File No.	241210

Committee Item I	No.	2	
Board Item No.			

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

	Land Use and Transportati	<u>on</u>	Date:	April 14, 2025
Board of Sup	ervisors Meeting:		Date:	
Cmte Board	i I			
		t Letter and/	rt or Rep	ort
OTHER				
	Planning Commission Tran			
	CEQA Determinations -Apr	il 1, and Feb	ruary 3	3, 2025
H H -				
H H -				
Prepared by: Prepared by:	John Carroll	Date: Date:	April	10, 2025
Prepared by:		Date:		

1	[Planning Code - Notice for Housing Element Rezoning]	
2		
3	Ordinance amend	ding the Planning Code to require notice of rezoning intended to
4	comply with Housing Element law; affirming the Planning Department's determination	
5	under the Califor	nia Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with
6	the General Plan	and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and
7	making findings	of public necessity, convenience, and welfare pursuant to Planning
8	Code, Section 30	2.
9		Inchanged Code text and uncodified text are in plain Arial font.
10		dditions to Codes are in single-underline italics Times New Roman font. Deletions to Codes are in strikethrough italics Times New Roman font.
11	В	soard amendment additions are in <u>double-underlined Arial font</u> . Soard amendment deletions are in strikethrough Arial font .
12		usterisks (* * * *) indicate the omission of unchanged Code ubsections or parts of tables.
13		
14	Be it ordain	ed by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:
15		
16	Section 1.	Environmental and Land Use Findings.
17	(a) The Pla	nning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this
18	ordinance comply	with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources
19	Code Sections 21	000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of
20	Supervisors in File	No. 241210 and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board affirms
21	this determination.	
22	(b) On Mar	ch 20, 2025, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No. 21706, adopted
23	findings that the a	ctions contemplated in this ordinance are consistent, on balance, with the
24	City's General Pla	n and eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. The Board
25		

- adopts these findings as its own. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 241210, and is incorporated herein by reference.
 - (c) Pursuant to Planning Code Section 302, this Board finds that these Planning Code amendments will serve the public necessity, convenience, and welfare for the reasons set forth in Planning Commission Resolution No. 21706, and the Board adopts such reasons as its own. A copy of said resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 241210 and is incorporated herein by reference.

Section 2. General Findings.

- (a) San Francisco's Regional Housing Needs Allocation ("RHNA") in the current 2023-2031 Housing Element cycle is 82,069 units over eight years (46,598 units of which must be affordable to very low-, low-, and moderate-income households), which is more than 2.5 times the allocation of the previous eight-year cycle. San Francisco will face significant challenges in meeting this goal.
- (b) To meet its RHNA obligation, the City is proposing to rezone large parts of San Francisco to accommodate more units. The Planning Department's current proposal is called the Expanding Housing Choice (Housing Element Rezoning Program), and available on the Department's website.
- (c) Despite the Planning Department's public outreach efforts, many property owners, residents, and business owners of the properties that could be upzoned are either completely unaware, or only vaguely aware, of the proposed Housing Element Rezoning Program.
- (d) It is reasonable and in the public interest to enhance outreach and public notice to property owners, residents, and business owners likely to be affected by the City's prospective upzoning. Expanding the public's knowledge of the nature, scale, and reasonably anticipated effects of the upzoning can help the public better understand and better adapt to

1	the proposed changes. This ordinance will serve those purposes by establishing procedures
2	for providing public notice of proposed increases in height limits and/or density limits pursuan
3	to the Planning Department's Housing Element Rezoning Program.
4	
5	Section 3. Article 3 of the Planning Code is hereby amended by revising Section 333,
6	to read as follows:
7	SEC. 333. PUBLIC NOTIFICATION PROCEDURES.
8	(a) Purpose. The purpose of this \underline{sS} ection $\underline{333}$ is to establish procedures for all
9	public notifications required by this Code, except for those requirements set forth in Section
10	311.
11	(b) Applicability. The requirements of this Section 333 shall apply to any hearing
12	before the Planning Commission, Historic Preservation Commission, and/or the Zoning
13	Administrator for which public notice is required in this Code, except that the requirements se
14	forth in Section 311 shall be applicable to certain applications as set forth in Section 311. The
15	Zoning Administrator shall determine the means of delivering all forms of public notice, in a
16	manner consistent with the Planning Commission's policy on notification, provided that the
17	requirements of this Section 333 are satisfied.
18	(c) Notification Period. For the purposes of this $\pm \underline{S}$ ection 333, the Notification
19	Period shall mean no fewer than 20 calendar days prior to the date of the hearing, or in the
20	case of a Building Permit Application a period of no fewer than 20 calendar days prior to any
21	Planning Department approval of the application.
22	(d) Content of Notice.
23	(1) All notices provided pursuant to this $\underline{s}\underline{S}$ ection 333 shall have a format and
24	content determined by the Zoning Administrator, and shall at a minimum include the following

(A) the address and block/lot number(s) of the subject project; and

1	(B) the Planning Department case number or Building Permit Application
2	number, as applicable, for the subject project; and
3	(C) the basic details of the project, including whether the project is a
4	demolition, new construction, alteration, or change of use; and basic details comparing the
5	existing and proposed conditions at the property including building height, number of stories,
6	dwelling unit count, number of parking spaces, and the use of the building; and
7	(D) instructions on how to access the online notice and plan sets for the
8	project, including how to obtain paper copies of the plan sets, and additional information for
9	any public hearings required by the Planning Code and for which public notification is required
10	for a development application: the date, time and location of the hearing; instructions for how
11	to submit comments on the proposed project to the hearing body; and an explanation as to
12	why the hearing is required.
13	(2) Language Access.
14	(A) All forms of public notice provided pursuant to this Section 333 shall
15	comply with the requirements of the Language Access Ordinance, Chapter 91 of the
16	Administrative Code, to provide vital information about the Department's services or programs
17	in the languages spoken by a Substantial Number of Limited English Speaking Persons, as
18	defined in Chapter 91.
19	(B) The notices required by this Section 333 shall contain the
20	information set forth in $S_{\underline{subsection}}$ 333(d)(1) in the languages spoken by a Substantial Number
21	of Limited English Speaking Persons, as defined in Administrative Code Chapter 91.
22	(e) Required Notices. Except as provided in subsection 333(f), all notices provided

pursuant to this <u>sS</u>ection 333 shall be provided in the following formats:

(1) Posted Notice. A poster or posters with minimum dimensions of 11 x 17

inches, including the content set forth in subsection 333(d), shall be placed by the project

23

24

applicant at the subject property <i>and</i> for the entire duration of the Notification Period as set
forth herein. This notice shall be in addition to any notices required by the Building Code,
other City codes, or State law. One poster shall be required for each full 25 feet of each street
frontage of the subject property. For example, $2\underline{two}$ posters would be required for a 50 foot
street frontage; 3three posters would be required for either a 75 foot frontage or a 99 foot
frontage. Multiple posters shall be spread along the subject street frontage as regularly as
possible. All required posters shall be placed as near to the street frontage of the property as
possible, in a manner to be determined by the Zoning Administrator that is visible and legible
from the sidewalk or nearest public right-of way. The requirements of this <u>Ss</u> ubsection
333(e)(1) may be modified upon a determination by the Zoning Administrator that a different
location for the sign would provide better notice or that physical conditions make this
requirement impossible or impractical, in which case the sign shall be posted as directed by
the Zoning Administrator.

- (2) **Mailed Notice.** Written notice with minimum dimensions of $5-1/2 \times 8-1/2$ inches, including the contents set forth in subsection 333(d), shall be mailed to all of the following recipients in a timely manner pursuant to the Notification Period established herein:
- (A) Neighborhood organizations that have registered with the Planning Department, to be included in a list that shall be maintained by the Planning Department and available for public review for the purpose of notifying such organizations of hearings and applications in specific areas; *and*
- (B) Individuals who have made a specific written request *for* to be notified of hearings and applications at a subject lot; and
- (C) All owners and, to the extent practicable, occupants of properties, within no less than 150 feet of the subject property, including the owner(s) and occupant(s) of the subject property, including any occupants of unauthorized dwelling units. Names and

- addresses of property owners shall be taken from the latest Citywide Assessor's Roll. Failure to send notice by mail to any such property owner where the address of such owner is not shown on such assessment roll shall not invalidate any proceedings in connection with such action. The Zoning Administrator shall determine the appropriate methodology for satisfying this requirement. If applicable State law requires notice to be provided in a different manner, such notice will be provided consistent with applicable State requirements.
 - (3) **Online Notice.** For the entire duration of the Notification Period established herein, the following notification materials shall be provided on a publicly accessible website that is maintained by the Planning Department:
 - (A) A digital copy formatted to print on 11 x 17 inch paper of the posted notice including the contents set forth in subsection 333(d) for the hearing or application; and
 - (B) Digital copies of any architectural and/or site plans that are scaled and formatted to print on 11 x 17 inch paper, are consistent with Plan Submittal Guidelines maintained and published by the Planning Department, and that describe and compare, at a minimum, the existing and proposed conditions at the subject property, the existing and proposed conditions in relationship to adjacent properties, and that may include a site plan, floor plans, and elevations documenting dimensional changes required to describe the proposal.
 - (f) **Notice of Hearings for Legislative Actions.** Notwithstanding the foregoing, for all hearings required for consideration of legislation, including but not limited to a Planning Code Amendment, Zoning Map Amendment, General Plan Amendment, or Interim Zoning Controls, an online notice shall be provided for the entire duration of the Notification Period established herein on a publicly accessible website that is maintained by the Planning Department, and shall include the date, time, and location of the hearing; the case number for the subject action; a general description of the subject and purpose of the hearing; and

1	instructions for how to contact the planner assigned to the case and provide comment to the
2	hearing body.
3	(1) Zoning Map Amendments and Interim Controls. Except as provided in subsection
4	(f)(2), Ffor any legislative proposal to reclassify property through a Zoning Map Amendment,
5	or to establish Interim Zoning Controls, if the area to be reclassified or the area in which the
6	interim controls are applicable is 30 acres or less in total area, excluding the area of public
7	streets and alleys, the information specified in this subsection (f) shall be provided in a mailed
8	notice consistent with the requirements of subsection 333(d), and the notices shall also
9	include a map or general description of the area proposed for reclassification or action. For
10	any legislative proposal to reclassify property through a Zoning Map Amendment, if the area
11	to be reclassified comprises a single development lot or site, the required information shall
12	also be provided in a posted notice consistent with the requirements of subsection 333(d).
13	(2) Housing Element Rezoning. This subsection (f)(2) applies to any legislative
14	proposal to reclassify property through a Planning Code amendment and/or Zoning Map amendment
15	intended to comply with the City's Housing Element obligation to create sufficient capacity, pursuant to
16	California Government Code Section 65583(c), as may be amended from time to time.
17	(A) For any such proposal, the Department shall provide 30 days mailed notice
18	consistent with the requirements of subsection (d). The mailed notice shall consist of a postcard or
19	similar mailer with minimum dimensions of 5.5 x 8.5 inches, and a minimum font size of 18 point for
20	the header and 12 point for the content of the postcard. The mailed notice shall include a website
21	address and digital response code where the public can access the following:
22	(i) A map of the Department's Draft Citywide Rezoning Proposal
23	showing all parcels to be reclassified with increased height and density limits.
24	

1	(ii) A comparison of the proposed height and density limits to the
2	existing height and density limits, including a general depiction of the parcels proposed to be rezoned
3	in the neighborhood.
4	(iii) A general description of the legislative process, information on how
5	to submit comments to the Planning Department or Commission, and how to obtain additional
6	information, and/or information on how to request a meeting with the Planning Department.
7	(iv) The mailed notice must include the following statement: "NOTICE
8	OF POTENTIAL REZONING OF HEIGHT AND/OR DENSITY: A proposed rezoning may increase the
9	height and/or density limits of your property or others in your neighborhood. Learn more by scanning
10	the QR Code or visit our website listed below. Information on the proposal is also available in person
11	at the Planning Department Counter, 2nd Floor, 49 South Van Ness Avenue."
12	(B) Within 30 days of the effective date of the ordinance in Board File 241210,
13	enacting this subsection (f)(2), the Department shall maintain a website that includes: the map
14	referenced in subsection $(f)(2)(A)(i)$; the mailed notice statement referenced in subsection $(f)(2)(A)(iv)$,
15	an interactive function where users can compare the existing and proposed zoning by address; and
16	renderings showing the existing conditions along with images of the height and bulk of the potential
17	new development.
18	(C) In addition to the recipients listed in subsection (e)(2), the notice required
19	by this subsection (f)(2) shall be mailed to the property owners, residents, and commercial lessees, of
20	properties that are either within the areas subject to the proposed rezoning or within a distance of 300
21	feet of the exterior boundaries of such areas. Failure to send notice by mail to any such property
22	owner, resident, or commercial lessee shall not invalidate any proceedings in connection with the
23	<u>rezoning.</u>
24	(D) Notwithstanding subsection (g), the requirements of this subsection (f)(2)
25	may not be waived by the Zoning Administrator.

1	(g) Elimination of Duplicate Notice. The notice provisions of this Section 333 may
2	be waived by the Zoning Administrator for applications that have been, or prior to any
3	approval will be, the subject of an otherwise duly noticed public hearing before the Planning
4	Commission or Zoning Administrator, provided that the nature of work for which the
5	application is required is both substantially included in the hearing notice and was the subject
6	of the hearing.
7	(h) Newspaper Notice. If newspaper notice is required by applicable State law, the
8	City shall provide such newspaper notice.
9	
10	Section 4. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after
11	enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the
12	ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board
13	of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.
14	
15	Section 5. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors
16	intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles,
17	numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal
18	Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment
19	additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under
20	the official title of the ordinance.
21	APPROVED AS TO FORM:
22	DAVID CHIU, City Attorney
23	By: /s/ Austin Yang
24	AUSTIN M. YANG Deputy City Attorney
25	n:\legana\as2024\2500183\01825971.docx

REVISED LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

(Substituted, March 11, 2025)

[Planning Code - Notice for Housing Element Rezoning]

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require notice of rezoning intended to comply with Housing Element law; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and making findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare pursuant to Planning Code, Section 302

Existing Law

For any legislative rezoning, Planning Code Section 333 requires the City to provide online notice, and newspaper notice. Depending on the size of the proposed rezoning, the City may also provide posted notice and/or mailed notice. Mailed notice includes: (a) the address and block/lot numbers, (b) the Planning Department case number, (c) the basic details of the project, including a comparison of the existing and proposed conditions at the property including building height, number of stories, dwelling unit count, number of parking spaces, and the use of the building, and (d) instructions on how to access the online notice and plan sets for the project, including how to obtain paper copies of the plan sets, and additional information for any public hearings required by the Planning Code and for which public notification is required for a development application. This notice includes the date, time and location of the hearing, instructions for how to submit comments on the proposed project to the hearing body, and an explanation as to why the hearing is required. Mailed notice is not required for rezoning proposals that would affect areas larger than 30 acres.

Under Planning Code 333, the Zoning Administrator may waive any duplicative notice requirements.

