE certification, ownership shall be measured as though
22	the applicant's ownership were not subject to the community property interest of a spouse, if both
23	spouses certify that (a) only the woman or minority spouse participates in the management of the
24	business and the nonparticipating spouse relinquishes control over his/her community property interest
25	in the subject business or (b) both spouses have bona fide management and control of the business.

1	—"Participation commitment" shall mean the targeted level of MBE/WBE subcontractor
2	participation that each prime city contractor has designated in its bid.
3	"Participation goals" shall mean the targeted levels of City-wide MBE/WBE participation in
4	City prime contracts that reflect the relevant share of MBEs or WBEs in a given industry or profession
5	referred to as "percent availability" in the utilization indices contained on file with the Clerk of this
6	Board in File No. 98-0612.
7	"Percent availability" shall mean the relevant share of MBEs or WBEs in a given industry or
8	profession.
9	"Person" includes one or more individuals, partnerships, associations, organizations, trade or
10	professional associations, corporations, cooperatives, legal representatives, trustees, trustees in
11	bankruptcy, receivers, or any group of persons, including any official, agent or employee of the City.
12	"Professional services contract" shall mean an agreement for services which require extended
13	analysis, the exercise of discretion and independent judgment in their performance, and/or the
14	application of an advanced, specialized type of knowledge, expertise, or training customarily acquired
15	either by a prolonged course of study or equivalent experience in the field. Examples of professional
16	service providers include licensed professionals such as accountants, and non-licensed professionals
17	such as software developers and financial and other consultants, except that services of architects,
18	engineers, and other outside temporary professional design, consultant or construction management
19	services for a public work project shall be considered architect/engineering contracts and shall not be
20	considered professional services contracts for the purpose of this Ordinance.
21	"Public works/construction contract" shall mean an agreement for the erection, construction,
22	renovation, alteration, improvement, demolition, excavation, installation, or repair of any public
23	building, structure, infrastructure, bridge, road, street, park, dam, tunnel, utility or similar public
24	facility performed by or for the City and County of San Francisco, the cost of which is to be paid wholly
25	or partially out of moneys deposited in the treasury of the City and County.

1	—"Services" shall mean Professional Services and General Services.
2	"Subcontractor" shall mean any business providing goods or services to a contractor for
3	profit, if such goods or services are procured or used in fulfillment of the contractor's obligations
4	arising from a contract with the City.
5	"Subcontractor participation goals" shall mean the targeted level of MBE/WBE subcontractor
6	participation designated by the Director for prime city contracts.
7	
8	business that is an independent and continuing business for profit, performs a commercially useful
9	function, is owned and controlled by one or more women residing in the United States or its territories
10	and is certified as a WBE pursuant to Section 12D.A.6(B).
11	
12	SEC. 12D.A.6. POWERS AND DUTIES OF THE COMMISSION AND THE DIRECTOR.
13	(A) In addition to the duties and powers given to the Human Rights Commission elsewhere,
14	the Commission shall:
15	— 1. Collect, analyze and periodically report to this Board relevant data that will assist this
16	Board in determining whether (a) the scope of this ordinance in terms of race- or gender-conscious
17	remedies shall be expanded to include new contract areas or minority groups and (b) whether the
18	scope of this ordinance should be limited because the City has met its obligation to adopt and to
19	implement necessary measures to remedy both its active discrimination and its passive perpetuation of
20	private discrimination;
21	2. Levy the same sanctions that a contracting awarding authority may levy as specified in
22	Section 12D.A.9(A)(7);
23	3. When necessary, subpoena persons and records, books and documents for a proceeding
24	of the Commission or an investigation by the Director or an audit pursuant to Section 12D.A.6(E)
25	conducted to further the purposes of this ordinance;

1	— 4. Adopt rules and regulations establishing standards and procedures for effectively
2	carrying out this ordinance. Among other things, the rules and regulations shall provide for
3	administrative procedures that will allow a business to prove and the Commission to recommend to this
4	Board that the ordinance's remedial measures should not be applied to an industry or profession
5	because MBE/WBE participation in City prime contracts has reached parity with MBE/WBE
6	participation in the relevant business community and that MBE/WBEs no longer suffer from a
7	discrimination-induced competitive disadvantage in the applicable industry or profession. The
8	regulations shall also provide a mechanism for contractors to seek a determination by the Director that
9	a MBE or WBE may not be granted a race- or gender-conscious bid discount where it is demonstrated
10	that the MBE's or WBE's bid price is not attributable to the effects of past discrimination;
11	— 5. Issue forms for the Controller or contract awarding departments to collect information
12	from contractors as prescribed by this ordinance;
13	— 6. Hear appeals challenging: (i) the Director's disqualification of a bidder or Contractor
14	as specified in Section 12D.A.16(b), (ii) the Director's denial of an application for or revocation of the
15	certification of a business as an MBE, WBE, or LBE, as specified in Section 12D.A.6(B)(2), or (iii) the
16	Director's denial of a request to waive or to reduce subcontractor participation goals as specified in
17	Section 12D.A.17(H);
18	— 7. By regulation require contract awarding authorities, departments and the Controller to
19	provide to the Director such information as will be necessary to enable the Director to keep a database
20	from which discrimination can be identified, to report to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors at the
21	end of each fiscal year on the progress each City department has made towards the achievement of
22	MBE and WBE participation goals and to perform his/her other duties. The database is a public record
23	available to the public as provided by state and local law;
24	8. Adopt rules and regulations as deemed necessary by the Director to ensure that the joint

venture bid/ratings discount is applied only to joint ventures where the MBE, WBE or LBE has

1	sufficient skill, experience, and financial capacity to perform the portion of the work identified for the
2	MBE, WBE or LBE.
3	9. Consistent with the provisions of the ordinance make such other rules and regulations as
4	are necessary to guide its implementation.
5	(B) In addition to the duties and powers given to the Director elsewhere, the Director shall
6	have the following duties and powers:
7	— 1. Through appropriately promulgated procedures, the Director shall certify businesses as
8	bona fide MBEs/WBEs/LBEs. These procedures shall provide that any business seeking certification as
9	an LBE shall meet the definition of an LBE and possess or establish all of the following: (1) business
10	cards for the San Francisco office; (2) business stationery for the San Francisco office; (3) a written
11	agreement for occupancy of a San Francisco office including documentation of payment of monetary
12	rent (receipts and copies of cancelled checks); (4) a listing of the business in an appropriate business
13	buyers guide such as a telephone yellow pages listing San Francisco based businesses; (5) a San
14	Francisco office in which business is transacted that is appropriately equipped for the type of business
15	for which the enterprise seeks certification as an LBE; (6) a conspicuously displayed business sign at
16	the San Francisco business premises except where the business operates out of a residence; and (7)
17	licenses issued to the business owner appropriate for the type of business for which the enterprise seeks
18	certification;
19	2. Except where the Director cannot certify a business because the business has not been
20	established in San Francisco for the requisite six months, whenever the Director denies an application

for or revokes the certification of a business as a MBE, WBE, LBE because the business is not eligible

to be certified as a bona fide MBE, WBE, LBE, the Director shall, within three working days of his/her

decision, notify the aggrieved business in writing of the basis for revocation or denial of certification

transmitted to the business via certified mail or via facsimile. The Director shall require a business to

and the date on which the business will be eligible to reapply for certification. The notice shall be

City Attorney
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

21

22

23

24

1	wait at least six months but not more than two years after the denial or revocation before reapplying to
2	the Director for certification as a MBE, WBE or LBE. The Director shall provide any business whose
3	certification is revoked an opportunity to be heard within three business days of the revocation. A
4	business may appeal the Director's denial or revocation of certification of a business as an MBE, WBE,
5	or LBE to the Commission. The appeal must be filed with the Commission within three business days
6	following receipt of the Director's decision. Notice by the Director to the business of denial or
7	revocation of certification as an MBE, WBE or LBE shall apprise the business of its right to appeal the
8	decision;
9	3. The Director shall have the ultimate responsibility for ensuring that the necessary data
10	is collected and analyzed. Annually, and more often if the Director deems necessary, the Director shall
11	analyze the most recently available data of MBEs and WBEs in the various industries and professions
12	doing business with the City. Applying statistically sound methods of analysis and considering other
13	evidence of discrimination, the Director shall identify areas of contracting where the City or any of its
14	departments (a) is failing to meet the participation goals to such an extent that an inference of
15	discrimination can be made, or (b) is otherwise discriminating in its contracts. In addition, the Director
16	shall identify areas of contracting where the City is meeting and/or exceeding participation goal to
17	such an extent that the MBE or WBE bid discounts can no longer be justified. The results of this study
18	shall be included in the Commission's annual report required by Section 12D.A.18(B);
19	4. Not later than July 1st of each fiscal year, the Director shall transmit to this Board
20	proposed amendments to this ordinance that the Director deems necessary to ensure that the ordinance
21	provides adequate remedies for identified discrimination while going no further than necessary to
22	remedy the identified discrimination;
23	5. The Director shall work with the Controller and City departments to implement a City-
24	wide prompt-payment policy requiring that MBEs, WBEs and LBEs be paid by the City, within 30 days

1	after the date on which the City receives an invoice from an MBE, WBE or LBE for work performed for
2	the City;
3	6. The Director shall provide information and other assistance to MBEs and WBEs to
4	increase their ability to compete effectively for the award of City contracts;
5	7. The Director shall assist the City to increase participation by MBEs and WBEs in City
6	contracts;
7	8. The Director shall continue to develop and to strengthen education and training
8	programs for MBEs and WBEs and City contract awarding personnel;
9	9. The Director shall grant waivers as set forth in Sections 12D.A.15 and 12D.A.17(E)
10	through (H), and may disqualify a bidder or contractor as set forth in Section 12.D.A.16(b).
11	(C) The requirements of this ordinance are in addition to those imposed by the United States
12	or the State of California as a condition of financial assistance or otherwise. In contracts which involve
13	the use of any funds furnished, given or loaned by the government of the United States or the State of
14	California, all laws, rules and regulations of the government of the United States or the State of
15	California or of any of its departments relative to the performance of such work and the conditions
16	under which the work is to be performed, shall prevail over the requirements of this ordinance when
17	such laws, rules or regulations are in conflict. In addition, the Director may authorize the substitution
18	of such State or federal minority business enterprise and women business enterprise requirements for
19	the requirements of this ordinance whenever such State or federal requirements are substantially the
20	same as those of this ordinance.
21	(D) The Director, with the approval of the Commission, may enter into cooperative
22	agreements with agencies, public and private, concerned with increasing the use of MBEs and WBEs in
23	government contracting, subject to the approval of this Board.
24	(E) The Director, in cooperation with the Controller, shall randomly audit at least three
25	prime contractors each fiscal year in order to insure their compliance with the provisions of this

ordinance. The Director, in cooperation with the Controller, shall furthermore randomly audit 10 percent of the joint ventures granted bid discounts in each fiscal year. The Controller shall have the right to audit the books and records of the contractors, joint venture participants, and any and all subcontractors to insure compliance with the provisions of this ordinance.

SEC. 12D.A.7. POWERS AND DUTIES OF THE CONTROLLER.

—(A) In addition to the duties given to the Controller elsewhere, the Controller shall work cooperatively with the Director to provide such contractual encumbrance and payment data as the Director advises are necessary to form the basis of the Commission's report to the Mayor, this Board and the public on the participation of MBEs and WBEs in City prime contracts. If any department refuses or fails to provide the required data to the Controller, the Controller shall immediately notify the Mayor, this Board and the Director.

(B) The Controller shall not certify the award of any contract subject to this ordinance where the Director has notified the Controller that the contract awarding authority has not provided the information the Director advises is necessary under this ordinance.

-(C) Each request for payment to a City contractor submitted to the contract awarding authority shall be accompanied by a subcontractor participation form approved by the Commission. That form shall contain information that the Commission has determined is necessary to enable the Commission and the Director (1) to monitor compliance by City departments and their prime contractors with their obligations under this ordinance (2) to determine whether City departments are achieving their prime and subcontracting goals under this ordinance, (3) to determine whether to recommend changes in this ordinance to ensure that the ordinance continues to serve as a remedy for discrimination in contracting while going no further than necessary to remedy that discrimination, and (4) to make such other reports and analyses as are required by this ordinance.

— In the event that a request for payment fails to include the information required pursuant to
this Section, the contract awarding authority shall, within two working days, notify the Director and the
affected prime contractor[s] of the failure and afford each affected prime contractor an opportunity to
be heard promptly. That notice shall inform the contractor that the contract awarding authority has
tentatively determined that the information has not been provided, what information is missing and that
if this failure is substantiated, then the Controller will be notified to withhold 20 percent of the payment
until the information is provided. If the Controller finds, after consultation with the Director and the
notice and opportunity to be heard, that the information has not been provided, the Controller shall
withhold 20 percent of the payment otherwise due until the information is provided.

(D) It is the City's policy that MBEs, WBEs and LBEs should be paid by the City within 30 days of the date on which the City receives an invoice from an MBE, WBE or LBE for work performed for the City. The Controller shall work with the Director and representatives of City departments to implement this City wide prompt-payment policy.

(E) The contract awarding authority shall require all prime contractors to submit, within 10 days following payment to the prime contractor of moneys owed for work completed on a project, an affidavit under penalty of perjury, that all subcontractors on the project or job have been paid and the amounts of each of those payments. The name, telephone number and business address of every subcontractor shall be listed on the affidavit. If a prime contractor fails to submit this affidavit, the contract awarding authority shall notify the Director who shall take appropriate action as authorized under Section 12D.A.16(B) and (F).

SEC. 12D.A.8. POWERS AND DUTIES OF THE MAYOR.

— In addition to the duties given to the Mayor elsewhere, the Mayor shall:

1. By July 1st of each fiscal year, issue notices to all City departments informing them of their duties under this ordinance. The notice shall contain the following information: (1) the City-wide

1	MBE/WBE participation goals that departments are expected to use good-faith efforts to attain during
2	the fiscal year and that a department's failure to use good-faith efforts to attain the MBE/WBE
3	participation goals shall be reported to this Board in the Commission's annual report; and (2) the data
4	each department is required to provide the Controller on each contract award;
5	2. Coordinate and enforce cooperation and compliance by all departments with this
6	ordinance.
7	
8	SEC. 12D.A.9. POWERS AND DUTIES OF CONTRACT AWARDING AUTHORITIES.
9	(A) Contract awarding authorities shall:
10	1. Use good-faith efforts for all contracts subject to the bid/ratings discount provisions of
11	this ordinance to solicit and to obtain quotes, bids or proposals from MBEs and WBEs on all
12	solicitations, or document their unavailability;
13	2. Unless otherwise indicated in this ordinance, extend a discount in all bids, proposals
14	and contracts and in the composition of rating scales as follows: (1) a five percent discount to (i) an
15	LBE or (ii) a joint venture with MBE or WBE participation that equals or exceeds 35 percent but is
16	under 40 percent; or (iii) where a joint venture is composed of only LBEs with no MBE or WBE
17	participation or where the MBE or WBE participation is less than 35 percent; (2) a seven and one-half
18	percent bid discount to a joint venture with MBE or WBE participation that equals or exceeds 40
19	percent; (3) a 10 percent discount to (i) an MBE or WBE or (ii) a joint venture between or among
20	MBEs or/and WBEs.
21	The contracting awarding authority shall apply the aforementioned appropriate
22	bid/ratings discount only to a joint venture (1) that meets the requirements of Section 12D.A.6(A)7 and
23	(2) when the MBE or WBE is an active partner in the joint venture and performs work, manages the job
24	and takes financial risks in proportion to the required level of participation stated in the bid documents

and is responsible for a clearly defined portion of the work to be performed, and shares proportionately

1	in the ownership, control, management responsibilities, risks, and profits of the joint venture. The
2	portion of the MBE or WBE joint venture's work shall be set forth in detail separately from the work to
3	be performed by the nonMBE or nonWBE joint venture partner. The MBE or WBE joint venture's
4	portion of the contract must be assigned a commercially reasonable dollar value;
5	— 3. Arrange contracting by size and type of work to be performed so as most effectively to
6	enhance the opportunity for participation by MBEs and WBEs to the maximum extent feasible. As soon
7	as practical before soliciting quotes, bids or proposals, all contract awarding authorities or in the cas
8	of a professional services contract, the department making the contract award recommendation, shall
9	submit all large proposals to the Director for review. The purpose of the Director's review is to
10	determine whether the proposed project can be divided into smaller projects so as to enhance the
11	opportunity for participation by MBEs and WBEs in the project purposes of this subsection, the term
12	"large project" shall mean the following: (1) any public works/construction project estimated to cost
13	more than \$5,000,000; and (2) any professional services contract estimated to cost more than
14	\$100,000. If the Director determines, after consulting with the contract awarding authority or
15	department responsible for the project, that the project can be divided into smaller projects, the
16	contract awarding authority or department shall comply with the Director's determination and issue
17	the solicitation for quotes, bids or proposals in accordance with the Director's determination;
18	4. Adjust bid bonding and insurance requirements in accordance with the most current
19	version of the City's "Contract Insurance Manual" or as otherwise authorized by the City Risk
20	Manager, Department of Administrative Services;
21	5. Use the City's Surety Bonding Program set forth in Administrative Code Section
22	12D.A.10 to assist MBEs, WBEs and LBEs bidding on and performing City pubic works contracts to
23	meet bonding requirements and/or obtain construction loans;

6. Submit to the Office of Contract Administration (OCA) in electronic format or a format

specified by the all bid opportunities, requests for proposals and Solicitations for which published

24

notice or advertising is required, no later than 10 calendar days prior to the announcement of the bid
opportunity, request for proposal or Solicitation. A contract awarding authority must obtain a waiver
from its commission, or in the case of a department that has no commission, from the Board of
Supervisors, if it cannot meet the requirements of this Section 12D.A.9(A)6. The OCA shall cause to be
posted upon a website the following information concerning current bids, requests for proposals and
Solicitations: the title and number; the name of the contract awarding authority; and the name and
telephone number of the person to be contacted for further information. Such information shall be
posted with sufficient lead time to provide adequate notice and opportunity to potential City
contractors and vendors to participate in the bid opportunity, request for proposals or Solicitation, but
in no event less than 10 calendar days prior to the due date for such bid opportunity, request for
proposals or Solicitation;
7. Impose such sanctions or take such other actions as are designed to ensure compliance
with the provisions of this ordinance, which shall include, but are not limited to:
——————————————————————————————————————
(b) Order the suspension of a contract,
(c) Order the withholding of funds,
(d) Order the revision of a contract based upon a material breach of contract provisions
pertaining to MBE or WBE participation,
(e) Disqualify a bidder, contractor, subcontractor, or other business from eligibility for
providing goods or services to the City for a period not to exceed five years, based on the standards set
forth in this ordinance and rules and regulations promulgated by the Commission. Any business
disqualified under this subsection shall have a right to review and reconsideration by the Commission
after two years upon a showing of corrective action indicating that violations are not likely to recur;
8. Not award any contract to a person or business that is disqualified from doing business
with the City under the provisions of this ordinance;

1	— 9. Designate a staff person to be responsible for responding to the Director and
2	Commission regarding the requirements of this ordinance;
3	— 10. Maintain accurate records as required by the Director and the Commission for each
4	contract awarded, its dollar value, the nature of the goods or services to be provided, the name of the
5	contractor awarded the contract, the efforts made by a contractor to solicit bids from and award
6	subcontracts to MBEs and WBEs and LBEs;
7	— 11. Where feasible, provide technical assistance to MBEs and WBEs to increase their
8	ability to compete effectively for the award of City contracts;
9	— 12. Work with the Director and the Controller to implement a City-wide prompt-payment
10	policy requiring that MBEs, WBEs and LBEs be paid by the City within 30 days of the date on which
11	the City receives an invoice from an MBE, WBE or LBE for work performed for the City;
12	— 13. Provide the Director with written notice of all contract amendments, modifications,
13	supplements and change orders that cumulatively result in an increase or decrease of the contract's
14	dollar amount of more than 10 percent. Such notice shall be provided within 10 days of each such
15	contract modification;
16	— 14. Whenever contract amendments, modifications, supplements or change orders
17	cumulatively increase the total dollar value of a contract by more than 10 percent, the contract
18	awarding authority shall require compliance with those MBE and WBE provisions of this ordinance
19	that applied to the original contract;
20	— 15. All contract amendments, modifications, supplements or change orders that
21	cumulatively increase by more than 20 percent the total dollar value of all contracts originally valued
22	at \$50,000 or more shall be subject to prior approval of the Director, who shall review the proposed
23	amendment, modification, supplement or change order to correct contracting practices that exclude
24	women or minorities from new contracting opportunities.

1	(B) Contract awarding authorities or departments may invite, encourage or request
2	businesses to joint venture on any contract to promote MBE or WBE participation.
3	(C) For the purpose of determining MBE and WBE participation, contracts awarded to joint
4	ventures in which one or more MBEs or WBEs are combined with one or more businesses that are not
5	MBEs or WBEs shall be deemed by the contract awarding authority to be awarded to MBEs or WBEs
6	only to the extent of the MBEs or WBEs participation in the joint venture. MBE and/or WBE
7	participation in the supply of goods shall be included in determining MBE and/or WBE participation in
8	a joint venture if the goods are supplied in accordance with established general industry practice.
9	(D) Contract awarding authorities shall ensure that all contracts subject to this ordinance
10	include the following requirements, in addition to such other requirements as may be set forth
11	elsewhere:
12	— 1. Each bidder, proposer and contractor shall be required to sign an affidavit, declaring
13	under penalty of perjury, attesting to its intention to comply fully with the provisions of this ordinance
14	and attesting to the truth and accuracy of all information provided regarding such compliance;
15	2. Each contract shall incorporate this ordinance by reference and shall provide that the
16	wilful failure of any bidder or contractor to comply with any of its requirements shall be deemed a
17	material breach of contract;
18	3. Contracts shall provide that in the event that the Director finds that any bidder,
19	subcontractor or contractor that wilfully fails to comply with any of the provisions of this ordinances,
20	rules and regulations implementing the ordinance or contract provisions pertaining to MBE or WBE
21	participationbidder, subcontractor or contractor shall be liable for liquidated damages for each
22	contract in an amount equal to the bidder's or contractor's net profit on the contract, 10 percent of the
23	total amount of the contract or \$1,000, whichever is greatest, as determined by the Director pursuant to

Section 12D.A.16(C). All contracts shall also contain a provision in which the bidder, subcontractor or

contractor acknowledges and agrees that the liquidated damages assessed shall be payable to the City

24

upon demand and may be set off against any monies due to the bidder, subcontractor or contractor from any contract with the City;

- 4. Contracts shall require all contractors to maintain records, including such information requested by the Director or Commission, necessary for monitoring their compliance with this ordinance and shall require prime contractors to include in any subcontract with an MBE or WBE a provision requiring the subcontractor to maintain the same records;
- 5. Contracts shall require prime contractors, during the term of the contract, to fulfill the MBE and WBE participation commitments submitted with their bids;
- WBE a provision requiring the prime contractor to compensate any MBE or WBE subcontractor for damages for breach of contract or liquidated damages equal to 5% of the subcontract amount, whichever is greater, if the prime contractor fails to comply with its commitment to use MBE and WBE subcontractors as specified in the bid/proposal unless the Commission and the contract awarding authority both give advance approval to the prime contractor to substitute subcontractors or otherwise modify the commitments in the bid/proposal documents. Contracts shall also require prime contractors to compensate any MBE or WBE subcontractor for breach of contract or liquidated damages equal to 5% of the subcontract amount, whichever is greater, if the prime contractor does not fulfill its commitment to use the MBE or WBE subcontractor as specified in the bid/proposal unless the Commission and the contract awarding authority both give advance approval to the prime contractor to substitute subcontractors or otherwise modify the commitments in the bid/proposal documents. This provision shall also state that it is enforceable in a court of competent jurisdiction;
- 7. Contracts shall require prime contractors, whenever amendments, modifications, supplements, or change orders cumulatively increase the total dollar value of a construction contract by more than 10 percent, to comply with those MBE and WBE provisions of this ordinance that applied to the original contract with respect to the amendment, modification, supplement or change order;

8. Contracts shall require prime contractors to submit to the Director for approval all
contract amendments, modifications, supplements, and change orders that cumulatively increase by
more than 20 percent the total dollar value of all contracts originally valued at \$50,000 or more. The
Director shall review the proposed amendment, modification, supplement or change order to correct
any contracting practices that exclude women and minorities from new contracting opportunities;
9. Contracts in which subcontracting is used shall prohibit back contracting to the prime
contractor or lower-tier subcontracting for any purpose inconsistent with the provisions of this
ordinance, rules and regulations adopted pursuant to this ordinance, or contract provisions pertaining
to MBE and WBE utilization;
— 10. Contracts in which subcontracting is used shall require the prime contractor to pay its
subcontractors within three working days after receiving payment from the City unless the prime
contractor notifies the Director in writing within 10 working days prior to receiving payment from the
City that there is a bona fide dispute between the prime contractor and the subcontractor, in which
case the prime contractor may withhold the disputed amount but shall pay the undisputed amount. The
Director may, upon making a determination that a bona fide dispute exists between the prime
contractor and subcontractor, waive this three day payment requirement. In making the determination
as to whether a bona fide dispute exists, the Director shall not consider the merits of the dispute.
Contracts in which subcontracting is used shall also require the contractor/consultant, within 10
working days following receipt of payment from the City, to file an affidavit, under penalty of perjury,
that he or she has paid all subcontractors. The affidavit shall provide the names and address of all
subcontractors and the amount paid to each;
— 11. Contracts shall require contractors and subcontractors to maintain records necessary
for monitoring their compliance with this ordinance for three years following completion of the project
and shall permit the Commission and Controller to inspect and audit such records.

1 (E) All contracts or other agreements between the City and persons or entities, public or 2 private, in which such persons or entities receive money from or through the City for the purpose of 3 contracting with businesses to perform public improvements, shall require such persons or entities to comply with the provisions of this ordinance in awarding and administering such contracts. 4 5 SEC. 12D.A.10. PUBLIC WORKS CONTRACTS. 6 7 -(A) In addition to the general findings set forth in Section 12D.A 2, and based upon the 8 record before this Board, the Board hereby finds that the evidence before the Board relating to the award of prime public works contracts for fiscal years 1992-93, 1993-94, 1994-95, 1996-97, 1997-98 9 10 and 1998-2003 reflects that MBEs and WBEs continue to be disadvantaged by discriminatory practices when competing for City prime public works contracts. Further, the Board finds that race-neutral 11 12 measures employed by the City have not prevented such discrimination against MBEs and WBEs from 13 occurring. 14 -(B) Contract awarding authorities shall apply bid discounts as enumerated in Section 15 12D.A.9(A) to all public work contracts the estimated cost of which exceeds \$10,000. 16 (C) Bonding and Financial Assistance Program. 17 1. Program Description. The City and County of San Francisco, acting through its Human 18 Rights Commission ("HRC"), intends to provide guarantees to private bonding companies and 19 financial institutions in order to induce those entities to provide required bonding and financing to 20 eligible contractors and subcontractors bidding on and performing City public work contracts. This 21 bonding and financial assistance program is subject to the provisions of this Subsection 12D.A.10(C). 2. Eligible Contracts. The assistance described in this Subsection 12D.A.10(C) shall be 22 23 available for any City public works contract awarded in accordance with San Francisco Administrative

Code Chapter 6.

24

1	3. Eligible Businesses. Businesses must meet the following criteria to qualify for assistance
2	under this Subsection 12D.A.10(C):
3	(a) The business may be either a prime contractor or subcontractor; and
4	(b) The business must be certified by the HRC as a Minority Business Enterprise
5	("MBE"), Woman Business Enterprise ("WBE") or Local Business Enterprise ("LBE") according to the
6	requirements of San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 12D.A;
7	(c) The business may be required to participate in a "bonding assistance training
8	program" as offered by the HRC, which is anticipated to provide the following:
9	(i) Bond application assistance,
10	——————————————————————————————————————
11	(iii) Assistance in development of a pre-bond surety profile,
12	(iv) Identification of internal financial control systems,
13	(v) Development of accurate financial reporting tools, and
14	4. Agreements Executed by the Human Rights Commission. The HRC is hereby authorized
15	to enter into the following agreements in order to implement the bonding and financial assistance
16	program described in this Subsection 12D.A.10(C):
17	(a) With respect to a surety bond, the agreement to guaranty up to 40 percent of the face
18	amount of the bond or \$750,000, whichever is less;
19	(b) With respect to a construction loan to be made to a contractor or subcontractor, an
20	agreement to guaranty up to 50 percent of the original principal amount of the construction loan or 50
21	percent of the actual loss suffered by the financial institution as a result of a loan default, whichever is
22	less; provided that in any event the City's obligations with respect to a guaranty shall not exceed
23	\$750,000;
24	

1	(c) Any other documents deemed necessary by the HRC to carry out the objectives of this
2	program, provided that such documents shall be subject to review and approval by the City Attorney's
3	Office.
4	5. Monitoring and Enforcement. The HRC shall maintain records on the use and
5	effectiveness of this program, including but not limited to (1) the identities of the businesses and
6	bonding companies participating in this program, (2) the types and dollar amounts of public work
7	contracts for which the program is utilized, and (3) the types and dollar amounts of losses which the
8	City is required to fund under this program. The HRC shall submit written reports to the Board of
9	Supervisors every six months beginning January 1, 2001, advising the Board of the status of this
10	program and its funding capacity, and an analysis of whether this program is proving to be useful and
11	needed.
12	6. Funding and Accounts. As of July 1, 2001, funding for this program may be derived
13	from the following sources:
14	— (a) The Board of Supervisors has appropriated or will appropriate funds for the
15	operation of this program.
16	(b) Each Department authorized to contract for public works or improvements pursuant
17	to San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 6 shall commit to this program up to ten percent (10%),
18	but not less than one percent (1%), of the budget for every public work or improvement undertaken. (A
19	"public work or improvement" is defined in San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 6.) This
20	subsection is effective for those public works or improvements where the award of the construction
21	contract (as defined and regulated by Administrative Code Chapter 6) occurs after July 1, 2001.
22	(c) The Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to
23	negotiate a line(s) of credit or any credit enhancement program(s) or financial products(s) with a
24	financial institution(s) to provide funding; the program's guaranty pool may serve as collateral for any
25	such line of credit.

In the event the City desires to provide credit enhancement under this Subsection for a period in excess of one fiscal year, the full aggregate amount of the City's obligations under such credit enhancement must be placed in a segregated account encumbered solely by the City's obligations under such credit enhancement.

7. Term of Bonding Assistance Program. The HRC is authorized to enter into the agreements described in this Subsection for a period ending on the earlier of (1) June 30, 2008 or (2)

agreements described in this Subsection for a period ending on the earlier of (1) June 30, 2008 or (2) the date on which the Controller is no longer able to certify the availability of funds for any new guarantee agreement.

8. Default on Guarantees. The Human Rights Commission shall decertify any contractor that defaults on a loan or bond for which the City has provided a guarantee on the contractor's behalf. However, the Human Rights Commission may in its sole discretion refrain from such decertification upon a finding that the City has contributed to such default.

SEC. 12D.A.11. PURCHASING CONTRACTS.

(A) In addition to the general findings set forth in Section 12D.A.2, and based upon the record before this Board, the Board finds that the evidence before the Board relating to the award of prime contracts for commodities and general services for fiscal years 1992-93, 1993-94, 1994-95, 1996-97, 1997-98 and 1998-2003 reflects that MBEs and WBEs continue to be disadvantaged by discriminatory practices when competing for such contracts. The Board further finds that race-neutral measures employed by the City have not prevented such discriminatory practices from occurring.

- (B) Contract awarding authorities shall apply all bid discounts as enumerated in Section 12D.A.9(A) to all commodities contracts the estimated cost of which exceeds \$2,500 and general services contracts the estimated cost of which exceeds \$10,000.
- (C) In addition to the duties given the Office of Contract Administration elsewhere in this Section, the Office of Contract Administration shall maintain, with the assistance of the Director, a

1 current list of MBEs and WBEs to provide each of those commodities or services subject to this 2 ordinance that the Office of Contract Administration indicates are required by the City. 3 (D) The Office of Contract Administration shall also maintain a central office where all bids, requests for proposals and solicitations will be listed and kept current. 4 5 SEC. 12D.A.12. ARCHITECT/ENGINEERING CONTRACTS. 6 7 -(A) In addition to the general findings set forth in Section 12D.A.2, and based upon the 8 record before this Board, the Board hereby finds that the evidence before this Board relating to the award of prime architect/engineering contracts for fiscal years 1992-93, 1993-94, 1994-95, 1996-97. 9 10 1997-98 and 1998-2003 reflects that MBEs and WBEs continue to be disadvantaged by discriminatory practices when competing for City prime architect/engineering contracts. The Board further finds that 11 12 race-neutral measures employed by the City have not prevented these discriminatory practices from 13 occurring. 14 -(B) Contract awarding authorities and architect/engineering selection panels shall apply all 15 bid/rating discounts as enumerated in Section 12D.A.9(A) to all bids and proposals for 16 architect/engineering contracts, the estimated cost of which exceeds \$10,000. Where 17 Architect/Engineering contracts are formally bid, all consultants selection panels and awarding 18 officers shall apply the bid/rating discounts to each stage of the selection process, e.g., qualifications, proposals and interviews. Minorities and women shall be included on consultant selection panels. 19 20 -(C) The Director is empowered to take actions to ensure compliance with the provisions of 21 this ordinance, including, without limitation, intervening in the selection process, by modifying the criteria used for selecting selection panelists or prime architect/engineering contractors to correct any 22 23 contracting practices that hinder equal business opportunities for MBEs and WBEs.

24

SEC. 12D.A.13. CONSULTANTS AND PROFESSIONAL SERVICES CONTRACTS.

-(A) In addition to the general findings set forth in Section 12D.A.2, and based upon the record before this Board, the Board hereby finds that the evidence before the Board relating to the award of professional services contracts for fiscal years 1993-93, 1993-94, 1994-95, 1996-97, 1997-98 and 1998-2003 reflects that MBEs and WBEs continue to be disadvantaged by discriminatory practices when competing for City prime professional service contracts. Further, the Board finds that raceneutral measures employed by the City do not prevent such discrimination against MBEs and WBEs from occurring.

(B) Contract awarding authorities shall apply bid/ratings discounts as enumerated in Section 12D.A.9(A) to all bids and proposals as enumerated in Section 12D.A.9(A) to all professional service contracts the estimated cost of which exceeds \$10,000. Where professional service contracts are formally bid, all consultants selection panels and awarding officers shall apply the bid/rating discounts to each stage of the selection process, e.g., qualifications, proposals and interviews.

Minorities and women shall be included on consultant selection panels.

(C) The Director is empowered to take actions to ensure compliance with the provisions of this ordinance, including, without limitation, intervening in the selection process by modifying the criteria used to select selection panelists or prime professional service contractors to correct any contracting practice that hinders equal business opportunities for MBEs and WBEs.

SEC. 12D.A.14. BEST EFFORTS REQUIRED FOR OTHER CONTRACTS.

—All City departments, commissions, boards, officers and employees, in the performance of their duties, and in the award of leases, franchises, concessions, and other contracts not subject to the race and gender-conscious bid/ratings discounts of this ordinance, shall make best efforts to use the services of MBEs, WBEs and LBEs.

1 SEC. 12D.A.15. EXCEPTIONS AND WAIVERS. (A) The Director shall waive the race- and gender-conscious bid discounts and good faith 2 3 efforts requirements of this ordinance under the following circumstances: 1. Whenever the Director finds, with the advice of the contract awarding authority and the 4 5 Office of Contract Administration, that needed goods or services are available from a sole source that 6 is not currently disqualified from doing business with the City. 7 2. If the contract awarding authority certifies in writing to the Director, prior to the 8 Controller's contract certification, that (a) the contract is being awarded under emergency 9 circumstances as described and defined in Administrative Code Section 6.60 or Administrative Code 10 Section 21.15 and (b) (i) there is no time to apply bid/ratings discounts or establish subcontracting goals, or (ii) there are no immediately available MBEs and WBEs that are capable of performing the 11 12 emergency work. 13 (B) The Director shall waive the five-percent LBE bid discount for contracts in excess of 14 \$5,000,000 whenever a contract awarding authority establishes that: 15 1. Sufficient qualified LBEs capable of providing the needed goods and services required by the contract are unavailable and sufficient qualified businesses located outside San Francisco 16 17 capable of providing the needed goods and services required by the contract are available; or 18 2. The application of the five-percent LBE discount will result in significant additional costs to the City if the waiver of the bid discount is not granted. 19 20 -(C) The bid/ratings discount provisions of this ordinance are not applicable to any contract 21 estimated by the contract awarding authority to cost in excess of \$10,000,000. 22 23 SEC. 12D.A.16. MONITORING AND COMPLIANCE. 24 (A) The Director shall monitor the City's progress toward achievement of the goals stated in 25 Section 12D.A.3. The Director shall issue an exit report for any contract that includes MBE/WBE

subcontracting participation or MBE/WBE prime contract participation as a joint venture partner. The purpose of this exit report is to ensure that prime contractors are complying with their commitments to use MBE and WBE subcontractors and MBE/WBEs are performing services as set forth in the bid/proposal and contract documents for the joint ventures.

—(B)—Noncompliance By Contractors. In eases in which the Director has cause to believe that a contractor has failed to comply with any of the requirements of this ordinance, rules and regulations adopted pursuant to this ordinance or contract provisions pertaining to MBE or WBE participation, the Director shall notify the contract awarding authority and shall attempt to resolve the noncompliance through conference and conciliation. If the noncompliance cannot be resolved, the Director shall conduct an investigation and, where the Director so finds, issue a written finding of noncompliance. The Director's finding shall indicate whether the contractor acted in good faith or whether noncompliance was based on willful or bad faith noncompliance with requirements of this ordinance, rules and regulations adopted pursuant to this ordinance or contract provisions pertaining to MBE or WBE participation. Where the Director finds that the contractor acted in good faith, after affording the contractor notice and an opportunity to be heard, the Director shall recommend that the contract awarding authority take appropriate action. Where the Director finds willful or bad faith noncompliance, after affording the contractor notice and an opportunity to be heard, the Director shall impose sanctions for each violation of the ordinance, rules and regulations adopted pursuant to this ordinance or contract provisions pertaining to MBE or WBE participation that may include:

1. Declaring the bidder or contractor nonresponsive and ineligible to receive the award of any pending contract;

2. Declaring the bidder or contractor to be an irresponsible bidder and disqualifying the bidder or contractor from eligibility for providing goods or services to the City for a period of up to five years, with a right of review and reconsideration by the Commission after two years upon a showing of corrective action indicating violations are not likely to recur;

1	- 3. If the bidaer or contractor is a MBE, WBE ana/or LBE, revoking that business
2	certification as a MBE, WBE and/or LBE;
3	4. Determining that the bidder or contractor has wilfully failed to comply with the
4	provisions of this ordinance and, pursuant to the provision in the contract contemplated by Section
5	12D.A.9(D)(3) of this ordinance, calculating the liquidated damages for which the bidder or contractor
6	shall be liable. Thereafter the Director shall send a written notice to the Controller, the Mayor and all
7	contract awarding authorities overseeing any contract with the bidder or contractor, that a
8	determination of willful or bad-faith compliance has been made and that all payments due the bidder o
9	contractor shall be withheld as agreed by the bidder or contractor and the City pursuant to Section
10	12D.A.9(D)(3).
11	(C) The bidder or contractor may appeal the Director's decision to the Commission. The
12	Commission may sustain, reverse or modify the Director's findings and sanctions imposed or take such
13	other action to effectuate the purpose of this ordinance. An appeal by a contractor under this
14	subsection shall not stay the Director's findings.
15	(D) The Director may require such reports, information and documentation from
16	contractors, subcontractors, bidders, contract awarding authorities, and heads of departments,
17	divisions, and offices of the City as are reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the
18	requirements of this ordinance.
19	(E) Wilful Noncompliance by Contract Awarding Authority. Whenever the Director finds
20	after investigation that a contract awarding authority has willfully failed to comply with its duties
21	pursuant to Section 12D.A.9, the Director shall transmit a written finding of noncompliance specifying
22	the nature of the noncompliance, to the contract awarding authority, the Commission, the Mayor and
23	this Board.
24	— The Director shall attempt to resolve any noncompliance through conference and
25	conciliation. Should such attempt fail to resolve the noncompliance, the Director shall transmit a copy

1	of the finding of noncompliance along with a finding that conciliation was attempted and failed to the
2	Commission and this Board.
3	The finding of noncompliance shall be communicated to the Mayor for appropriate action t
4	secure compliance pursuant to Section 12D.A.8(2).
5	(F) If the Director has reason to believe that any person has knowingly made, filed, or
6	caused to be filed with the City any materially false or misleading statement or report made in
7	connection with this ordinance, the Director shall report that information to the City Attorney or the
8	District Attorney for appropriate action. The Director shall be empowered to conduct an investigation
9	and for each violation of this Subsection 12D.A.16(F), to impose sanctions as set forth in Subsection
10	12D.A.16.
11	
12	SEC. 12D.A.17. SUBCONTRACTOR PARTICIPATION GOALS; SUBCONTRACTING
13	PROGRAM.
14	(A) The findings set forth in Section 12D.A.2 that relate to MBEs, WBEs are hereby
15	incorporated by reference. This Board further finds that requiring prime contractors to demonstrate
16	good faith efforts to use MBEs and WBEs as subcontractors on the City's contracts would offset some
17	of the disadvantages that such businesses face and would promote competition by requiring prime
18	contractors to solicit the participation of MBEs and WBEs that they might not otherwise solicit.
19	(B) For all public works/construction, architect/engineering, professional service, and
20	general service contracts which the contract awarding authority reasonably anticipates will include
21	subcontractor participation, prior to the solicitation of bids or proposals, the contract awarding
22	authority shall provide the Director with a proposed job scope, and may submit written
23	recommendations to the Director regarding MBE and WBE subcontractor participation goals to be set
24	for the contract.

1	-(C) Upon receipt of a proposed job scope and/or a written recommendation from a
2	contracting awarding authority pursuant to Section 12D.A.9 (A)(3), the Director shall set the MBE and
3	WBE participation goals for each public works/construction, architect/engineering, professional
4	services, and general service contract based upon the following factors:
5	1. The extent of subcontracting opportunities presented by the contract;
6	2. The availability of MBE/WBE subcontractors capable of providing goods and services
7	on the contract.
8	3. The Director shall set these goals within 10 working days of the date the Director
9	receives from a contract awarding authority a proposed job scope and/or written recommendation. If
10	the Director fails to act within 10 days, and the contract awarding authority submitted to the Director
11	recommended goals, the recommended goals shall be deemed approved by the Director, provided the
12	goals are based upon the factors identified above.
13	(D) All solicitations for bidders on prime public works/construction, architect/engineering,
14	professional services, and general service contracts shall require each bidder to do the following:
15	— 1. Demonstrate in its bid that it has used good-faith efforts to use MBE and WBE
16	subcontractors; and
17	— 2. Identify the particular MBEs and WBEs subcontractors to be used in performing the
18	contract, specifying for each the dollar value of the participation, the type of work to be performed and
19	such information as may reasonably be required to determine the responsiveness of the bid.
20	-Except as provided in Section 12D.A.17, bids not meeting the requirements of Section
21	12D.A.17 shall be declared nonresponsive.
22	-(E) A contract awarding authority may request that the Director waive or reduce the MBE
23	and WBE subcontractor participation goals on public works/construction, architect/engineering and
24	professional services contracts by submitting the reasons therefor in writing to the Director prior to the
25	solicitation of bids.

1	$\overline{}$ (F) A bidder or contractor may request that the Director waive or reduce the amount of
2	MBE or WBE subcontractor participation goals on a public works/construction, architect/engineering,
3	professional service, and general service contract by submitting in writing with its bid to the contract
4	awarding authority the reasons therefor.
5	-(G) The Director may grant the request for waiver or reduction made pursuant to Sections
6	12D.A.17(E) and (F) upon a determination that:
7	— 1. The reasonable and necessary requirements of the public works/construction,
8	architect/engineering, professional service, and general service contract render subcontracting or the
9	participation of businesses other than the public works/bidder unfeasible;
10	— 2. Qualified MBEs and/or WBEs capable of providing the goods or services required by
11	the contract are unavailable, despite the prime contractor's or the department's good-faith efforts to
12	locate MBEs and WBEs to meet the participation goals; or
13	- 3. The available MBEs and WBEs have given price quotes that exceed competitive levels
14	beyond amounts that can be attributed to cover costs inflated by the present effects of discrimination.
15	(H) Whenever the Director denies a contractor's request to waive or reduce the participation
16	goals, the contractor may appeal that denial to the Commission. The Commission's decision on the
17	request shall be final. In reviewing the Director's denial of a contractor's request to waive or to reduce
18	participation goals, the Commission shall consider the extent of subcontracting opportunities presented
19	by the contract and the availability of MBE/WBE subcontractors capable of providing goods and
20	services on the contract.
21	-The Commission may overrule, sustain or modify the Director's decision by applying the same
22	standards that the Director is required to apply, as set forth in Subsection (G) above.
23	-(I) The contract awarding authority shall require bidders or proposers on the contracts to
24	contact MBEs and WBEs before listing them as subcontractors in the bid or proposal. The contract

awarding authority shall declare bids or proposals that fail to satisfy this requirement nonresponsive.

(J) During the term of the contract, any failure to comply with the level of MBE and WBE subcontractor participation specified in the contract shall be deemed a material breach of contract.

SEC. 12D.A.18. REPORTING AND REVIEW.

(A) Reporting by the Director. Commencing November 1, 2003 and no later than the first day of every third month thereafter, the Director shall issue a written report to this Board. That report shall document each City department's performance under the terms of this ordinance, including, among other things, each City department's progress in meeting its MBE/WBE goals and the success of each department's prime contractors complying with its best efforts obligations to meet MBE/WBE subcontracting goals. That report shall also state whether or not each City department has fully reported all data required by this ordinance or requested by HRC or the Controller.

disregard or negligent performance of obligations imposed by this ordinance has contributed to that department's failure to meet its prime contracting goals or the failure of its prime contractors to use their best efforts to meet their subcontracting goals or whenever the Director's report concludes that a City department has failed to provide any data required by this ordinance or requested by the HRC or the Controller, the Clerk of this Board shall schedule before the appropriate committee of the Board a hearing on that report. The Clerk shall also give notice of that hearing to the heads of the departments identified in the report and request the attendance of the heads of those departments at the committee hearing. The Clerk's notice shall inform the department heads that they must be prepared to respond to the Director's finding of intentional disregard and/or negligent performance and to explain what steps they intend to take to forestall repetition of the problems, identified in the Directors' report. The same procedure shall be followed whenever the Director's report identifies any department as having failed to meet its prime or subcontracting goals for three consecutive quarters. If the Director's report indicates that a City department has not met its goals for three consecutive quarters, HRC and the City

1	department shall institute a targeted program to remedy lack of participation by or in any affected
2	ethnic group/gender/industry.
3	2. The Director shall report to the Commission all waivers acted upon pursuant to Section
4	12D.A.15. Such report shall be made on a monthly basis following the granting of the waiver.
5	(B) Reporting by the Commission. By July 1st of each fiscal year subject to this ordinance,
6	the Commission shall submit an annual report to the Mayor and this Board on the progress of the City
7	toward the goals stated in Section 12D.A.3 of this ordinance, together with an identification of
8	problems and specific recommendations for: (1) discontinuing the race or gender-conscious bid
9	discounts in those cases where the bid discounts have remedied the identified discrimination against
10	MBEs and WBEs; and (2) improving the City's performance in remedying the identified discrimination
11	against MBEs and WBEs.
12	-(C) This Board shall act upon the Commission's recommendations by the first Board meeting
13	of January in each fiscal year subject to this ordinance.
14	(D) By the last day of each fiscal year, all contract awarding authorities and City
15	departments shall report annually to the Mayor on their progress in the preceding fiscal year toward
16	the achievement of the MBE and WBE participation goals.
17	
18	SEC. 12D.A.19. SEVERABILITY.
19	The provisions of this ordinance are declared to be separate and severable. The invalidity of
20	any clause, sentence, paragraph, subdivision, section or portion of this ordinance, or the invalidity of
21	the application thereof to any person or circumstances shall not affect the validity of the remainder of
22	this ordinance, or the validity of its application to other persons or circumstances.
23	
24	
25	

1 SEC. 12D.A.20. GENERAL WELFARE CLAUSE. 2 In undertaking the enforcement of this ordinance, the City is assuming an undertaking only to 3 promote the general welfare. It is not assuming, nor is it imposing on its officers and employees, an obligation for breach of which it is liable in money damages to any person who claims that such breach 4 5 proximately caused injury. 6 7 SEC. 12D.A.21. OPERATIVE DATE. 8 This ordinance shall become operative on July 1, 2003, and shall govern all contracts for 9 which a bid or proposal has not been solicited by the operative date. 10 SEC. 12D.A.22. 11 12 **CHAPTER 12F:** 13 IMPLEMENTING THE MACBRIDE PRINCIPLES - NORTHERN IRELAND 14 * * * * 15 SEC. 12F.6. RESEARCH AND EDUCATION. 16 17 18 (b) The Clerk of the Board shall report to the Board of Supervisors by July 1, 1989 and by 19 January 1, 1990 on the letters that were sent as required in the immediately preceding paragraph, and 20 on any responses received. The Controller shall report to the Board of Supervisors by July 1, 1989 and 21 by January 1, 1990 on the number of companies with whom the City is doing business who are conducting business in Northern Ireland. 22 23 (c) The City Treasurer shall send a statement to banks with whom the City has 24 deposits and encourage them to do business with corporations that abide by the MacBride

Principles.

1	$(\underline{c}\underline{d})$ The Board of Supervisors, through the Clerk of the Board, shall send a letter to
2	the government of the United Kingdom conveying a copy of this legislation and expressing
3	opposition to religious discrimination.
4	
5	SEC. 12J.8. IMPLEMENTATION REPORTS.
6	The Purchaser shall provide a written report on the implementation of this ordinance to the
7	Board of Supervisors as follows:
8	— (1) At the first Board of Supervisors meeting held one year after this Chapter has been in
9	effect; and
10	— (2) Annually thereafter.
11	Each City department, board and commission shall provide in writing all information requeste
12	by the Purchaser as necessary to prepare such reports.
13	
14	CHAPTER 14A:
15	DISADVANTAGED BUSINESS ENTERPRISE PROGRAM
16	SEC. 14A.01. AFFIRMING CITY POLICY AGAINST DISCRIMINATION IN PUBLIC
17	CONTRACTING; TEMPORARILY SUSPENDING CHAPTER 12D.A.
18	The City, and every commission, department, officer and employee, shall fully and vigorously
19	enforce all laws prohibiting discrimination and requiring equal opportunity in City contracting. All
20	City contracts require contractors to comply with all such applicable local, state and federal laws.
21	These include but are not limited to the Unruh Civil Rights Act and Section 3303(a)(4) of the San
22	Francisco Police Code, which prohibit contractors from discriminating against subcontractors on any
23	basis prohibited by law. The City shall fully enforce its contractual rights, and shall consider
24	discrimination by a prime contractor against subcontractors on any basis prohibited by law to be a

material breach of contract. The City shall vigorously pursue appropriate remedies for any breach by any contractor of such obligations under law or contract to the maximum extent allowed by law.

Effective immediately upon the passage of this ordinance, all provisions of Administrative Code Chapter 12D.A and any other contracting program invalidated by the Superior Court order dated July 26, 2004, in Coral Construction, Inc. v. City and County of San Francisco (Sup. Ct. No. 421249) shall be and are suspended and are of no force and effect; provided, however, the provisions of Chapter 12D.A and any other contracting program invalidated by the court order shall be suspended only so long as, and only to the extent that, the City is enjoined by the court from enforcing the provisions of Chapter 12D.A or other similar program. If the injunction is lifted or stayed in its entirety, Chapter 12D.A and any other contracting program previously invalidated by the court order shall automatically become effective and enforceable, and this Chapter 14A shall be suspended for such period of time during which Chapter 12D.A is operative.

SEC. 14A.02. OPERATIVE DATE; APPLICABILITY.

This ordinance shall become effective and operative immediately upon passage, and shall expire on the 61st day following passage unless reenacted as provided by Section 2.107 of the San Francisco Charter.

The provisions of this ordinance are intended to substitute, on a temporary emergency basis, only a DBE program for the MBE and WBE programs that the Superior Court invalidated in its order of July 26, 2004, in Coral Construction, Inc. v. City and County of San Francisco (Sup. Ct. No. 421249). When any provision of local law refers to Chapter 12D.A of the Administrative Code or any other contracting program enjoined by the court's order of July 26, 2004, it shall be read as referring instead to Chapter 14A as set forth in this emergency ordinance.

This ordinance shall govern all contracts initiated on or after July 26, 2004 and any

Amendment to a Pre-existing Contract as that term is defined in Section 14A.4 and as those contracts

are governed by Section 14A.14(k).

SEC. 14A.03. REENACTING EMERGENCY ORDINANCE.

The emergency ordinance enacted by the Board of Supervisors on August 10, 2004, which amended the San Francisco Administrative Code by adding Chapter 14A to establish a Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Program and suspend Chapter 12D.A; adopted a declaration of emergency; made applicable certain emergency contracting procedures; and provided for data collection to ensure nondiscrimination in City contracting, is hereby reenacted as provided under Section 2.107 of the San Francisco Charter.

This ordinance shall become effective and operative immediately upon passage, and shall expire on the 61st day following passage unless reenacted as provided by Section 2.107 of the San Francisco Charter.

SEC. 14A.04. ENACTING CHAPTER 14A AS A NON-EMERGENCY ORDINANCE.

(A) This Chapter 14A, which was initially enacted by the Board of Supervisors as an emergency ordinance, is hereby enacted as a non-emergency ordinance. Chapter 14A shall apply to (1) all contracts in which the Contractor agreed to comply with Chapter 14A and any amendment to those contracts and (2) all contracts initiated on or after July 26, 2004 and before September 1, 2006 and any amendment to such contracts; provided, however, that if a competitive solicitation for an agreement to the proposed changes to the contract is required by law, or the law would otherwise require execution of a new contract, rather than an amendment to an existing contract, the provisions of Chapter 14B and not Chapter 14A shall apply.

1	(B) Any amendment to a contract initiated before July 26, 2004 in which the Contractor
2	agreed to comply with Chapter 12D.A shall be governed by Chapter 12D.A; provided, however, that it
3	a competitive solicitation for an agreement to the proposed changes to the contract is required by law,
4	or the law would otherwise require execution of a new contract, rather than an amendment to an
5	existing contract, the provisions of Chapter 14B and not Chapter 12D.A shall apply.
6	(C) All contracts initiated on or after September 1, 2006 shall be governed by Chapter 14B.
7	
8	SEC. 14A.1. SHORT TITLE.
9	This ordinance shall be entitled the "Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Ordinance."
10	
11	SEC. 14A.2. FINDINGS.
12	1. The Board finds that San Francisco's small businesses drive our economy and form the
13	backbone of our neighborhoods. Small businesses pump hundreds of millions of dollars into San
14	Francisco's economy each year. Through payroll taxes alone, small businesses make a significant
15	contribution to the economic health of our City and the quality of life of its citizens and visitors.
16	2. Because San Francisco's small businesses experience higher costs, they suffer disadvantage
17	in any competition with big and/or out-of-town businesses. The Board finds that small local businesses
18	are at a competitive disadvantage in competing for work on public contracts, both as prime contractor
19	and as subcontractors.
20	3. The Board finds that the public has an interest in fostering a strong and vibrant network of
21	small businesses in San Francisco. In part, San Francisco can accomplish this goal by ensuring that
22	small local businesses can compete for public contracts on a level playing field.
23	4. The Board finds that the disadvantages suffered by small local businesses in competing as
24	prime contractors on public contracts can be reduced by discounting their bids and ratings by ten

percent. Granting a ten percent discount does not unduly burden businesses not eligible for such

discounts, and is similar to the corrective adjustments given to small local businesses in other jurisdictions. The Board also finds that the disadvantages suffered by small local businesses in competing for subcontracting opportunities on public contracts can be reduced by requiring prime contractors to use good faith efforts to use such businesses as subcontractors. Requiring good faith efforts to use small local businesses does not unduly burden prime contractors or businesses not eligible for such efforts, and is similar to subcontracting requirements in other jurisdictions.

SEC. 14A.3. SCOPE.

The disadvantaged business enterprise ("DBE") bid/ratings discount shall be afforded to economically disadvantaged local businesses certified under Section 14A.5 in the award of all City contracts subject to exceptions hereinafter specifically enumerated in Sections 14A.12 and 14A.19.

SEC. 14A.4. DEFINITIONS.

"Amendment to a Pre-existing Contract" shall mean a substantive change to the terms of any contract the term of which has not expired on or before the date that this emergency ordinance takes effect, but shall not include amendments to decrease the scope of work or decrease the amount to be paid under a contract.

"Architect/Engineering Contracts" shall mean an agreement for architects, engineers, and other outside temporary professional design, consultant or construction management services for a public work project.

"Back contracting" shall mean any agreement or other arrangement between a prime contractor and its subcontractor that requires the prime contractor to perform or to secure the performance of the subcontract in such a fashion and/or under such terms and conditions that the prime contractor enjoys the financial benefits of the subcontract. Such agreements or other arrangements include, but are not limited to, situations in which either a prime contractor or

subcontractor agrees that any term, condition or obligation imposed upon the subcontractor by the subcontract shall be performed by or be the responsibility of the prime contractor.

"Best efforts" when required of contract awarding authority shall mean reasonable efforts to include DBEs in City contracting.

"Bid" shall mean and include a quotation, proposal, solicitation or offer by a bidder or contractor to perform or provide labor, materials, equipment, supplies or services to the City and County of San Francisco for a price.

"Bidder" shall mean any business that submits a quotation, bid or proposal to provide labor, materials, equipment, supplies or services to the City and County of San Francisco.

"City" shall mean the City and County of San Francisco.

"Commercially useful function" shall mean that the business is directly responsible for providing the materials, equipment, supplies or services to the City as required by the solicitation or request for quotes, bids or proposals. Businesses that engage in the business of providing brokerage, referral or temporary employment services shall not be deemed to perform a "commercially useful function" unless the brokerage, referral or temporary employment services are those required and sought by the City. When the City requires and seeks specialty products made to order for the City or otherwise seeks products which, by industry practice, are not regularly stocked in warehouse inventory but instead are purchased directly from the manufacturer, the value of the "commercially useful function" provided by a supplier or distributor shall be valued at no more than five percent of the cost of the product. When the City requires and seeks products which are, by industry practice, stocked in warehouse inventory and are in fact, regularly stocked by the listed supplier or distributor, the value of the "commercially useful function" provided by the supplier or distributor shall not exceed sixty percent of the cost of the product. If the listed supplier or distributor does not regularly stock the required product, the value of the "commercially useful function" provided by the supplier or distributor shall be valued at no more than five percent of the cost of the product.

1	"Commission" shall mean the Human Rights Commission of the City and County of San
2	Francisco.
3	"Commodity" shall mean products, including materials, equipment and supplies, purchased by
4	the City.
5	"Concession" shall mean any privilege conferred by the City on a person to engage in business
6	on property owned or leased by the City.
7	"Contract" shall mean and include any agreement between the City and a person to provide or
8	procure labor, materials, equipment, supplies or services to, for or on behalf of the City for a price. A
9	"contract" shall include an agreement between the City and a person or nonprofit entity to perform
10	construction-related services or fund the performance of such services. A "contract" does not include:
11	(1) awards made by the City with federal/State grant or City funds to a nonprofit entity where the City
12	offers assistance, guidance, or supervision on a project or program and the recipient of the grant
13	award uses the grant monies to provide services to the community; (2) sales transactions where the
14	City sells its personal or real property; (3) a loan transaction where the City is acting as a debtor or a
15	creditor; (4) lease, franchise, or concession agreements; (5) agreements to use City real property; (6)
16	gifts of materials, equipment, supplies or services to the City; or (7) agreements with a public agency
17	except as provided in Section 14A.8(E).
18	"Contract awarding authority" shall mean the City officer, department, commission, employee
19	or board authorized to enter into contracts on behalf of the City. In the case of an agreement with a
20	person or nonprofit entity to perform or fund the performance of construction-related services, the term
21	"contract awarding authority" shall mean the person or nonprofit entity receiving funds from the City
22	to perform or fund the performance of such services.
23	"Contractor" shall mean any person(s), firm, partnership, corporation, or combination thereof,
24	who submits a bid or proposal to perform, performs any part of, agrees with a person to provide

services relating to and/or enters into a contract with department heads and officers or contract

1	awarding authorities empowered by law to enter into contracts on the part of the City for public works
2	or improvements to be performed, or for goods or services or supplies to be purchased at the expense
3	of the City or to be paid out of monies deposited in the treasury or out of trust monies under the control
4	of or collected by the City.
5	"Control" of a business shall refer to the possession of the legal authority and power to manage
6	business assets, good will and daily operations of the business, and the active and continuous exercise
7	of such authority and power in determining the policies and directing the operations of the business.
8	"Director" shall mean the Director of the Human Rights Commission of San Francisco.
9	"Disadvantaged Business Enterprise" ("DBE") shall mean a business that meets all the
10	requirements for, and is certified as, a DBE under Section 14A.5(B) and any duly adopted rules and
11	regulations.
12	"Discount" shall mean an upward or downward price adjustment, according to the context, that
13	is made pursuant to Section 14A.8.
14	"Franchise" shall mean and include the right or privilege conferred by grant from the City, or
15	any contracting agency thereof, and vested in and authorizing a person to conduct such business or
16	engage in such activity as is specified in the grant. A "franchise" shall not include an agreement to
17	perform construction-related services.
18	"General services contract" shall mean an agreement for those services that are not
19	professional services. Examples of "General Services" include: janitorial, security guard, pest control,
20	parking lot management and landscaping services.
21	"Good-faith efforts" when required of a contract awarding authority or department shall mean

the actions undertaken by a department to obtain DBE participation in a contract as prime contractors,

and shall include the following efforts: (1) encouraging DBEs to attend prebid meetings scheduled by a

department or the Commission to inform potential contractors of contracting opportunities; (2)

advertising in general circulation media, trade association publications and local business focused

22

23

24

1	media and posting the contacting opportunity on the Office of Contract Administration's website
2	pursuant to Section 14A.9(3); (3) notifying DBEs that are available to perform the work contemplated
3	in a contract and soliciting their interest in the contract; (4) dividing the contract work into
4	economically feasible units to facilitate DBE participation in the contract; (5) pursuing solicitations of
5	interest by contacting DBEs to determine whether these businesses are interested in participating on
6	the contract; (6) providing DBEs with adequate information about the plan, specifications and
7	requirements of the contract; (7) where applicable, negotiating with DBEs in good faith and
8	demonstrating that DBEs were not rejected as unqualified without sound reasons based on a thorough
9	investigation of their capabilities; and (8) using the services of available community and contractors'
10	groups that provide assistance in the recruitment of DBEs for public sector contracts.
11	"Good-faith efforts" when required of a prime City contractor shall mean the steps undertaken
12	to comply with the goals and requirements imposed by the City for participation by DBEs as
13	subcontractors, and shall include the following:
14	— (1) Attending any presolicitation or prebid meetings scheduled by the City to inform all
15	bidders of DBE program requirements for the project for which the contract will be awarded;
16	— (2) Identifying and selecting specific items of the project for which the contract will be
17	awarded to be performed by DBEs to provide an opportunity for participation by those enterprises;
18	— (3) Advertising for DBEs that are interested in participating in the project, not less than 10
19	calendar days before the date the bids can first be submitted, in one or more daily or weekly
20	newspapers, trade association publications, trade-oriented publications, trade journals, or other
21	media, specified by the City. This paragraph applies only if the City gave public notice of the project
22	not less than 15 calendar days prior to the date the bids can first be submitted;
23	(4) Providing, not less than 10 calendar days prior to the date on which bids can first be
24	submitted, written notice of his or her interest in bidding on the contract to the number of DBEs
25	required to be notified by the project specifications. The City shall make available to the bidder not less

1	than 15 calendar days prior to the date the bids are opened a list or a source of lists of enterprises that
2	are certified by the Director as DBEs;
3	— (5) Following up initial solicitations of interest by contacting potential DBE
4	subcontractors to determine with certainty whether those enterprises were interested in performing
5	specific items of the project;
6	— (6) Providing interested DBEs with information about the plans, specifications, and
7	requirements for the selected subcontracting or material supply work;
8	(7) Requesting assistance from community organizations; contractor or professional
9	groups; or other organizations that provide assistance in the recruitment and placement of DBEs, if
10	any are available;
11	— (8) Negotiating in good faith with interested DBEs, and not unjustifiably rejecting as
12	unsatisfactory bids or proposals prepared by any DBEs, as determined by the City;
13	(9) Where applicable, advising and making efforts to assist interested DBEs in obtaining
14	bonds, lines of credit, or insurance required by the City or contractor;
15	— (10) Making efforts to obtain DBE participation that the City could reasonably expect
16	would produce a level of participation sufficient to meet the City's goals and requirements.
17	"Human Rights Commission (HRC)" shall mean the Human Rights Commission of San
18	Francisco, hereinafter referred to as the "Commission."
19	"Joint venture" shall mean an association of two or more businesses acting as a contractor and
20	performing or providing services on a contract, in which each joint venture partner combines property,
21	capital, efforts, skill, and/or knowledge and each joint venture partner shares in the ownership, control,
22	management responsibilities, risks and profits of the joint venture in proportion to its claimed level of
23	participation.
24	"Lease" shall mean and include an agreement by which the City or any contracting agency
25	thereof, grants to a person the temporary possession and use of property for consideration.

•	1	

Ü

"Lower-tier subcontracting" shall mean any agreement or other arrangement between a subcontractor and a person as defined herein where it is agreed that said person shall perform any term, condition or obligation imposed by the subcontract upon the subcontractor.

"Office" or "Offices" shall mean a fixed and established place where work is performed of a elerical, administrative, professional or production nature directly pertinent to the business being certified. A temporary location or movable property or one that was established to oversee a project such as a construction project office does not qualify as an "office" under the ordinance. Work space provided in exchange for services (in lieu of monetary rent) does not constitute an "office." The office is not required to be the headquarters for the business but it must be capable of providing all the services to operate the business for which DBE certification is sought.

"Participation commitment" shall mean the targeted level of DBE subcontractor participation that each prime city contractor has designated in its bid.

"Participation goals" shall mean the targeted levels of City-wide DBE participation in City prime contracts.

"Person" includes one or more individuals, partnerships, associations, organizations, trade or professional associations, corporations, cooperatives, legal representatives, trustees in bankruptcy, receivers, or any group of persons, including any official, agent or employee of the City.

"Professional services contract" shall mean an agreement for services which require extended analysis, the exercise of discretion and independent judgment in their performance, and/or the application of an advanced, specialized type of knowledge, expertise, or training customarily acquired either by a prolonged course of study or equivalent experience in the field. Examples of professional service providers include licensed professionals such as accountants, and non-licensed professionals such as software developers and financial and other consultants, except that services of architects, engineers, and other outside temporary professional design, consultant or construction management

services for a public work project shall be considered architect/engineering contracts and shall not be
considered professional services contracts for the purpose of this Ordinance.
"Public works/construction contract" shall mean an agreement for the erection, construction,
renovation, alteration, improvement, demolition, excavation, installation, or repair of any public
building, structure, infrastructure, bridge, road, street, park, dam, tunnel, utility or similar public
facility performed by or for the City and County of San Francisco, the cost of which is to be paid wholly
or partially out of moneys deposited in the treasury of the City and County.
"Services" shall mean Professional Services and General Services.
"Subcontractor" shall mean any business providing goods or services to a contractor for profit,
if such goods or services are procured or used in fulfillment of the contractor's obligations arising from
a contract with the City.
"Subcontractor participation goals" shall mean the targeted level of DBE subcontractor
participation designated by the Director for prime city contracts.
SEC. 14A.5. POWERS AND DUTIES OF THE COMMISSION AND THE DIRECTOR.
(A) In addition to the duties and powers given to the Human Rights Commission elsewhere, the
Commission shall:
— 1. Levy the same sanctions that a contracting awarding authority may levy as specified in
<u>Section 14A.8(A)(7);</u>
— 2. When necessary, subpoena persons and records, books and documents for a proceeding
of the Commission or an investigation by the Director or an audit pursuant to Section 14A.5(E)
conducted to further the purposes of this ordinance;
— 3. Adopt rules and regulations establishing standards and procedures for effectively
carrying out this ordinance;

1	4. Issue forms for the Controller or contract awarding departments to collect information
2	from contractors as prescribed by this ordinance;
3	5. Hear appeals challenging: (i) the Director's disqualification of a bidder or Contractor
4	as specified in Section 14A.13(B), (ii) the Director's denial of an application for or revocation of the
5	certification of a business as a DBE, as specified in Section 14A.5(B), or (iii) the Director's denial of a
6	request to waive or to reduce subcontractor participation goals as specified in Section 14A.14(H);
7	6. By regulation require contract awarding authorities, departments and the Controller to
8	provide to the Director such information as will be necessary to enable the Director to report to the
9	Mayor and the Board of Supervisors at the end of each fiscal year on the progress each City
10	department has made towards the achievement of DBE participation goals and to perform his/her other
11	duties. The database is a public record available to the public as provided by state and local law;
12	— 7. Adopt rules and regulations as deemed necessary by the Director to ensure that the joint
13	venture bid/ratings discount is applied only to joint ventures where the DBE has sufficient skill,
14	experience, and financial capacity to perform the portion of the work identified for the DBE.
15	8. Consistent with the provisions of the ordinance make such other rules and regulations as
16	are necessary to guide its implementation.
17	(B) In addition to the duties and powers given to the Director elsewhere, the Director shall
18	have the following duties and powers:
19	— 1. Through appropriately promulgated procedures, if any, the Director shall certify
20	businesses as a DBE any business that:
21	(a) has average gross annual receipts in the three fiscal years immediately preceding its
22	application for certification as a DBE that do not exceed the following limits: (1) public
23	works/construction; specialty construction contractors; (2) goods/materials/equipment and general
24	services suppliers; (3) professional services and architect/engineering; (4) trucking; and (5)
25	telecommunicationsAny business under common ownership, in whole or in part, with any other

1	business(es) shall meet the requirements of this subparagraph only if the aggregate gross annual
2	receipts of all of the businesses under such common ownership do not exceed the limits specified
3	herein. All businesses owned by married spouses or domestic partners shall be considered under
4	common ownership unless the businesses are in unrelated industries and no community property or
5	other jointly owned assets were used to establish or are used to operate either business.
6	(b) is an independent and continuing business for profit;
7	(c) performs a commercially useful function as defined in Section 14A.4:
8	(d) has fixed offices or distribution points, as defined in Section 14A.4, located within the
9	geographical boundaries of the City where a commercially useful function is performed. Businesses
10	that supply commodities must continuously maintain warehouses stocked with inventory within the
11	geographical boundaries of the City. Truckers must park their registered vehicles and trailers within
12	the geographical boundaries of the City. Post office box numbers or residential addresses shall not
13	suffice to establish a local office;
14	(e) is listed in the Permits and License Tax Paid File with a San Francisco business
15	street address;
16	(f) possesses a current Business and Tax Registration Certificate at the time of the
17	application for certification as a DBE;
18	(g) has been located and doing business in the City for at least six months preceding its
19	application for certification as a DBE;
20	(h) has business cards for the San Francisco office;
21	——————————————————————————————————————
22	(j) has a written agreement for occupancy of a San Francisco office including
23	documentation of payment of monetary rent (receipts and copies of cancelled checks);
24	(k) is a listed business in an appropriate business buyers guide such as a telephone
25	yellow pages listing San Francisco based businesses;

1	(l) has a San Francisco office in which business is transacted that is appropriately
2	equipped for the type of business for which the enterprise seeks certification as a DBE;
3	— (m) has a conspicuously displayed business sign at the San Francisco business premises,
4	except where the business operates out of a residence; and
5	(n) has licenses issued to the business owner appropriate for the type of business for
6	which the enterprise seeks certification.
7	Notwithstanding the criteria in this subsection, no business that is owned in part or in
8	whole by a full time City employee or City officer shall be considered a DBE.
9	2. Because San Francisco Administrative Code 12D.A required a business to meet all of
10	the criteria listed in 14A.5(B)1 in order to be certified as a Local Business Enterprise ("LBE") under
11	Section 12D.A, and because every business certified as an LBE under Section 12D.A did meet those
12	criteria, the prior LBE certification under San Francisco Administrative Code 12D.A shall constitute a
13	DBE certification under this ordinance, unless the Director determines that any such business no
14	longer meets the criteria set forth for certification in Section 14A.5(B)1. DBE certification under this
15	Subsection shall expire on the date that the LBE certification under Section 12D.A would have expired.
16	— 3. The Director shall deem any application for LBE certification under Section 12D.A that
17	is pending on the effective date of this ordinance to be an application for DBE certification under this
18	ordinance.
19	— 4. Except where the Director cannot certify a business because the business has not been
20	established in San Francisco for the requisite six months, whenever the Director denies an application
21	for or revokes the certification of a business as a DBE because the business is not eligible to be
22	certified as a bona fide DBE, the Director shall, within three working days of his/her decision, notify
23	the aggrieved business in writing of the basis for revocation or denial of certification and the date on
24	which the business will be eligible to reapply for certification. The notice shall be transmitted to the
25	business via certified mail or via facsimile. The Director shall require a business to wait at least six

1	months but not more than two years after the denial or revocation before reapplying to the Director fo
2	certification as a DBE. The Director shall provide any business whose certification is revoked an
3	opportunity to be heard within three business days of the revocation. A business may appeal the
4	Director's denial or revocation of certification of a business as a DBE to the Commission. The appeal
5	must be filed with the Commission within three business days following receipt of the Director's
6	decision. Notice by the Director to the business of denial or revocation of certification as a DBE shall
7	apprise the business of its right to appeal the decision;
8	5. The Director shall have the ultimate responsibility for ensuring that the necessary data
9	is collected and analyzed. Annually, and more often if the Director deems necessary, the Director shall
10	identify areas of contracting where the City or any of its departments are failing to meet DBE
11	participation goals. In addition, the Director shall identify areas of contracting where the City is
12	meeting and/or exceeding participation goals to such an extent that the DBE discounts can no longer
13	be justified. The results of this study shall be included in the Commission's annual report required by
14	Section 14A.15.
15	6. The Director shall work with the Controller and City departments to implement a City-
16	wide prompt-payment policy requiring that DBEs be paid by the City within 30 days after the date on
17	which the City receives an invoice from a DBE for work performed for the City;
18	7. The Director shall provide information and other assistance to DBEs to increase their
19	ability to compete effectively for the award of City contracts;
20	8. The Director shall assist the City to increase participation by DBEs in City contracts;
21	9. The Director shall continue to develop and to strengthen education and training
22	programs for DBEs and City contract awarding personnel;
23	— 10. The Director shall grant waivers as set forth in Sections 14A.12 and 14A.14(E) and
24	(F), and may disqualify a bidder or contractor as set forth in Section 14A.13(B).

(C) The requirements of this ordinance are in addition to those imposed by the United States
or the State of California as a condition of financial assistance or otherwise. In contracts which involve
the use of any funds furnished, given or loaned by the government of the United States or the State of
California, all laws, rules and regulations of the government of the United States or the State of
California or of any of its departments relative to the performance of such work and the conditions
under which the work is to be performed, shall prevail over the requirements of this ordinance when
such laws, rules or regulations are in conflict. In addition, the Director may authorize the substitution
of such State or federal DBE requirements for the requirements of this ordinance whenever such State
or federal requirements are substantially the same as those of this ordinance.
(D) The Director, with the approval of the Commission, may enter into cooperative
agreements with agencies, public and private, concerned with increasing the use of DBEs in
government contracting, subject to the approval of this Board.

(E) The Director, in cooperation with the Controller, shall randomly audit at least three prime contractors each fiscal year in order to insure their compliance with the provisions of this ordinance. The Director, in cooperation with the Controller, shall furthermore randomly audit 10 percent of the joint ventures granted bid discounts in each fiscal year. The Controller shall have the right to audit the books and records of the contractors, joint venture participants, and any and all subcontractors to insure compliance with the provisions of this ordinance.

(F) The powers and duties of the Commission and the Director shall be as set forth in this ordinance, subject to the power to reorganize functions as provided in Section 4.132 of the San Francisco Charter.

SEC. 14A.6. POWERS AND DUTIES OF THE CONTROLLER.

(A) In addition to the duties given to the Controller elsewhere, the Controller shall work cooperatively with the Director to provide such contractual encumbrance and payment data as the

Director advises are necessary to monitor the participation of DBEs in City prime contracts. If any
department refuses or fails to provide the required data to the Controller, the Controller shall
immediately notify the Mayor, this Board and the Director.

(B) The Controller shall not certify the award of any contract subject to this ordinance where the Director has notified the Controller that the contract awarding authority has not provided the information the Director advises is necessary under this ordinance.

(C) Each request for payment to a City contractor submitted to the contract awarding authority shall be accompanied by a subcontractor participation form approved by the Commission. That form shall contain information that the Commission has determined is necessary to enable the Commission and the Director (1) to monitor compliance by City departments and their prime contractors with their obligations under this ordinance, (2) to determine whether City departments are achieving their prime and subcontracting goals under this ordinance, and (3) to make such other reports and analyses as are required by this ordinance.

The event that a request for payment fails to include the information required pursuant to this Section, the contract awarding authority shall, within two working days, notify the Director and the affected prime contractor[s] of the failure and afford each affected prime contractor an opportunity to be heard promptly. That notice shall inform the contractor that the contract awarding authority has tentatively determined that the information has not been provided, what information is missing and that if this failure is substantiated, then the Controller will be notified to withhold 20 percent of the requested payment until the information is provided. If the Controller finds, after consultation with the Director and the notice and opportunity to be heard, that the information has not been provided, the Controller shall withhold 20 percent of the payment otherwise due until the information is provided.

(D) It is the City's policy that DBEs should be paid by the City within 30 days of the date on which the City receives an invoice for work performed for the City. The Controller shall work with the Director and representatives of City departments to implement this City-wide prompt-payment policy.

•	(E) The contract awarding authority shall require all prime contractors to submit, within 10
days fol	lowing payment to the prime contractor of moneys owed for work completed on a project, an
affidavi	t under penalty of perjury, that all subcontractors on the project or job have been paid and the
amount	s of each of those payments. The name, telephone number and business address of every
subcont	ractor shall be listed on the affidavit. If a prime contractor fails to submit this affidavit, the
contrac	t awarding authority shall notify the Director who shall take appropriate action as authorized
under S	ection 14A.13(B) and (F).
,	SEC. 14A.7. POWERS AND DUTIES OF THE MAYOR.
1	In addition to the duties given to the Mayor elsewhere, the Mayor shall:
-	1. By July 1st of each fiscal year, issue notices to all City departments informing them of
their du	ties under this ordinance. The notice shall contain the following information: (1) the City-wide
DBE pa	rticipation goals that departments are expected to use good-faith efforts to attain during the
fiscal ye	var and that a department's failure to use good-faith efforts to attain the DBE participation
goals sk	nall be reported to this Board in the Commission's annual report; and (2) the data each
departn	nent is required to provide the Controller on each contract award;
-	2. Coordinate and enforce cooperation and compliance by all departments with this
ordinan	ce.
į	SEC. 14A.8. POWERS AND DUTIES OF CONTRACT AWARDING AUTHORITIES.
•	(A) Contract awarding authorities shall:
-	1. Use good-faith efforts as defined in Section 14A.4 for all contracts subject to the
bid/ratii	ngs discount provisions of this ordinance to solicit and to obtain quotes, bids or proposals from
DBEs o	n all solicitations, or document their unavailability;

— 2. Unless otherwise indicated in this ordinance, extend the following bid/rating discount to
all bids, proposals and contracts from DBEs: (1) five percent to a joint venture with DBE participation
that equals or exceeds 35 percent but is under 40 percent; or (2) seven and one-half percent to a joint
venture with DBE participation that equals or exceeds 40 percent; (3) ten percent to a DBE or a joint
venture among DBEs. Contract awarding authorities shall apply the bid/rating discount to each stage
of the selection process, including qualifications, proposals and interviews.

The contract awarding authority shall apply the aforementioned appropriate bid/ratings discount only to a joint venture (1) that meets the requirements contained in this ordinance, and (2) when the DBE is an active partner in the joint venture and performs work, manages the job and takes financial risks in proportion to the required level of participation stated in the bid documents and is responsible for a clearly defined portion of the work to be performed, and shares proportionately in the ownership, control, management responsibilities, risks, and profits of the joint venture. The portion of the DBE joint venture's work shall be set forth in detail separately from the work to be performed by the nonDBE venture partner. The DBE joint venture's portion of the contract must be assigned a commercially reasonable dollar value;

and type of work to be performed so as most effectively to enhance the opportunity for participation by DBEs to the maximum extent feasible. As soon as practical before soliciting quotes, bids or proposals, all contract awarding authorities or in the case of a professional services contract, the department making the contract award recommendation, shall submit all large proposals to the Director for review. The purpose of the Director's review is to determine whether the proposed project can be divided into smaller projects so as to enhance the opportunity for participation by DBEs in the project. For purposes of this subsection, the term "large project" shall mean the following: (1) any public works/construction project estimated to cost more than \$5,000,000; and (2) any professional services contract estimated to cost more than \$100,000. If the Director determines, after consulting with the contract awarding authority or department

1	responsible for the project, that the project can be divided into smaller projects, the contract awarding
2	authority or department shall comply with the Director's determination and issue the solicitation for
3	quotes, bids or proposals in accordance with the Director's determination;
4	4. Adjust bid bonding and insurance requirements in accordance with the most current
5	version of the City's "Contract Insurance Manual" or as otherwise authorized by the City Risk
6	Manager, Department of Administrative Services;
7	5. Use the City's Surety Bonding Program set forth in Section 14A.11 to assist DBEs
8	bidding on and performing City pubic works contracts to meet bonding requirements and/or obtain
9	construction loans;
10	6. Submit to the Office of Contract Administration (OCA) in electronic format or a format
11	specified by the OCA all bid opportunities, requests for proposals and solicitations for which published
12	notice or advertising is required, no later than 10 calendar days prior to the announcement of the bid
13	opportunity, request for proposal or solicitation. A contract awarding authority must obtain a waiver
14	from its commission, or in the case of a department that has no commission, from the Board of
15	Supervisors, if it cannot meet the requirements of this Section.
16	7. Impose such sanctions or take such other actions as are designed to ensure compliance
17	with the provisions of this ordinance, which shall include, but are not limited to:
18	— (a) Refuse to award a contract,
19	(b) Order the suspension of a contract,
20	(c) Order the withholding of funds,
21	(d) Order the revision of a contract based upon a material breach of contract provisions
22	pertaining to DBE participation,
23	(e) Disqualify a bidder, contractor, subcontractor, or other business from eligibility for
24	providing goods or services to the City for a period not to exceed five years, based on the standards set
25	forth in this ordinance and rules and regulations promulgated by the Commission. Any business

1	disqualified under this subsection shall have a right to review and reconsideration by the Commission
2	after two years upon a showing of corrective action indicating that violations are not likely to recur;
3	8. Not award any contract to a person or business that is disqualified from doing business
4	with the City under the provisions of this ordinance;
5	9. Designate a staff person to be responsible for responding to the Director and
6	Commission regarding the requirements of this ordinance;
7	— 10. Maintain accurate records as required by the Director and the Commission for each
8	contract awarded, its dollar value, the nature of the goods or services to be provided, the name of the
9	contractor awarded the contract, the efforts made by a contractor to solicit bids from and award
10	subcontracts to DBEs;
11	— 11. Where feasible, provide technical assistance to DBEs to increase their ability to
12	compete effectively for the award of City contracts;
13	— 12. Work with the Director and the Controller to implement a City-wide prompt-payment
14	policy requiring that DBEs, be paid by the City within 30 days of the date on which the City receives are
15	invoice from a DBE for work performed for the City;
16	— 13. Provide the Director with written notice of all contract amendments, modifications,
17	supplements and change orders that cumulatively result in an increase or decrease of the contract's
18	dollar amount of more than 10 percent. Such notice shall be provided within 10 days of each such
19	contract modification;
20	— 14. Whenever contract amendments, modifications, supplements or change orders
21	cumulatively increase the total dollar value of a contract by more than 10 percent, the contract
22	awarding authority shall require compliance with those DBE provisions of this ordinance that applied
23	to the original contract;
24	— 15. All contract amendments, modifications, supplements or change orders that
25	cumulatively increase by more than 20 percent the total dollar value of all contracts originally valued

2	amendment, modification, supplement or change order to correct contracting practices that exclude
3	DBEs from new contracting opportunities.
4	(B) Contract awarding authorities or departments may invite, encourage or request businesses
5	to joint venture on any contract to promote DBE participation.
6	(C) For the purpose of determining DBE participation, contracts awarded to joint ventures in
7	which one or more DBEs are combined with one or more businesses that are not DBEs shall be deemed
8	by the contract awarding authority to be awarded to DBEs only to the extent of the DBE participation
9	in the joint venture. DBE participation in the supply of goods shall be included in determining DBE
10	participation in a joint venture if the goods are supplied in accordance with established general
11	industry practice.
12	(D) Contract awarding authorities shall ensure that all contracts subject to this ordinance
13	include the following requirements, in addition to such other requirements as may be set forth
14	elsewhere:
15	— 1. Each bidder, proposer and contractor shall be required to sign an affidavit, declaring
16	under penalty of perjury, attesting to its intention to comply fully with the provisions of this ordinance
17	and attesting to the truth and accuracy of all information provided regarding such compliance;
18	2. Each contract shall incorporate this ordinance by reference and shall provide that the
19	wilful failure of any bidder or contractor to comply with any of its requirements shall be deemed a
20	material breach of contract;
21	— 3. Contracts shall provide that in the event that the Director finds, pursuant to Section
22	14A.13, that any bidder, subcontractor or contractor wilfully fails to comply with any of the provisions
23	of this ordinance, rules and regulations implementing the ordinance or contract provisions pertaining
24	to DBE participation, the bidder, subcontractor or contractor shall be liable for liquidated damages for

each contract in an amount equal to the bidder's or contractor's net profit on the contract, 10 percent

at \$50,000 or more shall be subject to prior approval of the Director, who shall review the proposed

1

of the total amount of the contract or \$1,000, whichever is greatest, as determined by the Director
pursuant to Section 14A.13(C). All contracts shall also contain a provision in which the bidder,
subcontractor or contractor acknowledges and agrees that the liquidated damages assessed shall be
payable to the City upon demand and may be set off against any monies due to the bidder,
subcontractor or contractor from any contract with the City;

4. Contracts shall require all contractors to maintain records, including such information requested by the Director or Commission, necessary for monitoring their compliance with this ordinance and shall require prime contractors to include in any subcontract with a DBE provision requiring the subcontractor to maintain the same records;

5. Contracts shall require prime contractors, during the term of the contract, to fulfill the DBE participation commitments submitted with their bids;

— 6.—Contracts shall require prime contractors to include in any subcontract with a DBE a provision requiring the prime contractor to compensate any DBE subcontractor for damages for breach of contract or liquidated damages equal to 5% of the subcontract amount, whichever is greater, if the prime contractor fails to comply with its commitment to use the DBE subcontractor as specified in the bid/proposal unless the Commission and the contract awarding authority both give advance approval to the prime contractor to substitute subcontractors or otherwise modify the commitments in the bid/proposal documents. Contracts shall also require prime contractors to compensate any DBE subcontractor for breach of contract or liquidated damages equal to 5% of the subcontract amount, whichever is greater, if the prime contractor does not fulfill its commitment to use the DBE subcontractor as specified in the bid/proposal unless the Commission and the contract awarding authority both give advance approval to the prime contractor to substitute subcontractors or otherwise modify the commitments in the bid/proposal documents. This provision shall also state that it is enforceable in a court of competent jurisdiction;

1	7. Contracts shall require prime contractors, whenever amendments, modifications,
2	supplements, or change orders cumulatively increase the total dollar value of a construction contract
3	by more than 10 percent, to comply with those DBE provisions of this ordinance that applied to the
4	original contract with respect to the amendment, modification, supplement or change order;
5	8. Contracts shall require prime contractors to submit to the Director for approval all
6	contract amendments, modifications, supplements, and change orders that cumulatively increase by
7	more than 20 percent the total dollar value of all contracts originally valued at \$50,000 or more. The
8	Director shall review the proposed amendment, modification, supplement or change order to correct
9	any contracting practices that exclude women and minorities from new contracting opportunities;
10	9. Contracts in which subcontracting is used shall prohibit back contracting to the prime
11	contractor or lower-tier subcontracting for any purpose inconsistent with the provisions of this
12	ordinance, rules and regulations adopted pursuant to this ordinance, or contract provisions pertaining
13	to DBE utilization;
14	— 10. Contracts in which subcontracting is used shall require the prime contractor to pay its
15	subcontractors within three working days after receiving payment from the City unless the prime
16	contractor notifies the Director in writing within 10 working days prior to receiving payment from the
17	City that there is a bona fide dispute between the prime contractor and the subcontractor, in which
18	case the prime contractor may withhold the disputed amount but shall pay the undisputed amount. The
19	Director may, upon making a determination that a bona fide dispute exists between the prime
20	contractor and subcontractor, waive this three day payment requirement. In making the determination
21	as to whether a bona fide dispute exists, the Director shall not consider the merits of the dispute.
22	Contracts in which subcontracting is used shall also require the contractor/consultant, within 10
23	working days following receipt of payment from the City, to file an affidavit, under penalty of perjury,
24	that he or she has paid all subcontractors. The affidavit shall provide the names and address of all
25	subcontractors and the amount paid to each;

1	— 11. Contracts shall require contractors and subcontractors to maintain records necessary
2	for monitoring their compliance with this ordinance for three years following completion of the project
3	and shall permit the Commission and Controller to inspect and audit such records.
4	(E) All contracts or other agreements between the City and persons or entities, public or
5	private, in which such persons or entities receive money from or through the City for the purpose of
6	contracting with businesses to perform public improvements, shall require such persons or entities to
7	comply with the provisions of this ordinance in awarding and administering such contracts.
8	
9	SEC. 14A.9. POWERS AND DUTIES OF THE OFFICE OF CONTRACT
10	ADMINISTRATION.
11	In addition to the duties given the Office of Contract Administration elsewhere in this Section,
12	the Office of Contract Administration shall:
13	1. Maintain, with the assistance of the Director, a current list of DBEs to provide each of
14	those commodities or services subject to this ordinance that the Office of Contract Administration
15	indicates are required by the City;
16	2. Maintain a central office where all bids, requests for proposals and solicitations will be
17	listed and kept current;
18	-3. Cause to be posted upon a website the following information concerning current bids,
19	requests for proposals and solicitations: the title and number; the name of the contract awarding
20	authority; and the name and telephone number of the person to be contacted for further information.
21	Such information shall be posted with sufficient lead time to provide adequate notice and opportunity to
22	potential City contractors and vendors to participate in the bid opportunity, request for proposals or
23	solicitation, but in no event less than 10 calendar days prior to the due date for such bid opportunity,

request for proposals or solicitation.

24

1	SEC. 14A.10. APPLICATION OF DISCOUNT.
2	(A) Contract awarding authorities shall apply a bid/rating discount as follows:
3	— 1. Public Works/Construction Contracts. Contract awarding authorities shall apply bid
4	discounts as enumerated in Section 14A.8(A) to all public work/construction contracts the estimated
5	cost of which exceeds \$10,000.
6	— 2. Commodities Contracts. Contract awarding authorities shall apply all bid/rating
7	discounts as enumerated in Section 14A.8(A) to all commodities contracts, the estimated cost of which
8	exceeds \$2,500.
9	- 3. General Services Contracts. Contract awarding authorities shall apply all bid/rating
10	discounts as enumerated in Section 14A.8(A) to all general services contracts, the estimated cost of
11	which exceeds \$10,000.
12	4. Architect/Engineering Contracts. Contract awarding authorities and
13	architect/engineering selection panels shall apply all bid/rating discounts as enumerated in Section
14	14A.8(A) to all bids and proposals for architect/engineering contracts, the estimated cost of which
15	exceeds \$10,000.
16	— 5. Professional Services Contracts. Contract awarding authorities shall apply all
17	bid/rating discounts as enumerated in Section 14A.8(A) to all bids and proposals for all professional
18	service contracts, the estimated cost of which exceeds \$10,000.
19	(B) Best Efforts Required For Other Contracts. All City departments, commissions, boards,
20	officers and employees, in the performance of their duties, and in the award of leases, franchises,
21	concessions, and other contracts not subject to the bid/ratings discounts of this ordinance, shall make
22	best efforts to use the services of DBEs.
23	(C) The Director is empowered to take actions to ensure compliance with the provisions of the
24	ordinance, including, without limitation, intervening in the selection process, by modifying the criteria

1	used for selecting selection panelists or contractors to correct any practices that hinder equal business
2	opportunities for DBEs.
3	
4	SEC. 14A.11. BONDING AND FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM.
5	1. Program Description. The City and County of San Francisco, acting through its Human
6	Rights Commission ("HRC"), intends to provide guarantees to private bonding companies and
7	financial institutions in order to induce those entities to provide required bonding and financing to
8	eligible contractors and subcontractors bidding on and performing City public work contracts. This
9	bonding and financial assistance program is subject to the provisions of this Section 14A.11.
10	2. Eligible Contracts. The assistance described in this Section 14A.11 shall be available for
11	any City public works or construction contract to which this ordinance applies.
12	3. Eligible Businesses. Businesses must meet the following criteria to qualify for assistance
13	under this Section 14A.11:
14	— (a) The business may be either a prime contractor or subcontractor; and
15	— (b) The business must be certified by the HRC as DBE according to the requirements of
16	Section 14A5(B).
17	— (c) The business may be required to participate in a "bonding assistance training
18	program" as offered by the HRC, which is anticipated to provide the following:
19	——————————————————————————————————————
20	(ii) Assistance in developing financial statements,
21	(iii) Assistance in development of a pre-bond surety profile,
22	(iv) Identification of internal financial control systems,
23	(v) Development of accurate financial reporting tools, and
24	

1	4. Agreements Executed by the Human Kights Commission. The HKC is hereby authorized to
2	enter into the following agreements in order to implement the bonding and financial assistance
3	program described in this Subsection 14A.11:
4	(a) With respect to a surety bond, the agreement to guaranty up to 40 percent of the face
5	amount of the bond or \$750,000, whichever is less;
6	(b) With respect to a construction loan to be made to a contractor or subcontractor, an
7	agreement to guaranty up to 50 percent of the original principal amount of the construction loan or 50
8	percent of the actual loss suffered by the financial institution as a result of a loan default, whichever is
9	less; provided that in any event the City's obligations with respect to a guaranty shall not exceed
10	\$750,000;
11	— (c) Any other documents deemed necessary by the HRC to carry out the objectives of this
12	program, provided that such documents shall be subject to review and approval by the City Attorney's
13	Office.
14	5. Monitoring and Enforcement. The HRC shall maintain records on the use and effectiveness
15	of this program, including but not limited to (1) the identities of the businesses and bonding companies
16	participating in this program, (2) the types and dollar amounts of public work contracts for which the
17	program is utilized, and (3) the types and dollar amounts of losses which the City is required to fund
18	under this program. The HRC shall submit written reports to the Board of Supervisors every six months
19	beginning January 1, 2005, advising the Board of the status of this program and its funding capacity,
20	and an analysis of whether this program is proving to be useful and needed.
21	6. Funding and Accounts. Funding for this program may be derived from the following
22	sources:
23	— (a) The Board of Supervisors has appropriated or will appropriate funds for the operation
24	of this program.

1	(b) Each Department authorized to contract for public works or improvements pursuant to
2	San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 6 may commit to this program up to ten percent (10%),
3	but not less than one percent (1%), of the budget for every public work or improvement undertaken. (A
4	"public work or improvement" is defined in San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 6.) This
5	subsection is effective for those public works or improvements where the award of the construction
6	contract (as defined and regulated by Administrative Code Chapter 6) occurs after August 10, 2004.
7	(c) The Treasurer of the City and County of San Francisco is hereby authorized to
8	negotiate a line(s) of credit or any credit enhancement program(s) or financial products(s) with a
9	financial institution(s) to provide funding; the program's guaranty pool may serve as collateral for any
10	such line of credit.
11	— In the event the City desires to provide credit enhancement under this Subsection for a
12	period in excess of one fiscal year, the full aggregate amount of the City's obligations under such credit
13	enhancement must be placed in a segregated account encumbered solely by the City's obligations under
14	such credit enhancement.
15	7. Term of Bonding Assistance Program. The HRC is authorized to enter into the agreements
16	described in this Section for so long as the Controller is able to certify the availability of funds for any
17	new guarantee agreement.
18	8. Default on Guarantees. The Human Rights Commission shall decertify any contractor that
19	defaults on a loan or bond for which the City has provided a guarantee on the contractor's behalf.
20	However, the Human Rights Commission may in its sole discretion refrain from such decertification
21	upon a finding that the City has contributed to such default.
22	
23	SEC. 14A.12. EXCEPTIONS AND WAIVERS.
24	(A) The Director shall waive the DBE bid/ratings discounts and good faith efforts
25	requirements of this ordinance under the following circumstances:

1	— 1. Whenever the Director finas, with the advice of the contract awarding authority and the
2	Office of Contract Administration, that needed goods or services are available from a sole source that
3	is not currently disqualified from doing business with the City.
4	2. If the contract awarding authority certifies in writing to the Director, prior to the
5	Controller's contract certification, that (a) the contract is being awarded under emergency
6	circumstances as described and defined in Administrative Code Section 6.60 or Administrative Code
7	Section 21.15 and (b) (i) there is no time to apply bid/ratings discounts or establish subcontracting
8	goals, or (ii) there are no immediately available DBEs that are capable of performing the emergency
9	work.
10	(B) The Director shall waive the DBE bid/rating discount for contracts in excess of \$5,000,00
11	whenever a contract awarding authority establishes that:
12	1. Sufficient qualified DBEs capable of providing the needed goods and services required
13	by the contract are unavailable and sufficient qualified businesses located outside San Francisco
14	capable of providing the needed goods and services required by the contract are available; or
15	2. The application of the DBE discount will result in significant additional costs to the Cit
16	if the waiver of the bid discount is not granted.
17	(C) The bid/ratings discount provisions of this ordinance are not applicable to any contract
18	estimated by the contract awarding authority to cost in excess of \$10,000,000.
19	
20	SEC. 14A.13. MONITORING AND COMPLIANCE.
21	(A) The Director shall monitor the City's utilization of DBEs in City Contracting. The Directo
22	shall issue an exit report for any contract that includes DBE subcontracting participation or DBE

prime contract participation as a joint venture partner. The purpose of this exit report is to ensure that

prime contractors are complying with their commitments to use DBE subcontractors and DBEs are

performing services as set forth in the bid/proposal and contract documents for the joint ventures.

23

24

(B) Noncompliance By Contractors. In cases in which the Director has cause to believe that a
contractor has failed to comply with any of the requirements of this ordinance, rules and regulations
adopted pursuant to this ordinance or contract provisions pertaining to DBE participation, the
Director shall notify the contract awarding authority and shall attempt to resolve the noncompliance
through conference and conciliation. If the noncompliance cannot be resolved, the Director shall
conduct an investigation and, where the Director so finds, issue a written finding of noncompliance.
The Director's finding shall indicate whether the contractor acted in good faith or whether
noncompliance was based on willful or bad faith noncompliance with requirements of this ordinance,
rules and regulations adopted pursuant to this ordinance or contract provisions pertaining to DBE
participation. Where the Director finds that the contractor acted in good faith, after affording the
contractor notice and an opportunity to be heard, the Director shall recommend that the contract
awarding authority take appropriate action. Where the Director finds willful or bad faith
noncompliance, after affording the contractor notice and an opportunity to be heard, the Director shall
impose sanctions for each violation of the ordinance, rules and regulations adopted pursuant to this
ordinance or contract provisions pertaining to DBE participation that may include:
— 1. Declaring the bidder or contractor nonresponsive and ineligible to receive the award of
any pending contract;
2. Declaring the bidder or contractor to be an irresponsible bidder and disqualifying the
bidder or contractor from eligibility for providing goods or services to the City for a period of up to
five years, with a right of review and reconsideration by the Commission after two years upon a
showing of corrective action indicating violations are not likely to recur;
3. If the bidder or contractor is a DBE, revoking that business' certification as a DBE;
4. Determining that the bidder or contractor has wilfully failed to comply with the
provisions of this ordinance and, pursuant to the provision in the contract required by Section

14A.8(B)(3) of this ordinance, calculating the liquidated damages for which the bidder or contractor

1	shall be liable. Thereafter the Director shall send a written notice to the Controller, the Mayor and all
2	contract awarding authorities overseeing any contract with the bidder or contractor, that a
3	determination of willful or bad-faith compliance has been made and that all payments due the bidder o
4	contractor shall be withheld as agreed by the bidder or contractor and the City pursuant to Section
5	14A.8(B)(3).
6	(C) The bidder or contractor may appeal the Director's decision to the Commission. The
7	Commission may sustain, reverse or modify the Director's findings and sanctions imposed or take such
8	other action to effectuate the purpose of this ordinance. An appeal by a contractor under this
9	subsection shall not stay the Director's findings.
10	(D) The Director may require such reports, information and documentation from contractors,
11	subcontractors, bidders, contract awarding authorities, and heads of departments, divisions, and
12	offices of the City as are reasonably necessary to determine compliance with the requirements of this
13	ordinance.
14	(E) Wilful Noncompliance by Contract Awarding Authority. Whenever the Director finds after
15	investigation that a contract awarding authority has willfully failed to comply with its duties pursuant
16	to Section 14A.8, the Director shall transmit a written finding of noncompliance specifying the nature
17	of the noncompliance, to the contract awarding authority, the Commission, the Mayor and this Board.
18	— The Director shall attempt to resolve any noncompliance through conference and
19	conciliation. Should such attempt fail to resolve the noncompliance, the Director shall transmit a copy
20	of the finding of noncompliance along with a finding that conciliation was attempted and failed to the
21	Commission and this Board.
22	— The finding of noncompliance shall be communicated to the Mayor for appropriate action to
23	secure compliance pursuant to Section 14A.7.
24	(F) If the Director has reason to believe that any person has knowingly made, filed, or caused

to be filed with the City any materially false or misleading statement or report made in connection with

this ordinance, the Director shall report that information to the City Attorney or the District Attorney for appropriate action. The Director shall be empowered to conduct an investigation and for each violation of this Subsection 14A.13(F), to impose sanctions as set forth in Subsection 14A.13.

SEC. 14A.14. SUBCONTRACTING PROGRAM.

- (A) For all public works/construction, architect/engineering, professional service, and general service contracts which the contract awarding authority reasonably anticipates will include subcontractor participation, prior to the solicitation of bids or proposals, the contract awarding authority shall provide the Director with a proposed job scope, and may submit written recommendations to the Director regarding DBE subcontractor participation goals to be set for the contract.
- (B) Upon receipt of a proposed job scope and/or a written recommendation from a contracting awarding authority pursuant to Section 14A.13(A), the Director shall set the DBE participation goals for each public works/construction, architect/engineering, professional service, and general service contract based upon the following factors:
 - 1. The extent of subcontracting opportunities presented by the contract;
- 2. The availability of DBE subcontractors capable of providing goods and services on the contract.
- (C) The Director shall set these goals within 10 working days of the date the Director receives from a contract awarding authority a proposed job scope and/or written recommendation. If the Director fails to act within 10 days, and the contract awarding authority submitted to the Director recommended goals, the recommended goals shall be deemed approved by the Director, provided the goals are based upon the factors identified above.
- (D) All solicitations for bidders on prime public works/construction, architect/engineering, professional service, and general service contracts shall require each bidder to do the following:

1	— 1. Demonstrate in its via that it has used good-fatth efforts (as defined in Section 14A.4) to
2	use DBE subcontractors; and
3	2. Identify the particular DBEs subcontractors to be used in performing the contract,
4	specifying for each the dollar value of the participation, the type of work to be performed and such
5	information as may reasonably be required to determine the responsiveness of the bid.
6	Except as provided in Section 14A.14, bids not meeting the requirements of Section 14A.14
7	shall be declared nonresponsive.
8	(E) A contract awarding authority may request that the Director waive or reduce the DBE
9	subcontractor participation goals by submitting the reasons therefor in writing to the Director prior to
10	the solicitation of bids.
11	(F) A bidder or contractor may request that the Director waive or reduce the DBE
12	subcontractor participation goals by submitting in writing with its bid to the contract awarding
13	authority the reasons therefor.
14	(G) The Director may grant the request for waiver or reduction made pursuant to Sections
15	14A.14(E) and (F) upon a determination that:
16	1. The reasonable and necessary requirements of the contract render subcontracting or the
17	participation of businesses other than the public works/bidder unfeasible;
18	— 2. Qualified DBEs capable of providing the goods or services required by the contract are
19	unavailable, despite the prime contractor's or the department's good-faith efforts to locate DBEs to
20	meet the participation goals; or
21	- 3. The available DBEs have given price quotes that exceed competitive levels beyond
22	amounts that can be attributed to the increased costs faced by small local businesses.
23	(H) Whenever the Director denies a contractor's request to waive or reduce the participation
24	goals, the contractor may appeal that denial to the Commission. The Commission's decision on the
25	request shall be final. In reviewing the Director's denial of a contractor's request to waive or to reduce

by the contract and the availability of DBE subcontractors capable of providing goods and services on the contract. The Commission may overrule, sustain or modify the Director's decision by applying the same standards that the Director is required to apply, as set forth in Subsection (G) above.

