

From: [Thomas Schuttish](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: [Bintliff, Jacob \(BOS\)](#); [Lovett, Li \(BOS\)](#); [Smeallie, Kyle \(BOS\)](#); [Low, Jen \(BOS\)](#); [Hepner, Lee \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: LUT Monday May 9, 2022
Date: Saturday, May 7, 2022 4:30:04 PM
Attachments: [WebPage.pdf](#)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Ms. Major:

Good afternoon. Hope you are well.

Please include the attached pdf in the Board Files for your hearing on Monday afternoon for Items #2, #3 and #4.

These items are Board Files: 210866; 220446 and 220447.

There is a lot of useful and interesting information in this Executive Summary. I am cc'ing the Supervisors' staff.

This pdf is an Executive Summary prepared for the Planning Commission's October 21, 2021 Informational Hearing on SB 9 and SB10.

It is not currently part of the Board files as best I can tell.

My main point in sending this Summary is what was written on page 14 in the first full paragraph, which starts, "Many areas of the city...", the fourth sentence regarding owners that may "cash out" which is concerning.

This may be the "black swan" of SB 9, and I don't think it has received enough attention, particularly given how housing in San Francisco has become a commodity in a highly speculative market.

But the the Racial and Social Equity Analysis that starts on page 10 is valuable reading as well.

This Executive Summary was written prior to the first two Century/Urban Feasibility Studies were published but while they were being drafted by the Consultant with input from the Planning Department and I think this Executive Summary from October 21, 2021 should be in the Board Files if it isn't already.

Thanks very much and take good care.

Sincerely,

Georgia Schuttish