

File No. 250440

Committee Item No. 13

Board Item No. \_\_\_\_\_

## COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

### AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: Budget and Finance Committee Date December 10, 2025

Board of Supervisors Meeting Date \_\_\_\_\_

#### Cmte Board

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Motion
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Resolution
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Ordinance
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Legislative Digest
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget and Legislative Analyst Report
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Youth Commission Report
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Introduction Form
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	MOU
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Grant Information Form
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Grant Budget
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Subcontract Budget
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Contract/Agreement
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Form 126 – Ethics Commission
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Award Letter
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Application
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Public Correspondence

**OTHER** (Use back side if additional space is needed)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>PLN CEQA Determination 5/5/2025</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>PLN Transmittal – Resolution No. 21780 7/17/2025</u>
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<u>10-Day Fee Ad Notice 12/10/2025</u>
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____

Completed by: Brent Jalipa Date December 4, 2025

Completed by: Brent Jalipa Date \_\_\_\_\_

[Planning, Administrative Codes - Surcharges for Appeals to the Board of Supervisors]

**Ordinance amending the Planning Code and the Administrative Code by superseding certain provisions of Ordinance No. 149-16 to increase the surcharges on certain Planning Department fees to compensate the City for appeals of Planning Department actions to the Board of Supervisors; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.**

NOTE: **Unchanged Code text and uncoded text** are in plain Arial font.  
**Additions to Codes** are in *single-underline italics Times New Roman font*.  
**Deletions to Codes** are in *strikethrough italics Times New Roman font*.  
**Board amendment additions** are in double-underlined Arial font.  
**Board amendment deletions** are in ~~strikethrough Arial font~~.  
**Asterisks (\* \* \* \*)** indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. Environmental and Land Use Findings.

(a) The Planning Department has determined that the actions contemplated in this ordinance comply with the California Environmental Quality Act (California Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.). Said determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 250440 and is incorporated herein by reference. The Board affirms this determination.

(b) On July 17, 2025, the Planning Commission, in Resolution No. 21780, adopted findings that the actions contemplated in this ordinance are consistent, on balance, with the City's General Plan and eight priority policies of Planning Code Section 101.1. The Board

adopts these findings as its own. A copy of said Resolution is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 250440, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Section 2. Article 3.5 of the Planning Code is hereby amended by revising Section 350, to read as follows:

**SEC. 350 FEES.**

\* \* \* \*

(d) **Surcharges.** In addition to fees, a surcharge shall be assessed on some fees to compensate the Department for the cost of appeals to the Board of Supervisors or the Board of Appeals. These surcharges are stated in Section 4 of Ordinance No. 149-16 in Board of Supervisors File No. 160632. These surcharges are not part of base fees as described in subsection (b) and are not subject to the annual adjustment process described in subsection (c). However, to fully inform the public, such surcharges shall be included in the Planning Department Fee Schedule referenced in subsection (c). Notwithstanding the surcharges stated in Section 4 of Ordinance No. 149-16 in Board of Supervisors File No. 160632, all surcharges identified in that Section 4 for appeals to the Board of Supervisors shall be \$240.

In addition, there shall be a surcharge assessed on certain permit fees to fully compensate the Department for the costs of discretionary review, as detailed in Section 4 of the aforementioned ordinance. That surcharge will be treated as if it were a base fee, and will be subject to the annual adjustment process described in subsection (c) and included in the Planning Department Fee Schedule referenced in subsection (c).

\* \* \* \*

Section 3. Chapter 31, Article IV of the Administrative Code is hereby amended by revising Section 31.22, to read as follows:

1           **SEC. 31.22. FEES.**

2           \* \* \* \*

3           (d) **Surcharges.** In addition to fees, a surcharge shall be assessed on some fees to  
4           compensate the Department for the cost of appeals to the Board of Supervisors. These  
5           surcharges are stated in Section 4 of Ordinance No. 149-16 in Board of Supervisors File  
6           No. 160632. Notwithstanding the surcharges stated in Section 4 of Ordinance 149-16 in Board of  
7           Supervisors File No. 160632, all surcharges identified in that Section 4 for appeals to the Board of  
8           Supervisors shall be \$240. These surcharges are not part of base fees as described in  
9           subsection (b) and are not subject to the annual adjustment process described in subsection  
10          (c). However, to fully inform the public, such surcharges shall be included in the Planning  
11          Department Fee Schedule referenced in subsection (c).

12          \* \* \* \*

13  
14          Section 4. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after  
15          enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the  
16          ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board  
17          of Supervisors overrides the Mayor's veto of the ordinance.

18  
19          Section 5. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors  
20          intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles,  
21          numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal  
22          Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment  
23          additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the "Note" that appears under  
24          the official title of the ordinance.

1           Section 6. Effect of Ordinance on Ordinance No. 149-16; Directions to Clerk of the  
2 Board of Supervisors.

3           As it pertains to surcharges for appeals to the Board of Supervisors, this ordinance has  
4 the effect of amending and superseding those provisions in Section 4 of Ordinance No. 149-  
5 16 stating such surcharges. Accordingly, to maximize public notice of these changes, upon  
6 the effective date of this ordinance, the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors shall place a copy of  
7 this ordinance in Board File No. 160632, the file for Ordinance No. 149-16, and shall indicate  
8 on the Board's website chart for ordinances enacted in 2016 that Ordinance No. 149-16 has  
9 been superseded in part by this ordinance.

10  
11  
12 APPROVED AS TO FORM:  
13 DAVID CHIU, City Attorney

14 By: /s/ Bradley A. Russi  
15 BRADLEY A. RUSSI  
16 Deputy City Attorney

17 n:\legana\as2024\2500160\01806823.docx  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

## **LEGISLATIVE DIGEST**

[Planning, Administrative Codes - Surcharges for Appeals to the Board of Supervisors]

**Ordinance amending the Planning Code and the Administrative Code by superseding certain provisions of Ordinance No. 149-16 to increase the surcharges on certain Planning Department fees to compensate the City for appeals of Planning Department actions to the Board of Supervisors; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.**

### **Existing Law**

The City assesses surcharges on fees for some types of Planning Department decisions in order to compensate the Planning Department for appeals of such decisions to the Board of Supervisors. The surcharges are currently \$120.

