| 1 | [Urging the California Legislature to reject the governor's proposed shift of local VLF revenues and to honor the 1998 commitment to restore the VLF.] |
|----|--|
| 2 | revenues and to nonor the 1990 communent to restore the VLI. |
| 3 | Resolution urging the California Legislature to reject the governor's proposed shift of |
| 4 | local VLF revenues and to honor the 1998 commitment to restore the VLF. |
| 5 | local ver revenues and to nonor the 1330 communicity to restore the ver. |
| 6 | WHEREAS prior to 1025 pities and counting collected property tayon on motor |
| 7 | WHEREAS, prior to 1935, cities and counties collected property taxes on motor |
| 8 | vehicles to fund essential local public health and safety services; and |
| 9 | WHEREAS, in 1935, the Legislature first enacted the Vehicle License Fee (VLF) Act, |
| 10 | replacing the property tax on vehicles with a 1.75 percent fee charge against the value of the |
| 11 | motor vehicle; and, |
| 12 | WHEREAS, in 1948, the rate of the VLF was increased to 2 percent of the value of the |
| 13 | vehicle; and, |
| 14 | WHEREAS, in 1986, the voters voted overwhelmingly to constitutionally dedicate the |
| 15 | proceeds of the VLF to fund city and county services; and, |
| 16 | WHEREAS, in 1998, a period of strong economic growth, the Legislature approved the |
| 17 | use of a portion of the rapidly growing state General Fund to reduce the VLF payment of |
| 18 | vehicle owners. This amount of, known as the "offset", grew in future years to a 67.5 percent |
| 19 | offset against the amount owed. The amount paid to local governments in lieu of the reduced |
| 20 | VLF payment is known as the VLF backfill"; and, |
| 21 | WHEREAS, VLF and backfill revenues constitute 15 to 25 percent of typical city and |
| 22 | county general-purpose revenues. On average, more than 60 percent of city general fund |
| 23 | spending and more than half of county general fund go to front line law enforcement fire, |
| 24 | emergency medical services, and health care programs; and, |

25

| 1 | WHEREAS, revenues derived from the VLF and backfill are of critical importance in |
|----|--|
| 2 | funding vital local public health and safety services; and, |
| 3 | WHEREAS, any failure by the Legislature to maintain the VLF backfill or restore the |
| 4 | VLF will cause widespread disruption in local government services essential to the well-being |
| 5 | of California citizens and their cities and counties; and, |
| 6 | WHEREAS, Governor Davis' proposal to divert \$4 billion in local VLF backfill payments |
| 7 | over the next 17 months fail to honor the 1998 commitment and is a direct assault on local |
| 8 | services that will be felt by every California residents; and, |
| 9 | WHEREAS, shifting \$4.2 billion in locally controlled revenues for local services is |
| 10 | neither equitable nor fair. No state program or department has been asked to shoulder such |
| 11 | a disproportionate share of the budget pain. These cuts come on top of the nearly \$5 billion |
| 12 | each year that is transferred from local services to fund state obligations; and, |
| 13 | WHEREAS, the Speaker of the Assembly, Herb Wesson, will introduce legislation |
| 14 | today to restore the vehicle licensing fees to 1998 levels for the purpose of maintaining |
| 15 | funding to local governments to prevent devastating reductions in and elimination of critical |
| 16 | services; now, therefore, be it |
| 17 | RESOLVED, that the San Francisco Board of Supervisors supports such legislation |
| 18 | and urges the Legislature to pass legislation implementing provisions of current law providing |
| 19 | for the reduction of the LVF offset in bad economic times and to restore the VLF in an amount |
| 20 | necessary to reduce the VLF backfill; and, be it |
| 21 | FURTHER RESOLVED, that the City and County of San Francisco hereby expresses |
| 22 | its profound appreciation to the legislators who support such VLF restoration legislation. |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |