1	[Vacation of easements in approximately 260 square foot portion of the N2 mid-block walkwa			
2	(Assessor's Block 8706, Lot 2)]			
3				
4	Ordinance ordering the vacation of two (2) portions of the pedestrian ingress and			
5	egress easement and public utility easement in the N2 mid-block walkway (Assessor's			
6	Block 8706, Lot 2), within the Mission Bay North Redevelopment Project Area;			
7	authorizing a quitclaim of the City and County of San Francisco's rights and interest in			
8	the vacated areas; adopting findings that such actions are consistent with the City's			
9	General Plan, the eight priority policies of City's Planning Code Section 101.1 and the			
10	Mission Bay North Redevelopment Plan; accepting Department of Public Works Order			
11	No. 174,111; and authorizing other official acts in connection with this ordinance.			
12				
13	Note: Additions are <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman</u> ;			
14	deletions are strikethrough italics Times New Roman. Board amendment additions are double underlined.			
15	Board amendment deletions are strikethrough normal.			
16	Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:			
17				
18	Section 1. FINDINGS.			
19	(a) On, 2003, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution			
20	No, a copy of which is in Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No.			
21	, being a Resolution of Intention to Order the vacation of two (2) portions of the			
22	pedestrian ingress and egress easement and public utility easements (collectively, the			
23	"Easement") in the N2 – Mid-block walkway (Assessor's Block 8706, Lot 2), within the Mission			
24	Bay North Redevelopment Project Area, (the "Resolution of Intention"). The general location			
25	and extent of such areas to be vacated are described as follows:			

1 Two (2) portions of the Pedestrian Ingress and Egress Easement and Public Utility 2 Easement in the N2 Mid-block walkway (Assessor's Block 8706, Lot 2) consisting of 3 approximately 260 square feet, as shown on the Department of Public Works' SUR 4 Map No. 5003, dated May 12, 2003, and are more particularly described in the legal descriptions attached to such map (collectively, the "Easement Vacation Area"). 5 6 Copies of such map and legal descriptions are on file with the Clerk of the Board of 7 Supervisors in File No. _____. 8 The Clerk of the Board of Supervisors did transmit to the Director of the 9 Department of Public Works a certified copy of the Resolution of Intention and the Director of 10 the Department of Public Works did cause notice of adoption of such resolution to be posted 11 and published in the manner required by law. 12 When such matter was considered as scheduled by the Board of Supervisors at 13 its regular meeting held in the City Hall, San Francisco, on ______, 2003, beginning 14 at approximately 2:00 P.M., the Board heard all persons interested in such vacation. 15 In DPW Order No. 174,111, dated May 7, 2003 the Director of the Department of 16 Public Works and the City Engineer found and determined that with respect to the vacation of 17 the Easement in the Easement Vacation Area, (i) there are no public utility facilities located or 18 to be located in the Easement Vacation Area, (ii) the vacation of the Easement in the 19 Easement Vacation Area is consistent with the purpose and intent of the Mission Bay North 20 Redevelopment Plan, (iii) the quitclaim of the Easement in the Easement Vacation Area will 21 not be materially detrimental to the public welfare or injurious to other property in the area, 22 and (iv) the Easement Vacation Area is no longer needed for present or prospective public 23 pedestrian ingress/egress or for public utility purposes. A copy of the DPW Order is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. _____ and is incorporated by

reference as though fully set forth herein. The Board of Supervisors adopts as its own the

24

25

1	findings and determinations of the City Engineer and the Director of Public Works as set forth
2	in DPW Order No. 174,111 and approves their recommendation in connection with the
3	proposed vacation of the Easement in the Easement Vacation Area.

- (e) In a letter dated May 13, 2003 (the "Planning Department's Letter"), the City Planning Department determined that the proposed vacation and other actions are consistent with the General Plan consistency findings of Case No. 96.771R, the eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1 and the contemplated actions do not trigger the need for subsequent environmental review pursuant the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) (California Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.). A copy of the Planning Department letter is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No.

 ______ and is incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein. The Board of Supervisors adopts as its own the consistency and CEQA findings of the City Planning Department as set forth in the Planning Department Letter in connection with the proposed vacation of the Easement in the Easement Vacation Area.
- (f) In a letter dated May 21, 2003 (the "Agency Letter"), the Redevelopment Agency found the proposed vacation and other actions are "consistent with the Mission Bay North Redevelopment Plan and Plan Documents, described therein, and recommends Board of Supervisors approval". A copy of the Agency Letter is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. _____ and is incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein. The Board of Supervisors adopts as its own the consistency findings of the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency as set forth in the Agency Letter in connection with the proposed vacation of the Easement in the Easement Vacation Area.
- (g) The vacation of the Easement in the Easement Vacation Area is being taken pursuant to California Streets and Highways Code sections 8300 et seq. and Public Works Code Section 787(a).

1	(h) From all the evidence submitted at the public hearing noticed in the Resolution of		
2	Intention and the materials on file with the Clerk of the Board in File No, the		
3	Board of Supervisors finds that the Easement Vacation Area, as described in such resolution,		
4	is unnecessary for present or prospective public use.		
5	(i) The public interest, convenience and necessity require that no other easements or		
6	other rights be reserved for any public utility facilities that are in place in such Easement		
7	Vacation Area and that any rights based upon any such pedestrian ingress and egress or		
8	public utility facilities are extinguished.		
9	(j) The public interest and convenience require that the vacation be done as declared		
10	in the Resolution of Intention.		
11	Section 2. The Easement in the Easement Vacation Area is hereby ordered vacated in		
12	the manner described in the Resolution of Intention and pursuant to California Streets and		
13	Highways Code sections 8300 et seq. and Public Works Code Section 787(a).		
14	Section 3. The Board of Supervisors hereby directs the Clerk of the Board of		
15	Supervisors to transmit to the Director of Public Works a certified copy of this Ordinance, and		
16	the Board of Supervisors hereby urges the Director of Public Works and Director of Property		
17	to proceed in the manner required by law. The Clerk of the Board is also hereby directed to		
18	transmit to the Director of Public Works a certified copy of this Ordinance so that this		
19	Ordinance may be recorded together with the other Property Exchange Agreement		
20	documents through a Joint Escrow.		
21	Section 4. The Board of Supervisors hereby authorizes the Director of Property to		
22	execute the quitclaim deed on behalf of the City quitclaiming the City's interest in the		
23	Easement in the Easement Vacation Area to Catellus.		
24	Section 5. All actions heretofore taken by the officers of the City with respect to such		

vacation of the Easement in the Easement Vacation Area are hereby approved, confirmed

25

1	and ratified, and the Mayor, Clerk of the Board, Director of Property, and Director of Public		
2	Works are hereby authorized and directed to take any and all actions that they or the City		
3	Attorney may deem necessary or advisable in order to effectuate the purpose and intent of		
4	this Ordinance (including, without limitation, the filing of the Ordinance in the Official Records		
5	of the City and County of San Francisco and confirmation of satisfaction of any of the		
6	conditions to the effectiveness of the vacation of the Easement in the Easement Vacation		
7	Area).		
8			
9			
10	RECOMMENDED:	RECOMMENDED:	
11	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS	DIRECTOR OF PROPERTY	
12			
13	By:	By:	
14	Edwin M. Lee	Steve Legnitto	
15	Director of Public Works	Acting Director of Property	
16			
17	RECOMMENDED:	APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
18	DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS	DENNIS J. HERRERA	
19		City Attorney	
20			
21	By:	By:	
22	Harlan L. Kelly, Jr.	John D. Malamut	
23	City Engineer	Deputy City Attorney	
24			
25			