

**From:** [disaacs415@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:disaacs415@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Dawn Isaacs](#)  
**To:** [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I am writing to you today to urge you to SUPPORT- 251094 - Appeal CEQA Class 3 Exemption  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 27, 2026 6:30:17 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Legislative Services,

Supervisor Mandelman

The AT&T Tower is extremely large and intrusive. There are serious questions about the safety of this large unattractive tower near children's playground, trees, shopping center, police academy and homes.

Thank you for your consideration.

Dawn Isaacs, Glen Park.

Sincerely,

Dawn Isaacs

2600 Diamond St San Francisco, CA 94131-3058

[disaacs415@gmail.com](mailto:disaacs415@gmail.com)

**From:** [anthonyramirez2@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:anthonyramirez2@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Anthony Ramirez](#)  
**To:** [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I am writing to you today to urge you to SUPPORT- 251094 - Appeal CEQA Class 3 Exemption  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 13, 2026 2:51:21 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Legislative Services,

I live in your district. I support the Diamond Hts neighbors' legitimate environmental and safety concerns. Please grant approval of the appeal for CEQA exemption for ATT's proposed 104-foot wireless facility.

The massive 10-story pole on a 500 sq ft pad & topped with bulky electric antennas towering over Christopher Park and Glen Canyon - visible to residents throughout the neighborhood. The CEQA application does not include the 700+ cubic foot antenna array 20 ft above the trees.

This is not a "small addition to an existing structure." SF has only 3 wireless antenna structures over 100 ft high: 2 are in commercial zones attached to buildings & the 3rd is in the parking lot of Sports Basement. Planning and ATT cite other (less tall) structures with CEQA exemptions—all near freeways or in industrial areas. There are NO free-standing towers of this height or bulk in residential neighborhoods or next to parks.

Location and unusual circumstances were not considered: the critical natural resource of Glen Canyon, history of seismic landslide failures on the site, and high fire potential in the park & surrounding neighborhood.

The site is on the Glen Canyon property line 50 feet from a SF landslide seismic hazard zone and on the edge of the Canyon—described by Fire Chief Crispin as a SF Urban Wildfire Interface Area. It is one of the windiest neighborhoods with red flag warnings last year. A national telecom wildfire consultant stated this location is a real fire threat, yet Planning and ATT said there is no evidence of fire danger. Consider: ATT named defendant in 2025 Palisades Fire, ATT tower fire (electrical arcing) on school campus 6-16-2015, 3-9-21 smoldering steel tower collapsed on school bleachers - Chula Vista, 2007 ATT partly responsible for Malibu Canyon Fire. Cell tower fires are electrical and can't be extinguished until grid is cut—this can take hours while fire spreads to homes, nursery schools, playground and shopping center with no time to escape.

The location is on 30-60 ft of infill. According to USGS, excavation and the tower's weight will increase landslide risk in this high-soil-saturation area. Heavy rainfall and anticipated tree loss on the steep hillside will accelerate erosion and instability, as will an earthquake.

The Canyon is designated as a significant natural area & a critical urban wildlife habitat with fragile biodiversity-supporting native grasses and sensitive bird species that move throughout the area. The forest and brush are an essential ecosystem. Tower construction will damage this sensitive environment and disrupt wildlife corridors (as will fire or landslide). A certified arborist stated digging for the pole will likely damage roots and kill trees (adding to landslide & fire risk). Additional danger is regular required testing of the 150-gallon fuel storage tank, will create air quality hazards for children at nearby nursery schools & Christopher Playground.

The neighborhood is an Historic Resource—most homes are over 50 years old and examples of "modernist" architecture designed to blend with the natural environment preserving views of open space. SF Planning Dept began an application for historic status.

ATT falsely claimed this tower is essential for 911 service and First Responder FirstNet access. 911 calls go to the closest carrier and according to Police and Fire Chief Crispin, First Responders are not required to use FirstNet.

ATT misled Planning Commissioners to gain approval and four voted on that basis. Allowing this "exemption" sets a dangerous precedent for all neighborhoods.

ATT customers want good wireless service. The FCC requires the least intrusive structures. Less intrusive alternatives exist for customers in the targeted area where other carriers provide coverage without such intrusive towers.

Massive structures like this do not belong adjacent to parks, playgrounds, and homes. I urge you to grant the appeal of the CEQA exemption.

Sincerely,  
Anthony Ramirez

5541 Diamond Heights Blvd San Francisco, CA 94131-2642  
anthonyramirez2@comcast.net

**From:** [chrisanne.bradley@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:chrisanne.bradley@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Chrisanne Bradley](#)  
**To:** [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I am writing to you today to urge you to SUPPORT- 251094 - Appeal CEQA Class 3 Exemption  
**Date:** Tuesday, January 13, 2026 1:17:54 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Legislative Services,

I live on Craggs Court in your district. I support the Diamond Hts neighbors' legitimate environmental and safety concerns. Please grant approval of the appeal for CEQA exemption for ATT's proposed 104-foot wireless facility. The massive 10-story pole on a 500 sq ft pad & topped with bulky electric antennas towering over Christopher Park and Glen Canyon - visible to residents throughout the neighborhood. The CEQA application does not include the 700+ cubic foot antenna array 20 ft above the trees.

This is not a "small addition to an existing structure." SF has only 3 wireless antenna structures over 100 ft high: 2 are in commercial zones attached to buildings & the 3rd is in the parking lot of Sports Basement. Planning and ATT cite other (less tall) structures with CEQA exemptions—all near freeways or in industrial areas. There are NO free-standing towers of this height or bulk in residential neighborhoods or next to parks.

Location and unusual circumstances were not considered: the critical natural resource of Glen Canyon, history of seismic landslide failures on the site, and high fire potential in the park & surrounding neighborhood.

The site is on the Glen Canyon property line 50 feet from a SF landslide seismic hazard zone and on the edge of the Canyon - described by Fire Chief Crispen as a SF Urban Wildfire Interface Area. It is one of the windiest neighborhoods with red flag warnings last year. A national telecom wildfire consultant stated this location is a real fire threat, yet Planning and ATT said there is no evidence of fire danger.

Consider: ATT was named defendant in 2025 Palisades Fire, ATT tower fire (electrical arcing) on school campus 6-16-2015, 3-9-21 smoldering steel tower collapsed on school bleachers - Chula Vista, 2007 ATT partly responsible for Malibu Canyon Fire. Cell tower fires are electrical and can't be extinguished until grid is cut—this can take hours while fire spreads to homes, nursery schools, playground and shopping center with no time to escape.

The location is on 30-60 ft of infill. According to USGS, excavation and the tower's weight will increase landslide risk in this high-soil-saturation area. Heavy rainfall and anticipated tree loss on the steep hillside will accelerate erosion and instability, as will an earthquake.

The Canyon is designated as a significant natural area & a critical urban wildlife habitat with fragile biodiversity-supporting native grasses and sensitive bird species that move throughout the area. The forest and brush are an essential ecosystem. Tower construction will damage this sensitive environment and disrupt wildlife corridors (as will fire or landslide). A certified arborist stated digging for the pole will likely damage roots and kill trees (adding to landslide & fire risk). Additional danger is regular required testing of the 150-gallon fuel storage tank, will create air quality hazards for children at nearby nursery schools & Christopher Playground.

The neighborhood is an Historic Resource—most homes are over 50 years old and examples of "modernist" architecture designed to blend with the natural environment preserving views of open space. SF Planning Dept began an application for historic status.

911 calls go to the closest carrier and according to Police and Fire Chief Crispen, First Responders are not required to use FirstNet. ATT misled Planning Commissioners to gain approval and four voted on that basis. Allowing this "exemption" sets a dangerous precedent for all neighborhoods.

The FCC requires the least intrusive structures. Less intrusive alternatives exist for customers in the targeted area where other carriers provide coverage without such intrusive towers. I urge you to grant the appeal of the CEQA exemption.

Sincerely,  
Chrisanne Bradley  
25 Craggs Ct San Francisco, CA 94131-2521  
[chrisanne.bradley@gmail.com](mailto:chrisanne.bradley@gmail.com)

**From:** [elise.fong8@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:elise.fong8@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Elise Ong](#)  
**To:** [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I am writing to you today regarding the appeal of California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) exemption at 350 Amber Dr Project  
**Date:** Monday, December 8, 2025 8:40:23 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Legislative Services,

I support the Diamond Hts neighbors' legitimate environmental and safety concerns. Please grant approval of the appeal for CEQA exemption for AT&T's proposed 104-foot wireless facility.

Allowing this "exemption" sets a dangerous precedent for all neighborhoods.

The proposed massive 10-story monopole built on a 500 sqft pad will extend 20 feet above the tree line, topped with a bulky electric macro antenna towering over George Christopher Park, next to Glen Canyon, and visible to residents throughout the neighborhood.

This is not a "small addition to an existing structure" nor a "new" structure! It is one of only two wireless antenna facilities over 100 feet high, and both are in commercial zones and attached to buildings and other less tall facilities are near freeways or in industrial areas.