### **Amendments to Current Law**

The proposed ordinance would increase to \$240 the surcharges the City assesses on certain Planning Department actions for appeals to the Board of Supervisors.

n:\legana\as2025\2500160\01836435.docx

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184  
Fax No. (415) 554-5163  
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

---

---

MEMORANDUM

---

---

Date: May 2, 2025  
To: Planning Department / Commission  
From: Brent Jalipa, Clerk of the Budget and Finance Committee  
Subject: Board of Supervisors Legislation Referral - File No. 250440  
Planning, Administrative Codes - Surcharges for Appeals to the Board of Supervisors

---

☒ California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Determination  
(*California Public Resources Code, Sections 21000 et seq.*)

☒ Ordinance / Resolution

☐ Ballot Measure

Not defined as a project under CEQA  
Guidelines Sections 15378 and 15060(c)(2)  
because it would not result in a direct or  
indirect physical change in the environment.  
5/5/2025

☒ Amendment to the Planning Code, including the following Findings:  
(*Planning Code, Section 302(b): 90 days for Planning Commission review*)

☒ General Plan ☒ Planning Code, Section 101.1 ☐ Planning Code, Section 302

☐ Amendment to the Administrative Code, involving Land Use/Planning  
(*Board Rule 3.23: 30 days for possible Planning Department review*)

☐ General Plan Referral for Non-Planning Code Amendments  
(*Charter, Section 4.105, and Administrative Code, Section 2A.53*)

(Required for legislation concerning the acquisition, vacation, sale, or change in use of City property; subdivision of land; construction, improvement, extension, widening, narrowing, removal, or relocation of public ways, transportation routes, ground, open space, buildings, or structures; plans for public housing and publicly-assisted private housing; redevelopment plans; development agreements; the annual capital expenditure plan and six-year capital improvement program; and any capital improvement project or long-term financing proposal such as general obligation or revenue bonds.)

☐ Historic Preservation Commission

☐ Landmark (*Planning Code, Section 1004.3*)

☐ Cultural Districts (*Charter, Section 4.135 & Board Rule 3.23*)

☐ Mills Act Contract (*Government Code, Section 50280*)

☐ Designation for Significant/Contributory Buildings (*Planning Code, Article 11*)

Please send the Planning Department/Commission recommendation/determination to Brent Jalipa at [Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org](mailto:Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org).



July 23, 2025

Ms. Angela Calvillo, Clerk  
Honorable Supervisor Chan  
Board of Supervisors  
City and County of San Francisco  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: **Transmittal of Planning Department Case Number 2025-00476PCA:**  
    Surcharges for Appeals to the Board of Supervisors  
    Board File No. 250440

**Planning Commission Recommendation:      Approval**

Dear Ms. Calvillo and Supervisor Chan,

On July 17, 2025, the Planning Commission conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting to consider the proposed Ordinance, introduced by Supervisor Chan. The proposed ordinance would amend the Planning Code and the Administrative Code to increase the surcharges on certain Planning Department fees to compensate the City for appeals of Planning Department actions to the Board of Supervisors. At the hearing the Planning Commission adopted a recommendation for approval.

The proposed amendments are not defined as a project under CEQA Guidelines Section 15060(c)(2) and 15378 because they do not result in a physical change in the environment.

Please find attached documents relating to the actions of the Commission. If you have any questions or require further information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Aaron D. Starr  
*Manager of Legislative Affairs*



cc: Bradley Russi, Deputy City Attorney  
Calvin Yan, Aide to Supervisor Chan  
John Carroll, Office of the Clerk of the Board

**ATTACHMENTS :**

Planning Commission Resolution  
Planning Department Executive Summary



# PLANNING COMMISSION RESOLUTION NO. 21780

**HEARING DATE:** July 17, 2025

*Project Name:* Surcharges for Appeals to the Board of Supervisors  
*Case Number:* 2025-004476PCA [Board File No. 250440]  
*Initiated by:* Supervisor Chan / Introduced April 29, 2025  
*Staff Contact:* Audrey Merlone, Legislative Affairs  
Audrey.Merlone@sfgov.org, 628-652-7534  
*Reviewed by:* Aaron D Starr, Manager of Legislative Affairs  
aaron.starr@sfgov.org, 628-652-7533

**RESOLUTION ADOPTING A RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL OF A PROPOSED ORDINANCE THAT WOULD AMEND THE PLANNING CODE AND THE ADMINISTRATIVE CODE BY SUPERSEDING CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF ORDINANCE NO. 149-16 TO INCREASE THE SURCHARGES ON CERTAIN PLANNING DEPARTMENT FEES TO COMPENSATE THE CITY FOR APPEALS OF PLANNING DEPARTMENT ACTIONS TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS; AFFIRMING THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT'S DETERMINATION UNDER THE CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT; MAKING FINDINGS OF CONSISTENCY WITH THE GENERAL PLAN, AND THE EIGHT PRIORITY POLICIES OF PLANNING CODE, SECTION 101.1; AND MAKING FINDINGS OF PUBLIC NECESSITY, CONVENIENCE, AND WELFARE UNDER PLANNING CODE, SECTION 302.**

WHEREAS, on April 29, 2025, Supervisor Chan introduced a proposed Ordinance under Board of Supervisors (hereinafter "Board") File Number 250440, which would amend the Planning Code and the Administrative Code by superseding certain provisions of Ordinance No. 149-16 to increase the surcharges on certain Planning Department fees to compensate the City for appeals of Planning Department actions to the Board of Supervisors;

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission (hereinafter "Commission") conducted a duly noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting to consider the proposed Ordinance on July 17, 2025; and,

WHEREAS, the proposed Ordinance has been determined to be categorically exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act Section 15378 and 15060(c)(2); and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has heard and considered the testimony presented to it at the public hearing and has further considered written materials and oral testimony presented on behalf of Department staff and other interested parties; and

WHEREAS, all pertinent documents may be found in the files of the Department, as the Custodian of Records, at 49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has reviewed the proposed Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience, and general welfare require the proposed amendment; and

MOVED, that the Planning Commission hereby adopts a **recommendation for approval** of the proposed ordinance.

## Findings

Having reviewed the materials identified in the preamble above, and having heard all testimony and arguments, this Commission finds, concludes, and determines as follows:

The Commission supports the proposed Ordinance because it addresses a long-overdue update to a surcharge that has remained unchanged for nearly a decade. While the fee increase is necessary to better reflect the actual cost of processing certain appeals, the proposed amount has been carefully set to remain affordable for applicants. This balanced approach ensures that the City can recover a more accurate portion of its administrative costs without creating an undue financial burden on those seeking to appeal eligible Planning Department decisions.

## General Plan Compliance

The General Plan includes a directive to improve government operations by making them more accountable, transparent, efficient, and aligned with their core mission to enhance public service delivery. The proposed Ordinance supports this goal by updating an outdated surcharge while maintaining affordability for applicants.

## Planning Code Section 101 Findings

The proposed amendments to the Planning Code are consistent with the eight Priority Policies set forth in Section 101.1(b) of the Planning Code in that:

1. That existing neighborhood-serving retail uses be preserved and enhanced and future opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of such businesses enhanced;

*The proposed Ordinance would not have a negative effect on neighborhood serving retail uses and will not have a negative effect on opportunities for resident employment in and ownership of neighborhood-serving retail.*

2. That existing housing and neighborhood character be conserved and protected in order to preserve

the cultural and economic diversity of our neighborhoods;

*The proposed Ordinance would not have a negative effect on housing or neighborhood character.*

3. That the City's supply of affordable housing be preserved and enhanced;

*The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's supply of affordable housing.*

4. That commuter traffic not impede MUNI transit service or overburden our streets or neighborhood parking;

*The proposed Ordinance would not result in commuter traffic impeding MUNI transit service or overburdening the streets or neighborhood parking.*

5. That a diverse economic base be maintained by protecting our industrial and service sectors from displacement due to commercial office development, and that future opportunities for resident employment and ownership in these sectors be enhanced;

*The proposed Ordinance would not cause displacement of the industrial or service sectors due to office development, and future opportunities for resident employment or ownership in these sectors would not be impaired.*

6. That the City achieve the greatest possible preparedness to protect against injury and loss of life in an earthquake;

*The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on City's preparedness against injury and loss of life in an earthquake.*

7. That the landmarks and historic buildings be preserved;

*The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's Landmarks and historic buildings.*

8. That our parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas be protected from development;

*The proposed Ordinance would not have an adverse effect on the City's parks and open space and their access to sunlight and vistas.*

### **Planning Code Section 302 Findings.**

The Planning Commission finds from the facts presented that the public necessity, convenience and general welfare require the proposed amendments to the Planning Code as set forth in Section 302.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Commission hereby ADOPTS A RECOMMENDATION FOR APPROVAL of the proposed Ordinance as described in this Resolution.

I hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was adopted by the Commission at its meeting on July 17, 2025.



Jonas P. Ionin  
Commission Secretary

Jonas P Ionin

Digitally signed by Jonas P Ionin  
Date: 2025.07.21 13:22:12 -07'00'

AYES:	Braun, Williams, Campbell, Imperial, McGarry, Moore, So
NOES:	None
ABSENT:	None
ADOPTED:	July 17, 2025



## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

### PLANNING CODE TEXT AMENDMENT

**HEARING DATE:** July 17, 2025

**90-Day Deadline:** August 3, 2025

*Project Name:* Surcharges for Appeals to the Board of Supervisors

*Case Number:* 2025-004476PCA [Board File No. 250440]

*Initiated by:* Supervisor Chan / Introduced April 29, 2025

*Staff Contact:* Audrey Merlone, Legislative Affairs  
Audrey.Merlone@sfgov.org 628-652-7534

*Reviewed by:* Aaron Starr, Manager of Legislative Affairs  
aaron.starr@sfgov.org, 628-652-7533

*Environmental*

*Review:* Not a Project Under CEQA

**RECOMMENDATION:** Adopt a Recommendation for Approval

### Planning Code Amendment

The proposed Ordinance would amend the Planning Code and the Administrative Code to increase the surcharges on certain Planning Department fees to compensate the City for appeals of Planning Department actions to the Board of Supervisors.

### The Way It Is Now:

The City imposes a \$120 surcharge on Conditional Use Authorization applications, Planned Unit Development applications, and certain Environmental Impact Reviews to offset the cost of processing appeals to the Board of Supervisors.

## The Way It Would Be:

The City imposed surcharge for the aforementioned Planning Department actions would be \$240.

## Background

The City charges fees to recover the costs associated with processing Planning applications. Most of these fees are governed by the City's official fee schedule and are adjusted every two years to account for inflation. This adjustment ensures that the cost of providing review services remains aligned with the Consumer Price Index (CPI) for the San Francisco/San Jose Primary Metropolitan Statistical Area. However, the surcharge discussed in this report is one of the few fees **not** subject to automatic inflationary adjustment.

A **surcharge** is an additional fee applied to certain types of applications. The "Appeal to the Board of Supervisors" surcharge is specifically intended to offset the City's costs related to processing appeals of the following application types:

- Conditional Use Authorizations and Planned Unit Developments
- Applications proposing major revisions to previously inactive projects (inactive for more than six months)
- Environmental Impact Reports (EIRs)

This \$120 surcharge is not included in the standard fee schedule, as the City aims to keep it affordable for applicants. However, because it is excluded from the biennial inflation adjustment process, any change to the surcharge amount requires legislative action. Notably, this surcharge has remained unchanged since 2016.

