

From: [Board of Supervisors, \(BOS\)](#)
To: [BOS-Supervisors](#)
Cc: [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: FW: Item 13 - OPPOSE CEQA Appeals Legislation
Date: Thursday, February 25, 2021 9:58:51 AM
Attachments: [02-23-21 Sierra Club -OPPOSE CEQA legislation.pdf](#)

From: Kathy Howard <kathyhoward@earthlink.net>

Sent: Wednesday, February 24, 2021 6:59 PM

To: Koppel, Joel (CPC) <joel.koppel@sfgov.org>; Moore, Kathrin (CPC) <kathrin.moore@sfgov.org>; Chan, Deland (CPC) <deland.chan@sfgov.org>; Diamond, Susan (CPC) <sue.diamond@sfgov.org>; Fung, Frank (CPC) <frank.fung@sfgov.org>; Imperial, Theresa (CPC) <theresa.imperial@sfgov.org>; Tanner, Rachael (CPC) <rachael.tanner@sfgov.org>; CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>

Cc: Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Ronen, Hillary <hillary.ronen@sfgov.org>; StefaniStaff, (BOS) <stefanistaff@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Marstaff (BOS) <marstaff@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; Haney, Matt (BOS) <matt.haney@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff, [BOS] <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Safai, Ahsha (BOS) <ahsha.safai@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors, (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

Subject: Item 13 - OPPOSE CEQA Appeals Legislation

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.



SF Group of the San Francisco Bay Chapter

February 24, 2021

San Francisco Planning Commission
49 South Van Ness, Ste 1400
San Francisco, CA 94103

Subject: OPPOSE: Item 13 - 2021-000541PCA - CEQA APPEALS [BF 201284]

Dear Commission President Koppel,

The Sierra Club is a strong supporter of CEQA. As such, we oppose this legislation. In particular:

- we do not support a project continuing once an appeal has been filed; and
- we do not support requiring 50 signatures to file a CEQA appeal.

CEQA has strengthened a wide variety of construction proposals by protecting not only the environment but also the people in and surrounding the construction. If there are problems with

the CEQA process in San Francisco, there needs to be a larger conversation to discuss modifications to it.

Respectfully,

Becky Evans

Becky Evans

Member, SF Group Executive Committee

Member, SF Bay Chapter Executive Committee

cc: Board of Supervisors



**SIERRA
CLUB**
FOUNDED 1892

SF Group of the San Francisco Bay Chapter
Serving San Francisco, CA

February 24, 2021

San Francisco Planning Commission
49 South Van Ness, Ste 1400
San Francisco, CA 94103

Subject: OPPOSE: Item 13 - 2021-000541PCA - CEQA APPEALS [BF 201284]

Dear Commission President Koppel,

The Sierra Club is a strong supporter of CEQA. As such, we oppose this legislation. In particular:

- we do not support a project continuing once an appeal has been filed; and
- we do not support requiring 50 signatures to file a CEQA appeal.

CEQA has strengthened a wide variety of construction proposals by protecting not only the environment but also the people in and surrounding the construction. If there are problems with the CEQA process in San Francisco, there needs to be a larger conversation to discuss modifications to it.

Respectfully,

Becky Evans

Becky Evans

Member, SF Group Executive Committee
Member, SF Bay Chapter Executive Committee

cc: Board of Supervisors