File N	umber:	y Clerk of Board of Supervisors)		
		Grant Resolution In (Effective Jul		
	se: Acc d grant	ompanies proposed Board of Supervisors re	solutions authorizing a Department to accept and	
The fo	llowing	describes the grant referred to in the accom	panying resolution:	
1.	Grant Title: Human Trafficking Advocacy Program			
2.	Department: Office of the District Attorney			
3.	Conta	ct Person: Tara Anderson	Telephone: 415-553-1203	
4.	Grant	Approval Status (check one):		
	[X] Ap	pproved by funding agency	[] Not yet approved	
5.	Amount of Grant Funding Approved or Applied for: \$110,276			
6.	a. b.	Matching Funds Required: \$27,569 Source(s) of matching funds (if applicable): as matching funds calculated at Step 1 of	Victim advocate volunteer/intern hours are used of the 8129 classification	
7.	a. b.	Grant Source Agency: California Governo Grant Pass-Through Agency (if applicable):	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
8.	Proposed Grant Project Summary: To provide comprehensive victim services to human trafficking victims and to enhance the collaboration with the Mayor's Task Force on Anti-Human Trafficking.			
9.	Grant Project Schedule, as allowed in approval documents, or as proposed:			
	Start-E	Date: October 1, 2014	End-Date: September 30, 2015	
10.	a. b. c. d.	 b. Will contractual services be put out to bid? N/A c. If so, will contract services help to further the goals of the Department's Local Business Enterprise (LBE) requirements? N/A 		
11.	b. b. c. [X] Not	Does the budget include indirect costs? [] Yes [X] No 1. If yes, how much? N/A 2. How was the amount calculated? N/ 1. If no, why are indirect costs not incluated by granting agency [] To rer (please explain):		

If no indirect costs are included, what would have been the indirect costs? If calculated

at 10% of salaries, the indirect cost for this program would have been \$7,183.

Disability Access Checklist*(Department must forward a copy of all completed Grant Information Forms to the Mayor's Office of Disability)					
13. This Grant is intended for activities at (check all that apply):					
[X] Existing Site(s)[] Rehabilitated Site(s)[] New Site(s)	[] Existing Structure(s) [] Rehabilitated Structure(s) [] New Structure(s)	[] Existing Program(s) or Service(s) [X] New Program(s) or Service(s)			
14. The Departmental ADA Coordinator or the Mayor's Office on Disability have reviewed the proposal and concluded that the project as proposed will be in compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and all other Federal, State and local disability rights laws and regulations and will allow the full inclusion of persons with disabilities. These requirements include, but are not limited to:					
Having staff trained in how to provide reasonable modifications in policies, practices and procedures;					
2. Having auxiliary aids and services available in a timely manner in order to ensure communication access;					
3. Ensuring that any service areas and related facilities open to the public are architecturally accessible and have been inspected and approved by the DPW Access Compliance Officer or the Mayor's Office on Disability Compliance Officers.					
If such access would be tech	nnically infeasible, this is described	in the comments section below:			
Comments:					
Departmental ADA Coordinator or Mayor's Office of Disability Reviewer:					
		<i>A</i>			
Finance Division Analyst (Title)					
Date Reviewed:	31/16	(Signature Required)			
Department Head or Designee Approval of Grant Information Form:					
Eugene Clendinen					
(Name)					
Chief Administrative & Financial Officer					
(Title)					
Date Reviewed:3	131/15	ATT			

(Signature Required)