## Issues and Considerations

### General Plan Compliance

The General Plan includes a directive to improve government operations by making them more accountable, transparent, efficient, and aligned with their core mission to enhance public service delivery. The proposed Ordinance supports this goal by updating an outdated surcharge while maintaining affordability for applicants.

### Implementation

The Department has determined that this ordinance will not impact our current implementation procedures.

## Recommendation

The Department recommends that the Commission **adopt a recommendation for approval** of the proposed Ordinance and adopt the attached Draft Resolution to that effect.

## **Basis for Recommendation**

The Planning Department supports the proposed Ordinance because it addresses a long-overdue update to a surcharge that has remained unchanged for nearly a decade. While the fee increase is necessary to better reflect the actual cost of processing certain appeals, the proposed amount has been carefully set to remain affordable for applicants. This balanced approach ensures that the City can recover a more accurate portion of its administrative costs without creating an undue financial burden on those seeking to appeal eligible Planning Department decisions.

## **Required Commission Action**

The proposed Ordinance is before the Commission so that it may adopt a recommendation of approval, disapproval, or approval with modifications.

## **Environmental Review**

The proposed amendments are not defined as a project under CEQA Guidelines Section 15060(c)(2) and 15378 because they do not result in a physical change in the environment.

## **Public Comment**

As of the date of this report, the Planning Department has not received any public comment regarding the proposed Ordinance.



**BOARD of SUPERVISORS**



**City Hall**  
**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**  
**San Francisco 94102-4689**  
**Tel. No. (415) 554-5184**  
**Fax No. (415) 554-5163**  
**TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227**

**NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING**  
**BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE**  
**BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco's Budget and Finance Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard:

**Date:** Wednesday, December 10, 2025

**Time:** 10:00 a.m.

**Location:** Legislative Chamber, Room 250, located at City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA


**Subject:** **File No. 250440.** Ordinance amending the Planning Code and the Administrative Code by superseding certain provisions of Ordinance No. 149-16 to increase the surcharges on certain Planning Department fees to compensate the City for appeals of Planning Department actions to the Board of Supervisors; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

If this legislation passes, the ordinance would increase the surcharges stated in Section 4 of Ordinance No. 149-16 that the City assesses on certain Planning Department actions for appeals to the Board of Supervisors from \$120 to \$240.

In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email ([board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)). Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center (<https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-lrc>). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, December 5, 2025.

For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Budget and Finance Committee:

Brent Jalipa ([Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org](mailto:Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org)) – (415) 554-7712)

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Angela Calvillo', is positioned above the printed name and title.

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors  
City and County of San Francisco

bjj:vy:

# CALIFORNIA NEWSPAPER SERVICE BUREAU

## DAILY JOURNAL CORPORATION

Mailing Address : 915 E 1ST ST, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012  
Telephone (800) 788-7840 / Fax (800) 464-2839  
Visit us @ [www.LegalAdstore.com](http://www.LegalAdstore.com)

BRENT JALIPA  
CCSF BD OF SUPERVISORS (OFFICIAL NOTICES)  
1 DR CARLTON B GOODLETT PL #244  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

### COPY OF NOTICE

Notice Type: GPN GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE

Ad Description

BJJ Fee Ad File No. 250440

To the right is a copy of the notice you sent to us for publication in the SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER. Thank you for using our newspaper. Please read this notice carefully and call us with ny corrections. The Proof of Publication will be filed with the County Clerk, if required, and mailed to you after the last date below. Publication date(s) for this notice is (are):

11/30/2025 , 12/07/2025

The charge(s) for this order is as follows. An invoice will be sent after the last date of publication. If you prepaid this order in full, you will not receive an invoice.

Publication	\$1272.60
Set aside for CCSF Outreach Fund	\$141.40
Total	\$1414.00

EXM# 3990780

**NOTICE OF PUBLIC  
HEARING  
BUDGET AND FINANCE  
COMMITTEE  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
OF THE CITY AND  
COUNTY OF SAN FRAN-  
CISCO  
WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER  
10, 2025 - 10:00 AM  
LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER,  
ROOM 250, CITY HALL  
1 DR. CARLTON B.  
GOODLETT PLACE, SAN  
FRANCISCO, CA 94102**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco's Budget and Finance Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard: **File No. 250440.** Ordinance amending the Planning Code and the Administrative Code by superseding certain provisions of Ordinance No. 149-16 to increase the surcharges on certain Planning Department fees to compensate the City for appeals of Planning Department actions to the Board of Supervisors; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1. If this legislation passes, the ordinance would increase the surcharges stated in Section 4 of Ordinance No. 149-16 that the City assesses on certain Planning Department actions for appeals to the Board of Supervisors from \$120 to \$240. In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email ([board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)). Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center

(<https://sfboos.org/legislative-research-center-lrc>). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, December 5, 2025. For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Budget and Finance Committee: Brent Jalipa ([Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org](mailto:Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org)) - (415) 554-7712 Angela Calvillo - Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco

**EXM-3990780#**



\* A 0 0 0 0 0 7 2 6 0 5 9 5 \*







San Francisco Examiner

PUBLICNOTICES

Qualified for San Francisco and San Mateo Counties  
File & Publish New Business Name: Examiner.DBAstore.com  
Other Legal Notices: Examiner.LegalAdStore.com

SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER • DALY CITY INDEPENDENT • SAN MATEO WEEKLY • REDWOOD CITY TRIBUNE • ENQUIRER • BULLETIN • FOSTER CITY PROGRESS • MILLBRAE • SAN BRUNO SUN • BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER • EXAMINER - SO, SAN FRANCISCO • EXAMINER - SAN BRUNO

GOVERNMENT

NOTICE OF REGULAR MEETING  
SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE  
CITY HALL, LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250  
1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

DECEMBER 10, 2025 - 10:00 AM  
The agenda packet and legislative files are available for review at <https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-lrc> in Room 244 at City Hall, or by calling (415) 554-5184.  
**EXM-3992846#**