There are NO free-standing towers of this height or bulk in residential neighborhoods or next to parks.

Location and unusual circumstances were not considered such as the critical natural resource of the Glen Canyon Park, and the unusual circumstances of history of the seismic landslide failures on the Site, the high potential of fire in the park and surrounding neighborhood and chemical hazards.

Glen Canyon Park is on the property line. It is designated as a SF "significant natural area" with many fragile examples of biodiversity-supporting native grasses, and sensitive bird species. It is a critical urban wildlife habitat. The forest and brush are an essential ecosystem. The tower construction will damage this sensitive environment and disrupt wildlife corridors (as will a fire or landslide).

Safety concerns - A national telecom wildfire consultant stated this location is a real telecom fire threat. The site is within a designated "wildfire/wildland urban interface areas" and will be built among the aging trees. A certified arborist stated: digging to place the pole in bedrock will likely damage the roots and kill the trees (adding to landslide risk). Diamond Hts is one of the windiest neighborhoods and had red flag warnings last year. Towers must be powered down before applying water and evacuating the homes, nursery schools, the playground and shopping will be chaotic. Adding to the fire danger is the required 190-gallon diesel backup generator, which must be tested regularly. It will cause air quality hazards for children at nearby nursery schools Christopher Playground.

-The location sits on infill in a documented seismic and landslide hazard zone. According to USGS, excavation to bedrock and the tower's weight will increase landslide risk in this high-soil-saturation area. Heavy rainfall and anticipated tree loss on the steep hillside below will accelerate erosion and instability as will an earthquake.

The neighborhood is an Historic Resource; including the St Nicholas Church built in 1964. Most homes in the neighborhood are over 50 yrs old, and an example of "modernist" architecture designed so they would blend with the natural environment preserving views of open space.

AT&T falsely claimed this massive tower is essential for 911 service and First Responders' FirstNet access.

According to Police the Captain and Fire Chief Crispen, First Responders are not required to use FirstNet. AT&T misled Planning Commissioners to gain approval.

ATT customers want good wireless service and less invasive alternatives do exist to serve customers in the targeted area. Other carriers provide coverage without such intrusive towers.

Massive structures like this do not belong in landslide and fire zones and adjacent to parks, playgrounds, and homes. Safety must be the priority of the Board of Supervisors. I urge you to grant the appeal of the CEQA exemption.

Sincerely,  
Elise Ong

755 11th Ave San Francisco, CA 94118-3614  
elise.fong8@gmail.com

**From:** [eborlongan.ong@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:eborlongan.ong@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Elizabeth Ong](#)  
**To:** [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** I am writing to you today regarding the appeal of California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) exemption at 350 Amber Dr Project  
**Date:** Monday, December 8, 2025 8:41:55 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Legislative Services,

I support the Diamond Hts neighbors' legitimate environmental and safety concerns. Please grant approval of the appeal for CEQA exemption for AT&T's proposed 104-foot wireless facility.

Allowing this "exemption" sets a dangerous precedent for all neighborhoods.

The proposed massive 10-story monopole built on a 500 sqft pad will extend 20 feet above the tree line, topped with a bulky electric macro antenna towering over George Christopher Park, next to Glen Canyon, and visible to residents throughout the neighborhood.

This is not a "small addition to an existing structure" nor a "new" structure! It is one of only two wireless antenna facilities over 100 feet high, and both are in commercial zones and attached to buildings and other less tall facilities are near freeways or in industrial areas.

There are NO free-standing towers of this height or bulk in residential neighborhoods or next to parks.

Location and unusual circumstances were not considered such as the critical natural resource of the Glen Canyon Park, and the unusual circumstances of history of the seismic landslide failures on the Site, the high potential of fire in the park and surrounding neighborhood and chemical hazards.

Glen Canyon Park is on the property line. It is designated as a SF "significant natural area" with many fragile examples of biodiversity-supporting native grasses, and sensitive bird species. It is a critical urban wildlife habitat. The forest and brush are an essential ecosystem. The tower construction will damage this sensitive environment and disrupt wildlife corridors (as will a fire or landslide).

Safety concerns - A national telecom wildfire consultant stated this location is a real telecom fire threat. The site is within a designated "wildfire/wildland urban interface areas" and will be built among the aging trees. A certified arborist stated: digging to place the pole in bedrock will likely damage the roots and kill the trees (adding to landslide risk). Diamond Hts is one of the windiest neighborhoods and had red flag warnings last year. Towers must be powered down before applying water and evacuating the homes, nursery schools, the playground and shopping will be chaotic. Adding to the fire danger is the required 190-gallon diesel backup generator, which must be tested regularly. It will cause air quality hazards for children at nearby nursery schools Christopher Playground.

