

From: [Ellen McLean](#)
To: [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [info@engardio.com](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I support Aaron Peskin's resolution number 240065 against SB951
Date: Tuesday, February 6, 2024 3:01:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To all the members of the Board of Supervisors:

I am writing in support of Aaron Peskin's resolution number 240065 **against SB951** .

No part of SB 951 is good for San Francisco and I urge you to do everything in your power to defeat this bill.

Ellen McLean
District 2 resident

From: [Molly \(Bierman\) Ryan](#)
To: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [info@engardio.com](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: [Green-Pierce-Scott] Fwd: Oppose Senate Bill 951
Date: Wednesday, February 7, 2024 8:20:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi SF BOS,

My neighbors recently brought this resolution to my attention so as a constituent / resident of over 13 years / homeowner of nearly 6 years in District 2, **I write to express my unequivocal support for SB 951.**

I am grateful for Sen. Wiener's efforts here to reduce bureaucracy and more importantly increase the housing supply in San Francisco and across California.

Thank you,
Molly Ryan

--

Molly (Bierman) Ryan | (214) 850-2679 | mollybierman@gmail.com

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Barbara Heffernan** <barbarajheffernan@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Feb 6, 2024 at 11:47 AM
Subject: [Green-Pierce-Scott] Fwd: Oppose Senate Bill 951
To: Edessa WillBE <edessawillbe@yahoo.com>, Suzanne Mcelwee <s.mce.schim@gmail.com>, Nancy Murphy <nancy.richard.murphy@gmail.com>, Jackie Pettus <jackie.pettus@sbcglobal.net>, Dorothy Connelly <dconn25@gmail.com>, Karen Seratti <Karen@serattigroup.com>, Byron Kim <byronbkim@icloud.com>, 2400 Block of Green Street <green-pierce-scott@googlegroups.com>, Lisa Clay <lclay3100@aol.com>, Kathy Mark <kmarkdancing@yahoo.com>

This bill is coming up today at the Board of Supervisors.
I am supporting **Peskin's resolution number 240065** to oppose SB951. See my email below to the board. I encourage all of you to email the board if you can before this afternoon.. Just copy my "to" list and use my message as a template.

Please share with friends.

Cheers,
Barbara (Heffernan)

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Barbara Heffernan** <barbarajheffernan@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Feb 6, 2024 at 11:32 AM
Subject: Oppose Senate Bill 951

To: <ChanStaff@sfgov.org>, <MandelmanStaff@sfgov.org>, <MelgarStaff@sfgov.org>, <Dean.Preston@sfgov.org>, <Ahsha.Safai@sfgov.org>, <Shamann.Walton@sfgov.org>, <DorseyStaff@sfgov.org>, <info@engardio.com>, Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <Aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org>, <Hillary.Ronen@sfgov.org>, <Catherine.Stefani@sfgov.org>, <Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: Lori Brooke <lorimbroke@gmail.com>

To the San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Once again, Scott Weiner and his cohorts in Sacramento are attempting to take over more of our cities. We need to stop him before our beautiful city is destroyed by someone who cares little about the city and is supported and funded by developers.

Wiener's SB951 is not only **an attack on Coastal protections** that will impact all of California but it also **removes the western part of Golden Gate Park from the Coastal Zone**. RPD has wanted to exploit this area for years, and without Coastal Commission oversight, they will be free to do so.

Please support **Peskin's resolution number 240065 against SB951** .

I am a San Francisco resident.

Barbara Heffernan

District 2

--

You received this message because you are subscribed to the Google Groups "2400 Block of Green Street" group.

To unsubscribe from this group and stop receiving emails from it, send an email to green-pierce-scott+unsubscribe@googlegroups.com.

To view this discussion on the web visit https://groups.google.com/d/msgid/green-pierce-scott/CAFyecJtaawyu%3D9uTASuLxNcVcEPtay-LXoOoV%3Dr_TfDVaVPypg%40mail.gmail.com.

From: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: PLEASE SUPPORT SUPERVISOR PESKIN'S RESOLUTION TO OPPOSE SB951
Date: Tuesday, February 6, 2024 2:18:32 PM

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Tomasita Medál <tomasitamedal@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 6, 2024 1:05:00 PM
To: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff (BOS) <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Joel Engardio <jengardio@gmail.com>; Dorsey, Matt (BOS) <matt.dorsey@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary (BOS) <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>
Subject: PLEASE SUPPORT SUPERVISOR PESKIN'S RESOLUTION TO OPPOSE SB951

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

Please support Supervisor Peskin's resolution to Oppose SB951. Please don't allow this land grab by Scott Wiener and his real estate speculator backers.

Please defend the people and the land at the western end of our City. The western end is a LIQUEFACTION ZONE and a TSUNAMI ZONE. It is not appropriate

to make it into another Miami Beach, which is evidently Scott Wiener's intent.

SB951 would remove the western end of Golden Gate Park and Ocean Beach from the Coastal Zone and open it to development and the machinations of the SF Rec and Park Dept.

You've seen what Rec and Park is trying to do with the Yacht Harbor at the Marina Green.

"Surfrider San Francisco objects to this attack on the Coastal Act because it is a bedrock environmental law that plays a critical role in protecting the coastal environment, ensuring public

access to the coast, and helping the state and local communities prepare for accelerating sea level rise."

Senator Weiner claimed in a press release that the intention of the bill is to allow the City of San Francisco to streamline affordable housing development.

We have seen that all legislation introduced by State Senator Wiener does not have mandatory affordable

housing. "Affordable housing" is just a ruse.

"Data shows that Coastal Commission oversight is not an impediment to housing development." -
Surfrider Foundation

PLEASE DEFEND SAN FRANCISCO FROM SPECULATOR ASSAULTS. PLEASE DEFEND THE VOTERS OF
SAN FRANCISCO.

Please OPPOSE SB951!

Thank you, Supervisors. You can do it. You can do what is honorable and just.

Tomasita Medál

San Francisco

From: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: SUPPORT FOR SUPERVISOR PESKIN'S RESOLUTION TO OPPOSE SB951
Date: Tuesday, February 6, 2024 2:17:27 PM

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Robert Cherny <robt.cherny@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, February 6, 2024 1:23:34 PM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Cc: Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff (BOS) <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Joel Engardio <jengardio@gmail.com>; Dorsey, Matt (BOS) <matt.dorsey@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary (BOS) <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>
Subject: SUPPORT FOR SUPERVISOR PESKIN'S RESOLUTION TO OPPOSE SB951

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please support Supervisor Peskin's resolution to oppose SB951. SB951 would remove the western end of Golden Gate Park and Ocean Beach from the Coastal Zone and open it to development. Please don't allow this land grab. This area is not appropriate for high-rise development, or any residential development. It is a liquefaction zone and a tsunami zone.

Thank you.

Robert W. Cherny

From: [LIZ MILLER](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Tuesday, February 6, 2024 12:28:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

LIZ MILLER
dancewithliz@gmail.com
1790 Broadway # 506
San Francisco, California 94109

From: [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: proposed resolution # 240065
Date: Tuesday, February 6, 2024 8:11:46 AM

From: Vera Genkin <tuttgen@sonic.net>
Sent: Monday, February 5, 2024 9:59 PM
To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; DorseyStaff (BOS) <DorseyStaff@sfgov.org>; EngardioStaff (BOS) <EngardioStaff@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff (BOS) <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary (BOS) <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; StefaniStaff (BOS) <stefanistaff@sfgov.org>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: proposed resolution # 240065

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: MayorLondonBreed@sfgov.org, bos.legislation@sfgov.org, chanstaff@sfgov.org, DorseyStaff@sfgov.org, EngardioStaff@sfgov.org, MandelmanStaff@sfgov.org, melgarstaff@sfgov.org, aaron.Peskin@sfgov.org, dean.Preston@sfgov.org, hillary.ronen@sfgov.org, ahsha.Safai@sfgov.org, stefanistaff@sfgov.org, waltonstaff@sfgov.org.

February 2, 2024

Madam Mayor, Supervisors and Staff:

Re: I am writing you in support of the proposed resolution # 240065 in opposition to **California Senate Bill No. 951**.

Senator Wiener has been overly eager to set San Francisco up for special treatment lately. He added a late amendment to SB 423 requiring annual reviews on our progress toward meeting the HCD RHNA housing goals, while the rest of the state has 4 year reviews.

Now he is threatening us with SB 951 that would redraw the California Coastal Commission zone along the Pacific coast in San Francisco to take control over our coastline, potentially opening it to unlimited development. Many fear that he intends to expand that control to the entire Pacific Coast. We need to stop this bill from moving forward.

Supervisor Peskin prepared a response to SB 951. Resolution 230065 was introduced at the Board of

Supervisors Land Use Committee and is expected to go to the Full Board with positive recommendations. He has the full support of most of the neighborhood groups that are aware of the situation. We hope the Board of Supervisors will pass this unanimously to send a strong message to Sacramento that Senator Wiener's actions against San Francisco are not appreciated by his constituents. He should know that we take his actions against us seriously.

Please support Peskin's resolution # 240065 and oppose Senator Wiener's SB 951.

Sincerely,

Vera Genkin,
Concerned San Francisco Citizen

Vera Genkin
Emailing from my phone, please excuse any auto-correct mishaps

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: arvindd2003@gmail.com
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951) - BOS File No. 240065
Date: Tuesday, February 6, 2024 10:09:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

By copy of this message to the board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org email address, your comments will be forwarded to the full membership of the Board of Supervisors. I will include your comments in the file for this ordinance matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

- [Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Arvind Ramesh <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, February 5, 2024 10:29 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Arvind Ramesh
arvinddd2003@gmail.com
2060 Sutter St, 203
San Francisco, California 94115

|

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: armandoahinojosa@gmail.com
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951) - BOS File No. 240065
Date: Tuesday, February 6, 2024 10:09:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

By copy of this message to the board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org email address, your comments will be forwarded to the full membership of the Board of Supervisors. I will include your comments in the file for this ordinance matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

- [Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Armando Hinojosa <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, February 5, 2024 9:48 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Armando Hinojosa
armandoahinojosa@gmail.com
45 Chattanooga Street
San Francisco, California 94114

|

From: [Judi Gorski](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Judi - gmail Gorski](#)
Subject: Public Comments for the Permanent Record in Support of Item 25 on the Agenda, Resolution No. 240065: Regular Meeting of the SF Board of Supervisors Tuesday, February 6, 2024, 2:00 PM, City Hall, Room 250
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 7:34:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To:
President Aaron Peskin aaron.peskin@sfgov.org
and the full Board of Supervisors Board.of.Supervisors@sfgov.org

Clerk of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors
Angela.Calvillo@sfgov.org

Clerk of the Land Use and Transportation Committee
John.Carroll@sfgov.org

From:
Judi Gorski, SF Resident, D4
judigorski@gmail.com

Date: February 5, 2024.

Re: San Francisco Board of Supervisors Regular Meeting February 6, 2024, 2:00 PM, City Hall, Room 250

Subject:
Public Comments for the Permanent Record in Support of Item 25 on the Agenda, Resolution No. 240065: [Opposing California State Senate Bill No. 951 (Wiener) and expressing Support for the California Coastal Act and recognizing the Authority of the California Coastal Commission]
Sponsors: Peskin, Chan, Preston, Walton, Ronen and Mandelman.

Resolution opposing California State Senate Bill No. 951 (Wiener) and setting forth the City and County of San Francisco's support for the California Coastal Act and the recognition of the value of the California Coastal Commission to enforce the California Coastal Act.