(I) The contract awarding authority shall require bidders or proposers on the contracts to contact DBEs before listing them as subcontractors in the bid or proposal. The contract awarding authority shall declare bids or proposals that fail to satisfy this requirement nonresponsive.

(J) During the term of the contract, any failure to comply with the level of DBE subcontractor participation specified in the contract shall be deemed a material breach of contract.

(K) The provisions of this subsection (K) shall govern any Amendment to a Pre-existing contract as that term is defined in Section 14A.4 for types of contracts enumerated in subsection (A) of this Section. The Contract Awarding authority shall notify the Director of the proposed Amendment to a Pre-existing Contract and the Director shall set the DBE participation goals for the contract based on the factors set forth in subsection (B) of this Section. The Director may grant a request for a waiver or reduction based on the factors set forth in subsection (G) of this Section. The DBE participation goals shall apply when the Contractor hires new subcontractors. The Director or the Commission may adopt regulations governing the application of DBE participation goals under this subsection.

SEC. 14A.15. REPORTING AND REVIEW.

(A) Reporting by the Director. Commencing October 1, 2004 and no later than the first day of every third month thereafter, the Director shall issue a written report to this Board. That report shall document each City department's performance under the terms of this ordinance, including, among other things, each City department's progress in meeting its DBE goals and the success of each department's prime contractors complying with its best efforts obligations to meet DBE subcontracting

goals. That report shall also state whether or not each City department has fully reported all data required by this ordinance or requested by HRC or the Controller.

1. Whenever the Director's report concludes that a department management's intentional disregard or negligent performance of obligations imposed by this ordinance has contributed to that department's failure to meet its prime contracting goals or the failure of its prime contractors to use their best efforts to meet their subcontracting goals or whenever the Director's report concludes that a City department has failed to provide any data required by this ordinance or requested by the HRC or the Controller, the Clerk of this Board shall schedule before the appropriate committee of the Board a hearing on that report. The Clerk shall also give notice of that hearing to the heads of the departments identified in the report and request the attendance of the heads of those departments at the committee hearing. The Clerk's notice shall inform the department heads that they must be prepared to respond to the Director's finding of intentional disregard and/or negligent performance and to explain what steps they intend to take to forestall repetition of the problems, identified in the Directors' report. The same procedure shall be followed whenever the Director's report identifies any department as having failed to meet its prime or subcontracting goals for three consecutive quarters. If the Director's report indicates that a City department has not met its goals for three consecutive quarters, HRC and the City department shall institute a targeted program to remedy lack of participation by DBEs in any affected industry.

2. The Director shall report to the Commission all waivers acted upon pursuant to Section 14A.12. Such report shall be made on a monthly basis following the granting of the waiver.

(B) Reporting by the Commission. By July 1st of each fiscal year subject to this ordinance, the Commission shall submit an annual report to the Mayor and this Board on the progress of the City toward the goals of this ordinance, together with an identification of problems and specific recommendations for: (1) discontinuing the DBE bid discounts in those cases where the bid discounts

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	are no longer needed; and (2) improving the City's performance in fostering DBE participation in City
2	Contracting.
3	(C) This Board shall act upon the Commission's recommendations by the first Board meeting
4	of January in each fiscal year subject to this ordinance.
5	(D) By the last day of each fiscal year, all contract awarding authorities and City departments
6	shall report annually to the Mayor on their progress in the preceding fiscal year toward the
7	achievement of the DBE participation goals.
8	
9	SEC. 14A.16. SEVERABILITY.
10	The provisions of this ordinance are declared to be separate and severable. The invalidity of
11	any clause, sentence, paragraph, subdivision, section or portion of this ordinance, or the invalidity of
12	the application thereof to any person or circumstances shall not affect the validity of the remainder of
13	this ordinance, or the validity of its application to other persons or circumstances.
14	
15	SEC. 14A.17. GENERAL WELFARE CLAUSE.
16	In undertaking the enforcement of this ordinance, the City is assuming an undertaking only to
17	promote the general welfare. It is not assuming, nor is it imposing on its officers and employees, an
18	obligation for breach of which it is liable in money damages to any person who claims that such breac
19	proximately caused injury.
20	
21	SEC. 15.11. TO BE ADMINISTERED BY DIRECTOR OF HEALTH; POWERS AND
22	DUTIES OF DIRECTOR.
23	* * * *
24	
25	

(d) Annual Report. The Director shall submit an annual report to the Board of Supervisors,
reporting all activities of the Community Mental Health Services, including a financial
accounting of expenditures and a forecast of anticipated needs for the ensuing year.

(e) **Studies.** The Director shall carry on such studies as may be appropriate for the discharge of duties, including the control and prevention of psychiatric disorders.

SEC. 16.9-24. EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY PLANS.

Each board or commission, each elective officer in charge of an administrative office, the Controller, the Mayor, and each department head appointed by the Mayor shall be responsible for the preparation and implementation of a plan to provide equal employment opportunities to all persons. Each such plan shall address all employment-related subjects the control of which is vested by the Charter in the board, commission, officer, or department. Such subjects shall include, but not be limited to, the following:

(e) The method of dissemination of the equal employment opportunity plan.

All such plans shall be prepared in consultation with the Civil Service Commission in order to provide technical assistance and recommendations on effective steps to achieve equal employment opportunity. Prior to adoption, the Civil Service Commission shall also approve each equal employment opportunity plan in cooperation with the City Attorney to ensure that compliance is made with all relevant federal, State and local equal opportunity laws or regulations. Should the Civil Service Commission find any such plan not in compliance with the above, it shall immediately so report to the Mayor's Office and Board of Supervisors. All such plans shall, upon adoption, be filed with the Civil Service Commission for public or other inspection.

In order to facilitate the development of information necessary to the formulation of such plans, the Controller is directed to make appropriate data processing facilities available and to process annual workforce utilization plans as required by this Section, the California

Fair Employment Practices Commission, the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, the

Human Rights Commission, the Commission on the Status of Women or any other regulatory

agency charged with reviews of nondiscrimination provisions of local, State or federal law.

All such plans shall be periodically reviewed, amended and updated as appropriate on at least an annual basis. *An annual report on the performance and progress of such plans shall be prepared and submitted to the Mayor and Board of Supervisors by the Civil Service Commission by the first day of March of each year during the annual budget process.*

SEC. 16.9-25. SEXUAL HARASSMENT POLICY.

(e) Department of Human Resources Reports.

(1) Quarterly Reports. The Human Resources Director shall provide, on a quarterly basis, to the Commission on the Status of Women a written report on the number of sexual harassment complaints filed and the departments that were involved. The report also shall include information on the dispositions of complaints that are concluded and the status of complaints that are pending. The reports shall not include names or other identifying information regarding the parties or the alleged harassers.

(2) Annual Report. The Human Resources Director shall provide annually to the Mayor, the Board of Supervisors, the Human Rights Commission, and the Commission on the Status of Women a written report on the number of claims of sexual harassment filed, including information on the number of claims pending and the departments in which claims have been filed. The reports shall not include names or other identifying information regarding the parties or the alleged harassers.

(f) Commission on the Status of Women. The Commission on the Status of Women is available to offer technical advice on this City and County policy, assistance and referrals

1	for sexual harassment complainants, technical assistance and additional resources to
2	supervisory employees and managers regarding sexual harassment, and to assist in the
3	prevention of sexual harassment incidents.
4	$(f_{\overline{S}})$ The City and County of San Francisco is assuming an undertaking only to
5	promote the general welfare. It is not assuming, nor is it imposing on its officers and
6	employees, any obligations for which it is liable in money damages or otherwise to any person
7	who claims that such breach proximately caused injury.
8	
9	SEC. 16.9-27. HARASSMENT PREVENTION TRAINING AND REPORTING.
10	(a) Findings and Purpose.
11	* * * *
12	(6) Administrative Code Section 16.9-25(e)(2) requires DHR to provide an annual report
13	on the number of sexual harassment claims filed by City employees, including information as to number
14	of claims pending, and the City departments in which claims have been filed. Expanding this DHR's
15	reporting requirement to include all forms of harassment complaints , not only sexual harassment
16	elaims, will provide increased transparency and accountability for addressing harassment in
17	the workplace.
18	* * * *
19	(d) Reports.
20	* * * *
21	(4) This Section 16.9-27 does not relieve DHR of its reporting requirements under Section
22	16.9-25(e) regarding sexual harassment.
23	* * * *
24	

SEC. 17.4. TRANSFER OF PARKING AUTHORITY PROPERTY TO THE MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY.

* * * *

(d) The SFMTA has affirmed, in correspondence in Board File No. 170271, that it will provide at a minimum semi-annual written reports to the Board of Supervisors on any studies and plans to develop the Moscone Center Garage and the Performing Arts Garage, and will also provide to the Board of Supervisors a public outreach plan to engage the community on potential development alternatives, and any completed studies by third party consultants shall be made immediately available to the Board of Supervisors. At the present time, San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency, Office of Economic and Workforce Development, and Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development have been developing a proposal for the Moscone Garage that includes potential development of a hotel and associated uses, and affordable housing units. The current proposed scenario, which is subject to change based on public input, environmental review, and other factors including discussions around Central SoMa community facilities and Yerba Buena Gardens benefits, includes 650 hotel rooms and 100 units of affordable housing.

17 * * * *

SEC. 18.13-1. MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE OVERTIME.

(f) At such time as the Controller submits to the Board of Supervisors six and nine month standard financial reports and, if performed, three month reports, the Controller shall include budgeted overtime versus actual overtime *projections* in such reports. *These reports* shall also describe the extent to which each department has complied with the requirements of this section. The Controller, in consultation with the Director of Human Resources and the Director

- of Transportation, shall also submit an annual overtime report to the Board of Supervisors.
- 2 The annual overtime report shall include budgeted and actual overtime by department, the
- 3 number of exemptions granted by the Directors of the Human Resources Department and the Municipal
- 4 Transportation Agency and an aggregate analysis of the justifications for these exemptions, the
- 5 identification of critical staffing shortages, improved management practices, and other
- 6 *recommendations to reduce overtime spending*.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SEC. 20.17-3. REPORTS.

The spending plan described in Section 20.17-2(b) shall also include metrics designed to evaluate the effectiveness of the Initiative and each of its specific components. Within one year of the Department's submission of the spending plan to the Board of Supervisors, and on an annual basis thereafter, the Department shall prepare, and submit to the Children and Families First Commission (the "First Five Commission"), established by Chapter 86 of the Administrative Code, the Early Childhood Community Oversight and Advisory Committee (the "EC COAC"), established by Article XIII of Chapter 5 of the Administrative Code, the CCPAC, and the Board of Supervisors, a report evaluating the effectiveness of the Initiative and each of its specific components, using the metrics previously developed in the Department's spending plan and include a financial analysis forecasting how the Department will achieve universal access to early care and education, and establish a universal system for high-quality early care and education that interrupts racialized outcomes for children by building and funding an integrated system of early childhood supports and service in San Francisco and is based on planned expenditures and anticipated funding. The report should also consider and report on emerging needs, and new conditions or circumstances that would impact effectiveness such as workforce retention or newly identified revenue sources, economic

1	conditions, or new policy directives. Before the Department submits this annual report to the
2	Board of Supervisors, the EC COAC, the CCPAC, and the First Five Commission shall have
3	an opportunity to review the report and submit related recommendations to the Department
4	and the Board of Supervisors. The Department may provide this report as a component of its annual
5	budget submission or annual impact report.
6	
7	SEC. 20.19-4. ANNUAL REPORT.
8	(a) By October 1 of each year, Each year, HSA shall submit a written report to the Human
9	Services Commission and the Homelessness Oversight Commission containing the information
10	in subsections (b) $\frac{and(c)}{c}$ for the prior fiscal year.
11	(b) The written report shall provide the following aggregated and de-identified
12	information:
13	* * * *
14	(c) In addition to the information required in subsection (b), HSH shall require each of the
15	entities with whom it may contract to provide information on referrals to the Program by program type
16	and service provider.
17	
18	SEC. 20.304. POWERS AND DUTIES.
19	* * * *
20	

(b) **Reports.** The Committee shall prepare and submit *quarterly* reports *at least once*

each quarter that shall include but not be limited to information on the following: safety in the

shelter, cleanliness in the shelter, disability access to and within the shelter, family life in the

received regarding the treatment and personal experiences of shelter residents. In order to

shelter, a review of policies and procedures in place at the shelter, and any information

21

22

23

24

enable the Committee to prepare reports required under this subsection (b), City departments that contract for services at a shelter that is under review must respond within 15 days to any reasonable request for information submitted by the Committee relative to the shelter or to City policies that affect shelter clients. The reports shall also include recommended action steps for the shelter and for the City department that contracts for services at the shelter. City departments and the reports referenced in this subsection shall not identify shelter residents or disclose any confidential information concerning shelter residents consistent with state and federal law. The Committee may issue emergency reports at any time it deems necessary. The reports shall be provided to: 1) the Mayor, 2) the Board of Supervisors, 3) the Commission, 4) the appropriate City department responsible to take action, 5) the City department that contracts for services at the shelter, 6) the shelter under review, and 7) the public. These reports shall be public documents. Any City department identified in the report as responsible to take action recommended in the report shall, within 30 days of issuance of the report, provide to the Board of Supervisors a departmental report setting forth how the department intends to respond to the Committee's recommendations.

SEC. 21A.3. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH MANAGED CARE CONTRACTS.

* * * * *

(e) The Director of Health shall provide quarterly reports between September 1, 2015 and December 1, 2028 to the Health Commission of the contracts approved under this Section 21A.3, and the aggregate amount of reimbursement and revenue generated. The Director of Health shall provide annual reports, no later than September 1, 2015, September 1, 2016, September 1, 2017, September 1, 2018, September 1, 2019, September 1, 2020, September 1, 2021, September 1, 2022, September 1, 2023, September 1, 2024, September 1, 2025, September 1, 2026 1 September 1, 2027, and September 1, 2027, and September 1, 2028, September 1, 2029, September 1, 2029, September 1, 2029, September 1, 2029, September 1, 2020, September 1,

1	1, 2028 to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors, identifying the contracts approved and the
2	aggregate amount of reimbursement and revenue generated.
3	
4	SEC. 21D.3. GOALS FOR HOSPITALS.
5	To implement Good Food Purchasing Standards, DPH shall seek the following:
6	(a) Local Economies: To achieve baseline goals set forth in the 2019 DPH Good Food

Purchasing Standard baseline report by January 1, 2021, through developing a Request for Proposals that reflects the Good Food Purchasing pillars, and awarding a contract to a local produce vendor, and sourcing at least 15% of food from very large family-owned producers (as defined by United States Department of Agriculture standards) within 250 miles. DPH shall actively pursue extra points towards baseline goals by planning to purchase at least 1% cumulatively of food from vendors that are Socially Disadvantaged, Beginning, Limited Resource, Veteran, or Disabled Farmers/Ranchers by January 1, 2022.

(b) Environmental Sustainability: To achieve baseline goals set forth in the 2019 DPH Good Food Purchasing Standard baseline report by January 1, 2022, by purchasing 100% of meat derived from animals raised without the routine use of medically important antimicrobial drugs for disease prevention purposes. DPH shall achieve a 4% carbon and water footprint reduction by January 1, 2021 and a 20% carbon and water footprint reduction by January 1, 2025. DPH shall take other measures to achieve environmental sustainability, including review and revision of menus (by January 1, 2021), implementation of Meatless Mondays (by January 1, 2021), eliminating use of disposable water bottles (by January 1, 2021), and optimizing waste recovery systems to reduce waste (by January 1, 2023).

(c) Valued Workforce: To achieve baseline goals set forth in the 2019 DPH Good Food

Purchasing Standard baseline report by January 1, 2021, through encouraging all vendors to commit

to full compliance with labor law and working to prevent labor law violations from occurring, for both
 Laguna Honda Hospital and Zuckerberg San Francisco General Hospital.

(d) Animal Welfare: To achieve baseline goals set forth in the 2019 DPH Good Food

Purchasing Standard baseline report by January 1, 2023, through evaluating the menu for

opportunities to decrease meat use (by January 1, 2021), purchasing 15% of total food purchases from

animal welfare certified products (by January 1, 2023), and decreasing animal product purchase

volume by 15% and replacing it with plant-based foods (by January 1, 2023).

(e) Nutrition: To achieve baseline goals set forth in the 2019 DPH Good Food Purchasing Standard baseline report by January 1, 2021, through offering free drinking water, conducting an analysis of products with regards to trans-fat and whole grains, and further refining nutrition goals specific to a safety net hospital setting.

(f) Additional actions to achieve the above goals include: development of a departmental sustainability policy to guide purchasing decisions; examination of opportunities for joint procurement for the two hospitals; development of specifications for the department's dairy and eggs contracts to comply with Good Food Purchasing Standards; development of specifications for the department's meat contracts to comply with Good Food Purchasing Standards; and education of vendors on Good Food Purchasing Standards.

SEC. 21D.4. GOALS FOR JAILS.

To implement Good Food Purchasing Standards, the Sheriff's Department shall seek the following with respect to jails:

(a) Local Economies: To continue to meet the baseline goals set forth in the 2019 Sheriff's

Department Good Food Purchasing Standard baseline report, with more than 20% of the department's

total food expenditures meeting the criterion of being locally sourced at Good Food Purchasing

Standards Level 1. The Sheriff's Department's goal is to increase its local food spending allocation

from 15% to 20% on vendors who are large- or medium-scale operations, family- or cooperatively-
owned, and within 250 miles of San Francisco (Good Food Purchasing Standards Levels 2 and 3), b
January 1, 2023.

(b) Environmental Sustainability: To achieve goals set forth in the 2019 Sheriff's Department Good Food Purchasing Standard baseline report by January 1, 2022, through spending at least 15% of the department's total food expenditures on products grown without the use of pesticides and/or that have received one or more of the nationally recognized certifications referenced in the Good Food Purchasing Standards Level 1, or reducing the carbon and water footprint of food purchases by at least 4% after January 1, 2022 (with the goal of doubling the next year), increasing purchasing of products derived from animals raised without the routine use of medically important antimicrobial drugs for disease prevention purposes to 25% by January 1, 2022, and ensuring each year ongoing that no seafood purchases are listed as "avoid" by Monterey Bay Scafood Watch Guide (or other similar environmental monitoring body whose standards may be substituted by the Purchaser).

(c) Valued Workforce: To achieve goals set forth in the 2019 Sheriff's Department Good Food Purchasing Standard baseline report by January 1, 2022, through spending at least 5% of the department's total food expenditures on products supplied by vendors with a social responsibility policy that prioritizes non-poverty wages for their employees, labor peace agreements, safe and healthy working conditions, prohibition of child labor, employment benefits, and policies to prevent sexual harassment/assault, a Good Food Purchasing policy, a worker education training program, or are certified by one or more nationally recognized fair trade organizations, and work with vendors to purchase products for whom the grower, processor, and distributor meet the qualifying criteria. Wherever possible, in evaluation criteria or reference checks for vendors, the Sheriff's Department shall encourage all vendors to commit to full compliance with labor and employment laws and work to prevent violations from occurring.

(d) Animal Welfare: To achieve goals set forth in the 2019 Sheriff's Department Good Food Purchasing Standard baseline report by January 1, 2022, through spending at least 15% of the department's total food expenditures on products supplied by vendors who have received nationally-recognized organic and/or humane certifications (Level 1), and increase purchasing food items at higher levels of animal welfare certifications as recognized in the Good Food Purchasing Standards (Levels 2 and 3) by January 1, 2022, through replacing 35% of the total volume of animal products with plant-based foods and reaching 50% reduction relative to the baseline assessment by January 1, 2024.

(e) Nutrition: To achieve goals set forth in the 2019 Sheriff's Department Good Food

Purchasing Standard baseline report by January 1, 2021, through spending at least 51% of the

department's total food expenditures on Level 1 products, increasing the amount of whole or minimally

processed foods by 5% from baseline year, and having fruit, vegetables, and whole grains account for

at least 50% of the total food purchases.

SEC. 21D.5. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.

One year from the effective date of this Chapter 21D, DPH and the Sheriff's Department shall each submit a report to the Board of Supervisors assessing their adherence to the five Good Food Purchasing Standards as stated in Section 21D.1(d). This initial report shall constitute the baseline standards against which the goals of Sections 21D.3 and 21D.4 will be measured. One year from the date of the initial report, DPH and the Sheriff's Department shall each submit a report documenting their progress in meeting the baseline standards, and shall continue to submit reports annually from that point thereafter.

1	SEC. 22B.3. IMPLEMENTATION REPORT.
2	The General Manager of the Department of Telecommunications shall provide the Board of
3	Supervisors with a detailed action plan for implementing the telecommunications program.
4	
5	SEC. 22D.2. CHIEF DATA OFFICER AND CITY DEPARTMENTS.
6	* * *
7	(c) City Departments. Each City department, board, commission, and agency
8	("Department") shall:
9	* * *
10	(3) Designate a Data Coordinator (DC) no later than three months after the
11	effective date of Ordinance No. 285-13, who will oversee implementation and compliance with
12	the Open Data Policy within his/her respective department. Each DC shall work with the CDO
13	to implement the City's open data policies and standards. The DC shall prepare an Open Data
14	plan for the Department which shall include:
15	(A) A timeline for the publication of the Department's open data and a summary
16	of open data efforts planned and/or underway in the Department;
17	(B) A summary description of all data sets under the control of each Department
18	(including data contained in already-operating information technology systems);
19	(C) All public data sets proposed for inclusion on DataSF;
20	(D) <i>Quarterly uUpdates</i> of data sets available for publication <i>twice each year</i> .
21	(4) The DC's duties shall include, but are not limited to the following:
22	* * *
23	
24	SEC. 22G.4. REVIEWING AND APPROVING PILOT PROJECT PROPOSALS.
25	* * *

(r) Annual Report to the Board of Supervisors. No later than one year from the
effective date of this Chapter 22G, and annually thereafter, OET shall submit to the Board of
Supervisors and the Mayor an Emerging Technology report ("Annual Report") that describes
the work performed by OET during the prior calendar year including without limitation the Pilot
Project Proposals received, the Pilot Projects approved and/or completed during the term
covered in the Annual Report, the OET Director's analysis and recommendations
corresponding to each Pilot Project, OET's analysis of Emerging Technology data, including
the effects of Emerging Technologies on public spaces and the labor market, and the OET
Director's conclusions and recommendations regarding such data. As may be required to
safeguard public health, safety, welfare, and convenience in light of the effects of particular
categories of Emerging Technologies or businesses seeking to utilize, market, test, sell, or
launch Emerging Technologies, the Annual Report shall include recommendations that the
City, including Special Jurisdiction Agencies, take legislative and/or administrative actions to
modify, streamline, consolidate, amend, or terminate, as applicable, existing permit programs
and requirements; to create new permit programs; and to streamline or consolidate regulatory
review and approval processes and requirements among City Department Partners. The
Annual Report shall include recommendations that the Board adopt or refrain from adoption of
new legislation to regulate, deregulate, allow, or prohibit such Emerging Technologies upon,
above, or below public property or the public right-of-way. No Annual Report is required if OET
does not perform any work during the term that would otherwise be covered in the Annual Report.

SEC. 23.42. PROHIBITION ON LEASING OF CITY-OWNED LAND FOR THE EXTRACTION OF FOSSIL FUELS.

* * * *

1	(d) Fossil Fuel Remediation and Constructive Future Use. Regarding any City-owned
2	property that is or was previously leased for fossil fuel extraction:
3	(1) Within six months of the effective date of this Section 23.42, the Director of Property, in
4	coordination with the Department of the Environment, shall inspect such property to ensure that any
5	current or former lessee complies with, or complied with, all applicable federal, state, and local
6	environmental laws. Within 30 days of such inspection, the Director of Property shall submit a report
7	regarding the state of the property to the Board of Supervisors, including whether the Director
8	recommends additional inspections or further action;
9	(2) Upon the termination of any existing lease, the Director of Property, in coordination
10	with the Department of the Environment and the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, shall
11	inspect such property to conduct an ecological evaluation of the property and ensure that the lessee has
12	removed all equipment and that the state of the property complies with all applicable federal, state, and
13	local environmental laws. Within 30 days of such inspection, the Director of Property shall submit a
14	report regarding the state of the property to the Board of Supervisors, including a report on the value
15	of the property as habitat and potential for restoration, and whether the Director recommends
16	additional inspections or further action; and
17	(3) Within 90 days of the inspection required under subsection (d)(2), the Director of
18	Property, in coordination with the Department of the Environment and the San Francisco Public
19	Utilities Commission, shall submit to the Board of Supervisors a "Just Transition Plan" for the
20	property. The Just Transition Plan shall evaluate possible constructive future uses for such property,
21	including renewable electricity generation, recreation, and habitat protection and restoration. The Just
22	Transition Plan shall also assess adverse impacts to workers from the termination of the lease and
23	identify mechanisms to minimize or eliminate those impacts, including potential job creation from the
24	possible constructive future uses.

- (e) **Prospective Effect.** The prohibition in this Section 23.42 is intended to have prospective effect only, and shall not be interpreted to impair the obligations of any existing lease entered into by the City before the effective date of this Section, or any reserved rights held by the State of California or other person or entity in a deed or other instrument.
- (ef) Exclusive Jurisdiction Departments. This Section 23.42 shall not apply to any department of the City that has exclusive jurisdiction over its real property to the extent application to that department would violate the Charter or interfere with the department's ability to carry out its core functions under the Charter. The Board of Supervisors urges departments of the City that have exclusive jurisdiction over real property to adopt policies consistent with the prohibition set forth in this Section.
- (fg) Undertaking for the General Welfare. In enacting and implementing this Section, the City is assuming an undertaking only to promote the general welfare. It is not assuming, nor is it imposing on its officers and employees, an obligation for breach of which it is liable in money damages to any person who claims that such breach proximately caused injury.

SEC. 24.3. QUARTERLY REPORTS OF REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY.

The Redevelopment Agency of the City and County shall file with the Board of Supervisors a detailed report of all its transactions, including a statement of all revenues and expenditures, at quarterly intervals.

SEC. 27.3. HEALTHY NAIL SALON RECOGNITION PROGRAM.

The Department of the Environment shall develop and implement a "Healthy Nail-Salon Recognition Program" modeled after a program developed by the California Healthy Nail Salon Collaborative ("the Collaborative"). The Program shall, among other things, provide

1	public acknowledgment of nail salons that use nail polishes that are free of toluene, DBP, and
2	formaldehyde and formaldehyde-releasing chemicals.
3	The Department shall work with the Collaborative and any other interested parties in
4	designing and implementing the Program and conducting public outreach. The Department shall
5	evaluate the success of the program after two years and report its findings to the Board of Supervisors.
6	
7	SEC. 31.05. OFFICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW.
8	* * * *
9	(m) The Environmental Review Officer shall prepare an annual report to the Planning
10	Commission and the Board of Supervisors on all appeals filed under any of the appeal provisions of
11	this Chapter 31. The first annual report shall be filed approximately one year after the effective date of
12	this provision of Chapter 31.
13	
14	SEC. 32.54. LIMITATION BASED ON FAIR MARKET VALUE OF WORK.
15	* * * *
16	(e) The Chief Administrative Officer shall, semi-annually, direct a report to the Board of
17	Supervisors setting forth a list of the loans which were in excess of 10 percent of the estimated fair
18	market value pursuant to the provisions of Paragraph (a) giving the reasons for approval in each case.
19	
20	SEC. 33.6. REPORTS.
21	The Commission shall provide a written report of its activities on an annual basis with the

submission of the Commission's annual budget to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors render

written reports of its activities to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors not less than once every six

months.

22

23

24

SEC. 33.7. COOPERATION OF OTHER CITY AND COUNTY ENTITIES.

- (a) The Mayor, Board of Supervisors, and each commission, board, department and agency of the City and County shall fully cooperate with the Commission in fulfilling the provisions and purposes of this Article and shall regularly consult with the Commission on matters relating to women.
- (b) All agencies, departments, boards and commissions of the City and County, with the exception of the City Attorney, shall make quarterly reports to the Human Resources

 Department regarding all complaints of gender or sex discrimination file by their employees.

 Those reports shall include:
 - (1) the number of complaints filed that quarter;
 - (2) the specific type of discrimination alleged in each complaint filed;
 - (3) the department, bureau or division in which each complaint arose;
 - (4) the harm allegedly suffered by the complainant;
 - (5) the cost to the department in handling the matter, when available;
- (6) the status of all outstanding complaints, including, but not limited to a report that the complaint is being investigated or mediated;
 - (7) the findings in all completed cases; and
 - (8) what, if any, corrective action was taken.

The Human Resources Department shall compile the information regarding the complaints and report it to the Commission quarterly. The Human Resources Department shall consult with the Commission concerning the policy manner in which such complaints are handled. The Human Resources Department shall also send the Commission any and all reports they make to the Board of Supervisors and/or the Mayor concerning any type of discrimination against women (including sexual harassment). The Human Resources Department shall provide the Commission, upon request, access to pertinent, nonconfidential personnel information with

1 respect to current City and County employees and applicants for employment including, but 2 not limited to: 3 (1) an employee's or applicant's eligibility or certification status; and (2) any workforce utilization or salary analysis performed by the Human 4 Resources Department. 5 6 (c) The City Attorney shall submit to the Commission and the Department a quarterly 7 report of settlements of lawsuits and claims filed by female employees alleging employment 8 discrimination. The report shall include: 9 (1) the name of the case or claimant; (2) the nature of the case; 10 (3) the damages allegedly suffered; and 11 12 (4) the amount of the settlement. - The City Attorney shall also provide, quarterly, a summary of litigation judgments in 13 14 favor of and against the City and County, including all lawsuits filed by female employees alleging 15 discrimination. The City Attorney shall alert the Commission to the filing of any lawsuit against 16 the City and County alleging any form of discrimination against women and shall provide a quarterly 17 report of all administrative claims filed against the City, including any claims alleging discrimination 18 against women. Upon request, the City Attorney shall forward to the Commission or Department a 19 copy of any complaint or claim filed with or served upon the City Attorney. 20 21 SEC. 36.1. APPLICABILITY. The Planning Department is currently engaged in comprehensive planning of 22 23 areas of the City being referred to as the proposed Transit Center District, Market/Octavia, East

SOMA, West SOMA, Inner Mission, Lower Potrero/Showplace Square, and Central Waterfront plan

areas. These efforts are expected to lead to new or modified area plans of the City's General

24

- Plan ("Area Plans") that address urban design, open space, transportation, housing, and community facilities and present detailed rezoning and policy proposals that cover land use, housing, community facilities, open space, and transportation. *The boundaries of these areas are generally as outlined in documents posted from time to time on the Planning Department's web page.*
 - (b) As part of the comprehensive planning leading to preparation and adoption of each Area Plan, the Planning Department, and, in the West SOMA area, the Planning Department with the advice and input of the Western SoMa Citizens Planning Task Force, is analyzing the existing deficiencies and improvement needs of each area and the deficiencies and improvement needs that will be created by or exacerbated by the new development permitted by the proposed Area Plan. In the other areas covered by this legislation, the Planning Department should also consider the advice and input of citizen groups, Based on this analysis, the Planning Department shall prepare for each area a document that identifies the various facilities, infrastructure and other community improvements needed to address the identified conditions and needs (the "Community Improvements Plan") and an implementation program that summarizes the estimated costs of the various facilities and improvements identified in the Community Improvements Plan, proposes specific funding strategies and sources to finance them, identifies the responsible and supporting agencies, and outlines the steps, including as may be needed more detailed planning, program design, and environmental evaluation, required to refine the proposals and implement them (the "Implementation Program."). *In the* West SOMA area the City is preparing the Community Improvements Plan and Implementation Program with the advice and input of the Western SoMa Citizens Planning Task Force. In the other areas covered by this legislation, the Planning Department should also consider the advice and input of citizen groups. The funding sources proposed in the Implementation Program may include, but are not limited to, use of federal, State, and local public resources, community facility,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

community benefit or other forms of assessment districts, and area-specific development impact fees, as may be detailed in the final adopted respective area plans.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

SEC. 36.3. INTERAGENCY PLAN PLAN IMPLEMENTATION COMMITTEES.

(a) For each area subject to the provisions of this Article, there shall be an The Interagency Planning and Implementation Committee that shall be comprised of representatives of the departments, offices, and agencies whose responsibilities include provision of one of more of the community improvements that are likely to be needed or desired in an adopted Area Plan-a Plan Area. In addition to the Planning Department, these departments, offices, and agencies shall, if relevant, include, but are not limited to, the County Transportation Authority, Municipal Transportation Agency, Department of Public Works, *Library Commission*, Redevelopment Agency or its successor agency, Mayor's Office of Economic and Workforce Development, Mayor's Office of *Housing and* Community Development, Public Utilities Commission, Department of Recreation and Parks, and Department of the Environment, and the Office of City Greening. Representatives from the Transbay Joint Powers Authority (TJPA) and Bay Area Rapid Transit District (BART) shall be consulted when formulating recommendations regarding implementation and funding related to the Transit Center District Plan. The Interagency Planning and Implementation Committees shall be chaired by the Planning Director or his or her the Planning Director's designee. It shall be the responsibility of each such department, office, or agency to participate, using its own administrative funds, in the preparation of that portion of a Community Improvements Plan falling within its area of responsibility and, after Area Plan adoption, to participate in the detailed design of the community improvement or improvements and to seek the funding for its implementation as provided in the Implementation Program, as amended from time to time.

* * * *

SEC. 36.4. ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORTS.

(a) Preparation. After the final adoption of an Area Plan, including the Community
Improvements Plan and Implementation Program, for a portion of the City subject to the
provisions of this Article, the Planning Department shall prepare for each Area Plan a brief
Annual Progress Report indicating the status of implementation of the Area Plan and its various
components. It shall contain information regarding the progress made to date in implementing the Area
Plan and its various components, including a summary of the individual development projects, public
and private, that have been approved during the report period, and shall also describe the steps taken
regarding implementation of the various community improvements in accordance with the Plan's
projected phasing and update and, if necessary, modify and amend, the contents and/or phasing of the
Community Improvements Plan and Implementation Program. It shall also include proposed
departmental work programs and budgets for the coming fiscal year that describe the steps to be taken
by each responsible department, office, or agency to implement the Community Improvements Plan. It
shall be the responsibility of each department, office and agency to provide to the Planning Department
the following: (i) information regarding its progress in implementing the community improvement(s)
for which it is responsible; (ii) any changes in the time-phased schedule for implementing the
improvement(s); and (iii) information regarding its relevant proposed work program and efforts to
secure the funding sources for implementing the improvement(s) in the coming year. report annually on
the amount of area plan impact fees collected to date and describe how these funds have been allocated
or spent on the various community improvements in accordance with the Plan's Community
Improvements Plan or Implementation Program. The Planning Department shall share this report
with the Planning Commission, Board of Supervisors and the Capital Planning Committee. together
with information regarding it's own progress and relevant proposed work program and budget into the
Annual Progress Report.

1	(b) Annual Hearing at Planning Commission. Prior to the annual submission of the Planning
2	Department budget requests to the Mayor's Budget Office, the Planning Commission shall hold a
3	public hearing on each Area Plan's Annual Progress Report. Notice of the hearing shall be provided at
4	least 30 days prior to the meeting as follows: mailed notice to all organizations and individuals who
5	have specifically requested mailed notice and published notice at least once in an official newspaper of
6	general circulation. The Report shall be posted on the Department's web page for at least 30 days
7	before the hearing. This hearing may be held as part of the Planning Commission's hearing on the
8	Departmental budget request.
9	(c) Submission to Relevant Committee of the Board of Supervisors. The Annual Progress
10	Report shall also be submitted to the committee of the Board of Supervisors responsible for land use
11	matters, which Committee may schedule a public hearing. Further, the Board urges the Planning
12	Department Director and/or his or her designee who chairs the Interagency Planning and
13	Implementation Committee for each Area Plan to be available to provide a briefing and answer
14	questions about the Report at the appropriate Board of Supervisors committee hearing.
15	
16	(d) Termination. This Annual Progress Report requirement may be terminated by the Planning
17	Commission upon its determination after a public hearing, noticed at least 30 days prior to the
18	meeting, that full implementation of the Community Improvements Plan and Implementation Program
19	has been substantially achieved and that continuation of the Annual Progress Report requirement
20	would serve no useful purpose.
21	
22	SEC. 37.7. CERTIFICATION OF RENT INCREASES FOR CAPITAL

IMPROVEMENTS, REHABILITATION WORK, ENERGY CONSERVATION

IMPROVEMENTS, AND RENEWABLE ENERGY IMPROVEMENTS.

23

24

1 (h) Tenant Financial Hardship Applications. 2 (1) A tenant may file a hardship application at any time on grounds of financial 3 hardship with respect to any rent increase based on certified costs of capital improvements, rehabilitation work, energy conservation improvements, or 4 renewable energy improvements. Payment of such rent increases(s) set forth in the hardship 5 6 application shall be stayed from the date of filing until a decision is made on the Tenant 7 Financial Hardship Application. 8 (2) Hardship applications shall be available in multiple languages. 9 Multilingual notice of hardship application procedures shall be mailed with each Administrative Law Judge or Board decision. 10 11 (4) Within six months after February 21, 2003 the Rent Board shall implement a 12 process for direct outreach to landlords and tenants whose primary language is not English, regarding 13 availability and use of the hardship application procedure. Within three months of implementation the Board shall provide a report to the Board of Supervisors regarding this outreach program, describing 14 15 the implementation process and any known results. 16 17 SEC. 40.19. LIMITATION BASED ON FAIR MARKET VALUE OF WORK. 18 19 20 (e) The Chief Administrative Officer shall, semi-annually, direct a report to the Board of Supervisors setting forth a list of the loans which were in excess of 110 percent of fair market value 21 pursuant to the provisions of Subdivision (d) giving the reasons for approval in each case. 22 23

24

1	SEC. 41.21. ANNUAL REVIEW OF RESIDENTIAL HOTEL STATUS.
2	(a) The Department of Building Inspection shall prepare and submit to the
3	Board of Supervisors an annual status report containing the following:
4	— (1) Current data on the number of residential hotels and the number of residential units in
5	each of the residential hotels in the City and County of San Francisco, including, to the extent feasible,
6	information regarding rents, services provided, and violations of the City's Codes;
7	— (2) Current data on the number of residential hotel units converted pursuant to a permit to
8	convert;
9	— (3) Current data on the number of hotel units demolished or eliminated due to code
10	abatement proceedings and fire;
11	— (4) Current data on the number of residential hotel units illegally converted;
12	— (5) Current data on the number of replacement housing units rehabilitated or constructed;
13	— (6) A summary of the enforcement efforts by all City agencies responsible for the
14	administration of this Chapter; and
15	— (7) An evaluation of the workability and effectiveness of the permitted temporary
16	change of occupancy procedures and winter rentals in Section 41.19 herein; and
17	(8) A report on expenditures from the San Francisco Residential Hotel Preservation Fund
18	Account.
19	(b) The Economic and Social Policy Committee of the Board of Supervisors shall conduct a
20	hearing on the annual report submitted by the Department of Building Inspection and shall recommend
21	appropriate actions to be taken by the Board of Supervisors.
22	$\frac{(c)}{c}$ The Department of Building Inspection should establish a San Francisco
23	Residential Hotel Operators Advisory Committee composed of:
24	

1	_	3 members nominated by the San Francisco Hotel Association (for-profit
2		operators);
3	_	3 members nominated by the Golden Gate Hotel Association (for-profit
4		operators);
5	_	2 members nominated by the Council of Community Housing Organizations
6		(nonprofit hotel operators);
7	_	Deputy Mayor for Housing.
8		
9	The con	nmittee shall meet no less than once every three months to advise the Mayor's
10	Office of Housi	ng on matters including, but not limited to:
11	(1)	Proposed revisions to this ordinance;
12	(2)	Programs that various City agencies (i.e. Mayor's Office of Housing,
13	Department of	Social Services, etc.) should develop to assist the City's residential hotel
14	operators;	
15	(3)	Any state or federal laws the City should support, oppose or seek to revise that
16	impact residen	tial hotel operators;
17	(4)	Any new City, State or Federal programs the City shall encourage that would
18	provide financia	al or technical support or assistance to San Francisco Residential Hotel
19	Operators.	
20		
21	SEC. 41	A.7. OFFICE OF SHORT-TERM RESIDENTIAL RENTAL ADMINISTRATION
22	AND ENFORC	EMENT.
23	* * *	*
24	(c) Repo	orting to Board of Supervisors.
25		

(1) Annual Reports. The Office of Short-Term Residential Rental Administration and Enforcement shall provide a report to the Board of Supervisors regarding the administration and enforcement of the Short-Term Residential Rental program on an annual basis. The report shall make recommendations regarding proposed amendments to this Chapter 41A necessary to reduce any adverse effects of the Short-Term Residential Rental program.

— (2) Quarterly Reports. The Office of Short-Term Residential Rental Administration and Enforcement shall provide quarterly reports to the Board of Supervisors summarizing the Host Platform monitoring activities during the preceding quarter. The periods covered by the quarterly reports shall commence on January 1, April 1, July 1, and October 1, respectively. At a minimum, each report shall include the number of notices sent to Hosting Platforms, the total number of listings included in those notices, the number of any administrative subpoenas issued upon discovery of potentially non-compliant listings, and the number and amount of penalties imposed on Owners, Business Entities, or Hosting Platforms for violations of their respective obligations under this Chapter 41A. Each report shall break down information by zip code, supervisorial district, and any other criteria as may be requested by the Board of Supervisors.

SEC. 41C.6. REVIEW OF ORDINANCE.

Not later than four years and six months after the initial effective date of this Section, the

Department of City Planning shall report to the Board of Supervisors with respect to the subject matter

of this ordinance and the stock of permanent housing in the City and County of San Francisco, and may

recommend, if appropriate, the modification or repeal of this ordinance. Not later than six months after

receipt of said report, the Board of Supervisors shall hold a hearing to consider the contents of the

report, and to consider extension or repeal of this ordinance. Not later than six months after receipt of

said report, the Board of Supervisors shall hold a hearing to consider the contents of the report, and to

1	consider extension or repeal of this ordinance. This ordinance shall be repealed five years after its
2	initial effective date unless the Board of Supervisors shall on or before that date extend or re-enact it.
3	
4	SEC. 43.3.7. REPORTS TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.
5	The Mayor's Office of Housing will provide an annual report to the Board of Supervisors on
6	the status of the program.
7	
8	SEC. 51.03. DUTIES OF MAYOR.
9	* * * *
10	(c) The Mayor shall submit a semiannual report to the Board of Supervisors, setting forth an
11	accounting of the amounts disbursed to each nonprofit arts organization and the uses for which said
12	funds were made.
13	
14	SEC. 59.7. ANNUAL PROGRESS REPORTS.
15	By January 1, 2014, and every year thereafter, the Department shall submit a written report to
16	the Mayor and Board of Supervisors providing a summary of key Program achievements and
17	challenges from the previous year, an accounting of all City funding for Healthy Food Retailer
18	initiatives, and an inventory of City resources and programs relevant to Healthy Food Retailers in San
19	Francisco.
20	
21	SEC. 70.5. ANNUAL REPORT AND PLAN.
22	The Authority shall submit annually a report to the Board of Supervisors detailing its functions
23	and evaluating its operation for that year. In addition, such report shall present the Authority's specific
24	goals and objectives for the coming year and its plan for meeting those goals and objectives. If, for any

coming year, the Authority intends to expand its duties, the Authority shall present a detailed plan and

1	budget for the implementation of that expansion of duties. Such plan shall be circulated to all interested
2	City departments and community groups prior to presentation to the Board of Supervisors.
3	
4	SEC. 71.7. DEPARTMENTAL MONITORING REPORT.
5	On March 31, 2013 and every three years thereafter, the Assessor-Recorder and the Planning
6	Department shall submit a joint report to the Board of Supervisors and the Historic Preservation
7	Commission providing the Departments' analysis of the historical property contract (Mills Act)
8	program. The report shall be calendared for hearing before the Board of Supervisors and the Historic
9	Preservation Commission.
10	
11	SEC. 78.3. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.
12	DBI and DTIS shall provide a report to the Board of Supervisors every four (4) months for the
13	next two (2) years following the effective date of this Ordinance No. 040539 regarding the status of its
14	MIS update projects and the improvement of public access to the permit tracking system.
15	
16	SEC. 83.6. FIRST SOURCE HIRING ADMINISTRATION.
17	* * * *
18	(b) Powers and Duties. The FSHA shall be responsible for the implementation,
19	oversight, and monitoring of the first source hiring requirements of this Chapter. Its powers
20	and duties shall include:
21	(1) Providing assistance to individual City departments in designing first source
22	hiring implementation and monitoring plans for that department to use in contracts and
23	property contracts, including criteria for assigning particular numerical hiring goals, or

reviewing and approving existing Plans. The FSHA shall work with departments to identify

those contracts and property contracts that offer available entry level positions in duration and

24

1	numbers sufficient to justify the additional administrative duties resulting from the
2	implementation of the requirements of this Chapter. To the greatest extent possible, the
3	development of these plans shall utilize the department's existing contract-monitoring
4	procedures and facilitate a coordinated flow of information;
5	* * * *
6	(9) Submitting all approved first source hiring implementation and monitoring
7	plans ("approved plan") to the Workforce <u>Investment Board</u> Development Advisory Committee for
8	review;
9	* * * *
10	
11	SEC. 83.8. WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT ADVISORY COMMITTEE.
12	There shall be established a Workforce Development Advisory Committee ("Advisory
13	Committee") to advise the FSHA on workforce development, employ-mended needs, program policy,
14	design, implementation, oversight, and monitoring. This advisory committee shall be appointed by the
15	Mayor and shall include representatives of community-based organizations, labor, the business
16	community, educational institutions, and City departments. The members of this advisory committee
17	shall serve at will for a term of one year, and may be reappointed. This advisory committee shall meet
18	at least quarterly.
19	
20	CHAPTER 84:
21	SAN FRANCISCO RESIDENTIAL RENT ASSISTANCE PROGRAM FOR PERSONS
22	DISQUALIFIED FROM FEDERAL RENT SUBSIDY PROGRAMS BY THE FEDERAL
23	QUALITY HOUSING AND WORK RESPONSIBILITY ACT OF 1998 (QHWRA)
24	

SEC. 84.1. FINDINGS.

The Board of Supervisors hereby finds and declares:

- (a) Congress enacted the Quality Housing and Work Responsibility Act of 1998 ("QHWRA," Public Law 105-276) amending Section 214 of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1980 (Public Law 96-399) and amending Section 576 of the Illegal Immigration Reform and Immigrant Responsibility Act of 1996 (Division C of Public Law 104-208). These amendments require recipients of identified housing subsidies to affirmatively verify their immigration status. (42 U.S. § 1436a.)
- (b) These changes in federal legislation are likely to result in disruption or loss of housing for some City residents, particularly some San Francisco Housing Authority residents. It is in the City's interest to minimize the homelessness and disruption to individuals and families resulting from this change in federal law.
- (c) The City and County of Sari Francisco wishes to provide humanitarian assistance through residential rent subsidies to San Francisco residents whose federal rent subsidy has been reduced or revoked due to failure to meet federal immigration status verification and related requirements under QHWRA, including tenants in San Francisco Housing Authority units or Section 8 units ("Section 8" of the United States Housing Act of 1937, 42 U.S.C. 1437(f).
- (d) This is a pilot program with the primary goal of preserving households in low-income federally subsidized housing. This legislation will focus on San Francisco Housing Authority tenants and San Francisco Housing Authority based Section 8 tenants, and may be later extended to other federally subsidized tenants. To maximize the use of limited funding, the program will pay a maximum of 60% of the increased tenant payment for one year, and program recipients must pay the remainder of the increased tenant payment. The subsidy will be reduced annually after the first year to 40%, then to 20%, and then to zero, respectively. Program recipients who are actively pursuing adjustment of their immigration status can continue to receive a 20% subsidy, for three years subject to available funding.

1	(e) This pilot program will also provide two mini-grants of \$5,000 each, to be made available
2	by the Program Administrator to culturally and linguistically competent community-based
3	organizations, to provide immediate outreach to San Francisco Housing Authority tenants regarding
4	QHWRA and this program, to provide referrals to individuals and families for assistance with
5	adjustment of immigration status, and to provide information to the San Francisco Housing Authority
6	about the numbers of affected individuals and families.
7	(f) The Board of Supervisors also wishes to reaffirm that the City and County of San Francisco
8	is a City and County of Refuge. This humanitarian assistance shall therefore be administered consister
9	with the provisions of San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 12H "Im-migration Status."
10	
11	SEC. 84.2. ESTABLISHMENT OF QHWRA DISQUALIFICATION RENT ASSISTANCE
12	PROGRAM.
13	The Board of Supervisors hereby establishes the QHWRA Disqualification Rent Assistance
14	Program for the City and County of San Francisco ("Program"). This is a pilot project. Funds shall be
15	expended for the purposes and on the conditions set forth below.
16	(a) Purpose. The purpose of this legislation is to preserve households in low-income federally
17	subsidized housing by providing residential rent assistance to tenants in San Francisco Housing
18	Authority units and Section 8 units whose federal residential rent subsidy has been reduced or revoked
19	due to the tenants' failure to meet the immigration status verification requirements or related
20	requirements of QHWRA.
21	(b) Eligible Persons. In order to be eligible to receive funds from the Program, the person
22	must be a tenant in a San Francisco residential rental unit subsidized by a federal rent subsidy
23	program, such as a San Francisco Housing Authority unit or a Section 8 unit, whose subsidy has been
24	reduced or revoked because of the person's failure to meet the immigration status verification

requirements or related requirements of QHWRA.

1	(c) Limits on QHWRA Disqualification RentAssistance. Programment assistance funds shall
2	only be used to partially supplant a federal residential rent subsidy provided to an eligible person prior
3	to his or her QHWRA disqualification for housing in the City and County of San Francisco.
4	(d) Administration.
5	(1) The Program shall be administered by the Fund Administrator. The Fund
6	Administrator shall be the Department of Human Services, City and County of San Francisco.
7	(2) The Fund Administrator shall promulgate rules and regulations to implement and
8	administer this Program, including provision for the following:
9	(A) An individual may apply to the Program by submitting evidence to the Fund
10	Administrator demonstrating the eligibility criteria set forth above. A parent or guardian or other
11	appropriate person, as determined by the Fund Administrator, may apply on behalf of a minor child.
12	(B) An application must clearly state the amount of funding the applicant is requesting.
13	(C) Subject to available Programfunds, rent assistance received by an eligible person
14	will not initially fall below 60% of that individual's increased tenant payment at the time of
15	disqualification (see Section $84.2(c)(1)$), provided that the individual meets all of the eligibility
16	requirements for the federal rent subsidy except for the QHWRA disqualification. If the individual's
17	eligibility changes, the Program subsidy may be adjusted accordingly. The subsidy will be reduced
18	annually after the first year to 40%, then to 20%, and then to zero, respectively. Program recipients
19	who are actively pursuing adjustment of their immigration status can continue to receive a 20% subsidy
20	for three years, subject to available funding.
21	(D) If appropriated City funding is limited in relation to the number of eligible persons,
22	preferences will be given to San Francisco Housing Authority tenants and San Francisco Housing
23	Authority-based Section 8 tenants in the lowest income brackets as defined by HUD for the federal
24	program rent subsidy being replaced.

1	(3) The Fund Administrator shall insure that translation services are provided consistent
2	with San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 91 "Equal Access to Services."
3	(4) The Fund Administrator may contract out some or all of the program administration to
4	another governmental agency and/or a community service organization serving immigrants, consistent
5	with other applicable City contracting requirements.
6	— (5) The Fund Administrator shall award and administer two mini-grants of \$5,000 each to
7	culturally and linguistically competent community-based organizations to provide immediate outreach
8	to San Francisco Housing Authority tenants regarding QHWRA and this program, to provide referrals
9	to individuals and families for assistance with adjustment of immigration status, and to provide
10	information to the San Francisco Housing Authority about the numbers of affected individuals and
11	families.
12	(e) Cooperation by the San Francisco Housing Authority. The Board of Supervisors strongly
13	uses the San Francisco Housing Authority (SFHA) to fully advise all potentially eligible persons about
14	the Program. The Board further strongly urges the SFHA to provide applicants with all necessary
15	SFHA documentation for submission of an application to the Program. In addition, the Board strongly
16	urges SFHA to track the total number of households affected by QHWRA disqualification, whether or
17	not any member of such household applies for or is granted assistance under this Program, in order to
18	more comprehensively measure the impact of QHWRA disqualification on the San Francisco
19	community.
20	
21	SEC. 84.3. FUNDING PROCEDURE.
22	(a) Funding for the QHWRA Disqualification Rent Assistance Program shall come from the
23	City's General Fund.
24	

1	(b) Initial funding for fiscal year 2002-2003, in the amount of \$349,000, has been
2	appropriated in the Annual Appropriation Ordinance No. 171-01, found in Board of Supervisors File
3	<i>No. 011041.</i>
4	(c) For each year following the first full fiscal year of this Program's operation the Fund
5	Administrator shall report to the Finance Committee of the Board of Supervisors (or its successor
6	Committee) on the administration of the Fund, and shall recommend a level of funding for the following
7	fiscal year that is sufficient to meet projected need. The Finance Committee shall recommend a
8	Program funding level to the full Board of Supervisors for each year following the first fiscal year of
9	the Program's operation, after receipt and consideration of the Fund Administrator's annual report and
10	recommendation.
11	(d) Appropriated funds that are not expended by the Program during a fiscal year shall be
12	applied toward meeting the funding level approved for the Program for the following fiscal year.
13	(e) Funding disbursements shall be made available to eligible persons as determined by the
14	Fund Administrator, upon the effective date of this legislation.
15	
16	SEC. 84.4. GENERAL WELFARE.
17	In undertaking this QHWRA Disqualification Rent Assistance Program the City and County of
18	San Francisco is assuming an undertaking only to promote the general welfare. It is not assuming, nor
19	is it imposing on its officers and employees, an obligation for breach of which it is liable in money
20	damages to any person who claims that such breach proximately caused injury.
21	
22	SEC. 84.5. SEVERABILITY.
23	If any section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this Chapter 84
24	or any part thereof is, for any reason, held to be unconstitutional or invalid or ineffective by any court
25	of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not affect the validity or effectiveness of the remaining

portions of this Chapter or any part thereof. The Board of Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed each section, subsection, subdivision, paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase thereof irrespective of the fact that any one or more section, subsections, subdivisions, paragraphs, sentences, clauses or phrases be declared unconstitutional or invalid or ineffective.

Sec. 85.4. ADMINISTRATION OF PROGRAM.

(e) MOHCD shall annually report to the Board of Supervisors regarding the implementation and results of the Program.

SEC. 90.8. <u>BI-</u>ANNUAL REPORTS.

The Entertainment Commission shall issue a_# <u>bi-</u>annual report to the Board of Supervisors and Mayor by March 1st regarding its activities for the preceding <u>two</u> year<u>s</u>.

SEC. 90A.5. REVISION OF CITY CODES.

By no later than July 1, 2010, the Entertainment Commission shall submit a report to the Board of Supervisors and all affected City officials, boards, commissions, departments, and other entities, with recommendations for revising City Codes to conform to and implement the principles contained in the music and culture sustainability policy described in Section 90A.2. In developing the report, the Executive Director of the Entertainment Commission and/or staff shall consult as appropriate with City boards, commissions, departments, entities, and officials, including but not limited to the Planning Commission, Recreation and Park Commission, Port Commission, Police Commission, Fire Commission, Building Inspection Commission, Municipal Transportation Agency, Health Commission, and Interdepartmental Staff Committee on Traffic and Transportation (ISCOTT), each of which shall cooperatively assist as appropriate in developing the report.

1 The Arts Commission, Grants for the Arts, and the charitable trust departments may, in their 2 discretion, make recommendations to the Executive Director of the Entertainment Commission and/or 3 staff or the Entertainment Commission regarding the report to be submitted to the Board of Supervisors under this section. Before the Entertainment Commission submits any report to the Board of 4 5 Supervisors under this section, the Executive Director of the Entertainment Commission and/or staff 6 shall consult with the Arts Commission, Grants for the Arts, and the charitable trust departments with 7 respect to the proposed report. 8 The Executive Director of the Entertainment Commission and/or staff may hold one or more 9 public hearings to aid in development of the report to be submitted to the Board of Supervisors under 10 this section. The Entertainment Commission shall hold at least one public hearing regarding the report no later than May 1, 2010. 11 12 The Entertainment Commission may submit to the Board of Supervisors the report mandated by 13 this section in separate parts at separate times, provided that all parts of the report are the subject of a hearing before the Entertainment Commission by May 1, 2010 and before being submitted to the Board 14 15 of Supervisors; and provided further that all parts of the report are submitted to the Board of 16 Supervisors by no later than July 1, 2010. 17 Nothing in this section is intended or shall be construed to interfere with or override Section 18 4.105 of the Charter or any other Charter provision. Nothing in this section is intended or shall be 19 construed to prevent any official, board, commission, department, or other entity at any time from 20 proposing a revision to City Codes to conform to and implement the music and culture sustainability 21 policy or otherwise affect the ability of an official, board, commission, department, or other entity to

submit proposed legislation to the Board of Supervisors.

23

22

1 CHAPTER 92: 2 REAL ESTATE LOAN COUNSELING AND EDUCATION 3 SEC. 92.1. FINDINGS AND PURPOSE. This Board makes the following findings: 4 1. Many San Franciscans, particularly poor, elderly, and minority residents, have 5 6 expressed concern and apprehension regarding home lenders who aggressively market high-cost home 7 loans in their neighborhoods and who may engage in unfair or fraudulent credit practices with the 8 potential to destroy financial resources based on home equity. 9 2. The HUD Treasury Task Force on Predatory Lending, in its report entitled "Curbing Predatory Home Mortgage Lending" (the "HUD/Treasury report"), has documented and analyzed the 10 problem of predatory lending in home mortgage lending. The HUD/Treasury report identified the four 11 12 major predatory practices occurring in the sub-prime lending market as "loan flipping" (successive 13 refinancing with added fees and prepayment penalties), "packing" (unjustifiably high fees and hidden fees), "asset based lending" (lending without regard for the borrower's ability to pay) and outright 14 15 fraud and abuse. The burden of these practices fall predominantly on minorities, women and the elderly 16 in minority or low-income neighborhoods without access to mainstream sources of credit. 17 3. The HUD/Treasury report found that consumer literacy and disclosure would assist 18 borrowers in avoiding predatory practices and recommended expanded access to consumer loan 19 counseling. 20 4. The State legislature recently passed AB 489, adding Division 1.6 to the Financial Code, 21 and imposing requirements on consumer loans secured by real property. AB 489 prohibits various practices associated with predatory lending and provides civil penalties for violations. 22 23 5. State and federal regulation of real estate lenders and loans, in combination with legally 24 mandated loan disclosure requirements are insufficient to provide protection of targeted communities against the abuses of predatory lenders. The Board finds that a consumer counseling and education 25

1	program at the local level is the most effective way to provide consumer protection against predatory
2	lending practices within the City and County of San Francisco.
3	6. The Department of Disability and Aging Services currently provides assistance to
4	victims of predatory lending practices in San Francisco. The Department works with nonprofit and
5	other organizations involved in predatory lending counseling and education programs, but has no
6	mandate or funding to develop its own program for these vitally needed services.
7	
8	SEC. 92.2. PILOT CONSUMER LOAN EDUCATION PROGRAM.
9	A. The Department of Disability and Aging Services shall develop and commence a one-year
10	program to educate San Franciscans about predatory loan practices and to prevent sub-prime lenders
11	from targeting low-income neighborhoods for predatory loans. All City Departments shall cooperate
12	with and assist the Department of Disability and Aging Services in developing and administering the
13	consumer loan education program.
14	B. The program shall, at a minimum:
15	— 1. Provide a clear definition of predatory lending and an easy to understand explanation of
16	predatory lending practices in selected educational materials.
17	2. Provide and distribute educational materials and training on consumer loans secured by
18	real property. All education materials and training sessions shall be available in multiple languages,
19	consistent with the San Francisco population base.
20	3. Specify interest rate, fee and point triggers to assist consumers in identifying high cost
21	loans.
22	4. Distribute consumer information and telephone referral numbers of governmental and
23	nonprofit agencies which provide assistance to victims of predatory loan practices. Information shall
24	be provided to San Francisco residents through mailed brochures in property tax bills, postcards,

1	public service announcements and cooperation with local nonprofit organizations and community
2	groups to organize seminars on predatory lending.
3	C. The Department may provide consumer loan educational services using City personnel,
4	cooperating nonprofit organizations and institutions, consultants and contractors, or any combination
5	of these resources in an effort to reach a citywide audience.
6	
7	SEC. 92.3. PILOT CONSUMER LOAN COUNSELING PROGRAM.
8	A. The Department of Disability and Aging Services shall commence a one-year program to
9	provide free or low-cost financial counseling to individuals with outstanding loans and individuals who
10	apply for loans secured by their residence property located in San Francisco. Financial counseling
11	shall include:
12	1. Review of loan documents and an explanation of all terms and conditions of a consumer
13	loan.
14	2. An explanation of consumer rights under state and federal laws which regulate loan
15	practices, verification that all loan documents have been supplied, and that all required disclosures are
16	complete.
17	B. The Department shall not make personal financial assessments for individuals, recommend
18	particular lenders, or provide legal advice. The Department may provide consumer loan counseling
19	services using City personnel, cooperating nonprofit organizations and institutions, consultants and
20	contractors, or any combination of these resources in an effort to reach a citywide audience. All City
21	Departments shall cooperate with and assist the Department of Disability and Aging Services in
22	developing and administering the consumer loan counseling program.
23	
24	

1	SEC. 92.4. KEPUKI TO BUAKD OF SUPERVISUKS.
2	At the conclusion of the pilot program the Department of Disability and Aging Services shall
3	provide the Board of Supervisors with a report on the effectiveness of consumer education and
4	counseling on curbing predatory lending practices. The report shall include:
5	-A. A summary of local consumer loan practices which the Department considers to represent
6	predatory and harmful to San Francisco consumers.
7	B. A survey of the neighborhoods where predatory or potentially predatory practices are
8	most prevalent, including information on targeted loan advertising in those neighborhoods.
9	-C. A survey of the consumers subjected to predatory practices, including data on
10	neighborhood, age, race, income, and other factors common to the sub-prime loan applicant and
11	borrower pool in San Francisco.
12	—D. A report on additional steps that the City can take to curb predatory lending practices in
13	San Francisco.
14	
15	CHAPTER 97:
16	HEALTHCARE IMPACT REPORTS
17	
18	SEC. 97.1. FINDINGS AND PURPOSE.
19	—The Board makes the following findings.
20	A. The cost of healthcare continues to increase, making it harder for people to obtain the
21	proper care they need.
22	B. The City and County of San Francisco's financial resources are strained and healthcare
23	services the City now provides are either being cut or are at risk of being cut.
24	

C. Section 4.110 of the Charter of the City and County of San Francisco provides that the

Health Department and Health Commission shall provide for the preservation, promotion and

protection of the physical and mental health of the inhabitants of the City and County of San Francisco.

D. Section 127340(a) of the California Health and Safety Code provides that "private not-for-profit hospitals meet certain needs of their communities through the provision of essential healthcare and other services. Public recognition of their unique status has led to favorable tax treatment by the government. In exchange, nonprofit hospitals assume a social obligation to provide community benefits in the public interest."

E. Due to their size, larger non-government healthcare providers have significant impact on the health of the people of the City and County of San Francisco. Therefore such providers have a responsibility to work along with government healthcare agencies and facilities to maintain the health of the communities they serve.

SEC. 97.2. DEFINITIONS.

The words and phrases as used in this Section and for the purposes of this Section, unless a different meaning is plainly required by context, shall have the meaning respectively ascribed to them in Section .

A. "Applicant Hospital" shall mean a private, non-governmental hospital that provides more than a forty (40) percent, or which is part of a hospital system that provides more than forty (40) percent, of the healthcare services provided by private, non-governmental hospitals in the City and County of San Francisco in any of the following categories, as defined in the Accounting and Reporting Manual for California Hospitals published by the Office of Statewide Health Planning and Development ("OSHPD") and as reported in the most recent OSHPD Hospital Annual Disclosure Reports available upon review of the hospital's permit application or other request for approval filed with an agency or department of the City and County of San Francisco or with the San Francisco

1	Redevelopment Agency: licensed hospital beds, available licensed hospital beds, staffed licensed
2	hospital beds, total patient days, or total discharges.
3	B. "Healthcare Impact Report" shall mean a document that analyzes the effects of the
4	Applicant Hospital's proposed facility changes on the availability of hospital services, including but no
5	limited to, emergency services, urgent care services, and behavioral health services to affected
6	neighborhoods. The Healthcare Impact Report shall examine the accessibility of services to patients
7	using all modes of transportation. Furthermore, the Healthcare Impact Report shall analyze the
8	Applicant Hospital's current performance in the following areas and compare it with that of other
9	private, non-governmental hospitals in the City and County of San Francisco:
10	1. Charitable Care: The amount of charitable care provided by the Applicant Hospital as
11	defined in the San Francisco Health Code, Article 3, Section 130(b), and expressed as a percentage of
12	net patient revenue.
13	2. Price of Care: The fees charged by the Applicant Hospital for a standardized set of
14	hospital services, prescription and nonprescription drugs, medical supplies, and medical equipment.
15	The standardized set of hospital services shall be established by the Health Department and shall
16	incorporate any data reported in charge description masters recorded pursuant to California Health
17	and Safety Code, Sections 1339.50
18	3. Caregiver Training, Education, and Development: The programs and financial support
19	made available by the Applicant Hospital for caregiver training, education, and development.
20	
21	SEC. 97.3. PREPARATION AND CONSIDERATION OF HEALTHCARE IMPACT
22	REPORTS.
23	A. When an Applicant Hospital files a permit application or other request for approval with
24	an agency or department of the City and County of San Francisco seeking approval for land use or

construction activities involving the replacement or addition of more than two hundred (200) licensed

1	hospital beds, the Health Department of the City and County of San Francisco shall prepare a
2	Healthcare Impact Report. The Health Department shall make reasonable efforts to consult with the
3	Applicant Hospital, as the Health Department deems necessary.
4	B. When an Applicant Hospital files a permit application or other request for approval with
5	the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency seeking approval for land use construction activities
6	involving the replacement or addition of more than two hundred (200) licensed hospital beds, and said
7	application or request ultimately requires approval of an amendment to a Redevelopment Plan by the
8	San Francisco Board of Supervisors or an agency or department of the City and County of San
9	Francisco, the Health Department of the City and County of San Francisco shall prepare a Healthcare
10	Impact Report. The Health Department shall make reasonable efforts to consult with the Applicant
11	Hospital, as the Health Department deems necessary.
12	-C. Upon completing the Healthcare Impact Report, the Health Department shall distribute it
13	to the Health Commission, the Planning Commission, the Board of Supervisors and other City
14	departments or agencies it deems appropriate. The Health Department shall complete and distribute
15	the Healthcare Impact Report within six (6) months of being notified of the need for the report. If the
16	Health Department does not complete and distribute the Healthcare Impact Report within that
17	timeframe, Sections 97.3 (D, E, F) and 97.4 shall not apply.
18	—D. A permit application or other request for approval with an agency or department of the
19	City and Count of San Francisco seeking approval for land use or construction activities involving the
20	replacement or addition of more than two hundred (200) licensed hospital beds shall not be considered
21	complete until the Healthcare Impact Report is completed and distributed as described in this Section.
22	E. The Board of Supervisors or an agency or department of the City and County of San
23	Francisco shall not approve an amendment to a Redevelopment Plan until it has received and reviewed
24	a Healthcare Impact Report, when such a Healthcare Impact Report is required under this Section.
25	

F. The Board of Supervisors, Planning Commission, Health Commission, and any other City
departments or agencies provided with the Healthcare Impact Report by the Health Department shall
review and consider the Healthcare Impact Report and use the comparisons therein as a criterion for
determining whether or not to enact any legislation, grant any approval, or make any recommendations
regarding amending a Redevelopment Plan or allowing or enabling the Applicant Hospital to replace
or add more than two hundred (200) licensed hospital beds by building a new or retrofitting or
remodeling an existing facility or structure in the City and County of San Francisco.

SEC. 97.4. COST OF REPORT.

The Health Department may charge and collect from the Applicant Hospital a fee for the preparation of the Healthcare Impact Report in an amount that does not exceed the actual cost of preparing the Healthcare Impact Report. The Health Department shall make reasonable efforts to use all data sources available in order to minimize costs.

SEC. 98.1. BETTER STREETS POLICY; GOVERNING PRINCIPLES; COORDINATION OF DEPARTMENTAL ACTIONS.

(e) To carry out the intent of this Section, the City has developed, and the Board of Supervisors adopted in Ordinance No. 310-10, a citywide streetscape master plan and a comprehensive set of streetscape design guidelines, known as the "Better Streets Plan."

The Better Streets Plan identifies street types, and provides design guidelines for pedestrian and streetscape elements such as street trees and landscaping, street lighting, sidewalk widths, sidewalk extensions, sidewalk paving, and site furnishings.

1	(5) Reporting. All agencies approving projects subject to Administrative Code Section 98.1,
2	including the Department of Public Works, Municipal Transportation Agency, Public Utilities
3	Commission, and the Planning Department shall produce an annual report documenting compliance
4	with the Better Streets Policy and the NACTO Guidelines. The affected agencies shall submit said
5	report(s) to the Board of Supervisors within sixty (60) days of the end of the City's fiscal year.
6	* * * *
7	
8	SEC. 98.2. STREET DESIGN REVIEW COMMITTEE.
9	(a) There shall be a Street Design Review Committee ("the Committee") to advise the Mayor
10	on the design of proposed improvements to the public right of way, and to facilitate the resolution at a
11	high administrative level of policy conflicts and project-specific conflicts in the design and engineering
12	phase of an individual project.
13	(b) The Committee shall consist of the following officials, or their designees:
14	— (1) The Mayor, who shall act as chair;
15	— (2) The Director of Public Works;
16	(3) The Director of Transportation for the Municipal Transportation Agency ("the MTA");
17	— (4) The Director of Planning;
18	(5) The General Manager of the Public Utilities Commission;
19	(6) The Director of the Department of Economic and Workforce Development;
20	(7) The San Francisco Fire Chief; and,
21	(8) Other agencies that are involved in a specific project considered by the Committee may
22	participate in the review of their projects, as necessary.
23	(c) The Committee shall review any proposed improvement to the public right of way
24	submitted by a Committee member, including projects that are under the jurisdiction of the MTA, where
25	the Committee member concludes that the proposed improvement, or a department's interpretation of

1	or proposed modifications to the proposed improvement, may conflict with one or more of the policies
2	referenced in subsection (d). The Committee shall provide its assessment to the Mayor, with a copy to
3	the department proposing the improvement.
4	(d) In conducting its review, the Committee shall examine whether proposed improvements
5	are consistent with the City's Better Streets Plan, Transit First Policy, Complete Streets Policy, the
6	Mayor's Pedestrian Strategy, the MTA Bicycle Strategy, and other relevant policy documents relating
7	to the design of public streets, as applicable. The Committee may recommend changes to departmental
8	standards and procedures necessary or appropriate to make those standards and procedures better
9	conform to the City policies identified above.
10	(e) To the extent feasible, the Committee shall review projects submitted under subsection (c)
11	at the 30 percent and final design stages, and prior to any final board or commission approvals, for
12	compliance with the policies referenced in subsection (d). The Committee, in its discretion, may review
13	proposed improvements at other design stages.
14	(f) All City departments shall cooperate with the Committee in its operations.
15	(g) The Committee shall submit a report on its activities to the Board of Supervisors once a
16	year. Such report shall include, at minimum, a list of projects reviewed any conflicts between
17	competing policies identified during the review process, and how the conflicts were resolved.
18	(h) Nothing in this Section shall be construed to limit or interfere with any power or duty
19	conferred on any officer or department under the Charter, the Municipal Code, or State law. Nothing in
20	this Section shall be construed to require the Municipal Transportation Agency to spend money from
21	the Municipal Transportation Fund not budgeted for that purpose by the Agency.
22	<i>//</i>
23	<i>//</i>
24	<i>//</i>
25	

SEC 1065	. ADMINISTRATIVE IMP	DI EMENITATION
3EC. 100.3.	. ADIVINIO I KATIVE IIVII	"LEIVIEN I A I IUN.

2 * * * *

(d) The Controller shall track and evaluate the Navigation Centers' outcomes, including but not limited to the number of residents served by the Navigation Centers, residents' lengths of stay, residents' destinations upon exit (e.g., permanent housing, skilled nursing facility), and the number of residents receiving and retaining public assistance benefits. The Controller shall summarize these outcomes in a report to be submitted to the Board of Supervisors no later than within six months of the effective date of this Chapter 106, and every six months thereafter, until such time as the Navigation Centers are no longer in operation. Within one year of the effective date of this Chapter 106, the Controller, in consultation with the City Administrator, shall submit to the Board of Supervisors a report that describes any lessons learned from the operation of the Navigation Centers, and makes recommendations as to how and whether the Navigation Centers' approach to reducing barriers to shelter could be applied to the City's full shelter system, along with a proposed resolution to accept the report.

— (e)—All City officers and entities shall cooperate with the City Administrator in the implementation and administration of this Chapter 106.

SEC. 107.5. ADDITIONAL STEPS FOR CULTURAL DISTRICTS ESTABLISHED BEFORE JUNE 1, 2018.

The Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development shall prepare CHHESS reports

following the process set forth in Section 107.4(b)(5)-(7) regarding Calle 24 (Veinticuatro)

Latino Cultural District, SoMa Pilipinas - Filipino Cultural Heritage District,

Transgender Cultural District, and the Leather Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender,

Oueer Cultural District. The Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development shall produce

CHHESS reports regarding at least two of these four Districts by no later than July 1, 2019, and shall

1	produce CHHESS reports regarding the other two Districts by no later than July 1, 2020. In preparing
2	the CHHESS reports, the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development shall consult with
3	appropriate departments in its discretion and coordinate with people and organizations in the Districts.
4	By no later than January 15, 2019 the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development shall
5	submit to the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor a written report describing the Office's
6	plan for preparation of these reports.
7	
8	SEC. 107.65. RESPONSIBILITIES OF MAYOR'S OFFICE OF HOUSING AND
9	COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT.
10	In addition to the responsibilities set forth in Section 107.4-and 107.5, the Mayor's Office
11	of Housing and Community Development shall:
12	(a) Provide information upon request to individuals or community organizations
13	inquiring about the process of establishing a Cultural District; and
14	(b) Develop any necessary rules or regulations to implement this Chapter 107. Any
15	rules and regulations shall be subject to disapproval of the Board of Supervisors by resolution.
16	
17	SEC. 117.1. DEFINITIONS.
18	For purposes of this Chapter 117, the following terms shall have the following
19	meanings:
20	* * * *
21	"Fund" means the Cooperative Living Opportunities for Mental Health Loan Fund
22	administered by MOHCD under Administrative Code Chapter 10.100-49.5.
23	* * * *
24	
25	

SEC. 117.2. ELIGIBLE SITES, PROGRAM MANAGEMENT, AND REGULATIONS.

2 * * * *

(c) Program Regulations. The MOHCD Director and DPH Director shall jointly publish from time to time Program Regulations as appropriate to implement the Program, consistent with applicable law and this Chapter 117. The MOHCD Director and DPH Director shall publish all Program Regulations on DPH's website and in such additional places as the DPH Director deems appropriate, and shall provide copies or electronic links on request. The Program Regulations shall address matters such as Program and Fund administration, public and competitive processes to apply for Loans, compliance with applicable laws and regulations, appraisal of the Property, affordability restrictions for the longest possible term, eligible uses of Ffunds, underwriting criteria, transaction processing, documentation, compliance monitoring, and enforcement. At a minimum, the Program Regulations shall include, but are not limited to: (1) experienced property management to provide operating and maintenance, rent collection, lease enforcement, financial management and reporting; (2) a harm reduction policy; (3) procedures for lease violation and termination; and (4) limits on rent, which shall not exceed 30% of an Eligible Person's income.

SEC. 117.4. ADMINISTRATION OF LOANS AND GRANTS.

(f) Monitoring and Enforcement.

(1) DPH shall monitor Contractor compliance with Program Regulations related to delivery of services and enrollment of tenants who meet eligibility criteria. DPH shall establish annual reporting requirements for Contractors and shall report annually to the Board of Supervisors on the number and types of Cooperative Living units established and maintained under the Program.

DPH may take such actions as may be reasonably necessary to enforce the terms of any

1	contracts or grants entered into with Contractors for the delivery of services to Eligible
2	Persons as part of the Program.
3	* * * *
4	
5	SEC. 119.5. ADMINISTRATIVE IMPLEMENTATION.
6	(a) The Director of HSH may issue rules, regulations, and/or guidelines, applicable to
7	the Program, consistent with the objectives and requirements of this Chapter 119. Prior to the
8	amendment of such rules, regulations, and/or guidelines, the Director shall seek input and
9	comment from Program Participants.
10	* * * *
11	(d) HSH shall track and evaluate the Safe Overnight Parking Pilot Program's outcomes. Not
12	more than six months after the effective date of Chapter 119 and every six months thereafter, HSH shall
13	report key information to the Board of Supervisors, including but not limited to the number of Program
14	Participants served by the Vehicle Navigation Triage Center and Safe Parking Lot(s); Program
15	Participants' lengths of stay; and Program Participants' destinations upon exit (e.g., permanent
16	housing, transitional housing). Within one year of the effective date of this Chapter 119 HSH and the
17	Controller shall jointly submit to the Board of Supervisors a report that summarizes these statistics and
18	describes any lessons learned from the operation of the Safe Overnight Parking Pilot Program, and
19	makes recommendations as to how and whether the Program should be continued, along with a
20	proposed resolution to accept the report.
21	
22	Section 3. Chapters 1, 2, 4, 5, 9, 13, 17, 19, 23, 24, 27 and 28 of the Environment
23	Code are hereby amended by revising Sections 203, 425, 500, 909, 1703.5, 1906, 2305,

 $2407,\,2409,\,and\,2803,\,and\,deleting\,Sections\,102,\,1307,\,and\,2706,\,to\,read\,as\,follows:$

24

SEC. 102. THREE YEAR REVIEW.

No later than three years from the effective date of this ordinance, and after a public hearing, the Commission on the Environment shall submit a report to the Board of Supervisors on the effectiveness of the Precautionary Principle policy.

SEC. 203. COMMISSION AND DEPARTMENT OF THE ENVIRONMENT DUTIES.

7 * * * *

- (f) Presentation to Board of Supervisors. Not later than 15 months from the effective date of this Chapter, the Director will notify Clerk of the Board of Supervisors that the Department is prepared to deliver its presentation and ask the Clerk to work with the President of the Board of Supervisors to calendar the presentation for the appropriate Board committee.
- (gf) Annual-Review and Report to Commission and Board of Supervisors. Not later than twenty-four months from the effective date of this Chapter, and annually every three years thereafter in February, the Director shall submit a report to the Commission and the Board of Supervisors on the progress of City departments towards full compliance with this Chapter. The annual report shall include:
 - (i) an evaluation of the progress in meeting the goals in Section 201;
- (ii) the status and effectiveness of current efforts by City departments to implement this Chapter and additional specific actions, including legislation, needed to effectively implement this Chapter;
- (iii) a summary of the annual reports submitted by City departments pursuant to section 205(b) and a list of waivers granted by the Purchaser during the previous period organized by department.
- 24 (iv) an update on the extent and efficacy of training programs for users and purchasers of 25 — Targeted Products;

1	(viii) a workplan for the next reporting period with specific goals, actions and
2	timelines necessary to implement this Chapter; and
3	$(\underline{i} \lor \hat{t})$ The \underline{annual} report required by this section shall include a recommendation by

5 Chapter to City contractors.

SEC. 425. SAN FRANCISCO MUNICIPAL RAILWAY BUSES.

Acquisition of Clean Muni Buses. Muni shall replace all pre-1991 diesel buses on or before January 1, 2007.

the Director, after consultation with City Departments and the public, on how to expand this

Phase Out of Highly Polluting Muni Diesel Buses. Muni shall remove from active or reserve service and shall no longer operate any diesel bus that exceeds its 12 year useful life based on the following schedule:

- (1) All diesel buses that were purchased on or before December 31, 1988 shall be removed from active or reserve service on or before December 31, 2004;
- (2) All diesel buses that were purchased on or before December 31, 1989 shall be removed from active or reserve service on or before December 31, 2005;
- (3) All diesel buses that were purchased on or before December 31, 1990 shall be removed from active or reserve service on or before December 31, 2006.

Extensions. If replacement buses are not commercially available or unforeseen circumstances prevent Muni from procuring new buses on a timely basis, Muni may seek a one-time extension of up to twelve months from the San Francisco Transportation Authority (TA) for any of the aforementioned deadlines. Approval for such extensions shall require eight or more votes by the Transportation Authority Board of Commissioners. Extension requests shall be submitted in writing at least sixty days prior to the deadline and shall include a detailed accounting of why Muni is unable to meet its obligations under this measure.

Extension requests shall also include a list of specific actions that Muni will undertake to offset the emission reductions that would have resulted if no extension were granted. Proposed emission reductions should benefit Muni passengers or residents living near diesel bus routes or diesel bus yards. All extension requests shall be evaluated by the Department of the Environment to determine the validity of proposed emission reductions. *The Department of the Environment shall report its findings to the Board of Commissioners at least one week prior to the extension request hearing date.*

Notwithstanding Section 404(c)(2), the provisions of Section 404 shall apply to the acquisition of buses by Muni for its fleet.

SEC. 500. FINDINGS.

The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby finds and declares as follows:

- (A) The California Integrated Waste Management Act (Public Resources Code § 40000 et seq.) requires all cities and counties to reduce their waste by 50% by the year 2000 or face potential penalties of up to \$10,000 per day. The City must take a leadership role and act quickly and responsibly to implement the necessary measures to achieve this mandate.
- (B) City departmental operations and activities have been found to contribute significantly to San Francisco's solid waste stream. The waste management and buy recycled provisions of this ordinance are necessary to help departments reduce their waste.
- (C) On September 14, 1998, the President of the United States signed Executive Order 13101 *Greening the Government through Waste Prevention, Recycling, and Federal Acquisition* to "strengthen the role of the Federal government as an enlightened, environmentally conscious and concerned consumer." The State and Federal governments

2	preferable products and services as a way to increase market demand for such products.
3	(D) Local agencies that use appropriated federal funds to procure \$10,000 or more
4	worth of a designated item in a given year are subject to the federal comprehensive
5	procurement guidelines for recycled products.
6	(E) Pursuant to Board of Supervisors Resolution No. 246-99, Establishing Dioxin as a High
7	Priority for Immediate Action for the City and County of San Francisco in Order to Restore Water
8	Quality and Protect the Public Health and Enabling the San Francisco Commission on the
9	Environment to Create a Task Force and Report Back on Strategies to Ensure that Less Toxic, Non-
10	Chlorinated Sustainable Products and Processes are Actively Supported, each City department must
11	report to the Board of Supervisors on strategies they are using to ensure that less-toxic, non-
12	chlorinated products sustainable alternative products, such as chlorine-free paper and PVC-free
13	plastics, are actively supported and used.
14	(FE) The landfill capacity available to San Francisco at the Altamont Landfill is
15	expected to last only until approximately 2012.
16	(GF) The discard of useable or recyclable materials into the waste stream deprives
17	the City of the economic benefit of the value of these materials while creating unnecessary
18	expenses for collection and disposal.
19	(HG) This Chapter applies the Precautionary Principle to the selection of
20	commodities used in City operations that minimize impacts on natural resources by
21	maximizing recycled content, recycling, and reuse.
22	
23	SEC. 909. IMPLEMENTATION OF ALL-ELECTRIC BUILDING STANDARD.

(a) The Department of Environment ("Department") shall coordinate with the

have strongly encouraged local governments to procure recycled and environmentally

24

25

1	Department of Building Inspection in implementation of the All-Electric building
2	requirement in Section 106A.1.17 of the Building Code, and shall provide technical assistance
3	to support San Francisco residents, workers, and businesses through the transition to building
4	electrification.
5	(b) The Department shall hold at least one public meeting annually to discuss the
6	annual report from the Department of Building Inspection detailing the status of
7	applications for permits to construct new Mixed-Fuel Buildings pursuant to an exception to
8	Building Code Section 106A.1.17.
9	(c) Concurrent with implementation of the All-Electric building requirement, the San Francisco
10	Public Utilities Commission will evaluate opportunities for the expansion of nonpotable onsite water
11	treatment systems, graywater heat recovery systems, and solar thermal water heating, and shall presen
12	findings and recommendations to the Board of Supervisors by no later than March 1, 2021.
13	
14	SEC. 1307. REPORT TO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.
15	No later than June 30, 2005, the Commission shall report to the Board of Supervisors
16	on the progress of the programs and mandates directed by this Chapter.
17	
18	SEC. 1703.5. CHECKOUT BAG CHARGE.
19	(a) Imposing a Checkout Bag Charge.
20	(1) Beginning July 1, 2020, no Store shall provide a Recyclable Paper Bag or
21	Reusable Bag to a customer at the point of sale, unless the Store charges the customer a
22	Checkout Bag Charge of at least \$0.25 per bag.

(2) Beginning July 1, 2020, no Store shall provide a Compostable Plastic Bag to a

customer at the point of sale, unless the Store charges the customer a Checkout Bag Charge

of at least \$0.25 per bag.

23

24

- (3) No Food Establishment shall be required to charge its customers a Checkout Bag Charge for a bag provided for a customer's left-over food from sit-down restaurant dining.
- (b) Controller's Report. After January 2013, and not later than January 2014, the Controller shall perform an assessment and review of the economic impacts on businesses, both large and small, of the 10 cent Checkout Bag Charge. Based on such assessment and review, the Controller shall submit an analysis to the Board of Supervisors. The analysis shall be based on criteria deemed relevant by the Controller, but should include a survey of whether and how the Checkout Bag Charge specifically has impacted businesses' profits and losses.
- (eb) Checkout Bag Charge to be Separately Stated on Receipt. The amount charged pursuant to subsection (a) shall be separately stated on the receipt provided to the customer at the time of sale and shall be identified as at the Checkout Bag Charge. Any other transaction fee charged by the Store in relation to providing a Checkout Bag shall be identified separately from the Checkout Bag Charge.

(dc) Exemptions.

- (1) A Store shall not charge the Checkout Bag Charge required under subsection (a) where providing a Checkout Bag to a customer as part of a transaction paid for in whole or in part through the Special Supplemental Food Program for Women, Infants, and Children (Article 2 (commencing with Section 123275) of Chapter 1 of Part 2 of Division 106 of the Health and Safety Code), or the State Department of Social Services Food Stamp Program.
- (2) A Store shall not charge the Checkout Bag Charge required under subsection
 (a) for a Reusable Bag which meets the requirements of this Chapter and which is distributed to a customer without charge during a limited duration promotional event, not to exceed 12 days per year.

1	(e \underline{d}) Waivers. Any owner or operator of a Store may petition the Director
2	of the Department of the Environment for a full or partial waiver of the requirements of this
3	Section, for a period of up to one year, if the owner or operator can
4	(1) demonstrate that application of this Section would create undue hardship or
5	practical difficulty for the Store not generally applicable to other stores in similar
6	circumstances, or
7	(2) establish that the business as a whole cannot, under the terms of this Section,
8	generate a return that is commensurate with returns on investments in other enterprises
9	having corresponding risks and is sufficient to attract capital.
10	(\underline{fe}) Violations. Violations of this Section may be punished under the provisions of
11	Section 1705. Collection of the Checkout Bag Charge shall not excuse any violation of any
12	other provisions of this Chapter 17.
13	
14	SEC. 1906. REQUIREMENTS FOR REFUSE COLLECTORS, TRANSFER
15	STATIONS, AND PROCESSING FACILITIES.
16	* * * *
17	(j) Upon one year from the operative date of Ordinance No. 300-18 and <i>annually</i> every
18	three years thereafter, the Director shall report to the Board of Supervisors on notices and
19	orders issued to Large Refuse Generators under this Chapter 19 within the prior 1236-month
20	period. No more than 39 months after Ordinance No. 300-18 becomes operative, the Director
21	shall submit a report to the Board of Supervisors regarding its implementation to date, and

may include recommended amendments to the ordinance as he or she may deem

appropriate.

22

23

24

SEC. 2305. IMPLEMENTATION.

- (a) The Public Utilities Commission, the Department of Building Inspection, and the Department of the Environment shall be responsible for the implementation of this Chapter, as further directed below.
- (b) The Director of the Department of the Environment and the General Manager of the Public Utilities Commission shall be responsible for conducting outreach to building owners, developers, contractors, and others to make them aware of the requirements of this Chapter, and for providing them with a list of vendors who sell Drink Tap Stations, which list shall be developed by the General Manager.
- (c) The Director of the Department of Building Inspection shall be responsible for notifying developers, contractors, and others of the requirements of this Chapter when such persons request a building permit.
- (d) The Director of the Department of Building Inspection shall be responsible for the enforcement of this Chapter. The Director shall not issue any permit or first certificate of occupancy for any new construction that is subject to the requirements of this Chapter unless and until the Director first certifies that the project complies with the requirements of this Chapter. For projects under the exclusive jurisdiction of another City department, the head of that department shall first certify that the project complies with the requirements of this Chapter before issuing any permit or first certificate of occupancy for any new construction or granting any equivalent project approval.
- (e) The Director of the Department of Building Inspection shall also keep a log of all Drink

 Tap Stations installed during the first three years of this Chapter and provide to the Board of

 Supervisors an annual report on total installations under this Chapter for the same three years.

SEC. 2407. IMPLEMENTATION.

2 * * * *

(c) The Director of the Department of the Environment shall submit a*n annual* report *no less than once every five years* to the Mayor and the Board of Supervisors on the implementation of this Chapter. The report shall include the number of waivers granted under Section 2406(a) and determinations of non-applicability made under Section 2406(b) and (c), and a brief explanation of the justifications for the same. The report shall also summarize efforts taken to educate and inform City departments about the requirements of this Chapter, and include plans to continue doing so *over the coming year*.

SEC. 2409. INCREASING THE CITY'S COMMITMENT TO PROVIDING PUBLIC WATER.

- (a) It shall be City policy to increase the availability of clean, free drinking water in public areas. City departments shall take all reasonable and appropriate steps to promote and facilitate achievement of the goals and policies of this Chapter, including helping Event sponsors and other City departments to meet the requirements of this Chapter.
- (b) The SFPUC, in consultation with the Department of Public Health and other appropriate City departments, shall investigate standards and procedures for allowing Event sponsors to access additional City-controlled or -operated water supplies. *The SFPUC shall* report its findings and recommendations to the Board of Supervisors by July 1, 2014.
- (c) The SFPUC, in consultation with appropriate City departments, shall investigate installing backflow preventers and related plumbing equipment on existing potable water systems, to facilitate public access to such potable water in the park sites most frequently used for special events. *The SFPUC shall report its findings and recommendations to the Board of Supervisors by July 1, 2014.*

1 2 3 SEC. 2706. CITY PROCUREMENT OF RAW MEAT—REPORTS OF CURRENT PRACTICES AND PUBLICATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS. 4 5 (a) No later than 90 days after enactment of this Chapter 27, all City departments procuring 6 Raw Meat and/or Poultry shall both conduct an audit of their Meat and Poultry purchases in the 7 previous calendar year and submit a report to the Department of the Environment with the following 8 information: 9 (1) Percentages of Meat and Poultry procured that were produced with and without the Routine Use of Antibiotics, distinguishing between Meat and Poultry raised without any Antibiotics and 10 Meat and Poultry raised without Routine Use of Medically Important Antibiotics whenever feasible; 11 12 (2) A list of current suppliers, and whether those suppliers currently offer Meat and/or 13 Poultry raised without the Routine Use of Antibiotics, distinguishing between Meat and/or Poultry raised without any Antibiotics and Meat and/or Poultry raised without Routine Use of Medically 14 15 Important Antibiotics, and whether the suppliers could cease Routine Use of Medically Important 16 Antibiotics within three years' time; 17 (3) The estimated cost of obtaining Meat and/or Poultry raised without the Routine Use 18 of Antibiotics, distinguishing between Meat and/or Poultry raised without any Antibiotics and Meat 19 and/or Poultry raised without Routine Use of Medically Important Antibiotics; and (4) The expected timeline if the department were to transition to procurement of only 20 21 Meat and/or Poultry raised without the Routine Use of Medically Important Antibiotics. (b) No later than 180 days after enactment of this Chapter 27, the Department of the 22 23 Environment shall compile the departmental reports required by this Section 2706 and publish an 24 analysis regarding opportunities for and feasibility of a City-wide procurement policy for Meat and

1	Poultry raised without the Routine Use of Medically Important Antibiotics. The Department shall
2	submit a copy of its analysis to the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor.
3	
4	SEC. 2803. PROHIBITING THE SALE OF UPHOLSTERED FURNITURE AND
5	JUVENILE PRODUCTS CONTAINING FLAME RETARDANT CHEMICALS.
6	* * * *
7	(d) Five years from this Chapter 28's effective date, the Director of the Department of the
8	Environment shall evaluate the efficacy of this Chapter in reducing San Franciscans' exposure to flame
9	retardant chemicals, and shall submit a written report based on the evaluation to the Mayor and the
10	Board of Supervisors, with recommendations, if any, for changes in City laws or programs to achieve
11	greater reduction in San Franciscans' exposure to flame retardant chemicals.
12	
13	Section 4. Article 26, Divisions II and IX, and Articles 31, 41 and 45 of the Health Code
14	are hereby amended by revising Sections 3103, and 4118, and deleting Sections 1609 and
15	4503, to read as follows:
16	
17	SEC. 1609. ANNUAL REPORT.
18	(a) The Director shall publish and submit to the Board of Supervisors
19	an annual evaluation report describing the current efforts of all City agencies pursuant to this
20	ordinance, including but not limited to:
21	(1) The extent to which the City is providing community education, screening and
22	treatment of children, lead hazard reduction (testing, interim measures and abatement), and
23	enforcement of the provisions of the Program and the City's ability to obtain funding for its
24	implementation;

(2) The effectiveness of the program and City agencies' efforts in implementation,
including, but not limited to, additional actions needed to effectively implement and carry out the
Program, the reasons why those actions are not being taken, and the plans of the relevant City agencies
to implement those actions, including descriptions of specific actions, time lines, and the work plans
and budgets of all City agencies involved in implementing the Program;
— (3) Recommendations for legislation and regulations to improve implementation of the
Program;
— (4) A survey of other State and local efforts to abate lead hazards which might provide

SEC. 1635. COMPREHENSIVE LEAD POISONING FUND.

models for improvements to this Program.

- (a) There is hereby established a special fund to be known as the Comprehensive Environmental Lead Poisoning Fund. Into this fund shall be deposited (1) all monies obtained from civil penalties obtained from enforcement of this Article, (2) all monies obtained from enforcement of Proposition 65 (the Safe Drinking Water and Toxic Enforcement Act of 1986, Health and Safety Code Sections 25249.5 et seq.), Business and Professions Code Sections 17200 et seq., or similar laws, insofar as monies are recovered under these laws because of lead contamination, except when the governing law requires that the monies be otherwise allocated, and (3) all donations of money which may be offered to the City to support the Program. The Director is hereby authorized to accept, on behalf of the City and County of San Francisco, any grants, gifts and bequests made for the purpose of furthering the goals of the Program and upon acceptance they shall be deposited into said fund.
- (b) Subject to the budget and fiscal provisions of the Charter, the monies in this fund may only be expended for expenses related to the development, implementation and operation of the Program.

1	(c) Interest earned from the monies in said rund shall become part of the principal
2	thereof, and shall not be expended for any purpose other than for which said fund is
3	established. The balance remaining in the fund at the close of any fiscal year shall be deemed
4	to have been provided for a specific purpose within the meaning of Charter Section 6.306 and
5	shall be carried forward and accumulated in said fund for the purpose recited herein.
6	(d) No later than one year after the effective date of this ordinance and thereafter
7	annually, the Controller shall submit a report to the Director, the Board of Supervisors and the
8	Mayor which shall include the following information:
9	(1) A detailed identification of the sources of monies contributed to the fund;
10	(2) An accounting of the uses of the monies in the fund during the preceding year;
11	(3) An estimate of the amount of money that the Controller anticipates, after
12	consulting with the Director and other appropriate City departments, shall be deposited in the
13	fund during the next year.
14	The Controller shall coordinate with the Director and attempt to issue this report at the same
15	time that the Director provides the annual Program report required under Section 1609.
16	
17	SEC. 3103. REPORTS MONITORING BY DIRECTOR.
18	The Director shall monitor compliance with this Article and provide an annual summary of
19	compliance with this Article to the Board of Supervisors.
20	
21	SEC. 4118. REPORTS.
22	$\frac{(a)}{a}$ The Department of Public Health shall comply with the reporting requirements as
23	set forth in California Welfare and Institutions Code § 5348(d).

1	(b) The Department of Public Health shall provide an annual report to the Board of
2	Supervisors on the number of participants in AOT, the length of their treatment, the outcome of their
3	treatment, and other matters the Department deems relevant.
4	-(c) The Department of Public Health shall retain an external consultant to evaluate the
5	efficacy of the AOT program, including but not limited to collecting and analyzing information
6	regarding the demographics of Referred Individuals and the cost of the program. By no later than three
7	years after the effective date of this Section 4118, the Department of Public Health shall provide a copy
8	of this external evaluation to the Board of Supervisors.
9	
10	SEC. 4503. REPORTING.
11	By no later than March 31, 2020, DPH shall submit to the Board of Supervisors
12	a report summarizing the actions it has taken in compliance with this Article 45, and its objective
13	analysis and review of the Behavioral Health Center, as referenced in subsection (f) of Section 4502.
14	
15	Section 5. Division II, Articles 111 and 131 of the Labor and Employment Code are
16	hereby amended by revising Section 131.2, and deleting Section 111.16, to read as follows:
17	
18	SEC. 111.16. REPORT BY AIRPORT DIRECTOR.
19	One year after the Effective Date, the Airport Director shall prepare a written report assessing
20	the impact of this Article on Airport property contracts, including any economic impact, benefits, and
21	recommended changes. The Airport Director shall submit the report to the Clerk of the Board, who
22	shall schedule a hearing before the appropriate committee to consider the report.
23	
24	
25	

SEC. 131.2. NONDISCRIMINATION PROVISIONS.

2 * * * *

- (k) The Commission shall provide an annual report to the Board of Supervisors summarizing the effectiveness of the information obtained from the Equal Pay Reports, recommendations for legislative change if needed, and a summary of the investigations, if any, that stem from the Equal Pay Reports. The Commission's recommendations shall include drafts of one or more ordinances if legislative change is necessary or desirable to implement the recommendations.
- (<u>#k</u>) Nothing contained in this Article shall be construed in any manner so as to prevent the City from pursuing any other remedies that may be available at law, equity or under any contract or property contract.
 - $(m\underline{l})$ The contractor or subcontractor will meet the following standards for compliance:
- (1) If the contractor or subcontractor has been held to be an irresponsible bidder under Section 131.2(i) hereof, the contractor or subcontractor shall furnish evidence that it has established and is carrying out a program in conformity with the nondiscrimination provisions of this Article.
- (2) The contractor or subcontractor may be required to file with the Commission a basic compliance report, which may be a copy of the federal EEO-1, or a more detailed report as determined by the Commission. Wilful false statements made in such reports shall be punishable as provided by law. No contractor or subcontractor shall be held in noncompliance for not filing such a report with the Commission unless it has been specifically required to do so in writing by the Commission.
- (3) Personally, or through its representatives, the contractor or subcontractor shall, through negotiations with the unions with whom it has collective bargaining or other agreements requiring the contractor or subcontractor to obtain or clear its employees through

- the union, or when the contractor or subcontractor otherwise uses a union as an employment resource, attempt to develop an agreement which will:
 - (A) Define and outline responsibilities for nondiscrimination in hiring, referral, upgrading and training;
 - (B) Otherwise implement a nondiscrimination program in terms of the unions' specific areas of skill and geography, such as an apprenticeship program, to the end that minority workers will be available and given an equal opportunity for employment.
 - (4) The contractor or subcontractor shall notify the awarding authority of opposition to the nondiscrimination provisions of a contract by individuals, firms or organizations during the term of the contract.

Section 6. Article 3 of the Park Code is hereby amended by deleting Section 3,20, to read as follows:

SEC. 3.20. SHARP PARK.

(a) No later than July 21, 2009, the Recreation and Park Department shall develop a plan, schedule and budget for restoring the habitat for the California red-legged frog (Rana draytonii) and the San Francisco garter snake (Thamnophis sirtalis tetrataenia) in conformance with the Endangered Species Act, 16 U.S.C. 1531, et seq., and all other regulatory requirements; and for transferring Sharp Park to, or developing a joint management agreement with, the Golden Gate National Recreation Area, and the City of Pacifica and/or the County of San Mateo, in accordance with the deed granting Sharp Park to the City and County of San Francisco and San Francisco Administrative Code Section 23.41. The Department shall base such restoration plans on the best scientific information available, and shall include alternatives that (1) retain or redesign the golf course and (2) eliminate the golf course.

(b) No later than August 31, 2009, the Department shall provide to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors a report detailing the steps taken, and the progress made, to achieve the goals set forth in subsection (a) above.

Section 7. Articles 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, and 7 of the Planning Code are hereby amended by revising Sections 103, 155.3, 169.6, 207, 207.1, 207.2, 207.3, 243, 303, 321, 342.3, and 425.4, and deleting Sections 206.8, 341, 341.1, 341.2, 341.3, 341.4, 411A.9, 418.6, 604.2, 609.12, and 701.2, to read as follows:

SEC. 103. HOUSING BALANCE MONITORING AND REPORTING.

(a) Purposes. To maintain a balance between new affordable and market rate housing Citywide and within neighborhoods, to make housing available for all income levels and housing need types, to preserve the mixed income character of the City and its neighborhoods, to offset the withdrawal of existing housing units from rent stabilization and the loss of single-room-occupancy hotel units, to ensure the availability of land and encourage the deployment of resources to provide sufficient housing affordable to households of very low, low, and moderate incomes, to ensure adequate housing for families, seniors and the disabled community, to ensure that data on meeting affordable housing targets City wide and within neighborhoods informs the approval process for new housing development, and to enable public participation in determining the appropriate mix of new housing approvals, there is hereby established a requirement, as detailed in this Section 103, to monitor and regularly report on the housing balance between market rate housing and affordable housing.

(b) Findings.

(1) In November 2014, the City voters enacted Proposition K, which established City policy to help construct or rehabilitate at least 30,000 homes by 2020. More than 50% of this housing would be affordable for middle-class households, with at least 33% affordable for low- and moderate-

income households, and the City is expected to develop strategies to achieve that goal. This Section 103
sets forth a method to track performance toward the City's Housing Element goals and the near-term
Proposition K goal that 33% of all new housing shall be affordable housing, as defined herein.

(2) The City's rent stabilized and permanently affordable housing stock serves very low-, low-, and moderate-income families, long-time residents, elderly seniors, disabled persons and others. The City seeks to achieve and maintain an appropriate balance between market rate housing and affordable housing City-wide and within neighborhoods because the availability of decent housing and a suitable living environment for every San Franciscan is of vital importance. Attainment of the City's housing goals requires the cooperative participation of government and the private sector to expand housing opportunities to accommodate housing needs for San Franciscans at all economic levels and to respond to the unique needs of each neighborhood where housing will be located.

(3) For tenants in unsubsidized housing, affordability is often preserved by the Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance's limitations on the size of allowable rent increases during a tenancy. As documented in the Budget and Legislative Analyst's October 2013 Policy Analysis Report on Tenant Displacement, San Francisco is experiencing a rise in units withdrawn from rent controls. Such rises often accompany periods of sharp increases in property values and housing prices. From 1998 through 2013, the Rent Board reported a total of 13,027 no fault evictions (i.e., evictions in which the tenant had not violated any lease terms, but the owner sought to regain possession of the unit). Total evictions of all types have increased by 38.2% from Rent Board Year (i.e. from March through February) 2010 to Rent Board Year 2013. During the same period, Ellis Act evictions far outpaced other evictions, increasing by 169.8% from 43 in Rent Board Year 2010 to 116 in Rent Board Year 2013. These numbers do not capture the large number of owner buyouts of tenants, which contribute further to the loss of rent stabilized units from the housing market. Any fair assessment of the affordable housing balance must incorporate into the calculation units withdrawn from rent stabilization.

— (4) Pursuant to Government Code Section 65584, the Association of Bay Area
Governments (ABAG), in coordination with the California State Department of Housing and
Community Development (HCD), determines the Bay Area's regional housing need based on regional
trends, projected job growth, and existing needs. The regional housing needs assessment (RHNA)
determination includes production targets addressing housing needs of a range of household income
categories. For the RHNA period covering 2015 through 2022, ABAG has projected that at least 39 of
new housing demands for San Francisco will be from very low and low income households (households
earning under 80% of area median income), and another 22 of new housing demands to be affordable
to households of moderate means (earning between 80% and 120% of area median income). Market-
rate housing is considered housing with no income limits or special requirements attached.
(5) The Housing Element of the City's General Plan states: "Based on the growing
population, and smart growth goals of providing housing in central areas like San Francisco, near jobs
and transit, the State Department of Housing and Community Development (HCD), with the
Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG), estimates that in the current 2015-2022 Housing
Element period San Francisco must plan for the capacity for roughly 28,870 new units, 57% of which
should be suitable for housing for the extremely low, very low, low and moderate income households to
meet its share of the region's projected housing demand." Objective 1 of the Housing Element states
that the City should "identify and make available for development adequate sites to meet the City's
housing needs, especially permanently affordable housing." Objective 7 states that San Francisco's
projected affordable housing needs far outpace the capacity for the City to secure subsidies for new
affordable units.
— (6) In 2012, the City enacted Ordinance 237-12, the "Housing Preservation and
Production Ordinance," codified in Administrative Code Section 10E.4, to require Planning
Department staff to regularly report data on progress toward meeting San Francisco's quantified

production goals for different household income levels as provided in the General Plan's Housing

Element. That Ordinance requires data on the number of units in all stages of the housing production

process at various affordability levels to be included in staff reports on all proposed projects of five

Residential Units or more and in quarterly housing production reports to the Planning Commission.

The Planning Department has long tracked the number of affordable housing units and total number of

housing units built throughout the City and in specific areas and should be able to track the ratio called

for in this Section 103.

(7) As the private market has embarked upon, and government officials have urged, an ambitious program to produce significant amounts of new housing in the City, the limited remaining available land makes it essential to assess the impact of the approval of new market rate housing developments on the availability of land for affordable housing and to encourage the deployment of resources to provide such housing.

(c) Housing Balance Calculation.

— (1) For purposes of this Section 103, "Housing Balance" shall be defined as the proportion of all new housing units affordable to households of extremely low, very low, low, or moderate income households, as defined in California Health & Safety Code Sections 50079.5 et seq., as such provisions may be amended from time to time, less the number of units removed from rent-controlled or below-market status, to the total number of all new housing units for a 10-year Housing Balance Period.

(2) The Housing Balance Period shall begin with the first quarter of year 2005 to the last quarter of 2014, and thereafter for the ten years prior to the most recent calendar quarter.

(3) For each year that data is available, beginning in 2005, the Planning Department shall report net housing construction by income levels, as well as units that have been withdrawn from protection afforded by City law, such as laws providing for rent-controlled and single resident occupancy (SRO) units. The affordable housing categories shall include net new units, as well as existing units that were previously not restricted by deed or regulatory agreement that are acquired for

1	preservation as permanently affordable housing as determined by the Mayor's Office of Housing and
2	Community Development (MOHCD) (not including refinancing or other rehabilitation under existing
3	ownership), protected by deed or regulatory agreement for a minimum of 55 years. The report shall
4	include, by year, and for the latest quarter, all units that have received Temporary Certificates of
5	Occupancy within that year, a separate category for units that obtained a site or building permit, and
6	another category for units that have received approval from the Planning Commission or Planning
7	Department, but have not yet obtained a site or building permit to commence construction (except any
8	entitlements that have expired and not been renewed during the Housing Balance Period). Master
9	planned entitlements, including but not limited to such areas as Treasure Island, Hunters Point
10	Shipyard, and Park Merced, shall not be included in this latter category until individual building
11	entitlements or site permits are approved for specific housing projects. For each year or approval
12	status, the following categories shall be separately reported:
13	(A) Extremely Low Income Units, which are units available to individuals or families
14	making between 0-30% Area Median Income (AMI) as defined in California Health & Safety Code
15	Section 50106, and are subject to price or rent restrictions between 0-30% AMI;
16	(B) Very Low Income Units, which are units available to individuals or families making
17	between 30-50% AMI as defined in California Health & Safety Code Section 50105, and are subject to
18	price or rent restrictions between 30-50% AMI;
19	(C) Lower Income Units, which are units available to individuals or families making
20	between 50-80% AMI as defined in California Health & Safety Code Section 50079.5, and are subject
21	to price or rent restrictions between 50-80% AMI;
22	(D) Moderate Income Units, which are units available to individuals or families making
23	between 80-120% AMI and are subject to price or rent restrictions between 80-120% AMI;
24	(E) Middle Income Units, which are units available to individuals or families making
25	between 120-150% AMI and are subject to price or rent restrictions between 120-150% AMI;

1	(F) Market-rate units, which are units not subject to any deed or regulatory agreement
2	with price restrictions;
3	(G) Housing units withdrawn from protected status, including units withdrawn from rent
4	control (except those units otherwise converted into permanently affordable housing), including all
5	units that have been subject to rent control under the San Francisco Residential Rent Stabilization and
6	Arbitration Ordinance but that a property owner removes from the rental market including but not
7	limited to through owner move- in pursuant to Administrative Code Section 37.9(a)(8), through
8	condominium conversion pursuant to Administrative Code Section 37.9(a)(9), demolition or alterations
9	(including dwelling unit mergers), or permanent removal pursuant to Administrative Code Section
10	37.9(a)(10) or removal pursuant to the Ellis Act under Administrative Code Section 37.9(a)(13);
11	(H) Public housing replacement units and substantially rehabilitated units through the
12	HOPE SF and Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD) programs, as well as other substantial
13	rehabilitation programs managed by MOHCD.
14	— (4) The Housing Balance shall be expressed as a percentage, obtained by dividing the
15	cumulative total of extremely low, very low, low, and moderate income affordable housing units (all
16	units 0-120% AMI) minus the lost protected units described in subsection $(c)(3)(G)$ above, by the total
17	number of net new housing units within the Housing Balance Period. The Housing Balance shall also
18	provide two calculations:
19	— (A) the Cumulative Housing Balance, consisting of housing units that have already been
20	constructed (and received a Temporary Certificate of Occupancy or other certificate that would allow
21	occupancy of the units) within the 10-year Housing Balance Period, plus those units that have obtained
22	a site or building permit. A separate calculation of the Cumulative Housing Balance shall also be
23	provided, which includes HOPE SF and RAD public housing replacement and substantially
24	rehabilitated units (but not including general rehabilitation/maintenance of public housing or other
25	affordable housing units) that have received Temporary Certificates of Occupancy within the Housing

1	Balance Period. The Housing Balance Reports will show the Cumulative Housing Balance with and
2	without public housing included in the calculation; and
3	(B) the Projected Housing Balance, which shall include any residential project that has
4	received approval from the Planning Commission or Planning Department, even if the housing project
5	has not yet obtained a site or building permit to commence construction (except any entitlements that
6	have expired and not been renewed during the Housing Balance period). Master planned entitlements
7	shall not be included in the calculation until individual building entitlements or site permits are
8	approved.
9	(d) Bi-annual Housing Balance Reports. By June 1, 2015, the Planning Department shall
10	calculate the Cumulative and Projected Housing Balance for the most recent two quarters City-wide,
11	by Supervisorial District, Plan Area, and by neighborhood Planning Districts, as defined in the annual
12	Housing Inventory, and publish it as an easily visible and accessible page devoted to Housing Balance
13	and Monitoring and Reporting on the Planning Department's website. By October 1 and April 1 of
14	each year, the Planning Department shall publish and update the Housing Balance Report, and present
15	this report at an informational hearing to the Planning Commission and Board of Supervisors, as well
16	as to any relevant body with geographic purview over a plan area upon request, along with the other
17	quarterly reporting requirements of Administrative Code Section 10E.4. The annual report to the Board
18	of Supervisors shall be accepted by resolution of the Board, which resolution shall be introduced by the
19	Planning Department. The Housing Balance Report shall also be incorporated into the Annual
20	Planning Commission Housing Hearing and Annual Report to the Board of Supervisors required in
21	Administrative Code Section 10E.4.
22	(e) Annual Hearing by Board of Supervisors.
23	— (1) The Board of Supervisors shall hold a public Housing Balance hearing on an annual
24	basis by April 15 of each year, to consider progress towards the City's affordable housing goals,

1	including the goal of a minimum 33% affordable housing to low and moderate income households, as
2	well as the City's General Plan Housing Element housing production goals by income category.
3	(2) The hearing shall include reporting by the Planning Department, which shall present
4	the latest Housing Balance Report City-wide and by Supervisorial District and Planning District; the
5	Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development, the Mayor's Office of Economic and
6	Workforce Development, the Rent Stabilization Board, by the Department of Building Inspection, and
7	the City Economist on strategies for achieving and maintaining a housing balance in accordance with
8	San Francisco's housing production goals. If the Cumulative Housing Balance has fallen below 33% in
9	any year, MOHCD shall determine how much funding is required to bring the City into a minimum
10	33% Housing Balance and the Mayor shall submit to the Board of Supervisors a strategy to accomplish
11	the minimum of 33% Housing Balance. City Departments shall at minimum report on the following
12	issues relevant to the annual Housing Balance hearing: MOHCD shall report on the annual and
13	projected progress by income category in accordance with the City's General Plan Housing Element
14	housing production goals, projected shortfalls and gaps in funding and site control, and progress
15	toward the City's Neighborhood Stabilization goals for acquiring and preserving the affordability of
16	existing rental units in neighborhoods with high concentrations of low and moderate income
17	households or historically high levels of evictions; the Planning Department shall report on current
18	and proposed zoning and land use policies that affect the City's General Plan Housing Element housing
19	production goals; the Mayor's Office of Economic and Workforce Development shall report on current
20	and proposed major development projects, dedicated public sites, and policies that affect the City's

General Plan Housing Element housing production goals; the Rent Board shall report on the

withdrawal or addition of rent-controlled units and current or proposed policies that affect these

numbers; the Department of Building Inspection shall report on the withdrawal or addition of

Residential Hotel units and current or proposed policies that affect these numbers; and the City

25

21

22

23

Economist shall report on annual and projected job growth by the income categories specified in the
City's General Plan Housing Element.

— (3) All reports and presentation materials from the annual Housing Balance hearing shall be maintained by year for public access on the Planning Department's website on its page devoted to Housing Balance Monitoring and Reporting.

SEC. 155.3. BICYCLE PARKING REQUIREMENTS FOR CITY-OWNED AND LEASED PROPERTIES.

* * *

(f)—Monitoring. The Planning Department shall, every five years, beginning with 2013, survey the amount, location, and usage of both Class 1 and Class 2 bicycle parking spaces at (A) City Hall, (B) the Main Library, (C) the 25 other City owned or leased buildings which have the highest square footage as identified in a list published by the City's Department of Real Estate, and (D) City owned garages in order to report compliance with this Section and to ascertain whether current requirements are adequate to meet demand for such parking spaces. Such survey of usage shall be conducted during the months of March through October and shall document usage on at least two fair-weather non-holiday week days. A report on such findings shall be submitted to the Planning Commission and the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency Board of Directors. If current requirements are inadequate, the Director shall draft and submit to the Board of Supervisors proposed legislation that would remedy the deficiency. For the purposes of this subsection, "inadequate" shall mean an occupancy of greater than 85 percent or in cases where bicycles are clearly parked in non-standard locations due to crowding of the provided facilities.

(g) Miscellaneous Standards and Requirements.

(1) In any City-owned or leased building, non-accessory parking garage, or parking lot that contains more than the required number of bicycle parking spaces as set forth

above, the Responsible City Official or private parking garage owner shall not remove such
additional bicycle parking spaces without petitioning the Zoning Administrator. Such a petition
may not be filed until at least one year after the effective date of this Section. That petition
shall demonstrate that the spaces the Responsible City Official or private parking garage
owner seeks authority to remove have not been necessary to meet the demand of Employees
and other building users.

(2) For existing buildings owned, leased or purchased by the City and City-owned parking garages, the Responsible City Official shall comply with this Section 155.3. The Board of Supervisors does not intend to impose requirements of this Section on any Responsible City Official where such application would impair obligations of contract.

169.6. TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT PROGRAM STANDARDS.

* * * *

(c) One year after the effective date of the TDM Program, the Planning Department shall prepare a report analyzing the implementation of the TDM Program and describing any changes to the TDM Program Standards. Every four years, following the periodic updates to the San Francisco Countywide Transportation Plan that the San Francisco County Transportation Authority prepares, the Planning Department shall prepare a report containing the same information. The Planning Department shall present such reports to the Planning Commission, and may present them to the Board of Supervisors during a public hearing, if a Supervisor chooses to request a hearing on the matter.

SEC. 206.8. AFFORDABLE HOUSING BONUS PROGRAM EVALUATION.

(a) Within one year from March 22, 2015, the Planning Department shall provide an informational presentation to the Planning Commission, and any other City agency at their request, presenting an overview of all projects that request or receive development bonuses under the HOME-

1	SF Program, the 100 Percent Affordable Housing Bonus Program and the Analyzed and Individually
2	Requested State Density Bonus Program ("the Bonus Programs").
3	(b) Annual Reporting. The Planning Department, in coordination with MOHCD, shall include
4	information on projects which request and receive development bonuses under the Bonus Programs, in
5	the Housing Inventory Report.
6	(c) Report Contents. The Housing Inventory shall include, but not be limited to, information on
7	the:
8	— (1) number of projects utilizing the Bonus Programs;
9	— (2) number of units approved and constructed under the Bonus Programs and the AMI
10	levels of such units;
11	— (3) number of additional affordable units in excess of that otherwise required by Section
12	415 ;
13	(4) geographic distribution of projects, including the total number of units in each project,
14	utilizing the Bonus Programs;
15	— (5) number of larger unit types, including the number of 3-bedroom units;
16	— (6) square feet of units by bedroom count;
17	— (7) number of projects with nine or fewer units that participate; and
18	— (8) Number of appeals of projects in the Bonus Program and stated reason for appeal.
19	—(d) Program Evaluation and Update.
20	— (1) Purpose and Contents. Every five years, beginning five years from March 22, 2015, the
21	Department shall prepare a Program Evaluation and Update. The Program Evaluation and Update
22	shall include an analysis of the Bonus Programs' effectiveness as it relates to City policy goals
23	including, but not limited to Proposition K (November 2014) and the Housing Element. The Program
24	Evaluation and Update shall include a review of all of the following:

1	—— (A) Target income levels for the HOME-SF Program in relation to market values and
2	assessed affordable housing needs.
3	(B) Feasibility of the HOME-SF Program, in relation to housing policy goals, program
4	production, and current market conditions.
5	(C) Requested and granted concessions and incentives, including consideration of
6	whether the menu of zoning modification or concessions and incentives set forth in Section 206.3(d)(4),
7	206.4(c)(5) and 206.5(c)(4) respond to the needs of projects seeking approvals under the Bonus
8	Programs; consideration of whether the elected zoning modifications or incentives and concessions
9	result in a residential project that responds to the surrounding neighborhood context; and review and
10	recommendation for additions or modifications to the list of zoning modifications or concessions and
11	incentives in $206.3(d)(4)$, $206.4(c)(5)$ and $206.5(c)(4)$.
12	(D) Geography and neighborhood specific considerations. Review and analysis of where
13	Bonus Program projects are proposed and approved, including an analysis of land values, zoning,
14	height controls, and neighborhood support.
15	(E) Review of the process for considering projects under the Bonus Program, including a
16	review of Section 328, the appeal process, Section 303 and other relevant process considerations.
17	— (2) Public Hearing. The Program Evaluation and Update shall be prepared no less than
18	every five years, beginning five years from March 22, 2015, and may be completed as a series of
19	reports and in coordination with ongoing monitoring of affordable housing policies, or feasibility
20	analyses. The Planning Commission shall hold a hearing on the Program Evaluation and Update and
21	any recommendations for modification to any of the Bonus Program.
22	(e) Program Expansion Report. The Board of Supervisors directs the Planning Department
23	and MOHCD to research, analyze and provide recommendations for further density and development
24	bonuses for 100% affordable or mixed-income developments. The Program Expansion Report shall be
25	published within one year of March 22, 2015.

1	SEC. 207. DWELLING UNIT DENSITY LIMIT
2	* * * *
3	(c) Exceptions to Dwelling Unit Density Limits. An exception to the calculations
4	under this Section 207 shall be made in the following circumstances:
5	* * * *
6	(8) Residential Density Exception in RH Districts.
7	* * * *
8	(H) Annual Report on Housing Affordability, Racial Equity, and Language Access
9	Goals. To help the City evaluate whether the implementation of this Section 207(c)(8) comports with
10	the City's housing affordability, racial equity, and language access goals, each year the Planning
11	Department, in consultation with other City departments including the Department of Building
12	Inspection, the Rent Board, and the Office of the Assessor-Recorder, shall prepare a report addressing
13	the characteristics and demographics of the applicants to and participants in the program established
14	in said section; the number of units permitted and constructed through this program; the geographic
15	distribution, affordability, and construction costs of those units; and the number of tenants that vacated
16	or were evicted from properties as a result of the permitting or construction of units through this
17	program ("Affordability and Equity Report"). The Affordability and Equity Report shall be included
18	and identified in the annual Housing Inventory Report. The Planning Department shall prepare the
19	report utilizing applicant data that has been provided by program applicants voluntarily and
20	anonymously, and separate from the submittal of an application for a density exception. An applicant's
21	decision to provide or decline to provide the information requested by the Planning Department in
22	order to prepare the report shall have no bearing on the applicant's receipt of a density exception.
23	
24	

1 (9) Replacing Auto-Oriented Uses with Housing. 2 3 (G) Review of Program and Limit on Number of Residential Units. The Planning Department shall include the number and location of projects using this subsection (c)(9) and 4 5 number of units provided in such projects in the Housing Inventory Report. This subsection (c)(9) 6 shall remain in effect until the Planning Department approves a total of 5,000 residential units 7 under the authority of this subsection (c)(9). When the Planning Director certifies in writing 8 that the Planning Department has approved 5,000 residential units under this subsection 9 207(c)(9), the subsection shall expire by operation of law, and the City Attorney shall cause the subsection to be removed from the Planning Code. 10 11 12 SEC. 207.1. LOCAL ACCESSORY DWELLING UNIT PROGRAM. 13 14 (i) Monitoring Program. 15 16 (3) Department Report. As part of the annual Housing Inventory, the Department shall 17 report the types of units being developed pursuant to this Section 207.1, their affordability rates, their 18 use as Short-Term Residential Rentals, and such additional information as the Director or the Board of 19 Supervisors determines would inform decision makers and the public on the effectiveness and 20 implementation of this Section 207.1, and shall include recommendations for any amendments to the 21 requirements of this Section 207.1. // 22 23 // // 24

//

SEC. 207.2. STATE MANDATED ACCESSORY DWELLING UNIT PROGRAM.

2 * * * *

(k)—Department Report. In addition to the information required by subsection 207.1(i)(3), the annual Housing Inventory shall include a description and evaluation of the number and types of units being developed pursuant to this Section 207.2, their affordability rates, and such other information as the Director or the Board of Supervisors determines would inform decision-makers and the public.

(1)—**Fees**. No impact fees shall be imposed on ADUs or JADUs authorized under this Section 207.2, where the ADU or JADU is smaller than 750 square feet of Gross Floor Area, or for ADUs that are proposed in lots with three existing units or fewer. Impact fees for all other ADUs shall be imposed proportionately in relation to the Gross Floor Area of the primary dwelling unit.

SEC. 207.3. AUTHORIZATION OF DWELLING UNITS CONSTRUCTED WITHOUT A PERMIT IN AN EXISTING BUILDING ZONED FOR RESIDENTIAL USE.

Notwithstanding Section 207.2 or any other provision of this Code, certain dwelling units that were constructed without benefit of permit in an existing residential building or in an ancillary structure located on the same lot may be granted legal status subject to the conditions and procedures set forth below. For purposes of this Section 207.3, a dwelling unit shall not include single room occupancy units.

(j) Reports. Six months from the effective date of this Section 207.3 and every six months for the first three years after the effective date, the Zoning Administrator and the Director of the Department of Building Inspection shall issue a joint report on the effectiveness of the additional dwelling unit authorization program. After three years, the report will be included in the City's Annual Housing Inventory. The report shall, at a minimum, state the number of screening forms and building

1	permit applications that have been filed pursuant to this Section 207.3. For the first three years, copies
2	of these reports shall be submitted to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, the Mayor, and the
3	Controller. Upon receiving the reports one year and two years after the effective date, the Clerk of the
4	Board of Supervisors shall schedule a public hearing for each report on the agenda of the appropriate
5	Board of Supervisors committee to consider the effectiveness of the program.
6	(k) Master List of Additional Dwelling Units Approved. The Planning Department shall
7	create and maintain a master list of dwelling units approved pursuant to the provisions of this
8	Section 207.3 and corresponding property addresses for use by the San Francisco Rent
9	Stabilization and Arbitration Board, Tax Assessor, and other interested City departments,
10	boards or commissions.
11	
12	SEC. 243. VAN NESS SPECIAL USE DISTRICT.
13	* * * *
14	(c) Controls. All provisions of the Planning Code applicable to an RC-4 District shall
15	apply except as otherwise provided in this Section.
16	* * * *
17	(8) Limitation of Nonresidential Uses.
18	* * * *
19	(B) Reduction of Ratio of Residential Uses for Affordable Housing.
20	The Planning Commission may modify the Van Ness Special Use District residential to
21	nonresidential use ratio between Golden Gate Avenue and California Street as a conditional
22	use in one of the following ways:
23	* * * *
24	(iii)—Annual Reporting, Evaluation, and Adjustments to Affordability and
25	Fee Calculations. The Department shall report annually to the Planning Commission on the activity

1	and utilization of Section 243(c)(8)(B). Based on an evaluation of this report, the Planning Commission
2	may initiate a modification or deletion of Section 243(c)(8)(B). The dollar amounts used in the
3	calculation for Paragraphs (i) and (ii) of this Subsection shall be subject to annual adjustments in
4	accord with Section 409 of this Code. Affordability shall be defined by rents or sale prices affordable
5	by households with no more than 80 percent of median income standards developed by HUD.
6	——————————————————————————————————————
7	constructed since the effective date of the Van Ness Special Use District and the housing
8	development potential remaining in the District the overall objective of adding a substantial
9	increment of new housing on Van Ness Avenue will not be significantly compromised, the
10	Commission may by conditional use modify the 3:1 housing ratio or may modify the rules
11	regarding the timing and location of linked projects if in addition to Section 303(c) standards o
12	this Code it finds that:
13	* * * *
14	
15	SEC. 303. CONDITIONAL USES.
16	* * * *
17	(h) Internet Services Exchange.
18	* * * *
19	— (3) The Planning Department shall have the following responsibilities regarding Internet
20	Services Exchanges:
21	(A) Upon the effective date of the requirement of a Conditional Use authorization for an
22	Internet Services Exchange, the Planning Department shall notify property owners of all existing
23	Internet Services Exchanges that the use has been reclassified as a conditional use;
24	(B) Upon the effective date of the requirement of a Conditional Use authorization for an
25	Internet Services Exchange, the Planning Department shall submit to the Board of Supervisors and to

1	the Director of the Department of Building Inspection a written report covering all existing Internet
2	Services Exchanges and those Internet Services Exchanges seeking to obtain a Conditional Use
3	authorization, which report shall state the address, assessor's block and lot, zoning classification,
4	square footage of the Internet Services Exchange constructed or to be constructed, a list of permits
5	previously issued by the Planning and/or Building Inspection Departments concerning the Internet
6	Services Exchange, the date of issuance of such permits, and the status of any outstanding requests for
7	permits from the Planning and/or Building Inspection Departments concerning Internet Services
8	Exchange; and
9	— (C) Within three years from the effective date of the requirement of a Conditional Use
10	authorization for an Internet Services Exchange, the Planning Department, in consultation with the
11	Department of Environment, shall submit to the Board of Supervisors a written report, which report
12	shall contain the Planning Commission's evaluation of the effectiveness of the conditions imposed on
13	Internet Services Exchanges, and whether it recommends additional or modified conditions to reduce
14	energy and fuel consumption, limit air pollutant emissions, and enhance the compatibility of industria
15	uses, such as Internet Services Exchanges, located near or in residential or commercial districts.
16	* * * *
17	
18	SEC. 321. OFFICE DEVELOPMENT: ANNUAL LIMIT.
19	(a) Limit.
20	* * * *

(4) Not less than six months before the last date of the approval period, the Planning

Department shall submit to the Board of Supervisors a written report, which report shall contain the

Planning Commission's recommendation with respect to whether, based on the effects of the limitation

housing and transportation services to support additional office development in the City, office vacancy

imposed by this Section on economic growth and job opportunities in the City, the availability of

City Attorney
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

21

22

23

24

and rental rates, and such other factors as the Commission shall deem relevant, there should continue
to be a quantitative limit on additional office space after the approval period, and as to what amount of
additional office space should be permitted under any such limit.

(54) Every holder of a site permit issued on or after July 1, 1982 for any office development, as defined in Section 320(g) without regard to Subsections (g)(2) through (g)(5), shall provide to the Planning Commission reports containing data and information with respect to the following:

* * * *

- (65) **Central SoMa Plan Area.** This Subsection (a)(65) shall apply within the boundaries of the Central SoMa Special Use District, as established and described in Planning Code Sec. 249.78.
- (A) Additional Limitations on Office Development. No more than a total of 6,000,000 square feet of office space shall be approved in office developments within the Central SoMa Plan Area, after January 1, 2019, until a combined total of at least 15,000 new housing units have been Produced within the South of Market Neighborhood, as delineated in the Neighborhood Boundaries Map contained within the Department of City Planning's May 2011 "San Francisco Neighborhoods Socio-Economic Profiles" report, after January 1, 2019 (the "South of Market Neighborhood"). Space in individual projects that contain less than 50,000 square feet of office space shall neither be subject to, nor contribute to, the footage limit described in this Subsection (a)(65)(A).

21 * * * *

(76) Office Jobs/Affordable Housing Balance Incentive Reserve. At the election of a project sponsor, the Planning Commission may grant an authorization for a proposed office development notwithstanding the limit specified in Subsection (a)(1) if all of the following criteria are satisfied:

- (A) The proposed office development contains more than 49,999 square of additional office space.
- (B) The proposed project of which the office development is a component includes development of New Affordable Housing units in an amount no less than 100% of the New Affordable Housing Units required to house the future employees of the proposed project's office development in accordance with the City's Affordable Housing Demand Ratio, and such units are either: (a) on-site, or (b) located off-site within a Community of Concern as designated by the Board of Supervisors and developed pursuant to a requirement included in a development agreement authorized by Government Code Section 65865 or any successor Section for the proposed office development. If the project sponsor elects to satisfy Section 415.5 of the Planning Code by payment of an Affordable Housing Fee to the City, then onehalf (50%) of the New Affordable Housing Units credited to satisfaction of that inclusionary housing requirement by payment of the Fee in accordance with *Planning Code* Subsection 5415.5(b)(C) shall also be counted toward satisfaction of this Subsection (a)(76)(B). For projects developed in multiple phases as provided in an approved development agreement authorized by Government Code Section 65865 or any successor Section, the total of all New Affordable Housing Units required to be Produced by the development agreement in all phases shall be considered in evaluating a project sponsor's application for an allocation of office space pursuant to this Subsection (7) at any time.
- (C) No other City of San Francisco Affordable Housing Development Funding will be used to fund capital development costs of such affordable housing component of the project.
- (87) Additional office space in projects approved pursuant to Subsections (a)(65)(C) and (a)(76) shall be deducted from the amount otherwise available pursuant to Subsection (a)(1) in equal annual increments of one-tenth of such approved additional office

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

space per year over a ten year period. The first such deduction shall occur at the outset of the approval period that commences following approval of the proposed project, and the nine subsequent deductions shall occur annually at the outset of each approval period thereafter, until the proposed project's entire allocation of additional office space has been deducted from the ten subsequent approval periods.

* * * *

SEC. 341. BETTER NEIGHBORHOODS AREA PLAN MONITORING PROGRAM.

Sections 341.1 to 341.4 set forth the requirements and procedures for the Market and Octavia Community Monitoring Program.

SEC. 341.1. FINDINGS.

(a) The Planning Commission has adopted the Market and Octavia Area Plan as part of the General Plan of the City and County of San Francisco. The Area Plan, in conjunction with the Market and Octavia Neighborhood Plan, outlines specific goals that cumulatively frame the community's vision for the management of growth and development in the plan area. The Market and Octavia

Neighborhood Plan introduces innovative policies and land use controls to achieve the plan goals.

Successful fruition of the plan's goals requires a coordinated implementation of land use controls, community and public service delivery, key policies, and community infrastructure improvements.

(b) In order to ensure a balanced implementation of the Market and Octavia Neighborhood

Plan, the Planning Department will implement a formal monitoring program, with a focus on key indicators. The monitoring program is necessary to evaluate the effectiveness of the Plan and the impacts of growth, particularly housing supply, neighborhood character, and transportation infrastructure and service. The monitoring program will determine whether necessary infrastructure improvements have keep pace with development in the Plan Area. If monitoring surveys indicate an

imbalance in growth of neighborhood and relevant infrastructure and support, the Planning
Department must recommend policy changes to balance development with infrastructure and services.
Appropriate responses may include further study of specific conditions, temporary or permanent
alterations to Market and Octavia Neighborhood Plan policies, amendments to the Planning Code, and
the dedication of additional revenue for planned improvements.

- (c) Monitoring reports are a standard tool used to ensure a plan's success. The Downtown Plan of 1985 implemented a model monitoring system, which includes both an annual and 5-year monitoring cycle. Annual monitoring efforts for the Market and Octavia Plan Area should be coordinated with these efforts, but include a focus on policies and indicators relevant to the Market and Octavia Neighborhood Plan. The Market and Octavia time series monitoring report should be published independently.
- (d) The Market and Octavia Plan is a pilot planning effort, implementing modern planning strategies. Data on the successes will be a useful contribution to the field of planning and to other municipalities aiming to achieve transit-oriented communities.
- (e) The Planning Department will execute a two-tiered monitoring program. The two tiers are:

 1) An annual collection and reporting of data from selected sources that are gathered on a regular basis, and 2) every five years, a more extensive data collection effort that includes a evaluation of policy objectives specific to the Market and Octavia Area Plan and reporting of neighborhood trends.

 The annual monitoring will provide notice for trends that may develop, gauging progress towards long range goals. The time series report will provide in depth analysis of the Plan Area, including a discussion of qualitative trends.
- (f) In coordination with relevant Board of Supervisor representatives and related City agencies a Citizens Advisory Committee shall be formed to participate in the on-going implementation of the Market and Octavia Plan. This Committee shall participate in monitoring efforts, as needed, and be presented a copy of all reports.

SEC. 341.2. ANNUAL REPORTING.

The Planning Department shall prepare an annual report detailing the housing supply and
development, commercial activities, and transportation trends in the Market and Octavia Plan Area.
The information shall be presented to the Board of Supervisors, Planning Commission, the Citizens
Advisory Committee, and Mayor, and shall address: (1) the extent of development in the Market and
Octavia Plan Area; (2) the consequences of that development; (3) the effectiveness of the policies set
forth in the Market and Octavia Area Plan in maintaining San Francisco's environment and character;
and (4) recommendations for measures deemed appropriate to deal with the impacts of neighborhood
growth.

- (a) Time Period and Due Date. Reporting shall be presented by July 1st of each year, and shall address the immediately preceding calendar year.
- (b) Data Source. The Planning Department shall assemble data for the purpose of providing the reports. City records shall be used wherever possible. Outside sources shall be used when data from such sources are reliable, readily available and necessary in order to supplement City records.

 When data is not available for the exact boundaries of the Plan Area, a similar geography will be used and noted.
- (c) Categories of Information. The following categories of information shall be included:

 Commercial Space and Employment.
- (1) The amount of office space "Completed," "Approved," and "Under Construction" during the preceding year, both within the Plan Area and elsewhere in the City. This inventory shall include the location and square footage (gross and net) of those projects, as well as an estimate of the dates when the space "Approved" and "Under Construction" will become available for occupancy.
- (2) Plan Area and Citywide Employment trends. An estimate of additional employment, by occupation type, in the Plan Area and Citywide.

1	(3) Retail Space and Employment. An estimate of the net increment of retail space and of
2	the additional retail employment relocation trends and patterns Plan Area and Citywide.
3	(4) Business Formation and Relocation. An estimate of the rate of the establishment of new
4	businesses and business and employment relocation trends and patterns within the Plan Area and
5	Citywide Housing.
6	— (5) Housing Units Certified for Occupancy. An estimate of the number of housing units in
7	the Plan Area and throughout the City newly constructed, demolished, or converted to other uses.
8	(6) Affordable Housing Production. An estimate of the number of new affordable housing
9	units in the Plan Area and throughout the City, including information on affordability and funding
10	sources.
11	(7) Unit size. An estimate of the mix of unit sizes in the Plan Area and throughout the City
12	including new construction, unit mergers and unit subdivisions.
13	— (8) Unit Conversion. An estimate of average number by unit type in the Plan Area and
14	throughout the City, including condo conversion, and eviction cases.
15	(9) Enforcement of Project Entitlements. A summary of successful compliance with
16	conditions and design standards for development projects approved in the Plan Area and any
17	enforcement actions taken to ensure compliance or adjudicate complaints
18	Transportation.
19	— (10) Parking Inventory. An estimate of the net increment of off-street parking spaces in all
20	Districts.
21	— (11) Transit Service. An estimate of transit capacity for peak periods.
22	— (12) Transit infrastructure and capacity improvements. A summary of new transit
23	infrastructure and capacity improvements in the Plan Area and affecting the Plan Area as projected in
24	the Market/Octavia Plan, including a comparison of that increased and improved transit service
25	relative to the number of new housing units and office space approved during the same period.

1	— (13) Transit Impact Fee. A summary of the use of the transit impact development fee funds,
2	identifying the number of vehicles, personnel and facilities acquired.
3	(d) Report. The analysis of the factors under Commercial Space, Housing and Transportation
4	will compare Plan Area trends to existing conditions, Citywide trends, and regional trends, when
5	relevant. The comparisons will indicate the degree that the City is able to accommodate new
6	development as projected within the Plan Area. Based on this data, the Department shall analyze the
7	effectiveness of City policies governing Plan Area growth and shall recommend any additional
8	measures deemed appropriate.
9	
10	SEC. 341.3. TIME SERIES REPORT.
11	By July 15, 2008, and every fifth year thereafter on July 15th, the report submitted shall address
12	the preceding five calendar years and, in addition to the data described above, shall include a cordon
13	count of the following key indicators:
14	(a) Implementation of Proposed Programming. The area plan proposes the implementation of
15	various programs including impact fees for development, parking and curb cuts, residential permit
16	parking reform, shared parking programs, and historic preservation survey. Implementation of said
17	programs shall report the following:
18	— (1) Fees. Monitor expenditure of all implemented fees. Report on studies and
19	implementation strategies for additional fees and programming.
20	(2) Parking Programs. Report on implementation strategies, including cooperation with
21	relevant agencies, and success of program as implemented.
22	(3) Historic Preservation Surveys. Report findings of survey. Detail further proceedings
23	with regards to findings of survey work.
24	

1	(b) Community Improvements. The Area Plan outlines major community improvements in the
2	areas of open space, transportation, pedestrian realm, and community services. Implementation of
3	improvements will be documented, including a focus on the following:
4	— (1) Transportation Infrastructure and Services. Successful implementation of the Market
5	and Octavia Plan requires that transportation services keep pace with existing and new demands.
6	Citywide efforts to improve transit services, including the Transit Effectiveness Project (TEP), must be
7	implemented in order to provide adequate service to the area. The time series reports shall report on
8	the City's coordination of transit services with projected development, and provide recommendations
9	for balancing transportation infrastructure with projected growth.
10	(2) Affordable Housing. Development of subsidized housing, below market rate units, off-
11	site inclusionary housing, affordable housing built with in-lieu fee payments, and other types of
12	affordable housing
13	— (3) First Source Hiring. The Department shall cooperate with the First Source Hiring
14	Administration and the CAC to report to the Board of Supervisors on the status of monitoring and
15	enforcement of the First Source Hiring ordinance, Administrative Code Sections 83 et seq. in the Plan
16	Area with the goal of increasing compliance with the First Source Hiring requirements. The Planning
17	Department, First Source Hiring Administration, and CAC shall report to the Board on the compliance
18	of ongoing commercial operations subject to the requirements of the First Source Hiring ordinance in
19	addition to the compliance of the initial developer of the property.
20	(c) Planning Code Performance. Better Neighborhoods plans aim to clarify development
21	proceedings, thus reducing the number of variances, articulating conditional use processes, and
22	facilitating the development process. The permit process in the Plan Area and Citywide will be
23	evaluated.
24	

SEC. 341.4. INFORMATION TO BE FURNISHED.