-The location sits on infill in a documented seismic and landslide hazard zone. According to USGS, excavation to bedrock and the tower's weight will increase landslide risk in this high-soil-saturation area. Heavy rainfall and anticipated tree loss on the steep hillside below will accelerate erosion and instability as will an earthquake.

The neighborhood is an Historic Resource; including the St Nicholas Church built in 1964. Most homes in the neighborhood are over 50 yrs old, and an example of "modernist" architecture designed so they would blend with the natural environment preserving views of open space.

AT&T falsely claimed this massive tower is essential for 911 service and First Responders' FirstNet access.

According to Police the Captain and Fire Chief Crispen, First Responders are not required to use FirstNet. AT&T misled Planning Commissioners to gain approval.

ATT customers want good wireless service and less invasive alternatives do exist to serve customers in the targeted area. Other carriers provide coverage without such intrusive towers.

Massive structures like this do not belong in landslide and fire zones and adjacent to parks, playgrounds, and homes. Safety must be the priority of the Board of Supervisors. I urge you to grant the appeal of the CEQA exemption.

Sincerely,  
Elizabeth Ong

755 11th Ave San Francisco, CA 94118-3614  
eborlongan.ong@gmail.com



**From:** [Barbara Mann](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** AT&T antenna fire hazard  
**Date:** Sunday, December 7, 2025 10:46:05 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

NONE of this makes sense... This huge AT&T antenna is dangerous. please do Not let this be built.

this is a disaster in the making... please do not let this happen.

Sincerely,

Barbara Mann

**From:** [Don Lapin](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Public Hearing Dec. 9 / 350 Amber Dr Cell Tower  
**Date:** Friday, December 5, 2025 1:24:56 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To the Board of Supervisors,

As a resident of Diamond Heights/Goldmine Hill, I would like to express my views to the Board concerning the proposed installation of a 100-foot AT&T cell tower in our neighborhood.

I was an AT&T customer when I moved here in 1997, but their cell service was essentially unusable in Diamond Heights, and I switched to Verizon. So in general it does seem to be a good idea for AT&T to upgrade their service up here.

However, I question the approach that they have taken.

If you look at the SF Planning Commission map of wireless facility locations (<https://sfplanninggis.org/wireless/>), you may notice that both T-Mobile and Verizon have cell antenna locations along Diamond Heights Blvd. Yet neither company has found it necessary to erect a 100-foot monopole to provide this service. I have driven past these locations countless times, and have never seen either antenna.

So why should it be necessary for AT&T to erect such a large monstrosity in our neighborhood, and particularly adjacent to public parklands? It does not seem to be in keeping with the low-rise tenor of the area. Such an installation might also persuade competitors to request tall towers, both in our neighborhood and beyond, potentially resulting in a diminished skyline for the city at large.

I also understand that AT&T was able to persuade wavering members of the Planning Commission by claiming that without their approval of the monopole tower, the AT&T FirstNet emergency broadband network would be unavailable. The company representatives neglected to mention, however, that San Francisco has its own emergency network already, with antennas located on Twin Peaks.

My recommendation to the Board would be to overrule the Planning Commission on its approval of the AT&T monopole cell tower. Instead, urge the company to build out its infrastructure in a manner similar to its competitors, i.e., smaller antennas like the ones Verizon and T-Mobile use in our neighborhood.

Thank you,

Don Lapin

**From:** [fiscal.obscure1r@icloud.com](mailto:fiscal.obscure1r@icloud.com)  
**To:** [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Opposition letter to cell tower at 350 amber drive  
**Date:** Monday, December 8, 2025 12:20:17 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I am writing as a resident of Diamond heights village on Duncan. For many years, living near Christopher playground and Glen canyon park has been a beautiful respite to the hustle and bustle of the city. The open and safe spaces are truly hidden gem within San Francisco. Myself, my partner, my family and my friends have all greatly enjoyed this area.

If the Macro tower were to be build, much of that open and safe space would be comprised. It would remove a beautiful and open skyline that all of the residents of Diamond heights village and those living in the surrounding buildings of Diamond heights enjoy on a daily basis. It would also greatly increase the fire risk in the area, since a large diesel fuel source would be placed in a grove of eucalyptus trees, which have a very high fire risk. Not only does it remove a place of solace so many residents hold dear, but it puts a direct threat on the safety of the homes in the area, and the delicate ecosystem within Glen Canyon.

I urge those in power to reconsider this plan, as it has little to no positive benefits for any residents in the community.

Thank you for your consideration