[Approval of a 90-Day Extension for Planning Commission Review of Conditional Use Appeals (File No. 220130)]

Resolution extending by 90 days the prescribed time within which the Planning Commission may render its decision on an Ordinance (File No. 220130) amending the Planning Code to allow the signatures of Verified Tenants to count towards the threshold needed to permit an appeal of a Conditional Use authorization; clarifying timelines applicable to appeals of Conditional Use authorizations, and affirming the Planning Department's California Environmental Quality Act determination; and making Planning Code, Section 302, findings, and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

WHEREAS, On February 1, 2022, Supervisor Melgar introduced legislation amending the Planning Code to allow the signatures of Verified Tenants to count towards the threshold needed to permit an appeal of a Conditional Use authorization; clarifying timelines applicable to appeals of Conditional Use authorizations, and affirming the Planning Department's California Environmental Quality Act determination; and making Planning Code, Section 302, findings, and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and

WHEREAS, On or about February 4, 2022, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors referred the proposed ordinance to the Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, The Planning Commission shall, in accordance with Planning Code Section 306.4(d), render a decision on the proposed Ordinance within 90 days from the date of referral of the proposed amendment or modification by the Board to the Commission; and

WHEREAS, Failure of the Commission to act within 90 days shall be deemed to constitute disapproval; and

WHEREAS, The Board, in accordance with Planning Code Section 306.4(d) may, by Resolution, extend the prescribed time within which the Planning Commission is to render its decision on proposed amendments to the Planning Code that the Board of Supervisors initiates; and

WHEREAS, Supervisor Melgar has requested additional time for the Planning Commission to review the proposed Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, The Board deems it appropriate in this instance to grant to the Planning Commission additional time to review the proposed Ordinance and render its decision; now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, That by this Resolution, the Board hereby extends the prescribed time within which the Planning Commission may render its decision on the proposed Ordinance for approximately 90 additional days, until August 3, 2022.



## City and County of San Francisco Tails

City Hall 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

## Resolution

File Number:

220434

Date Passed: April 26, 2022

Resolution extending by 90 days the prescribed time within which the Planning Commission may render its decision on an Ordinance (File No. 220130) amending the Planning Code to allow the signatures of Verified Tenants to count towards the threshold needed to permit an appeal of a Conditional Use authorization; clarifying timelines applicable to appeals of Conditional Use authorizations, and affirming the Planning Department's California Environmental Quality Act determination; and making Planning Code, Section 302, findings, and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

April 26, 2022 Board of Supervisors - ADOPTED

Ayes: 11 - Chan, Haney, Mandelman, Mar, Melgar, Peskin, Preston, Ronen, Safai, Stefani and Walton

File No. 220434

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was ADOPTED on 4/26/2022 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.

> Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board

London N. Breed Mayor **Date Approved**