

1 [COMPSTAT Work Groups.]

2

3 **Resolution urging the San Francisco Police Department, in collaboration with other**  
4 **criminal justice system departments, to create a series of work groups to research and**  
5 **make recommendations on how a COMPSTAT strategy will be implemented in the City**  
6 **and County of San Francisco.**

7

8 WHEREAS, According to a report published by the Office of the Legislative Analyst  
9 (“OLA”), the San Francisco Police Department (“SFPD”) remains less equipped when it  
10 comes to having computers and internet access available for investigative personnel; and,

11 WHEREAS, Their research also shows that the SFPD has the most sworn officers  
12 (2.9), an above average ratio of investigative support per police force (14%) and yet reports  
13 the lowest (26%) rate of solved violent cases; and,

14 WHEREAS, The access to databases and personal communication devices, both of  
15 which have potential for improving police investigative efforts, appear to be lacking in the City  
16 and County of San Francisco; and,

17 WHEREAS, The New York Police Department utilizes a strategy called COMPSTAT,  
18 which combines crime mapping with increased accountability for precinct commanders; and,

19 WHEREAS, This strategy was credited with reducing Part I crime by 39% in the first  
20 three years it had been in effect; and,

21 WHEREAS, Part I crimes are the crimes that have been identified by the F.B.I. as the  
22 crimes that most closely reflect the level of crime in the community, and include murder, rape,  
23 robbery, aggravated assault, burglary, larceny, auto theft and arson; and,

24

25

1           WHEREAS, COMPSTAT was specifically designed to fit the needs of the City of New  
2 York and has proven to be the most effective crime fighting strategy in the City's history; and  
3 has since been replicated in New Orleans, Philadelphia and many other major cities; and,

4           WHEREAS, In the city of Minneapolis, a team was assigned by the Police Department,  
5 to research how the COMPSTAT strategy was operationalized in neighboring cities; and

6           WHEREAS, This team conducted over 70 meetings with community members,  
7 property owners and other stakeholders, including the Office of the Public Defender, the  
8 Sheriff's Department, and other members of the criminal justice system; and,

9           WHEREAS, The involvement of city agencies in various kinds of multi-jurisdictional  
10 work groups or task forces is now relatively common throughout the nation; now, therefore, be  
11 it

12           RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco  
13 encourages the establishment of public and private partnerships to convene in order to best  
14 create strategies for implementing a COMPSTAT model in San Francisco; and, be it

15           FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby urge the San  
16 Francisco Police Department, in collaboration with other criminal justice system departments,  
17 and with extensive outreach to community groups for participation, to create a series of work  
18 groups to research and make recommendations on how a COMPSTAT strategy will be  
19 implemented in the City and County of San Francisco; and, be it

20           FURTHER RESOLVED, That this work group shall be comprised of but not limited to  
21 the following:

- 22           ▪ Representative, Office of the District Attorney
- 23           ▪ Representative, Office of the City Attorney
- 24           ▪ Representative, the Public Defender
- 25           ▪ Representative, Sheriff's Department

- 1           ▪ Representative, San Francisco Police Department
- 2           ▪ Representative, Emergency Communications Department
- 3           ▪ Representative, Mayor’s Office of Criminal Justice
- 4           ▪ Representatives, Various community groups; and, be it

5           FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby recommend the  
6 following work group structure:

- 7           1. Steering Committee to be comprised of departmental directors, assigned with the  
8           responsibility for overseeing the implementation process, advising the work groups  
9           and ensuring that the work is completed;
- 10          2. Research Information Systems Work Group with a task of developing quantitative  
11          analysis of crime patterns, calls for service, and methodology to plot calls for  
12          service and neighborhood complaints;
- 13          3. Training Work Group with a task of identifying the training needs and developing  
14          tools to train officers at all levels of the Police Department;
- 15          4. Investigative Strategies Work Group with a task of developing investigative  
16          strategies that support the mission of the police precincts and focus on reducing  
17          crime, as well as developing a plan to institute accountability for investigators and  
18          case managers; and, be it

19          FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors does hereby direct the San  
20          Francisco Police Department to create the membership of these work groups in coordination  
21          with community groups to ensure a strong community partnership is created with the  
22          department.

23  
24  
25