Dear President Peskin, Supervisors and Clerks,

Please enter into the permanent record my following public comments in support of Resolution No. 240065, which opposes Senator Scott Wiener's State Senate Bill No. 951. By exempting almost everything that once required studies and tests under the California Environmental Quality Act, and weakening and/or eliminating the authority of the California Coastal Commission from San Francisco

projects, our hard-won protections meant to oversee the health, safety, character and beauty of this natural area along the Pacific ocean will soon be lost. Ocean Beach has been my family's home for over 45 years and our appreciation for all that it has to offer runs deep.

This bill is an attempt to make way for unrestricted real estate development throughout our Ocean Beach community. The City claims to lack the financing for the infrastructure to support it; for safe, reliable, accessible public transportation; for a police force adequately staffed and equipped to handle the current enforcement of laws; let alone new high-rises, luxury condos and hotels bringing in additional population to further burden an underfunded nearly broken system. Senate Bill 951 is not going to help any of this. It will make it worse by building as fast as possible without testing or preparing for potential risks to human and environmental health. Allowing unrestricted real estate along San Francisco's Coastal Zone will commercialize and forever alter the peaceful atmosphere of Ocean Beach, which would be a tragic loss for San Francisco and all who visit our magnificent City.

My gratitude to Supervisors Chan, Walton, Ronen, Mandelman and Preston for co-sponsoring this Resolution introduced by President Peskin. I hope the full Board of Supervisors will vote to adopt it.

Sincerely,

Judi Gorski
SF Resident D4

P.S. I previously sent this comment to the Land Use and Transportation Committee, but I'm also directing it here because I am uncertain if the comments to the LUC are included as part of this meeting of the full Board of Supervisors. Thank you for your understanding and I apologize if it is repetitive and was unnecessary.

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: jolg92@gmail.com
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951) - BOS File No. 240065
Date: Tuesday, February 6, 2024 10:06:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

By copy of this message to the board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org email address, your comments will be forwarded to the full membership of the Board of Supervisors. I will include your comments in the file for this ordinance matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

-

[Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Joe Girton <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, February 5, 2024 5:55 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Joe Girton
jolg92@gmail.com
2575 15th Ave
San Francisco, California 94127

|

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: threejavelinas@gmail.com
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951) - BOS File No. 240065
Date: Tuesday, February 6, 2024 10:06:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

By copy of this message to the board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org email address, your comments will be forwarded to the full membership of the Board of Supervisors. I will include your comments in the file for this ordinance matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

-

[Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Mary Davis <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, February 5, 2024 5:24 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Mary Davis
threejavelinas@gmail.com
325b Capp St.
San Francisco , California 94110

|

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Bill McLaughlin](#)
Cc: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: SB 951 - Protect the Coastal Commission! - BOS File No. 240065 - BOS Agenda February 6, 2024
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 4:49:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

By copy of this message to the board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org email address, your comments will be forwarded to the full membership of the Board of Supervisors. I will include your comments in the file for this ordinance matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

-

[Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Sent: Monday, February 5, 2024 1:52 PM
To: Bill McLaughlin <local415@gmail.com>
Cc: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: SB 951 - Protect the Coastal Commission!

Thanks so much. I'm looping in the Land Use Committee clerk so your comment can be included in the public record for this matter.

Aaron

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Bill McLaughlin <local415@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 5, 2024 12:59:30 PM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Subject: SB 951 - Protect the Coastal Commission!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Thank you, Supervisor Peskin, for leading the charge in opposing Senator Weiner's misguided bill to strip the Coastal Commission of this key area of their jurisdiction.

Affordable housing is a worthwhile goal for our city leadership to pursue; however, progress on affordable housing should not require undermining critical state protection for our coastlines.

Thanks again!

Sincerely,

Bill McLaughlin
Ocean Beach neighborhood resident
(surfer, fisherman, and beach preservation activist)
1834 45th Ave
SF, Ca
94122
415-225-4083

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: philihp@gmail.com
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951) - BOS File No. 240065 - BOS Agenda February 6, 2024
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 4:49:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

Thank you for your comment letter.

By copy of this message to the board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org email address, your comments will be forwarded to the full membership of the Board of Supervisors. I will include your comments in the file for this ordinance matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

- [Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Philihp Busby <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, February 5, 2024 10:27 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Philihp Busby
philihp@gmail.com
546 Utah St
San Francisco, California 94110

|

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: nickaristocrates@gmail.com
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951) - BOS File No. 240065 - BOS Agenda February 6, 2024
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 4:49:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

By copy of this message to the board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org email address, your comments will be forwarded to the full membership of the Board of Supervisors. I will include your comments in the file for this ordinance matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

- [Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Nicholas Meyer <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, February 5, 2024 3:46 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Nicholas Meyer
nickaristocrates@gmail.com
32 Madrid St
San Francisco, California 94112

|

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: tobiaswacker@gmail.com
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951) - BOS File No. 240065 - BOS Agenda February 6, 2024
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 4:49:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

By copy of this message to the board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org email address, your comments will be forwarded to the full membership of the Board of Supervisors. I will include your comments in the file for this ordinance matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

-

[Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Tobias Wacker <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, February 5, 2024 2:45 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Tobias Wacker
tobiaswacker@gmail.com
40 Mirabel Ave
San Francisco, California 94110

|

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: mattdh666@gmail.com
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951) - BOS File No. 240065 - BOS Agenda February 6, 2024
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 4:49:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

By copy of this message to the board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org email address, your comments will be forwarded to the full membership of the Board of Supervisors. I will include your comments in the file for this ordinance matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

-

[Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Matthew Hill <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, February 5, 2024 2:29 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Matthew Hill
mattdh666@gmail.com
3059 25th St
San Francisco, California 94110

|

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: jan.novak@gmail.com
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951) - BOS File No. 240065 - BOS Agenda February 6, 2024
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 4:49:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

By copy of this message to the board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org email address, your comments will be forwarded to the full membership of the Board of Supervisors. I will include your comments in the file for this ordinance matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

- [Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jan Novak <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, February 5, 2024 1:51 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Jan Novak
jan.novak@gmail.com
205 Edgewood Avenue
San Francisco, California 94117

|

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: michaelsacks@gmail.com
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951) - BOS File No. 240065 - BOS Agenda February 6, 2024
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 4:49:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

By copy of this message to the board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org email address, your comments will be forwarded to the full membership of the Board of Supervisors. I will include your comments in the file for this ordinance matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

-

[Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Michael Sacks <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, February 5, 2024 1:13 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Michael Sacks
michaelsacks@gmail.com
1808 Vallejo St
San Francisco , California 94123

|

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: nospam@cem.re
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951) - BOS File No. 240065 - BOS Agenda February 6, 2024
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 4:49:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

By copy of this message to the board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org email address, your comments will be forwarded to the full membership of the Board of Supervisors. I will include your comments in the file for this ordinance matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

-

[Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Cemre Gungor <nospam@cem.re>
Sent: Monday, February 5, 2024 1:00 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Dear Chair and Supervisors –

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Cemre Gungor
nospam@cem.re
259 Eureka St
San Francisco, California 94114

|

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: kate@acmetron.com
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951) - BOS File No. 240065 - BOS Agenda February 6, 2024
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 4:49:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

By copy of this message to the board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org email address, your comments will be forwarded to the full membership of the Board of Supervisors. I will include your comments in the file for this ordinance matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

-

[Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Kate Blumberg <kate@acmetron.com>
Sent: Monday, February 5, 2024 11:38 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Kate Blumberg
kate@acmetron.com
2002 22nd st
SF, California 94107

|

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: ["me@aideenmurphy.com"](mailto:me@aideenmurphy.com)
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951) - BOS File No. 240065 - BOS Agenda February 6, 2024
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 4:49:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

By copy of this message to the board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org email address, your comments will be forwarded to the full membership of the Board of Supervisors. I will include your comments in the file for this ordinance matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

- [Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Aideen Murphy <me@aideenmurphy.com>
Sent: Monday, February 5, 2024 10:35 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Aideen Murphy

me@aideenmurphy.com

482 Bryant St

SF, California 94107

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: andr.vu.nn@gmail.com
Cc: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951) - BOS File No. 240065 - BOS Agenda February 6, 2024
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 4:49:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

By copy of this message to the board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org email address, your comments will be forwarded to the full membership of the Board of Supervisors. I will include your comments in the file for this ordinance matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

-

[Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Andrew Nguyen <noreply@adv.actionnetwork.org>
Sent: Monday, February 5, 2024 9:57 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Andrew Nguyen
andr.vu.nn@gmail.com
1264 25th Ave
San Francisco , California 94122

|

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Low, Jen \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Smeallie, Kyle \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Angulo, Sunny \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Comment Letters Received - LUT February 5, 2024 - File Nos. 231176 and 240065
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 10:11:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Good morning, Chair Melgar and LUT members.

I have received numerous comment letters over the weekend related to matters on today's agenda. Each letter is added to the file.

For your convenience, I have added the comment letters to the following links:

[Board of Supervisors File No. 231176 - LUT Agenda Item No. 1](#)

[Board of Supervisors File No. 240065 – LUT Agenda Item No. 4](#)

I will update the documents behind each of these links throughout the day, as we receive more comment letters on these topics.

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445

 Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: [Michael Caracciolo](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 8:52:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Michael Caracciolo
mcaracciolo7@gmail.com
1040 Greenwich St.
San Francisco, CA, California 94109

From: [Lee Markosian](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 8:51:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

SB951 makes it easier to add desperately needed homes to parts of our city that are already developed with mostly low-slung homes or large, empty parking lots. We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. You know what we do need? MORE HOMES.

The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Lee Markosian
lee.markosian@gmail.com
1673 Grove St.
San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Inger Hogstrom](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 8:31:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Inger Hogstrom
ingerhogstrom@gmail.com
1210 Sanchez St
San Francisco, California 94114

From: [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Support resolution 2400065 -No on SB 951
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 8:05:50 AM

From: Barbara J Dwyer <montereydivingwoman@gmail.com>

Sent: Saturday, February 3, 2024 11:40 PM

To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; DorseyStaff (BOS) <DorseyStaff@sfgov.org>; EngardioStaff (BOS) <EngardioStaff@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff (BOS) <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary (BOS) <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; StefaniStaff (BOS) <stefanistaff@sfgov.org>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>

Subject: Support resolution 2400065 -No on SB 951

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Madam Mayor, Supervisors, and Staff:

I am writing in support of the proposed resolution # 240065 in opposition to California Senate Bill No. 951.

Senator Wiener is happy to subject San Francisco's building practices to scrutiny and punishment. He added a late amendment to SB 423 that requires annual reviews of SF's progress toward meeting the HCD RHNA housing goals., while the rest of the state has four-yearly reviews..

Now he threatens us with SB 951, which that would exclude San Francisco from the California Coastal Commission zone. This would open the coast to unlimited development. Some groups fear that he intends to expand that control to the entire Pacific Coast. We need to stop this bill from moving forward.

Supervisor Peskin's proposed Resolution 230065 was introduced at the Board of Supervisors' Land Use Committee and is expected to go to the Full Board with positive recommendations. Most of the neighborhood groups that are aware of the situation fully support this resolution. We hope the Board of Supervisors will pass this unanimously to send a strong message to Sacramento that Senator Wiener's constituents do not appreciate his actions against San Francisco. We take this seriously.

Please support Supervisor Peskin's resolution # 240065 and oppose Senator Wiener's SB

951.