Amendments to Current Law

This ordinance would require mailed notice for the Housing Element rezoning, regardless of whether the rezoning is larger than 30 acres. It would also change the mailed notice requirement by modifying the recipient list to include notice to all property owners, residents and commercial lessees of all affected parcels and parcels within 300 ft of affected parcels. The ordinance would also require the department to send a mailers no less than 8.5 x 5.5 inches, with a website address and QR code where the public can access a map showing the parcels to be rezoned, a comparison of the proposed heights and densities to existing conditions, a general description of the legislative process, and specific language regard the rezoning proposal. The ordinance would also remove the Zoning Administrator's authority to waive the notice requirement as being duplicative of other code requirements. The City would still be required to provide online and newspaper notice.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Background Information

San Francisco's Regional Housing Needs Allocation ("RHNA") in the current 2023-2031 Housing Element cycle is 82,069 units over eight years (46,598 units of which must be affordable to very low-, low-, and moderate-income households), which is more than 2.5 times the allocation of the previous eight-year cycle. San Francisco will face significant challenges in meeting this goal. To meet its RHNA obligation, the City is proposing to rezone large parts of San Francisco to accommodate more units. The Planning Department's current proposal is called the Expanding Housing Choice (Housing Element Rezoning Program), and available on the Department's website.

On March 11, 2025, Supervisor Chan introduced a substitute legislation that included greater specificity regarding the mailer, and the website requirements.

n:\legana\as2024\2500183\01825999.docx

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS Page 2

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

	Date:	March 19, 2025	
	То:	Planning Department/Planning Commiss	ion
	From:	John Carroll, Assistant Clerk, Land Use ar	nd Transportation Committee
	Subject:	Board of Supervisors Legislation Referral Planning Code - Notice for Housing Elen - SUBSTITUTE VERSION 2	
X	(Californ ⊠	ia Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Det ia Public Resources Code, Sections 21000 et seq.) Ordinance / Resolution Ballot Measure	Not defined as a project under CEQA Guidelines Sections 15378 and 15060(c)(2) because it would not result in a director indirect physical change in the environment. 4/1/2025
\boxtimes	(Planning	ment to the Planning Code, including the fog <i>Code, Section 302(b): 90 days for Planning Com</i> eral Plan Planning Code, Section 101	mission review)
		ment to the Administrative Code, involving ule 3.23: 30 days for possible Planning Departme	9
	General Plan Referral for Non-Planning Code Amendments (Charter, Section 4.105, and Administrative Code, Section 2A.53) (Required for legislation concerning the acquisition, vacation, sale, or change in use of City property; subdivision of land; construction, improvement, extension, widening, narrowing, removal, or relocation of public ways, transportation routes, ground, open space, buildings, or structures; plans for public housing and publicly-assisted private housing; redevelopment plans; development agreements; the annual capital expenditure plan and six-year capital improvement program; and any capital improvement project or long-term financing proposal such as general obligation or revenue bonds.)		
		Preservation Commission Landmark (Planning Code, Section 1004.3) Cultural Districts (Charter, Section 4.135 & E Mills Act Contract (Government Code, Section Designation for Significant/Contributory E	50280)

Please send the Planning Department/Commission recommendation/determination to John Carroll at john.carroll@sfgov.org.

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

MEMORANDUM

_		
	Date:	December 26, 2024
	То:	Planning Department/Planning Commission
	From:	John Carroll, Assistant Clerk, Land Use and Transportation Committee
	Subject:	Board of Supervisors Legislation Referral - File No. 241210 Planning Code - Notice for Housing Element Required Rezoning
\boxtimes	(Californ ⊠	ia Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Determination ia Public Resources Code, Sections 21000 et seq.) Ordinance / Resolution Ballot Measure Not defined as a project under CEQA Guidelines Sections 15378 and 16060(c)(2) because it would not result in a direct of indirect physical change in the environment. 2/3/2025
\boxtimes	(Planning	ment to the Planning Code, including the following Findings: g Code, Section 302(b): 90 days for Planning Commission review) eral Plan Planning Code, Section 101.1 Planning Code, Section 302
		ment to the Administrative Code, involving Land Use/Planning ule 3.23: 30 days for possible Planning Department review)
	(Charter, (Require property removal structure develope program	Plan Referral for Non-Planning Code Amendments Section 4.105, and Administrative Code, Section 2A.53) and for legislation concerning the acquisition, vacation, sale, or change in use of City are subdivision of land; construction, improvement, extension, widening, narrowing, and or relocation of public ways, transportation routes, ground, open space, buildings, or are; plans for public housing and publicly-assisted private housing; redevelopment plans; ment agreements; the annual capital expenditure plan and six-year capital improvement are; and any capital improvement project or long-term financing proposal such as general on or revenue bonds.)
		Preservation Commission Landmark (Planning Code, Section 1004.3) Cultural Districts (Charter, Section 4.135 & Board Rule 3.23) Mills Act Contract (Government Code, Section 50280) Designation for Significant/Contributory Buildings (Planning Code, Article 11)

Please send the Planning Department/Commission recommendation/determination to John Carroll at john.carroll@sfgov.org.

From: <u>Carroll, John (BOS)</u>

To: president@westoftwinpeaks.org

Cc: Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Low, Jen (BOS); Chen, Chyanne (BOS); Sciammas, Charlie (BOS); Mahmood, Bilal (BOS);

Cooper, Raynell (BOS); Chan, Connie (BOS); Yan, Calvin (BOS)

Subject: FW: Transmittal for LU - Monday hearing, April 7 - BOS File No. 241210

Date:Friday, April 11, 2025 4:56:00 PMAttachments:Chan - Public notification leg v. 2.docx

image001.png

Thank you for your comment letter.

I am forwarding your comments to the members of the Land Use and Transportation committee, and I will include your comments in the file for this ordinance matter.

