From: Angulo, Sunny (BOS)

To: BOS Legislation, (BOS); Board of Supervisors, (BOS); Major, Erica (BOS)

Cc: Kevin Stull; Pratibha Tekkey

Subject: FW: Group Housing Definition and Group Housing Special Use District

Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 1:38:37 PM

From: Kevin Stull [mailto:kstull201281@yahoo.com]

Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 12:30 PM

To: MelgarStaff@sfgov.org; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) aaron.peskin@sfgov.org;

dean.preston@sfgov.org; Pratibha Tekkey cpratibha@thclinic.org

Subject: Group Housing Definition and Group Housing Special Use District

Good afternoon Supervisors,

My name is Kevin Stull and I'm the Pedestrian Safety Organizer for the Central City SRO Collaborative. I'm writing to all of you today in support of agenda items #2-3 for the Land Use Committee. The Tenderloin and Chinatown are two neighborhoods which have historically contained housing units that were and are being used to house low income families of large sizes. This is due to the fact that there isn't enough buildings that have enough space in them for families and other diverse groups of individuals can come together and share a group kitchen or other common space areas.

As it stands right now, group housing is defined as lack of cooking and food storage amenities. If this City is serious about preserving families that currently still live here and for future families in San Francisco, making sure that they have the space in their current and future living spaces to store food, being able to cook and to socialize is key to the stability of communities like the Tenderloin and Chinatown. Hopefully with the success of the Special Use District for these two neighborhoods, it's quite possible to expand it to others in this city so that future generations of San Franciscans can benefit from it.

Thank you again Supervisors for your time and I hope that you will approve the Group Housing definition and the Special Use District for the Tenderloin and Chinatown. Have a safe and wonderful day today.

Kevin Stull Pedestrian Safety Organizer Central City SRO Collaborative

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This document is intended for the use of the party to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and protected from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee, or a person authorized to accept documents on behalf of the addressee, you are hereby notified that any review, disclosure, dissemination, copying, or other action based on the content of this communication is not authorized. If you have received this document in error, please immediately reply to the sender and

delete or shred all copies.

ZACKS, FREEDMAN & PATTERSON

A Professional Corporation

601 Montgomery Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone (415) 956-8100 Facsimile (415) 288-9755 www.zfplaw.com

March 7, 2022

VIA EMAIL

Land Use and Transportation Committee c/o Erica Major 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place City Hall, Room 244 San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: Agenda Items #2 & #3 – March 7, 2022 Hearing

BOS File No. 211299 [Planning Code – Group Housing Definition]

BOS File No. 211300 [Planning Code, Zoning Map – Group Housing Special Use Dist.]

Dear Chair Melgar and Honorable Members of the Land Use and Transportation Committee:

Our office represents the San Francisco SRO Hotel Coalition and numerous individual owners of SROs, as well as developers of group housing (collectively, "Owners"). The Owners extend their previous objections¹ to the amended version of Board of Supervisors File No. 211299, as well as to File No. 211300.

We again <u>respectfully request clarification</u> of whether the proposed ordinances' restrictions are intended to apply to *existing* group housing units or only to *newly proposed* group housing units.

We note that the amendment to File No. 211299 increasing the required common amenity space (p. 4, line 21) from 0.25 to 0.5 further lessens the intensity of residential use in violation of SB 330. (Government Code § 66300(b)(1)(A).) File No. 211300 would also clearly violate SB 330 by prohibiting an entire category of dense residential use. Neither of these is offset by a concurrent upzoning.

¹ Inter alia, available in your hearing packet at

https://sfgov.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=10572387&GUID=126F5A1B-1501-4A05-A16C-02121456D172. OWNERS HAVE PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED FOR THE BOARD'S RECORD EVIDENCE AND ARGUMENTS, INCLUDING THE EXTENSIVE BRIEFING FROM THE TRIAL AND APPELLATE COURTS IN OPPOSITION TO THE PRIOR SRO AMENDMENTS (BOS FILE NOS. 161291, 190049, 190946, AND 191258; SUPERIOR COURT CASE NO. CPF-17-515656). WE REINCORPORATE THOSE MATERIALS AND ARGUMENTS HERE BY REFERENCE AND OFFER TO LODGE HARD COPIES UPON REQUEST.

Land Use and Transportation Committee March 7, 2022 Page 2

Additionally, proper notice to particularly affected property owners, amortization, and CEQA review must all occur for both of these proposed ordinances, as previously discussed. These proposed ordinances also violate the holding of San Francisco Superior Court Case No. CPF-17-515656 under the doctrine of res judicata.

Very truly yours,

ZACKS, FREEDMAN & PATTERSON, PC

Ryan J. Patterson

March7, 2022

Members of the Land Use and Transportation Committee,

As a resident potentially impacted by the matter pending before this committee. I am sending in my support for Supervisor Peskin's legislation to implement a Special Use District (SUD) for the Tenderloin and Chinatown.

The Tenderloin and Chinatown communities continue to have the highest concentration of low-income families in San Francisco, many of whom reside in SRO's. Additionally, these two neighborhoods contain most of the city's old and new SRO's and group housing projects that do not meet the current needs of the population. The purpose of the SUD is to encourage housing diversity and bring dwelling units to a community that has historically lacked adequate space and amenities.

Both the Tenderloin and Chinatown are in desperate need of stabilization and adequate housing to establish residents who want to invest in our communities. Families who currently live in overcrowded conditions in units without access to full kitchens in Chinatown and the Tenderloin struggle with food security because they can't prepare their own meals at home. Access to the kitchen amenities needed to store fresh food and prepare meals from scratch are essential keys to food security for low-income families. Group housing units – which are defined by their lack of complete cooking and food storage amenities – perpetuate the food insecurity that exists in neighborhoods like Chinatown and the Tenderloin where many housing units lack access to complete kitchens. Residents who don't have the ability to store fresh foods at home or to cook meals from scratch must subsist on more expensive prepared or restaurant meals or less healthy processed foods that don't need to be refrigerated, furthering the income inequality and public health concerns in these neighborhoods.

I personally have felt the backlash of the lack of kitchen facilities in SROs. As a Tenderloin resident living in cramped SROs without kitchen facilities I was diagnosed with diabetes 12 years ago at the age of 50 years old. Diabetes is not a genetic trait in my family. I am the only one to have contracted this disease and I can only attribute it to lack of good nutrition and lack of the kitchen facilities to make that so.

I urge you to support these planning code and zoning amendments to address inequities in access to adequate housing affordable to SRO inhabitants and working families and to remove the ambiguity around the definition of group housing for future development.

Respectfully submitted.

PETER F RAUCH Tenderloin Resident of 20 years
 From:
 Peskin, Aaron (BOS)

 To:
 Major, Erica (BOS)

 Cc:
 Angulo, Sunny (BOS)

Subject: FW: Group Housing Definition and Group Housing Special Use District

Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 12:48:57 PM

From: Kevin Stull <kstull201281@yahoo.com> Sent: Monday, March 7, 2022 12:30 PM

To: MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; Peskin, Aaron (BOS) <aaron.peskin@sfgov.org>; Preston, Dean (BOS) <dean.preston@sfgov.org>; Pratibha Tekkey <pratibha@thclinic.org>

Subject: Group Housing Definition and Group Housing Special Use District

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources

Good afternoon Supervisors,

My name is Kevin Stull and I'm the Pedestrian Safety Organizer for the Central City SRO Collaborative. I'm writing to all of you today in support of agenda items #2-3 for the Land Use Committee. The Tenderloin and Chinatown are two neighborhoods which have historically contained housing units that were and are being used to house low income families of large sizes. This is due to the fact that there isn't enough buildings that have enough space in them for families and other diverse groups of individuals can come together and share a group kitchen or other common space areas.

As it stands right now, group housing is defined as lack of cooking and food storage amenities. If this City is serious about preserving families that currently still live here and for future families in San Francisco, making sure that they have the space in their current and future living spaces to store food, being able to cook and to socialize is key to the stability of communities like the Tenderloin and Chinatown. Hopefully with the success of the Special Use District for these two neighborhoods, it's quite possible to expand it to others in this city so that future generations of San Franciscans can benefit from it.

Thank you again Supervisors for your time and I hope that you will approve the Group Housing definition and the Special Use District for the Tenderloin and Chinatown. Have a safe and wonderful day today.

Kevin Stull
Pedestrian Safety Organizer
Central City SRO Collaborative

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

Members of the Land Use and Transportation Committee,

As a resident potentially impacted by the matter pending before this committee. I am sending in my support for Supervisor Peskin's legislation to implement a Special Use District (SUD) for the Tenderloin and Chinatown. The attempt to prohibit market rate group housing from being proposed in these two neighborhoods through a Special Use District (SUD) is rooted in years of resident advocacy to encourage the development of family-sized units. I respectfully ask for the land use committee's support.

The Tenderloin and Chinatown communities continue to have the highest concentration of low-income families in San Francisco, many of whom reside in SRO's. Additionally, these two neighborhoods contain most of the city's old and new SRO's and group housing projects that do not meet the current needs of the population. The purpose of the SUD is to encourage housing diversity and bring dwelling units to a community that has historically lacked adequate space and amenities.

While efforts to address the need for affordable family housing in Chinatown and the Tenderloin have been in place for some time, this work was accelerated after a large group housing proposal was introduced in the Tenderloin at 450 O'Farrell St. Resident voices were loud and clear that the need for one of the densest neighborhoods in the city was not more group housing, but affordable family units to address the issue of overcrowding and to create housing that would foster permanence and the opportunity to thrive. Through an intensive community process with the help of the Planning Department to understand the group housing type, we learned that these types of units, which cater to temporary residents, have the highest rate of turnover. Both the Tenderloin and Chinatown are in desperate need of stabilization and adequate housing to establish residents who want to invest in our communities.

Families who currently live in overcrowded conditions in units without access to full kitchens in Chinatown and the Tenderloin struggle with food security because they can't prepare their own meals at home. Access to the kitchen amenities needed to store fresh food and prepare meals from scratch are essential keys to food security for low-income families. Group housing units – which are defined by their lack of complete cooking and food storage amenities – perpetuate the food insecurity that exists in neighborhoods like Chinatown and the Tenderloin where many housing units lack access to complete kitchens. Residents who don't have the ability to store fresh foods at home or to cook meals from scratch must subsist on more expensive prepared or restaurant meals or less healthy processed foods that don't need to be refrigerated, furthering the income inequality and public health concerns in these neighborhoods.

In addition to the efforts during the 450 O'Farrell community process, the Planning Department staff recognized the glaring ambiguity in the group housing definition and worked alongside stakeholders to refine the definition to clarify the difference between group housing units and dwelling units. The Planning Department also worked with the California College of the Arts to study current

group housing best practices that influenced the recent legislation that proposes a revision of the current definition of group housing, which we are also supportive of.

We urge you to support these planning code and zoning amendments to address inequities in access to adequate housing affordable to working families and to remove the ambiguity around the definition of group housing for future development.

Respectfully submitted.

GREGGORY D JOHNSON Building Tenant Organizer Vincent Hotel 415-940-9193

LEVEL 5 INC

1390 Market Street #427238 San Francisco, CA 94102 530.539.4435

City & County of San Francisco Land Use & Transportation Committees San Francisco, CA 94102

March 5, 2022

Dear Chair Melgar & Members of the Land Use & Transportation Committees,

The signed stakeholders are sending in their **support for Supervisor Peskin's legislation to implement** a **Special Use District (SUD) for the Tenderloin and Chinatown.** The attempt to prohibit market rate group housing from being proposed in these two neighborhoods through a Special Use District (SUD) is rooted in years of resident advocacy to encourage the development of family-sized units. **We kindly** ask for the Land Use committee's support.

The Tenderloin and Chinatown communities continue to have the highest concentration of low-income families in San Francisco, many of whom reside in SRO's. Additionally, these two neighborhoods contain most of the city's old and new SRO's and group housing projects that do not meet the current needs of the population. The purpose of the SUD is to encourage housing diversity and bring dwelling units to a community that has historically lacked adequate space and amenities.

While efforts to address the need for affordable family housing in Chinatown and the Tenderloin have been in place for some time, this work was accelerated after a large group housing proposal was introduced in the Tenderloin at 450 O'Farrell St. Resident voices were loud and clear that the need for one of the densest neighborhoods in the city was not more group housing, but affordable family units to address the issue of overcrowding and to create housing that would foster permanence and the opportunity to thrive. Through an intensive community process with the help of the Planning Department to understand the group housing type, we learned that these types of units, which cater to temporary residents, have the highest rate of turnover. Both the Tenderloin and Chinatown are in desperate need of stabilization and adequate housing to establish residents who want to invest in our communities.

Families who currently live in overcrowded conditions in units without access to full kitchens in Chinatown and the Tenderloin struggle with food security because they can't prepare their own meals at home. Access to the kitchen amenities needed to store fresh food and prepare meals from scratch are essential keys to food security for low-income families. Group housing units – which are defined by their lack of complete cooking and food storage amenities - perpetuate the food insecurity that exists in neighborhoods like Chinatown and the Tenderloin where many housing units lack access to complete kitchens. Residents who don't have the ability to store fresh foods at home or to cook meals from scratch must subsist on more expensive prepared or restaurant meals or less healthy processed

foods that don't need to be refrigerated, furthering the income inequality and public health concerns in these neighborhoods.