Sincerely,

Barbara J Dwyer, San Francisco
PADI Divemaster 15106

From: [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: California Senate Bill No. 951 and proposed resolution #240065
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 8:05:32 AM

From: Barbara Heffernan <barbarajheffernan@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 3, 2024 3:20 PM
To: BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; DorseyStaff (BOS) <DorseyStaff@sfgov.org>; EngardioStaff (BOS) <EngardioStaff@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff (BOS) <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary (BOS) <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; StefaniStaff (BOS) <stefanistaff@sfgov.org>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>
Subject: California Senate Bill No. 951 and proposed resolution #240065

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

February 3, 2024

Madam Mayor, Supervisors and Staff:

Re: I am writing to you in **support of the proposed resolution # 240065** in opposition to California Senate Bill No. 951.

Senator Wiener has been overly eager to set San Francisco up for special treatment. He added a late amendment to SB 423 requiring annual reviews on our progress toward meeting the HCD RHNA housing goals, while the rest of the state has 4 year reviews.

Now he is threatening us with SB 951 that would redraw the California Coastal Commission zone along the Pacific coast in San Francisco to take control over our coastline, potentially opening it to unlimited development. Many fear that he intends to expand that control to the entire Pacific Coast. We need to stop this bill from moving forward.

Supervisor Peskin prepared a response to SB 951.

Resolution 230065 was introduced at the Board of Supervisors Land Use Committee and is expected to go to the Full Board with positive recommendations. He has the full support of the neighborhood groups that are aware of the situation.

We hope the Board of Supervisors will pass this unanimously to send a strong message to Sacramento that Senator Wiener's actions against San Francisco are not appreciated by his constituents. We take his actions against us seriously.

Please support Peskin's resolution # 240065 and oppose Senator Wiener's SB 951.

Sincerely,

Barbara Heffernan,

District 2 resident

Cow Hollow Association Board

Member of Neighborhoods United San Francisco and CSFN

From: [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Support for Peskin's resolution # 240065 in opposition to SB 951
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 8:04:39 AM

For File No. 240065.

From: zrants <zrants@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 3, 2024 12:07 AM
To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; DorseyStaff (BOS) <DorseyStaff@sfgov.org>; EngardioStaff (BOS) <EngardioStaff@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff (BOS) <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary (BOS) <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; StefaniStaff (BOS) <stefanistaff@sfgov.org>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Support for Peskin's resolution # 240065 in opposition to SB 951

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: Mayor London Breed, Supervisor Aaron Peskin, Board President Supervisor Connie Chan, Supervisor Catherine Stefani, Supervisor Joel Engardio, Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Matt Dorsey Supervisor Rafael Mandelman Supervisor Hillary Ronen Supervisor Shamann Walton Supervisor Ahsha Safai, Angela Calvillo

February 2, 2024

Madam Mayor, Supervisors and Staff:

Re: I am writing you in support of the proposed resolution # 240065 in opposition to California Senate Bill No. 951.

Senator Wiener has been overly eager to set San Francisco up for special treatment lately. He added a late amendment to SB 423 requiring annual reviews on our progress toward meeting the HCD RHNA housing goals, while the rest of the state has 4 year reviews.

Now he is threatening us with SB 951 that would redraw the California Coastal Commission zone along the Pacific coast in San Francisco to take control over our coastline, potentially opening it to unlimited development. Many fear that he intends to expand that control to the entire Pacific Coast. We need to stop this bill from moving forward.

Supervisor Peskin prepared a response to SB 951. Resolution 230065 was introduced at the Board of Supervisors Land Use Committee and is expected to go to the Full Board with positive recommendations. He has the full support of most of the neighborhood groups that are aware of the situation. We hope the Board of Supervisors will pass this unanimously to send a strong

message to Sacramento that Senator Wiener's actions against San Francisco are not appreciated by his constituents. He should know that we take his actions against us seriously.

Please support Peskin's resolution # 240065 and oppose Senator Wiener's SB 951.

Sincerely,

Mari Eliza, Concerned San Francisco Citizen
zrants@gmail.com

From: [Gabriel Dover](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 7:21:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951.

Clearing the way to make housing, available close to one of the best amenities in San Francisco, our beaches, will be a huge boon for our city.

This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Gabriel Dover
gabrieldover@gmail.com
3 Van Buren
San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Timothy Buck](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 5:45:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Timothy Buck
hi@timothybuck.me
1097 Green Street, 8
San Francisco , California 94133

From: [Seth DeVoll](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 4:05:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Seth DeVoll
sethdevoll@gmail.com
60 Buena Vista Terrace
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [George Ference](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 1:36:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

George Ference
michael.ference@gmail.com
1386 31st Ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [David Alexander](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (opposing SB 951)
Date: Sunday, February 4, 2024 7:42:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Dave Alexander
D1 Resident and public school parent

David Alexander
alexanderdavid415@gmail.com
2806 ANZA ST
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94121

From: [Christopher Pederson](#)
To: [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [RonenStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Resolution regarding SB 951 and the coastal zone - File No. 240065 - Land Use Committee agenda item no. 4
Date: Sunday, February 4, 2024 4:40:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chair Melgar, Vice Chair Preston, and Supervisor Peskin:

I am a former chief counsel of the Coastal Commission and share some of the concern expressed in the proposed resolution regarding SB 951, especially to the extent it might set a precedent for redrawing the coastal zone boundary in areas where the Coastal Act is actually being implemented in a meaningful way. The portions of San Francisco that SB 951 would remove from the coastal zone, however, are areas where City Hall has neglected implementation of the Coastal Act for decades. Because of that, I have a hard time taking seriously protestations of alarm about what the effects of SB 951 would be in San Francisco itself.

As background, the vast majority of the coastal zone in San Francisco is in public ownership. The Olympic Country Club owns much of the remainder. Only a handful of private residentially zoned properties are within the Coastal Commission's geographic appeals jurisdiction.

San Francisco's local coastal program (LCP) was fully certified by the Coastal Commission in 1986. It consists primarily of the Western Shoreline Plan, which has been amended once since 1986, and the 1979 version of the Planning Code, as supplemented by the 1985 version of Neighborhood Commercial District ordinance. San Francisco has amended its Planning Code many times since then, but hasn't submitted the amendments that affect coastal zone properties to the Coastal Commission as LCP amendments. Planning Code amendments that the Coastal Commission hasn't certified have not taken effect as amendments to San Francisco's LCP. (*See* Pub. Resources Code, § 30514(a).)

San Francisco's decades-long failure to keep its LCP up to date is significant because the certified LCP is the legal standard of review for coastal development permits (aka "coastal zone permits" in the Planning Code). (*See* Pub. Resources Code, § 30604(b).) Although proposals for new development are few and far between in the small area of privately owned land that's located in the coastal zone, proper review of those proposals is unnecessarily complicated because of conflicts between the archaic standards that apply to coastal zone permits and the more recently adopted standards that apply to local permits for the same development. To the extent the Planning Department may disregard those conflicts by simply applying the current uncertified version of the Planning Code, that itself creates litigation vulnerabilities.

For example, San Francisco has adopted voluntary local affordable housing incentive programs intended to encourage residential developments to provide affordable housing. (*See* Planning Code, § 206.) Those programs allow exceptions to normally applicable Planning

Code requirements. Any such project in the coastal zone would be vulnerable to litigation because San Francisco has not submitted those programs to the Coastal Commission as LCP amendments.

I presume that the Board will pass the proposed resolution. If it does, I hope that the Board and the Planning Department will start taking implementation of the Coastal Act more seriously, including by bringing its LCP up to date. If City Hall doesn't want to be bothered with that—an understandable aversion given the small sliver of privately owned land that's in the coastal zone and City Hall's other pressing priorities—then San Francisco may as well acquiesce to legalizing the City's current practice of treating privately owned land as if it's not in the coastal zone.

Sincerely,

Christopher Pederson
Former Chief Counsel of the Coastal Commission
District 7 resident

From: [Mary Davis](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Saturday, February 3, 2024 8:56:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Mary Davis
threejavelinas@gmail.com
325b Capp St.
San Francisco , California 94110

From: [Andrew Lenz](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Saturday, February 3, 2024 7:09:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Andrew Lenz
lenzap497@gmail.com
930 Rhode Island St
San Francisco, California 94107

From: [Mitch Conquer](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Saturday, February 3, 2024 12:41:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Mitch Conquer
mitchconquer@gmail.com
145 Casitas Ave
San Francisco, California 94127

From: [David Miller](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Saturday, February 3, 2024 9:21:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

David Miller
dwarnermiller@gmail.com
215 Fair Oaks
San Francisco , California 94110

From: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: Support for Peskin's resolution # 240065 in opposition to SB 951
Date: Saturday, February 3, 2024 7:26:09 AM

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: zrants <zrants@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 3, 2024 12:06:31 AM
To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; DorseyStaff (BOS) <DorseyStaff@sfgov.org>; EngardioStaff (BOS) <EngardioStaff@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff (BOS) <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary (BOS) <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; StefaniStaff (BOS) <stefanistaff@sfgov.org>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Support for Peskin's resolution # 240065 in opposition to SB 951

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To: Mayor London Breed, Supervisor Aaron Peskin, Board President Supervisor Connie Chan, Supervisor Catherine Stefani, Supervisor Joel Engardio, Supervisor Myrna Melgar Supervisor Dean Preston Supervisor Matt Dorsey Supervisor Rafael Mandelman Supervisor Hillary Ronen Supervisor Shamann Walton Supervisor Ahsha Safai, Angela Calvillo

February 2, 2024

Madam Mayor, Supervisors and Staff:

Re: I am writing you in support of the proposed resolution # 240065 in opposition to California Senate Bill No. 951.

Senator Wiener has been overly eager to set San Francisco up for special treatment lately. He added a late amendment to SB 423 requiring annual reviews on our progress toward meeting the HCD RHNA housing goals, while the rest of the state has 4 year reviews.

Now he is threatening us with SB 951 that would redraw the California Coastal Commission zone along the Pacific coast in San Francisco to take control over our coastline, potentially opening it to unlimited development. Many fear that he intends to expand that control to the entire Pacific Coast. We need to stop this bill from moving forward.

Supervisor Peskin prepared a response to SB 951. Resolution 230065 was introduced at the Board of Supervisors Land Use Committee and is expected to go to the Full Board with positive recommendations. He has the full support of most of the neighborhood groups that are aware of the situation. We hope the Board of Supervisors will pass this unanimously to send a strong

message to Sacramento that Senator Wiener's actions against San Francisco are not appreciated by his constituents. He should know that we take his actions against us seriously.

Please support Peskin's resolution # 240065 and oppose Senator Wiener's SB 951.

Sincerely,

Mari Eliza, Concerned San Francisco Citizen
zrants@gmail.com

From: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: APPEAL - COASTAL ZONE PERMIT, GREAT HIGHWAY
Date: Friday, February 2, 2024 11:22:50 PM

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jay Parks <jayho1208@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 1, 2024 2:40:50 PM
To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; DorseyStaff (BOS) <DorseyStaff@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff (BOS) <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; RonenStaff (BOS) <ronenstaff@sfgov.org>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>
Subject: APPEAL - COASTAL ZONE PERMIT, GREAT HIGHWAY

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

APPEAL OF NOV. 9, 2023 PARK AND REC, COASTAL ZONE PERMIT, MOTION NO. 21437

Dear Mayor and Board of Supervisors,

This letter was sent to the Appeals Board in preparation for the recent meeting to address the proposed restriction of access to Great Highway and represents our concerns, as well as the concerns of many of our neighbors and friends, who reside in the Richmond and Outer Sunset. Based on the following points, we strongly oppose the restriction of Great Highway to motorists on weekends (including early closure on Friday), and holidays:

1. There already **exists two paths on either side of Great Highway to accommodate both pedestrians and cyclists**. Besides, since, for the most part, the pandemic has ended, the total number of pedestrians and cyclists using the Great Highway on weekends has decreased substantially.
2. **Tourism is a major source of income for both small businesses and the City of San Francisco**. For years tourists have been drawn to Ocean Beach while driving along our city's **only coastal highway**. Furthermore, Sutro Land End Partners, who has a 20-year lease, will reopen The Cliff House later this year. This historic landmark with its adjacent Sutro Baths has been one of the most popular restaurants and tourist attractions in the U.S. **Restricting access on weekends and holidays from Sloat Blvd all the way to Lincoln Way can adversely impact, not only the economic success of that restaurant, but also the continued success of The Beach Chalet and other local businesses nearby**. (Small businesses are already suffering due to the actions of the SFMTA as parking continues to be

replaced with red zones, plus the reduction of access lanes on Taraval, a major thoroughfare.)