I invite you to review the entire matter on our <u>Legislative Research Center</u> by following the link below:

Board of Supervisors File No. 241210

John Carroll Assistant Clerk

Board of Supervisors San Francisco City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102 (415)554-4445



Click here to complete a Board of Supervisors Customer Service Satisfaction form.

The Legislative Research Center provides 24-hour access to Board of Supervisors legislation and archived matters since August 1998.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

Sent: Friday, April 11, 2025 4:20 PM

To: Carroll, John (BOS) < john.carroll@sfgov.org>

Cc: Low, Jen (BOS) < jen.low@sfgov.org>; Farrah, Michael (BOS) < michael.farrah@sfgov.org>

Subject: Transmittal for LU - Monday hearing, April 7

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please forward to the land use committee for their consideration.

--

Denise M. LaPointe President WTPCC



http://www.westoftwinpeaks.org/

Supervisor Connie Chan Board of Supervisors 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place San Francisco, CA 94102 April 11, 2025

Re: Support for Neighborhood Notification in Housing Element Rezoning – Board File No. 241210

San Francisco, CA 94127

Dear Supervisor Chan,

On behalf of the West of Twin Peaks Central Council (WTPCC), we write in support of requiring direct neighborhood notification for legislative rezonings associated with the Housing Element, as outlined in Board File No. 241210. Our organization, composed of longstanding neighborhood associations from across San Francisco's west side, believes that fundamental tenets of good government—transparency, inclusion, and public trust—must guide the City's efforts to meet state housing obligations.

San Francisco is embarking on a significant, state-mandated effort to upzone neighborhoods across the city. While we acknowledge the legal imperative and recognize the goal of providing more housing, it is essential that property owners, tenants, and small businesses and commercial lessees are not left in the dark. Meaningful notification ensures that individuals most directly affected by these changes to heights and density have the opportunity to understand, engage, and participate in shaping the city's future.

We recognize that the scale of this effort—potentially affecting hundreds of thousands of properties—poses real fiscal and operational challenges. We encourage the Board to adopt the prudent taxpayer recommendation to allocate sufficient funding to cover the cost of mailed notices. Good government requires investment in civic trust.

We also support the additional recommendations from Planning to clarify the scope of the notice—focusing on properties directly proposed for upzoning and their immediate neighbors and people within a 300-foot radius and to provide flexibility on the format and content of notices while maintaining legibility and access. These common-sense changes balance transparency with practicality.

WTPCC believes that property owners have both rights and responsibilities in the planning process. Rezonings or changes that could substantially increase development potential should not proceed without deliberate and respectful notice to those impacted. In a fast-moving policy environment driven by state mandates, the City must not lose sight of the principle that residents deserve to be informed—and that their voices matter.

We urge you and your colleagues to adopt this legislation, incorporating constructive, taxpayer friendly amendments. Doing so will strengthen public confidence, ensure fair process, and reinforce the City's commitment to equity and engagement.

Thank you for your leadership.

Sincerely,

Denise LaPointe
President | WTPCC

From: Board of Supervisors (BOS)

To: BOS-Supervisors; BOS-Legislative Aides

Cc: Calvillo, Angela (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Mchugh, Eileen (BOS);

BOS-Operations; BOS Legislation, (BOS); Carroll, John (BOS)

Subject: 13 Letters regarding File No. 241210

Date: Thursday, April 10, 2025 2:00:03 PM

Attachments: 13 Letters regarding File No. 241210.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached for 13 letters regarding File No. 241210.

File No. 241210: Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require notice of rezoning intended to comply with Housing Element law; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and making findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare pursuant to Planning Code, Section 302. (Chan, Fielder, Walton, Chen)

Sincerely,

Joe Adkins
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102

Phone: (415) 554-5184 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

From: Nina Block

To: <u>CPC-Commissions Secretary</u>; <u>Lurie</u>, <u>Daniel (MYR)</u>; <u>Board of Supervisors (BOS)</u>

Subject: Expanding Housing Choice plan

Date: Thursday, April 10, 2025 8:34:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Please reconsider this plan, especially for the Richmond District. It will change the character and aesthetics of the neighborhood for the worse, block sunlight and reduce green spaces, displace many small businesses and tenants living in rent controlled apartments and increase traffic congestion. It will not meet the need for affordable housing. The Richmond District does not have the infrastructure to support this kind of growth, especially water for firefighting and public transportation. The streets are already crowded with traffic and during rush hour and after school the buses are full. Any infrastructure issues, and also the possibility of people who do not want to sell their properties or vacate their homes being forced out by unfair tactics should be addressed before a plan is adopted.

Sincerely,

Nina Block

From: Peter Stevens
To: BOS-Legislative Aides

Subject: Support Legislation on Public Noticing for SF Upzonings!

Date: Wednesday, April 9, 2025 11:41:14 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Legislative Aide San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I am a concerned community member writing to urge you to support Supervisor Chan's proposed legislation (Board File No. 241210) to require public noticing for San Francisco's Housing Element Rezoning Program.

The SF Planning Department has just unveiled its new map, proposing to change development rules throughout many of the City's neighborhoods, called upzonings or rezonings. Most residents and small businesses don't know that their building is on the upzoning map. Residents and businesses should be aware of what the City is proposing and how these changes might affect them.

I urge you to support Supervisor Chan's proposed legislation to require public noticing for SF's Housing Element Rezoning Program to ensure all impacted parties are notified. Public noticing is critical to ensure that the people and small businesses who may be displaced or otherwise impacted by the upzonings know what's happening and understand how it affects their lives.

Thank you.

Peter Stevens
Peterswordsstevens@gmail.com
1223 Kearny St
San Francisco, California 94133

From: Theresa Flandrich
To: BOS-Legislative Aides

Subject: Support Legislation on Public Noticing for SF Upzonings!

Date: Wednesday, April 9, 2025 10:47:39 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Legislative Aide San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I am a concerned community member writing to urge you to support Supervisor Chan's proposed legislation (Board File No. 241210) to require public noticing for San Francisco's Housing Element Rezoning Program.

The SF Planning Department has just unveiled its new map, proposing to change development rules throughout many of the City's neighborhoods, called upzonings or rezonings. Most residents and small businesses don't know that their building is on the upzoning map. Residents and businesses should be aware of what the City is proposing and how these changes might affect them.

I urge you to support Supervisor Chan's proposed legislation to require public noticing for SF's Housing Element Rezoning Program to ensure all impacted parties are notified. Public noticing is critical to ensure that the people and small businesses who may be displaced or otherwise impacted by the upzonings know what's happening and understand how it affects their lives.

Thank you.

Theresa Flandrich tmvonflandrich@gmail.com 21 Napier Lane San Francisco, California 94133 From: <u>Matthew van Sprakelaar</u>
To: <u>BOS-Legislative Aides</u>

Subject: Support Legislation on Public Noticing for SF Upzonings!

Date: Tuesday, April 8, 2025 4:58:09 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Legislative Aide San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I am a concerned community member writing to urge you to support Supervisor Chan's proposed legislation (Board File No. 241210) to require public noticing for San Francisco's Housing Element Rezoning Program.

The SF Planning Department has just unveiled its new map, proposing to change development rules throughout many of the City's neighborhoods, called upzonings or rezonings. Most residents and small businesses don't know that their building is on the upzoning map. Residents and businesses should be aware of what the City is proposing and how these changes might affect them.

I urge you to support Supervisor Chan's proposed legislation to require public noticing for SF's Housing Element Rezoning Program to ensure all impacted parties are notified. Public noticing is critical to ensure that the people and small businesses who may be displaced or otherwise impacted by the upzonings know what's happening and understand how it affects their lives.

Thank you.

Matthew van Sprakelaar mattvansprakelaar@gmail.com 1305 Lyon St San Francisco, California 94115 From: <u>Don Misumi</u>
To: <u>BOS-Legislative Aides</u>

Subject: Support Legislation on Public Noticing for SF Upzonings!

Date: Tuesday, April 8, 2025 4:18:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Legislative Aide San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I am a concerned community member writing to urge you to support Supervisor Chan's proposed legislation (Board File No. 241210) to require public noticing for San Francisco's Housing Element Rezoning Program.

The SF Planning Department has just unveiled its new map, proposing to change development rules throughout many of the City's neighborhoods, called upzonings or rezonings. Most residents and small businesses don't know that their building is on the upzoning map. Residents and businesses should be aware of what the City is proposing and how these changes might affect them.

I urge you to support Supervisor Chan's proposed legislation to require public noticing for SF's Housing Element Rezoning Program to ensure all impacted parties are notified. Public noticing is critical to ensure that the people and small businesses who may be displaced or otherwise impacted by the upzonings know what's happening and understand how it affects their lives.

Thank you.

Don Misumi don.misumi@gmail.com 426 7th ave san francisco, California 94118 From: <u>Jason Wyman</u>
To: <u>BOS-Legislative Aides</u>

Subject: Support Legislation on Public Noticing for SF Upzonings!

Date: Tuesday, April 8, 2025 2:27:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Legislative Aide San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I am a concerned community member writing to urge you to support Supervisor Chan's proposed legislation (Board File No. 241210) to require public noticing for San Francisco's Housing Element Rezoning Program.

The SF Planning Department has just unveiled its new map, proposing to change development rules throughout many of the City's neighborhoods, called upzonings or rezonings. Most residents and small businesses don't know that their building is on the upzoning map. Residents and businesses should be aware of what the City is proposing and how these changes might affect them.

I urge you to support Supervisor Chan's proposed legislation to require public noticing for SF's Housing Element Rezoning Program to ensure all impacted parties are notified. Public noticing is critical to ensure that the people and small businesses who may be displaced or otherwise impacted by the upzonings know what's happening and understand how it affects their lives.

Thank you.

Jason Wyman queerlycomplex@gmail.com 2690 20th Street San Francisco, California 94110 From: Steve Leeds
To: BOS-Legislative Aides

Subject: Support Legislation on Public Noticing for SF Upzonings!

Date: Monday, April 7, 2025 11:19:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Legislative Aide San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I am a concerned community member, a long time Sunset resident, writing to urge you to support Supervisor Chan's proposed legislation (Board File No. 241210) to require public noticing for San Francisco's Housing Element Rezoning Program.

The SF Planning Department has just unveiled its new map, proposing to change development rules throughout many of the City's neighborhoods, called upzonings or rezonings. Most residents and small businesses don't know that their building is on the upzoning map. Residents and businesses should be aware of what the City is proposing and how these changes might affect them.

I strongly urge you to support Supervisor Chan's proposed legislation to require public noticing for SF's Housing Element Rezoning Program to ensure all impacted parties are notified. Public noticing is critical to ensure that the people and small businesses who may be displaced or otherwise impacted by the upzonings know what's happening and understand how it affects their lives.

Thank you.

Steve Leeds sleeds@riseup.net 1205 5th Avenue San Francisco, California 94122 From: Anastasia Yovanopoulos
To: BOS-Legislative Aides

Subject: Support Legislation on Public Noticing for SF Upzonings!

Date: Saturday, April 5, 2025 9:45:53 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Legislative Aide San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Support Legislation on Public Noticing for SF Upzonings!

Hello,

I am a concerned community member writing to urge you to support Supervisor Chan's proposed legislation (Board File No. 241210) to require public noticing for San Francisco's Housing Element Rezoning Program.

The SF Planning Department has just unveiled its new map, proposing to change development rules throughout many of the City's neighborhoods, called upzonings or rezonings. Most residents and small businesses don't know that their building is on the upzoning map. Residents and businesses should be aware of what the City is proposing and how these changes might affect them.

I urge you to support Supervisor Chan's proposed legislation to require public noticing for SF's Housing Element Rezoning Program to ensure all impacted parties are notified. Public noticing is critical to ensure that the people and small businesses who may be displaced or otherwise impacted by the upzonings know what's happening and understand how it affects their lives. Anastasia Yovanopou;os

D #8 tenant

Anastasia Yovanopoulos shashacooks@yahoo.com 3718 24th st sf, California 94114 From: Marie Sorenson
To: BOS-Legislative Aides

Subject: Support Legislation on Public Noticing for SF Upzonings!

Date: Friday, April 4, 2025 6:26:47 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Legislative Aide San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I am a concerned community member writing to urge you to support Supervisor Chan's proposed legislation (Board File No. 241210) to require public noticing for San Francisco's Housing Element Rezoning Program.

The SF Planning Department has just unveiled its new map, proposing to change development rules throughout many of the City's neighborhoods, called upzonings or rezonings. Most residents and small businesses don't know that their building is on the upzoning map. Residents and businesses should be aware of what the City is proposing and how these changes might affect them.

I urge you to support Supervisor Chan's proposed legislation to require public noticing for SF's Housing Element Rezoning Program to ensure all impacted parties are notified. Public noticing is critical to ensure that the people and small businesses who may be displaced or otherwise impacted by the upzonings know what's happening and understand how it affects their lives.

Thank you. Marie Sorenson

Marie Sorenson mariesorenson@yahoo.com 1196 Hampshire Street San Francisco , California 94110 From: <u>noguera@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Hatun Noguera</u>

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)

Subject: Urgent: Opposition to San Francisco Upzoning Proposal

Date: Friday, April 4, 2025 5:57:37 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the currently proposed blanket upzoning map for San Francisco. While the intent may be to address the affordable housing shortage, this plan risks fueling gentrification, leading to the demolition of existing affordable housing and the displacement of long-term residents and small businesses. Such changes threaten the unique identity and diversity of our neighborhoods.

The unchecked development of luxury condos will not only alter the city's historic and iconic landscapes but also push residential communities toward over development, making them less accessible to working families. Additionally, the increased density will strain infrastructure, worsen traffic congestion, and further reduce the affordability of housing for those who need it most.

I stand with Neighborhoods United SF in urging you to reconsider this approach. We must pursue alternative solutions that truly address the housing crisis without compromising the stability of our communities.

Thank you for your attention to this pressing issue. I appreciate your dedication to protecting the well-being of our city and its residents.

Sincerely, Hatun Noguera San Francisco, CA 94127 From: AnaChristina Arana
To: BOS-Legislative Aides

Subject: Support Legislation on Public Noticing for SF Upzonings!

Date: Friday, April 4, 2025 10:36:46 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Legislative Aide San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I am a concerned community member writing to urge you to support Supervisor Chan's proposed legislation (Board File No. 241210) to require public noticing for San Francisco's Housing Element Rezoning Program.

The SF Planning Department has just unveiled its new map, proposing to change development rules throughout many of the City's neighborhoods, called upzonings or rezonings. Most residents and small businesses don't know that their building is on the upzoning map. Residents and businesses should be aware of what the City is proposing and how these changes might affect them.

I urge you to support Supervisor Chan's proposed legislation to require public noticing for SF's Housing Element Rezoning Program to ensure all impacted parties are notified. Public noticing is critical to ensure that the people and small businesses who may be displaced or otherwise impacted by the upzonings know what's happening and understand how it affects their lives.

Thank you.

AnaChristina Arana anachristina@peoplepowermedia.org 1305 Lyon St, #1 San Francisco, California 94115 From: <u>lisa.arjes@everyactioncustom.com</u> on behalf of <u>Lisa Arjes</u>

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS)

Subject: Urgent: Opposition to San Francisco Upzoning Proposal

Date: Friday, April 4, 2025 9:04:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my strong opposition to the currently proposed blanket upzoning map for San Francisco. While the intent may be to address the affordable housing shortage, this plan risks fueling gentrification, leading to the demolition of existing affordable housing and the displacement of long-term residents and small businesses. Such changes threaten the unique identity and diversity of our neighborhoods.

The unchecked development of luxury condos will not only alter the city's historic and iconic landscapes but also push residential communities toward overdevelopment, making them less accessible to working families. Additionally, the increased density will strain infrastructure, worsen traffic congestion, and further reduce the affordability of housing for those who need it most.