NOTICE OF REGULAR MEETING  
SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
PUBLIC SAFETY AND NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES COMMITTEE  
CITY HALL, LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250  
1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102  
Thursday, December 11, 2025 1000 AM  
The agenda packet and legislative files are available for review at <https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-lrc> in Room 244 at City Hall, or by calling (415) 554-5184.  
**EXM-3992840#**

LEGISLATION INTRODUCED AT, AND SUMMARY OF ACTIONS OF THE DECEMBER 2, 2025 MEETING OF THE SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
are available at [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org).  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102; or by calling (415) 554-5184.  
**EXM-3992558#**

NOTICE OF REGULAR MEETING  
SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS CITY HALL, LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250, 1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102  
DECEMBER 9, 2025 - 2:00 PM  
The agenda packet and legislative files are available for review at <https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-lrc> in Room 244 at City Hall, or by calling (415) 554-5184.  
**EXM-3992558#**

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING  
BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 10, 2025 - 10:00 AM  
LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250, CITY HALL  
1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco's Budget and Finance Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard: File No. 250510, Ordinance amending the Municipal Elections Code to increase the filing fee and per word fee for paid ballot arguments. If this legislation passes, the filing fee for authors submitting a paid ballot argument for publication will increase from \$200 plus \$2 per word, to \$1,000 plus \$10 per word. In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email ([board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)). Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center (<https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-lrc>). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, December 5, 2025. For any questions about this hearing,

please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Budget and Finance Committee: Brent Jalpa ([Brent.Jalpa@sfgov.org](mailto:Brent.Jalpa@sfgov.org) ~ (415) 554-7712) Angela Calvillo - Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco  
**EXM-3990783#**

**NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING  
BUDGET AND FINANCE COMMITTEE  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 10, 2025 - 1000 AM  
LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250, CITY HALL  
1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco's Budget and Finance Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard: File No. 250440, Ordinance amending the Planning Code and the Administrative Code by superseding certain provisions of Ordinance No. 149-16 to increase the surcharges on certain Planning Department fees to compensate the City for appeals of Planning Department actions to the Board of Supervisors; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1. If this legislation passes, the ordinance would increase the surcharges stated in Section 4 of Ordinance No. 149-16 that the City assesses on certain Planning Department actions for appeals to the Board of Supervisors from \$120 to \$240. In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email ([board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)). Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center (<https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-lrc>). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, December 5, 2025. For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Budget and Finance Committee: Brent Jalpa ([Brent.Jalpa@sfgov.org](mailto:Brent.Jalpa@sfgov.org) ~ (415) 554-7712) Angela Calvillo - Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, City and County of San Francisco  
**EXM-3990780#**

CIVIL

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME  
Case No. CNC-25-560278  
Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco  
Petition of: Francis Lance Lee for Change of Name  
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner Francis Lance Lee filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows: Francis Lance Lee to Lance Francis Lee  
The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.  
Notice of Hearing: Date: 01/12/2026, Time: 9:00AM  
The address of the court is 400 COUNTY CENTER, REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063  
(To appear remotely, check in advance of the hearing for information about how to do so on the court's website. To find your court's website, go to [www.courts.ca.gov/find-my-court.htm](http://www.courts.ca.gov/find-my-court.htm)).  
A copy of this Order to Show Cause shall be published at least once each week for four successive weeks before the date set for hearing on the petition in a newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county: THE EXAMINER  
Date: 11/12/2025  
Judge of the Superior Court 11/23, 11/30, 12/7, 12/14/25  
**SPEN-3988713#**

EXAMINER - REDWOOD CITY TRIBUNE

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME  
Case No. 25CIV07943  
Superior Court of California, County of SAN MATEO  
Petition of: WANDA Yip for Change of Name  
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner WANDA Yip filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows: WANDA Yip to WANDA WING FONG Yip  
The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.  
Notice of Hearing: Date: 12/30/2025, Time: 9:00AM, Dept.: MC  
The address of the court is 400 COUNTY CENTER REDWOOD CITY, CA-94063  
A copy of this Order to Show Cause shall be published at least once each week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing on the petition in the following newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county: EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER  
Date: 10/27/25  
Stephanie Garratt  
Judge of the Superior Court 11/16, 11/23, 11/30, 12/7/25  
**NPEN-3986584#**  
**EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER#10080**

SECOND AMENDED ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME  
Case No. CNC-25-560040  
Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco  
Petition of: Vladilena Litviakova for Change of Name  
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner Vladilena Litviakova filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows: Vladilena Litviakova to Eva Vlada Avedrutzky  
The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.  
Notice of Hearing: Date: 12/30/2025, Time: 9:00AM, Dept.: MC  
The address of the court is 400 COUNTY CENTER REDWOOD CITY, CA-94063  
A copy of this Order to Show Cause shall be published at least once each week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing on the petition in the following newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county: EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER  
Date: 10/27/25  
Stephanie Garratt  
Judge of the Superior Court 11/16, 11/23, 11/30, 12/7/25  
**NPEN-3986584#**  
**EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER#10080**

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME  
Case No. 25CIV07944  
Superior Court of California, County of SAN MATEO  
Petition of: LAP Ki Wong for Change of Name  
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner LAP Ki Wong filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows: LAP Ki Wong to Jackey LAP Ki Wong  
The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.  
Notice of Hearing: Date: 12/30/2025, Time: 9:00AM, Dept.: MC  
The address of the court is 400 COUNTY CENTER REDWOOD CITY, CA-94063  
A copy of this Order to Show Cause shall be published at least once each week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing on the petition in the following newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county: EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER  
Date: 10/27/25  
Stephanie Garratt  
Judge of the Superior Court 11/16, 11/23, 11/30, 12/7/25  
**NPEN-3986566#**  
**EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER#10080**

