

1 [Board Response - Civil Grand Jury Report - Failed Vision: Revamping the Roadmap to Safer
2 Streets]

3 **Resolution responding to the Presiding Judge of the Superior Court on the findings**
4 **and recommendations contained in the 2024-2025 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled**
5 **“Failed Vision: Revamping the Roadmap to Safer Streets;” and urging the Mayor to**
6 **cause the implementation of accepted findings and recommendations through his**
7 **department heads and through the development of the annual budget.**

8
9 WHEREAS, Under California Penal Code, Section 933 et seq., the Board of
10 Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior
11 Court on the findings and recommendations contained in Civil Grand Jury Reports; and

12 WHEREAS, In accordance with California Penal Code, Section 933.05(c), if a finding or
13 recommendation of the Civil Grand Jury addresses budgetary or personnel matters of a
14 county agency or a department headed by an elected officer, the agency or department head
15 and the Board of Supervisors shall respond if requested by the Civil Grand Jury, but the
16 response of the Board of Supervisors shall address only budgetary or personnel matters over
17 which it has some decision making authority; and

18 WHEREAS, Under San Francisco Administrative Code, Section 2.10(a), the Board of
19 Supervisors must conduct a public hearing by a committee to consider a final report of the
20 findings and recommendations submitted, and notify the current foreperson and immediate
21 past foreperson of the Civil Grand Jury when such hearing is scheduled; and

22 WHEREAS, In accordance with San Francisco Administrative Code, Section 2.10(b),
23 the Controller must report to the Board of Supervisors on the implementation of
24 recommendations that pertain to fiscal matters that were considered at a public hearing held
25 by a Board of Supervisors Committee; and

1 WHEREAS, The 2024-2025 Civil Grand Jury Report, entitled “Failed Vision:
2 Revamping the Roadmap to Safer Streets” (“Report”) is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
3 Supervisors in File No. 250667, which is hereby declared to be a part of this Resolution as if
4 set forth fully herein; and

5 WHEREAS, The Civil Grand Jury has requested that the Board of Supervisors respond
6 to Finding Nos. F1 and F4, as well as Recommendation Nos. R1.4 and R4.1, contained in the
7 subject Report; and

8 WHEREAS, Finding No. F1 states: “SFPD Fails to Adequately Enforce Traffic Laws.
9 SFPD staffing and operations choices reflect a lack of focus on traffic safety and enforcement.
10 The resultant plunge in enforcement activities has led to increased risks to all road users;” and

11 WHEREAS, Finding No. F4 states: “Sustained, Community-Focused Outreach is
12 Lacking. Public buy-in is crucial to make timely improvements to street safety. To support
13 implementation of citywide proactive street safety plans, SFMTA needs more sustained, data-
14 driven and neighborhood-based public outreach and communication;” and

15 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R1.4 states: “By June 30, 2026, the Public Safety
16 and Neighborhood Services Committee of the board of supervisors should continue to hold
17 regular hearings on traffic enforcement to track implementation of the plan from
18 Recommendation No. 1.1;” and

19 WHEREAS, Recommendation No. R4.1 states: “By March 31, 2026, SFMTA should
20 assign a point of contact for outreach within each supervisory district, allowing SFMTA to work
21 more closely with members of the board of supervisors; and to build and maintain
22 relationships with key stakeholders in the community on all roadway and transit issues;” and

23 WHEREAS, In accordance with California Penal Code, Section 933.05(c), the Board of
24 Supervisors must respond, within 90 days of receipt, to the Presiding Judge of the Superior
25

1 Court on Finding Nos. F1 and F4, as well as Recommendation Nos. R1.4 and R4.1 contained
2 in the subject Report; now, therefore, be it

3 RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge of the
4 Superior Court that they agree with Finding No. F1; and, be it

5 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports to the Presiding Judge
6 of the Superior Court that they disagree partially with Finding No. F4 for the following reason:
7 SFMTA has performed community outreach but should improve its neighborhood-based
8 outreach and communication; and, be it

9 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation
10 No. R1.4 has been implemented as per Board File No. 220961; and, be it

11 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors reports that Recommendation
12 No. R4.1 will not be implemented because it is not warranted or reasonable, as the Board of
13 Supervisors lacks jurisdiction to direct the SFMTA to take such action; and, be it

14 FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor to cause the
15 implementation of the accepted findings and recommendations through his department heads
16 and through the development of the annual budget.