3. At a time when visitors flock to Ocean Beach, the **closing of Great Highway on weekends and holidays results in unnecessary traffic jams**. This is particularly true in GG Park on Chain of Lakes Drive or down Sunset Blvd. Research shows that stop-and-go traffic adversely affects vehicles as more fuel and oil is burned (which also results in greater pollution).

4. **Quality of Life Issues for Local Residents and their Families:** As more traffic is directed to adjacent and other nearby streets on weekends, it becomes less safe for children and neighbors, not to mention the increased noise and pollution. Most homeowners bought their homes to enjoy the quality of life that the Outer Sunset affords. How is it fair for tax-paying citizens to have their quality of life negatively impacted, even though bicyclists and pedestrians already have full access to Great highway via the adjacent paths on either side of the road?

5. The closing of Great Highway **restricts access by local residents in both the Richmond and Sunset Districts**, who use the highway to access Pacifica, Half Moon Bay and other locations on Highway 1 (accessible from Great Highway via Skyline Blvd). Commuters use Great Highway, who work on weekends, attend doctor appointments, run errands to support their families and visit recreational venues.

Certainly, the closing of Great Highway during the Pandemic made sense. But the Pandemic is over. Yet those who did not think it through, including The Bicycle Coalition, and the less-than-50% of voters who supported Prop. J, thought it was a good idea. But closing Great Highway on weekends and holidays, from Sloat Blvd negatively impacts our community in multiple ways and, frankly, with two paths already existing on either side of the City's only coastal road, we find such an action difficult to justify to the good citizens of our community. We hope you will support us in our efforts to keep Great Highway open each and every day. Thank you.

Jay and Judith Parks
1518 47th Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94122
Tel: 415-608-0901

From: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: Strongly SUPPORTING File #240065
Date: Friday, February 2, 2024 11:14:40 PM

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Evan Rosen <er@sonic.net>
Sent: Friday, February 2, 2024 1:28:28 PM
To: BOS-Supervisors <bos-supervisors@sfgov.org>; BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org>
Subject: Strongly SUPPORTING File #240065

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors:

The proposed resolution (File #240065) opposing SB 951 (Wiener) says exactly what the San Francisco Board of Supervisors must say. State Senator Wiener's attempt to eliminate the California Coastal Commission jurisdiction in "urban" San Francisco is outrageous and undoubtedly the first step towards gutting the Coastal Commission's authority.

Planning Department officials have made improper public statements supporting SB 951 as getting "rid of a bunch of extra bureaucracy that's not doing anyone any good." This could not be further from the truth. Since the legislature passed the Coastal Act in 1976, the California Coastal Commission has protected coastal resources and access. As the resolution points out, the basis of SB 951 is a false narrative related to housing.

It would seem SB 951 is crafted to begin turning Ocean Beach into Miami Beach. As San Franciscans, we must prevent this from happening. I strongly SUPPORT File #240065.

Thank you.
Evan Rosen

From: [Kenneth Russell](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Friday, February 2, 2024 10:40:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thanks,
Kenneth Russell
SF District 7 resident

Kenneth Russell
krlist+yimby@gmail.com
8400 Oceanview Ter Apt 414
San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Chang Sun](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Writing to ask you to oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Friday, February 2, 2024 10:19:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be adding additional delays to protect parking lots or other already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It actually lets us creatively protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Chang Sun
chang.sun.cs@gmail.com
50 Lansing Street
San Francisco, California 94105

From: [Michael Hill](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Friday, February 2, 2024 10:00:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Michael Hill
michael.hill@pobox.com
197 Guerrero St
San Francisco, California 94103

From: [Ben Ewing](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Friday, February 2, 2024 8:46:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Ben Ewing
bewing91@gmail.com
2130 Fulton Street
San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Justin O'Neill](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Friday, February 2, 2024 7:22:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Justin O'Neill
justinmoneill@gmail.com
55 9th St, Apt 701
San Francisco, California 94103

From: [Charlie Natoli](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Friday, February 2, 2024 7:16:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Charlie Natoli
charlie.natoli1@gmail.com
351 King St
San Francisco , California 94158

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Patricia Arack](#)
Subject: RE: Your resolution against Scott Weiners takedown of Coastal Commission - BOS File No. 240065 - LUT February 5, 2024
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 10:02:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

I am forwarding your comments to the members of the LUT committee, and I will include your comments in the file for this resolution matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

-

[Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, February 2, 2024 11:21 PM
To: Patricia Arack <parack@ccsf.edu>
Cc: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Your resolution against Scott Weiners takedown of Coastal Commission

Ms. Arack,

Thank you for your support. I'm looping in the clerk of the Land Use Committee, John Carroll, so that your comments can be shared with the committee members and included in the file for this item.

Aaron

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Patricia Arack <parack@ccsf.edu>

Sent: Thursday, February 1, 2024 5:25:49 PM

To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>

Subject: Your resolution against Scott Weiners takedown of Coastal Commission

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I have read your resolution regarding the attack on the Coastal Commission and our fragile environment out by the ocean by Scott Weiner. I support your position 100%. Our fragile serene, and pristine coastline is under attack by Weiner, Engardio, the bike cult, and illegal bike lobbyists. 2700 Sloat would be a dangerous disaster built on sand. A troubling project completely out of character for the neighborhood. And, the project right next door has sat practically empty for at least 10 years. Thank you for your efforts.

Patricia Arack

Leader, Concerned Residents of the Sunset
1900 Great Hwy, SF
D4

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Tony Villa](#)
Subject: RE: Thank you for saving our environment and coastline - BOS File No. 240065 - LUT February 5, 2024
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 10:02:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

I am forwarding your comments to the members of the LUT committee, and I will include your comments in the file for this resolution matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

-

[Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, February 2, 2024 11:13 PM
To: Tony Villa <tvobsf@gmail.com>
Cc: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Thank you for saving our environment and coastline

Mr. Villa,

Thank you for your support. I'm looping in the clerk of the Land Use Committee, John Carroll, so that your comments can be shared with the committee members and included in the file for this item.

Aaron

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Tony Villa <tvobsf@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, February 2, 2024 3:21:17 PM

To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; PeskinStaff (BOS) <peskinstaff@sfgov.org>

Cc: Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff (BOS) <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>;

Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS)

<dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>

Subject: Thank you for saving our environment and coastline

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Peskin,

Thank you! I commend your stand on protecting our environment by standing up to Scott Weiner and his developer money. Thank you for proposing a resolution taking down Weiner's proposal of dismantling the California Coastal Commission.

As a native and product of San Francisco growing up in the 60's and 70's, we were taught that one of the greatest goals in life was to save our planet and protect our environment. We stopped dumping our garbage on ocean cliffs, and stopped motor oil that was being poured down our storm drains. We do not want more garbage and need to prevent people from again dumping garbage on our coast line as Weiner proposes. This time it's developer garbage.

When children see the ocean for the first time do they want to see the ocean, or look at multi million dollar condos with views

where the rich people live?

I commend Supervisors Chan, Preston, Walton, and Mandelman for supporting Supervisor Peskin's resolution. I am extremely disappointed in not seeing D4 Supervisor Engardio whose district encompasses the coast line.

Thank you,

Tony Villa

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Matt Ciganek](#)
Subject: RE: Supporting the CCC / CA -Outer Sunset Safer Streets Neighborhood Association - BOS File No. 240065 - LUT February 5, 2024
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 10:02:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

I am forwarding your comments to the members of the LUT committee, and I will include your comments in the file for this resolution matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

-

[Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, February 2, 2024 11:16 PM
To: Matt Ciganek <mattciganek@gmail.com>
Cc: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Supporting the CCC / CA -Outer Sunset Safer Streets Neighborhood Association

Mr. Chanel,

Thank you for your support. I'm looping in the clerk of the Land Use Committee, John Carroll, so that your comments can be shared with the committee members and included in the file for this item.

Aaron

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Matt Ciganek <mattciganek@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, February 2, 2024 3:00:56 PM

To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>

Subject: Supporting the CCC / CA -Outer Sunset Safer Streets Neighborhood Association

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Peskin - I am writing you in support of the proposed resolution opposing California Senate Bill No. 951. I have lived in the Outer Sunset since the mid 90's and I'm tired of the various City agencies sidestepping proper procedures. The seemingly positive benefits of these changes are also producing many repercussions which are being cast aside to benefit the desires of well-funded private interest groups with cozy relationships with City officials.

The timing and multiple agendas seemingly taking place on the West Side of San Francisco deserve a much more measured approach with due process including careful review. The exemptions and changes in order to expedite perceived needs that "sound good" are likely mistakes that can't be undone. It's time to step back from the types of exemptions and exceptions made under the emergency order and re-establish the norms that will keep us moving forward as a community. The lack of process has torn apart the fabric of this community in many ways. I don't know if it will ever be repaired.

I and our local citizens group, The Outer Sunset Safer Streets Neighborhood Association support your efforts to preserve and support the California Coastal Commission and Act.

Sincerely,

Matt Ciganek

Outer Sunset Safer Streets Neighborhood Association 501 (c)(4)

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Barbara J Dwyer](#)
Subject: RE: Support resolution 2400065 -No on SB 951 - BOS File No. 240065 - LUT February 5, 2024
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 10:02:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

I am forwarding your comments to the members of the LUT committee, and I will include your comments in the file for this resolution matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

-

[Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Sent: Sunday, February 4, 2024 10:13 AM
To: Barbara J Dwyer <montereydivingwoman@gmail.com>
Cc: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Support resolution 2400065 -No on SB 951

Ms. Dwyer,

Thank you for your support. I'm looping in the clerk of the Land Use Committee, John Carroll, so that your comments can be shared with the committee members and included in the file for this item.

Aaron

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Barbara J Dwyer <montereydivingwoman@gmail.com>

Sent: Saturday, February 3, 2024 11:40:05 PM

To: Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; DorseyStaff (BOS) <DorseyStaff@sfgov.org>; EngardioStaff (BOS) <EngardioStaff@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff (BOS) <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary (BOS) <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; StefaniStaff (BOS) <stefanistaff@sfgov.org>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>

Subject: Support resolution 2400065 -No on SB 951

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Madam Mayor, Supervisors, and Staff:

I am writing in support of the proposed resolution # 240065 in opposition to California Senate Bill No. 951.

Senator Wiener is happy to subject San Francisco's building practices to scrutiny and punishment. He added a late amendment to SB 423 that requires annual reviews of SF's progress toward meeting the HCD RHNA housing goals., while the rest of the state has four-yearly reviews..

Now he threatens us with SB 951, which that would exclude San Francisco from the California Coastal Commission zone. This would open the coast to unlimited development. Some groups fear that he intends to expand that control to the entire Pacific Coast. We need to stop this bill from moving forward.

Supervisor Peskin's proposed Resolution 230065 was introduced at the Board of Supervisors' Land Use Committee and is expected to go to the Full Board with positive recommendations. Most of the neighborhood groups that are aware of the situation fully support this resolution. We hope the Board of Supervisors will pass this unanimously to send a strong message to Sacramento that Senator Wiener's constituents do not appreciate his actions against San Francisco. We take this seriously.

Please support Supervisor Peskin's resolution # 240065 and oppose Senator Wiener's SB 951.

Sincerely,

Barbara J Dwyer, San Francisco

PADI Divemaster 15106

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\); RL](#)
Subject: RE: SUPPORT PRESIDENT AARON PESKIN TO OPPOSE SB 951 - BOS File No. 240065 - LUT February 5, 2024
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 10:02:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

I am forwarding your comments to the members of the LUT committee, and I will include your comments in the file for this resolution matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

-

[Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Sent: Saturday, February 3, 2024 4:23 PM
To: RL <redpl@aol.com>
Cc: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: SUPPORT PRESIDENT AARON PESKIN TO OPPOSE SB 951

Renee,

Thank you for your support. I'm looping in the clerk of the Land Use Committee, John Carroll, so that your comments can be shared with the committee members and included in the file for this item.

Aaron

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: RL <redpl@aol.com>

Sent: Saturday, February 3, 2024 10:24 AM

To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>

Cc: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Joel Engardio <jengardio@gmail.com>

Subject: SUPPORT PRESIDENT AARON PESKIN TO OPPOSE SB 951

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear President Peskin,

We (myself & all the almost 4000 Supporters of SON-SF) wholeheartedly SUPPORT you in OPPOSING Sen. Wiener's SB 951.

Allowing this bill to pass would be such a disaster & ruin of our most beautiful & precious natural resource, our California Coastline, as well the destruction of the Coastal Commission.

Also, the BOS & Supervisor Joel Engardio: Please SUPPORT President Peskin.

Thank you,

Renee Lazear

D4 Long Time Resident

SON-SF ~ Save Our Neighborhoods SF

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Stephen Gorski](#)
Subject: RE: Support for your Proposed Resolution Opposing Senate Bill 951 - BOS File No. 240065 - LUT February 5, 2024
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 10:02:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

I am forwarding your comments to the members of the LUT committee, and I will include your comments in the file for this resolution matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

- [Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk
Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Sent: Saturday, February 3, 2024 7:32 AM
To: Stephen Gorski <sjgorskilaw@gmail.com>
Cc: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Support for your Proposed Resolution Opposing Senate Bill 951

Mr. Gorski,

Thank you for your support. I'm looping in the clerk of the Land Use Committee, John Carroll, so that your comments can be shared with the committee members and included in the file for this item.

Aaron

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Stephen Gorski <sjgorskilaw@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 3, 2024 6:23:31 AM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Subject: Support for your Proposed Resolution Opposing Senate Bill 951

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

You don't often get email from mattciganek@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

[Vi](#)
[PDF Document - 389](#)

From: Stephen J. Gorski

Date: February 3, 2024

To: aaron.peskin@sfgov.org

Dear Supervisor Peskin - I am writing you in support of the proposed resolution opposing California Senate Bill No. 951. I have lived in the Outer Sunset since the mid 90's and I'm tired of the various City agencies sidestepping proper procedures. The seemingly positive benefits of these changes are also producing many repercussions which are being cast aside to benefit the desires of well-funded private interest groups with cozy relationships with City officials.

I am particularly distressed at City officials that engage in ethical violations, carelessly violate conflict of interest rules and give monies to various non-profits who engage in pushing their "agendas". Furthermore, as an attorney I detest their abuse of the 14th Amendment mandates of Notice & Opportunity to be heard by trying to Retroactively apply Weiner's efforts to by-pass obtaining a Permit ensuring no environmental review is done. Thus, their developer interests and anti-vehicle agendas will destroy the Western neighborhoods' beach community interests in the name of their monetary interests.

The timing and multiple agendas seemingly taking place on the West Side of San Francisco deserve a much more measured approach with due process including careful review. The exemptions and changes in order to expedite perceived needs that "sound good" are likely mistakes that can't be undone. It's time to step back from the types of exemptions and exceptions made under the emergency order and re-establish the norms that will keep us moving forward as a community. The lack of process has torn apart the fabric of this community in many ways. I don't know if it will ever be repaired.

I and our local citizens in several other neighborhood Associations in support your efforts to preserve and support the California Coastal Commission and Act.

Sincerely,

Stephen J. Gorski, Esq.

Outer Sunset Resident for 44+ years/ D4

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Yellow Rose](#)
Subject: RE: Support file 2400645 - BOS File No. 240065 - LUT February 5, 2024
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 10:02:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

I am forwarding your comments to the members of the LUT committee, and I will include your comments in the file for this resolution matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

-

[Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Sent: Saturday, February 3, 2024 4:26 PM
To: Yellow Rose <yellowsunrose8@gmail.com>
Cc: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Support file 2400645

Ms. Wolff,

Thank you for your support. I'm looping in the clerk of the Land Use Committee, John Carroll, so that your comments can be shared with the committee members and included in the file for this item.

Aaron

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Yellow Rose <yellowsunrose8@gmail.com>
Sent: Saturday, February 3, 2024 1:49:00 PM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Subject: Support file 2400645

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Coastal Commission is important because they protect the environment. As I have read Weiner lied about SF agreement with his proposal Of State Senate bill #951. It is very important that the area near the coast not be developed by large buildings because of the erosion and pollution they cause. Lights, fumes from dryers and heating will disturb the wildlife at the beach. The coastal commission helps ensure the accessibility of the coast to all.

Thank you.
Susan Wolff

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [aeboken](#)
Cc: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Strongly CONCURRING WITH Land Use and Transportation Committee February 5, 2024 Agenda Item #4 and BOS February 6, 2024 Agenda Item #25 - BOS File No. 240065 - LUT February 5, 2024
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 10:02:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

I am forwarding your comments to the members of the LUT committee, and I will include your comments in the file for this resolution matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

-

[Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Sent: Monday, February 5, 2024 7:55 AM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: Strongly CONCURRING WITH Land Use and Transportation Committee February 5, 2024 Agenda Item #4 and BOS February 6, 2024 Agenda Item #25 [Opposing California State Senate Bill No. 951 (Wiener) and Expressing Support for the California Coastal Act ...

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: aeboken <aeboken@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, February 5, 2024 2:40:15 AM

To: Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Souza, Sarah (BOS) <sarah.s.souza@sfgov.org>

Subject: Strongly CONCURRING WITH Land Use and Transportation Committee February 5, 2024 Agenda Item #4 and BOS February 6, 2024 Agenda Item #25 [Opposing California State Senate Bill No. 951 (Wiener) and Expressing Support for the California Coastal Act and R...

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

TO: Land Use and Transportation Committee members

FR: Eileen Boken, President
Sunset-Parkside Education and Action Committee (SPEAK)

RE: Opposing California State Senate Bill No. 951 (Wiener) and Expressing Support for the California Coastal Act and Recognizing the Authority of the California Coastal Commission File #240065

Position: Strongly CONCURRING with

The following are events that may be relevant to SB951 (Wiener):

- Based on a Public Records Request, on September 18, 2023 was a virtual meeting between senior management of the San Francisco Planning Department and senior management of the Coastal Commission North Central Coast District. Citing attorney-client privilege, no details of this meeting were released by the Planning Department.
- On November 15, 2023 a town hall on upzoning was held by the Planning Department at the County Fair Building in Golden Gate Park. SPEAK asked Director Hillis regarding the substance of the meeting on September 18, 2023 with the Coastal Commission staff. His response was that the Planning Department stated that they believed that Coastal Commission requirements were bureaucratic and a waste of time. Subsequent communications with Coastal Commission staff confirmed the Planning Director's comments.

At the town hall SPEAK also expressed concerns that Ocean Beach could become Miami Beach. The Planning Director stated that Ocean Beach would not become Miami Beach. These comments were repeated by the Planning Director to Midtown Terrace HOA.

- On December 14, 2023 was an informational hearing on housing at the California Coastal Commission meeting. At that meeting, Senator Wiener and his allies made disparaging public comments about the Coastal Commission. After the close of public comment, certain Commissioners made disparaging remarks re Senator Wiener.

- On January 18, 2024 SB951 (Wiener) was introduced.

- On January 24, 2024 SB951 (Wiener) was scheduled to be heard at the Senate Rules Committee for policy committee assignments. This was confirmed by Senate Rules Committee staff. SB951 was not heard as scheduled.

- On January 24, 2024 an email inquiry was sent to Tate Hanna, Senator Wiener's housing aide.

Inquiries were also sent to San Francisco's Acting Director of Citywide Planning, the Director of Citywide Planning, MOHCD and the Mayor's Office staff for housing. To date, there has been no response from any City officials.

- On January 29, 2024 the following response was received from Tate Hanna:

"SB 951 is sponsored by Mayor London Breed. Sponsorship does not necessarily indicate drafting of the legislation – the ideas present in SB 951 were proposed by Sen. Wiener after the discussion around his previous bill, SB 423, led to some eye opening discoveries about coastal permitting. That being said, we did receive technical assistance while drafting the legislation from Mayor Breed's staff to ensure the Senator's intent is accomplished.

I would reach out directly to the Mayor's office if you would like to follow up.

Thanks,

Tate"

###

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Geo Kimmerling](#); [Joel Engardio](#)
Subject: RE: SB951 - BOS File No. 240065 - LUT February 5, 2024
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 10:02:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

I am forwarding your comments to the members of the LUT committee, and I will include your comments in the file for this resolution matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

-

[Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Sent: Saturday, February 3, 2024 4:24 PM
To: Geo Kimmerling <geokimm@sbcglobal.net>; Joel Engardio <jengardio@gmail.com>
Cc: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: SB951

Ms. Kimmerling,

Thank you for your support. I'm looping in the clerk of the Land Use Committee, John Carroll, so that your comments can be shared with the committee members and included in the file for this item.

Aaron

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Geo Kimmerling <geokimm@sbcglobal.net>

Sent: Saturday, February 3, 2024 10:26:54 AM

To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Joel Engardio <jengardio@gmail.com>

Subject: SB951

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I want you to know how strongly I oppose the proposed SB951. I have great faith in our Coastal Commission and believe its power should not be negated. I am also astounded that Scott Weiner would want to allow building on wetlands. Please oppose this measure. Your community is opposed to it. Builders and land developers support it.

Sincerely,

Flo Kimmerling

Sent from my iPad

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Judi Gorski](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Public Comments for the Permanent Record. Land Use and Transportation Committee Meeting Monday, February 5, 2024, 1:30 - BOS File No. 240065 - LUT February 5, 2024
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 10:02:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

I am forwarding your comments to the members of the LUT committee, and I will include your comments in the file for this resolution matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

- [Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Judi Gorski <judigorski@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, February 2, 2024 10:09 PM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>; Judi - gmail Gorski <judigorski@gmail.com>
Subject: Public Comments for the Permanent Record. Land Use and Transportation Committee Meeting Monday, February 5, 2024, 1:30

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To:

President Aaron Peskin aaron.peskin@sfgov.org

Clerk of the Land Use and Transportation Committee
John.Carroll@sfgov.org

From:

Judi Gorski, SF Resident, D4
judigorski@gmail.com

Date: February 2, 2024.

Subject: Public Comments for the Permanent Record in Support of Item 4 on the Agenda, Resolution No. 240065.

