

From: [Tony Bowles](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Concerns Regarding Cannabis Retail Application Amendment
Date: Tuesday, June 6, 2023 10:00:33 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to express my concerns regarding the upcoming vote on the amendment to the Cannabis Ordinance in San Francisco. I understand that the board is considering whether or not to cease accepting any more Cannabis retail applications in the city due to concerns of over saturation and the current economic climate. While I recognize the need to address these issues, I believe that the current approach raises several concerns that need to be taken into account.

Firstly, I am troubled by the exclusion of the recommendations made by the Office of Cannabis. The Office of Cannabis, as an authoritative body on this matter, has put forth two remedies to amend the language of the ordinance. These recommendations propose reopening applications in two to three years and implementing a delayed start for equity applicants, beginning six months after the approval date. These suggestions demonstrate a thoughtful approach to balancing the concerns of over saturation while ensuring equitable access to opportunities in the cannabis industry.

I firmly believe that the Board of Supervisors should consider and include the amendments proposed by the Office of Cannabis. Their expertise and understanding of the industry make their recommendations invaluable in shaping fair and effective policies. It is essential that the board take into account the insights and expertise of the Office of Cannabis to ensure the best possible outcome for all stakeholders involved.

Furthermore, I am concerned about the lack of participation from the new appointees of the Cannabis Oversight Committee. It is my understanding that this committee has not yet convened, and their exclusion from the decision-making process on this important matter is troubling. In the interest of transparency and inclusivity, it is crucial that the board engages the new appointees and allows them to contribute their perspectives before finalizing any decisions regarding cannabis retail applications.

In conclusion, I urge the Board of Supervisors to carefully consider the recommendations put forth by the Office of Cannabis and to include them as amendments to the Cannabis Ordinance. Additionally, I implore you to ensure the participation of the new appointees of the Cannabis Oversight Committee in the decision-making process. By doing so, you will demonstrate a commitment to fairness, transparency, and effective governance.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I trust that you will consider my concerns and take the necessary steps to address them appropriately. Should you require any further information or wish to discuss this matter, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Thanks sincerely,

Tony Bowles

202-509-6119

Chair, Bay Area ASA www.safeaccessnow.org

Customer Support Specialist, Sava www.getsava.com

From: [David Goldman](#)
To: [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: re: Safai's legislation on cannabis
Date: Tuesday, June 8, 2023 8:03:48 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors:

The Brownie Mary Democratic Club of San Francisco supports a moratorium, not a permanent ban. We support Safai's legislation provided it has a provision to have the consequences of the legislation evaluated in about 3 to 5 years, in order to allow the legislation to sunset if circumstances warrant, or have it extended if circumstances warrant.

Please do not support this legislation unless a sunset provision is included.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

David Goldman
President, San Francisco Chapter
Brownie Mary Democratic Club
Brownie.MarySF@gmail.com
https://url.asaman.click/v?_www.browniemarydemclub.com_---YX3aOnSmZHqzOnF6bz0Y2YAMDQHYzE2N2Y3MTk5NDk5YjQ0ZTExMzMyYzQyYTo0Ijg5MTB6MmM1NzRjOTJNDVJZWl5OTM0NWFuYjBhMzU5MGE3ZTYyMzUyMjMhZTM1NjE2ZDk0YWJmMGE0ZTViMTMlOWEzZTpsOjQ
Instagram: @bmsf415
m: 415-728-7631

From: [Tom Schmidt](#)
To: [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Vote NO: City and County of San Francisco - File #: 200144
Date: Saturday, June 3, 2023 4:33:41 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Rafael, BoS,

Please vote NO on this. It's anticompetitive and pandering. Contrary to the entrepreneurial culture of SF.

Tom Schmidt
Corona Heights

City and County of San Francisco - File #: 200144

<https://sfgov.legistar.com/LegislationDetail.aspx?ID=4332724&GUID=B1DE4454-2D50-4F02-B8C0-F9D30D273197>



SF Board of Supervisors

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Subject: Support For Ordinance 200144

Dear Board Of Supervisors,

As the CEO and equity applicant of the California Street Cannabis Co. and as a member to be appointed to Seat 13 of the Cannabis Oversight Committee, I would like to express my support for the newly reintroduced ordinance that provides that new commercial cannabis business applications for cannabis retail not be accepted by the City for processing if the proposed legislation passes. My support of ordinance 200144 is out of deep and sincere concern for the survival of the existing equity cannabis businesses in our city, however, my concern with the current text of the ordinance is that there is no language clarifying that the ordinance is not promoting a ban, but rather a pause. I would like to suggest that there be clear language added, now or in the near future, that allows the proposed halt to be lifted if the controllers report provides sufficient data indicating a significant improvement in the local cannabis market.

Thank you,
Drakari Donaldson
CEO
California Street Cannabis Co.



SF Board of Supervisors

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102