In addition to the efforts during the 450 O'Farrell community process, the Planning Department staff recognized the glaring ambiguity in the group housing definition and worked alongside stakeholders to refine the definition to clarify the difference between group housing units and dwelling units. The Planning Department also worked with the California College of the Arts to study current group housing best practices that influenced the recent legislation that proposes a revision of the current definition of group housing, which we are also supportive of.

We urge you to support these planning code and zoning amendments to address inequities in access to adequate housing affordable to working families and to remove the ambiguity around the definition of group housing for future development.

In Support,

JAMAL A. CARRINGTON Chief Executive Officer Board of Directors Level 5, Incorporated

General Counsel Vice Chairperson

SARA J PAULEY

Level 5 Incorporated

Tenderloin Housing Clinic
Tenderloin People's Congress
Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation
Central City SRO Collaborative
Chinatown Community Development Center
Chinatown SRO Family Collaborative

www.level5.com | l5bsi.sf@gmx.com

From: <u>Gabriella Ruiz</u>

To: Melgar, Myrna (BOS); Low, Jen (BOS); Peskin, Aaron (BOS); Angulo, Sunny (BOS); Preston, Dean (BOS);

Smeallie, Kyle (BOS)

Cc: <u>Major, Erica (BOS)</u>

Subject: Support Letter - Items #2 and #3

Date: Monday, March 7, 2022 9:26:11 AM

Attachments: Support Letter - Group Housing Land Use.pdf

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Chair Melgar and the Land Use and Transportation Committee,

Please see the attached letter from Tenderloin and Chinatown stakeholders supporting Supervisor Peskin's legislation to redefine group housing and create a group housing Special Use District for the Tenderloin and Chinatown.

Best,

Gabriella Ruiz (she/her)
Senior Planner
Chinatown Community Development Center
c: 415-912-0118

Dear Chair Melgar and members of the Land Use and Transportation Committee,

The signed stakeholders are sending in their **support for Supervisor Peskin's legislation to implement a Special Use District (SUD) for the Tenderloin and Chinatown.** The attempt to prohibit market rate group housing from being proposed in these two neighborhoods through a Special Use District (SUD) is rooted in years of resident advocacy to encourage the development of family-sized units. **We kindly ask for the land use committee's support.**

The Tenderloin and Chinatown communities continue to have the highest concentration of low-income families in San Francisco, many of whom reside in SRO's. Additionally, these two neighborhoods contain most of the city's old and new SRO's and group housing projects that do not meet the current needs of the population. The purpose of the SUD is to encourage housing diversity and bring dwelling units to a community that has historically lacked adequate space and amenities.

While efforts to address the need for affordable family housing in Chinatown and the Tenderloin have been in place for some time, this work was accelerated after a large group housing proposal was introduced in the Tenderloin at 450 O'Farrell St. Resident voices were loud and clear that the need for one of the densest neighborhoods in the city was not more group housing, but affordable family units to address the issue of overcrowding and to create housing that would foster permanence and the opportunity to thrive. Through an intensive community process with the help of the Planning Department to understand the group housing type, we learned that these types of units, which cater to temporary residents, have the highest rate of turnover. Both the Tenderloin and Chinatown are in desperate need of stabilization and adequate housing to establish residents who want to invest in our communities.

Families who currently live in overcrowded conditions in units without access to full kitchens in Chinatown and the Tenderloin struggle with food security because they can't prepare their own meals at home. Access to the kitchen amenities needed to store fresh food and prepare meals from scratch are essential keys to food security for low-income families. Group housing units — which are defined by their lack of complete cooking and food storage amenities - perpetuate the food insecurity that exists in neighborhoods like Chinatown and the Tenderloin where many housing units lack access to complete kitchens. Residents who don't have the ability to store fresh foods at home or to cook meals from scratch must subsist on more expensive prepared or restaurant meals or less healthy processed foods that don't need to be refrigerated, furthering the income inequality and public health concerns in these neighborhoods.

In addition to the efforts during the 450 O'Farrell community process, the Planning Department staff recognized the glaring ambiguity in the group housing definition and worked alongside stakeholders to refine the definition to clarify the difference between group housing units and dwelling units. The Planning Department also worked with the California College of the Arts to study current group housing best practices that influenced the recent legislation that proposes a revision of the current definition of group housing, which we are also supportive of.

We urge you to support these planning code and zoning amendments to address inequities in access to adequate housing affordable to working families and to remove the ambiguity around the definition of group housing for future development.

In Support,

Tenderloin Housing Clinic
Tenderloin People's Congress
Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation
Central City SRO Collaborative
Chinatown Community Development Center
Chinatown SRO Family Collaborative