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME  
Case No. 25CIV05380  
Superior Court of California, County of SAN MATEO  
Petition of: JENNA GWEN DISCHER for Change of Name  
TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS: Petitioner JENNA GWEN DISCHER filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows: JENNA GWEN DISCHER, JENNA GWEN WILLIS to JENNA GWEN MODOS DISCHER  
The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.  
Notice of Hearing: Date: 01/12/2026, Time: 9:00AM  
Dept.: MC  
The address of the court is 400 COUNTY CENTER, REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063  
(To appear remotely, check in advance of the hearing for information about how to do so on the court's website. To find your court's website, go to [www.courts.ca.gov/find-my-court.htm](http://www.courts.ca.gov/find-my-court.htm)).  
A copy of this Order to Show Cause shall be published at least once each week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing on the petition in a newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county: EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER  
Date: 10/27/25  
Stephanie Garratt  
Judge of the Superior Court 11/16, 11/23, 11/30, 12/7/25  
**NPEN-3986566#**  
**EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER#10080**

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAMES

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT  
File No. M-302311  
The following person(s) is (are) doing business as: HEAVENLY NAIL SPA BY PALO ALTO LLC, 810 MIDDLEFIELD RD, REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063  
County of SAN MATEO  
HEAVENLY NAIL SPA BY PALO ALTO LLC, 810 MIDDLEFIELD RD,

REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063  
This business is conducted by A LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY. The registrant(s) commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on 11/01/2025  
I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.)  
S/ LE MY THI HUYNH  
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Mateo County on 12/01/2025  
Mark Church, County Clerk  
HENRY SALGADO, Deputy  
Original 12/7, 12/14, 12/21, 12/28/25  
**NPEN-3992550#**  
**EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER**

FICTITIOUS BUSINESS NAME STATEMENT  
File No. M-302279  
The following person(s) is (are) doing business as: JUNK COLLECTOR, 398 SAINT FRANCIS BLVD, DALY CITY, CA 94015  
County of SAN MATEO  
JUNK COLLECTOR LLC, 548 MARKET ST. #653097, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94104  
This business is conducted by a Limited Liability Company  
The registrant(s) commenced to transact business under the fictitious business name or names listed above on N/A.  
I declare that all information in this statement is true and correct. (A registrant who declares as true information which he or she knows to be false is guilty of a crime.)  
S/ LEONARD PEREZ, MANAGING MEMBER  
This statement was filed with the County Clerk of San Mateo County on 11/26/2025.  
Mark Church, County Clerk  
Deputy, Deputy  
Original 12/7, 12/14, 12/21, 12/28/25  
**NPEN-3958434#**  
**EXAMINER - BOUTIQUE & VILLAGER**

GOVERNMENT

San Francisco Community College District (City College of San Francisco)  
Notice to Contractors  
Pursuant to the provisions of the California Uniform Public Construction Cost Accounting Act (CUPCCAA), Public Contract Code, Section 22000 et Seq.), the San Francisco Community College District has decided to establish a new List of Prequalified Contractors who may bid on projects between \$0 and \$25,000, \$25,001 and \$75,000 and \$75,001 to \$220,000 in the Calendar Year 2026.  
The District shall accept prequalification applications year - round to accommodate contractors in established categories, by dollar amount and trades who wish to be added on the prequalified list. All District approved prequalified vendors within a dollar amount and trade will receive a notice to bid on a project.  
A Contractor Prequalification Application Fillable Form can be obtained at: <https://www.ccsf.edu/about-ccsf/administration/finance-and-administration/administrative-services/purchasing/bid-opportunities-vendors> Or <https://www.ccsf.edu/about-ccsf/administration/finance-and-administration/facilities/buildings-and-grounds/bid-opportunities>  
12/7, 12/14/25  
**CNS-3992627#**  
**SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER**

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING  
San Francisco Enhanced Infrastructure Financing District No. 2 (Stonestown) (EIFD)  
NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the EIFD Public Financing Authority No. 1 ("PFA") will hold a public hearing to consider the matter described below. The public hearing will be held at the date, time and location indicated below, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard:  
Date: January 8, 2026  
Time: 2:00 p.m. (or such other

time posted on the PFA Website at least 24 hours prior to the hearing)  
Location: Conference Room 305, San Francisco City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA  
Subject: Proposed enhanced infrastructure financing plan ("IFP") for San Francisco Enhanced Infrastructure Financing District No. 2 (Stonestown) ("EIFD").  
EIFD Public Financing Authority No. 1. The Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco ("City") has established the board of certain existing and proposed enhanced infrastructure financing districts pursuant to Chapter 2.99 of Part 1 of Division 2 of Title 5 of the California Government Code (commencing with section 53398.50) ("EIFD Law").  
PFA Website. The PFA has established an internet website ("PFA Website") located at the following url to provide more information regarding the PFA, EIFD and IFP: <https://www.sf.gov/departments-eifd-public-financing-authority-no-1>.  
Proposed Enhanced Infrastructure Financing District. On July 16, 2024, the Board of Supervisors of the City adopted Resolution No. 399-24, which was signed by the Mayor on July 26, 2024 ("Resolution of Intention"), stating its intention to cause the establishment of the EIFD and project areas therein ("Project Areas"). The Resolution of Intention was adopted pursuant to the EIFD Law, and it has been posted on the PFA Website.  
Infrastructure Financing Plan. On July 17, 2025, the PFA adopted Resolution No. 2025-02 ("Resolution Directing Preparation of IFP"), directing the preparation of the IFP for the EIFD. The Resolution Directing Preparation of IFP has been posted on the PFA Website.  
Presentation of the IFP. On November 20, 2025, the PFA held a public meeting at which a draft IFP was presented. The IFP presented on November 20, 2025 has been posted on the PFA Website.  
Notice of First Public Hearing. Pursuant to the EIFD Law, the PFA is required to consider adoption of the IFP at two public hearings. What shall take place at least 30 days apart.  
This Notice relates to the first public hearing on the adoption of the IFP. Any persons having any objections to the IFP, or the regularity of any of the prior proceedings related to the EIFD or the IFP, may appear before the PFA and object to the adoption of the IFP by the PFA. At the first public hearing, the PFA will consider any written and oral comments and take action to modify or reject the IFP. If the IFP is not rejected at the first public hearing, then the PFA will conduct a protest proceeding at the second public hearing to consider whether the landowners and residents within the EIFD wish to present oral or written protests against the adoption of the IFP.  
Notice of cancellation or rescheduling of the first public hearing will be posted on the PFA Website.  
Summary of IFP. The IFP will describe in greater detail the public facilities and other specified projects of communitywide significance to be financed; the IFP will also include a financing section specifying the incremental tax revenue of the City to be committed to the EIFD, and other such information and analyses required under the EIFD Law.  
Boundaries of the EIFD. A map of the proposed boundaries of the EIFD and the Project Areas has been posted on the PFA Website.  
Purpose of the EIFD. The EIFD's purpose is to finance public capital facilities and other specified projects of communitywide significance that provide significant benefits to the EIFD or the surrounding community. The proposed EIFD is anticipated to use incremental property tax revenue generated within the boundary of the EIFD and allocated to it by the City to finance (i) the purchase, construction, expansion, improvement, seismic retrofit


or rehabilitation of certain real or other tangible property with an estimated useful life of 15 years or longer that are public capital facilities or projects of communitywide significance that provide significant benefits to the EIFD or the surrounding community, including any directly-related planning and design work and (ii) the costs described in California Government Code Sections 53398.56, 53398.57 and 53398.58 (as applicable).  
A list of the public capital facilities and projects of communitywide significance to be financed by the proposed EIFD will be included as Exhibit C to the IFP.  
Proposed Revised IFP. At the first public hearing, the PFA will consider certain proposed revisions to the IFP presented to the PFA on November 20, 2025. The PFA will post a draft of the proposed revised IFP on the PFA Website at least 72 hours prior to the public hearing. Designated Contact Person. For further information on this matter, please contact Grant Carson:  
Phone: 628-652-9638  
Email: [grant.carson@sfgov.org](mailto:grant.carson@sfgov.org)  
Mailing address: City Hall, Room 316, 1 Dr. Carlton B Goodlett Pl, San Francisco, CA 94102  
12/7, 12/14, 12/21, 12/28/25  
**CNS-3992435#**  
**SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER**

Jefferson Elementary School District  
Personnel Commission  
Seeks applications for "Joint Appointee" Personnel Commissioner  
The Personnel Commission oversees a comprehensive personnel administration system for certified (non-teaching) employees of the District.  
Applicants must reside in the attendance boundaries of the District (Daly City [western section], Colma, Broadmoor, and a portion of Pacific), be a registered voter, cannot be an employee of the District, and be an adherent of the principle of the merit system (which is the selection, retention, and promotion of individuals upon the basis of merit and fitness) described in the Personnel Commission Rules and Regulations.  
The three-member District Personnel Commission meets in public session on the first Tuesday of each month at 4:00 p.m. in the District's Board of Trustees' Room, 101 Lincoln Ave., Daly City, CA. A stipend of \$50 per meeting is provided, as well as a benefits package (medical, dental, and life insurance).  
Deadline for applications is 3:00 p.m. on December 12, 2025. Applications for select applicants will be held during a regular public meeting scheduled for 4:00 p.m. on Tuesday, December 16, 2025. Interested parties are urged to contact the Human Resources Office at (650) 746-2451 with any questions. An application packet and copy of Personnel Commission Rules and Regulations can be obtained at [www.jsd.k12.ca.us](http://www.jsd.k12.ca.us) or picked up at 101 Lincoln Ave., Daly City.  
11/21, 11/23, 11/28, 11/30, 12/5, 12/7/25  
**SPEN-3988184#**  
**EXAMINER - DALY CITY INDEPENDENT**

PROBATE

NOTICE OF PETITION TO ADMINISTER ESTATE OF KAREN GEORGETTE MAHNCKE  
CASE NO. 25-PRO-01624  
To all heirs, beneficiaries, creditors, contingent creditors, and persons who may otherwise be interested in the will or estate, or both, of: KAREN GEORGETTE MAHNCKE  
A Petition for Probate has been filed by KIRK MAHNCKE in the Superior Court of California, County of SAN MATEO.

The Petition for Probate requests that KIRK MAHNCKE be appointed as personal representative to administer the estate of the decedent.  
The Petition requests the decedent's will and codicils, if any, be admitted to probate. The will and any codicils are available for examination in the file kept by the court.  
The Petition requests authority to administer the estate under the Independent Administration of Estates Act. (This authority will allow the personal representative to take many actions without obtaining court approval. Before taking certain very important actions, however, the personal representative will be required to give notice to interested persons unless they have waived notice or consented to the proposed action.) The independent administration authority will be granted unless an interested person files an objection to the petition and shows good cause why the court should not grant the authority.  
A hearing on the petition will be held in this court on 12/26/2025 at 9:00AM in Dept. 3 Room COURTROOM 2B located at 400 COUNTY CENTER, REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063.  
If you object to the granting of the petition, you should appear at the hearing and state your objections or file written objections with the court before the hearing. Your appearance may be in person or by your attorney. If you are a creditor or a contingent creditor of the decedent, you must file your claim with the court and mail a copy to the personal representative appointed by the court within the later of either (1) four months from the date of first issuance of letters to a general personal representative, as defined in section 58(b) of the California Probate Code, or (2) 60 days from the date of mailing or personal delivery to you of a notice under section 9052 of the California Probate Code.  
Other California statutes and legal authority may affect your rights as a creditor. You may want to consult with an attorney knowledgeable in California law.  
You may examine the file kept by the court. If you are a person interested in the estate, you may file with the court a Request for Special Notice (form DE-154) of the filing of an inventory and appraisal of estate assets or of any petition or account as provided in Probate Code section 1250. A Request for Special Notice form is available from the court clerk.  
Attorney for Petitioner: RUSTY RINEHART, 2105 S. BASCOM AVENUE, SUITE 360, CAMPBELL, CA 95008, Telephone: (408) 871-2696  
12/5, 12/7, 12/14/25  
**SPEN-3992242#**  
**EXAMINER - REDWOOD CITY TRIBUNE**



Go ahead, start your new business here:  
Examiner.DBAstore.com



We will assist you in registering your new “Doing Business As” (DBA) aka Fictitious Business Name with the San Francisco or San Mateo County Registrar and promptly publish the mandated legal notice in **The Examiner!**

Atlas Trillo<sup>SM</sup>

Heating & Air Conditioning

Unbeatable Savings on HVAC Services!

Schedule Soon & Save Up to \$2000!

Call today & Save!  
(866) 915-3687

\$49

Cooling or Heating System Tune Up

Price valid for one working unit. Excludes oil fired systems. Valid at participating ARS® Network locations. Not valid for third party, new construction, or commercial customers, with any other offers, discounts, or on prior sales. Call service center for details. Coupon required at time of service. Void if copied or transferred and where prohibited. Any other use may constitute fraud. Cash value \$0.01.  
**Offer expires 9/30/2025.** License numbers available at [americanresidential.com/licenses](http://americanresidential.com/licenses). License # 2024-0011121.

SAVE UP TO

\$2000

on a New Cooling and Heating System with our Buy Back Program!

Savings requires purchase and installation of select complete heating and cooling system. Removal and disposal by Company of existing heating and cooling system required. Valid at participating ARS® Network locations. Not valid for third party, new construction, or commercial customers, with any other offers, discounts, or on prior sales. Call service center for details. Coupon required at time of service. Void if copied or transferred and where prohibited. Any other use may constitute fraud. Cash value \$0.01. **Offer expires 9/30/2025.** License numbers available at [americanresidential.com/licenses](http://americanresidential.com/licenses). License # 2024-0011121.



**BOARD of SUPERVISORS**



**City Hall**  
**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244**  
**San Francisco, CA 94102-4689**  
**Tel. No. (415) 554-5184**  
**Fax No. (415) 554-5163**  
**TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227**

---

---

**MEMORANDUM**

---

---

Date: May 2, 2025  
To: Planning Department / Commission  
From: Brent Jalipa, Clerk of the Budget and Finance Committee  
Subject: Board of Supervisors Legislation Referral - File No. 250440  
Planning, Administrative Codes - Surcharges for Appeals to the Board of Supervisors

---

- ☒ California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Determination  
(*California Public Resources Code, Sections 21000 et seq.*)
  - ☒ Ordinance / Resolution
  - ☐ Ballot Measure
- ☒ Amendment to the Planning Code, including the following Findings:  
(*Planning Code, Section 302(b): 90 days for Planning Commission review*)
  - ☒ General Plan    ☒ Planning Code, Section 101.1    ☐ Planning Code, Section 302
- ☐ Amendment to the Administrative Code, involving Land Use/Planning  
(*Board Rule 3.23: 30 days for possible Planning Department review*)
- ☐ General Plan Referral for Non-Planning Code Amendments  
(*Charter, Section 4.105, and Administrative Code, Section 2A.53*)  
(Required for legislation concerning the acquisition, vacation, sale, or change in use of City property; subdivision of land; construction, improvement, extension, widening, narrowing, removal, or relocation of public ways, transportation routes, ground, open space, buildings, or structures; plans for public housing and publicly-assisted private housing; redevelopment plans; development agreements; the annual capital expenditure plan and six-year capital improvement program; and any capital improvement project or long-term financing proposal such as general obligation or revenue bonds.)
- ☐ Historic Preservation Commission
  - ☐ Landmark (*Planning Code, Section 1004.3*)
  - ☐ Cultural Districts (*Charter, Section 4.135 & Board Rule 3.23*)
  - ☐ Mills Act Contract (*Government Code, Section 50280*)
  - ☐ Designation for Significant/Contributory Buildings (*Planning Code, Article 11*)

Please send the Planning Department/Commission recommendation/determination to Brent Jalipa at [Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org](mailto:Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org).

## Introduction Form

(by a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor)

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):

- ☒ 1. For reference to Committee (Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment)
- ☐ 2. Request for next printed agenda (For Adoption Without Committee Reference)  
(Routine, non-controversial and/or commendatory matters only)
- ☐ 3. Request for Hearing on a subject matter at Committee
- ☐ 4. Request for Letter beginning with "Supervisor \_\_\_\_\_ inquiries..."
- ☐ 5. City Attorney Request
- ☐ 6. Call File No. \_\_\_\_\_ from Committee.
- ☐ 7. Budget and Legislative Analyst Request (attached written Motion)
- ☐ 8. Substitute Legislation File No. \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ 9. Reactivate File No. \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ 10. Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the Board on \_\_\_\_\_

The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following (please check all appropriate boxes):

- ☐ Small Business Commission    ☐ Youth Commission    ☐ Ethics Commission
- ☐ Planning Commission    ☐ Building Inspection Commission    ☐ Human Resources Department

General Plan Referral sent to the Planning Department (proposed legislation subject to Charter 4.105 & Admin 2A.53):

- ☐ Yes    ☐ No

(Note: For Imperative Agenda items (a Resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Agenda Form.)

Sponsor(s):

Supervisor Chan

Subject:

Planning, Administrative Codes - Surcharges for Appeals to the Board of Supervisors

Long Title or text listed:

Ordinance amending the Planning Code and the Administrative Code by superseding certain provisions of Ordinance No. 149-16 to increase the surcharges on certain Planning Department fees to compensate the City for appeals of Planning Department actions to the Board of Supervisors; affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act; and making findings of consistency with the General Plan, and the eight priority policies of Planning Code, Section 101.1.

Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:

