

From: [Judith Baker](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Children's Amendment
Date: Friday, January 21, 2022 8:58:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Rules Committee,

I understand the Amendment will be greatly modified so I can only submit these comments on the process and whether there is a need for a Commission including Early Childhood Education (ECE). I have worked in ECE since 1967 so have a personal view about how important community engagement is and how ECE has changed throughout the years.

I was shocked when I found out that an Amendment was being proposed that no one except a few high level officials knew about. María Su, when asked at an advisory meeting who knew about and developed the Amendment besides herself, said the Mayor's Office. Anything that will benefit the children and families as well as teachers and providers in ECE as well as other agencies has only come from a robust community process including parents and providers and community members. I can think back to the original CARES program in the late 90s to the recent Initiatives to gain dedicated funding for ECE. This did not happen.

Also the Coordination and Administration of ECE has evolved throughout this time from being part of an agency where many of us felt overlooked to the proposed DEC which I feel will benefit those working in the field, families and children. We do not need another bureaucracy "coordinating" our ECE agencies.

Thank you for your consideration of my comments.

Sincerely,

Judith Baker, M.A. ECE

Former ED South of Market Childcare, Temporary Program Director Holy Family Day Home, Administrative Assistant and Project Director(retired) Friends of St Francis Childcare—all for Identification Purposes Only
Member, Early Childhood Advocacy Coalition

Sent from my iPhone

Judith Baker
415-518-4052
judith_baker@att.net

From: [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: File 211284 - SFUSD should be Subject to Sunshine
Date: Tuesday, January 4, 2022 2:54:40 PM

Alisa Somera

Legislative Deputy Director
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
415.554.7711 direct | 415.554.5163 fax
alisa.somera@sfgov.org

(VIRTUAL APPOINTMENTS) To schedule a “virtual” meeting with me (on Microsoft Teams), please ask and I can answer your questions in real time.

Due to the current COVID-19 health emergency and the Shelter in Place Order, the Office of the Clerk of the Board is working remotely while providing complete access to the legislative process and our services.

Click [HERE](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

~~~~~

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 4, 2022 12:09 AM  
**To:** BOS-Supervisors <bos-supervisors@sfgov.org>; BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative\_aides@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Laxamana, Junko (BOS) <junko.laxamana@sfgov.org>; Ng, Wilson (BOS) <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** FW: File 211284 - SFUSD should be Subject to Sunshine

---

**From:** Darcie Bell <[darciebell@gmail.com](mailto:darciebell@gmail.com)>

**Sent:** Monday, January 3, 2022 5:25 PM

**To:** Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)>; BOS-Legislative Aides <[bos-legislative\\_aides@sfgov.org](mailto:bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org)>; Paulino, Tom (MYR) <[tom.paulino@sfgov.org](mailto:tom.paulino@sfgov.org)>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <[mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org](mailto:mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org)>

**Subject:** File 211284 - SFUSD should be Subject to Sunshine

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor and Supervisors:

The School District and Board of Education are not currently subject to the Sunshine Ordinance that demands a higher level of transparency and good government of San Francisco city agencies than weaker, similar state laws. If the purpose of the charter amendment is to ensure good government at the School District, the Sunshine Ordinance that the voters passed to govern their own City representatives, should apply to the school district as well. Please amend File [211284](#) as follows:

Add to the SFUSD Governance Requirements 16.121(b) the following subsection (3):  
16.121(b)

(3) Enhanced Transparency. The District, Board of Education, and each of their members and employees (each a "District Party" and together the "District Parties") shall comply with all provisions of the Sunshine Ordinance, San Francisco Administrative Code chapter 67, as amended from time to time, and its successors, including but not limited to the enhancements to public access required by the Ordinance that are not required by the Brown Act or Public Records Act. The Board of Education shall pass all necessary motions or resolutions to implement this subsection. Solely for the purposes of the Sunshine Ordinance:

(A) the District shall be considered a department;

(B) the Superintendent shall be considered the department head of the District;

(C) the Board of Education and each of its standing committees shall be considered policy bodies;

(D) any District Party having custody of any public record or public information shall be considered a custodian of a public record;

(E) any person may exercise the administrative and judicial remedies provided for in the Sunshine Ordinance against District Parties; and

(F) the Supervisor of Records, Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, Ethics Commission, and Superior Court shall have jurisdiction over all District Parties and, to the extent provided for by the Sunshine Ordinance, may process petitions, complaints, and suits regarding alleged violations of the Sunshine Ordinance, Brown Act, or Public Records Act against any District Party.

Regards,  
Darcie Bell

Sent from my iPhone