File Nu Pro	hber:ded by Clerk of Board of Supervisors)				
`	Grant Ordinance Information Form (Effective July 2011)				
	Accompanies proposed Board of Supervisors ordinances authorizing a Department to accept and grant funds.				
The fol	wing describes the grant referred to in the accompanying resolution:				
1.	Grant Title: California Board of State and Community Corrections – Youth Programs and Facilities Grant				
2.	Department: Juvenile Probation Department				
3.	contact Person: Verónica Martínez Telephone: 415-680-8451				
4.	Grant Approval Status (check one):				
	(] Approved by funding agency [] Not yet approved				
5.	Amount of Grant Funding Approved or Applied for: \$152,571				
6.	b. Matching Funds Required: N/A b. Source(s) of matching funds (if applicable): N/A				
7,	Grant Source Agency: California Board of State and Community Corrections Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicable): N/A				
The Fac imp	Proposed Grant Project Summary: Board of State and Community Corrections (BSCC) released the Youth Programs and Ities Grant (YPFG) opportunity to support California counties infrastructure and overwents to programs, services, and facilities serving youth who are realigned to ties as a result of the closure of the Division of Juvenile Justice.				
9.	9. Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in approval documents, or as proposed:				
	tart-Date: June 10, 2021 End-Date: June 1, 2024				
10	lumber of new positions created and funded: Zero				
11.	explain the disposition of employees once the grant ends? N/A				
12	 2. a. Amount budgeted for contractual services: \$0.00 b. Will contractual services be put out to bid? N/A c. If so, will contract services help to further the goals of the Department's Local Business Enterprise (LBE) requirements? N/A d. Is this likely to be a one-time or ongoing request for contracting out? N/A 				
13	Does the budget include indirect costs? [] Yes [X] No 1. If yes, how much? N/A 2. How was the amount calculated? N/A 1. If no, why are indirect costs not included?				

[] Not allowed by granting agency	[X] To maximize use of grant funds on direct services				
[] Other (please explain):					
c. 2. If no indirect costs are include	ed, what would have been the indirect costs?				
10% or \$15.257					

14. Any other significant grant requirements or comments: Prohibits supplantation.

Disability Access Checklist*					
15. This Grant is intended for activities at (check all that apply):					
[X] Existing Site(s) [] Rehabilitated Site(s) [] New Site(s)	[X] Existing Structure(s)[] Rehabilitated Structure(s)[X] New Structure(s)	[] Existing Program(s) or Service(s) [] New Program(s) or Service(s)			
16. The Departmental ADA Coordinator or the Mayor's Office on Disability have reviewed the proposal and concluded that the project as proposed will be in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and all other Federal, State and local access laws and regulations and will allow the full inclusion of persons with disabilities, or will require unreasonable hardship exceptions, as described in the comments section:					
Comments:					
Departmental ADA Coordinator or Mayor's Office of Disability Reviewer:					
Preston Treichel					
(Name)					
HR Director					
(Title)		Right			
Date Reviewed: <u>05/05/2022</u>		(Signature Required)			
(Signature Nequired)					
Overall Department Head or Designee Approval:					
Katherine Weinstein Miller (Name)					
Chief Juvenile Probation Officer (Title)					
Date Reviewed: 05/05/22	(Signature Required)				