Land Use and Transportation Meeting Monday, 2/5/24, 1:30 pm

Dear President Peskin,

I support and thank you for introducing Resolution No. 240065, which opposes Senator Scott Wiener's State Senate Bill No. 951. By exempting almost everything that once required studies and tests under the California Environmental Quality Act, and weakening and/or eliminating the authority of the California Coastal Commission from San Francisco projects, our hard-won protections meant to oversee the health, safety, character and beauty of this natural area along the Pacific ocean will soon be lost. Ocean Beach has been my family's home for over 45 years and our appreciation for all that it has to offer runs deep.

This bill is an attempt to make way for unrestricted real estate development throughout our Ocean Beach community. The City claims to lack the financing for the infrastructure to support it; for safe, reliable, accessible public transportation; for a police force adequately staffed and equipped to handle the current enforcement of laws; let alone new high-rises, luxury condos and hotels bringing in additional population to further burden an underfunded nearly broken system. Senate Bill 951 is not going to help any of this. It will make it worse by building as fast as possible without testing or preparing for potential risks to human and environmental health. Allowing unrestricted real estate along San Francisco's Coastal Zone will commercialize and forever alter the peaceful atmosphere of Ocean Beach, which would be a tragic loss for San Francisco and all who visit our magnificent City.

My gratitude to your co-sponsors: Supervisors Chan, Walton, Ronen, Mandelman and Preston for supporting your Resolution. I hope the Land Use Committee will vote in favor of it.

Sincerely,

Judi Gorski
SF Resident D4

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Patricia Gerend](#)
Subject: RE: Oppose SF Take-Over of California Coastal Commission Input on Great Hwy - BOS File No. 240065 - LUT February 5, 2024
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 10:02:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

I am forwarding your comments to the members of the LUT committee, and I will include your comments in the file for this resolution matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

-

[Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Sent: Saturday, February 3, 2024 9:07 PM
To: Patricia Gerend <gerendpl@gmail.com>
Cc: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Oppose SF Take-Over of California Coastal Commission Input on Great Hwy

Dear Ms. Gerend,

Thank you for your support for the resolution I authored opposing SN 951. I'm looping in the clerk of the Land Use Committee, John Carroll, so that your comments can be shared with the committee members and included in the file (#240065) for this item.

Aaron Peskin

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Patricia Gerend <gerendpl@gmail.com>

Sent: Saturday, February 3, 2024 8:24:13 PM

To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>

Cc: Patricia Gerend <gerendpl@gmail.com>

Subject: Oppose SF Take-Over of California Coastal Commission Input on Great Hwy

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Opposing California State Senate Bill No. 951 (Wiener) and Expressing Support for the California Coastal Act and Recognizing the Authority of the California Coastal Commission] Resolution opposing California State Senate Bill No. 951 (Wiener) and setting forth the City and County of San Francisco's support for the California Coastal Act and the recognition of the value of the California Coastal Commission to enforce the California Coastal Act.

Dear Mr. Peskin,

It has come to my attention that there are efforts to eliminate oversight from the California Coastal Commission on activities around the Great Highway in SF.

Please support the continuation of this oversight, which was approved by voters not long ago.

Fads come and go in our city's government and currently there seems to be a fad to re-make the outer Sunset in ways that won't make sense for residents. Although I totally support new housing, which is sorely needed, it needs to be thought out well and needs to serve not only the new people who will be in it, but their neighbors as well. In addition, the effort to close the Great Highway, which is a significant artery in the west side of town, seems nonsensical. It's a perfectly good road that serves the neighborhood well. The Great Highway Extension (the southern part of the road) is already marked for closure and will be turned into a park soon, so there is no reason at all to turn the rest of the Sunset portion of the road into a park.

People who don't live in areas often want to re-make things in those areas. While their input can be valuable, the input of the people who live there should also count.

Please support the many of us in the Sunset who want the California Coastal Commission to continue to monitor what is going on in our neighborhood.

Thank you for your consideration,

Patricia L. Gerend
Great Highway Resident

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Shawna J. McGrew](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Oppose SB 951 - BOS File No. 240065 - LUT February 5, 2024 - BOS File No. 240065 - LUT February 5, 2024
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 10:02:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

I am forwarding your comments to the members of the LUT committee, and I will include your comments in the file for this resolution matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

-

[Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Shawna J. McGrew <sunsetfog@aol.com>

Sent: Monday, February 5, 2024 6:58 AM

To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Engardio, Joel (BOS) <joel.engardio@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>

Subject: Oppose SB 951

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors

It is in your hands to oppose SB 951

It is a big lie from the big developers about a "housing crisis" in the City. There is a affordable housing crisis.

I do not believe in conspiracy theories but I now know why these people want the Gt. Highway closed.

To quote Susan Jordan this bill "could signal the unraveling of one of the states bedrock environmental laws".

`I know I as a voter and many others will remember who wants to save our coast and who wants to sell it to the highest bidder.

Thank you

Shawna McGrew

D4 Voter

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Steve Ward](#)
Subject: RE: OPPOSE SB 951 - & Politicians Pushing Development/ Real-Estate Interests - BOS File No. 240065 - LUT February 5, 2024
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 10:02:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

I am forwarding your comments to the members of the LUT committee, and I will include your comments in the file for this resolution matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

-

[Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Sent: Saturday, February 3, 2024 4:26 PM
To: Steve Ward <seaward94133@yahoo.com>
Cc: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: OPPOSE SB 951 - & Politicians Pushing Development/ Real-Estate Interests

Mr. Ward,

Thank you for your support. I'm looping in the clerk of the Land Use Committee, John Carroll, so that your comments can be shared with the committee members and included in the file for this item.

Aaron

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Steve Ward <seaward94133@yahoo.com>

Sent: Saturday, February 3, 2024 12:52:19 PM

To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Rachel Grant <rgrant06@gmail.com>; Greg Gotelli <greg@fashiondrapery.com>; Emily S. LaTourrette <esatterstrom@gmail.com>; Mari Eliza <zrants@gmail.com>; Tom/glassman Andre <thmsandre61@gmail.com>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Asima Arif <asimaarif@gmail.com>; Patrick Maguire <sirpatrickmaguire@gmail.com>; deirdre Golani <deirdredole@yahoo.com>; Kathy Howard <kathyhoward@earthlink.net>; Kyle Meyers <kyle@gosilverback.com>; Kevin Starr MD <kevinstarr@gmail.com>; G.E.&A--Tom/Kov 564-0706 <offstage@earthlink.net>; Shawna J. Mcgrew <sunsetfog@aol.com>; RL Rnee Lazar <redpl@aol.com>; Steve Ward <seaward94133@yahoo.com>; Michael Nohr <mikejnohr@aol.com>; Andres Chavez <chef.andy@icloud.com>; P.I. Adam Raskin <adam@adamraskinpi.com>; John Zwolinski <johnzwo@yahoo.com>; Goldberg, Jonathan (BOS) <jonathan.goldberg@sfgov.org>; Joel Engardio <jengardio@gmail.com>; Buffy Maguire <buffy@javabeachcafe.com>; mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.com <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.com>; Lucas Lux <lucasclux@gmail.com>; Spenser Warden <spencerwarden@gmail.com>; Faliano Matthew (POL) <faliano3342@gmail.com>; Leila Dr. Ven's Wife Gowen <cropia@yahoo.com>; Tomisita Medal <tomasitamedal@gmail.com>; nlfederico@msn.com <nlfederico@msn.com>; ninas_art@hotmail.com <ninas_art@hotmail.com>; Buffy Maguire <buffy@ladyfalconcoffeclub.com>; Hannah Warden <hew.warden@gmail.com>; Ralph Lane <ralphlane1643@sonic.net>; CSFN <csfninfo@gmail.com>; Great Scot <blueskydelivery@gmail.com>; Meagan McNabola <meaganmcnabola@gmail.com>; Pengel, Maura (POL) <Maura.Pengel@sfgov.org>; Khmarskiy, Pavel (POL) <Pavel.Khmarskiy@sfgov.org>; Scott, William (POL) <william.scott@sfgov.org>; Flo Kimmerling <geokimm@sbcglobal.net>; Nancy <nancyfancypants@yahoo.com>; Greg Gotelli <greggotelli@comcast.net>; Dean LaTourrette <deanlatourrette@gmail.com>; Maren Larsen <foggyquilter@gmail.com>; gingertulley@gmail.com <gingertulley@gmail.com>; Krista Boscoe <johnzwo@flash.net>; bonnie bergeron <bonnie@sfparksalliance.org>; Jen Gasang <jengasang@gmail.com>; laplayaparkinfo@gmail.com <laplayaparkinfo@gmail.com>; Charles Head <charlesnhead@hotmail.com>; Geoffrey Moore <moore_geoffrey@yahoo.com>; Westside Observer <editor@westsideobserver.com>; mathew.faliano@sfgov.org <mathew.faliano@sfgov.org>; Engardio, Joel (BOS) <joel.engardio@sfgov.org>; Michael Shaughnessy <mshaughnessy@siprep.org>; Paul Simpson <psimpson@sgijlaw.com>; Mary McNamara <mary.mcnamara@comcast.net>; Roby Bianchi <bianchimotors37@gmail.com>; Ron Dudum <ron@sfethos.com>; Rebecca Small <rebeccasmall33@yahoo.com>; Rick Landucci <golfdad2355@gmail.com>; olivestories@gmail.com <olivestories@gmail.com>; Mark R. Brunig <mbrunig@gmail.com>; Mar, Gordon (BOS) <gordon.mar@sfgov.org>; Philip & Kristen McMahan <plm@doorbot.com>; Paul / Mary Landucci <cpucce66@aol.com>; Perry <perry.tomei@gmail.com>; Lisa A. Ernst <ernstl@sfnusd.edu>; Marc Williams <misterwill@gmail.com>; Laura McCabe <travellaura@aol.com>; Lee Ellen Shoemaker <leshoe@gmail.com>; Larry Becker <larrybeckerdc@gmail.com>; Linda Landucci <linda.landucci@gmail.com>; Mid Sunset Neighborhood Association <2550irvingcommunity@gmail.com>; Adele Framer <adeleframer@gmail.com>; Thomas Soper AIA <tsarchaia@gmail.com>; Ellen Koivisto <igneous@earthlink.net>; Marta Lutz <obtata205@gmail.com>; sf - rubey Alpha & Janice Maritt rubey <ir2294@earthlink.net>; Yick, Robert (POL) <robert.yick@sfgov.org>; Far Out West Garden <oscommunitygarden@gmail.com>;

Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods <csfn.sf@gmail.com>; Dodge, Samuel (DEM) <sam.dodge@sfgov.org>; jeanbbarish@hotmail.com <jeanbbarish@hotmail.com>; Avie <avie1@peoplepc.com>; Gerald Hurtado <gphurtado@yahoo.com>; Frank Lucchese <fml33us@yahoo.com>; Carmen Herlihy <carmenherlihysf@gmail.com>; Diane Rivera <dianariver@aol.com>; Franco Maurice <maurice1950@comcast.net>; Computer Phil <crunchcrunchcrunch@yahoo.com>; Stephanie C Moore <stefcmoore@yahoo.com>; Dena Marie Beauchesne <dena.beauchesne@gmail.com>; Donna Dickson <donna@javabeachcafe.com>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Aeboken <aeboken@gmail.com>; Mike & Hana Badolato <mbadolato7@yahoo.com>; Steven Robinson <srucity@hotmail.com>; kimblankenshipsfo@gmail.com <kimblankenshipsfo@gmail.com>

Subject: OPPOSE SB 951 - & Politicians Pushing Development/ Real-Estate Interests

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Another disgusting betrayal of San Francisco for special interests is afoot.

**OPPOSE SB 951 - Senator Scott Wiener is at it again!!
This will be the ruin of our most beautiful resource, our California Coastline. This is about pandering to the Developers, Real Estate industry with High End luxury units. There is NOTHING Affordable about this type of building or bill. We are NOT Miami Beach or Manhattan!**

Write the Board Of Supervisors & your own list of San Franciscans Before tthe board votes to support 951 on Tuesday.

Steve Ward (2nd generation San Franciscan)

Member :

Coalition of San Francisco Neighborhoods

La Playa Village Coalition

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Jean Barish](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Land Use Committee Meeting - Feb. 5, 2024 - Agenda Item 4 - BOS File No. 240065 - LUT February 5, 2024
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 10:02:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

I am forwarding your comments to the members of the LUT committee, and I will include your comments in the file for this resolution matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

-

[Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Jean Barish <jeanbbarish@hotmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, February 4, 2024 6:36 PM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Land Use Committee Meeting - Feb. 5, 2024 - Agenda Item 4

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Land Use and Transportation Committee Members,

I am writing to support Resolution File No. 240065, which you will consider at the February 5 Land Use and Transportation Committee Meeting, that preserves the California Coastal Commission's authority to regulate development on all of California's spectacular western shore.

Senator Weiner's proposed legislation, SB 591, will eviscerate the ability of the CCC to regulate land use and development along California's world-renowned San Francisco coastline, and must be opposed to the fullest extent possible.

SB 591 is merely another ploy to allow greedy developers to sink their teeth into some of the most valuable real estate in San Francisco. It disregards the environmental impact of such development, ignores the history that established the CCC, and imperils the future of all of California's priceless coastline.

I am pleased to support this Resolution, and urge that your Committee unanimously votes to approve it.

All my thanks and with kind regards,

Jean

Jean B Barish

jeanbbarish@hotmail.com

Richmond District Resident

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Steven Metz](#)
Subject: RE: File No. 240065 - BOS File No. 240065 - LUT February 5, 2024
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 10:02:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

I am forwarding your comments to the members of the LUT committee, and I will include your comments in the file for this resolution matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

-

[Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, February 2, 2024 11:06 PM
To: Steven Metz <smetz3939@gmail.com>
Cc: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: File No. 240065

Mr. Metz,

Thank you for your support. I'm looping in the clerk of the Land Use Committee, John Carroll, so that your comments can be shared with the committee members and included in the file for this item.

Aaron

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Steven Metz <smetz3939@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, February 2, 2024 4:26 PM

To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>

Subject:

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Supervisor Peskin,

This note is to let you know I'm in support of the proposed resolution opposing California Senate Bill No. 951. I've been a resident in the Outer Sunset since 1989 and I do not want to see the California Coastal Commission's control weakened.

Thanks for your work towards maintaining thorough procedural oversight for Outer Sunset development.

Sincerely,

Steven Metz

2090 Great Hwy, #202

San Francisco, CA 94116

smetz3939@gmail.com

home ph: 415.759.1709

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [geoffrey moore](#)
Cc: [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Coastal Zone Resolution - BOS File No. 240065 - LUT February 5, 2024
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 10:02:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

I am forwarding your comments to the members of the LUT committee, and I will include your comments in the file for this resolution matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

-

[Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: geoffrey moore <moore_geoffrey@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, February 2, 2024 7:46 PM
To: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Cc: Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary (BOS) <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Subject: Coastal Zone Resolution

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

John - I am hoping you might please consider amending the public comment legislative record for the meeting on Monday to discuss the resolution opposing California SB 951. Alternatively, if it is too late to submit comment for the meeting on Monday but a full Board resolution proceeds to Tuesday, then perhaps this email could be submitted as public comment for the Tuesday meeting.

I've looked through the public comment letters noted at the link further below, and have observed that the first 19 of them (as of the time of my email) appear not only to be automated form letters all arriving within the same one to two hour period this afternoon, but in each instance bear addresses that seem to be located nowhere near the coastal zone (and in at least one instance not even within the city).

While I recognize that every SF resident should have the right at all times to respectfully voice their own independent viewpoints about legislation, and that all viewpoints should be solicited, encouraged, and protected at all times, I am hopeful that the Supervisors will please consider focusing their review of public comment upon those informed voices and residents who actually live at the coastal zone, would be most directly impacted by the proposed legislation, and have taken the opportunity to directly provide their specific and reasoned opinions about coastal zone management priorities, as well as the established processes for managing those priorities.

In particular, each form letter includes the unsubstantiated assertion that Senator Weiner's legislation is "a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach" when in fact nothing could be further from the truth. The proposed legislation is simply a creative way to undermine the process for balancing environmental protections with reasonable development needs, and is directly at odds with existing state law and common-sense environmental stewardship. In addition, with respect to my neighborhood at Ocean Beach (where I have been a resident and public user of the coastal zone for several decades), SB 951 is fundamentally at odds with the enabling law of the Golden Gate National Recreation Area. That law *requires* the Secretary of the Interior to "preserve the recreation area, as far as possible, in its natural setting, and protect it from development and uses which would destroy the scenic beauty and natural character of the area."

(see <https://www.law.cornell.edu/uscode/text/16/460bb>). This is not an optional law, but a federal mandate, which goes hand in hand with both the spirit and the law of the California Coastal Act. Ocean Beach residents are familiar with this federal mandate because we have witnessed its enforcement first-hand. I'm fairly certain that many, if not all, of the individuals who have submitted automated prefilled form letters have not taken the opportunity to speak directly with the senior staff at the NPS to thank them for their stewardship and solicit their viewpoints about how seriously they view this mandate.

I certainly hope that Senator Weiner has considered the serious implications of inviting the Secretary of the Interior to come to San Francisco to take a closer look at Federal rule compliance alongside the State compliance issues at Ocean Beach. I'm very glad and thankful that the sponsoring Supervisors copied on this email are working hard to ensure that public comment and legislative reason are fully explored on this important issue. I sincerely hope that your colleagues will consider your resolution carefully, and embrace it as well.

Thanks again sincerely for your service,

Geoffrey Moore

<https://sfgov.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=12634978&GUID=217D904F-EEBB-46BF-A3CA-174038F743F7>

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Barbara Heffernan](#)
Subject: RE: California Senate Bill No. 951 and proposed resolution #240065 - BOS File No. 240065 - LUT February 5, 2024
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 10:02:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

I am forwarding your comments to the members of the LUT committee, and I will include your comments in the file for this resolution matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

- [Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Sent: Saturday, February 3, 2024 4:27 PM
To: Barbara Heffernan <barbarajheffernan@gmail.com>
Cc: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: California Senate Bill No. 951 and proposed resolution #240065

Ms. Heffernan,

Thank you for your support. I'm looping in the clerk of the Land Use Committee, John Carroll, so that your comments can be shared with the committee members and included in the file for this item.

Aaron

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Barbara Heffernan <barbarajheffernan@gmail.com>

Sent: Saturday, February 3, 2024 3:20:15 PM

To: BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; DorseyStaff (BOS) <DorseyStaff@sfgov.org>; EngardioStaff (BOS) <EngardioStaff@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff (BOS) <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary (BOS) <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; StefaniStaff (BOS) <stefanistaff@sfgov.org>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>

Subject: California Senate Bill No. 951 and proposed resolution #240065

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

February 3, 2024

Madam Mayor, Supervisors and Staff:

Re: I am writing to you in **support of the proposed resolution # 240065** in opposition to California Senate Bill No. 951.

Senator Wiener has been overly eager to set San Francisco up for special treatment. He added a late amendment to SB 423 requiring annual reviews on our progress toward meeting the HCD RHNA housing goals, while the rest of the state has 4 year reviews.

Now he is threatening us with SB 951 that would redraw the California Coastal Commission zone along the Pacific coast in San Francisco to take control over our coastline, potentially opening it to unlimited development. Many fear that he intends to expand that control to the entire Pacific Coast. We need to stop this bill from moving forward.

Supervisor Peskin prepared a response to SB 951.

Resolution 230065 was introduced at the Board of Supervisors Land Use Committee and is expected to go to the Full Board with positive recommendations. He has the full support of the neighborhood groups that are aware of the situation.

We hope the Board of Supervisors will pass this unanimously to send a strong message to Sacramento that Senator Wiener's actions against San Francisco are not appreciated by his constituents. We take his actions against us seriously.

Please support Peskin's resolution # 240065 and oppose Senator Wiener's SB 951.

Sincerely,

Barbara Heffernan,

District 2 resident
Cow Hollow Association Board
Member of Neighborhoods United San Francisco and CSFN

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [JJ Hollingsworth](#)
Subject: RE: California Senate Bill No. 951 - BOS File No. 240065 - LUT February 5, 2024
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 10:02:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

I am forwarding your comments to the members of the LUT committee, and I will include your comments in the file for this resolution matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

-

[Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, February 2, 2024 10:55 PM
To: JJ Hollingsworth <fortehouse1498@gmail.com>
Cc: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: California Senate Bill No. 951

Ms. Hollingsworth,

Thank you for your support. I'm looping in the clerk of the Land Use Committee, John Carroll, so that your comments can be shared with the committee members and included in the file for this item.

Aaron

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: JJ Hollingsworth <fortehouse1498@gmail.com>

Sent: Friday, February 2, 2024 9:20:03 PM

To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>

Subject: California Senate Bill No. 951

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Peskin,

I am writing you in support of the proposed resolution opposing California Senate Bill No. 951.

I have lived in the Outer Sunset since 1984. It's an outrage that City agencies believe they can walk over us while sidestepping proper procedures. The developers that buy off City officials can't hide their intentions anymore. The fast and furious pace of these meetings cannot outpace the scrutiny and outrage of this important tax base. We demand that due process not be thrown to the wind under the guise of addressing an emergency housing situation when there are other "avenues" besides our literal outer avenues that are much more reasonable and should be explored first to solve homelessness in our City.

The timing and multiple agendas seemingly taking place on the West Side of San Francisco deserve a much more measured approach with due process including careful review. The exemptions and changes in order to expedite perceived needs that "sound good" are likely mistakes that can't be undone. It's time to step back from the types of exemptions and exceptions made under the emergency order and re-establish the norms that will keep us moving forward as a community. The lack of process has torn apart the fabric of this community in many ways. I don't know if it will ever be repaired.

I and our local citizens group, The Outer Sunset Safer Streets Neighborhood Association support your efforts to preserve and support the California Coastal Commission and Act.

Sincerely,

Judy J. Hollingsworth
Outer Sunset Safer Streets Neighborhood Association 501 (c)(4)

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Jane Dunlap](#)
Subject: RE: : In Support of the CCC / CA - BOS File No. 240065 - LUT February 5, 2024
Date: Monday, February 5, 2024 10:02:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

I am forwarding your comments to the members of the LUT committee, and I will include your comments in the file for this resolution matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

-

[Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Sent: Monday, February 5, 2024 7:54 AM
To: Jane Dunlap <dunlapjc@att.net>
Cc: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: : In Support of the CCC / CA

Dear Ms. Dunlap,

Thank you for your support for the resolution I authored opposing SB 951. I'm looping in the clerk of the Land Use Committee, John Carroll, so that your comments can be shared with the committee members and included in the file (#240065) for this item.

Aaron Peskin

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Jane Dunlap <dunlapjc@att.net>
Sent: Monday, February 5, 2024 12:54:26 AM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Subject: : In Support of the CCC / CA

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor Peskin,

I'm writing to you in support of the proposed resolution opposing California Senate Bill No. 951. I've been a long time resident in western San Francisco having lived in the Outer Sunset for 20 years and before that the Outer Richmond.

