

From: [Amy Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:25:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy Chen
stopunfairhousinglegislation@gmail.com
109 El Camino Real
San Bruno, California 94066

From: [Jamie Hua](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:29:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jamie Hua
jamieleehua@gmail.com
490 Ellington Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Jamie Hua](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:30:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jamie Hua
jamieleehua@gmail.com
490 Ellington Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Amy Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:35:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy Chen
stopunfairhousinglegislation@gmail.com
109 El Camino Real
San Bruno, California 94066

From: [Ritu Vohra](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:44:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc.

In contrast some of these expenses have sky-rocketed due to higher water/ electricity usage since people are working or at home most of the time, now. The wear and tear due to regular usage has increased manifold especially for older buildings which has already increased the costs for homeowners to maintain their properties. So without any respite from the government or the impact of any ordinance, the landlords have already seen their expenses increase by 20-30%.

This ordinance potentially wipes out all rent paid in COVID-19 times by tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions,

nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

In events that tenants decide to walk-out on properties without paying rent after the moratorium is over, it leaves landlords absolutely no ability to recover the rent, without hiring an attorney or taking help from the courts. Costs associated with such recovery efforts will immediately wash out any recovery amounts. In many cases, many landlords even don't know the names of their tenants, so efforts to recover are slim to none. This legislation is a significant event that will decimate their financial well-being.

The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ritu Vohra
ritu_vohra@hotmail.com
1157 Church street
San francisco, California 94114

From: [Amy Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:02:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy Chen
stopunfairhousinglegislation@gmail.com
109 El Camino Real
San Bruno, California 94066

From: [Meina Young](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:05:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Meina Young
SF voter and

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Meina Young
meinayoung1@gmail.com
Anza
San Francisco , California 94118

From: [ALCh](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:11:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Al Ch
rentsftoday@gmail.com
3001 Baker
San Francisco, California 94123

From: [Janice Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:20:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Janice Lee
janiceflee@gmail.com
490 Ellington Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Amy Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:30:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy Chen
stopunfairhousinglegislation@gmail.com
109 El Camino Real
San Bruno, California 94066

From: [Pete Liwinsky](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:43:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Pete Liwinsky
pinktest@hotmail.com
25th ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Amy Chu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:43:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy Chu
amycalifornia2016@yahoo.com
2901 Ocean Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Albert Xue](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:55:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Albert Xue
ayxue@yahoo.com
4220 Suzanne Drive
Palo Alto, CA 94306

From: [Annie Fu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:58:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Annie Fu
anniecustomdesigns@yahoo.com
41 Exetet st
Sf , California 94124

From: [Olga Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:58:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Olga Lee
Olga_lee_sf@yahoo.com
28 Gloria Ct.
San Francisco, Colorado CA 94113

From: [Johnny Zhou](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 12:03:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Johnny Zhou
johnzhousf@yahoo.com
1250 Sunnydale Ave
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Myron Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 12:06:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Myron Lee
Sfmelee@hotmail.com
718 34th Ave
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Sarah Zhu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 12:13:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sarah Zhu
zhushuangxia@hotmail.com
5572 Dartmouth Dr
San José , California 95128

From: [Kwan Tam Sun](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 12:16:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kwan Tam Sun
tampeggy888@gmail.com
265 san leandro way
san Francisco , California 94127

From: [Stacy Zhang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 12:22:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Stacy Zhang
mzs282@yahoo.com
305 Valdez Ave
San Francisco, California 94127-2123

From: [Man Yip](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 12:29:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Man Yip
manyuyip999@gmail.com
454 Lisbon street
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Andy Cen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 12:40:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Andy Cen

andycen888@yahoo.com

Lee Avenue

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Pete Shen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 12:40:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Pete Shen
shenmanagement@gmail.com
19 La Mancha Cir
Salinas , California 93905

From: [Judy Young](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 12:50:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Judy Young

lid999@yahoo.com

22nd avenue

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Yang Yuan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 12:52:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yang Yuan

yanyuan.cn@gmail.com

2165 48th ave

Oakland, California 94601

From: [Dave Carl](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 1:03:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Dave Carl
nnat006@yahoo.com
22nd Ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [David Zhen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 1:15:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

David Zhen
davidwhzhen@gmail.com
22nd Avenue
San Francisco , Ca94116

From: 3machunchi@gmail.com
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 1:17:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

3machunchi@gmail.com

PO Box 15133

Fremont, California 94539

From: [Joyce Jiao](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 1:21:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joyce Jiao
joycej1999@gmail.com
7578 Rainbow Dr
Cupertino , California 95014

From: [Kenny Tang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 1:23:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kenny Tang

kentang88@gmail.com

567-12ave

San Francisco Ca, California 94118

From: [Vicky Mason](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 1:23:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vicky Mason

vickyli@hotmail.com

337 head street

San francisco, California 94132

From: [Min To](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 1:30:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Min To
minhong888@gmail.com
1388 Broadway #401
Millbrae , California 94030

From: [George Wu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 1:31:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

George Wu
okwujingyu@gmail.com
1821 Sacramento st
Berkeley, California 94702

From: [Elaine Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 1:35:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Elaine Chen
elainechen8@gmail.com
Colby&Woolsey Street
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Amy Pan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 1:41:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy Pan
amygpan@gmail.com
228 University street
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [James Carrington](#)
To: [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: NO NO NO on #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 1:41:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

> On May 30, 2020, at 2:51 PM, James Carrington <studioworks@gmail.com> wrote:

>

> Ms. Safai,

> Please tell me how we are to pay our mortgage, property taxes, insurance, etc, etc?? #200375 is so completely UNFAIR to long term owners of small properties. Why put the burden on us? We are the property owners who keep rents fair, properties in good shape for tenants, and property tax payers for many years. Do not allow this unfair treatment.

> J. & D. Carrington

From: [Wendy Luo](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 1:42:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wendy Luo

wluo02@yahoo.com

575 majestic palm ave

Fremont , California 94539

From: [Lichang Kuang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 1:53:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lichang Kuang
likuang1218@yahoo.com
1519 41rd Ave
SF, California 94122

From: [jifen_mao](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 1:58:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

jifen mao

maojifen@yahoo.com

1342 22nd Ave

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Sophia Shan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 2:09:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sophia Shan
yueshan916@yahoo.com
1530 19th Ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Langtian Du](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 2:12:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Langtian Du
langtian_du@yahoo.com
20450 Williams Ave
Saratoga, California 95070

From: [Christina Aassi](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 2:41:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Christina Aassi

christinaguoln@yahoo.com

1977 jonquil cmn

Livermore, California 94551

From: [Nyh Chan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 2:48:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Nyh Chan
nyh@properb.com
2466 2x Ave
SF, California 94116

From: [Purvi Sahu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 2:48:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Purvi Sahu

lovelyforva@yahoo.com

1914 golden gate avenue

San Francisco , California 94115

From: [Ankit Sahu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 2:49:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ankit Sahu

ankit.sahu@gmail.com

24 Bosworth st

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Annie Zeng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 2:49:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Annie Zeng
anniezeng@gmail.com
270 Broad Street
Sf, California 94112

From: [James Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:01:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

James Li

jianminliusa@yahoo.com

15880 rose ave

Los Gatos, California 95030

From: [Eddy Wang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:25:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eddy Wang

eddy874@yahoo.com

36ave

San Francisco, Colorado CA94121

From: [Tif Ren](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:25:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tif Ren
hren001@hotmail.com
50 Frida Kahlo Way, C4
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Joanne L](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:28:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joanne L
moonriver133@gmail.com
38th ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [p. Guan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:28:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

p Guan
pg1434n@gmail.com
18th Ave & Rivera St
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Amy H](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:29:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy H
amycalifornia2016@yahoo.com
2901 Ocean Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [wendy Maclay](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:30:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

wendy Maclay
wenxia.home@gmail.com
690 Brockhurst st
Oakland , California 94609

From: [jennifer.yan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:32:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

jennifer yan

jennifer.yan@gmail.com

1598 Bay St Unit 405

San Francisco, California 94123

From: [Garret Tom](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:42:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Garret Tom
gntom@bu.edu
684 Funston Ave
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Rita Koutsoftas](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 3:54:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Rita Koutsoftas

ritakoutsoftas60@gmail.com

Joost Avenue

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Lily Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 4:09:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lily Li

Lilycuili@hotmail.com

5251 Missions

San Francisco , Ca 94112

From: [Ivan Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 4:19:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ivan Lee
datoufut@hotmail.com
492 45th Ave
SF, California 94121

From: [George Zhou](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 4:30:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

George Zhou
georgezhou_sf@yahoo.com
228 Oneida Ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [jing.zhang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 4:48:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jing Zhang

ilikekk@gmail.com

250 King Street

San Francisco, California 94107

From: [Renee Voss](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 4:51:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Renee Voss

Renee@vossmgmt.com

999 green street #1901

San Francisco , California 94133

From: [Jason Chan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 5:16:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking blue collar property owner who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jason Chan

jasoncccchan@gmail.com

705 Foerster St.

San Francisco , California 94127

From: [AmyAmya Wong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 5:23:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

AmyAmya Wong
amyw4889@msn.com
139 Farallones st.
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [AmyAmya Wong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 5:23:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

AmyAmya Wong
amyw4889@msn.com
139 Farallones st.
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Hong Gao](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 5:31:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hong Gao

hongpgao@yahoo.com

2907 Agua Vista Drive

San Jose, California 95132

From: [Jess Chui](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 5:40:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jess Chui
chui.jess@gmail.com
239 Alpha st
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Warren Fang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 5:49:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Warren Fang
warrenfang228@gmail.com
295 Orizaba Ave
San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Janice Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 5:50:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Janice Lee
janiceflee@gmail.com
490 Ellington Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Tina Fan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 5:51:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tina Fan

tfan38@gmail.com

687 Colby street

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Hong Lam](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:04:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

I would like to add, this permanent ban evict is almost like the looters who took merchandise from the store illegally. Business owner now have double what. First covid and now this ban on eviction.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hong Lam
hongmlam@hotmail.com
1707 McKinnon ave
San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Wenyng Shi](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:08:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wenying Shi
swy0415@gmail.com
2158 san jose ave A
Alameda , California 94501

From: [Wenyng Shi](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:09:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wenying Shi
swy0415@gmail.com
2158 san jose ave A
Alameda , California 94501

From: [Yan Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:11:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yan Chen

Angelinachen0609@yahoo.com

51 Cary Ct

Oakland , California 94603

From: tonyleetonylee@yahoo.com
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:12:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

tonyleetonylee@yahoo.com

PO Box 411473

San Francisco, California 94141

From: [John Chin](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:30:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

John Chin
jonshee92@gmail.com
255 berry street apt 521
San francisco, California 94158

From: [Tony Lin](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:34:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tony Lin
tonylinsf@yahoo.com
139 Evergreen ave
Daly City , California 94014

From: [Chao ping xu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:42:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chao ping xu
chinaubt@yahoo.com
320 London st
San francisco, California 94112

From: [Allen Luu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:51:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Allen Luu
mmadbull@hotmail.com
1500 Gibbons Dr
Alameda, California 94501

From: [Eva Yung](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:55:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eva Yung
eyung78@yahoo.com
Middlefield
Redwood City , California 94063

From: [Chris Bank](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:55:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chris Bank
christopher.bank@gmail.com
530 brannan st #310
San Francisco , California 94107

From: [Christopher Do](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 6:56:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Christopher Do
chrisdo@sbcglobal.net
1574 church Street
San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Simon Chu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 7:03:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Simon Chu
jackimon@yahoo.com
230 Lake Drive
San Bruno, California 94066

From: [Jenny Feng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 7:25:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jenny Feng
jfeng59@mail.ccsf.edu
228 Thrift Street
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Cindy Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 7:31:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cindy Li
licindy34@yahoo.com
2626 Phelps Street
San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Kam sum Tong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 7:48:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kam sum Tong
Kamtong69@yahoo.com
224 Orizaba Ave
San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Anita Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 7:52:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anita Lee

atom1522@yahoo.com

PO Box 590035

San Francisco, California 94159

From: [Bin Gu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 8:35:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bin Gu
binlanggu@yahoo.com
Hollenbeck ave
Sunnyvale, California 94087

From: [Ping Yu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 9:10:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ping Yu

pingyu30@hotmail.com

15 sand harbor road

Alameda , California 94502

From: [Laurie Guan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 9:56:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Laurie Guan
laurieguan@yahoo.com
90 Rae Ave.
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Lu Yuan Wei](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:06:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lu Yuan Wei
luyuanwei921@gmail.com
325 Paul Ave
San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Li Yang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:06:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Li Yang

jplyang@gmail.com

1711 32nd ave

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Qiao Yi Guan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:09:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Qiao Yi Guan
xiyouji0607@yahoo.com
762 Colby St
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Elmer Wei](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:10:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Elmer Wei
elmersuferwei@gmail.com
762 Colby Street
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Lin c Kung](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:21:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lin c Kung
angel-kung@hotmail.com
211 oak park Drive
San Francisco , California 94131

From: [Hong Xie](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:28:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hong Xie
omemory2010@gmail.com
320 Mackintosh Terrace
Fremont, California 94539-3923

From: [Meijiao Liang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:31:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Meijiao Liang
g6gillgill@gmail.com
518 Russia Ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Iver Hystad](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 10:37:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Iver Hystad
iverh@hotmail.com
75 Linda Street
San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Weigang Yu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:00:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Weigang Yu
frankyu2010@gmail.com
320 Mackintosh Terrace
Fremont, California 94539-3923

From: [Xinping Ning](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:10:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xinping Ning
cayugahomerental@gmail.com
1350 Cayuga Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Weigang Yu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:23:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Weigang Yu
frankyu2010@gmail.com
320 Mackintosh Terrace
Fremont, California 94539-3923

From: [Tiffany Fang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:29:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tiffany Fang
tiffanyf08@live.com
320 Mackintosh Terrace
Fremont, California 94539-3923

From: [Tiffany Fang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:32:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tiffany Fang
tiffanyf08@live.com
320 Mackintosh Terrace
Fremont, California 94539-3923

From: [Ashley Fang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:33:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ashley Fang
ashleyf320@gmail.com
320 Mackintosh Terrace
Fremont, California 94539-3923

From: [Helen McClure](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Friday, June 5, 2020 11:47:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Helen McClure

hmcclure@pierce.ctc.edu

547 Castro St.

San Francisco , Washington 98499

From: [Conny Lin](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:20:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Conny Lin
connylin66@hotmail.com
133 cross street
San Francisco , Utah 84112

From: [Sandy Tom](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:33:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sandy Tom
mootomtom@gamil.com
1534 46th ave
san francisco , California 94122

From: [Win Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:52:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Win Chen
win11713@gmail.com
Guttenberg st
Sf, California 94112

From: [Shelly Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:54:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shelly Chen
ericjee88@yahoo.com
168 Shawnee Ave
Sf, California 94112

From: [Annie Liang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 1:02:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Annie Liang
lianganne82@gmail.com
1568 Geneva Ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Sophia Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 1:09:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sophia Chen
csophua@yahoo.com
945 TAraval street 203
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Anita Lau](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 5:56:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anita Lau
ahlau399@gmail.com
43 John St
San Francisco, California 94133

From: [Suet-Yim Lau](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:22:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Suet-yim Lau

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Suet-Yim Lau
47irving@gmail.com
2545 Irving St.
San Francisco, Ca 94122

From: [Tina Wong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:27:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tina Wong
tinawong28@gmail.com
547-25 ave
San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Lisa Baltodano](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:43:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lisa Baltodano
lisa.baltodano@yahoo.com
8195 Primoak Way
Elk Grove, California 95758

From: [Judy Louie](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:45:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Judy Louie
judyklouie@yahoo.com
Center St
Millbrae , California 94030

From: [Linda Zhu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:55:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Linda Zhu

lindahzhu@yahoo.com

1119 Bending Willow Way

Pittsburg , California 94565

From: [Rose Kong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:56:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Rose Kong
rosek10@gmail.com
1384 10th Avenue
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Lily Chow](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 7:17:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lily Chow
mslilyc@hotmail.com
36421 Dijon Dr
Newark Ca , California 94560

From: [Christina Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 7:21:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Christina Chen
christin2c@hotmail.com
1069 Capitol Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: ngvernon@yahoo.com
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 8:10:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

ngvernon@yahoo.com

563 N Park Victoria Drive

Milpitas , California 95035

From: [Sue Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 8:23:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sue Lee

suelee1965@gmail.com

2122 Bayshore Blvd

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Vivian Gee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 8:45:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vivian Gee
viviangee815@gmail.com
210 Del Prado Dr
Daly City, California 94015

From: [Feng Huang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 8:59:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Feng Huang
vickykelvin123@gmail.com
169. Whipple Ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Mei Qing Situ](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:09:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mei Qing Situ

meiqsitu@gmail.com

691 Geneva Ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Rosanna Yang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:11:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Rosanna Yang
xingrong680@yahoo.com
Holloway and Arch
S F, California 94132

From: [yinlai.meng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:17:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

yinlai meng
christinecookie@gmail.com
1215 olive branch ln
san jose, California 95120

From: [Kinny Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:18:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kinny Li
kinnyli028@gmail.com
47 Guttenberg street
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Ping Wang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:34:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ping Wang
helloping@yahoo.com
1941 23rd Ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [George Wu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:34:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

George Wu
okwujingyu@gmail.com
1821 Sacramento st
Berkeley, California 94702

From: [Choi Hung Wong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:45:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Choi Hung Wong
choihwong@gmail.com
240 Sagamore st
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Ling Guo](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:48:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ling Guo

lglifegood221@yahoo.com

Farragut Ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Ling Guo](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:50:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ling Guo

lglifegood221@yahoo.com

Farragut Ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Yan Wang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:54:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yan Wang
malachi9858@gmail.com
8th Avenue
San Francisco , California 94118

From: [Hong Chan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:11:13 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hong Chan

hjin1300@gmail.com

2646 25th Ave

San Francisco , California 94116

From: emilyguan832@gmail.com
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:13:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

emilyguan832@gmail.com

832 Grafton Ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Quan Liu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:15:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Quan Liu
quanliu09@gmail.com
163 Desmond Street
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Liyi Lau](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:22:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Liyi Lau
kelly8895@yahoo.com
915 Bay Street
San Francisco, California 94109

From: [John Wong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:23:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

John Wong
jwong004@gmail.com
573 Illinois Ave
San Jose, California 95125-1535

From: [Hong Wu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:25:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hong Wu

xiaohongwu2013@yahoo.com

166 Desmond Street

San Francisco CA , California 94134

From: [Alice Lau](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:28:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Alice Lau

alice1260mpr@gmail.com

1369

San Francisco CA , California 94134

From: [chenghui.jiang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:31:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

chenghui jiang

jchjenny@sina.com

topsail dr

vallejo, California 94591

From: [De Feng Yu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:34:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

De Feng Yu

watery2k@gmail.com

52 WATERVILLE ST

SAN FRANCISCO, California 94124

From: [Cui Xie](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:34:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cui Xie
tiffanycui@yahoo.com
18 Sala Terrace
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [lidia Zheng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:34:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

lidia Zheng

lidiasf415@yahoo.com

426 Delta st

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Ruth Woo](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:35:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ruth Woo

ruthwoo@aol.com

26 Nahua Ave

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Mars Chan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:36:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mars Chan

momodrift@hotmail.com

6655 Riverside Blvd

Sacramento , California 95831

From: [Chung Jim Tai](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:37:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chung lim Tai
kentaihouses@gmail.com
2307 28th Ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Jingyun Zhang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:40:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jingyun Zhang

fionzhang1234@outlook.com

1273 silver ave

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Jifen Mao](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:41:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jifen Mao

maojifen@yahoo.com

1342 22nd Ave

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Richard Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:49:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Richard Chen
mtrtech@sbcglobal.net
562 San Jose ave
San Francisco , Ca, 94110

From: [Yuan Tan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:49:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yuan Tan

hzhkong@yahoo.com

47 Bannock street

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Casper Leung](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:55:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Casper Leung
casperleung2000@gmail.com
537 37th ave.
san francisco, California 94121

From: [Casper Leung](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:58:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Casper Leung
casperleung2000@gmail.com
537 37th ave.
san francisco, California 94121

From: [Stephy Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:02:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Stephy Li

binglili9988@hotmail.com

600 Holloway ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Baoping Tan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:20:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Baoping Tan
baoping0424@gmail.com
804 Stockton st apt7
San Francisco, California 94108

From: [Hsu Wang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:23:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hsu Wang
wang5352906@yahoo.com
535 29th Ave.
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Ning Gan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:23:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ning Gan
ninggan99@gmail.com
3279 Orwell pl
Fremont , California 94536

From: [Ricky Wong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:25:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ricky Wong

ric0324@hotmail.com

Ric0324@hotmail.com

South San Francisco, California 94080

From: [Yi Na Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:25:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yi Na Chen
yinachensf@yahoo.com
261 Beverly Street
San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Connie Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:51:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Connie Lee
conniemlvs@yahoo.com
2078 revere ave
San francisco, California 94124

From: [Christie Wan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:01:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Christie Wan

christiewmn@yahoo.com

237 Randolph street

San Francisco , Colorado CA 94132

From: [Steed Ahn](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:02:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Steed

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Steed Ahn
steed@steedahn.com
405 Serrano Drive #9H
San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Janice Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:03:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Janice Lee
janiceflee@gmail.com
490 Ellington Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: chinhonglou@yahoo.com
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:06:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

chinhonglou@yahoo.com

2927 Wawona st

Sf, California 94116

From: [Li Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:07:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Li Li

hlfex627@gmail.com

Jackson st

San Francisco , California 94133

From: [Jin Cai](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:10:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jin Cai
meichoi10@gmail.com
243 Sargent st
San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Eric Wong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:13:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eric Wong
yim3366@yahoo.com
15 Apollo Street
San Francisco , Colorado CA 94124

From: [Teresa Lau](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:21:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Teresa Lau
tgogolf@yahoo.com
32 Commons Ln
Foster City , California 94404

From: [Lily Woo](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:27:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lily Woo

lilywoo64@gmail.com

1864 28TH Avenue

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Charles Zuo](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:35:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Charles Zuo
czuo18@gmail.com
1917 8th ave
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Theresa Liu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:46:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Theresa Liu
rhxqsf@yahoo.com
2690 22nd Avenue
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Vickie Brown](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 12:59:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vickie Brown

vbrown1219@yahoo.com

32 Glenview Dr.

San Francisco , California 94131

From: [Jessica Liu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 1:06:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jessica Liu

jessica@jlis.com

3428 Balboa

San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Benjamin Woo](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 1:11:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Benjamin Woo
benw002@pacbell.net
120 Cambridge st
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [John Ma](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 1:13:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

John Ma
johnma3254@gmail.com
3254 Vintage Oaks Ct
San Jose , California 95148

From: [Judy Chan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 1:13:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Judy Chan
chanj6705@gmail.com
157 Rolph St
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Jane Kao](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 1:14:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jane Kao
yuaijane@yahoo.com
371 16th Ave
San Francisco , California 94118

From: [Luciano Chan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 1:21:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Luciano Chan
luciano.chan3@gmail.com
157 Rolph St
SF, California 94112

From: [Mei Chang Yu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 1:25:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mei Chang Yu
meiyu986@yahoo.com
300 orizaba ave
California , California 94132

From: [Yu Liang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 1:28:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yu Liang

liangyuying@live.com

170naples st

San Francisco , Colorado CA94112

From: [Jennifer](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 1:44:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

I vote No on this issue because I am not only a small property owner but also the victim of COVID-19. We already have financial difficulty to have no rents receiving from the tenants. Even though we can delay the mortgage payment and property tax, at the end of the day, we still have to pay.

In fact, both tenants and small property owners are the citizen. The board of Supervisors cannot and should not prohibit housing providers who also have been financially impacted by COVID from using California state law to enforce our rights.

Best regards,
Jennifer Tse

From: [Erwen Guan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 1:47:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Erwen Guan

erwen83@hotmail.com

72 Sears Street

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Al Ch](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 1:53:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Al Ch

starlitedoheny@gmail.com

2025 stockton #1

San Francisco, California 94133

From: [Tom Jue](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 1:53:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tom Jue

fyr tom@yahoo.com

477 Myra Way

San Francisco, California 94127

From: [Yu Ting Zhong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 1:58:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yu Ting Zhong
ytzh26@gmail.com
1543 Cayuga Ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [viet.nguyen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 2:05:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Viet Nguyen

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

viet nguyen

vietory101@gmail.com

196 Urbano Dr

san francisco , California 94127

From: [Yang Zhu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 2:07:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yang Zhu

yangw.zhu@gmail.com

27 Florentine st

Sf, Texas 94113

From: [JOANNE CHEN](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 2:10:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

JOANNE CHEN

Qianshanchen@hotmail.com

263 TUNNEL ave

sf, California 94134

From: [Jonathan Leong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 2:13:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jonathan Leong
jonathan@aadp.org
2169 Harbor Bay Pkwy
Alameda, California 94502-3019

From: [elvis kwok](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 2:15:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

elvis kwok
elvisteam@gmail.com
550 niantic
daly city, ca 94014

From: [Karen Mai](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 2:20:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Karen Mai

karen@maihomes.com

255 Byxbee St

San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Kong Yip](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 2:35:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kong Yip
kongyeeyip@yahoo.com
1754 40th Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Ron Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 2:35:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ron Lee

rlee288@aol.com

5013 Diamond Heights Blvd

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Chinhong Lou](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 2:45:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chinhong Lou
chinhonglou@yahoo.com
Wawona st
Sf, California 94115

From: [Hai Mai](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 2:47:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hai Mai
mzs2282@gmail.com
305 Valdez Ave
San Francisco, California 94127-2123

From: [Samson Mai](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 2:52:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Samson Mai
samson.yuchi.mai@gmail.com
315 Foerster St
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Jessica Siru](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 3:00:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jessica Siru

jessthetwo@yahoo.com

Pacheco and Funston

San Francisco , Colorado CA. 94116

From: [Jessica Siru](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 3:04:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jessica Siru

jessthetwo@yahoo.com

Pacheco and Funston

San Francisco , Colorado CA. 94116

From: [Horatio Jung](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 3:06:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Horatio Jung
horatiojung@gmail.com
1335 32nd Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Joyce Chan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 3:27:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joyce Chan

jmch888@aol.com

1000 North Point Street, #309

San Francisco, California 94109

From: [Margie Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 3:28:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Margie Lee
leem.889@gmail.com
1080 Page Street
San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Posee Chung](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 3:29:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Posee Chung

posee.chung@gmail.com

119 Delano Ave

San Francisco, Colorado CA 94112

From: [Serina Huang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 3:49:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Serina Huang
serian68@yahoo.com
65 Theresa st
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Jack Yuan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 3:55:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jack Yuan

jackyuanca@gmail.com

1688 pine st

San Francisco , Colorado CA 94109

From: [Fong Fong Ga](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 4:01:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Fong Fong Ga
fongfongga@gmail.com
568 47th Ave
San Francisco, California CA

From: [David Gong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 4:10:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

David Gong
DAVIDYGONG888@GMAIL.COM
1851 Palou Ave
San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Bao Zhu Wang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 4:16:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bao Zhu Wang
benlisy@163.com
2601 Newhall street
San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Honghui Cai](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 4:23:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Honghui Cai
gary030@hotmail.com
159 Granada Ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Kanny Wong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 4:36:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kanny Wong

kannymathew@gmail.com

571 Darien way

San Francisco , California 94127

From: [Matthew Qiu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 4:38:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mathew Qiu

mathew57qiu@gmail.com

571 Darien way

San Francisco , California 94127

From: [Sandra Chan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 4:41:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sandra Chan
mingchu59@icloud.com
32nd Avenue x Pacheco Ave.
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Yuanwen Wu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 4:48:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yuanwen Wu

yuanwen_wu@hotmail.com

1115 leslie dr

san jose, California 95117

From: [L Huang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 4:52:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

L Huang

lhdt_vt@hotmail.com

Irving Street

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Qilin Xue](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 5:11:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Qilin Xue

qilin.mike.xue@gmail.com

3136 E Laurel Creek Rd

Belmont, California 94002

From: [Brian Seto](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 5:17:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Brian Seto

bsseto@gmail.com

1938 11th Ave

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Mixi Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 5:23:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mixi Li
mixili59@comcast.net
59Glenview Dr.
SF, California 94131

From: [Heidi Chang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 5:30:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Heidi Chang

loveturnmills@gmail.com

1958 32nd Ave

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Citania Tam](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 5:31:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Citania Tam
citania.tam@gmail.com
619 1st Avenue
San Mateo, California 94401

From: [Kipling Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 5:32:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kipling Lee

kipsterone@yahoo.com

Funston and Pacheco

San Francisco , Colorado CA. 94116

From: [Jeffrey La](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 5:33:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jeffrey La

jla@oscarpring.com

514 Myra way

San Francisco , California 94127

From: [Sharon Au](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 5:49:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sharon Au
au.sharon@yahoo.com
15356 Laverne dr.
San Leandro , California 94579

From: [maria alas](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:02:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear committee members,

Please think this policy through and about the long term ramifications from a hastily written policy. There needs to be balance. You were elected and are supposed to represent all of the citizens of the great city of San Francisco, not just a few.

Think of the message you are sending to renters, who will game the system and exploit this policy, even if they are in position to pay.

Think of the baseless legal ground you stand on, as stated by a local Real Estate Attorney:

Ordinance No. 200375 not only is in conflict with the Governor's Executive Order and California's unlawful detainer statutes, as well as basic principles of due process - it would be an unconstitutional taking of private property with the added consequence of devaluing rental properties throughout San Francisco without compensating owners.

Think of the Small Property Owners who are particularly hard hit by renters who cannot pay. If even one renter in a 4-unit building can't pay, or worst still in a 2-unit building, the owner is also experiencing a financial hardship given their ongoing fixed expenses..

Please vote no on **#200375**

Respectfully submitted,
Maria Alas, small property owner of San Francisco

From: [Shirley Yang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:07:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shirley Yang
yshirley22@yahoo.com
92 Middlefield Dr
San Francisco , California 94132

From: [YAN YU](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:09:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

YAN YU

yenn1111@gmail.com

2339 12th Ave

San Francisco, California 94116-1907

From: [Warren Yip](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:13:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Warren Yip
Warrenyip@gmail.com
550 Monterey Blvd
San Francisco, California 94127

From: [DaBiao Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:18:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

DaBiao Li
luckyyan668@gmail.com
416 Wilde Ave
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Winnie Wu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:19:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Winnie Wu

winniewu1033@gmail.com

35th & Ulloa

San Francisco , Colorado CA94116

From: [Miao Ying Wang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:22:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Miao Ying Wang
luckyyan668@gmail.com
416 Wilde Ave
San Francisco, California 94134

From: jeanniechilin@yahoo.com
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:24:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

jeanniechilin@yahoo.com

2538 43rd Ave

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Allison Fung](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:27:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Allison Fung
afung1@hotmail.com
10 Flood avenue
San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Weijie Zhao](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:32:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Weijie Zhao
weijiezhao@ymail.com
550 Townsend st
San Francisco , California 94103

From: [Polly Tong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:48:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Polly Tong
pollystation@gmail.com
University
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Julie Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 6:49:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Julie Lee

julieylee777@gmail.com

547 Naples St

San Francisco, Colorado CA .94112

From: [Yu Qun Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 7:18:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yu Qun Chen

sfdating@yahoo.com

1958 19th Ave

San Francisco , Colorado CA 94116

From: [Eric Tang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 7:37:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eric Tang

etloanmach@aol.com

P o box 26516

San Francisco , California 94126

From: [Zhongxing Gong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 7:38:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Zhongxing Gong
zhongxinggong@yahoo.com
1850 35th Ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Eileen Hu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 7:41:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eileen Hu
eileenxhu@gmail.com
4423 Kenneth ave
Fair Oaks, California 95628

From: [Yunzhu Ma](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 7:42:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yunzhu Ma
yzm1689@gmail.com
126 Orizaba Ave
San Francisco , California 94132

From: [David Cheung](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 7:51:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

David Cheung
dcheung0821@gmail.com
888 ridgecrest st
Monterey park , Ca91754

From: [Zhaolian Jian](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 7:55:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Zhaolian Jian

jianzhaolian60@icloud.com

1462 Quesada Ave

San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Sherlyn Chew](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 8:08:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sherlyn Chew

Sherlyn Chew
1sherlynchew@gmail.com
432 Francisco St
San Francisco, California 94133

From: [Zhen Chao Liu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 8:11:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Zhen Chao Liu
jasonliu4408@gmail.com
87 Clearfield Drive
San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Shaoxian Qin](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 8:19:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shaoxian Qin

kellyqin02@yahoo.com

Carleton

Daly City, California 94015

From: [May Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 8:20:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

May Lee

mlee062@yahoo.com

57 Belle Ave.

San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Alvin Lam](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 8:37:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Alvin Lam

alvinlam11@live.com

646 Balboa Street

SAN FRANCISCO, California 94118

From: [Peggy Ling](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 8:47:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Peggy Ling
lingp@sfusd.edu
3725 Pacheco Street
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [May Cheng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 8:52:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

May Cheng
mcheng1609@gmail.com
1609 24 St
SF, California 94108

From: [Jimmy Chan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 8:56:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jimmy Chan

jimmyandtina2012@gmail.com

1645 Pacheco Street

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Qing wei Feng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 8:59:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Qing wei Feng
davidfeng02@yahoo.com
3438 TARAVAL street
San francisco, California 94116

From: [Xue f Chou](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:02:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xue f Chou
xfchou@yahoo.com
1639 32nd ave
San Francisco , Ca94122

From: [Judy Cai](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:08:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Judy Cai
miaojuancai@gmail.com
2770 38th ave
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Kun Lei](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:10:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kun Lei
tingkunlei@gmail.com
2770 38th Ave
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [David Chan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:14:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

David Chan
changed2010@gmail.com
1611 47th Ave.
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Winnie Lau](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:16:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Winnie Lau
mytudy888@gmail.com
Jules/Grafton
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Curtis Chan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:18:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Curtis Chan
curtburt20@gmail.com
Jules/Grafton
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Jing Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:30:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jing Li

jingng@sbcglobal.net

584 San Jose Ave

San Francisco , California 94110

From: [chiu Gong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:30:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

chiu Gong

chiugong85@mail.com

Amazon/ Naples

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Hua Yang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:30:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hua Yang
moondreamly@gmail.com
848 Edinburgh st.
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Kar Lau](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:31:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kar Lau

khlfish1848@gmail.com

Amazon/ Naples

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Hua Yang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:33:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hua Yang
moondreamly@gmail.com
848 Edinburgh st.
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Jenny Chiu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:40:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jenny Chiu
lookitschristinaa@yahoo.com
247 Brighton ave
S. F, California 94112

From: [Roy Gee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:42:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Roy Gee

jungrgee@gmail.com

471 Lakeshore Dr.

San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Cynthia Chan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:45:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cynthia Chan
cynchan656@gmail.com
323 Raymond Ave
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Danny Ruan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:48:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Danny Ruan
druanblu@gmail.com
150 Francisco
San Francisco, California 94107

From: [R Yam](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:51:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

R Yam

rycsuc@gmail.com

Teddy Ave

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Michelle Huang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 9:58:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Michelle Huang

Minscousa@gmail.com

562 Grove St

San Francisco , California 94102

From: [Harrison Guo](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:01:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Harrison Guo
lonewolf_HG62@outlook.com
609 Clearfield Drive
Millbrae, California 94030

From: [Hoi S Mak](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:01:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Daughter of retired parents, who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hoi S Mak
sit_dolby@yahoo.com
7441 Kentland Ave
West Hills, California 91307

From: [Ricky R](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:03:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ricky R
swingfeetalot@gmail.com
322 Raymond Ave
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Ben Chung](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:04:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ben Chung
lbzhong@sbcglobal.net
38th Ave
San Francisco , Colorado CA94121

From: [Jeannette Guo](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:05:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jeannette Guo
jeannetteguo@gmail.com
609 Clearfield Drive
Millbrae, California 94030

From: [Tennyson Guo](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:06:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

This is seriously relentless.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tennyson Guo
t_guo@u.pacific.edu
609 Clearfield Drive
MILLBRAE, California 94030

From: [James Guo](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:06:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

James Guo
jamesguo1608@msn.com
609 CLEARFIELD DR
MILLBRAE, California 94030

From: [Lillian Ng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:09:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lillian Ng

lillian@lillianng.com

510 Castenada Avenue

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Kei Mak](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:09:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kei Mak

lkmak5@yahoo.com

Garfield st X Byxbe st

San Francisco , Colorado CA94132

From: [Jun Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:10:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jun Chen
cathyjunchen@gmail.com
1344 Halibut St
FOSTER CITY, California 94404

From: [Ceci Liang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:18:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ceci Liang
liangceci@yahoo.com
260 King Street, 519
San Francisco, California 94107

From: [Weichen Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:35:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Weichen Chen

weichen53@yahoo.com

2355 31st ave

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Wayne Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:35:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wayne Li
wli13988@gmail.com
Egbert Ave
San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Bina Ng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:46:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bina Ng
binaxng1@yahoo.com
168 Taraval street
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Yan Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:53:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yan Chen
yanqingchen716@gmail.com
Noriega and 25th ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Lisa Chew](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:54:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lisa Chew

llchew@sbcglobal.net

1738 36th Ave

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Sandra Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:56:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sandra Chen

sandrachen_19@yahoo.com

Woolsey St

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Sandra Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 10:58:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sandra Chen

sandraacx3@yahoo.com

Noriega St

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Yan Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:00:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yan Chen
yanchen716@yahoo.com
Woolsey st.
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Yan Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:04:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yan Chen
yanchen716@yahoo.com
Girard St.
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Sandra Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:05:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sandra Chen

sandrasaysagioo@gmail.com

Noriega

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Sandra Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:06:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sandra Chen

sandrasaysagioo@gmail.com

Noriega

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Sue Ng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:14:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sue Ng
janiceflee@gmail.com
490 Ellington Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Thanh Kien Hua](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:15:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Thanh Kien Hua
bytommy@yahoo.com
31 Ellington Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Joseph Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:17:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joseph Chen

josephchen727@gmail.com

Noriega St

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [James Loke](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:20:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

James Loke

loke.james@yahoo.com

110 Mary Teresa St

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Shushi Huang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:22:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shushi Huang
wadesshuang@yahoo.com
12 Junior Ter
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [David Ng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:29:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

David Ng
ngstersfso@hotmail.com
1975 21st Ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Derek Chin](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:30:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Derek Chin
derekchin01@gmail.com
9 Carolyn Lane
Mill Valley, California 94941-3476

From: [Celina Tan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Saturday, June 6, 2020 11:41:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Celina Tan
ping112@hotmail.com
940 Visitacion Ave
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Anita Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:38:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anita Lee

atom1522@yahoo.com

PO BOX 590035

San Francisco, California 94159

From: [Tony Lau](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:20:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tony Lau
tlaucon@gmail.com
848 Edinburgh st
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Tong Jiang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:17:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tong Jiang
tong.jiang@gmail.com
1263 Farragut Dr
Fremont, California 94539

From: [Marianne Schier](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:22:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Marianne Schier

bacisf@yahoo.com

376 Arguello

San Francisco , Ca 94118

From: [Min Fang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:22:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Min Fang
minfangmmf@yahoo.com
122 Summit Way
San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Jim Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:37:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jim Lee

jimmymaii84@yahoo.com

54 Cassandra ct

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Boren Huang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:05:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Boren Huang
borenhuang@sbcglobal.net
472 33rd ave,
San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Xiaomin Huang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:16:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xiaomin Huang
borenhuang@sbcglobal.net
472 33rd ave
San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Lori Chiang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:21:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lori Chiang

lorichiang52@gmail.com

627-29th Avenue

San Francisco, Ca, California 94121

From: [Nai Bin Gao](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:29:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Nai Bin Gao

gaonaibin@hotmail.com

4400 Pacheco St

San Francisco Ca, California 94116

From: [Christina Zhou](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:50:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Christina Zhou
christinabzhou@yahoo.com
877 Arguello Dr
San Leandro , California 94578

From: [Jacqueline Nakano](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:50:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jacqueline Nakano
jcnakano20@aol.com
750 36th ave
San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Chinhong Lou](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:54:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chinhong Lou
chinhonglou@yahoo.com
2927 Wawona st
Sf, California 94116

From: [Xue Liang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:57:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xue Liang

artstv@aol.com

1878 22 nd ave

San Francisco , Ca 94116

From: [Cecelia Ng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:57:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cecelia Ng
ceceliang@yahoo.com
80 Seneca
San Francisco , Maine P4112

From: [Julie Ni](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:05:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Julie Ni
julieni@hotmail.com
2100 Lady Emma Ct
Gold River, California 95670

From: [Sam Seto](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:35:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sam Seto

sam_seto@yahoo.com

469 Noe street

San Francisco , California 94114

From: [Pui Yuen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:40:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking potential housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Pui Yuen

let366@yahoo.com

463 sunnydale ave

San francisco, Ca94134

From: [Sabrina Lui](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:41:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sabrina Lui
sabrinalui@hotmail.com
610 Blair Avenue
Piedmont, California 94611

From: [Jun Wu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:46:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jun Wu

junwu17369@gmail.com

1630 Geneva Ave

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Susan Chang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:07:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Susan Chang

sachang99@gmail.com

345 Iris way

Palo Alto , California 94303

From: [David Meng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:07:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

David Meng
mengqiang_99@yahoo.com
1833 Esprit ct
San jose, California 95131

From: [Fanny Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:08:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Fanny Lee
fannyli238@yahoo.com
4889 Manitoba Dr
San Jose, California 95130

From: [Michael Zeng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:08:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Michael Zeng
zengyh@yahoo.com
4396 enterprise place
Fremont , California 94538

From: [Min Fang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:10:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Min Fang

ivyfang198@yahoo.com

765 athens street

San Francisco , Ca 94122

From: [Zee Yu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:11:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Zee Yu
zyu2032@gmail.com
50 Fell St
San Francisco , California 94117

From: [Tony Chiang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:11:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tony Chiang
winniechiang63@yahoo.com
7th Avenue
San Francisco , California 94118

From: [Cindy Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:17:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cindy Lee
cindysheung@yahoo.com
2269 star ave
Castro Valley , California 94546

From: [Anna Seid](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:18:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anna Seid
hcya001@gmail.com
5800 sacramento St
Richmond, California 94804

From: [Lisa Zhou](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:20:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lisa Zhou

lisa_yan_zhou@yahoo.com

456 union street

San francisco, California 94133

From: [Allan Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:21:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Allan Li
allanli748@gmail.com
748 Prague street
S f, California 94112

From: [Mei Su Liu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:22:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mei Su Liu
mable0826@hotmail.com
1234 20th ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Andrea Chang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:27:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Andrea Chang

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Andrea Chang
andreadchang@gmail.com
1000 3 rd St, # 202
San Francisco, California 94158

From: [Phil Chiu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:27:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Phil Chiu
pcplumg99@gmail.com
2309 poppy
Burlingame , California 94010

From: [Rui Hua Feng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:28:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Rui Hua Feng
gabbywu6@yahoo.com
1152 ingerson Ave
San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Da Chen Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:31:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Da Chen Li
gabbywu7@yahoo.com
658 Athens st
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [A Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:32:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

A Lee

cw6lee@yahoo.com

Lawton 10th Ave

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [William Wong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:33:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

William Wong
junwu173692@gmail.com
117 Miriam st
Daly City, California 94014

From: [Mike Liang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:33:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mike Liang
mliang@gmail.com
1560 Geneva Ave
San Francisco, Colorado CA 94112

From: [Wei Liu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:35:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wei Liu

wtinaliu@gmail.com

966 Wren ct

Santa Clara, California 95051

From: [Eva Wong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:36:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eva Wong
wonge33@yahoo.com
614 Sawyer st
Sf, California 94134

From: [Grace Zhou](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:45:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Grace Zhou
gracezhou88@gmail.com
Corvette dr
San jose, California 95129

From: [Kehming Yang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:49:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Kehming Yang

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kehming Yang

kenyang2@gmail.com

1218 Valerian ct

Sunnyvale, California 94086

From: [Joy Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:52:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joy Lee

joyuk58@hotmail.com

81 Margaret Ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Jade Park](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:55:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jade Park

piaojing@gmail.com

1353 El Camino Real

Millbrae , California 94030

From: [Wei Wu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:10:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wei Wu

weiqwu1972@gmail.com

1121 40th # 4407

Emeryville , California 94608

From: [Lucy Fang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:10:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lucy Fang
amazingg88@gmail.com
9660 Galvin Ave
San Diego , California 92126

From: [Lay Yee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:10:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lay Yee

imcc528@gmail.com

1722 34th Ave

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Alan Hu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:11:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Alan Hu
alanxhu@gmail.com
888 7th St
San Francisco, California 94107

From: [Yi Wong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:12:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yi Wong

wkaran@hotmail.com

Leland ave

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Romi Lucian](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:13:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Romi Lucian
romi.lucian@gmail.com
121 Trenton St
SF, California 94133

From: [Lan Zhong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:13:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lan Zhong
lanschulz@yahoo.com
5918 Harbor View
San Pablo , California 94806

From: [Iris Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:15:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Iris Li

irislee0405@hotmail.com

5415 California

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Wistaria Sum](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:16:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wistaria Sum

s_wistaria@hotmail.com

875 40th Ave

San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Connie Tam](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:17:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Connie Tam

sfconstance@gmail.com

449 gold mine dr

San Francisco , California 94131

From: [Judy Chiu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:18:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Judy Chiu

juchiu@yahoo.com

537 19th Ave

San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Zhaoyang Wen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:22:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Zhaoyang Wen

zhaoyangw@yahoo.com

888 7th Street Unit 5

San Francisco , California 94107

From: [Bill Tang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:25:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bill Tang
tangb8899@gmail.com
1115 Ellen Ct
Napa, California 94558

From: [Kitty Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:29:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kitty Lee

kittyklee@yahoo.com

1481 Murchison Drive

Mills , California 94030

From: [Heidi Zheng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:32:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Heidi Zheng
zhenghm@hotmail.com
1418 Danby Ave
San Jose , California 95132

From: [Wan Ci Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:38:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wan Ci Chen
wchenci28@gmail.com
141 Elmira Street
San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Hwei Luh](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:49:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hwei Luh

hwluhyang@yahoo.com

1280 Echo Valley Dr

San Jose, California 95120

From: [Wendy Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:49:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wendy Chen
wendywuchen@comcast.net
450 17th Ave
San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Alex Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:50:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Alex Chen
alexliechen@gmail.com
415 tucker ave
Alameda, California 94501

From: [Ying Zhao](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:52:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ying Zhao
ying.zhao@quantumii.com
1751 19th ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Samantha Lau](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:54:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Samantha Lau
shksamantha@gmail.com
158 Beverly st
San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Samantha Lau](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:56:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Samantha Lau
samilau@yahoo.com
150 Beverly st
San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Ernest Leung](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:59:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ernest Leung
ernestleung36@gmail.com
343 lakeshore dr
San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Cindy Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:01:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cindy Lee
cynthia323@yahoo.com
1271 23rd Ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Eve Xu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:05:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eve Xu

evexu@hotmail.com

Eli

San Francisco , California 94102

From: [Amanda Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:09:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amanda Li
amandali388@yahoo.com
2131 16th Ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Thomas Lau](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:09:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Thomas Lau

lau.thomas60@gmail.com

2300 Sloat blvd

San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Amy Zhang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:10:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy Zhang
lanamyz@gmail.com
37844 Los Arboles Dr.
Fremont, Bayern 94436

From: [Bing Lu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:12:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bing Lu
blu5354@yahoo.com
2131 16th ave
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [David Wang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:15:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

David Wang
david20168@yahoo.com
1423 45th ave
San Francisco , Ca 94122

From: [Julie Jian](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:25:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Julie Jian

juliejian@ymail.com

Juliejian@ymail.com

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [steven.guan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:30:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

steven guan

stevenwguan@yahoo.com

28th / Noriega

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Linda Ng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:35:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Linda Ng
mslindang@yahoo.com
2520 Bantry Ln
South San Francisco, California 94080

From: [Connie Wang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:40:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Connie Wang
cywang25@yahoo.com
30 Santa Ysabel Ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Mu Xian Tang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:47:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mu Xian Tang
lisatang728@gmail.com
2519 42nd Ave
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Janice Lam](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:52:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Janice Lam

lamyugioh@aol.com

3769 Callan Blvd

South San Francisco ca, California 94080

From: [Tony Koo](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:01:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tony Koo
tonykoo7@yahoo.com
2271 Cecilia Ave
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Janice Waung](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:04:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Janice Waung
janicewaung@abcgloba.net
8100 Oceanview
San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Sarah Chu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:07:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sarah Chu
sarah.jj.chu@gmail.com
1322 43rd ave
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Jie Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:10:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jie Li

jcli822@yahoo.com

1074 Stockton St

San Francisco , California 94108

From: [Chris Zheng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:12:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chris Zheng
chingstherapy@att.net
1074 Stockton St
San Francisco , California 94108

From: [Tiffany Zheng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:13:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tiffany Zheng
tiffanyz@gmail.com
1074 Stockton St
San Francisco , California 94108

From: [Tarick Zheng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:15:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tarick Zheng
tarickz@gmail.com
1074 Stockton St
San Francisco , California 94108

From: [Di Fun Tong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:18:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Di Fun Tong
deefuntong@yahoo.com
441 Yerba Buena Ave
San Francisco , California 94127

From: [yiki.xian](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:21:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

yiki xian

linxian@yahoo.com

45874 bridge port pl

Fremont, California 94539

From: [leon.s.li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:21:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

leon s. li
leonli4873@sbcglobal.net
374 Lisbon street
San Francisco , Ca 94112

From: [Cecilia Yu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:23:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cecilia Yu

tecbo@yahoo.com

P.o. box 580103

Elk Grove, California 95758

From: [Annie Chu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:24:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Annie Chu
annie7chu2003@yahoo.com
21Ave and Irving St
San Francisco, Colorado CA 94122

From: [Xian hua Huang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:25:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xian hua Huang
leonli4873@sbcglobal.net
374 Lisbon street
San Francisco , Ca 94112

From: [Jack Y](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:27:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jack Y

mib2_0@yahoo.com

1546 meadow ridge cir

San jose, California 95131

From: [Rong Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:31:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Rong Li
gloriali@sbcglobal.net
1439 California drive
Burlingame, California 94010

From: [Alson Wong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:31:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Alson Wong
asam415@aol.com
1536 Leavenworth St
San Francisco , California 94109

From: [Xiaofeng Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:32:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xiaofeng Chen
xiaochen0522@gmail.com
297 maynard st
Sf, Ca94112

From: [Karen Lin](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:32:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Karen Lin
yutianhuang007@gmail.com
318 Bowdoin st
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [John Zeng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:57:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

John Zeng
johnzeng@hotmail.com
2456 Franciscan Ct
Santa Clara , California 95051

From: [Ivan Soon](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 12:58:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ivan Soon

isoon76@gmail.com

2480 39th Ave

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Huanyu Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:04:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Huanyu Li

huanyuivor@yahoo.com

315 Munich St

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Yichun Ding](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:04:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yichun Ding
yichunding@yahoo.com
10082 Imperial Ave
Cupertino , California 95014

From: [Huanyu Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:04:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Huanyu Li

huanyuivor@yahoo.com

315 Munich St

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Hongbing Wang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:05:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hongbing Wang
hbhwangwang@gmail.com
307 Lomita Ave
Millbrae, California 94030

From: [Marilyn Kwan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:05:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Marilyn Kwan
popoquan@yahoo.com
21st Ave / Judah St
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Danny Ruan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:08:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Danny Ruan
qruan@sbcglobal.net
346 28th Ave
San Francisco , Ca 94121

From: [Hong Wang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:09:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hong Wang
annahywang@yahoo.com
1889 Tripoli Ave
San Jose , Ca 95122

From: [Wendy Cai](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:09:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wendy Cai
wencaigws@aim.com
2335 32nd Ave
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Jessica Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:13:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jessica Li
wenamu@gmail.com
2335 32nd Ave
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Yi na Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:13:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yi na Chen

yinachensf@yahoo.com

261 Beverly Street

San Francisco , California 94132

From: [karena.kong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:18:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

karena kong

karena.kong@gmail.com

667 Lakeview Avenue

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Spencer Luo](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:19:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Spencer Luo
spencerluo@yahoo.com
45 Hahn St
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Xiuying Lei](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:23:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xiuying Lei
yanlei84@yahoo.com
856 Brunswick street
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Joyce Fang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:24:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joyce Fang
joycefang@icloud.com
254 Teddy Ave
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Sandy Luo](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:25:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sandy Luo

sandy88luo@gmail.com

45 Hahn Street

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Kevin Pei](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:26:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kevin Pei

kevinpei2001@gmail.com

3628 Norwood Ave

San Jose , California 95148

From: [Bi Yan Ye](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:30:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bi Yan Ye

biyanye1987@163.com

Aleman Blvd

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Gary Shiu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:32:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Gary Shiu
gshiu@hotmail.com
150 Allison St
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Jun Jie Kuang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:40:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jun Jie Kuang
fabbeyo926@gmail.com
621 Velasco Avenue
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [John Doi](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:41:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

John Doi
toprol25@gmail.com
32 South wood dr
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Roger Xiang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:43:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Roger Xiang
rogerxiang90@gmail.com
481 2nd Ave
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Nick Chow](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:47:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Nick Chow

spmer89@gmail.com

13634 Howen Dr

Saratoga, California 95070

From: [Jenny Mo](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:55:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jenny Mo
calljebbyno@yahoo.com
2843 Ingalls
San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Tina Wong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 1:59:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tina Wong
timom9@yahoo.com
150 Havenside
San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Tim Wu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:02:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tim Wu

timeu962@yahoo.com

2843 Ingalls St

San Francisco 94124, California 94124

From: [Ying Foster](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:06:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ying Foster
yinghino@hotmail.com
980 Rancho Prieta Rd.
Los Gatos, California 95033

From: [Lisa Zeng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:10:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lisa Zeng

lisazeng415@gmail.com

481 2nd Ave

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Ming Lim](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:14:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ming Lim

limfrankie2003@yahoo.com

1475 Jamestown Dr

Cupertino , California 95013

From: [Qi Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:14:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Qi Li

qili_guan@yahoo.com

30620 Shepherd Hills dr

Diamond Bar , California 91789

From: [Jean Zhen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:15:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jean Zhen
zhen.jean@yahoo.com
2071 19th ave
San francisco, California 94116

From: [Cindy Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:18:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cindy Li
warrenj.li@yahoo.com
33 Dunsmuir st
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Wendy Wei](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:19:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wendy Wei

wendyweiran@gmail.com

5150 Diamond Heights Blvd

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Xiong Jian](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:19:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xiong Jian

jx94112@gmail.com

368 Stratford dr.

San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Wei Tian](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:27:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wei Tian

edward.tian@gmail.com

18920 Cyril pl

Saratoga, California 95070

From: [Yung Chien](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:34:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yung Chien

yung.chien@hotmail.com

78 Lydia Ave

San Francisco, Colorado CA 94124

From: [Yuanxuan Wang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:37:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yuanxuan Wang
zellux@gmail.com
115 San Juan Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Wilson Leung](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:37:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wilson Leung
wil@gmail.com
135 th Ave
San Leandro , California 94578

From: [wei.chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:40:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

wei chen

chen.wei1338@gmail.com

1742 fitzgerald avenue

san francisco, California 94124

From: [Irene Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:41:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Irene Chen
robertmchen@yahoo.com
1306 34th Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Julia Yu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:42:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Julia Yu

julia.yu415@gmail.com

294 Raymond avenue

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Robert Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:42:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Robert Chen
robertmchen@yahoo.com
1306 34th Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Alice Chung](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:42:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Alice Chung
ac@gmail.com
25 th Ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [kwok so](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:50:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

kwok so

kwokchunso@gmail.com

285 Seneca Ave

San Francisco, California 94112-3219

From: [Mao Ye](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:51:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mao Ye
m.daniel.ye@gmail.com
1140 Brussels Street
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Ming Jia](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:51:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ming Jia
jiamf2f@gmail.com
645 Ashbourne Drive
Sunnyvale, California 94087

From: [Shirley Lin](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:53:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shirley Lin
shirleyrose168@gmail.com
706 Standiford Ave
Modesto, California 95350

From: [LAN WU](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:54:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

LAN WU

cswulan@gmail.com

360 Guerrero Street #305

SAN FRANCISCO, California 94103

From: [Michael Wong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 2:57:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Michael Wong

Mwong@yahoo.com

1388 sitter

San Francisco , California 94109

From: [Magdalen Cheng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:05:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Magdalen Cheng
mcheng.sf@gmail.com
2049 23rd Ave
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Helen Zhao](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:07:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Helen Zhao
zhaohelen@yahoo.com
19505 Christina way
Cerritos , Colorado 90793

From: [ZhenWei Liao](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:09:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

ZhenWei Liao

weiliao8579@gmail.com

1220 La Playa St, #208

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Paklee Wong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:13:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Paklee Wong
canton89@gmail.com
150 Havenside Drive
San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Cynthia Yip](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:16:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cynthia Yip
cyp67@yahoo.com
294 raymond avenue
San francisco, California 94134

From: [John Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:24:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

John Li
johnbody10@hotmail.com
835 rolph st
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Sharon J](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:25:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sharon J

swtu_98@yahoo.com

Teddy Ave at alpha St

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Ken L](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:30:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ken L

LKK97@yahoo.com

P.O. Box 880658

San Francisco, California 94188

From: [Anna Huang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:33:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anna Huang

huang4152000@gmail.com

1435 30th Ave

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Fei Yan Liu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:40:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Fei Yan Liu

feikong@sbcglobal.net

316 Peninsula Avenue

San Francisco, Ca, California 94134

From: [Annie Yu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:43:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Annie Yu

annieyu1016@gmail.com

1707 43rd

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Cai Chiang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:44:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cai Chiang
cchiang678@gmail.com
526 Campbell ave
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Shirley Wei](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:47:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shirley Wei
shirleywei94@gmail.com
762 Colby St
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Yao Dong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:54:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yao Dong
yaodongdavis@yahoo.com
2316caravaggio Dr
Davis , California 95618

From: [Jenny Qi](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:56:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jenny Qi
maps5731@gmail.com
23rd Ave
San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Magdalen Cheng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:58:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Magdalen Cheng
cheng4rent@gmail.com
2049 23 Av
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Rui Zhang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:59:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Rui Zhang
crz8968@gmail.com
8460 Peninsula Way
Newark CA, California 94560

From: [Yu Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 3:59:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yu Lee
tomyu211@yahoo.com
133 Irvington street
Daly city, California 94014

From: [Lisa Baltodano](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:14:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lisa Baltodano

lisa.baltodano@yahoo.com

8195 Primoak Way

Elk Grove, California 95758

From: [Karen Huang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:18:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Karen Huang
th_fashion@yahoo.com
13707 S Budlong Ave,
Gardena,, California 90247

From: [Hongping Chai](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:24:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hongping Chai
hongpingchai@yahoo.com
6201 Main Branch Rd
San Ramon, California 94582

From: [Hedda Wong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:25:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hedda Wong
heddakok@gmsil.com
284 Leland Ave
S.F., California 94134

From: [Philip Z](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:25:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Philip Z
pzzhang1@gmail.com
235 Stonecress st
Gilroy , California 95020

From: [Binxuan Xia](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:28:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Binxuan Xia

xiabinxuan@gmail.com

1161 Highland Ter.

Fremont, California 94539

From: [Sheng Yen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:28:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sheng Yen

wwinnieadrian@yahoo.com

12421 Canyonlands dr

Rancho Cordova , California 95742

From: [Lina Bei](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:28:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lina Bei
shoping6688@gmail.com
Rio tejo way
Elk Grove, California 95757

From: [Raj Suresh](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:34:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Raj Suresh
raj.suresh95131@gmail.com
2011 nunes dr
san jose, California 95131

From: [Liya Ma](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:38:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Liya Ma

liyamalym@gmail.com

1443 34th ave

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Liya Ma](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:39:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Liya Ma

liyamalym@gmail.com

1443 34th ave

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Denise Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:41:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Denise Lee
sfluckyred@yahoo.com
2286 28 Th Ave
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Qiu ci Huang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:42:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Qiu ci Huang

beckyhuang70@yahoo.com

147 Ralston st

San Francisco , California 94132

From: [jenny liao](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:44:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

jenny liao
zheminliao@yahoo.com
814 5street
woodland, California 95679

From: [marianne Schier](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:45:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

marianne Schier

bacisf@Yahoo.com

376 Aguello Blvd

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Becky Yu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:45:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Becky Yu

beckyyu0822@gmail.com

90 Carr St

San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Becky Yu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:47:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Becky Yu

beckyyu0822@gmail.com

90 Carr St

San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Becky Yu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:49:16 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Becky Yu

beckyyu0822@gmail.com

90 Carr St

San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Julie Yau](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:52:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Julie Yau

becky_design@yahoo.com

Ingerson and Jennings

San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Julie Yau](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:52:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Julie Yau

becky_design@yahoo.com

Ingerson and Jennings

San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Jian Pan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 4:58:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jian Pan

joycepan2009@yahoo.com

7301 Geary Blvd

San Francisco, California 94121-1633

From: [Anderson Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:00:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anderson Chen
anderson1328@yahoo.com
1112 Sanchez Ave
Burlingame, California 94010

From: allenkong2007@yahoo.com
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:02:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

allenkong2007@yahoo.com

7301 Geary Blvd.

San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Yow Liu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:06:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yow Liu

jeanl415@yahoo.com

252 Gold Mine Dr

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Yongtao Lian](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:08:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yongtao Lian
winterlian168@gmail.com
1817 Bonita Rd
San Pablo, California 94806

From: [Lian yuan Liu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:13:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lian yuan Liu

lianyliu63@gmail.com

950madrid st

San Francisco , Ca 94112

From: [Cindy Liu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:14:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cindy Liu
cindyre@gmail.com
215 rose dr
Milpitas, 9535

From: [JianPing Lin](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:14:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

JianPing Lin

jian_ping_lin@yahoo.com

275 Waterville street

San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Submission for Today's 1:30 PM Land Use Committee Meeting re: File. No.: 200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:20:42 PM
Attachments: [2020.06.01 Submission to Land Use Committee re File. No. 200375.pdf](#)
Importance: High

Hi Erica,

Wanted to send this one separate, just in case it needed special handling.

Happy Monday!!!

Eileen

From: Mary Bhojwani <mary@zfplaw.com>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:26 AM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>
Cc: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Marstaff (BOS) <marstaff@sfgov.org>; Haneystaff (BOS) <haneystaff@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; RonenStaff (BOS) <ronenstaff@sfgov.org>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>; PRADHAN, MANU (CAT) <Manu.Pradhan@sfcityatty.org>; Andrew Zacks <az@zfplaw.com>; Emily Lowther Brough <emily@zfplaw.com>; Emma Heinichen <emma@zfplaw.com>
Subject: Submission for Today's 1:30 PM Land Use Committee Meeting re: File. No.: 200375
Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors Land Use Committee and Clerk of the Committee:

We are submitting the attached letter on behalf of the San Francisco Apartment Association, Small Property Owners of San Francisco, Coalition for Better Housing and the San Francisco Association of Realtors regarding File. No.: 200375 - Administrative Code COVID-19 Tenant Protections, listed as Item 2 on today's Regular Agenda. Thank you for circulating copies to the Board Members and adding our submission to the official record.

Kindly confirm receipt of this submission at your earliest opportunity.

Thank you.

Regards,
Mary

Mary Bhojwani
Assistant to Andrew M. Zacks
Zacks, Freedman & Patterson, PC
235 Montgomery Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 956-8100
Facsimile: (415) 288-9755
www.zfplaw.com

This communication and its contents may contain confidential and/or privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies. Unless expressly stated, nothing in this communication should be regarded as tax advice.

From: [Wang on Wong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:24:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wang on Wong
wilsonwong976@gmail.com
131 Chicago way
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Jerry Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:25:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jerry Li
ljenica@sbcglobal.net
23rd ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Na Xie](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:26:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Na Xie

herbylam@sbcglobal.net

2074 36th Ave.

SF, California 94116

From: [Siu sim Lai](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:32:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Siu sim Lai

lisalai88@yahoo.com

1372 palos verdes dr.

San mateo, California 94403

From: [KIMBERLY Wong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:34:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

KIMBERLY Wong
felixwong888@yahoo.com
8473 lavender way
Elk Grove , California 95624

From: [Benson Louie](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:41:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Benson Louie

benlm5@yahoo.com

572 Arguello Blvd

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Ying Su](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:42:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ying Su

ysu12255@yahoo.com

7613 balmoral way

San ramon, Ca94582

From: [Grace Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:44:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Grace Lee

grace.weiyin.lee@gmail.com

1251 Turk St. # 410

San Francisco , California 94115

From: [Bin Gao](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:45:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bin Gao

gaonaibin@gmeal.com

64 Sylvan Dr

San Francisco Ca, California 94132

From: [Elaina Wang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:46:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Elaina Wang

helenray6@gmail.com

1010 16th street

San Francisco, California 94107

From: [Anna Wu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:55:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anna Wu
yvonne01@gmail.com
13102 Andy st
Cerritos , California 90703

From: [Anna Wu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:56:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anna Wu
yvonne01@gmail.com
13102 Andy st
Cerritos , California 90703

From: [Anna Wu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:56:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anna Wu

yvonne01@gmail.com

13102 Andy st

Cerritos , California 90703

From: [Daisy Lei](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:08:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Daisy Lei
daisylei@yahoo.com
815 Garfield Street
San Francisco, Colorado CA 94105

From: [Jeffrey Ho](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:08:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jeffrey Ho

jhoconstruction@gmail.com

St

Sf, California 94134

From: [Cynthia Zhang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:09:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cynthia Zhang
cxzhang4728@yahoo.com
12270 Somerville Dr.
Saratoga, California 95070

From: [yone.wong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:16:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

yone wong
yonechio@yahoo.com
457 Lakeshire Dr.
Daly City, California 94015

From: [SIMON CHIO](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:19:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

SIMON CHIO

simonchio@yahoo.com

457 Lakeshire Drive

Daly City, California 94015

From: [ut chio cheong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:20:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

ut chio cheong
utchio88@yahoo.com
457 Lakeshire Drive
daly City, California 94015

From: [Ina Zhu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:21:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ina Zhu
helen8798lucky@hotmail.com
1430 30th ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Yuexiu Su](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:30:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yuexiu Su
suyiexiu@hotmail.com
667 Paris St
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Simon Yang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:31:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Simon Yang
ysimon945@yahoo.com
12270 Somervy Dr
Saratoga, California 95070

From: [Grace Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:39:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Grace Lee

grace.weiyin.lee@gmail.com

1251 Turk St. # 410

San Francisco , California 94115

From: [Eric Chio](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:43:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eric Chio
seanchio@yahoo.com
457 Lakeshire Dr.
Daly City, California 94015

From: [Benson Hue](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:43:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Benson Hue

benson@moniserv.com

1600 Noriega

San Francisco, California CA

From: [Lily Fu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:46:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lily Fu
anniecustomdesigns@yahoo.com
41 exeter st
Sf , California 94124

From: [Yvonne Ip](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:49:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yvonne Ip
yvonneip3393@gmail.com
1238 24th Ave
San Francisco, Colorado CA 94122

From: [Zhi Guang Zhou](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:50:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Zhi Guang Zhou

zhiguangzhou20@gmail.com

1315 Polk St. # 505

San Francisco , California 94109

From: [Manna Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:54:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Manna Li

924mli@gmail.com

18 Ramsell street

San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Nieves Constancio](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 6:59:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Nieves Constancio
benconstancio1948@gmail.com
7401 west pkwy
Sacramento , California 95823

From: [Iris Quan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:06:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Iris Quan

irisquan11@gmail.com

2036 sorrelwood ct

San Ramon , California 94582

From: [Emily Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:11:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Emily Lee

taichixiaoli@gmail.com

18th ave

San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Ming Hu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:12:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ming Hu

hukmj@yahoo.com

30 Puffin ct.

Sacramento, California 95834

From: [Ming Fung](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:15:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ming Fung
mingsfung@hahoo.com
756 Peru ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Jennifer Ho](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:21:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jennifer Ho

jlh580_2000@yahoo.com

176 elder Ave

Millbrae , California 94030

From: [Samantha Chang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:26:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Samantha Chang
libraschang@yahoo.com
1786 28th Ave
San Francisco, California 94123

From: [Manna Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:28:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Manna Li

924mli@gmail.com

18 Ramsell street

San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Binnie Wong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:29:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Binnie Wong

binniewong128@sbcglobal.net

166 nueva street

San Francisco , California 94133

From: [Sasha DePari](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:33:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sasha DePari
ee0809@yahoo.com
11th Ave
San Francisco, California 94123

From: [Jingjing Shi](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:45:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jinqing Shi

jessihao@gmail.com

30 Lydia ave

San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Vincent Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:46:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vincent Chen

chenj877@yahoo.com

Italy

SF , California 94112

From: [Vincent Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:50:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vincent Chen

chenj877@yahoo.com

Italy

SF , California 94112

From: [Charlotte Dewar](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:50:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Charlotte Dewar
charlotte@asiasublime.com
254 Boulder St
Nevada City, California 95958

From: [Elaine Leong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:53:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Elaine Leong
elaineleong28@yahoo.com
2408 26th Ave
San Francisco , Colorado CA 94116

From: [Gang Shi Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:54:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Gang Shi Li
gangshi530@yahoo.com
1515 Benton St #C
Alameda , Ca 94501

From: [Hegun Xu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:58:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hequn Xu
wenjietang2017@hotmail.com
178 wilson street
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Stacey Wu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 7:58:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Stacey Wu

stacey17wu@hotmail.com

La Campana Way

Sacramento , California 95822

From: [Rui Yang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:00:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Rui Yang
ryang667@gmail.com
667 Paris St
San Francisco, California 94123

From: [Victor Chan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:08:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely, Vic

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Victor Chan

chvictorchan@gmail.com

63 Navajo Ave

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Jinger Tan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:08:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jinger Tan

nikitan38658204@hotmail.com

171 Ledyard st

San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Eason Ko](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:12:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eason Ko

EASONKO1004@GMAIL.COM

15558 TRACY ST

SAN LORENZO, California 94580

From: [Tiffany Liu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:16:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tiffany Liu
yanxieliu@gmail.com
672 Brussels street
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Tiffany Liu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:17:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tiffany Liu
yanxieliu@gmail.com
672 Brussels street
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Stanley Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:18:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Stanley Li
sweethomeinca@gmail.com
5008 Wagon Wheel away
Antioch, California 94531

From: [Theresa Chan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:23:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Theresa Chan
xpchan@hotmail.com
Jules Ave. / Grafton
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Jimmy Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:26:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jimmy Lee

jjcoinc@yahoo.com

8 Upland DR

San Francisco , Colorado CA 94127

From: [Sue Ouyang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:33:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sue Ouyang
bingquanli@yahoo.com
31st Avenue
San Francisco , California 94121

From: [yongqin wang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:33:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

yongqin wang

happyness34@yahoo.com

3327 hartselle way

Sacramento, California 95827

From: [Sunny Xie](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:35:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sunny Xie
sunnyxie2000@hotmail.com
norfolk and 2nd ave
San Mateo, California 94401

From: [Junhai Bai](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:36:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Junhai Bai

hbai3@mail.ccsf.edu

266 Ney St

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Tina Wong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:39:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tina Wong
tina@tinacwong.com
Taraval St
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Selena Chu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:41:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Selena Chu
selenachu10@gmail.com
2330 41st Avenue
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Jenny Feng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:44:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jenny Feng
jennychinafeng@gmail.com
39540 Pardee ct
Fremont , California 94538

From: [Jane Zuo](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:46:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jane Zuo

janezz99@yahoo.com

34320 Blackstone Way

Fremont , California 94555

From: [Johnny Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:51:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Johnny lee
amylee88@gmail.com
2111 35th Ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Sissy Riley](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 8:56:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sissy Riley

Sissy@SissyRiley.com

718 Laurel Ave

Millbrae , California 94030

From: [genli Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:02:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

genli Li
genli0822@gmail.com
2902 jennings St
san francisco , California 94124

From: [Wen Ping Fei](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:07:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wen Ping Fei
wenpingfei@gmail.com
1555 31st Ave
San Francisco , Ca94122

From: [Mei Liu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:08:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mei Liu

liu_rumei@yahoo.com

5647 Portrush pl

San Jose , California 95138

From: [Nancy Gee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:12:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Nancy Gee

nancy368@gmail.com

15 junior ter

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Hua Su](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:13:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hua Su
huasu0@gmail.com
1075 McKay dr
San Jose, California 95131

From: [Boya L](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:14:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Boya L
luboya92@gmail.com
1617 34th Ave.
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Meiru Liu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:16:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Meiru Liu

liurumei@gmail.com

2049McKenzie pl

San Jose , California 95131

From: [Ying Zhang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:18:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ying Zhang
zhangying798@hotmail.com
5662 conifer dr
La palma, California 90623

From: [Wei Hsu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:20:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wei Hsu
anniecheng777@gmail.com
28th ave
San Francisco , Ca94116

From: [Ming Yuan Zhang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:23:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ming Yuan Zhang
mingzhang1523@gmail.com
232 Wildwood Avenue
Piedmont, California 94610

From: [Michael Lu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:23:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

If city can pay landlord lost then we can discuss it.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Michael Lu

abe_lu@yahoo.com

2968 19th Ave

San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Yan Zhu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:26:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yan Zhu

petty903@hotmail.com

11 Leo St

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Wee Jung Chan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:27:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wee Jung Chan
weejung56@gmail.com
522 23RD Avenue
San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Sam Dong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:28:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sam Dong
samkdong@gmail.com
2340 Balboa St
San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Nizar Elmashni](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:30:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Nizar Elmashni
nizchamp@aol.com
2370 evergreen dr
San Bruno, California 94066

From: [Ching Chiu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:32:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ching Chiu

judychiu43@gmail.com

121 Laura street

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Joseph Riley](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:33:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joseph Riley
jriley.Millbrae@gmail.com
718 Laurel Ave
Millbrae , California 94030

From: [Annie Wong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:37:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Annie Wong

binniewong128@sbcglobal.net

166 nueva street

San Francisco , California 94133

From: [Kam Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:41:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kam Li

kamli3044@gmail.com

1188 Via Manzanitas

San Lorenzo, California 94580

From: [Betty Hom](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:42:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Betty Hom

bettyajoy@yahoo.com

169 Serravista Avenue

Daly City, California 94015

From: [Amy Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:44:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy Lee
1140Clay@gmail.com
1140 Clay St
San Francisco , California 94108

From: [Andree Jiang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:47:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Andree Jiang
andreemisc@gmail.com
47 DUBOCE ave
San Francisco, California 94103

From: [Donald Gibbs](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:50:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Donald Gibbs

dagibbs@ucdavis.edu

45 Park Hill Ave. #4

San Francisco, California 94117

From: [Doreen Deng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 9:50:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Doreen Deng
rxingh@yahoo.com
Po box 410174
San Francisco, California 94141

From: [Simon Leo](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:00:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Simon Leo
simonleo88@gmail.com
Felton
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Qing Lin](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:05:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Qing Lin

linqing2004@gmail.com

6412 berwickshire Way

San Jose , California 95120

From: [Mora Wheeler](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:10:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mora Wheeler

fam87@outlook.com

687 bright st

San Francisco , California 94142

From: [Wallis Wong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:14:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wallis Wong
wallis8838@yahoo.com
12 Bitting Ave
San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Alan Owyang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:17:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Alan Owyang
alan.owyang@gmail.com
1141 Montgomery St
San Francisco , California 94133

From: [Meiyuan Xiao](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:19:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Meiyuan Xiao
amyxiao465@gmail.com
465 Sawyer Street
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Yi Lu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:19:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yi Lu

yipingluwang@yahoo.com

Crest Rd & Avenida De Calma

Rancho Palos Verdes, California 90275

From: [Winnie So](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:24:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Winnie So

locle2128@yahoo.com

586 Pineview dr

San jose, California 95117

From: [Jennifer Sun](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:26:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jennifer Sun

huisunsh@yahoo.com

610 Funston Ave

San Francisco , California 94118

From: [Shaoming Kuang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:34:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shaoming Kuang
shaomkuang@yahoo.com
627 Naples st.
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Joe Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:35:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joe Chen

jabc888@gmail.com

260 Loyola Dr

Millbrae , California 94030

From: [minxi.liu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:36:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

minxi liu

minxiliu@sbcglobal.net

812 5th ave. #d

Oakland, California 94606

From: [Aaron Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:38:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Aaron Lee
cki.aaronlee@gmail.com
1132 Carpentier Street
San Leandro, California 94577

From: [Lu Wang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:39:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lu Wang
wanglurg@gmail.com
4667 Whitwood Ln
San Jose, California 95130

From: [Chen Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:42:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chen Lee
gracelee288@yahoo.com
1132 Carpentier Street
San Leandro, California 94577

From: [Qing Yang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:44:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Qing Yang
qingyang.uf@gmail.com
20233 Glasgow Dr
Saratoga , California 95070

From: [angela.Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:47:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

angela Chen

angelachen32@yahoo.com

77 seneca avenue

San francisco, California 94112

From: [Anna Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:48:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anna Chen
anche415@gmail.com
77 Seneca Avenue
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Ru Fang Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:53:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ru Fang Li
yw986@yahoo.com
2459 42th Ave.
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Christina Yee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:53:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Christina Yee

chrisfyee@yahoo.com

67 Via Aspero

Alamo, California 94507

From: [Christina Yee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:53:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Christina Yee

chrisfyee@yahoo.com

67 Via Aspero

Alamo, California 94507

From: [Jason Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:54:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jason Chen

jasonchen684@yahoo.com

77 Seneca Avenue

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Julia chu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:54:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Julia chu

j6julia@yahoo.com

1710 32nd ave

San francisco, California 94122

From: [Cindy Mei](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:55:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cindy Mei
cindyme96@yahoo.com
2819 Pacheco
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Manling Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:56:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Manling Chen
bamboohoo@gmail.com
140 Baltimore Way
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Jenny Chiu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 10:57:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jenny Chiu
drjenny@hotmail.com
323 6th Ave
San Francisco , California 94118

From: [Pearson Huang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:00:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

WFH has already put a lot of pressure of finding replacement tenants as more and more people are moving out of the city plus decreasing in rent, landlord are facing very difficult time right now specially for a new (2019) accidental landlord like me.

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small “mom and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Pearson Huang
pearson.hiang@gmail.com
519-521 5th ave
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Cris Ye](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:01:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cris Ye
ye.yufeng@yahoo.com
Newcomb Ave & Phelp St
San Francisco , California 94124

From: drjenny@hotmail.com
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:02:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

drjenny@hotmail.com

323 6th Ave

San Francisco , California 94118

From: [april.huang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:02:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

april huang
aprilhuang@live.com
1130 silliman st
san francisco , California 94134

From: [christine.yee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:04:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

christine yee

christineyee27@gmail.com

761 1/2 yale st

los angeles, California 90012

From: [Susan Mai](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:05:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Susan Mai
susanmai99@gmail.com
Farragut Ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [ali ahmadi](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:06:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

ali ahmadi

cyee09@icloud.com

761 1/2 yale st

los angeles, California 90012

From: [Seewan Chiu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:08:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Seewan Chiu

imseewan@gmail.com

323 6th ave

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Vivian Jiang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:09:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vivian Jiang
vivian_venus@yahoo.com
25685 Fernhill
Los Altos Hills, California 94024

From: [J So](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:14:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

J So

socjanet@gmail.com

285 Seneca Ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Taimel Yeh](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:14:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Taimei Yeh
taimeiyeh@yahoo.com
1422 Rosalie Drive
Santa Clara , California 95050

From: [Ken Chun](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:24:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ken Chun

kenchun@yahoo.com

1025 Alameda de las Pulgas #228

Belmont, California 94002

From: [Choi Mei Seto](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:24:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Choi Mei Seto
seto1520@yahoo.com
55 Tucker Ave
San Francisco, Colorado CA 94134

From: [Anderson Seto](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:28:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anderson Seto

seto1520@Yahoo.com

55 Tucker Ave

San Francisco, Colorado CA 94134

From: [Dayuan Lu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:34:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Dayuan Lu
dayuan.lu@yahoo.com
646 Lakeview Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Angela Zhang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:37:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Angela Zhang
wzgold88@gmail.com
3502 pinnacle ct,
San Jose, California 95132

From: [Cindy Wang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:39:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cindy Wang
cindyx2001@yahoo.com
895 Rolph Street
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [weisheng.guan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:40:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

weisheng guan
guanjasen7@gmail.com
335 hanover st
san francisco, California 94112

From: [Denis Deng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:46:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Denis Deng

deng7005@yahoo.com

Genebern

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Samantha Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:46:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Samantha Lee
rawriateyou20@gmail.com
Rivera st. and 16th Ave
San francisco, California 94116

From: [Xin Tan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:50:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xin Tan

floratan88@icloud.com

706 Mendell St

San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Zhuzhuan Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:53:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Zhuzhuan Li
qqjohn8@gmail.com
2443 22nd ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Emily Sun](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:53:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Emily Sun
emilyrjs.hk@gmail.com
1335 39th Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Iris Wang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:54:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Iris Wang
irisproperty@yahoo.com
470 S Lexington Dr
Folsom , California 95630

From: [Danny Ton](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:54:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Danny Ton
imdannyton@gmail.com
327 6th Ave
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Emily Sun](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:54:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Emily Sun
emilyrjs.hk@gmail.com
1335 39th Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Cindy Pang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:54:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cindy Pang
c.pang97@yahoo.com
Delta st
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Alice Chu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:55:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Alice Chu

ac123412003@yahoo.com

2885 Alice ct

Fremont , California 94539

From: [Ruijing Sun](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:55:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ruijing Sun
emilys.8800@gmail.com
1335 39th Ave
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Irene Yu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:56:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Irene Yu

iyu8278@gmail.com

383-29th Ave

San Francisco, Ca 94121

From: [Ying Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Sunday, June 7, 2020 11:58:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ying Li
nanhai10@yahoo.com
20 th Ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Tony Pang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:00:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tony Pang
Tony93@yahoo.com
Campbell
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Xing-na Wang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:01:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xing na Wang
xingna@yahoo.com
650 vienna street
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Edward Pang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:02:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Edward Pang
c.pang97@yahoo.com
Teddy Ave
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Jacky Pang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:04:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jacky Pang
c.pang97@yahoo.com
Campbell Ave
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Sui Pang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:06:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sui Pang
c.pang97@yahoo.com
Delta Street
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Jeffrey Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:07:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jeffrey Chen

jeff@gospg.com

1763 Roberta Dr

San Mateo, California 94403

From: [Shirley Chang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:08:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shirley Chang
c.pang97@yahoo.com
Campbell Ave
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Edward Pang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:10:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Edward Pang
thenameedward@gmail.com
36th ave
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Ashley Trung](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:11:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ashley Trung
c.pang97@yahoo.com
Teddy Ave
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Sarah Shang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:12:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sarah Shang
sshang@yahoo.com
Dorado Terrace
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Stephanie Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:13:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Stephanie Chen

Stephanie.wp.chen@gmail.com

1453 170th ave

Hayward, California 94541

From: [Hui Rong Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:40:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hui Rong Li
gangshi530@yahoo.com
3018 Delaware St
Oakland , Ca94602

From: [Luke Taylor](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:40:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Luke Taylor
oaklegalaid@yahoo.com
2330 23th Avenue
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Tisa Vo](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:41:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tisa Vo
tisa.vo@gmail.com
1112 Masonic Avenue
San Francisco , California 94117

From: [Jerry Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:43:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jerry Li
redfox3270@yahoo.com
41461 Denise St
Fremont, California 94539-4559

From: [sue liao](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:47:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

sue liao

liao_s@hotmail.com

431 faxon Ave

san francisco, ca 94112

From: [Jessica Song](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:47:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jessica Song
songding@yahoo.com
2543 Viewridge dr
Chino hills, California 91709

From: [Lishan Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:51:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lishan Chen

chenlishan2006@gmail.com

1275 Manzanita Drive

Millbrae ar, California 94030

From: [Lu Yu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:15:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lu Yu

ylu2097@yahoo.com

2559 30th Ave

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [DONGPING Ye](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:20:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

DONGPING Ye
necolye@hotmail.com
1247 37th Ave
SAN FRANCISCO , Ca 94122

From: [Joanna Lei](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:25:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joanna Lei

joannalmlei@yahoo.com

P O Box 27485

San Francisco, Ca 94127-0485

From: [Mei Jiang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:30:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mei Jiang
meijiang18@yahoo.com
580 9th street
Oakland, CA 94607

From: [Yan Yuan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:30:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yan Yuan

yanyuan.cn@gmail.com

2165 48th ave

Oakland, California 94601

From: [Becky Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:31:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Becky Lee
blee42003@yahoo.com
1658 26TH Avenue
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Jessica Yu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:38:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jessica Yu
yuanyu73@hotmail.com
Benton st.
Santa Clara , California 95051

From: [Rose Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:41:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Rose Lee

rose.lee.ad@gmail.com

1338 Arleen Ave

Sunnyvale, California 94087-3520

From: [Jing Huang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:59:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jing Huang

jinghuang616@gmail.com

1644 Via Fortuna

San Jose , California 95129

From: [Judy Liu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:14:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Judy Liu

judyliu2008@yahoo.com

3918 Boulder Canyon Dr

Castro Valley , California 94552

From: [Xuequn Lin](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:31:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xuequn Lin

linxuequn2@gmail.com

233 Randolph St.

San Francisco , California 94132-3117

From: [Xian Yu Zhao](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:41:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xian Yu Zhao

linxuequn2@gmail.com

233 Randolph St.

San Francisco , California 94132-3117

From: [Kevin Sui](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:57:11 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kevin Sui
ksui@rocketmail.com
Sneath Lane
San Bruno, California 94066

From: [Sophia Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:08:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sophia Chen
csophia2088@gmail.com
867 47th Ave
San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Sophia Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:09:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sophia Chen
csophia2088@gmail.com
867 47th Ave
San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Edmund Kwan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 4:02:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Edmund Kwan

ekwan00@msn.com

225 22nd Ave

San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Ling Liu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 4:19:23 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ling Liu
mmlingliu@gmail.com
26490 Mockingbird Ln
Hayward, California 94544

From: [Austin Dang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 4:21:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Austin Dang
austidang415@gmail.com
320 Cambridge st
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Dennis Wong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 4:37:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Dennis Wong
chefdennis@yahoo.com
3405 Geary Blvd
San Francisco , Ca 94118

From: [Qinghua Yang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:30:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Qinghua Yang
snydwx@163.com
1748 mission st Apt A
San Francisco CA, California 94103

From: [Yu Xue Ying](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:54:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yu Xue Ying

yu_Xue_Ying@icloud.com

934 Ingerson ave

San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Yehong Wu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:01:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yehong Wu

rain0262@hotmail.com

638 6th ave

San Francisco , California 94118

From: [Jean Zhu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:07:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jean Zhu

qinjeanzhu@gmail.com

2310 23rd Ave

San Francisco , Texas 95114

From: [Carol Wang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:08:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Carol Wang
xwang_mailbox@yahoo.com
1061 West Hill Ct
Cupertino , California 95014

From: [Kenneth Wong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:11:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kenneth Wong
wong2288@yahoo.com
591 41. Ave
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Bill Woo](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:11:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bill Woo

billzwoo08@gmail.com

4634 17th st

San Francisco , California 94115

From: [Petra Liang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:34:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Petra Liang

petra10248@yahoo.com

28

Trabuco Canyon , California 92679

From: [Charles Qiao](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:37:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Charles Qiao

charlesq28@hotmail.com

5317 Piazza Court

Pleasanton , California 94588

From: [Jin Guo](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:38:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jin Guo

sandyguopro@gmail.com

849 west orange Avenue

South san Francisco , California 94080

From: [Wan yi Huang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:40:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wan yi Huang
wanyihuang1961@gmail.com
2321 galway drive
South sf , California 94080

From: [Yi Mei Mei](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:45:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yi Mei Mei

faxon33398@yahoo.com

2819 Pacheco Street

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Michelle Kong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:45:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Michelle Kong
michellekong838@gmail.com
72 Robblee Ave
San Francisco, California 94124

From: [James Dial](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:53:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

STOP!!!

I appreciate the the difficulties the Covid 19 situation presents for my tenants....in addition to me. I am definitely OK with temporary relief on rent and evictions. I would do this voluntarily. However, making this "relief" permanent is not fair, and I hope not legal. The financial burden of this relief should be more broadly distributed: city, state, all SF residents including ALL tenants, business, etc.

While the funding solution built into this proposition may be politically easy, it is neither logical nor "right."

The form letter below details the many reasons why.

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic

needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

James Dial
dial1930@aol.com
1940 20th Street
San Francisco, California 94107

From: [Shirley Tan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:56:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shirley Tan
sukyeetan@yahoo.com
377 el paseo
Millions , California 94030

From: [Wadhong Kong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:58:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wadhong Kong
hongkong@yahoo.com
72 Robblee Ave
San Francisco, California 94124

From: [sue.corey](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on File 200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:07:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am a native San Franciscan. My parents bought a small mixed use building in SF in the 1960's- 1 residential unit, 1 commercial unit- where they had a business. When I inherited this pre-1900 building. I took out a large loan, updated all major building systems and completed a voluntary earthquake retrofit. I'm a responsible and attentive landlord. I'm in my 70's. Not only do I need the income from this building to pay that mortgage, property taxes, insurance etc., but it is also my retirement income. It's how I support myself. Without rents from my two tenants, I will, in relatively short order, have to sell the building to survive. Please consider the effect this legislation will have on small landlords like me. Please vote no. Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards,

Sue Corey

From: [Bill Tam](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:17:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bill Tam

bill18182000@yahoo.com

Sweeny

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [James Wang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:25:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

James Wang
jameswang316@yahoo.com
21926 Hyannisport Dr
Cupertino, California 95014

From: [Wei Wu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:29:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wei Wu

weiwu08@gmail.com

1502 Kennewick dr

Sunnyvale , California 94087

From: [Demetrious Koutsoftas](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:33:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Demetrious Koutsoftas
deme@dkgeotech.com
60 Joost Avenue
San Francisco, California 95131

From: [Yan Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:39:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yan Li

k2u2y@yahoo.com

1655 20th ave

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Ngan Au](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:40:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ngan Au

sweetasian888@yahoo.com

101 towngreen lane

Foster city , Ca 94404

From: [Ngan Au](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:41:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ngan Au

sweetasian888@yahoo.com

101 towngreen lane

Foster city , Ca 94404

From: [K.L.](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:43:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

K L

mango_888@yahoo.com

369 10 ave

Sf, Ca 94118

From: [Lisa T](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:45:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lisa T
qq8888@juno.com
535 11 ave
Sf, California 94118

From: [Hui Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:45:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hui Chen

linda.chen160@gmail.com

119 N. Menlo Park St.

Mountain House , California 95391

From: [Amy Wu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:47:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy Wu

amywu178@gmail.com

584 Leland Ave

San Francisco , Ca 94134

From: [Kong Lam](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:48:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kong Lam

manyuyiip999@gmail.com

454 Lisbon street

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Harry Zhu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:53:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Harry Zhu

hzhux@yahoo.com

101 Ganesha Common

Livermore, California 95344

From: [Ting Wang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:55:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ting Wang
ting_ting21@yahoo.com
3 Orizaba Ave
SF, California 94112

From: christystam@yahoo.com
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:55:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

christystam@yahoo.com

1350 24th Ave

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Huirong Zhu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:56:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Huirong Zhu

harryzhux@gmail.com

731 W La Canada Ave

Mountain House, California 95391

From: [Sandy Tam](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:57:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sandy Tam
sandy0798@yahoo.com
3116 Baylis street
Fremont , California 94538

From: [Vicky Guan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:01:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vicky Guan

vickyg68@yahoo.com

609 Sawyer st

San Fransico, Colorado CA 94134

From: [Charles Xie](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:02:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Charles Xie

charlesx@rocketmail.com

7268

Vallejo, California 94591

From: [li Zou](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:04:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

li Zou

zou.rowley@gmail.com

1080 s blaney ave

San Jose , California 95129

From: [li Zou](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:05:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

li Zou

zou.rowley@gmail.com

1080 s blaney ave

San Jose , California 95129

From: [Haoxiang Xia](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:06:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Haoxiang Xia
darkeywill@outlook.com
1080 s blaney ave
San Jose, California 95129

From: [Mingqin Zou](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:07:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mingqin Zou
mingqingzou@outlook.com
1643 butano dr
Milpitas, California 95035

From: [Wendy Liu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:08:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wendy Liu
windyffl@hotmail.com
4030 Moorpark Ave
San Jose, California 95117

From: [S Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:17:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

S Li

jmsdliu@gmail.com

1000 Sloat Blvd

San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Ming Xie](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:17:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ming Xie
mxie201@yahoo.com
416 Biscayne Ave
Foster city , California 94404

From: [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Submission for Today's 1:30 PM Land Use Committee Meeting re: File. No.: 200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:20:23 AM
Attachments: [2020.06.01 Submission to Land Use Committee re File. No. 200375.pdf](#)
Importance: High

From: Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)
Sent: Sunday, June 7, 2020 5:21 PM
To: Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>
Subject: FW: Submission for Today's 1:30 PM Land Use Committee Meeting re: File. No.: 200375
Importance: High

Hi Erica,

Wanted to send this one separate, just in case it needed special handling.

Happy Monday!!!

Eileen

From: Mary Bhojwani <mary@zfplaw.com>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 9:26 AM
To: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; PrestonStaff (BOS) <prestonstaff@sfgov.org>; Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>
Cc: Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Fewer, Sandra (BOS) <sandra.fewer@sfgov.org>; Stefani, Catherine (BOS) <catherine.stefani@sfgov.org>; Marstaff (BOS) <marstaff@sfgov.org>; Hanestaff (BOS) <hanestaff@sfgov.org>; Yee, Norman (BOS) <norman.yee@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; RonenStaff (BOS) <ronenstaff@sfgov.org>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>; Breed, Mayor London (MYR) <mayorlondonbreed@sfgov.org>; Cityattorney <Cityattorney@sfcityatty.org>; PRADHAN, MANU (CAT) <Manu.Pradhan@sfcityatty.org>; Andrew Zacks <az@zfplaw.com>; Emily Lowther Brough <emily@zfplaw.com>; Emma Heinichen <emma@zfplaw.com>
Subject: Submission for Today's 1:30 PM Land Use Committee Meeting re: File. No.: 200375
Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors Land Use Committee and Clerk of the Committee:

We are submitting the attached letter on behalf of the San Francisco Apartment Association,

Small Property Owners of San Francisco, Coalition for Better Housing and the San Francisco Association of Realtors regarding File. No.: 200375 - Administrative Code COVID-19 Tenant Protections, listed as Item 2 on today's Regular Agenda. Thank you for circulating copies to the Board Members and adding our submission to the official record.

Kindly confirm receipt of this submission at your earliest opportunity.

Thank you.

Regards,
Mary

Mary Bhojwani
Assistant to Andrew M. Zacks
Zacks, Freedman & Patterson, PC
235 Montgomery Street, Suite 400
San Francisco, CA 94104
Telephone: (415) 956-8100
Facsimile: (415) 288-9755
www.zfplaw.com

This communication and its contents may contain confidential and/or privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review or distribution by others is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies. Unless expressly stated, nothing in this communication should be regarded as tax advice.

From: [Catherine Luk](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:20:34 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Catherine Luk
cathyyluk@yahoo.com
195 Saint Elmo Way
San Francisco, California 94127

From: [sarah.gang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:21:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

sarah gang
gqsago@gmail.com
1567 elmores way
el dorado hills, California 95762

From: [Xiaomei Lei](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:21:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xiaomei Lei

xiaomeilei1@gmail.com

500 Plymouth Ave

San Francisco , Colorado CA 94112

From: [Jason Kuan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:27:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been negatively affected by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jason Kuan
jasonkuan0304@gmail.com
1992 alemany blvd
San Francisco , Ca 94112

From: [Jing Xu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:35:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jing Xu

jessiexu542@yahoo.com

118 Holloway ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Wad y Kong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:37:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wad y Kong

Dakong098@yahoo.com

1736 burrows st

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Hong Xu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:40:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hong Xu

hongxu2163@yahoo.com

539 36th ave

S.F, California 94121

From: [Han Zhao](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:40:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Han Zhao

zhao2005@gmail.com

3165 Oakmont Drive

South San Francisco , California 94080

From: [Joyce Yu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:50:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joyce Yu
hkjoyceyu@gmail.com
1551 Southgate Ave
Daly City , California 94015

From: [Eda Wei](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:03:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eda Wei

eda0823@yahoo.com

Pope

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [David Low](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:06:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

David Low

davidylow@gmail.com

1788 silver ave

San Francisco, Ca , California 94124

From: [Joyce Yu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:15:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

As a young motivated person. I'm working hard to support myself, and finally get enough down-payment to buy a small condo , but a year ago realized that I can't offer all of those expenses (tax, loan interest , HOA fees) , and I had to rent it out to reduce these burdens and then rent a small place for myself with longer commute . It's hilarious that I bought a place but I couldn't stay . And yet I probably won't get the rental check if this bill is past. I tried so hard to make life better, please don't destroy my life. I'm the person suffering from the pandemic too even though I own a property.

Joyce Yu
hkjoyceyu@gmail.com
1551 Southgate Ave
Daly City , California 94015

From: [Evan Chan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:21:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Evan Chan

evanallenchan@gmail.com

1275 Sloat Blvd.

San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Helen Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:22:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Helen Li

helenli88@gmail.com

1428 silliman street

San Francisco , California Ca

From: [Steven Yip](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:26:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Steven Yip
stevenyip8989@yahoo.com
2337 Alemany Blvd
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Elaine Fong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:27:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Elaine Fong
fong.elaine@gmail.com
520 36th ave
San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Susan Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:28:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Susan Lee

susanleelee1416@gmail.com

518 36th ave

San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Vicky Jiang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:31:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vicky Jiang

vickyjiang2006@yahoo.com

713 hill avenue

South San Francisco , California 94080

From: [Bill Kwan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:33:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bill Kwan

billkwan1@gmail.com

2327 29th Ave

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Mujuan Kong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:34:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mujuan Kong
jennykong@yahoo.com
5853 Mission Street #10
SF, California 94112

From: [Li Cuip](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:34:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Li Cuip
zhengylee@yahoo.com
102 Teddy Ave
San Francisco, Colorado CA94134

From: [Wayne April](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: No on 200375!
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:36:35 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We want to protest about the proposed bill that extends eviction protections past this fall. We own a small duplex on Bernal Heights (our former home) and we would be financially pinched - majorly - if one or both tenants stopped paying rent for an extended period of time. We depend on that income to maintain the building, pay taxes on it, and supplement our retirement income. Our long term tenants already pay below market rents, so it's not like we're gouging them. Please don't transfer the financial pain from the tenants to two old modest property owners. Thank you.

Jeffrey Gutstadt
Wayne April
1267 N Hill Ave
Pasadena, CA 91104
626-345-0906

Re: 9-25 Franconia St (201 Mullen Ave)
San Francisco, CA 94110

From: [Manna Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:36:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Manna Chen
gshu93@yahoo.com
140 Baltimore Way
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Amy Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:37:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy Lee

amyjj2002@gmail.com

2744 41st Ave

San Francisco, Colorado CA. 94116

From: [Huifang Xu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:39:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Huifang Xu

fannytsui@foxmail.com

77 Pasadena street

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Qi Jun Tan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:40:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Qi jun Tan

floratan.1029@yahoo.com.hi

2077 21 Ave

San Francisco , Colorado CA 94116

From: [Shanni Huang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:48:24 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shanni Huang
shanni.huang@gmail.com
1705 Plaza Sol
San Jose, California 95131

From: [Pete Holden](#)
To: [Major Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:55:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.


(Below is a letter sent to Sup. Preston, the Land Use Committee and related supervisors)

Dear Supervisor Preston -

I am writing as a constituent and property owner in your district to oppose your legislation abrogating my rights without due compensation. I oppose Ordinance #300375.

I only own the bldg I live in - a multi-unit in Hayes Valley, for which I had to scrape and save. While presently my tenant is current with their rent (and I'm thankful for that), I can imagine that scenario changing in a heart beat. I do not see your legislation as being in the long term best interests of those you think you are trying to serve. And it's not in the best interests of folks like us. These are tough and trying times. Compromise is essential when necessary, as this is really not the fault of anyone; but this is not compromise! Placing the burden entirely on one party - the property owner (the affected tenants, after all, continue to enjoy their premises - albeit rent-free) - is not fair; particularly when the City continues to take its full cut in taxes, utilities, and fees; and particularly where there is no oversight for cheating from those who will unfairly benefit. City institutions have made food available for those who can't afford it - likewise the City should make rent payments available for that same cohort. The insidious - some call it 'unintended consequences' - nature of this legislation is that it would encourage the mindset of renters, to not think about their future, or to take responsibility for it. Good news for Lotto ticket sellers maybe, but not for short-sighted tenants.

In these partisan times, it's unlikely my words will have any effect on you or your team. I merely utter them - much like the protesters for racial justice - to speak truth to seemingly (though not, hopefully) deaf ears. I hope you remain open to working with all parties to find less harmful ways to achieve your aims.

. 
Peter Holden
425 Linden St
SF CA 94102

H-415-626-9465
C-415-866-6191

. 
Peter Holden

H-415-626-9465

C-415-866-6191

From: [Margaret Chung](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:56:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Margaret Chung
kowmom228@hotmail.com
421 Hazelwood Ave
San Francisco , California 94127

From: [Eddy Tsang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:56:50 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

In addition, the government is mandating small business owners (property owners) to provide funding under covid 19 while these small business are not qualified for any assistance on covid 19 government's loanS or assistant programs. The support related to covid 19 should be an effort of government and this ordinance allows government to pass this responsibility to property owners. It is an unfair ordinate. There are more issues here such as how to enforce there is no fraudulent and what is the penalty for making fraudulent claim. Would city allow forgetting property tax, water, mortgage etc? This ordinate is going to create more issues than what the covid 19 would cause as it triggers other issues. If city want to help tenants, would it be better to give fund to tenants to pay the rent and will not require too much ripple effects created by human not the covid virus. This ordinate will create more damage than the virus itself.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eddy Tsang
eddytsang2015j@gmail.com
530 20th ave
San francisco, California 94121

From: [Julie Fitzgerald](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:59:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Julie Fitzgerald

jafitz22@gmail.com

217 Pary

San Francisco , California 94117

From: [Le bin Su](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:03:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Le bin Su
yuechangtan3@gmail.com
956 Cayuga Ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [David J Fix](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:03:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I urge you to vote against #200375. This is very unfair to all property owners, but particularly small property owners. Many of us, myself included, are retired and rely on our rental income to survive. We still have to pay all our bills. That may become impossible if we cannot collect all of our rental income.

This proposal goes far beyond what the Governor's order allows. It shuts us off from our legal access to courts. This places all the burden of Covid 19 on small mom & pop property owners.

Thank you

David Fix

From: [Kenneth Ng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:09:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kenneth Ng

ken-ng@pacbell.net

532 20th Ave.

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [May LawNg](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:10:49 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

May LawNg

maylawng@yahoo.com

532 20th Ave.

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Peter Wu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:11:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents.

First, whoever bring this, should donate their whole year salary to housing development project.

Also abandon all the City and County fees for the rental properties to be fair.

Third, this is not communist society.

During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination

of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Peter Wu

pwu1ar.realtor@yahoo.com

8001 Arroyo Vista Dr

Sacramento, California 95823

From: [Paul Szeto](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:12:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Paul Szeto
szetoclarence@yahoo.com
244 Edwin Way
Hayward, California 94544

From: [Maggie Chu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:14:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Maggie Chu

gary_chu@att.net

275 thrift st

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Lisa Kuang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:16:01 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lisa Kuang
lisakuang123@icloud.com
87 rudden ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Maggie Chu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:16:30 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Maggie Chu

gary_chu@att.net

275 thrift st

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Jenny huang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:17:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jenny huang

jinghua_us@yahoo.com

3111 ZUNI WAY

pleasanton, California 94588

From: [GC](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: No on Permanent Coronavirus Evictions
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:17:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Erica Major,

Please vote no on permanent coronavirus evictions. I currently have two tenants that can easily apply for benefits to pay their rents due to the virus but are not bothering as they strongly feel that nothing will be done to make them pay their rents.

Augusto Cano

From: [Maggie Chu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:17:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Maggie Chu

gary_chu@att.net

275 thrift st

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Gary Chu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:19:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Gary Chu

gary_chu@att.net

1007 Capitol ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Sadie Wong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:20:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sadie Wong

sadiewongg@gmail.com

Sadiewongg@gmail.com

San Francisco , California 94080

From: [May Xu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:22:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

May Xu
mayhuang940@yahoo.com
2250 20th Avenue
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Claudia Xi](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:24:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Claudia Xi
claudiaxi@mail.com
4532 Kathy Dr.
La palma, CA90623

From: [Kathy Woo](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:24:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kathy Woo

kathywoo07@gmail.com

76 Miramar Ave

San Francisco, Ca 94112

From: [Bizhu Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:27:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bizhu Li
judylee0821@hotmail.com
2158 bay shore blvd
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Eugene Leung](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:28:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eugene Leung
geneel169@gmail.com
118 Vicksburg Street
San Francisco, California 94114

From: [Ken Ho](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:28:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ken Ho
kenhof@yahoo.com
465 Grant Ave
San Francisco , California 94108

From: [Michele Boyle Turchi](#)
To: [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#)
Subject: No vote on #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:28:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisor,

I am writing to you to request a No vote on #200375. We are small property owners with an apartment building in District 2 in which all utilities are paid by ownership. We currently have 4 vacancies, out of 15 units, with other tenants thinking of moving out or requesting permanent rent reductions. I still consider this lucky because if tenants stay and don't pay rent for a long time and landlords are permanently prohibited from using the state law eviction processes for unpaid rent due to COVID-19 we will not be able to stay in business. We still have property taxes, insurance, maintenance and utilities to pay. We have stopped any owner distributions. If you remove our legal recourse to recoup unpaid rent then no one will want to buy our property if we have to sell. We are not there yet, but we are very concerned and therefore request your NO VOTE on #200375.

Thank you,

Michele Boyle Turchi
GME Partners LLC

From: [ida kwong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:29:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

ida kwong

idakwong@hotmail.com

3300 Geary Street

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [chao.yong.li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:30:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

chao yong li

962huron@gmail.com

727 36th Ave

San Francisco, California 94121-3401

From: [Edward Mandoza](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:31:43 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Edward Mandoza
maggie.chusf@outlook.com
1017 Capitol ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Amy Kong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:32:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy Kong
amykong@gmail.com
444 Ralston Street
San Francisco , California 94132

From: [charles.kwong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:33:03 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

charles kwong
cykwong@yahoo.com
195 Parker
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [edwin mok](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:34:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

edwin mok

yfmok@yahoo.com

194 stonecrest

San Francisco, California 94116

From: kamlei724@gmail.com
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:35:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

kamlei724@gmail.com

396 Allison street

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Pansy Dong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:36:15 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Pansy Dong
pansydong@gmail.com
471 3rd Avenue
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Thomas Orgain](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: dorgain21@gmail.com
Subject: RE: NO on #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:38:41 AM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Dear Land Use Committee Members – SFBOS

A week later I find no useful modification – or any clarification at all on this ordinance.

We continue to reject this measure in its entirety – no longer will tenants and landlords be able to operate in good faith and harmony as a result of this ridiculous regulation.

The old adage about San Francisco politics [‘There is less to it than meets the eye’] truly applies in the promulgation of this defective ordinance.

Supervisor Preston’s characterization of the measure in the media has been incoherent at best.

Vote NO on #200375.

Very Truly Yours,

Thomas K. Orgain, Sr.

From: Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>
Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 3:11 PM
To: Thomas Orgain <thomasorgain@gmail.com>
Subject: RE: NO on #200375

Greetings,

Confirming your matter will be made part of the official Board File No. 200375.

ERICA MAJOR

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-4441 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

Erica.Major@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: Thomas Orgain <thomasorgain@gmail.com>

Sent: Monday, June 1, 2020 10:18 AM

To: Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>

Subject: NO on #200375

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

Dear Land Use Committee Members – SFBOS

We are District 4 residential property owners and reject this measure in its entirety – no longer will tenants and landlords be able to operate in good faith and harmony as a result of this ridiculous regulation. This is another unrealistic measure that will deliver the opposite results of its short-sighted intentions.

To say this measure is not ready for “prime time” is a huge understatement.

Vote NO on #200375.

Very Truly Yours,

Thomas K. Orgain, Sr.

From: [Winnie Trang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:38:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Winnie Trang
wwtrang130@gmail.com
130 Circular Ave.
San Francisco , California 94131

From: [Ning Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:39:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ning Li
lingsu96@yahoo.com
151 El Camino Real
Millbrae , California CA

From: [Karen Liu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:40:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Karen Liu

karen128liu@icloud.com

2945 Moraga st

San Francisco, California 94123

From: [Win C](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:41:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Win C

wpm63128@gmail.com

Morse st

Sf, California 94112

From: [Elizabeth Brown](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: No On 200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:42:08 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Ms. Major:

I am writing to express my concerns about the impacts of Ordinance 200375 on rental housing in San Francisco.

I maintain one rental property—a single family home in Bernal Heights—and am fortunate to have kept the same wonderful tenants for almost 10 years. Now more than ever, **I depend on income from my tenants.** I am the only caregiver for my elderly mother, who lives with me. The COVID-19 crisis has virtually obliterated my income as a freelance writer over the past few months, and it is impossible to predict when and if my business will bounce back. **Without income from my rental property, I don't know how I will make ends meet.**

My understanding is that Ordinance 200375 would permanently restrict my ability, as a landlord, to recover rent due if my tenants are unable to pay as a result of this pandemic — and Governor Newsom's orders regarding COVID-19 do NOT give the city of San Francisco the legal authority to do so. Although I appreciate the pressure the COVID-19 crisis is placing on my tenants and others, **this ordinance would unfairly place the financial burdens of the pandemic on small property owners like me**—jeopardizing my ability to pay my own mortgage, property taxes, and insurance; to maintain my rental property for the safety and comfort of my tenants; and to cover everyday living expenses for my mother and myself.

I know there are many landlords in the same situation I face. Combined with the closure of the court system, Ordinance 200375 would allow tenants to live rent-free from March 2020 to and potentially beyond September 2020, giving landlords no legal recourse to recoup rent unpaid over the course of 8 months or more. Landlords like me, who have few properties and who rely on rental income, are particularly hard hit when tenants are unable to pay overdue rent.

I ask the Board of Supervisors to vote no on Ordinance 200375. Although passing the ordinance might appear to address the immediate financial hardships tenants may face because of COVID-19, to do so would ignore the long-term negative impacts that would result when small property owners like me are forced to bear the burden: San Francisco and our tenants will not benefit when we are unable to pay our own mortgages, taxes, and daily living expenses or properly maintain our rental properties.

Thank you for considering this urgent request,

Betsy Brown
2 Nebraska Street, San Francisco, CA 94110

From: [eileen lai](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:44:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

eileen lai

eileen2014@sbcglobal.net

530 20th Ave

San Francisco, California 94121

From: [eric.tsang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:47:22 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

eric tsang

erictsangre@gmail.com

530 20th Ave

San Francisco, California 94121

From: [chloe tsang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:47:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

chloe tsang

chloetsangre@gmail.com

530 20th Ave

San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Bing Quan Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:48:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bing Quan Li
bingquanli@gmail.com
808 31Ave
SAN FANCIES , Ca94121

From: [yeungkwong.tsang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:48:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

yeungkwong tsang
link4tsang@sbcglobal.net
532 20th Ave
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Michelle Lam](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:48:57 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Michelle Lam
michelle19@gmail.com
1524 Bacon st
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Salina Au](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:49:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Salina Au

Salina2020@gmail.com

532 20th Ave

San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Elaine Szeto](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:49:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Elaine Szeto

szeto2886@yahoo.com

21 st Ave

San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Nina Wong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:50:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Nina Wong
ninawongyee@yahoo.com
34 Inverness Dr
San Francisco , California 94132

From: [Ying mei Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:50:28 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ying mei Li
mayli6926@gmail.com
630 Skyline Blvd
San Bruno city , CA94066

From: [Wai Kum Zhang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:50:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wai Kum Zhang
fs940_monitor@hotmail.com
#215 Montana Street
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Grace Feng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:53:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Grace Feng
flyover168@gmail.com
130 w Le Roy Ave
Arcadia , California 91108

From: [Hua Su](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:53:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hua Su

hua.su@ucsf.edu

216 Glenview Dr.

San Francisco , California 94131

From: [Jinsheng Yue](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:53:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jinsheng Yue
yuejason@yahoo.com
2306 w pacific ave
West Covina , Ca 91790

From: [Susie yee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:54:16 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Susie yee

syvacations@yahoo.com

288 Gold Mine Drive

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Susie yee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:58:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Susie yee

syvacations@yahoo.com

288 Gold Mine Drive

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Le bin Su](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:58:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Le bin Su
yuechangtan3@gmail.com
956 Cayuga Ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Susie yee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:58:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Susie yee

syvacations@yahoo.com

288 Gold Mine Drive

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Susie yee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:59:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Susie yee

syvacations@yahoo.com

288 Gold Mine Drive

San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Yan Ying Mai](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:00:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yan Ying Mai
yanniemai123@gmail.com
2155 24th ave
Sf, California 94116

From: [Patricia Lam](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:00:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Patricia Lam

patricialam59@yahoo.com

1727 Felton street

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [michael chow](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:00:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

michael chow

michaelchow9@gmail.com

990 duncan st

san francisco, California 94131

From: [Nick Johnson](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:03:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Nick Johnson

nick.johnson415@gmail.com

1390 Noriega Street

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Yanfeng Wu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:04:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yanfeng Wu
yanfhu@yahoo.com
46 Rebecca Ln
San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Alan Chong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:05:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation as many will do. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of

this year; many of us are small “mom and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Alan Chong
Asjrc@yahoo.com
288 gold mine
San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Margaret Szeto](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:05:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Margaret Szeto
crmts@aim.com
455 Gold Mine Dr
San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Ka shing Wu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:06:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ka shing Wu
yanfhu@gmail.com
46 Rebecca Ln
San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Isolde Wilson](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: No on #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:07:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Land Use Committee,

I am a small property owner in San Francisco and am writing to voice my opposition to File No. 200375. While I understand the good intent of this legislation, it will deprive me of the ability to collect past due rent and will be a financial burden affecting my ability to maintain my property.

There has to be a better way to help tenants who are struggling to pay their rent, and I hope the Board of Supervisors can come up with a more fair solution.

Please vote NO!

Thank you,
Isolde Wilson
Owner of 2563 Clay Street, San Francisco

From: [Catherine Ma](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:08:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Catherine Ma

chris_catherine@yahoo.com

786 Moscow Street

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Toan Trinh](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:19:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Toan Trinh
ptrinh@gmail.com
830 meade ave
san francisco, California 94124

From: [Rodney Leong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:20:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely, Rodney Leong, a property taxpayer and constituent of D1 for 20+ years.

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself and my renters.

Rodney Leong
abraxis_us@yahoo.com
5820 California Street
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Dena Aslanian-Williams](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:21:25 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Dena Aslanian-Williams
denawilliams@msn.com
293 Magellan Ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [cynthia.Cheng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:21:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

cynthia Cheng
cheng1085@yahoo.com
330 25th Ave
San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Shaojie Yu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:21:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shaojie Yu
yu031394@gmail.com
14208 orchid dr
san leandro, California 94578

From: [Michelle Leong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:22:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Michelle Leong
mleong2621@yahoo.com
Irving and 32nd Ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Xiaoming Yang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:22:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xiaoming Yang

Leannayang999@yahoo.com

Earle Ave

Rosemead , California 91770

From: [Angela Lu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:23:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Angela Lu

angelalu138@yahoo.com

82 Curtis

San Francisco , Colorado CA 94112

From: [Sherman Choi](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:24:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sherman Choi
schoi0993@yahoo.com
Granada and Holloway
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Angela Lu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:24:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Angela Lu

angelalu138@yahoo.com

82 Curtis

San Francisco , Colorado CA 94112

From: [Amy P](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:25:05 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy P
amycalifornia2016@yahoo.com
2901 Mission St
San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Wei Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:25:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wei Chen

mingm77@gmail.com

37 Curtis st

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Cindy Zhang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:25:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cindy Zhang

cindy.bijou@yahoo.com

Fransworth

San Leandro , California 94579

From: [Chong L](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:27:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chong L

kellylo17@yahoo.com

50 Brussels St

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Lai Ping Yu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:28:10 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lai Ping Yu
susanyu919@gmail.com
30th Ave & Balboa
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Nancy Wiley](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: 200375 proposal
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:29:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Erica Major,

I just wanted to voice my opinion, that I am against it. I have not been able to work since the Covid 19 pandemic started and have had to take an early retirement. I and my husband, who is disabled, are landlords, with 2 rental units on the property where we live, the quintessential mom and pop landlords. Luckily for me, my tenants are still employed and can pay us rent, we are fortunate. I am against this proposal because there are other landlords like us, who are not so lucky. I sympathize with renters who are not getting a paycheck, but that hardship should not have to be carried by the landlords alone.

Sincerely,

Nancy Wiley
ph: 415-819-3552

From: [Eva Choi](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:30:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eva Choi
evaschoi@hotmail.com
666 5th Ave
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Michelle Hoffman](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:34:58 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Michelle Hoffman
chenmichelle88@yahoo.com
1 bluesail cove
Buena Park , California 90621

From: [Mary Dunleavy Cassidy](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:36:36 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mary Dunleavy Cassidy
mary.cassidy@cbnorcal.com
401 Twin Peaks Blvd
San Francisco, California 95115

From: [sujiao.chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:37:44 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

sujiao chen
julieli889@gmail.com
1365winston ave
san marino, California 91108

From: [Bing Chung](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:37:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bing Chung
bingchung1234@gmail.com
2631 46th Ave
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Naomi Lopez](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:42:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Naomi Lopez

naomi@naomilopez.com

735 Dolores St., Apt 1

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Fernando Lopez](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:43:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Fernando Lopez

fernlopez@att.net

Dolores X Liberty

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [Jie xing Zou](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:43:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jie xing Zou
yvochung@yahoo.com
2618 Admiral cir
Hayward , California 94545

From: [Sharon Cassidy](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:46:38 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sharon Cassidy
cassidyre@aol.com
1766 union street
SF, California 94123

From: [Shawn Tsai](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:46:56 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shawn Tsai
shawntsai888@gmail.com
148 E Longden Ave
Arcadia, California 91006

From: [Alice Ou](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:47:17 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Alice Ou

aliceou226@gmail.com

1235 west town and country road

Orange, California 92868

From: [Stephen Tam](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:49:32 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Stephen Tam
stephentam@gmail.com
229 Brannan St #2d
San Francisco, California 94107

From: [Boris Amchislavsky](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: File 200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:49:51 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear committee members,

Tenants need to be protected, but so do small property owners. Why doesn't this legislation provide protections to aid both groups?

Passing one-sided legislation creates a divide between lessees and lessors. Without equal protection, small property owners, who rely on rental income to pay for mortgage, property taxes, and insurance, are left with the burden of figuring out how to pay their bills.

There is no legislation that guarantees payment forbearance or forgiveness to small property owners to directly align with eviction protections, and as a result, rent forgiveness to renters.

Everyone deserves access to housing, but forcing small property owners into difficult situations will ultimately have a negative impact on both parties.

Thank you,
-Boris Amchislavsky

From: [Arjun Sodhani](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Tenant AGAINST Ordinance #200375 - Permanent COVID-19 Eviction Ban
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:53:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work as San Francisco Supervisor during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents.

I am a tenant and strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" for the following reasons:

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Second, Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. My landlord is retired and relies heavily on the rental income she has expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. Technically, I could stop paying rent because my job was affected by COVID-19 and she wouldn't be able to evict me.

Third, With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

Fourth, #200375 encourages tenants to make up financial distresses to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic, and they shouldn't be treated as such.

As a tenant, I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375.

Consider the effects on housing providers as well because they "may find themselves in an

ever-deepening financial hole," as the ordinance says.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking tenant whose job was impacted by COVID-19 but started a new job in the midst of the pandemic to continue to meet my contractual obligations to my landlord, insurance companies, credit card companies, and others, because using stuff that's going on in the world as an excuse to get out of paying rent is dumb.

Arjun Sodhani
arjun.sodhani@gmail.com
8th Ave x Irving
San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Lucy Ho](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:54:18 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lucy Ho
ljho44@hotmail.com
2216 Flower Creek Ln
Hacienda Hts, California 91745

From: [Suzanna Dang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:59:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Suzanna Dang
suzanna88@yahoo.com
1625 Quintara st
SF, California 94116

From: [Zhongqiong Yu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:59:06 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Zhongqiong Yu
zqy92joanne@gmail.com
455 Lisa Ann St
Bay Point, California 94565

From: [Merwin Lai](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:59:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Merwin Lai
coolsf@sbcglobal.net
542 36th ave
San Francis , California 94121

From: [Aliya Zeng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:02:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Aliya Zeng
azeng@tenayathera.com
116 Avalon Drive
Daly e, California 94015

From: [Prism Investments](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: ["Charley Goss"](#)
Subject: Monday, June 8, 2020 Land Use Committee Public comment starting at 1:30 PM NO ON 200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:02:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Ms. Major,

See my comments below: I'll be on the public comment teleconference today at 1:30 PM.

Thank you for your work. No need to reply but please pass my comments on to the Supervisors,

Very best regards,

Joe Ansel

Monday, June 8, 2020 Land Use Committee Public comment starting at 1:30 PM

Regarding Supervisor Preston's proposed legislation 200375.

I'm Joe Ansel. As a student in the 1960s, I decided that to insure my security I needed to buy a home and I determined to do so.

In the mid-1970s my then girlfriend and I rented a flat north of the Panhandle in San Francisco. (NOPA) At the time we both worked at a small non-profit organization in San Francisco and made embarrassingly little money.

In 1979, having saved a large percentage of my meager pay for a decade I bought the set of flats I was living in as a tenant and lived there as a landlord--renting the flats above and below--for about a decade. I did most all the maintenance and work on our flats myself because we had no "extra" money.

Remember that tenants can become landlords and landlords can become tenants and one can easily be both at the same time. Indeed from 1997 until 2013 I rented an office while renting out our flats. Be fair to both sides and consider the fact that many young tenants now will become property owners later due to inheritance.

About a decade later after buying the SF flats, my girlfriend--who had become my wife—and I bought a house. We had three mortgages at the time-- a large first and a small second on the flats and a large first on the house. Largely because of rent control our flats had never produced a profit and we were in fact subsidizing our tenants with our salaries until we succeeded in paying off the two first mortgages in 2003.

My annual salary barely topped \$30,000 for the first time in 1985. I checked my Social Security report to confirm this.

Without both me and my wife working we and our tenants would not have had a place to live.

If our tenants had not paid their rent while we held the aforementioned mortgages--within a few months we would have lost our San Francisco property to the banks.

MANY landlords are in position similar to tenants. If landlords can't pay ALL the expenses they bear because they receive no rents, they lose the property and if that property is owner occupied the landlord is evicted—often with the tenants. What will the housing landscape look like in SF with more foreclosed and shuttered properties than we already have now?

Some of you will recognize the legal meaning of the term "consideration." Consideration is what one party gives to the other in order to craft a deal. Consideration is "this for that." Accordingly consideration is absolutely fundamental to an agreement; without due and proper consideration exchanged between the parties there can be no deal.

In the case of rental property the consideration the landlord offers is the use of the property and the

consideration the tenant offers is rent.

Tenants aren't properly tenants unless they pay rent. There is no residential tenancy without: 1) the provision of a place to live for the tenant AND 2) a payment of the agreed upon rent to the property owner. Would anyone reasonably propose that a landlord could stop providing a place to live while still demanding rent from a tenant? No! How can the opposite ever be fair?

Supervisor Preston's proposed legislation—200375-- is not "tenant protection" it is breaking the most fundamental aspect of the tenant landlord relationship. It is in fact taking from the landlord and giving to the tenant. It is simple theft—improperly "legally sanctioned"—under the guise of compassion for one party at the expense of the other.

Supervisor Preston's proposed legislation is so one sided that it is likely it will be overturned by the courts making: 1) tenants liable for back rent they didn't think they will have to pay and 2) subjecting the City to myriad and sometimes successful suits from property owners who lost their property in foreclosure or were forced to sell in a down market.

Landlords like us did not cause the housing "crisis" in San Francisco. In fact, rent control itself is responsible for a reduction in residential development and a shrinking of the housing supply. Mr. Paul Krugman a renowned and very liberal economist, argues clearly and firmly against rent control, saying the:

"The analysis of rent control is among the best-understood issues in all of economics, and -- among economists, anyway -- one of the least controversial. In 1992 a poll of the American Economic Association found 93 percent of its members agreeing that "a ceiling on rents reduces the quality and quantity of housing." Almost every freshman-level textbook contains a case study on rent control, using its known adverse side effects to illustrate the principles of supply and demand. Sky-high rents on uncontrolled apartments, because desperate renters have nowhere to go -- and the absence of new apartment construction, despite those high rents, because landlords fear that controls will be extended? Predictable."

<https://www.nytimes.com/2000/06/07/opinion/reckonings-a-rent-affair.html>

Even though rent control is bad policy, it's politically popular and thus many progressive politicians—including the California Democratic party—are in favor of policies which cause more problems than they solve. (I'm a registered Democrat.)

Some but not all of the causes for the housing crisis are:

1. Onerous City permitting requirements and delays,
2. Not in My Back Yard (NIMBY) zonings and community action groups
3. The California Environmental Quality Act's (CEQA) sometimes oppressive requirements and long approval times
4. Limited suitable land
5. Community groups opposed to change or development.
6. Extremely high building costs relative to other cities and
7. Union labor requirements on many projects.
8. The desire of developers to avoid residential development in favor of commercial development because of rent control and the nature of commercial leases and leasing.
9. The almost rabid and unthinking desire of the City of San Francisco to attract businesses before and after the crash of 2008 and the subsequent flood of new mostly well paid residents into the City.
10. The dramatic difference in wealth between some "tech workers" and ordinary people.
11. The desire at the State and local level to encourage sales of property to insure reappraisal of long held properties and the subsequent increase of the tax base.
12. Increased demand for housing in other areas such as the south and east bay.
13. Failure on the State and Federal level to insure adequate wages and health care benefits to the population at large leading to temporary or permanent homelessness.
14. Failure on the State and Federal level to adequately address addiction and mental health needs of our society.

Nowhere on this long list are small time landlords like me who provide relatively low cost, quality housing and have worked for a lifetime to do so.

Landlords did not cause the housing crisis, we did not cause the Covid 19 pandemic and we should not be forced to pay to solve the problems of the City and the nation at large.

The only solution lies at the national level. Only the Federal government can print money and only the Federal government, probably acting through the State, can solve the problems caused

by the Covid-19 pandemic.

In targeting landlords you're hurting the innocent and proving yourselves unable to take actions that might actually alleviate the problem.

Finally the banks and huge corporations that "bottom feed" off the foreclosures and forced sales that will be the result of legislation like or akin to 200375 will not be better landlords than we small businesses.

Moreover these banks and corporations will be able to litigate matters in the courts for decades and the City may find itself on the losing end of the judgements and certainly of the process. Everyone except the lawyers and the very, very rich will suffer.

No On 200375

Joe Ansel

(415) 465-2168

From: vickyg68@yahoo.com
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:04:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

vickyg68@yahoo.com
609 Sawyer St
San Fransico , Ca 94134

From: [Kathy Wu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:04:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kathy Wu

kathywu88@yahoo.com

2143 18th Ave

SF, California 94116

From: [Kathy Wu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:06:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your moo constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kathy Wu

kathywu88@yahoo.com

2143 18th Ave

SF, California 94116

From: [Adrienne Fung](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:09:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Adrienne Fung

adrienneartmail@gmail.com

363 21st ave

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [corazon trissel](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:09:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Sir and Madam,

My name is Corazon a Trissel! Only way I could afford to live and afford to pay my mortgage at the same time is to rent out partial parts of my house in SF. Without this rental income I could not afford to continue living in it! I'm 66 yrs old and soon will be retired! If the tenant don't pay their rents, I'll lose my only house! Please don't let this happen! I oppose to say No 200375.

Regards,
Corazon Trissel

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Annette Fajardo](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:10:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Erica:

I am a single woman sole proprietor. I have made my living for the last 15 years managing Airbnb's with 30 night minimums. I have had absolutely no business since March and it continues as no one is flying or traveling so there is no need for housing. I'm at about 25% occupancy for the units I manage and if my guests do not pay rent, I can not pay the mortgage and I will lose the property I have been relying on to help pay my living expenses.

If the government wants to subsidize housing, there is HUD. Or, the government can take my property by Eminent Domain and pay me the fair market value and then give it away for free to whomever they want. I bought my property in 1989 and it was not under rent control at the time and then the Board of Supervisors changed the Rent Control Law to include duplexes. I had to reinvent my rental into a short term rental to stay away from rent control. My retirement plan is all real estate (luckily not all is in SF).

If you want subsidized rent, then you must provide the difference in rent to the Landlord. Home owners do not buy real estate to subsidize someone else's lifestyle. That's what rent control does. Now you want to take away our rent? I have no new business and the owners whose property I manage are hurting, trying to pay the mortgages to their properties while their renters eat like kings and the owners have to beg their mortgage company to defer their payments or worse, come up with huge payments but no income, and they continue to pay the WiFi, TV, Water, Garbage, PGE, landscaping and more.

Please vote NO on #200375

Thank you,

Annette Fajardo
3 Porter Street
SF, CA 94110

--

Thank you for your inquiry, please note that availability and reservation requests are not guaranteed until a deposit is received.

Annette Fajardo, bookings.sfholidayrentals.com
415-826-0555

--

Thank you for your inquiry, please note that availability and reservation requests are not guaranteed until a deposit is received.

Annette Fajardo, bookings.sfholidayrentals.com
415-826-0555

From: [hailey he](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:10:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

hailey he
tohailey2002@gmail.com
1559 24th avenue
San francisco, California 94122

From: [Shirley Tan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:16:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shirley Tan
sukyeetan@yahoo.com
377 el paseo
Millbrae , California 94030

From: [Joel Panzer](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: I am a 77 year old small property owner
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:17:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

My late wife was a SF School teacher. I worked as a property manager. We worked our whole lives to raise a family here in the city and to create some security. Instead of elaborate vacations we saved and and repaired our property. Now this is all I have and if you take away my income, the rentals I depend on to pay my mortgage, how will I pay my bills and taxes?

Will you waive my taxes to make up for my loss of rents?

This proposal will make it nearly impossible for small property owners to recoup unpaid rent and places the financial burden of COVID-19 on small mom and pop property owners who have fixed mortgages, property taxes, employees, and maintenance expenses.

This proposal, along with the closure of the court system, would allow tenants to live rent free from March 2020 to potentially September and beyond-- and landlords would have no legal recourse to recoup unpaid rent.

The Board of Supervisors cannot and should not prohibit housing providers who have been financially impacted by COVID from using California state law to enforce our rights.

Small owners are particularly hard hit by renters who cannot pay. If even one renter in a 4 unit building can't pay, the owner is also experiencing a financial hardship.

Joel Panzer
354 Jersey St
San Francisco resident since 1966

From: [Tammy Ho](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:20:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tammy Ho
tammy94112@yahoo.com
1911 an Jose Ave
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Nancy Lim](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:23:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Nancy Lim
nancylimre@gmail.com
2301 30th Avenue
SF , California 94116

From: [Emily Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:29:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Emily Li
emyhli@gmail.com
215 Princeton St
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Andy Huang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:30:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Andy Huang
marking982001@yahoo.com
4813 Noriker drive
Elk Grove , CA 95757

From: [Jason Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:33:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jason Li

jli415@gmail.com

215 Princeton st

San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Wei lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:34:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wei lee

letmegetin@hotmail.com

136 Montana st, San Francisco, Ca 94112

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Peter yao](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:35:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Peter yao
peterxyao@gmail.com
1370 26th ave
san francisco, California 94122

From: [Xiao Li Hong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:36:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xiao Li Hong
xiaolihong1@gmail.com
215 Princeton St
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [SHIRLEY YAO](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:37:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

SHIRLEY YAO

SHIRLEYXYAO@GMAIL.COM

432 35TH AVE

SAN FRANCISCO, California 94121

From: [Yueming Liu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:37:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yueming Liu
anneliu1013@gmail.com
5779 Balmoral Dr
Oakland , California 94619

From: [ben yao](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:38:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

ben yao

benxyao@gmail.com

432 35TH AVE

san francisco, California 94121

From: [Guo Hua Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:38:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Guo Hua Li
ghluoua@gmail.com
215 Princeton St
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [K.cloudsrest](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Yan, Calvin \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: cloudsrest789@gmail.com
Subject: Fwd: NO on # 200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:40:26 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

Dear Board Members, Erica Major:

Please vote "NO" on #200375.

Many property owners in the City are Asian. I often wonder if proposals like #200375 are intended to be discriminatory as they seem to target small time Asian landlords like myself, who are elderly with disabilities and worked hard their entire lives, in the face of discrimination. Some tenants alleging inability to pay due to financial burdens caused by COVID-19 continue to earn high salaries. They probably out-earn me. Being a woman of color, there is always the thought of some underlying form of discrimination against me/us. I would like to ask you - if you worked hard your entire life to purchase a small piece of rental property to provide affordable housing, would you want your basic rights taken away from you? Please look at both sides and evaluate a situation fairly. Please read the rest of my message, below. Thank you.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **Major, Erica (BOS)** <erica.major@sfgov.org>
Date: Mon, Jun 1, 2020 at 8:44 AM
Subject: RE: NO on # 200375
To: K cloudsrest <cloudsrest789@gmail.com>

Greetings,

Thank you for your testimony, it has been added to Board File No. 200375.

ERICA MAJOR

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-4441 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

Erica.Major@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

From: K cloudsrest <cloudsrest789@gmail.com>

Sent: Sunday, May 31, 2020 5:56 PM

To: Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Yan, Calvin (BOS) <calvin.yan@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>

Cc: cloudsrest789@gmail.com; Dion wong <wong_dion@hotmail.com>

Subject: Re: NO on # 200375

Dear Board of Supervisors, Supervisor Peskin, Supervisor Preston, Supervisor Safai, Erica Major,

My revised statement to present before the Land Use Committee on June 1, 2020 at 1:30 pm:

NO on #200375

I am a District 3 constituent and co-owner of a small mixed-use apartment rental building that also serves as my residence; I live alongside our tenants, with whom we have a genuinely trusting, businesslike relationship. I take pride in maintaining my property in above average condition and treating my tenants with the utmost respect by faithfully carrying out the lease agreement and addressing their inquiries and requests in a timely manner. My building is over 100 years old and requires high level maintenance to keep it in good working order and a habitable condition for my family and my tenants. My building serves as MY HOME and that of my tenants. I have both a legal and moral obligation to be a responsible landlord and property manager for each of my tenants. I will never waiver from this obligation.

- The city does not have legal authority under the Governor's order to permanently restrict a landlord's ability to recover rent due.
- This proposal will make it nearly impossible for small property owners to recoup unpaid rent and places the financial burden of COVID-19 on small mom and pop property owners who have fixed mortgages, property taxes, employees, and maintenance expenses.
- This proposal, along with the closure of the court system, would allow tenants to live rent free from March 2020 to potentially September and beyond-- and landlords would have no legal recourse to recoup unpaid rent.
- The Board of Supervisors cannot and should not prohibit housing providers who have been financially impacted by COVID from using California state law to enforce our rights.
- Mom and pop landlords like myself are particularly hit hard by renters who cannot pay. If even one renter in a 4-unit building cannot pay, the owner also experiences a financial hardship. The impact is made worse if the landlord has long-term tenants paying extremely below-market rent. For example, I have several long-term tenants paying well below market rents based on a 30 year tenancy. Their total combined rent would not cover a major repair job so every dollar that I don't collect impacts my ability to meet both routine and extraordinary monthly expenses. Should there be a major leak in a drain pipe – which would cost thousands of dollars – the cost would exceed the rents collected and I would be operating at a loss. Being a mom and pop landlord has its inherent risks. But, I continue to meet these expenses even if it means paying out of pocket from my meager retirement income. Proposal #200375 only adds to my existing hardship to make ends meet, so, you see, it is not always the tenant who endures financial hardship.
- Many property owners in the City are Asian. I often wonder if proposals like #200375 are intended to be discriminatory as they seem to target small time Asian landlords like myself, who are elderly with disabilities. Some tenants alleging inability to pay due to financial burdens caused by COVID-19 continue to earn high salaries. They probably out-earn me. What are your thoughts on this?
- All I ask is that you put politics and emotions aside and see the situation from BOTH SIDES. Help the good landlords survive and thrive in this City by applying the law fairly so we can meet our expenses and continue to provide fair housing during these challenging times and beyond. Thank you.

Karen Y. Wong

Native San Franciscan

On Fri, May 29, 2020 at 3:13 PM Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org> wrote:

Greetings,

Thank you for your testimony, it will be added to the official Board File No. 200375 - Administrative Code - COVID-19 Tenant Protections.

ERICA MAJOR

Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-4441 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

Erica.Major@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org



Click [here](#) to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The [Legislative Research Center](#) provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation, and archived matters since August 1998.

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

From: K cloudsrest <cloudsrest789@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, May 29, 2020 11:22 AM
To: Yan, Calvin (BOS) <calvin.yan@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Major, Erica (BOS) <erica.major@sfgov.org>
Subject: Fwd: NO on # 200375

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Vote "NO" on # 200375. Work with the good mom&pop landlords in the City. Thank you!

----- Forwarded message -----

From: K cloudsrest <cloudsrest789@gmail.com>
Date: Fri, May 29, 2020 at 11:19 AM
Subject: NO on # 200375
To: <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: cloudsrest789@gmail.com <cloudsrest789@gmail.com>, Dion wong <wong_dion@hotmail.com>, Kenton Wong <ahwahnee1927@gmail.com>

Dear Board of Supervisors, Erica Major:

This proposal will make it nearly impossible for small property owners like myself to recoup unpaid rent and places the financial burden of COVID-19 on small mom & pop property owners who have fixed mortgages, property taxes, employees, and high maintenance expenses, particularly with older buildings like mine.

This proposal, along with the closure of the court system, would allow tenants to live rent free from March 2020 to potentially September and beyond-- and landlords would have no legal recourse to recoup unpaid rent.

The Board of Supervisors cannot and should not prohibit housing providers (who have been financially impacted by COVID) from using California state law to enforce our rights.

I worked hard my entire life to make my rental property a success – for both my family and my tenants. Please help the good landlords of the City succeed so we can continue to provide comfortable, clean, safe and well-maintained housing for people. Please work WITH US NOT AGAINST US. That is all we ask but we need your help to make this work. I just feel that the Board is constantly picking on good landlords like myself. I comply with every single ordinance whether it makes sense or not, and now I feel like I'm fighting a losing battle. Please work with us, not against us. Thank you!

Karen Wong

District 3 constituent & native San Franciscan

Apartment bldg co-owner

mobile #415-992-2489

--

Karen

mobile #415-992-2489

--

Karen

mobile #415-992-2489

--

Karen

mobile #415-992-2489

From: [Amy Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:41:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amy Li
ali415@gmail.com
215 Princeton St
San Francisco, California 94134-1313

From: [Wendy Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:45:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wendy Chen
fengyingchen415@hotmail.com
274 Pope st
Sf, California 94112

From: [Estella Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:45:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Estella Li

li.estella@yahoo.com

1705 Hampton Lane

Daly City , California 94014

From: [Yu Ming Hong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:49:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yu Ming Hong
socapy@gmail.com
359 Cambridge St
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Kim Ming Wong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:50:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kim Ming Wong
KMWongHK@gmail.com
194 Stonecrest
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Grace Mok](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:51:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Grace Mok

GraceMok2020@gmail.com

194 Stonecrest

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Henry Low](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:52:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Henry Low

henrylow@pmp1988.com

950 Taraval St

San Francisco, Ca, California 94116

From: [Edward Kwong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:53:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Edward Kwong
EdwardKwong2020@gmail.com
3300 Geary Blvd
San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Cindy Cheng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:56:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cindy Cheng
cindychen505@gmail.com
238 27th Ave
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Jon Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:56:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jon Chen

dragon_95035@yahoo.com

2915 Meridien Circle

Union City, CA, California 94587

From: [Debra Toy](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:57:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Debra Toy
debratoy@gmail.com
1327 Leavenworth Street, #103B
San Francisco, California 94109

From: [Raymond Chang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 12:59:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Raymond Chang
cindychen505@gmail.com
238 27th Ave
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Stera Cheung](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:04:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Stera Cheung
cindycheng505@gmail.com
238 27th Ave
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Annie Chan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:05:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Annie Chan
aqmchan@gmail.com
Marengo ave
Alhambra, Ca91801

From: [viven Cheung](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:06:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

viven Cheung
cindycheng505@gmail.com
238 27th Ave
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Yen Ng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:09:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Yen Ng

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yen Ng

yen_20022003@yahoo.com

Rhine Street and Flornoy Street

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Dan Cha](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:12:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Dan Cha

dc68sfsu@yahoo.com

Dorado

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Jonie Lau](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:13:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jonie Lau

jonie.lau@gmail.com

658-3rd ave

San Francisco Ca, California 94118

From: [Ethel Chan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:14:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ethel Chan

ethelchan2020@gmail.com

530A 20th Ave

San Francisco, California 94121

From: dorgain21@gmail.com
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [PrestonStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:14:46 PM
Attachments: [image001.png](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We are home owners in District 4 and rent our house out. As you know, the housing in SF is very high. We would not be able to afford the mortgage if the proposal to **permanently** prohibit landlords from using the state law eviction processes for unpaid rent due to COVID-19 were passed. This proposal does not protect lawful landlords and is our violation of our rights.

Diana Orgain

USA Today Bestselling Author



From: guixia888@gmail.com
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:18:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

guixia888@gmail.com

533Sunnyvale AVE

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Tian Zheng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:18:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tian Zheng
nomnompiexd@gmail.com
2163 40th Ave
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Rosy Vazquez](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO On 200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:19:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi,

I am sending this email to oppose 200375. My husband Genaro Vazquez and myself (Rosamaria Vazquez) own a property in the Noe Valley neighborhood in San Francisco, CA.

Given the current COVID-19 situation we understand the need to have flexibility to TEMPORARILY allow tenants to postpone payment of their rent. With that said, we are completely opposed to permanently restricting our ability, as landlord's, to recover rent due.

This proposal will make it nearly impossible for us to recoup any unpaid rent and would allow tenants to live rent free and not given us any legal recourse to recoup any unpaid rent. We will however, continue to have financial responsibilities associated with our unit (mortgage, taxes, maintenance, etc.).

Again, we COMPLETELY OPPOSED 200375. The Board of Supervisors cannot and should not prohibit us from enforcing our rights and thus causing us financial hardship as well.

Regards,

Genaro and Rosamaria Vazquez

From: [Rosy Vazquez](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO On 200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:21:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am sending this email to oppose 200375.

My husband Genaro Vazquez and myself (Rosamaria Vazquez) own a property in the Noe Valley neighborhood in San Francisco, CA.

Given the current COVID-19 situation we understand the need to have flexibility to TEMPORARILY allow tenants to postpone payment of their rent. With that said, we are completely opposed to permanently restricting our ability, as landlord's, to recover rent due.

This proposal will make it nearly impossible for us to recoup any unpaid rent and would allow tenants to live rent free and not given us any legal recourse to recoup any unpaid rent. We will however, continue to have financial responsibilities associated with our unit (mortgage, taxes, maintenance, etc.).

Again, we COMPLETELY OPPOSED 200375. The Board of Supervisors cannot and should not prohibit us from enforcing our rights and thus causing us financial hardship as well.

Regards,

Genaro and Rosamaria Vazquez

From: [Linlin Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:22:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Linlin Li

nclilinman@gmail.com

Klondike Dr.

Union City, California 94587

From: [Yukswa Iau](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:23:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yukswa lau

lauyukswa@gmail.com

671-3rd Ave

San Francisco, California 94118

From: [Yaqian Jiang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:26:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yaqian Jiang
cicizhang188@gmail.com
93 Topeka Ave
San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Shao Xie](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:26:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shao Xie

shaoxie8@gmail.com

#263 Sadowa Street

San Francisco, Ca 94112

From: [Li Ming Tan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:27:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Li Ming Tan
lmtan168@yahoo.com
931 Plymouth Avenue
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Faqun Liang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:29:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Faquan Liang
faquan_liang@yahoo.com
1531 Santiago Street
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Mei Mei Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:38:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mei Mei Chen
flexstructure@gmail.com
359 Cambridge St
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Ava Chung](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:38:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,
Ava

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ava Chung
chung.ava2@gmail.com
119 Delano Avenue
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Nicole Hong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:39:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Nicole Hong
introvertagenda@gmail.com
359 Cambridge St
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Cynthia Ikeda Owatari](#)
To: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: No on #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:40:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Land Use Committee and Supervisor Stefani:

My 87-year old mother lives in District 2, and also owns 2 residential rental units in a building in District 2. She has dementia and other health issues. This building is her only rental property. The rental income from the building covers her elderly care. She is one of the many small “mom and pop” property owners who will be affected by a permanent restriction to recover rent due during COVID-19. She has fixed mortgage payments, property taxes and insurance and maintenance expenses – these payment obligations do not go away during COVID-19.

This is a difficult time for everyone. We believe the initial temporary rent collection restrictions issued are fair. Short-term extensions made with a specified term also seem reasonable given this unprecedented time. But, a permanent restriction on evictions based on unpaid rent during COVID-19, even after the COVID-19 emergency is done, is highly unfair.

If even one renter is unable to pay and then is allowed to live rent free potentially to September and beyond, my mother would face a financial hardship. Unfortunately, this proposal, if passed, along with the closure of the court system, would make it close to impossible to recoup unpaid rent using the legal system. It would essentially place the financial burden of COVID-19 on my elderly mother, who requires memory and health care. She deserves to be protected under California law, too.

I ask that you please vote against the current proposal or redraft the proposal so that it affords protections for both the tenant and landlord. Small owners, some of whom are elderly and depend on their rental income, are also being financially impacted by COVID-19.

Respectfully Yours,
Cynthia Owatari, on behalf of Miyako Ikeda

From: [Citania Tam](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:40:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Citania Tam

citania.tam@gmail.com

1326 Guerrero St

San Francisco , California 94110

From: [Nathan Norris](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Public Comment Regarding COVID-19 Tenant Protections
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:41:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors,

As an employer in the hospitality sector we at Zuni Café are actually aware of the impacts to workers in San Francisco, particularly in the service sector. We would like to see this measure pass with unanimous consent to be sent to the mayor for her signature. Please work with your colleagues to ensure this unanimity. There are can be no constituency in opposition to this measure as the debt remains in place for landlords to collect upon while protecting the basic human needs of tenants, particularly low-income and undocumented tenants in San Francisco.

Thank you for bringing this to the board,

Nate Norris

--

Nate Norris

Chef de Cuisine

Zuni Café

[1658 Market Street](#)

[San Francisco, California 94102](#)

Tel 415-552-2561

Fax 415-552-9149

[zunicafe.com](#)



From: [June Shen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:41:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

June Shen

yijuneshen@gmail.com

2455-46ave

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Wenwei Zhang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:42:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wenwei Zhang
maggie.jks@gmail.com
1019 Russia Ave
San Francisco, Colorado CA 94112

From: [Kevin Hong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:42:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kevin Hong
introvertvalueproposition@gmail.com
359 Cambridge St
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [BARRY Y](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: No On 200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:42:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi supervisors and all board member,

My name is Barry Yeung. I am a property owner at 628 Clement Street. Do not pass this law. This law will definitely hurting a small family like us. I have work for a long time to bought this property. If tenants doesn't rent. I will not able to my mortgage too. And this will affecting my whole family too. If we have to pay for mortgage why would they able not to pay it.

Please NO on 200375

Barry Yeung

From: [Jean Yaste](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Public comment YES FOR EVICTION PROTECTION ORDINANCE
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:47:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Strongly support voting YES for the eviction protection ordinance introduced by Dean Preston. This is San Francisco's chance, once again, to model social responsibility to its citizens. Similar to how we were second city in the nation to give free attorney to eviction defenders.

The rest of the world has out right canceled mortgages and rent. If you vote yes on this measure, San Francisco will be a spark in joining Oakland and Bay Area good leadership for rest of the country to wake up to the impending evictjon crisis and subsequent internal refugee horrorshow. You think we have a homeless crisis now?!

Please vote YES on this measure today.

Sincerely,
Jean Yaste
44 Prosper St. #4
San Francisco, CA
94114

--

Sent from a handheld please forgive typos.

From: [Paula Savage](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: suggiesavage@gmail.com
Subject: #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:49:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom it may concern,

RE: I reject permanently prohibiting landlord from using the state law eviction processes for unpaid rent due to COVID-19

This is my reasoning:

1. I The city does not have legal authority under the Govenors's order to permanently restrict a lanords ability to recover rent due.
2. I am a small property owner. If my tenants don't pay me, I can not pay my debt on the building. I have fixed costs as it relates to my mortgage, property taxes, maintenance expense. Additionally, I live off of any positive cash flow that is produced. If you cut off my rent, you cut off my income. This would be trading one problem for another. I'm not a large corporation, Im a small "mom and pop" operating alone, single female.
3. The Care act gave me nothing in the way of monies. I can't apply for un-employment or the care act. I am living in the crack.
4. My building is a three unit building. If just one tenant doesn't pay, I don't receive any income to live.
5. I can't imagine anyone thinks this legislation would be fair to anyone.

Respectfully,

Paula Savage
415-971-9192

From: [Chloe Stewart](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: permanent eviction protections for people who have lost income due to COVID-19 and can't make rent
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:50:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Erica,

My name is Chloe Stewart and I am a resident of San Francisco (District 8). I am writing to show support for Supervisor Preston's proposal to implement permanent eviction protections for people who have lost income due to Covid-19 and cannot pay rent. I fully support this law and want my support to be added to the record.

Thank you,

Chloe Stewart

From: [Freddy Martin](#)
To: [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#); [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Letter of Support for covid 19 eviction protections Preston legislation
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:55:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Matt Haney,

I am writing to voice my strong support for Supervisor Preston's Eviction Protection Ordinance, File No. 200375.

Even before COVID-19, renters in San Francisco were struggling to make ends meet. With so many people now out of work, and with no ability to make income in the foreseeable future, I am terrified of what will happen to tenants after the state of emergency expires, and months of back rent become due.

Supervisor Preston's ordinance would stop landlords from evicting tenants who can't pay because of COVID-19 related income loss. It doesn't stop landlords from getting what they may be owed, it just takes eviction off the table. This is the most important step San Francisco can take to stop mass displacement after the state of emergency.

Thanks,
Freddy Martin - SDA Housing Organizer

From: [Jan Tan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:55:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jan Tan

jianzhitan65@gmail.com

158 boutwell st

San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Julia Poon](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 1:56:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Julia Poon

julia.poon@yahoo.com

Ellington Avenue

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [San Ong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:06:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

San Ong

sanong@pacbell.net

7 Seville Court

Millbrae, California 94030

From: [Joe Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:13:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joe Li

jkli188@yahoo.com

29 Lisbon Street

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Ping Yuen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:33:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ping Yuen

pingping1539@gmail.com

28th Ave

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Josephine Lo](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:39:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Josephine Lo

josephinelo1733@yahon.com

Josephinel01733@yahoo .com

SF, California 94112

From: [Vicky Lau](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:41:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vicky Lau

alvin2159@yahoo.com

110 Hale Street

San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Amber Lu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:43:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amber Lu

honglu2005@gmail.com

896 pepper tree ct

Santa Clara, California 95051

From: [Laila Salma](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on 200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:55:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

--

LAILA SALMA
PARTNER / CA DRE #01722808

office 415 931 8259 x 102
mobile 415 828 4747

SALMA & COMPANY
3048 FILLMORE STREET
SAN FRANCISCO CA 94123

www.salma-co.com

This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by the reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. Thank you.

SINCE 1969, SALMA & COMPANY HAS BEEN HERE FOR YOUR SAN FRANCISCO REAL ESTATE NEEDS; WE WILL CONTINUE WORKING FOR YOU WHEN YOU NEED US MOST.

From: [MeiPing Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:58:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

MeiPing Chen
meiandlin@163.com
44 Burr Ave
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Ryan Salma](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on 200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:59:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This ordinance is unfair and unjust to small landlords.

--

Ryan Salma
CA DRE #01461906

Salma & Company
3048 Fillmore Street
San Francisco, CA 94123

415.931.8259 T
415.929.1530 F

This email message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by the reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. Thank you.

From: [yeungwing tsang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 2:59:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

This is YW Tsang from SF. I am asking you not to pass this ordinance.

I understand that some tenants are in financial difficulty under the covid 19 or have been in financial difficulty before the virus crisis. The matter here is these people need help. However, this ordinance is mandating property owners to help them. In fact, helping these tenants should be a responsibility of the public, not putting laws to help the tenants. This ordinance is just passing the responsibility to the property owners. In fact, this should be the city responsibility to assist the tenants. The city can provide programs to help tenants to pay rent but not mandate the property owner to help tenants' financial difficulty.

Please note that property owners are not the evil party in this crisis. They are just a small business owner in form of making property investment and the customer is called tenant and the product is the shelter called home. When people don't have money to get food on table, government provides assistance in form of food stamps or vouchers. Government would not put in laws to order the food providers to give away food and services in this process. Why this ordinance would allow the tenants to demand the housing services without fair market compensation to the property owners? It is not a fair ordinance. It is just an ordinance for government to pass their responsibility to property owners. For tenants, as a beneficiary from the outcome, they will favor the ordinance and the persons who made this proposal.

I strongly oppose this unfair and buck passing ordinance.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

yeungwing tsang
et_inbox08@sbcglobal.net
1580 Taraval St

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Raymond Zhou](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:00:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Raymond Zhou
raymond4242@yahoo.com
1474 42 Ave
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Philip Koo](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: No On 200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:10:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica,

I am writing to oppose the 200375 legislation. I am one of the many "mom & pop" landlords in Daly City and I made sure my tenant received fair rent.

Instead of passing this bill which can hurt the landlords, a better question to ask would be, "How might we resolve this with a win-win solution?" One of the callers mentioned providing grants to renters. I think that is a brilliant idea. If you pass this legislation, the tenants have a free reign on doing whatever they want to our properties.

Yes, there are landlords who are taking advantage of their tenant, but not all. Similarly, there will be great tenants who will take the noble path of paying the past rent once the pandemic is over, but there will be many who will not. We all have good intentions. Just look at the default student loans.

Please know that the mom & pop landlords are hurting as well. We all have a mortgage and we are doing everything possible to put food on the table and pay the mortgage.

In addition, in order to recoup the lost rent, landlords need to go to court. Going to court is not free and it is time consuming. How many renters will default on it? It is popular and "compassionate" to watch out for tenants but there is no compassion toward the landlords who are barely making it month to month.

Please do not pass this legislation. There has to be a better solution.

Regards,
Philip Koo

From: [Corey Chac](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:10:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Corey Chac
coreychac@gmail.com
815 Excelsior
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Nettie Atkisson](#)
To: [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Phil Ting](#); [Gamboa-Eastman, Tara](#); [Scott Wiener](#)
Subject: Ordinance to Protect Tenants
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:12:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We all agree that housing is a crisis. As a landlord, I don't want to evict anyone. I am not on the call today because to defend evictions. We all agree we want people to be able to stay in their homes. We disagree on how to help. what people really need is rental assistance. As a preschool teacher, I know that in order to reduce conflict we need to reduce stress. People need stress reduced. This will happen if their rent paid. I heard the gentleman working with housing and rental assistance and the dire needs and said the government needs to help. I respectfully agree. This is the time that the government needs to help. In times of stress we need to reduce the stress and not add to it. Owing people money is not reducing stress. Having consumer debt is not reducing stress. Going to small claims courts is not reducing stress for all of these tenants that are already stressed out. This bill does the opposite of what it portends to do. This adds more stress. People need their rents paid. Rental assistance is what is needed. Tenants will never get out of this debt. Give direct payments to tenants. Tenants should not lose their homes and they should not have their debt build up. I resent the assumption that my main concern is to be made whole and that all I am worried about is money and evicting peopl. It is simply not true. This sort of ordinance promotes divisions and encourages citizens to blame each other. People don't know about me or my family, but it feels there are a lot of assumptions being made about us. This bill has clearly divided us at a time when we need to work together to solve really heavy issues. I disagree with this ordinance as it does not solve the real issue. Offering tenants rental assistance does solve this. What many are not remembering is that maintaining a building, so it is safe, costs money. All of these families need housing, but the housing also needs to be safe. I am a preschool teacher who attends the conferences by our now Surgeon General Nadine Burke Harris on Trauma and toxic stress. If parents know they have consumer debt over their head, they feel stressed out and the children absorb that. This is not helping. People need rental assistance. Please vote no and make an ordinance that offers rental assistance. I agree with the problems and the crisis. I disagree with the solutions. As landlords we are pushing for changes We need more housing. Lucky Penny should be fastracked into housing providing jobs and housing. UCSF Laurel Hill needs to be transformed into new housing as well. That is in the power of the board of supervisors to make sure we have more housing.

I would like to share a quote about community from Peter Block and I suggest his book about community to everyone.

“When we shift from talking about the problems of community to talking about the breakdown of community, something changes. Naming the challenge as the “breakdown of community” opens the way for restoration. Holding on to the view that community is a set of problems to be solved holds us in the grip of retribution. At every level of society, we live in the landscape of retribution. The retributive community is sustained by several aspects of the modern community conversation, which I will expand on throughout the book: the marketing of fear and fault, gravitation toward

more laws and oversight, an obsession with romanticized leadership, marginalizing hope and possibility, and devaluing associational life to the point of invisibility.”

– Peter Block, [Community: The Structure of Belonging](#)

Leadership in SF tends to consistently fall back on retribution and gravitate towards more law and oversight. I see no evidence that these laws and oversight have improved the housing crisis or the affordability crisis. I see a lot of evidence it is making it worse. People see the many risks at providing rental units in this city and they decide it is not worth it. Units are constantly removed from the rental market. Less units means less housing. Less supply with high demand means higher pricing. It is not correct or accurate to hold most landlords responsible for the extremely complex issue of affordability and a housing shortage when it is composed of many complex legislative issues like Prop 13. Instead of leading by trying to decide who is at fault and who we can blame, How can we build community and inspire the city toward a common purpose where more people want to contribute because they feel it can make a difference. The safer we feel, the less we hate. As Brene Brown said, and I summarize, it is hard to hate close up. Let's have some dinners and look at the challenges and the possibilities. Demonizing sides and living with resentment is a miserable way for us to all live and an ineffective way to run a city. Can we work together to find ways to solve our challenges instead of holding groups in the grip of retribution. As a landlord, I fix everything when I am supposed to. I put new carpet in a year early as the tenants wanted it. Many times, we paid for the carpet cleaning out of good faith even though it was not our job. When outside lights go out, we make sure they are fixed immediately so that the young women living upstairs are safe. If I did not need the rental income, why would I be renting out a unit? As the city rightfully rushes in to help small businesses, why are you proposing punishing the small and essential business of landlord?

I hear the musician and she is trying to make ends meet in San Francisco. I hear a tech worker struggling. This issue is a big one. If a city with a 12 billion operating budget cannot offer rental assistance, please help me understand how I am supposed to? We are also small businesses that are essential. People need safe housing with responsive landlords. If the supervisors are sincere in their words to do Everything in their power that involves committing rental assistance. What else are we doing to help besides holding landlords responsible when we also lost jobs. As a family who has worked hard to contribute to this city, we see the need to help vulnerable people. The housing crisis is complicated and has been many years in the making. People need real help. That help needs to come in paying their rent, not in letting their debt compound. As Landlords, we are not denying people are vulnerable and struggling. What I am saying is that this problem is way to big to put on the backs of Landlords. People need houses and safe houses. Let's build more houses. Let's get Lucky penny built. Let's get UCSF laurel village built. What we need is more housing and rental assistance.

We are a community of possibilities, not a community of problems. • Community exists for the sake of belonging and takes its identity from the gifts, generosity, and accountability of its citizens. It is not defined by its fears, its isolation, or its penchant for retribution. • We currently have all the capacity, expertise, programs, leaders, regulations, and wealth required to end unnecessary suffering and create an alternative future.”

– Peter Block, [Community: The Structure of Belonging](#)

“The key to creating or transforming community, then, is to see the power in the small but

important elements of being with others. The shift we seek needs to be embodied in each invitation we make, each relationship we encounter, and each meeting we attend. For at the most operational and practical level, after all the thinking about policy, strategy, mission, and milestones, it gets down to this: How are we going to be when we gather together?"

— Peter Block, [Community: The Structure of Belonging](#)

"Invitation is not only a step-in bringing people together, it is also a fundamental way of being in a community. It manifests the willingness to live in a collaborative way. This means that a future can be created without having to force or sell it or barter for it. When we believe that barter or subtle coercion is necessary, we are operating out of a context of scarcity and self-interest, the core currencies of the economist."

— Peter Block, [Community: The Structure of Belonging](#)

This is not just about one ordinance. This is about how we govern San Francisco and what the future will look like. It is not ok to make contracts null and void in a crisis. Let's invite stakeholders to talk and work together to find solutions instead of imposing yet more ordinances. We see leaders around the world with different styles. While Jacinda Ardern works hard as a calm and loving presence to unite her community and constituents behind a common cause, we can see other leaders like Viktor Orban in Hungary use the Covid crisis as the excuse for a power grab in the name of security. New Zealand looks pretty safe to me. It also looks happier and people are living with less stress and fear. I hope the leaders of SF will decide to govern like Jacinda Ardern and others like her by respecting the rule of law and considering that many landlords too are essential small businesses at a time when people are supposed to be staying home and the homes need to be safe. As a preschool director I know that if I want to reduce conflict, I need to reduce the stress the children feel. This ordinance does not reduce stress. Rental assistance does. The ordinance proposed by Supervisor Preston will add more stress for all sides and result in even more conflict in a time when we are already overwhelmed by conflict.

Instead of reinventing the wheel, let's put out energy into supporting the state level and efforts for rental assistance. Please don't punish landlords for a global pandemic when we too are trying to pay bills and ensure safe housing that is so essential right now.

Thank you.

From: [Alitzel Tamayo](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Written Support for Administrative Code - COVID-19 Tenant Protections
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:15:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am writing to show my full support for the eviction ban (Ordinance 200375) for the reasons listed below.

Supporting the ban will:

1. Protect both landlords (via the tax transfer) AND tenants (banning evictions), and
2. Reduce the amount of homelessness and subsequently, the effects of the pandemic on our community and the already under-resourced healthcare system.

Housing is a human right and no one should be evicted during a global pandemic. Eviction will likely lead to increase in COVID-19 cases and deaths in the city, as our hospitals are already exhausted. Landlords will get paid whether or not the legislation passes. The legislation is essential for innocent people not to lose their homes.

Please do the ethical choice and protect people who are already suffering from income loss.

Thank you!

--

Alitzel Tamayo

From: [chirag Odhav](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:20:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

chirag Odhav

chiragodhav@gmail.com

300 3rd street 1115

San Francisco, California 94107

From: [chloe Tsang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:20:35 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

chloe Tsang

chloe.sl.tsang@gmail.com

300 3rd street 1115

San Francisco, California 94107

From: [Janet Cheung](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:24:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Janet Cheung
janetycheung@gmail.com
1122 Admiralty Lane
Alameda, California 94502

From: [YEUK Hai Mok](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:25:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

YEUK Hai Mok
sharmok@yahoo.com
194 Stonecrest
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Ellen Mok](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:25:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ellen Mok
sharmok@yahoo.com
194 Stonecrest
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Yuan Huan Huang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:27:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yuan Huan Huang
Yuanhuanhuang2020@gmail.com
160 Bertita Street
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [YS Huang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:29:39 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

YS Huang

Yuanhuanhuang2020@gmail.com

160 Bertita Street

San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Hellen Choi](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:30:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hellen Choi

hellenchoi@me.com

2450 46th Ave Ave.

San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Kua Tao](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:30:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kua Tao

kua.tao2000@gmail.com

2191 Placer Drive

San Leandro, California 94578

From: [Kwok Zhu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:32:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kwok Zhu

KwokZhu2020@gmail.com

438 Holyoke St

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Vitaliy Selivanov](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:32:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vitaliy Selivanov

vitalik70@gmail.com

81 mariners cir

San Rafael , California 94903

From: [Rena Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:33:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Rena Lee

rena-lee@sbcglobal.net

438 Holyoke St

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Kum Leung](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:34:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Kum Leung
KLeung4122@gmail.com
4122 19th Ave
San Francisco, California 94142

From: [Nettie Atkisson](#)
To: [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Phil Ting](#); [Gamboa-Eastman, Tara](#); [Scott Wiener](#)
Subject: Re: Ordinance to Protect Tenants
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:36:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

One of the callers suggested that Landlords don't even live here. I assure you I LIVE HERE. This sort of an ordinance divides people and encourages assumptions about us as landlords and hostility between groups. We need to unite and find solutions not just for now but long term.

On Mon, Jun 8, 2020 at 3:12 PM Nettie Atkisson <nettieatkisson@gmail.com> wrote:

We all agree that housing is a crisis. As a landlord, I don't want to evict anyone. I am not on the call today because to defend evictions. We all agree we want people to be able to stay in their homes. We disagree on how to help. what people really need is rental assistance. As a preschool teacher, I know that in order to reduce conflict we need to reduce stress. People need stress reduced. This will happen if their rent paid. I heard the gentleman working with housing and rental assistance and the dire needs and said the government needs to help. I respectfully agree. This is the time that the government needs to help. In times of stress we need to reduce the stress and not add to it. Owing people money is not reducing stress. Having consumer debt is not reducing stress. Going to small claims courts is not reducing stress for all of these tenants that are already stressed out. This bill does the opposite of what it portends to do. This adds more stress. People need their rents paid. Rental assistance is what is needed. Tenants will never get out of this debt. Give direct payments to tenants. Tenants should not lose their homes and they should not have their debt build up. I resent the assumption that my main concern is to be made whole and that all I am worried about is money and evicting people. It is simply not true. This sort of ordinance promotes divisions and encourages citizens to blame each other. People don't know about me or my family, but it feels there are a lot of assumptions being made about us. This bill has clearly divided us at a time when we need to work together to solve really heavy issues. I disagree with this ordinance as it does not solve the real issue. Offering tenants rental assistance does solve this. What many are not remembering is that maintaining a building, so it is safe, costs money. All of these families need housing, but the housing also needs to be safe. I am a preschool teacher who attends the conferences by our now Surgeon General Nadine Burke Harris on Trauma and toxic stress. If parents know they have consumer debt over their head, they feel stressed out and the children absorb that. This is not helping. People need rental assistance. Please vote no and make an ordinance that offers rental assistance. I agree with the problems and the crisis. I disagree with the solutions. As landlords we are pushing for changes. We need more housing. Lucky Penny should be fasttracked into housing providing jobs and housing. UCSF Laurel Hill needs to be transformed into new housing as well. That is in the power of the board of supervisors to make sure we have more housing.

I would like to share a quote about community from Peter Block and I suggest his book

about community to everyone.

“When we shift from talking about the problems of community to talking about the breakdown of community, something changes. Naming the challenge as the “breakdown of community” opens the way for restoration. Holding on to the view that community is a set of problems to be solved holds us in the grip of retribution. At every level of society, we live in the landscape of retribution. The retributive community is sustained by several aspects of the modern community conversation, which I will expand on throughout the book: the marketing of fear and fault, gravitation toward more laws and oversight, an obsession with romanticized leadership, marginalizing hope and possibility, and devaluing associational life to the point of invisibility.”

— Peter Block, [Community: The Structure of Belonging](#)

Leadership in SF tends to consistently fall back on retribution and gravitate towards more law and oversight. I see no evidence that these laws and oversight have improved the housing crisis or the affordability crisis. I see a lot of evidence it is making it worse. People see the many risks at providing rental units in this city and they decide it is not worth it. Units are constantly removed from the rental market. Less units means less housing. Less supply with high demand means higher pricing. It is not correct or accurate to hold most landlords responsible for the extremely complex issue of affordability and a housing shortage when it is composed of many complex legislative issues like Prop 13. Instead of leading by trying to decide who is at fault and who we can blame, How can we build community and inspire the city toward a common purpose where more people want to contribute because they feel it can make a difference. The safer we feel, the less we hate. As Brene Brown said, and I summarize, it is hard to hate close up. Let's have some dinners and look at the challenges and the possibilities. Demonizing sides and living with resentment is a miserable way for us to all live and an ineffective way to run a city. Can we work together to find ways to solve our challenges instead of holding groups in the grip of retribution. As a landlord, I fix everything when I am supposed to. I put new carpet in a year early as the tenants wanted it. Many times, we paid for the carpet cleaning out of good faith even though it was not our job. When outside lights go out, we make sure they are fixed immediately so that the young women living upstairs are safe. If I did not need the rental income, why would I be renting out a unit? As the city rightfully rushes in to help small businesses, why are you proposing punishing the small and essential business of landlord?

I hear the musician and she is trying to make ends meet in San Francisco. I hear a tech worker struggling. This issue is a big one. If a city with a 12 billion operating budget cannot offer rental assistance, please help me understand how I am supposed to? We are also small businesses that are essential. People need safe housing with responsive landlords. If the supervisors are sincere in their words to do Everything in their power that involves committing rental assistance. What else are we doing to help besides holding landlords responsible when we also lost jobs. As a family who has worked hard to contribute to this city, we see the need to help vulnerable people. The housing crisis is complicated and has been many years in the making. People need real help. That help needs to come in paying their rent, not in letting their debt compound. As Landlords, we are not denying people are vulnerable and struggling. What I am saying is that this problem is way to big to put on the backs of Landlords. People need houses and safe houses. Let's build more houses. Let's get Lucky penny built. Let's get UCSF laurel village built. What we need is more housing and rental assistance.

We are a community of possibilities, not a community of problems. • Community exists for the

sake of belonging and takes its identity from the gifts, generosity, and accountability of its citizens. It is not defined by its fears, its isolation, or its penchant for retribution. • We currently have all the capacity, expertise, programs, leaders, regulations, and wealth required to end unnecessary suffering and create an alternative future.”

— Peter Block, [Community: The Structure of Belonging](#)

“The key to creating or transforming community, then, is to see the power in the small but important elements of being with others. The shift we seek needs to be embodied in each invitation we make, each relationship we encounter, and each meeting we attend. For at the most operational and practical level, after all the thinking about policy, strategy, mission, and milestones, it gets down to this: How are we going to be when we gather together?”

— Peter Block, [Community: The Structure of Belonging](#)

“Invitation is not only a step-in bringing people together, it is also a fundamental way of being in a community. It manifests the willingness to live in a collaborative way. This means that a future can be created without having to force or sell it or barter for it. When we believe that barter or subtle coercion is necessary, we are operating out of a context of scarcity and self-interest, the core currencies of the economist.”

— Peter Block, [Community: The Structure of Belonging](#)

This is not just about one ordinance. This is about how we govern San Francisco and what the future will look like. It is not ok to make contracts null and void in a crisis. Let’s invite stakeholders to talk and work together to find solutions instead of imposing yet more ordinances. We see leaders around the world with different styles. While Jacinda Ardern works hard as a calm and loving presence to unite her community and constituents behind a common cause, we can see other leaders like Viktor Orban in Hungary use the Covid crisis as the excuse for a power grab in the name of security. New Zealand looks pretty safe to me. It also looks happier and people are living with less stress and fear. I hope the leaders of SF will decide to govern like Jacinda Ardern and others like her by respecting the rule of law and considering that many landlords too are essential small businesses at a time when people are supposed to be staying home and the homes need to be safe. As a preschool director I know that if I want to reduce conflict, I need to reduce the stress the children feel. This ordinance does not reduce stress. Rental assistance does. The ordinance proposed by Supervisor Preston will add more stress for all sides and result in even more conflict in a time when we are already overwhelmed by conflict.

Instead of reinventing the wheel, let’s put out energy into supporting the state level and efforts for rental assistance. Please don’t punish landlords for a global pandemic when we too are trying to pay bills and ensure safe housing that is so essential right now.

Thank you.

From: [Harry Koo](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:36:53 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Harry Koo
HKoo001@gmail.com
800 41st Ave
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Gregory Whyte](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Written support for Ordinance 200375 - COVID-19 Tenant Protection
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:38:31 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I am writing today in support of San Francisco passing Ordinance 200375 in relation to COVID-19. The unprecedented and unpredictable pandemic has effected millions of lives globally with repercussions regardless of who you are. I myself have been effected as I was furloughed by the job that made me move to San Francisco earlier in the year. Paying rent is practically impossible considering the fact that I have not been working and to this date have still not received any unemployment insurance. Even if I return to work, the possibilities of paying back rent is impractical since there has been no income received. A permanent moritorium needs to be done and passed unequivocally.

Housing is a human right and necessity and with the evidence of the already existing homelessness crisis in this city, a mass wave of new homelessness will devastate and bring the crisis to an unfathomable level, unable to be matched by the city and state's vast fiscal debt. Do the right thing or go down in history as shameful example of what not to do.

--

Gregory Whyte
+1 718 427 0191

From: [Alex Shvartsman](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 3:46:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Alex Shvartsman
mralex@gmail.com
1057 Mississippi St
San Francisco, California 94107

From: [Miki Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 4:03:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Miki Li

mikiwyli@gmail.com

162 Hale street

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Nancy Randall](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: No on #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 4:08:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Erica Major,

I am a property owner in San Francisco's Mission District in San Francisco. The proposal to permanently prohibit evictions for unpaid rent due to COVID-19 is punitive.

I am a retired person and that financial burden is then placed on me. I am expected to continue to pay for utilities, mortgage, insurance and taxes.

In the end, both property owners AND tenants will suffer if this ordinance is passed.

Therefore, I urge you to oppose this ordinance.

Respectfully,
Nancy Randall

Sent from my iPad

From: [Hai Qiu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 4:08:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hai Qiu
haiyanqiu65@yahoo.com
371 Klamath Street
Brisbane. Ca, California 94005

From: [May Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 4:11:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

May Lee

mlee062@yahoo.com

57 Belle Ave.

San Francisco , California 94132

From: dst_femme@yahoo.com
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: No on 200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 4:18:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Many of the callers are using vehement and violent language against landlords as if landlords are their enemy. If no one rented to you, you wouldn't have a place to live. This lack of partnership is as much as the of the problem as the legislation itself.

Many landlords in San Francisco are small mom and pop landlords who struggle to buy property and have regular jobs (despite what many callers say), and do not have deep pockets.

The mortgage lenders are not forgiving mortgages and so many people will be on the street if these properties end up in foreclosure.

Evictions may be off the table with the legislation but foreclosure is not off the table.

Everyone needs to pay for their housing. Property owners pay for their housing and tenants need to pay for their housing. All of us are being affected by COVID-19 not just renters.

Thank you

Small property owner on D10

From: [Phillip Chow](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 4:28:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Phillip Chow

Chowphillip1692@gmail.com

661 46th Avenue

San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Bill Yip](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 4:31:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Bill Yip
wtyip@yahoo.com
5125 Anza Street
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Vi Dam](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 4:37:21 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vi Dam
1606716412T@gmail.com
2989 Giovana Way
Castro Valley, California 94546

From: [Matthew Shiu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 4:40:34 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Matthew Shiu
matthewshiu@sbcglobal.net
2895 Birdsall Ave.
Oakland, California 94619

From: [Carolyn Graybeal](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose - Tenant Protection Ordinance - File No. 200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 4:45:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Supervisors,

I'm a small property owner writing in opposition to proposed ordinance - No. 200375. As written, the ordinance permanently and unfairly denies small scale property owners the ability to recover any COVID-19 related rent loss, and simply shifts the full economic burden of this pandemic, for which no one is responsible, to landlords without providing them any support.

These are unprecedented and uncertain times affecting both landlords and tenants. Now is not the time to make permanent legal changes, the long term effects we cannot presently and properly judge. Instead landlords and tenants ought to be given the freedom to work together, on a case by case basis, to find individual solutions to keep people in their homes and get mandatory expenses paid. Responsible landlords and communicative tenants are doing this.

Please reconsider the passage of this ordinance.

Respectfully,
Carolyn Graybeal

From: [Lily Huang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:09:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lily Huang

lilyhuangsf@gmail.com

820 Meade Ave

San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Peter Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:10:02 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Peter Lee

leeboys3@yahoo.com

2927 Larkin Street

San Francisco, California 94109

From: [Andrew Smith](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: No on File 200375 (Land-Use committee 6/8/2020 item #1)
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:10:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please submit this as public comment for the Supervisor Preston's proposed ordinance File 200375 that forgives a tenant's obligation to pay rent.

Like many San Franciscans, including many of you on the Board, my family are small property owners who lease rent-controlled apartments to residential tenants with a building in District 2 and one in District 3. We have suffered a considerable blow to our business due to the COVID-19 emergency and are owed back-rent by a significant number of our tenants. We have followed all government rules and have dealt amicably and compassionately with our tenants whose ability to pay rent has been impacted - we have not charged any late fees, filed any non-payment notices nor issued any rent increases to any tenants during this time.

Our own expenses, including utilities, taxes, mortgages and insurance, have not diminished during this time: on the contrary, many of our utility bills have increased substantially due to the large number of tenants now working from home and using our property as their offices - anecdotally and by news reports, their own employers have often seen lower bills as a result of this.

We have seen no relief from you, our San Francisco government, in the form of property tax or business tax forgiveness, from the State or from the Federal government despite the reduction in services provided. We have continued to pay our local Community Benefit Districts to provide services that the City should be providing. We have seen no relief from our insurers or lenders.

As you probably know, leasing rent-controlled property is a low-margin business: even a small reduction in gross income leads to a significant loss of net income which our family depends on for our livelihood. For our local government to be even considering taking away our livelihood with this ill-informed legislation is like a kick in the teeth.

This proposal is counter to State law and right now is a very bad time to be wasting taxpayer money for the City Attorney defending a sure loser in court.

We would urge you to vote down this legislation before it even leaves committee and instead to promote more equitable solutions for rent subsidies at the local and State level, such as SB1410. Supervisor Preston's vague promises about a rent subsidy fund need to be made concrete before passing legislation such as this current 200375 proposal. The pain of this emergency needs to be shared by all without a free ride for

some and arbitrary penalties for others.

Thank you for your consideration.

Andrew Smith.

From: [Guoliang Deng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:21:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Guoliang Deng

guoliang.deng@hotmail.com

1863 Alemany blvd

San Francisco ,Ca, California 94112

From: [Eva Ye](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:23:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Eva Ye
eva.yep006@gmail.com
1107 Brittany Ln
Daly City , California 94014

From: [Ciuting Lee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:24:26 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ciuting Lee

serene3851@gmail.com

1863 alemany blvd

San francisco ca, California 94112

From: [ALICE CHEN](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:32:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

ALICE CHEN

ablegirl520@yahoo.com

746 Broadway Street, Apt#A

San Francisco, California 94133

From: [Yock Moy](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:33:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yock Moy
ym2sf@yahoo.com
2150 Ortega Street
San Francisco , California 94122

From: [dave collins](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: No on 200375 - Covid-19 Relief
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:35:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors and the Members of the Land Use Committee,

My name is David Collins and I have been a property owner in San Francisco for over 20 years.

I believe the proposed COVID-19 relief legislation introduced by Supervisor Dean Preston is unfair, unethical, and illegal. To make a private citizen guarantee housing for renters in perpetuity, regardless of global macro events beyond all of our control, is irresponsible and a blatant power grab to accumulate more votes for future elections.

Responsible property owners in San Francisco have experienced tremendous financial exposure to the coronavirus crisis and will be digesting these financial losses throughout 2020 and possibly beyond. Instead of including small property owners in a potential relief scenario, Mr. Preston's legislation ignores and punishes us instead.

Meanwhile, the United States government has bailed out the US stock market and equities are somehow approaching all-time highs. So, these equity investors and the big banks were recapitalized and then doled out all the relief benefits to many of the least deserving and the oligopolists.

No one seems to know the future of COVID-19, how long it will be with us, and the ripple effect that it will most certainly cause in the months and years ahead. The AIDS virus is still with us, and after about 40 years there is still no vaccine.

Mr. Preston does not have a crystal ball and to expect property owners to pay to house renters for months or even years is a misguided, irresponsible, impulsive reaction to a very complex and fast-moving social and economic problem.

The homeless population, its causes and effects need to be addressed at another time as it is too complicated to analyze in a short letter.

In closing, the problem is not at the bottom, supervisors, but at the top. The income and wealth disparity in this country is at the heart of this issue; the oligopolists are getting even richer off the backs of the less fortunate while the middle class continues to shrink. Amazon was just given the keys to the kingdom because of the coronavirus crisis and Jeff Bezos is projected to become the world's first trillionaire. Facebook and Cambridge Analytica stole an election and Mr. Zuckerberg lied to congress without remorse. Are these folks our heroes?

Please stop vilifying property owners in order to consolidate your base. We are your partners in housing, not your adversaries. Please focus your attention on the half a dozen companies in our own backyard and the monopolies they control.

There should be a five dollar tax on every Amazon delivery made in San Francisco and that

money should be used to fund housing programs, education and be invested in leveling the economic and social playing field. Facebook and Google should pay every account holder in San Francisco for their data - that they are monetizing every minute of every day.

The San Francisco Board of Supervisors should lead the way forward for all American cities. This is a unique time in history, and you have a real opportunity to shape the future of all San Franciscans and maybe even influence the political and economic landscape for all Americans.

Mr. Preston and Board Members, please stop trying to hurt small property owners and embrace this unprecedented moment in history as an opportunity to create real and lasting positive change.

Sincerely,

David Collins

74 Page St.

San Francisco, CA

94102

From: [Steven Lum](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:38:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Steven Lum
sjlumsf@yahoo.com
458 17th Ave.
San Francisco, California 94121

From: [Naomi Chong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:39:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Naomi Chong
onejar3@gmail.com
288 gold mine dr
San Francisco, California 94131

From: [Andy Zhao](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:41:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Andy Zhao

zhaoandy38@yahoo.com

82 Curtis street

San Francisco , Colorado CA94112

From: [Hui Kuang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:47:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hui Kuang
gdk368@gmail.com
2150 Ortega street
San Francisco , California 94122

From: h2638@yahoo.com
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:50:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

h2638@yahoo.com

1666 44th ave

San Francisco , Ca 94122

From: [Lawrence Su](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 5:52:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lawrence Su
88lawrence.su@gmail.com
529 Angus Ave W
San Bruno, California 94066

From: [Pak Kwan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:02:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Pak Kwan

reygn@yahoo.com

1590 Quesada Ave

San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Gerardo Chirichigno](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:03:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Gerardo Chirichigno
gerardo.chirichigno@gmail.com
251 9th Street, 11
San Francisco, California 94103

From: [Joanne Xiang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:04:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joanne Xiang
joanne.xiang@gmail.com
2230 Rivera Street
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Lisa Thompson](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:12:19 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lisa Thompson
40carats@gmail.com
213 Vicksburg Street
San Francisco, California 94114

From: [Conny Lin](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:12:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Conny Lin
connylin66@hotmail.com
93 Pope st
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Chun Hsia](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:19:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chun Hsia
chsia@mail.ccsf.edu
2547 33rd Ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Tong Woo](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:19:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tong Woo
twoo10@mail.ccsf.edu
2547 33rd Ave
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Isaac Safier](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:20:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Before you decide please watch this insightful explanation by Hasan Minhaj on patriot act:

<https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=MPFPBzr7FgY>

You risk setting off a domino effect that will result in less diversified and more corporate and Wall Street control of the housing stock.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Isaac

Isaac Safier

isaacsafier@gmail.com

820 Lawton St.

San Francisco, California 94122

From: lisayu0213@yahoo.com
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:31:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

lisayu0213@yahoo.com

314 oxford st

san francisco, California 94134

From: [su mei yu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:34:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

su mei yu

sumeiyu69@gmail.com

323 lisbon st

SF, California 94112

From: [Miu Ling Ng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:34:50 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Miu Ling Ng
helgang2013@gmail.com
659 Turk St #203
San Francisco, California 94102

From: [Huo xian Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:35:40 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Huo xian Li
angelashining@hotmail.com
Bay shore
Sf, California 94124

From: [Anqi Sun](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:36:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Anqi Sun
anqi77.lovng0849@gmail.com
588 Mission Bay Blvd N, Apt 142
San Francisco, California 94158

From: [Xian zhan Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:39:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xian zhan Li
xianzhanli1958@gmail.com
323 Lisbon st
SF, California 94112

From: [Julia Huang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:39:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Julia Huang

huang74@yahoo.com

Potential ave and 22nd st

San Francisco, California 94110

From: [D.C.](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: No on 200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:41:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear supervisor,

I oppose the tenants have right not to pay rent and owners have no recourse to recover rent loss.

I own building in your district. This proposed law is unfair to property owners. Tenants are protected under this law. This law takes advantage of property owners. It's fair if the rent is waived if property taxes, mortgage payments, property insurance, maintenance and repair are waived for property owners.

Dorothy

From: [Xiao Lin](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 6:46:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Xiao Lin
xiao071763@hotmail.com
1562 Thomas Ave
San Francisco, California 94124

From: [Alan Tran](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:01:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Alan Tran
atm888@yahoo.com
171 hale
Sf, California 94030

From: [Yiu Poon](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:01:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yiu Poon

bp38a@yahoo.com

15 vistaview court

San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Alan Tran](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:01:58 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Alan Tran
atm888@yahoo.com
171 hale
Sf, California 94030

From: [Jenny Pang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:02:43 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jenny Pang
pang_li2000@yahoo.com
1043 Jamestown Ave
San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Sanly Chung](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:03:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sanly Chung
sanlyg@yahoo.com
722 jackson street
San Francisco , California 94133

From: [May Chan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:03:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

May Chan
pwml74@yahoo.com
2426 29th ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Vicki Hi](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:07:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Vicki Hi

hi_vicki83@yahoo.com

125 Bismark st

San Francisco , California 94014

From: [Candy Chan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:14:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Candy Chan
candy2233@yahoo.com
4796 Romeo place
Fremont, CA 94555

From: [Elena Xu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:16:22 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Elena Xu
elenaxu@yahoo.com
215 Westgate Drive
San Francisco, California 94127

From: [Hong Xu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:19:33 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hong Xu

hongxu2163@yahoo.com

539 36th ave

San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Tony Lin](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:26:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tony Lin

jj268@yahoo.com

Excelsior ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Fei Yan Liu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:31:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Fei Yan Liu

feikong@sbcglobal.net

316 Peninsula Avenue

San Francisco , Colorado CA 94134

From: [Chris You](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:35:36 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Chris You

cyouhuang@yahoo.com

489 Clifton st

San Jose, California 95128

From: [Wai seng Ng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:39:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Wai seng Ng
waing158@gmail.com
158 hale street
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Tang226](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: No On 200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:40:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

I would like to express my concerns with covid-19 protection for tenants. As a homeowner the cost of mortgage, high HOA fees, property tax, utilities and insurance would make it impossible to cover personally without rent payments. Please do not pass a ruling that allows people to live cost free at the expense of others. Tenants will take advantage of the situation, since they can simply decide not to pay. This will also crash the housing market, if folks can't pay the mortgage and no one will buy it with a non-paying tenant there will be tons of foreclosures.

Thank you,
Cerene

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Carrie Chan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:41:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Lastly, this ordinance is disproportionately harmful to Asian/Chinese American property owners, who make up 40 percent of property ownership in San Francisco, according to the American Housing Survey. The survey also states that 48 percent of Asians in San Francisco own their homes, higher than overall homeownership rates of 38 percent. For more information, please click:

https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1y2iT0PSwJRD__hyZxI2YntkSFILgTTL9_-8_R6tz0Gc/edit#gid=0

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom

and pops” providers who are unable to carry this financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles’ lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. As a member of the Asian-American community as well as your constituent, please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that “tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole.” What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Carrie Chan
carrieauc@gmail.com
2582 32nd ave
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Yi ying Lin](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 7:53:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yi ying Lin

jimzhao415@yahoo.com

1237 Silliman st

San francisco, California 94134

From: [David Ayerdi](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:03:10 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

David Ayerdi

David.Ayerdi@sothebyshomes.com

197 Collingwood Street

San Francisco, California 94114

From: [Joe Luk](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:21:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joe Luk

chokluk66@gmail.com

630 47th Ave

San Francisco , Colorado CA 94121

From: [Danny Gee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:40:12 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Danny Gee
dgee18@gmail.com
193 Teddy ave
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [Ping Zhou](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:41:30 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ping Zhou
zhouping41266@yahoo.com
886 Stonehaven Drive
Walnut Creek, CA 94598

From: [Paula Savage](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Paula Savage](#); [Stan Andre](#)
Subject: Re: #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:45:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Erica

I just spent 5 hours and 36 minutes

Waiting for my turn to speak at the meeting of supervisors today.....

Only to be told that I was not going to be able to speak, or have a voice on a subject that is my total 100 % income source to live.

I am a 64 year old single female, senior, that has no Family or other form of support.

If I receive no rent on my building (a 3 unit, owner occupied building) I don't have the ability to pay my mortgage, taxes, maintenance or eat.

I don't want to evict anyone but right now with one vacant unit and a 12 year rent controlled unit I am in jeopardy.

Please don't trade one problem for another one.

The local SF government needs to bail out those in need, With checks and balances not cherry picking those in society that you think aren't worthy , (small property owners), and make them carry the entire load. This is un-ethical (not to mention illegal).

Please go back to the drawing board. Your goal has merit, your plan is exceptionally flawed.

Please fix it so we can protect our community, our neighbors and those that are in need here in San Francisco.

Many Thanks

And Respectively,

Paula Savage

415-971-9192

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 8, 2020, at 1:49 PM, Paula Savage <suggiesavage@gmail.com> wrote:

To Whom it may concern,

RE: I reject permanently prohibiting landlord from using the state law eviction processes for unpaid rent due to COVID-19

This is my reasoning:

<!--[if !supportLists]-->1. <!--[endif]-->I The city does not have legal authority under the Govenors's order to permanently restrict a lanords ability to recover rent due.

<!--[if !supportLists]-->2. <!--[endif]-->I am a small property owner. If my tenants don't pay me, I can not pay my debt on the building. I have fixed costs as it relates to my mortgage, property taxes, maintenance expense. Additionally, I live off of any positive cash flow that is produced. If you cut off my rent, you cut off my income. This would be trading one problem for another. I'm not a large corporation, I'm a small "mom and pop" operating alone, single female.

<!--[if !supportLists]-->3. <!--[endif]-->The Care act gave me nothing in the way of monies. I can't apply for un-employment or the care act. I am living in the crack.

<!--[if !supportLists]-->4. <!--[endif]-->My building is a three unit building. If just one tenant doesn't pay, I don't receive any income to live.

<!--[if !supportLists]-->5. <!--[endif]-->I can't imagine anyone thinks this legislation would be fair to anyone.

Respectfully,

Paula Savage
415-971-9192

From: [Mei Gee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:45:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mei Gee

meigee1966@hotmail.com

193 Teddy Avenue

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Gary Gee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:48:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Gary Gee
garygee1965@gmail.com
193 Teddy Avenue
San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Ashley Gee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:49:17 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ashley Gee
ashley070102@gmail.com
193 Teddy Avenue
San Francisco , California 94134

From: [angela.gee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:49:38 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

angela gee

angelacgee@gmail.com

193 Teddy Avenue

san francisco, California 94134

From: [mei gee](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:50:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

mei gee

meigee1966@hotmail.com

193 teddy avenue

San francisco, California 94134-2337

From: [Shao yam Liu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:53:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Shao yam Liu
johnweizhou@gmail.com
161 mount Vernon ave
Ca, California 94112

From: [Joseph Wu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:55:44 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joseph Wu
mingzwu28@yahoo.com
1527 Rivera street
San Francisco, California 94116

From: [Amanda Gong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:58:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Amanda Gong
gongamanda19@gmail.com
645 Brunswick street
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Gail Neer](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO in 200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:59:45 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I own a 25% share in a small to mid-size building. As a disabled Senior the income is my main source of income. Should non-paying tenants be allowed to remain in their apartments, the modest income I receive would be seriously reduced, leaving me unable to cover my rent, food, medicine and incidentals. Yes, I am and have been a renter for many years. Should I be unable to cover my rent I would not expect to remain in my home and would make sure to have a plan to payback my landlord.

Many landlords are like me, owners of small to mid-size rentals who follow the rules and regulations imposed by the city, keep their buildings up to date and work hard to provide their tenants a nice home. Most of us use a significant portion of our income to do so. Allowing tenants to remain indefinitely rent-free will result in a city of slums as there won't be the capital to maintain the buildings.

Please vote NO on 200375.

Sincerely,

Gail Neer

Sent from my iPhone

From: [Joe Gong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 8:59:55 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Joe Gong
joegong168@yahoo.com
645 Brunswick street
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Elmer Wei](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:00:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Dear SF Board of Supervisors,

As I was unable to voice my opinion at the 6/8/20 hearing, I would like to express it through this message. I am a tenant, yet even I oppose this ordinance.

I have lived for four years (and counting) under the same homeowners, and as I got to know them, I understand the situation they are going through and sympathize with them. They are a couple who is getting on age: one had recently retired and one due to her age and gender could not get a job again. Collecting rent is their only source of income to pay for daily necessities that all human beings, property owners included, need. In addition, this is their only source of income to pay for the house in which they reside and in which one of the rooms is rented out to me. Homeowners are not greedy, money-grubbing monsters that some tenants make out to be. These tenants do not realize they only have to pay a fraction of what homeowners must pay for home insurance, mortgage, land tax, and other bills and fees tied to the property each and every month. If the tenants do not pay, then how will property owners like this couple pay for the house? When that happens, both the property owners and the tenants won't be able to stay in the house any longer. So, in the end, tenants will still be evicted; it was just a matter of time. If you really care about the tenants in the long run, you would not pass this ordinance. Tenants may not see this, but you should be able to see farther into the future and consider the position of the other half of your constituents (the property owners) instead merely pleasing the tenants in the short term.

Pandemics are nothing new, so are layoffs, but most people think those things will not happen to them or affect them in any way, until they do. Tenants should know this. So why aren't they saving up money when they did have their jobs? Just as it is homeowners' responsibility to provide a livable space for tenants, it is the tenants' responsibility to pay for this service the homeowners provided. I am fortunate to still have a job, and despite my low salary, I have been able to save up quite a sizable amount of money in case I do lose my job, because that is what a responsible, sensible person does. If I could do it, other tenants in my salary range or working one or two more jobs than me should be able to save up for situations like this. The government is not putting responsibility on the tenants, but on the homeowners who didn't cause the pandemic or lay off the tenants in the first place. If anything, it is extraordinary times like these when the government should be stepping up to help all its voters, homeowners and tenants alike, and taking the responsibility, not the homeowners, because this pandemic

affects homeowners as well. The government by and for the people should be taking the responsibility to solve the financial problems of all its citizens, homeowners and tenants alike, not forcing the homeowners to take the responsibility in its place.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A tenant who sees homeowners as fellow human beings and is grateful for their service.

Elmer Wei

xiyouji0607@yahoo.com

762 Colby Street

San Francisco, California 94134

From: [Mee Tam](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:01:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mee Tam

meewah12@gmail.com

1450 11th ave

San Francisco, California 94122

From: [Minting Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:07:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Minting Li
minting_l@hotmail.com
2634 San Jose Ave
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Jep Poon](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:20:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Jep Poon

jpoon650@gmail.com

Hardness and brussels

San francisco, P4134

From: [Peter J.L. de Vries](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: No on 200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:27:59 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello!

My name is Shelly de Vries and my mother owns a home in Diamond Heights.

She is currently at an assisted living facility. The rent from her home helps to pay her room rent at the facility. I have just received a letter announcing an increase in her rent there by 3.5% effective August 1, 2020.

My understanding is that this ordinance would permanently make it illegal for a landlord to evict residents for failure to pay rent because of the pandemic. My mother would not be able to stay at this facility and have the help she needs without the rent from her home. If my mother leaves the facility she would need to live in her house again but we would be in a Catch 22 situation. Instead of two families having places to live, one will be displaced. And that would most likely be the owner of the home, my mother. This makes no financial sense at all: it would result in a *homeless* homeowner! Please explain how that is a good idea. I can't imagine that my mother is the only one in this kind of situation, either.

Must all landlords be grouped together? I think my mother owning a single family home should not be in the same group as owners of large apartment buildings with multiple units. Now, logically, one should consider each instance based on the actual situation. Realizing that would be difficult, I suggest that maybe the ordinance should be more carefully considered and written before enacting it.

I would encourage more thought to be given in this matter before the Board of Supervisors proposes something permanent.

Sincerely,

Shelly de Vries

From: [Patrick Figley](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:29:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Patrick Figley
pfigley@gmail.com
Martis peak rd
Incline village, Nevada 89451

From: [Susan Wu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:36:11 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Susan Wu

susanwu1998@yahoo.com

350 Ralston Street

San Francisco , California 94132

From: [katie.szeto](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:36:18 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

katie szeto
szetokatie@yahoo.com
1336 21st ave
sf, California 94122

From: [Aqiao Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:37:46 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Aqiao Chen
aqiaochen@yahoo.com
28873 Bailey Ranch Rd
Hayward , California 94542

From: [Athena Ma](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:38:52 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

I think the ordinance #200375 is unreasonable and encourages tenants who don't want to pay their rent. Now many businesses are reopened. They should back to work, and should pay back their rent in a planned manner.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Athena Ma

Athena Ma
wwwasym@hotmail.com
248 Sadowa st
San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Aqiao Chen](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:39:15 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Aqiao Chen
aqiaochen@yahoo.com
28873 Bailey Ranch Rd
Hayward , California 94542

From: [Mei Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:40:00 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Mei Li

mhli2007@yahoo.com

Naglee

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Ryan Yin](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:42:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Ryan Yin
ryin0@yahoo.com
28871 Bailey Ranch Rd
Hayward, California 94542

From: [Yin Keung Tong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:47:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yin Keung Tong
garytong3393@gmail.com
211 Broad St
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [Cindy Wong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:48:07 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Cindy Wong
cindy@preciseauto.net
1890 19th Ave
San Francisco, California 94132

From: [Yin Keung Tong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:48:32 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yin Keung Tong
garytong3393@gmail.com
211 Broad St
San Francisco, California 94112

From: [katie.szeto](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:51:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

katie szeto
szetokatie@yahoo.com
1336 21st ave
sf, California 94122

From: [Hiram Luo](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:53:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hiram Luo

hiramluo@yahoo.com

1587 28th ave

San Francisco , California 94122

From: [Debbie Lowe](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:58:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Debbie Lowe
4filbertstreet@gmail.com
1630 Filbert Street
San Francisco, California 94123

From: [John Wong](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 9:58:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

John Wong
movspc@hotmail.com
Lincoln Ave
Alameda, California 94501

From: [Audrey Ha](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:15:51 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Audrey Ha
audreyha@yahoo.com
10 Angela Dr
Los Altos , California 94022

From: [Dongmei Li](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:18:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Dongmei Li
sfdongmm@yahoo.com
2096 Quesada Ave
San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Tera Black](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:18:27 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tera Black

terablack120@yahoo.com

120 Holloway ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Fantasy Wang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:22:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Fantasy Wang

fantasy118@gmail.com

118-A Holloway ave

San Francisco , California 94112

From: [Pihong Zhao](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:50:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Pihong Zhao
pihongz99@gmail.com
3060 Chateau Way
Livermore , California 94550

From: [C. Steven Huang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 10:53:01 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

C Steven Huang
write2steven@yahoo.com
1144 Alabama Street
San Francisco , California 94110

From: [Katy Chan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:25:08 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Katy Chan

hollywoodleathers@yahoo.com

19 Codman

San Francisco , California 94108

From: [Calvin Louie](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:28:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Calvin Louie

cylouiecpa@aol.com

950 Grant Avenue , 2nd Floor

San Francisco, California 94108

From: [Lynn Chu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Monday, June 8, 2020 11:59:57 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Lynn Chu

lynnchu108@gmail.com

579 18th Ave

San Francisco , California 94121

From: [Teresa Kwan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 12:25:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Teresa Kwan

tbkwan@att.net

1542-42nd Ave

SF, California 94122

From: [Sheryl CHEN](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 2:04:07 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Sheryl CHEN

tzsherylchen@gmail.com

5364 Evanwood Ave

Oak Park , California 91377

From: [Winnie Davis](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 5:32:12 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Winnie Davis

winniecd@aol.com

11280 Corbin Ave Suite A

Porter Ranch , California Ca

From: [Bunny Peters](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: "No On 200375"
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 6:18:19 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hi:

We oppose Ordinance #: 200375

My family owns a building on Lower Nob Hill. This building provides a major source of our income.

We can NOT afford to have tenants live rent free whilst we are obligated to pay our mortgage, property taxes, maintenance and repairs as well as utility fees for power, sewer, water & garbage.

Their mothers may have carried them for nine months, but we can't..... supporting non-paying tenants will drive us into bankruptcy.

- The city does not have legal authority under the Governor's order to permanently restrict a landlord's ability to recover rent due.
- This proposal will make it nearly impossible for small property owners to recoup unpaid rent and places the financial burden of COVID-19 on small mom and pop property owners who have fixed mortgages, property taxes, employees, and maintenance expenses.
- This proposal, along with the closure of the court system, would allow tenants to live rent free from March 2020 to potentially September and beyond-- and landlords would have no legal recourse to recoup unpaid rent.

The Board of Supervisors cannot and should not prohibit housing providers who have been financially impacted by COVID from using California state law to enforce our rights.

Small owners are particularly hard hit by renters who cannot pay. If even one renter in a 40 unit building can't pay, the owner is also experiencing a financial hardship.

Sincerely,

Suze Peters
Cc:
Janet Katz
Jerry Katz
David Katz
Michelle Gilbert

From: [ngai chiu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 6:24:45 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

ngai chiu

Ngaichiu33@gmail.com

22nd south van ness

San francisco, California 94110

From: [Yvette Liu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 8:02:59 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yvette Liu

yvette@youngscc.com

1760 Yosemite Ave

San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Yvette Liu](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 8:04:31 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Yvette Liu

yvette@youngscc.com

1760 Yosemite Ave

San Francisco , California 94124

From: [Hua Cheng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 8:39:42 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Hua Cheng
abcbi@yahoo.com
141 Palmwood st
San Jose , California 95122

From: alealoha_kai@yahoo.com
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 9:05:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

alealoha_kai@yahoo.com

1336 21st ave

Sf. , California 94122

From: [Living Zhou](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 9:11:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Liyang Zhou
usaguangahou@yahoo.com
1363 44th Ave
San Francisco , Colorado CA94122

From: [Diana Chan](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 9:50:27 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Diana Chan
dunghew@yahoo.com
2251 20th Avenue
San Francisco , California 94116

From: [Judy Wang](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 11:10:40 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Judy Wang
klamathgirljw@yahoo.com
289 Hester Ave
San Francisco , Ca 94134

From: [Tiffany Zheng](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375
Date: Tuesday, June 9, 2020 11:27:04 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major,

Thank you for your work during this pandemic on behalf of your constituents. During this unprecedented crisis, housing providers as well as tenants are facing tremendous economic pressures. Because of these, we strongly oppose Supervisor Preston's Ordinance #200375 "COVID-19 Tenant Protections" on a number of legal and ethical grounds.

First, #200375 is unconstitutional. It forces courts to interfere with existing private contracts in violation of both the California and U.S. Constitutions. Not even a pandemic should undermine these basic fundamentals that govern our state and country.

Across the board rent forgiveness/permanent eviction moratorium due to COVID-19 could cause many property owners, particularly small "mom-and-pops," to go bankrupt, causing a significant reduction in the amount of available housing inventory in San Francisco and worsening an already bad housing crisis. Many owners are retired and/or barely getting by each month: they have expenses that are not magically going away or being reduced, such as mortgages, property tax, insurance, maintenance costs, etc. This ordinance potentially wipes out all COVID-19 related rent for tenants at the expense of San Francisco housing providers, who are experiencing just as much distress as renters, if not more; many property owners have also lost their jobs. With Mayor Breed's and Governor Newsom's moratoriums forcing housing providers to work out forbearance agreements with renters, the combined effect of this and #200375 will push many housing providers deeper underwater, causing many to drown, financially. Many have spent their entire lives working and saving for their property and could now find themselves in financial ruin by not being able to collect rental income for most of 2020. This loss during their golden years will cause many to be unable to sustain basic needs such as food, medical expenses and, ironically, a roof over their heads.

#200375 encourages tenants without financial distress to decide to stop paying rent just to take advantage of the situation. With multiple government orders in place to stop evictions, nothing can happen to them, even if an unlawful detainer is filed. The devastating combination of reduced rental income combined with steady but most likely increased ownership expenses down the road will cause foreclosures and property owner bankruptcies to skyrocket.

Housing providers are not responsible for this pandemic. Even though we are sympathetic, we are also negatively affected, and, in many cases, barely hanging on as is. We are not all wealthy and many are not strong enough financially to not have any rental income for most of this year; many of us are small "mom and pops" providers who are unable to carry this

financial burden. The City should take responsibility for this and follow Los Angeles' lead with their \$100M renter relief fund for those facing difficulties paying rent due to COVID-19.

I respectfully and very strongly request that you VOTE NO ON #200375. Please consider the effects on us as well. The ordinance mentions that "tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole." What about housing providers?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

A hardworking housing provider who has been hit hard by the pandemic while striving to provide quality housing for myself, my family and my renters.

Tiffany Zheng
tiffanyzheng731@gmail.com
731 S Stoneman Ave #E
Alhambra , California 91801