It shall be the duty of the heads of all departments, offices, commissions, bureaus and divisions of the City and County of San Francisco, upon request by the Planning Department, to furnish such information as they may have or be able to obtain relating to the matters to be included in the reports required herein.

SEC. 342.3. HEALTH CARE SERVICES MASTER PLAN PROCESS.

8 * * * *

(f) Plan Update. The Department of Public Health and Planning Department shall update the Health Care Services Master Plan every three (3) years including a summary of changes since the prior Health Care Services Master Plan was approved. The Department of Public Health and the Planning Department may update the Health Care Services Master Plan at any time if either department believes an update is necessary. If the departments are unable to update the Health Care Services Master Plan within three (3) years of the prior update, they must seek an extension of time from the Board of Supervisors. The Health Commission, the Planning Commission, and the Board of Supervisors shall consider and approve Health Care Services Master Plan updates based upon the same procedures described in subsections (a) (e) above.

SEC. 411A.9. FURTHER STUDY OF ECONOMIC FEASIBILITY.

The Controller and the Planning Department shall study the feasibility of creating a variable impact fee structure based on economic feasibility of projects in different areas of the City, and report back to the Board of Supervisors within six months of the effective date of this Ordinance No. 200-15.

SEC. 418.6. DIRECTOR OF PLANNING'S EVALUATION.

Within 18 months following the effective date of Section 418.1 et seq., the Director of

Planning and the Director of MOH shall report to the Planning Commission, the Board of Supervisors, and the Mayor on the status of compliance with Section 418.1 et seq., the efficacy of Section 418.1 et seq. in funding infrastructure and stabilization programs in the Rincon Hill Program Area and in SoMa, and the impact of the Program on property values in the vicinity of the Program Area.

SEC. 425.4. THE VAN NESS & MARKET COMMUNITY FACILITIES FEE

- (a) There is hereby established a separate fund set aside for a special purpose entitled the Van Ness & Market Community Facilities Fund ("Fund"). All monies collected by the Development Fee Collection Unit at DBI pursuant to this Section 425 shall be deposited in a special fund maintained by the Controller. The receipts in the Fund are to be used solely to fund community facilities subject to the conditions of this Section 425 et seq.
- (b) Expenditures from the Fund shall be administered by the Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development (MOHCD), or its successor. The Mayor's Office of Housing and Community Development or its successor shall have the authority to prescribe rules and regulations governing the Fund.

* * * *

- (3) The Planning Department MOHCD shall report quarterly annually to the Planning Commission on the current status of the fund, as well as annually as part of the Annual Progress Reports required by Administrative Code Section 36.4.
- (4) All funds are justified and supported by the Nexus Study, adopted as part of the Market & Octavia Area Plan Amendments (Ordinance No. 125-20, on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 200557) and corresponding Planning Code Amendments (Ordinance No. 126-20 on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 200559).

 *Implementation of the Fee and Fund shall be monitored according to the Market and Octavia Area Plan Monitoring Program required by Planning Code Section 341.

SEC. 604.2. GENERAL ADVERTISING SIGN INVENTORIES.

(a) Submission of Initial Sign Inventory. Within 60 days of the effective date of this Section, any general advertising sign company that owns a general advertising sign located in the City shall submit to the Department a current, accurate, and complete inventory of its general advertising signs together with the inventory processing fee required by subsection (f) below. Any general advertising company that commences ownership of one or more general advertising signs located in the City after the effective date of this Section shall submit an inventory together with the inventory processing fee within 60 days after its commences such ownership whether or not the signs on the inventory have previously been reviewed by the Department in its review of the inventory of a previous owner.

11 * * * *

(h) The Department shall submit to the Commission and the Board of Supervisors an annual report that includes: (i) annual revenues from the inventory processing fee, annual inventory maintenance fee, in-lieu application fee, and the relocation agreement application fee, (ii) annual expenditures for the sign inventory program, and (iii) a progress report on the number of general advertising signs verified in the sign inventory; in-lieu requests; and Code enforcement actions for general advertising signs processing, backlog, and abatement actions.

SEC. 609.12. ON AND NEAR MARKET STREET FROM THE CENTRAL SKYWAY OVERPASS TO DIAMOND STREET.

21 * * * *

(f) Not less than six months prior to the termination of the amortization period set forth in Section 609.12(a), the City Planning Commission shall conduct a hearing regarding general compliance with all the removal and conformity requirements of Section 609.12. The City Planning Commission shall send a report thereon to the Board of Supervisors, which shall conduct a hearing on

said report.

SEC. 701.2. REPORT TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.

The Director of City Planning shall prepare a report to the Board of Supervisors on the Neighborhood Commercial Zoning controls enacted by Ordinance No. 69-87 and Ordinance No. 445-87 within twenty four months from the effective date of Ordinance No. 445-87, and every twenty-four months thereafter. The City Planning Commission shall hold a public hearing on the Neighborhood Commercial Zoning controls to solicit public input on a comprehensive review of said controls prior to forwarding the report, and any recommended amendments, to the Board of Supervisors.

Section 8. Articles 16 and 29 of the Police Code are hereby amended by revising Sections 1613, 2912, and 2913, to read as follows:

SEC. 1613. LIMITS ON PERMITS.

- (a) A Permittee that holds a Cannabis Testing Facility permit shall be ineligible for and may not be issued a permit to operate any other type of Commercial Cannabis

 Activity permitted by the City. A Permittee that holds a Cannabis Business Permit other than a Cannabis Testing Facility permit, shall be ineligible for and may not be issued a permit to operate a Cannabis Testing Facility.
- (b) No permit to operate as a Storefront Cannabis Retailer shall be granted if any individual holding a legal or beneficial interest in the proposed Storefront Cannabis Retailer already holds a legal or beneficial interest in four or more existing Storefront Cannabis Retailers that hold permits under this Article 16, except that an interest held in a Storefront Cannabis Retailer shall not be counted towards this limit if the Storefront Cannabis Retailer has received approval from the Planning Commission, following a discretionary review

hearing, as of the effective date of the ordinance in Board File No. 180912. If an application for a permit, under this Article 16, to operate as a Storefront Cannabis Retailer is pending for approval before the Planning Commission, and if the approval of that permit would cause an individual to hold a legal or beneficial interest in four or more existing Storefront Cannabis Retailers (other than Storefront Cannabis Retailers that, as of the effective date of the ordinance in Board File No. 180912, have received approval from the Planning Commission following a discretionary review hearing), the Office of Cannabis shall place on hold any additional applications for a permit on behalf of a Storefront Cannabis Retailer in which that individual would hold a legal or beneficial interest in a proposed Storefront Cannabis Retailer. For purposes of this subsection (b), the circumstances in which an individual holds a legal or beneficial interest in a Storefront Cannabis Retailer shall include (but need not be limited to) any circumstance in which an individual holds a legal or beneficial interest in any Person holding a legal or beneficial interest in the Storefront Cannabis Retailer.

(c) The Controller shall track the number of permits that are awarded pursuant to this Article

16. No later than September 30, 2019, the Controller shall submit to the Board of Supervisors a report

that makes recommendations as to whether the issuance of Cannabis Business Permits should be
subject to any numerical, geographical, or other limits.

SEC. 2912. ADDITIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND THE DEPARTMENT OF BUILDING INSPECTION.

- (a) The Department of Public Health shall designate a Noise Prevention and Control Officer to coordinate the responsibilities of the Department of Public Health under this Article and the Health Code with respect to noise.
- (b) The Department of Public Health may monitor the noise complaint response by all City agencies charged with regulating noise under this Article. City Departments and Agencies

1	charged with responsibility for responding to noise complaints shall cooperate and share
2	information with the Department of Public Health in tracking and monitoring complaint
3	responses.
4	(c) At least every two years the Department of Public Health shall make recommendations to
5	the Planning Commission for noise assessment and prevention in land use planning or environmental
6	review.
7	(d) The Department of Public Health may investigate and take enforcement action or
8	any noise complaint resulting in human health impacts. The Director of the Department of
9	Public Health shall be the sole determiner of what constitutes a human health impact with
10	respect to noise.
11	$(e\underline{d})$ The Department of Building Inspection shall send acoustical reports submitted
12	with each building permit to the Department of Public Health within 15 days of the date the
13	building permit applicant submits the acoustical report to the Department of Building
14	Inspection.
15	
16	SEC. 2913. USE OF AMPLIFIED SOUND ON UNENCLOSED TOUR BUSES.
17	* * *
18	(g) The Director of Public Health shall report to the Board of Supervisors one year from the
19	effective date of this ordinance and every two years thereafter:
20	— (1) the number of Certificates issued to Unenclosed Tour Buses;
21	(2) the number of complaints received by the Director of Public Health regarding
22	Unenclosed Tour Buses; and
23	(3) the effectiveness of the Department of Public Health's program to regulate amplified
24	sound from Unenclosed Tour Buses and any suggested changes to the program.

- 1 2
- 3
- 4 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17 18
- 19

- 21
- 22
- 23 24
- 25
- City Attorney **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

- (hg) Decisions by the Director of Public Health regarding the issuance or reissuance of Certificates may be appealed to the Board of Appeals.
- The fee for the initial application to obtain a Certificate and for each yearly renewal shall be \$394, payable to the Director of Public Health. The initial application fee shall be due at the time of application. The annual fee to renew the Certificate shall be due on July 1.

Beginning with fiscal year 2013-2014, fees set forth in this Section may be adjusted each year, without further action by the Board of Supervisors, as set forth in this Section.

Not later than April 1, the Director of Public Health shall report to the Controller the revenues generated by the fees for the prior fiscal year and the prior fiscal year's costs of operation, as well as any other information that the Controller determines appropriate to the performance of the duties set forth in this Section.

Not later than May 15, the Controller shall determine whether the current fees have produced or are projected to produce revenues sufficient to support the costs of providing the services for which the fees are assessed and that the fees will not produce revenue that is significantly more than the costs of providing the services for which the fees are assessed.

The Controller shall, if necessary, adjust the fees upward or downward for the upcoming fiscal year as appropriate to ensure that the program recovers the costs of operation without producing revenue which is significantly more than such costs. The adjusted rates shall become operative on July 1.

The requirements of this Section shall not apply to an Unenclosed Tour Bus equipped with and using electronically amplified sound to communicate with passengers where all non-emergency communications through the system are audible to passengers only through technology designed to make such communications audible only to the individual listener, such as individual headsets or headphones.

(*kj*) The noise standards set forth in Section 2909 shall not apply to Unenclosed Tour Buses.

Section 9. Article 2.4, Subarticle IV; Article 5.4; Article 6.1, Subarticle 4, Subdivisions 5 and 6; Article 15; Article 22; and Article 27 of the Public Works Code are hereby amended by revising Sections 2.4.46, 184.12, 250.260, 707, 786.9, 794, and 1203, and deleting Sections 2.4.45, 250.242, and 2732, to read as follows:

SEC. 2.4.45. REPORT TO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND PROCEDURES FOR FEE ADJUSTMENTS.

(a)—Street Damage Restoration Fee Adjustment. Within one year after adoption or amendment of the street damage restoration fee set forth in this Subarticle, and every three years thereafter, the Director shall review the proceeds of the street damage restoration fee and any other new information that shall become available, and prepare a report to the Board of Supervisors. Based upon the result of the review, the Director shall recommend to the Board of Supervisors any necessary adjustments to such fee, along with written justification for the recommended adjustment and any necessary legislation. In the event that fee proceeds have exceeded, or are anticipated to exceed, the costs for street repaving and reconstruction reasonably attributable to excavation, the Director shall recommend legislation to the Board of Supervisors that modifies such fee to ensure that fee proceeds do not exceed the costs for street repaving and reconstruction reasonably attributable to excavation. In the event that fee proceeds have undercollected, or are anticipated to undercollect, for the costs for street repaving and reconstruction reasonably attributable to excavation or the City's costs to administer this Article or inspect excavations, the Director may recommend legislation to the Board of Supervisors that modifies the

1	applicable fee to more accurately recover the costs for street repaving and reconstruction reasonably
2	attributable to excavation.
3	(b) Administrative and Inspection Fees Adjustments. The procedures to review
4	and adjust the fees specified in Sections 2.4.41 and 2.4.42 shall be the procedures for fee review
5	and adjustment set forth in Section 2.1.2.
6	
7	SEC. 2.4.46. COLLECTION, RETURN, AND REFUND OF DEPOSIT AND FEES.
8	(a) Collection of Deposit and Fees. The Director shall establish procedures for
9	billing, collection, and refund of a deposit(s), fees, and other charges provided for in this
10	Article. The Director shall deposit all funds in accordance with Sections 10.117-119 and
11	10.117-120 of the San Francisco Administrative Code.
12	(b) Refunds.
13	(i) When an application is either withdrawn by the applicant or denied by the
14	Department before the start of construction, the applicant's administrative fee assessed under
15	Section 2.4.41 shall be retained and those fees assessed under Sections 2.4.42 and 2.4.43
16	shall be returned to the applicant.
17	(ii) In the event that the Director determines, after preparing a report pursuant to
18	Section 2.4.45, that there has been an overcollection of any of the fees identified in this
19	Subarticle, the Director shall establish procedures to refund excess fee proceeds in a manner
20	which fairly and reasonably reimburses those excavators who paid the fee during the relevant
21	period consistent with their level of excavation.
22	* * * *
23	
24	
25	

1	SEC. 184.12. FIXED PEDESTAL NEWSRACKS.
2	* * * *
3	(f) ESTABLISHMENT OF FIXED PEDESTAL ZONES.
4	* * * *
5	(3) Fixed Pedestal Zones.
6	* * * *
7	(C) Not later than twelve (12) months after the Director first implements to
8	pursuant to subsection 184.12(l), and at least once every two (2) years thereafter, the Di
9	issue a report in writing to the Board of Supervisors. This report shall address the imple

(C) Not later than twelve (12) months after the Director first implements this section pursuant to subsection 184.12(l), and at least once every two (2) years thereafter, the Director shall issue a report in writing to the Board of Supervisors. This report shall address the implementation of this section, inform the Board of the effectiveness of the existing Fixed Pedestal Zones, and make any recommendations and include proposed legislation regarding changes that the Director believes are necessary, including, without limitation, any changes believed necessary to ensure that publications that did not receive space in fixed pedestal units in initial implementation are subsequently able to receive such space.

15 * * * *

SEC. 250.242. ANNUAL REPORT.

When any part of the operative cost of parking places is to be paid by a special levy, the San

Francisco Parking Authority shall annually file with the Clerk a written report stating in reasonable

detail the estimated cost of maintenance and operation for which an assessment is to be levied in that

year, including the cost of replacements, improvements and extensions to any parking place. When part

of the operation costs of transit are to be so paid, such report shall be prepared and filed by the

Municipal Transportation Agency. The report shall also state the manner of apportioning the levy to be

made therefor. When such report shall have been primarily approved by the Board, the Clerk shall give

notice to interested persons that such report has been filed in his office and open to inspection, and of a

1 time and place when such report will be heard by the Board and an assessment ordered. Such notices 2 may be by publication in a newspaper published in the City, or by mail to the assessees of the property 3 at their addresses appearing on the last County tax roll or entitled to be shown on the next equalized roll as determined from the records of the Assessor or ascertained prior to the mailing or as known to 4 5 the Clerk, at least 10 days before the day set for hearing. 6 SEC. 250.260. NEW MAINTENANCE DISTRICTS. 7 8 9 (I) **Budget-Contents:** The *Parking Authority, the* Public Utilities Commission or other responsible agency, whichever is appropriate, shall annually cause to be prepared a budget 10 for the costs and expenses of maintaining and operating any or all of said public 11 12 improvements or facilities of a local nature or benefit during the ensuing fiscal year which shall 13 at least include the following: 14 (1) The gross amount estimated to be required for the costs and expenses of 15 maintaining and operating said public improvements or facilities; (2) The balance estimated to be available at the end of the current fiscal year for 16 17 such purpose; 18 (3) The amount, if any, anticipated to be available from revenues or charges for 19 use or availability of such public improvements or facilities; 20 (4) The amount, if any, to be contributed by the City or from other sources to pay 21 any part of said costs and expenses; and (5) The balance of the amount necessary to be raised to pay said costs and 22 23 expenses.

24

SEC. 707. AWARD OF CONTRACT FOR CONSTRUCTION, REPAIR AND REPLACEMENT OF SIDEWALKS, ETC.

The Director of Public Works is authorized to invite annual bids in the manner provided in the Administrative Code, and to award a contract effective the first day of July of each year on a unit cost basis for the construction, repair and replacement of sidewalks, driveways, curbs, and gutters where the obligation to perform such construction, repair or replacement is that of the property owner. In addition to insuring the City and County, its officers and employees in their capacities as such as required by the Standard Specifications of the Bureau of Engineering, the contractor shall also name as additional insured the owners of property who are jointly responsible for sidewalk repair, but such insurance shall apply only as respects operations performed by the contractor in connection with this Section. Such owner may request the Director of Public Works to issue a work order to the contractor to do the work for the account of the owner. Such contract shall provide that the contractor shall, on receipt of a work order from the Director of Public Works, repair, construct or replace the sidewalk, curb, or gutter for the account of said owner at the unit prices bid, and shall bill the owner for the cost thereof. Should the owner fail to pay the contractor the amount of said bill within 30 days, the contractor shall give written notice of said failure to the Director of Public Works who, upon determining that the work has been satisfactorily performed, shall cause payment to be made to the contractor from a revolving fund, which shall consist of such monies as may be appropriated for these purposes, and cause a lien to be placed upon the owner's property in the amount of said payment as provided in Sections 706.4, 706.5, 706.6, and 706.7 of this Article, and the amount of said payment shall constitute a lien against said property which shall be enforced, collected, and released as provided in said Sections. The Director of Public Works shall make quarterly reports to the Board of Supervisors showing a general accounting of the aforementioned revolving fund. The necessary funds shall be appropriated

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

annually for the preparation of contracts, taking of bids and entering into contracts. Each such contract shall provide that the Director of Public Works shall have the power to prescribe the procedures to be followed and specify the forms to be used for the reports to be rendered in the administration of the work to be performed thereunder. Each such contract shall provide that the contractor shall deposit with the Director of Public Works a sum sufficient to defray costs of inspections by City inspectors on each job for which a work order is issued, calculated as follows: a minimum of \$10 for the first 100 square feet, or fraction thereof, of sidewalk, driveway, curb or gutter construction, repair or replacement, and \$5 for each additional 100 square feet or fraction thereof.

SEC. 786.9. PERMITS FOR CITY DEPARTMENTS OTHER GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES TIER 3 LOVE OUR NEIGHBORHOODS PROJECTS, AND TEMPORARY ENCROACHMENTS.

(a) If a City agency, department, or commission, a Tier 3 Love Our Neighborhoods permit applicant, a State agency, or the federal government applies for a street encroachment permit, the Public Works Director may approve, conditionally approve, or deny in writing the application administratively without action from the Board of Supervisors after the applicant satisfies the requirements of Sections 786 *et seq.* The Department of Public Works shall submit *a quarterlyan annual* report to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors that includes a description of all of the complete Tier 3 Love Our Neighborhoods Project applications the Department *received approved* during the applicable quarter.

* * * *

SEC. 794. AUTONOMOUS DELIVERY DEVICES ON SIDEWALKS - PERMIT REQUIRED.

3 * * * *

(j) Public Works' Report Regarding Permitting Program. Within one year of the issuance of the first Autonomous Delivery Device testing permit under this Section 794, Public Works shall provide a report to the Board of Supervisors regarding the operation of the permitting program, summarizing the data it has collected from permittees, and offering findings and recommendations regarding its administration of this program.

(ki) Penalties.

(1) Criminal Penalty.

Any permittee who shall violate any of the provisions of this Section 794 shall be guilty of an infraction. Every violation determined to be an infraction is punishable by (1) a fine not exceeding \$100 for the first violation within one year; (2) a fine not exceeding \$200 for a second violation within one year from the date of the first violation; (3) a fine not exceeding \$500 for the third and each additional violation within one year from the date of the first violation.

No criminal penalty may be imposed on the employee or staff of any company, corporation or other business entity that is operating an Autonomous Delivery Device in violation of this Section 794.

(2) Civil Penalty.

(A) The Public Works Director may request the City Attorney to maintain an action for injunction to restrain or summary abatement to cause the correction or abatement of a violation of this Section 794 and for assessment and recovery of a civil penalty and reasonable attorney's fees for such violation.

- (B) Any person who violates this Section 794 may be liable for a civil penalty, not to exceed \$500 for each day such violation is committed or permitted to continue, which penalty shall be assessed and recovered in a civil action brought in the name of the people of the City by the City Attorney in any court of competent jurisdiction. In assessing the amount of the civil penalty, the court may consider any one or more of the relevant circumstances presented by any of the parties to the case, including, but not limited to, the following: the nature and seriousness of the misconduct, the number of violations, the persistence of the misconduct, the length of time over which the misconduct occurred, the willfulness of the defendant's misconduct, and the defendant's assets, liabilities, and net worth. The City Attorney may seek recovery of attorney's fees and costs incurred in bringing a civil action pursuant to this subsection (k).
- (3) Administrative Penalty. In addition to the criminal or civil penalties authorized by subsections (1) and (2), Public Works employees designated in Section 38 of the Police Code may issue administrative citations for such violations. The administrative penalty shall not exceed \$1,000 per day for each violation. Such penalty shall be assessed, enforced, and collected in accordance with Section 39-1 of the Police Code.

SEC. 1203. NONPOTABLE AND RECLAIMED WATER USE MASTER PLAN.

(c) Status Report. An annual report on the status and implementation of the Nonpotable and Reclaimed Water Use Master Plan shall be jointly prepared by the Water Department and the Department of Public Works and submitted to the Board of Supervisors, the Chief Administrative Officer, the Public Utilities Commission, the Department of Health, the Fire Department, the Recreation and Park Department and any other interested

1	City agencies. This annual report shall include a yearly audit of the resulting offset in use of
2	fresh water, if any, and identification of the uses of the saved water.
3	
4	SEC. 2732. DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS REPORTING REQUIREMENT.
5	(a) Beginning on September 1, 2019, and by September 1 of every other year thereafter,
6	the Department shall submit a report (the "Department Report") to the Board of Supervisors and the
7	Mayor concerning the applications for Surface-Mounted Facility Site Permits submitted during the
8	prior two-year period and maintenance of existing Surface-Mounted Facilities.
9	(b) For each application, the Department Report shall contain the following information: (1)
10	the number of applications submitted by applicant; (2) the proposed location of the Surface-Mounted
11	Facility set forth in each application; (3) whether those applications were protested; (4) the
12	results of all such protests; (5) whether the Department granted or denied those applications; (6)
13	whether any Department determinations were appealed; and (7) the outcome of any such appeals. For
14	each existing Surface-Mounted Facility, the Department Report shall also describe maintenance and
15	graffiti abatement activities by the Permittee during the two-year period.
16	
17	Section 10. Division 1, Articles 7 and 9, and Division 11 of the Subdivision Code are
18	hereby amended by revising Sections 1359, 1396.1, 1396.3, 1399.6, and 1399.7, to read as
19	follows:
20	
21	SEC. 1359. PARCEL MAP.
22	* * * *
23	(d) In addition to the requirements of $\underline{s}_{\underline{s}}$ ubsection (c), the owners of record of a two-
24	unit building conversion that qualify for the exemption from Article 9 must certify under penalty

of perjury and the Department must verify with the Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Board,

and with the Human Rights Commission as applicable, that since November 16, 2004, no eviction as defined in San Francisco Administrative Code Section 37.9(a)(8)-(14) of a senior, disabled person, or catastrophically ill tenant as defined below has occurred, or if an eviction has taken place under Administrative Code Section 37.9(a)(11) or 37.9(a)(14), that the original tenant reoccupied the unit after a temporary eviction. For purposes of this \$\sigma_{\sigma}\$ubsection a "senior" shall be a person who is 60 years or older and has been residing in the unit for 10 years or more at the time of the lottery; a "disabled" tenant is defined for purposes of this Subsection as a person who is disabled within the meaning of Title 42 U.S.C. Section 12102(2)(A); and a "catastrophically ill" tenant is defined for purposes of this \$\sigma_{\sigma}\$ubsection as a person who is disabled as defined above, and who is suffering from a life threatening illness as certified by the person's his or her primary care physician.

SEC. 1396.1. ANNUAL CONVERSION LIMITATION LOTTERY PROCEDURES.

* * * *

- (g) In addition to the other provisions relating to Pool A and Pool B described in subsections (b) through (f) above:
- (1) the first 175 units selected by lottery in Pools A and B must meet the following requirements: the Applicant for the lottery must certify under penalty of perjury and the Department must verify with the Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Board, *and with the Human Rights Commission as applicable*, that since November 16, 2004, no eviction as defined in San Francisco Administrative Code Section 37.9(a)(8) (14) of a senior, disabled person, or catastrophically ill tenant as defined below has occurred, or if an eviction has taken place under Administrative Code Section 37.9(a)(11) or (14), that the original tenant reoccupied the unit after a temporary eviction. For purposes of this section a "senior" shall be a person who is

60 years or older and has been residing in the unit for 10 years or more at the time of the lottery; a "disabled" tenant is defined for purposes of this Section as a person who is disabled within the meaning of Title 42 U.S.C. Section 12102(2)(A); and a "catastrophically ill" tenant is defined for purposes of this Subsection as a person who is disabled as defined above, and who is suffering from a life threatening illness as certified by *the person's his or her* primary care physician.

* * * *

SEC. 1396.3. ANNUAL CONVERSION LIMITATION LOTTERY PROCEDURES BASED ON SENIORITY OF PARTICIPATION.

11 * * * *

(b) Pool A. Subdivision Code, A

which have failed to be selected for conversion in at least three previous lotteries. In addition, Pool A eligibility requires that each applicant for the lottery certify under penalty of perjury, and the Department must verify with the Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Board, and with the Human Rights Commission as applicable, that since January 1, 2000, no eviction as defined in San Francisco Administrative Code Section 37.9(a)(8) – (14) of a senior, disabled person, or catastrophically ill tenant as defined below has occurred, or if an eviction has taken place under Administrative Code Section 37.9(a)(11) or (14), that the original tenant reoccupied the unit after a temporary eviction. For purposes of this section a "senior" shall be a person who is 60 years or older and has been residing in the unit for 10 years or more at the time of the lottery; a "disabled" tenant is defined for purposes of this Section as a person who is disabled within the meaning of Title 42 U.S.C. Section 12102(2)(A); and a "catastrophically ill" tenant is defined for purposes of this Subsection as a person who is disabled as defined above, and

who is suffering from a life threatening illness as certified by *the person's his or her* primary care physician. If an applicant for Pool A cannot satisfy this certification requirement, the applicant shall participate in Pool B as set forth in Subsections (c) – (g). This certification also is subject to the procedures of Subsection (g)(4). If all buildings eligible in Pool A comprise 100 or fewer units, all such buildings shall automatically be approved for conversion. Any unallocated units in Pool A shall be added to Pool B.

7 * * *

- (g) In addition to the other provisions relating to Pool A and Pool B described in subsections (b) through (f) above:
- (1) The first 175 units selected by lottery in Pools A and B must meet the following requirements: the Applicant for the lottery must certify under penalty of perjury and the Department must verify with the Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Board, and with the Human Rights Commission as applicable, that since November 16, 2004, no eviction as defined in San Francisco Administrative Code Section 37.9(a)(8) (14) of a senior, disabled person, or catastrophically ill tenant as defined below has occurred, or if an eviction has taken place under Administrative Code Section 37.9(a)(11) or (14), that the original tenant reoccupied the unit after a temporary eviction. For purposes of this section a "senior" shall be a person who is 60 years or older and has been residing in the unit for 10 years or more at the time of the lottery; a "disabled" tenant is defined for purposes of this Section as a person who is disabled within the meaning of Title 42 U.S.C. Section 12102(2)(A); and a "catastrophically ill" tenant is defined for purposes of this Subsection as a person who is disabled as defined above, and who is suffering from a life threatening illness as certified by the person's his or her primary care physician.

24 * * * *

SEC. 1399.6. MAYOR'S OFFICE OF HOUSING: CERTIFICATE OF CLEARANCE; CONVERSION OVERSIGHT AND MONITORING.

* * * *

(b) **Ongoing Monitoring:** On an ongoing basis, the Mayor's Office of Housing shall perform the following monitoring activities:

* * *

(4) Prepare an annual monitoring report to the Board of Supervisors that analyzes: (A) the number of conversions per year; (B) the type, location, quantity, affordability, and number of resales of units in each project converted; (C) a list of projects converted under the ordinance including address and contact information; (D) known barriers and issues related to conversion under the ordinance; (E) effectiveness of the ordinance in serving low-income households; and (F) recommendations to the Board for improvements or legislative amendments.

SEC. 1399.7. ADMINISTRATIVE FEES

16 * * * *

(c) Within one year after the date this Article becomes effective, and every three years thereafter *if any applications were received during that three-year period*, the Director shall review the proceeds of the administrative fee, and the costs of administering and monitoring Article 11 and shall prepare a report to the Board of Supervisors. Based upon the result of the review, the Director shall recommend to the Board of Supervisors any necessary adjustment to the fee structure and any necessary legislation to make the processing of such applications more efficient. In the event that the fee proceeds have exceeded, or are anticipated to exceed, the costs of administering and monitoring Article 11, the Director shall recommend legislation to the Board of Supervisors that modifies the applicable fee to ensure that fee

proceeds do not exceed the costs of administration. In the event that fee proceeds have 2 under-collected, or are anticipated to under-collect, the Director may recommend legislation to the Board of Supervisors that modifies the applicable fee to more accurately recover the costs for administration and monitoring.

5

6

7

1

3

4

Section 11. Division I, Article 6 of the Transportation Code is hereby amended by revising Sections 4.1 and 6.6, to read as follows:

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

SEC. 4.1. ADMINISTRATIVE FEE – POLICE DEPARTMENT.

(a) A fee to reimburse the City and County for costs incurred in the administration of the procedures for removing vehicles shall be charged by the San Francisco Police Department to the owner of a vehicle removed pursuant to Sections 22651(h), 22651(p), 22655.5 or 22850.5 of the Vehicle Code. Such charges collected for the removal or storage of vehicles shall not be subject to reimbursement under Chapter 10C of the Administrative Code.

15

16

SEC. 6.6. TEMPORARY USE OF STREETS FOR STREET FAIRS.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

(m) Annual Reports. No later than December 1st of each year, the Chief of Police and the Director of Transportation shall provide to the Board of Supervisors written reports setting forth in detail the Police Department and Municipal Transportation Agency's costs, respectively, associated with street fairs for that year.

(nm) **Annual Adjustment of Fees.** Fees set in this Section, including the caps on fees for police services, may be adjusted each year, without further action by the Board of Supervisors or the Municipal Transportation Agency Board of Directors, to reflect changes in the relevant Consumer Price Index, as determined by the Controller. No later than April 15th

of each year, the officer, department, or agency administering the fees shall submit its current fee schedule to the Controller, who shall apply the Consumer Price Index adjustment to produce a new fee schedule for the following year. No later than May 15th of each year, the Controller shall file a report with the Board of Supervisors and the Municipal Transportation Agency Board of Directors reporting the new fee schedule and certifying that: (a) the fees produce sufficient revenue to support the costs of providing the services for which each fee is assessed, and (b) the fees do not produce revenue which is significantly more than the costs of providing the services for which each fee is assessed.

Section 12. Chapters 1A and 1311A of the Building Inspection Commission Code are hereby amended by revising Sections 107A and 1311A.4.1, to read as follows:

SECTION 107A - FEES

107A.15 Building Code fee waivers for accessory dwelling unit projects on lots containing single-family homes. Notwithstanding any fee provision of the Building Code to the contrary, the following provisions shall apply to accessory dwelling unit projects located on a lot containing a single-family home.

(g) Department of Building Inspection Reports. On March 1, 2022, the Department of Building Inspection shall submit a report to the Board of Supervisors and the Building Inspection Commission on the operation of the fee waiver program established by this Section 107A.15 for the period of calendar year 2021. On March 1, 2023, the Department of Building Inspection shall submit a report to the Board of Supervisors and the Building Inspection Commission on the operation of the fee waiver program established by this Section 107A.15 for the period of calendar year 2022. The reports shall include, but need not be limited to, the number of projects taking advantage of one or more fee waivers.

the type and location of such projects, the total amount of fees waived or projected to be waived during
the term of this Section 107A.15, and any administrative impacts associated with the Department's
processing of such waivers. The report also shall include the following information in regard to an
ADU: (a) the length of time the applicant has owned the property; (b) whether the applicant is an
individual or a business; (c) whether the applicant intends to rent the ADU, and if so, whether the
applicant has under consideration a price range for rent; (d) whether the applicant has submitted
building permit applications for other residential properties in the City within the last 10 years; (e)
whether the ADU received a waiver of code requirements and is subject to the San Francisco
Residential Rent Stabilization and Arbitration Ordinance pursuant to Planning Code Section
207(c)(4)(G), and (f) whether the applicant owns, in whole or in part, any other residential property in
San Francisco either as an individual or as part of a partnership or corporation. The Director of the
Department of Building Inspection shall decide how best to obtain the information required by this
subsection (g), which may include self-reporting by applicants.

SECTION 1311A – PROOF OF COMPLIANCE WITH WATER CONSERVATION MEASURES

1311A.4.1 Fee schedule. See Building Code Section 110A, Table 1A-N for the applicable fees, once established.

1311A.4.2 Fee review. The Director of the Department of Building Inspection shall cause an annual report of fees to be made and filed with the Controller as set forth in Section 3.17-2 of the San Francisco Administrative Code. The Controller shall review the report and file it with the Board of Supervisors along with a proposed ordinance readjusting the fee rates as necessary.

1 Section 13. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after 2 enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the 3 ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board 4 of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance. 5 6 Section 14. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors 7 intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles, 8 numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal 9 Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment 10 additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under the official title of the ordinance. 11 12 13 APPROVED AS TO FORM: DAVID CHIU, City Attorney 14 15 By: /s/ KATE G. KIMBERLIN 16 By: 17 VICTORIA WONG **Deputy City Attorneys** 18 n:\legana\as2025\2500364\01845484.docx 19 20 21 22 23 24