In recent times, especially the last 4 years, I have witnessed city agencies, officials and private interest groups in alliance with them, circumventing proper protocol in an attempt to make permanent changes to the western districts in regards to development, zoning and roadway changes. This is not right.

I am aware of the state housing mandates and the urgency it creates for San Francisco to build more housing, but to do it recklessly and without going through the appropriate review process is unsafe and destructive to the fabric of the community and the environment. I am saddened and disillusioned that our city agencies are pushing to create changes exempt from a measured

analysis and review process, without care for the health and welfare of the western neighborhood constituents nor the natural environment of the Ocean Beach area.

I heartily support your endeavors to protect and uphold the California Coastal Commission and Act.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jane Dunlap
Sunset District, San Francisco

From: [Sam Jividen](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Friday, February 2, 2024 4:39:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Before I get into the meat of this letter, I wanted to make a clear statement that supervisors Peskin & Preston are boomer clowns.

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Sam Jividen
sam.jividen@me.com
100 Van Ness Ave, Apt 2416
San Francisco, California 94102

From: [Richard Frankel](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Friday, February 2, 2024 4:34:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Richard Frankel
rfrank1@yahoo.com
1699 17th Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Ian Miller](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Friday, February 2, 2024 3:14:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Ian Miller
ianmiller2606@gmail.com
77 Van Ness Ave
San Francisco, California 94102

From: [Arvind Ramesh](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Friday, February 2, 2024 3:14:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Arvind Ramesh
arvinddd2003@gmail.com
2060 Sutter St. #203
San Francisco, California 94115

From: [Hesham Assabahi](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Friday, February 2, 2024 3:25:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Hesham Assabahi
heshamwolf20@yahoo.com
330 Sanchez St
San Francisco, California 94114

From: [Alex Gripshover](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Friday, February 2, 2024 3:27:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Alex Gripshover
agripshover@gmail.com
2299 Market St #402
San Francisco, California 94114

From: [Mark Dally](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Friday, February 2, 2024 3:32:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Mark Dally
markrdally@gmail.com
2302 Valdez St #643
San Francisco, California 94103

From: [Marty Cerles](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Friday, February 2, 2024 3:40:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Marty Cerles
martycerles@gmail.com
2890 California St
San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Mahdi Salmani Rahimi](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Friday, February 2, 2024 3:41:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Mahdi Salmani Rahimi
m.s.rahimi@gmail.com
521 ELLSWORTH ST
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94110

From: [Mahdi Salmani Rahimi](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Friday, February 2, 2024 3:41:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Mahdi Salmani Rahimi
m.s.rahimi@gmail.com
521 ELLSWORTH ST
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94110

From: [Andrew Day](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Friday, February 2, 2024 3:43:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Andrew Day
aday.nu@gmail.com
1125 Stevenson St
San Francisco, California 94103

From: [Mark Macy](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Friday, February 2, 2024 3:46:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Mark Macy
markm@macyarchitecture.com
241 10th Avenue
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Tommaso Sciortino](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Friday, February 2, 2024 3:48:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Tommaso Sciortino
sciortino@gmail.com
744 65th Street
Oakland, California 94609

From: [Jessica Perla](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Friday, February 2, 2024 3:52:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Jessica Perla
jessica@jperla.com
1010 16TH ST, APT 370
San Francisco, California 94107

From: [Ted Getten](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Friday, February 2, 2024 3:55:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Ted Getten
Ted.getten@gmail.com
24 Elsie Street
San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Sarah Rogers](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Friday, February 2, 2024 3:56:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Sarah Rogers
serogers@gmail.com
371 Elsie Street, , false
San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Ira Kaplan](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Friday, February 2, 2024 3:57:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Ira Kaplan
iradkaplan@gmail.com
1406 Kearny Street
San Francisco, California 94133

From: [Brad Bulger](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Friday, February 2, 2024 4:01:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

If I truly have to even explain why a No vote is called for here then you are probably already a lost cause. Nonetheless, we persist.

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Brad Bulger
sfbos@bulgerlists.org
1188 Mission St #1201
San Francisco, California 94103

From: [Bryan Harry](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Please oppose Item 4 (cynically opposing SB951)
Date: Friday, February 2, 2024 4:14:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk John Carroll,

Please oppose Item 4 on the agenda at Land Use and Transportation this Monday, opposing SB951. This is a performative, non-binding measure that does nothing to solve actual problems. Senator Wiener has introduced smart legislation that the City and County of San Francisco is a co-sponsor of, and this is exactly the kind of creative thinking we need.

We do not need to be protecting Safeway parking lots or already developed land in San Francisco. The Coastal Commission adds additional layers of bureaucracy that frequently delay or deny housing. This targets a narrow swath of what is in the Coastal Zone in San Francisco, touching only 5% of the land in it. It's a creative way of protecting actual coastal resources like Ocean Beach while allowing for smart redevelopment opportunities on already developed lots in San Francisco.

As a designer at an architecture firm who has worked on projects in the coastal commission zone, I have experienced the difficulty that working with the commission can bring to a project. I think SB951 is a great idea, and encourage you to oppose Item 4.

Thank you for your attention in this matter!

Bryan Harry
harrybryana@gmail.com
725 Florida Street, Unit 7
San Francisco, California 94110

President Peskin:

Your proposed resolution (File #240065) opposing SB 951 (Wiener) says exactly what the San Francisco Board of Supervisors must say. State Senator Wiener's attempt to eliminate the California Coastal Commission jurisdiction in "urban" San Francisco is outrageous and undoubtedly the first step towards gutting the Coastal Commission's authority.

Planning Department officials have made improper public statements supporting SB 951 as getting "rid of a bunch of extra bureaucracy that's not doing anyone any good." This could not be further from the truth.

Since the legislature passed the Coastal Act in 1976, the California Coastal Commission has protected coastal resources and access. As your resolution points out, the basis of SB 951 is a false narrative related to housing.

It would seem SB 951 is crafted to begin turning Ocean Beach into Miami Beach. As San Franciscans, we must prevent this from happening. I strongly SUPPORT File #240065.

Thank you.
Evan Rosen

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: "Evan Rosen"
Cc: [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Low, Jen \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Smeallie, Kyle \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Angulo, Sunny \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Strongly SUPPORTING BOS File #240065 - LUT February 5, 2024
Date: Friday, February 2, 2024 9:54:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

I am forwarding your comments to the members of the LUT committee, and I will include your comments in the file for this resolution matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

-

[Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Evan Rosen <er@sonic.net>
Sent: Thursday, February 1, 2024 6:40 PM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Cc: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Strongly SUPPORTING BOS File #240065

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: cperkinssf@yahoo.com
Cc: [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Low, Jen \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Smeallie, Kyle \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Angulo, Sunny \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Please preserve the Coastal Act! - BOS File No. 240065 - LUT February 5, 2024
Date: Friday, February 2, 2024 9:53:00 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

I am forwarding your comments to the members of the LUT committee, and I will include your comments in the file for this resolution matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

-

[Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, February 1, 2024 9:22 PM
To: cperkinssf@yahoo.com
Cc: Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Please preserve the Coastal Act!

Looping in Land Use Committee Clerk John Carroll who will include your comments in the record for this file. Thank you.

Aaron Peskin

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Charles Perkins <cperkinssf@yahoo.com>
Sent: Thursday, February 1, 2024 4:47:24 PM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Cc: Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>
Subject: Please preserve the Coastal Act!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear President Peskin,

I wholeheartedly support your effort to preserve the California Coastal Act. In this time of environmental crisis, including rising sea levels and other disruptions to the coastal zone, Senator Wiener's bill to *weaken* this incredibly important law that provides at least one layer of oversight of land use in that area is inexcusable. How the Senator can fashion himself as an environmentalist, who's concerned about climate change, yet sponsor a bill like this that weakens oversight of coastal development is beyond me. In any event, I laud you for your effort to preserve this important state law and fully support your proposed resolution opposing his actions in this regard.

If it's not too late to include my comment in the public record on your resolution, please do.

Charles Perkins
District 7

From: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
To: [geoffrey moore](#)
Cc: [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Low, Jen \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Smeallie, Kyle \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Angulo, Sunny \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: RE: Support for the Coastal Act and proposed resolution - BOS File No. 240065
Date: Thursday, February 1, 2024 4:54:00 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Thank you for your comment letter.

I am forwarding your comments to the members of the LUT committee, and I will include your comments in the file for this resolution matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our [Legislative Research Center](#) by following the link below:

-

[Board of Supervisors File No. 240065](#)

John Carroll
Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415)554-4445



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, February 1, 2024 3:31 PM
To: geoffrey moore <moore_geoffrey@yahoo.com>
Cc: Carroll, John (BOS) <john.carroll@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Support for the Coastal Act and proposed resolution

Mr. Moore,

Thank you for your support. I'm looping in the clerk of the Land Use Committee, John Carroll, so that your comments can be shared with the committee members and included in the file for this item.

Aaron

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: geoffrey moore <moore_geoffrey@yahoo.com>

Sent: Thursday, February 1, 2024 2:59:38 PM

To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>

Subject: Support for the Coastal Act and proposed resolution

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mr. Peskin - I am writing in support of the proposed resolution opposing California Senate Bill No. 951, noted in the link at the bottom of this email message.

My support is driven not only by the general sentiment expressed in the comment letter from Sumer Lee, but also as a multi-decade resident of Ocean Beach who has observed natural erosion alongside some very troubling management of the public's resources which seems inconsistent with the "managed retreat" principles of the Coastal Act.

I am unable to attend in person on Monday, and I am not sure if the period for public comment has now passed for that meeting, or a future full Board meeting - however, I hope that you might please consider sharing my comments your fellow supervisors as follows - "we do indeed have a housing crisis, but we also have an environmental one, and a need for good balanced stewardship of our public resources. Reducing the coastal zone boundaries when those boundaries are already facing rising seas and beach erosion is not a sustainable answer to critical housing questions, nor to significant environmental and natural resource challenges. I hope that the Board will respectfully encourage Senator Weiner to revisit his proposed legislation while also embracing the careful and balanced work of the experts at the California Coastal Commission."

Thank you sincerely for your service Mr. Peskin.
Geoffrey Moore

>> proposed resolution

<https://sfgov.legistar.com/LegislationDetail.aspx?ID=6496713&GUID=E73A8A3A-C945-45F0-A812-C36133E14FCC>

From: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Angulo, Sunny \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: Thank you for opposing SB 951
Date: Friday, January 26, 2024 3:56:15 PM

Please include in file 240065

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Summer Lee <summerleeart@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, January 26, 2024 2:09:43 PM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>
Subject: Thank you for opposing SB 951

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisor Peskin,

As an artist working with the CCC and CMAC over the several past years I have met you and heard you at many important Chinatown events, the last at the press conference for the new CCC Grant Ave building.

Now I want to thank you for opposing SB 951. Besides art, I participate in the struggle for truly affordable housing and environmental advocacy and my friends and I are appalled at Wiener's corrupt attack on the Coastal Commission, fueled by the developer and realtor lobby, amounting to destruction of the public common resource of the coastline, the subsidized production of unaffordable, upscale housing that is in some cases in hazardous areas (to be bailed out by future tax payer money), and tragically further displacing working San Franciscans.

Wiener and his friends in government have perverted the affordable housing crisis into a developer give-away and de-regulation nightmare.

I am heartened that you are on the just side of this issue and I profoundly thank you.

Summer Mei Ling Lee