I stand with Neighborhoods United SF in urging you to reconsider this approach. We must pursue alternative solutions that truly address the housing crisis without compromising the stability of our communities.

Thank you for your attention to this pressing issue. I appreciate your dedication to protecting the well-being of our city and its residents.

Sincerely, Lisa Arjes San Francisco, CA 94122 From: <u>Teresa Palmer</u>
To: <u>BOS-Legislative Aides</u>

Subject: Support Legislation on Public Noticing for SF Upzonings!

Date: Thursday, April 3, 2025 7:14:29 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Legislative Aide San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

Hello,

I am a concerned community member writing to urge you to support Supervisor Chan's proposed legislation (Board File No. 241210) to require public noticing for San Francisco's Housing Element Rezoning Program.

The SF Planning Department has just unveiled its new map, proposing to change development rules throughout many of the City's neighborhoods, called upzonings or rezonings. Most residents and small businesses don't know that their building is on the upzoning map. Residents and businesses should be aware of what the City is proposing and how these changes might affect them.

I urge you to support Supervisor Chan's proposed legislation to require public noticing for SF's Housing Element Rezoning Program to ensure all impacted parties are notified. Public noticing is critical to ensure that the people and small businesses who may be displaced or otherwise impacted by the upzonings know what's happening and understand how it affects their lives.

Thank you.

Teresa Palmer teresapalmer2014@gmail.com 1845 Hayes St San Francisco, California 94117-1219 From: Board of Supervisors (BOS)

To: <u>BOS-Supervisors</u>; <u>BOS-Legislative Aides</u>

Cc: BOS-Operations; Carroll, John (BOS); Calvillo, Angela (BOS); De Asis, Edward (BOS); Entezari, Mehran (BOS);

Mchugh, Eileen (BOS); Ng, Wilson (BOS); Somera, Alisa (BOS)

Subject: FW: Ordinance 241210 - in suport **Date:** Friday, March 28, 2025 8:20:09 AM

Attachments: Notice Letter 3-27.pdf

Hello,

Please see attached regarding File No. 241210:

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require notice of rezoning intended to comply with Housing Element law.

Regards,

John Bullock
Office of the Clerk of the Board
San Francisco Board of Supervisors
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco, CA 94102
(415) 554-5184
BOS@sfgov.org | www.sfbos.org

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

Disclosures: Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.

----Original Message-----

From: Mari Eliza <mari@abazaar.com> Sent: Thursday, March 27, 2025 11:08 PM

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <box>

dos.supervisors@sfgov.org>

Cc: Chan, Connie (BOS) <connie.chan@sfgov.org>; SherrillStaff <SherrillStaff@sfgov.org>; SauterStaff

<SauterStaff@sfgov.org>; EngardioStaff (BOS) <EngardioStaff@sfgov.org>; MahmoodStaff <MahmoodStaff@sfgov.org>; DorseyStaff (BOS) <DorseyStaff@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS)

<melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff (BOS) <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; Fielder, Jackie (BOS) <Jackie.Fielder@sfgov.org>; Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; ChenStaff <ChenStaff@sfgov.org> Subject: Ordinance 241210 - in suport

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

For the public records



March 27, 2025

To Clerk of the Board and San Francisco Board of Supervisors and Staff

Supervisors and Staff:

re: Ordinance 241210 - amending the Planning Code to require notice of rezoning intended to comply with Housing Element law; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and making findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare pursuant to Planning Code, Section 302

Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods and our members join many other neighborhood groups in supporting the plan to inform the public about the rezoning plans while they are underdevelopment. Given all the changes at all levels of government, the public does not need any more surprises.

We support Supervisor Chan's process for establishing a program for notice with the SF Planning Department, and request that the plan be approved by the SF Board of Supervisors when it comes before you for a vote.

Please don't hesitate to let us know how we can help in this effort.

Sincerely,

Mari Eliza, CSFN Land Use and Transportation Chair

zrants@gmail.com secretary@csfn.net president@csfn.net

Introduction Form

(by a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor)

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):		
	1.	For reference to Committee (Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment)
	2.	Request for next printed agenda (For Adoption Without Committee Reference) (Routine, non-controversial and/or commendatory matters only)
	3.	Request for Hearing on a subject matter at Committee
	4.	Request for Letter beginning with "Supervisor inquires"
	5.	City Attorney Request
	6.	Call File No. from Committee.
	7.	Budget and Legislative Analyst Request (attached written Motion)
	8.	Substitute Legislation File No. 241210
	9.	Reactivate File No.
	10.	Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the Board on
The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following (please check all appropriate boxes):		
•	~	all Business Commission
	■ Pla	nning Commission Building Inspection Commission Human Resources Department
General Plan Referral sent to the Planning Department (proposed legislation subject to Charter 4.105 & Admin 2A.53):		
Gener	□ Ye	
(Note:		perative Agenda items (a Resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Agenda Form.)
Sponsor(s):		
Char	1	
Subjec	et:	e .
[Planning Code - Notice for Housing Element Rezoning]		
Long '	Title or t	ext listed:
Ordinance amending the Planning Code to require notice of rezoning intended to comply with Housing Element law; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; making findings of consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1; and making findings of public necessity, convenience, and welfare pursuant to Planning Code, Section 302		

Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor: