

ABBREVIATED CEQA CHECKLIST FOR Better Streets Plan Improvement Projects

Please include the following supporting materials with this checklist:

Project Description and scope of work *Existing* and *Proposed* Site plans Site photos Scope of work for: Air Quality Analysis Tech Memo (*if applicable*)¹ Green House Gas Emission Checklist² (*if applicable*)

I - PROJECT INFORMATION			
DATE			
PROJECT NAME			
LOCATION/ NEIGHBORHOOD			
CONSTRUCTION DURATION			
II - PROJECT CONTACT			
RESPONSIBLE AGENCY			
NAME			
ADDRESS			
PHONE			
EMAIL			
III - PROJECT CHARACTERISTICS			
STREET TYPE ³	Varies (See attachment) OR		
	Provide a description:		
STREET NAME			
⁴ FROM (CROSS-STREET 1) TO (CROSS-STREET 2)			

³ See Table 1 in PMND and verify final list of street types with the online version of the BSP.

¹ Individual projects prepared pursuant to the BSP would be required to undergo a separate environmental review that would consider whether the Proposed Project's location and construction plan could affect nearby sensitive receptors - p. 123 of the BSP's PMND - [Contact EP planner for a copy of scope of work outline].

² Individual streetscape projects would be required to undergo a separate environmental review pursuant to CEQA. The environmental review would include an analysis of the individual project's potential to emit GHGs. p.128 of the BSP's PMND. [Contact EP planner for a copy of GHG Checklist].

⁴ Street type determines what elements are appropriate for a design element. Different blocks of the same street may be characterized as different street types pursuant to BSP. Therefore, need to provide boundaries for project segments.

PROJECT SCREENING PART I (On the table below, please identify BSP's design elements that are part of the proposed project) DETAILED DESIGNED ELEMENTS STANDARD IMPROVEMENTS Requires Subsequent Environmental Review⁵ **BSP NUMBER/ NAME PROJECT ELEMENT** (EP PLANNER DETERMINATION ONLY) SI-1 Accessible curb ramps SI-2 Marked crosswalks SI-3 Pedestrian signal timing SI-4 Curb radii guidelines SI-5 Corner curb extensions SI-6 Street trees SI-7 Tree basin furnishing SI-8 Sidewalk planters SI-9 \square Stormwater management tools SI-10 Street lighting SI-11 Special paving SI-12 Site furnishings CASE-BY-CASE IMPROVEMENTS CBC-1 High-visibility crosswalk CBC-2 Special crosswalk CBC-3 Vehicle turning movements CBC-4 Removal or reduction of permanent crosswalk closures

⁵ Please check analysis in PMND to determine if design element has been cleared under CEQA. For example, as stated in p.89 of the BSP's PMND the implementation of RTOR prohibition at intersections that experience high volumes of right-turning movements (greater than 300 vehicles in the peak hour) or have near-side bus stops would require additional study and environmental review.



PROJECT SCREENING PART I CONT.						
NUMBER/ NAME	PROJECT ELEMENT	REQUIRES SUBSEQUENT ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW ⁶ (DO NOT FILL IN, THIS SECTION IS FOR EP PLANNER DETERMINATION ONLY)				
CBC-5 Mid-block crosswalks						
CBC-6 Raised crosswalks						
CBC-7 Extended bulb-outs						
CBC-8 Mid-block blub-out						
CBC-9 Center or side medians						
CBC-10 Pedestrian refugee islands						
CBC-11 Transit bulb-out						
CBC-12 Transit boarding islands						
CBC-13 Perpendicular or angled parking						
CBC-14 Flexible use of parking						
CBC-15 Parking lane planters						
CBC-16 Chicanes						
CBC-17 Traffic calming circles						
CBC-18 Roundabouts						
CBC-19 Pocket parks						
CBC-20 Reuse of 'pork chops'						
CBC-21 Boulevard treatments						

⁶ Please check analysis in PMND to determine if design element has been cleared under CEQA. For example, as stated in p.89 of the BSP's PMND the implementation of RTOR prohibition at intersections that experience high volumes of right-turning movements (greater than 300 vehicles in the peak hour) or have near-side bus stops would require additional study and environmental review.



PROJECT SCREENING PART I CONT.				
NUMBER/ NAME	PROJECT ELEMENT	REQUIRES SUBSEQUENT ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW ⁷ (DO NOT FILL IN, THIS SECTION IS FOR EP PLANNER DETERMINATION ONLY)		
CBC-22 Shared public ways				
CBC-23 Pedestrian-only streets				
CBC-24 Public stairs				
CBC-25 Multi-use paths				
CBC-26 Above-ground landscaping				
OTHER DESIGN IMPROVEMENTS IN THE BETTER STREETS PLAN (BSP) (Not identified above)				
DESIGN ELEMENT NAME	BSP PAGE NUMBER			
(EP PLANNER COMMENTS):				

⁷ Please check analysis in PMND to determine if design element has been cleared under CEQA. For example, as stated in p.89 of the BSP's PMND the implementation of RTOR prohibition at intersections that experience high volumes of right-turning movements (greater than 300 vehicles in the peak hour) or have near-side bus stops would require additional study and environmental review.



PROJECT SCREENING PART I CONT.

(On the table below, please identify BSP's design elements that are part of the proposed project. If any of the questions listed below pertain to this project, please answer "YES". If none apply, indicate so by checking the red box below.)

IDENTIFY STORM WATER FACILITIES THAT ARE PART OF THE PROJECT

	Project Element	Requires Subsequent Environmental Review ⁸ (FOR EP PLANNER DETERMINATION ONLY)		
Permeable Paving				
Bioretention Facilities				
Swales				
Infiltration Boardwalks				
Infiltration and Soakage Trench				
Channels and Runnels				
Vegetated Buffer Strip				
Vegetated Gutter				
Other (describe stormwater improvements)				
If none of the above BSP design elements apply, please indicate so by checking this box				
(EP PLANNER COMMENTS):				

⁸ Please check analysis in PMND to determine if design element has been cleared under CEQA. For example, as stated in p.89 of the BSP's PMND the implementation of RTOR prohibition at intersections that experience high volumes of right-turning movements (greater than 300 vehicles in the peak hour) or have near-side bus stops would require additional study and environmental review.



PROJECT SCREENING PART II

(If any of the questions listed below pertain to this project, please answer "YES". If none apply, indicate so by checking the red box below.

Note: If you answer "YES" to any of the questions listed below, this checklist may not be utilized, and therefore, and Environmental Evaluation application must be filled.)

TRANSPORTATION/CIRCULATION

Does the project include right turn on red (RTOR) at locations where the peak hour right-turning traffic volume exceeds 300 vehicles per hour; or require any removal of multiple turn lanes; or the bus stop is located in the near side?	Yes			
Does the project include removal of crosswalk closures?				
Does the project include mid-block crosswalks on a two-way street where traffic volumes exceed 500 vehicles per hour in either direction during the peak hour?	Yes			
Does the project include roundabouts?	Yes			
Does the project include pedestrian-only streets on a street where through traffic is greater than 100 vehicles per hour in the peak hour, or there is transit service, or there are driveways or parking garages, or loading activities cannot be accommodated during off-peak hours?				
Does the project include multi-use paths?9				
Does the project include shared public ways on streets with park garages with parking spaces > 100, or through traffic > 100 cars per hours, or transit service?	Yes			
PROJECT ELEMENTS THAT WILL REQUIRE TECH SPEC EVALUATION: ¹⁰				
(If the project includes any of the elements listed below, the project will require Tech Spec E	Evaluation).			
HISTORICAL/ARCHEO RESOURCES (All applications need preliminary review for potential impacts to archeological resources pursuant to EP practice.)				
Is the proposed project located within a potential historic district or on a street adjacent to a historic landmark? Please state the name of the historic district or historic landmark:	Yes			
Does the proposed project involve an identified historic resource among the following: street furniture, light standards, signage, curbs, places, bricks, walls, and other paving materials? Please identify the historic elements that are part of the proposed project:	Yes			
Does the proposed project involve removal of trees adjacent to historic resources?	Yes			
If none of the above BSP design elements apply, please indicate so by checking this box 🗌				

¹⁰ EP NEEDS TO DETERMINE HOW COORDINATION WILL OCCUR



⁹ The BSP does not provide guidance on the location or design of Multi-use Paths. Therefore, at the time a location for implementation is proposed, it would be subject to site-specific environmental review.

PROJECT SCREENING PART III					
Project elements that would require implementation of Mitigation Measures and Monitoring Reports organized by CEQA Topic.					
CEQA Topic	Sub-topic	Meet criteria/threshold: ¹¹ Yes/No or N/A	Requires mitigation measure: Yes/No	Potential impacts differ from PMND analysis (Y/N). If "Yes" briefly describe on a separate sheet.	Project Sponsor Agrees to Implement Mitigation Measures
		Aesthe	tics		
Does the proposed project involve removal of significant trees? Yes No	Significant trees	N/A			
Does the project involve tree root trimming? Yes D No D If so, is tree root trimming greater than two inches? Yes No D		N/A	Aesthetics Tree Root Protection Mitigation Measure M-AE-1 applies if trimming of roots are greater than two (2) inches in diameter (p.53).		
		lone of the above CEQA	topics apply to the proj	ect	
		Historical/Archeolog	gical Resources		
Does the project require excavation depth greater than two (2) feet? Yes No	Accidental discovery	N/A	Archeological Accidental Discovery mitigation measure Cul-1 applies to all projects except for those occurs in an area within Hispanic Period Archeological District (p.64).		
Does the project occur in an area within the Hispanic Period Archeological District? ¹² Yes No	Hispanic Period District	N/A	Archeological Monitoring Hispanic Period mitigation measure Cul-2 applies (p.64).		
None of the above CEQA topics apply to the project					
Transportation and Circulation					
Does the project include removal of loading spaces? Yes D No D	Loading	YES	Provision of New Loading Space, Mitigation Measure TR-1 (p.78).		

¹¹ The Project sponsor should discuss with EP planner how to proceed with projects that do not meet the PMND's thresholds.

¹² <u>TO BE EVALUATED BY EP PLANNER</u>. The Spanish Period Map is not available for public review due to the sensitivity of the archeological resources encountered in the area.

PROJECT SCREENING PART III CONT.					
Project elements that would require implementation of Mitigation Measures and Monitoring Reports organized by CEQA Topic.					
		Air Qua	ality		
	Construction impacts		Dust Control Plan, Mitigation Measure AQ-1 applies to ALL projects (p.120).		
		Biological Re	esources		
Does the project include tree removal? Yes No	Nesting birds	N/A	Nesting Birds Mitigation Measure M- Bio-1 (p.151).		
		Biological Reso	urces (Cont.)		
What is the expected duration period of construction?	Nesting birds	N/A	Nesting Birds Mitigation Measure M- Bio-1 (p.151).		
Which months would construction occur?	Nesting birds	N/A	Nesting Birds Mitigation Measure M- Bio-1 (p.151).		
	Hazardous Materials				
Does the project occur in an area within the Maher-designated area? ¹³ Yes No	Determination of contaminated soil	N/A	Hazardous Materials Mitigation Measure M- HAZ-1 (p.161).		
(EP PLANNER COMMENTS):					

¹³ www.sfdph.org/dph/EH/HazWaste/MaherSiteMap.asp

Г

This section is to be filled by EP Planner. Use	check boxes to indicate type of review conducted
(as applicable). Leave blank if not applicable to	the Project.

Project was screened for potential impacts to archeological resources pursuant to EP practice.
Project was screened by a Tech Spec for potential impacts to historical resources pursuant to EP practice.
Applicable Mitigation Measures are applied to the project.
Green House Gas analysis performed and approved by EP.
Air Quality Memo approved by EP.
The project was reviewed by DPH and DTSC, and a memo of concurrence was submitted to EP (for projects within the Maher Layer only).
PMND was reviewed and no items were identified that would require subsequent environmental review.

CEQA Determination			
Note to file, contingent upon regulatory agency approval or other information, as follows:			
Note to file (no additional documentation required) Addendum			
Supplemental EIR or MND			
Notes:			
Planner Signature			
Signee (print name): Ryan Shum	Date:		
Signee (print name):			

