

File No. 220680

Committee Item No. 3

Board Item No. 7

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: Budget and Appropriations Committee Date June 17, 2022

Board of Supervisors Meeting Date July 19, 2022

Cmte Board

- Motion
- Resolution
- Ordinance
- Legislative Digest
- Budget and Legislative Analyst Report
- Youth Commission Report
- Introduction Form
- Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report
- MOU
- Grant Information Form
- Grant Budget
- Subcontract Budget
- Contract/Agreement
- Form 126 – Ethics Commission
- Award Letter
- Application
- Public Correspondence

OTHER (Use back side if additional space is needed)

- Bay Area Counties Resolution
- State Assembly Bill No. 767 9/6/2016
- 10-Day Fee Ad
- Presidential Action Memo – 30-Day Waiver 6/6/2022
- _____
- _____
- _____
- _____

Completed by: Brent Jalipa Date June 10, 2022

Completed by: Brent Jalipa Date June 27, 2022

[Administrative Code - Police Vehicle Theft Crime Fund Surcharge]

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to increase the surcharge on vehicles registered to a San Francisco address in accordance with California state law.

NOTE: **Unchanged Code text and uncodified text** are in plain Arial font. **Additions to Codes** are in *single-underline italics Times New Roman font*. **Deletions to Codes** are in *strikethrough italics Times New Roman font*. **Board amendment additions** are in double-underlined Arial font. **Board amendment deletions** are in ~~strikethrough Arial font~~. **Asterisks (* * * *)** indicate the omission of unchanged Code subsections or parts of tables.

Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

Section 1. The Administrative Code is hereby amended by revising Section 10.100-185, to read as follows:

SEC. 10.100-185. POLICE VEHICLE THEFT CRIMES FUND.

(a) *Imposition of Fee. Pursuant to California Vehicle Code Section 9250.14, the City and County of San Francisco authorizes the imposition of a fee of \$2 to be paid at the time of registration or renewal of registration of every vehicle registered to an address within the City except those expressly exempted under State law.*

(b) **Establishment of Fund.** The Police Vehicle Theft Crimes Fund is established as a category six fund to receive money ~~which reflects~~ collected under the California Department of Motor Vehicles' ~~\$12~~ surcharge on vehicles registered to a San Francisco address pursuant to California Vehicle Code Section 9250.14.

(c) **Use of Fund.** The Chief of Police shall use monies in the fund ~~shall be used~~ exclusively for deterring, investigating or prosecuting vehicle theft crimes, and consistent with

1 any state guidelines for their use. No costs which may be incurred by any City department in
2 administering this fund shall be recovered therefrom.

3 (ed) **Exceptions to Fund Category.** The Chief of Police may only authorize
4 expenditures from the fund following acceptance of monies by the Police Commission. The
5 balance remaining in this fund after January 1, 2000, ~~December 31, 1995,~~ shall be returned to the
6 State Controller for deposit in the Motor Vehicle account in the State Transportation Fund.

7
8 Section 2. Effective Date and Operative Date. This ordinance shall become effective
9 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor
10 returns the ordinance unsigned or does not sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it,
11 or the Board of Supervisors overrides the Mayor’s veto of the ordinance. This ordinance shall
12 not become operative until six months from the date that the Chief of Police certifies in writing
13 to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors that the Department has complied with the
14 submission requirement set forth in California Vehicle Code Section 9250.14.

15
16 Section 3. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors
17 intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles,
18 numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal
19 Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment
20 additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the “Note” that appears under

21 ///
22 ///
23 ///
24 ///
25 ///

1 the official title of the ordinance.

2

3 APPROVED AS TO FORM:
4 DAVID CHIU, City Attorney

5 By: /s/
6 ALICIA CABRERA
7 Deputy City Attorney

8 n:\legana\as2022\2200406\01608811.docx

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20


21

22

23

24

25



AGENDA _____ February 9, 2016

February 4, 2016

Honorable Board of Supervisors
County Administration Building
1221 Oak Street, Room 536
Oakland, CA 94612

**SUBJECT: ADOPT A RESOLUTION PURSUANT TO CALIFORNIA VEHICLE
CODE SECTION 9250.14 INCREASING THE COLLECTION OF
VEHICLE LICENSE FEES**

Dear Board Members:

RECOMMENDATION:

Adopt a resolution directing the California Department of Motor Vehicles to collect the vehicle license fee increase authorized by AB 767, (additional \$1 per vehicle licensed in the county) to be effective six months from submission of the authorizing resolution.

DISCUSSION/SUMMARY:

On December 3, 1991, the Alameda County Board of Supervisors passed resolution R-91-987, requesting that the California Department of Motor Vehicles implement Section 9250.14 of the California Vehicle Code and commence collection of a vehicle license fee of one dollar (\$1) for every vehicle registered to an address within Alameda County, except those expressly exempted from such fees. Collection of these vehicle license fees has continued, uninterrupted since that time. Use of these fees is restricted to fund programs that enhance the capacity of local police and prosecutors to deter, investigate, and prosecute vehicle theft crimes. In Alameda County, this funding currently supports the operations of the Alameda County Regional Auto Theft Task Force ("ACRATT"), for which the District Attorney serves as fiscal agent.

Effective January 1, 2014, AB 767 amended Vehicle Code section 9250.14. AB 767 authorized every county to increase its vehicle license fees from \$1 to \$2 per vehicle upon adoption of a resolution by its board of supervisors and submission of the resolution to the Department of Motor Vehicles. The funds are continuously appropriated to fund

local programs with the same restricted use: to enhance the capacity of local police and prosecutors to deter, investigate and prosecute vehicle theft crimes. AB 767 also eliminated the original January 1, 2018 repeal (sunset) date for this legislation and makes the provisions operative indefinitely.


The District Attorney is requesting a resolution directing the Department of Motor Vehicles to increase its collection of the current vehicle license fee for Alameda County from one dollar (\$1) to two dollars (\$2) as authorized by the amended Vehicle Code section 9250.14 and AB 767.

A copy of the approved resolution must be submitted to the Department of Motor Vehicles. The operative date of the fee increase will be six months after the Department's receipt of the resolution.

FINANCING:

This request will not impact the net County cost in FY 2015-16 or in future years.

Respectfully submitted,


Nancy E. O'Malley
District Attorney

**THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF ALAMEDA, STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

RESOLUTION NO: 2016-45

**RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA
ELECTING TO RECEIVE FUNDS UNDER VEHICLE CODE SECTION 9250.14**

WHEREAS, on December 3, 1991, the Alameda County Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution No. R-91-987 pursuant to Vehicle Code Section (VC §) 9250.14, authorizing a fee of one dollar (\$1.00) to be paid at the time of registration or renewal of registration of every vehicle registered to an address within the County except those expressly exempted from payment of registration fees; and

WHEREAS, on September 29, 2012, the Governor signed Assembly Bill 1404 amending VC § 9250.14 authorizing an increase of the one dollar (\$1.00) fee to two dollars (\$2.00) for the counties of Los Angeles, San Diego and San Bernardino, with a sunset clause of January 1, 2018 that was subsequently amended by Assembly Bill 767, approved by the Governor on September 6, 2013, authorizing the fee increase to apply to all participating counties and eliminating the sunset clause; and

WHEREAS, a resolution to increase the service fees shall be submitted to the Department of Motor Vehicles at least six months prior to the operative date of the fee increase; and

WHEREAS, funds allocated to a county under VC § 9250.14 shall be expended to fund programs which enhance the capacity of local police and prosecutors to deter, investigate and prosecute vehicle theft crimes and shall not be used to offset a reduction in any other funding source, nor for any other purpose not authorized under this section; and

WHEREAS, current revenues generated by this funding source are insufficient to effectively combat vehicle theft crime given there are over 12,000 vehicle thefts reported annually with an estimated loss to the County's residents of over \$64 million; and

WHEREAS, the County of Alameda desires to receive the additional funding authorized under VC § 9250.14 to be expended for the purposes set forth within said section;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of the County of Alameda, State of California, does hereby find and determine that a fee of two dollars (\$2.00) shall be paid at the time of registration or renewal of renewal of registration of every vehicle registered to an address within the County except those expressly exempted from payment of registration fees.


BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that any funds allocated to the County of Alameda under VC § 9250.14 shall be expended exclusively to fund programs which enhance the capacity of local police and prosecutors to deter, investigate and prosecute vehicle theft crimes and will not be used to offset a reduction in any other funding source, nor for any other purpose not authorized by this section.

THE FOREGOING WAS PASSED AND ADOPTED by a majority of the Alameda County Board of Supervisors this 9th day of February to wit:

AYES: SUPERVISORS: Carson, Chan, Miley, Valle & President Haggerty

NOES: SUPERVISORS: None

EXCUSED: SUPERVISORS: None




Scott Haggerty, President
Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
Donna Ziegler, County Counsel

By: RBailey, Deputy

By: 

Deputy Co. Counsel



JIM McDONNELL, SHERIFF

County of Los Angeles
Sheriff's Department Headquarters
4700 Ramona Boulevard
Monterey Park, California 91754-2169



A Tradition of Service

May 05, 2015

The Honorable Board of Supervisors
County of Los Angeles
383 Kenneth Hahn Hall of Administration
Los Angeles, California 90012

Dear Supervisors:

**RESOLUTION TO INCREASE VEHICLE REGISTRATION FEES TO FUND
LOS ANGELES COUNTY'S TASKFORCE FOR
REGIONAL AUTOTHEFT PREVENTION
(ALL DISTRICTS) (3 VOTES)**

SUBJECT

The Los Angeles County (County) Sheriff's Department (Department) requests that the Board adopt the attached Resolution authorizing an increase in vehicle registration fees pursuant to Assembly Bill 1404, to allow for an increase in funding for the County's Taskforce For Regional Autotheft Prevention (TRAP).

IT IS RECOMMENDED THAT THE BOARD:

Adopt the attached Resolution authorizing an increase in the vehicle registration fees paid at the time of registration or renewal of a vehicle registered to an address within the County from one dollar to two dollars, and from two dollars to four dollars on commercial vehicles, as authorized by Assembly Bill 1404, which amended California Vehicle Code (C.V.C.) Section 9250.14.

PURPOSE/JUSTIFICATION OF RECOMMENDED ACTION

On July 28, 1992, the Board adopted a resolution to impose a one dollar vehicle registration fee on vehicles, as authorized by Senate Bill 2139, codified in C.V.C. Section 9250.14. On September 29, 2000, the Legislature authorized the collection of an additional two dollar registration fee on commercial vehicles, effective January 1, 2001. These funds are used for the deterrence, investigation, and prosecution of vehicle theft in the County. Assembly Bill 1404 amended C.V.C. Section 9250.14, effective January 1, 2013, to authorize the Board, by Resolution, to increase the vehicle registration fees from one dollar to two dollars on vehicles, and from two dollars to four dollars on commercial vehicles.

The purpose of the increased funding is to raise the level of effectiveness TRAP has against the crime of auto theft. TRAP has been the County's vehicle theft program since 1993. TRAP was established under the direction of the Countywide Criminal Justice Coordination Committee (CCJCC) with the Sheriff designated as the regional coordinator. In 1993, TRAP consisted of more than 50 investigators from law enforcement agencies throughout the County. TRAP's budget, derived from the vehicle registration fees, funds Salaries and Employee Benefits, and other costs associated with TRAP.

During the last 21 years, TRAP has been reduced in size to stay within its operating budget. The number of registered vehicles in the County has risen slightly over time, and the rising personnel costs caused by simple inflation have far outpaced the growth of TRAP's budget. With the increased funding, TRAP will be able to assign more investigators and prosecutors to the taskforce, thereby having greater effectiveness in combating vehicle theft.

As of November 2014, six counties in California have approved similar resolutions and are now receiving increased vehicle registration fees. The counties include Sacramento, Marin, Santa Cruz, Sonoma, San Mateo, and Solano.

Implementation of Strategic Plan Goals

This request conforms to the County's Strategic Plan Goal 1, Operational Effectiveness/Fiscal Sustainability; and Goal 3, Integrated Services Delivery, by maximizing opportunities to measurably improve client and community outcomes, and leverage resources through the continuous integration of health, community, and public safety services.

FISCAL IMPACT/FINANCING

There will be no fiscal impact to the County's budget. The additional revenue generated from the vehicle registration fees will increase the effectiveness of TRAP. If approved, the additional revenues for TRAP will be approximately \$7.4 million annually and will be used to fund Salaries and Employee Benefits, and other costs associated with TRAP.

FACTS AND PROVISIONS/LEGAL REQUIREMENTS

The Legislature found this legislation necessary due to the operating revenue deficits that counties are facing in their vehicle theft programs.

Vehicle registration fees are collected by the California Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) and paid quarterly to the State Controller for disbursement to the County. Vehicle registration fees collected and allocated to the County shall be expended exclusively to fund programs that enhance the capacity of local police and prosecutors to deter, investigate, and prosecute vehicle theft crimes. The revenue collected shall not be expended to offset a reduction in any other source of funds, nor for any purpose not authorized under C.V.C. Section 9250.14.

The attached Resolution authorizes the increase in the vehicle registration fees and requests the DMV commence collection of the increased vehicle registration fees as soon as reasonably practicable. Pursuant to C.V.C. Section 9250.14, the Resolution shall be submitted to the DMV at least six months prior to the operative date of the fee increase.

The attached Resolution has been approved as to form by County Counsel.

Proposition 26

Proposition 26 defines "tax" as a "levy, charge, or exaction of any kinds" paid by taxpayers subject to certain conditions. Local governments must show that a charge is reasonable and falls under a permissible exception. Such exceptions include, but are not limited to, charges imposed for the reasonable regulatory costs to a local government for performing investigations and the related administrative enforcement thereof. The vehicle registration fees allocated to the TRAP program qualifies as a regulatory fee for the deterrence, investigation, and prosecution of vehicle theft crimes. The increased fees do not exceed the reasonable costs to the County for TRAP.

IMPACT ON CURRENT SERVICES (OR PROJECTS)

Approval of the recommended action will allow for TRAP to increase its effectiveness in deterring, investigating, and prosecuting vehicle theft. No other County departments are impacted by this request.

CONCLUSION

Upon Board approval, please return a certified copy of the adopted Board letter and two original signed Resolutions to the Department's Administrative and Training Division.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jim McDonnell". The signature is stylized with a large, looping initial "J" and "M".

JIM McDONNELL

Sheriff

JM:RJS:jde

Enclosures

**RESOLUTION
OF
LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
TO INCREASE VEHICLE REGISTRATION FEES
TO FUND VEHICLE THEFT PROGRAM**

WHEREAS, on July 28, 1992, the Board of Supervisors adopted a resolution imposing a one dollar (\$1) vehicle registration fee, as authorized by Senate Bill 2139, codified at California Vehicle Code section 9250.14, to fund vehicle theft programs in the County of Los Angeles (County); and

WHEREAS, the Sheriff of Los Angeles County is the regional coordinator for the deterrence, investigation, and prosecution of vehicle theft in the County, and the Taskforce for Regional Autotheft Prevention (TRAP) has been the County vehicle theft program since 1992; and

WHEREAS, on September 29, 2000, the Legislature enacted the Commercial Vehicle Registration Act of 2001, which amended California Vehicle Code section 9250.14, effective January 1, 2001, to impose the collection of an additional two dollar (\$2) vehicle registration fee on commercial vehicles subject to California Vehicle Code section 9400.1; and

WHEREAS, Assembly Bill 1404, chaptered September 29, 2012, amended California Vehicle Code section 9250.14, effective January 1, 2013, authorizing the Board of Supervisors to increase the vehicle registration fees, which are payable at the time of registration or renewal of registration of a vehicle registered to an address within the County, due to the operating revenue deficits facing the County vehicle theft program.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors authorizes the increase in vehicle registration fees from one dollar (\$1) to two dollars (\$2) on vehicles and from two dollars (\$2) to four dollars (\$4) on commercial vehicles, as authorized by California Vehicle Code section 9250.14.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors formally requests that the California Department of Motor Vehicles implement and commence collection of the increased vehicle registration fees authorized hereunder, as soon as reasonably practicable, in accordance with California Vehicle Code section 9250.14.

**RESOLUTION
OF
LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
TO INCREASE VEHICLE REGISTRATION FEES
TO FUND VEHICLE THEFT PROGRAM**

The foregoing Resolution was on the _____ day of _____, 2015, adopted by the Board of Supervisors of Los Angeles County and ex officio the governing body of all other special assessment and taxing districts, agencies, and authorities for which said Board so acts.

PATRICK OGAWA
Acting Executive Officer-Clerk
of the Board of Supervisors of
the County of Los Angeles

By: _____
Deputy

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
MARK J. SALADINO
County Counsel

By: 
Principal Deputy County Counsel

RESOLUTION NO. 2016-127

RESOLUTION OF THE NAPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FOR INCREASING THE VEHICLE REGISTRATION ASSESSMENT FOR ENHANCED EFFORTS TO PROSECUTE CRIMES INVOLVING VEHICLE THEFT, DRIVING UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF ALCOHOL AND/OR DRUGS, OR VEHICULAR MANSLAUGHTER.

WHEREAS, Section 9250.14 of the California Vehicle Code previously authorized Napa County to collect one dollar (\$1.00) for every motor vehicle, and two dollars (\$2.00) for every commercial vehicle, registered to an address within Napa County and for registration renewals, except those expressly exempted from payment of registration fees, upon adoption of a resolution by the Board of Supervisors;

WHEREAS, on May 1, 2001, the Napa County Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution Number 01-57, which authorized the collection of fees authorized by California Vehicle Code Section 9250.14;

WHEREAS, in 2013, AB 767 revised Section 9250.14 of the California Vehicle Code to authorize counties that previously adopted a resolution imposing said fees to, upon adoption of another resolution by its board of supervisors, increase the previous one dollar (\$1.00) motor vehicle fee to two dollars (\$2.00), and increase the two dollar (\$2.00) commercial vehicle fee to four dollars (\$4.00), and that such fees would be paid at the time of registration or renewal of registration of every vehicle registered to an address within that county, except those expressly exempted from payment of registration fees;

WHEREAS, revenues generated from said collection are to be expended for programs which enhance the capacity of Napa County prosecutors to deter, investigate, and prosecute vehicle theft crimes, or crimes involving driving under the influence of alcohol or drugs, or vehicular manslaughter;

WHEREAS, the District Attorney's Office currently devotes a considerable amount of time to investigating and prosecuting the above-referenced crimes, which represents a cost to the County general fund;

WHEREAS, the average annual revenues from the fees set at the current amounts are significantly lower than the projected costs that the District Attorney's Office expects to incur for the investigation and prosecution of vehicular crimes;

WHEREAS, Section 9250.14 of the California Vehicle Code requires counties to submit a resolution increasing the above-referenced fees to the Department of Motor Vehicles at least six months prior to the operative date of the fee increase;

WHEREAS, at least ten days prior to the date this resolution is to be considered, data was made available to the public indicating the amount of cost, or estimated cost, required to provide the service for which the fee or service charge is levied and the revenue sources anticipated to provide the service, including general fund revenues; and

WHEREAS, at least fourteen days prior to the date this resolution is to be considered, notice was mailed to those persons who had requested same.

WHEREAS, notice of the hearing of the proposed fee increase was published twice in the manner set forth in Section 6062a of the Government Code.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Napa County Board of Supervisors that pursuant to law:

1. Request is hereby made to the California Department of Motor Vehicles to implement the provisions of Section 9250.14 and commence collection of two dollars (\$2.00) for motor vehicles and four dollars (\$4.00) for commercial vehicles to be paid at the time of registration or renewal of registration of every vehicle registered to an address within Napa County, except for those vehicles expressly exempted from payment of registration fees;
2. The Board finds this Resolution is statutorily exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act pursuant to Title 14, California Code of Regulations, section 15273(a)(1) and (2);
3. The increase of fees authorized by this Resolution shall become effective on July 1, 2017.

THE FOREGOING RESOLUTION WAS DULY AND REGULARLY ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of Napa County, State of California, at a regular meeting of the Board held on the 13th day of September, 2016, by the following vote:

AYES:	SUPERVISORS	CALDWELL, WAGENKNECHT, DILLON, LUCE and PEDROZA
NOES:	SUPERVISORS	NONE
ABSENT:	SUPERVISORS	NONE

NAPA COUNTY, a political subdivision of the State of California

By: _____
ALFREDO PEDROZA, Chairman of the Board of Supervisors

<p>APPROVED AS TO FORM Office of County Counsel</p> <p>By: <u>Tivonna Stern</u> Deputy</p> <p>Date: <u>September 2, 2016</u></p>	<p>APPROVED BY THE NAPA COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS</p> <p>Date: September 13, 2016</p> <p>Processed By: _____ Deputy Clerk of the Board</p>	<p>ATTEST: GLADYS I. COIL Clerk of the Board of Supervisors</p> <p>By: _____</p>
--	--	--

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE, STATE OF CALIFORNIA**



ITEM
3.20
(ID # 8036)

MEETING DATE:

Tuesday, October 30, 2018

FROM : SHERIFF-CORONER-PA:

SUBJECT: SHERIFF-CORONER-PA: Adopt Resolution No. 2018-201, as Required by the State, to Implement an Increase in the Current Registration Assessment on Motor Vehicles Registered in Riverside County; All Districts; No County Cost [\$0].

RECOMMENDED MOTION: That the Board of Supervisors:

1. Adopt Resolution No. 2018-201 implementing an increase in vehicle registration fees from one dollar (\$1.00) to two dollars (\$2.00) for all motor vehicles registered in Riverside County, with the funds allocated to deter, investigate and prosecute vehicle theft crime as authorized by California Vehicle Code Section 9250.14.

ACTION: Policy


Ginika Ezinwa, Deputy Director, Administration

10/23/2018


Will Taylor, Director of Administration

10/23/2018

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE,
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

FINANCIAL DATA	Current Fiscal Year:	Next Fiscal Year:	Total Cost:	Ongoing Cost
COST	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
NET COUNTY COST	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
SOURCE OF FUNDS:			Budget Adjustment:	No
			For Fiscal Year:	FY18-19

C.E.O. RECOMMENDATION: Approve

BR# 19-027

BACKGROUND:

Summary

On May 14, 1991, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution 91-265 to collect a one-dollar fee added to all vehicle registrations in the County and a two-dollar fee added to all commercial vehicles weighing 10,001 lbs. or more, pursuant to California Vehicle Code Section 9250.14. Per Section 9250.14, the fees collected were earmarked for programs designed to enhance the deterrence, investigation and prosecution of vehicle theft crimes. Based on the recommendation of the Riverside County Law Enforcement Administrators Association (RCLEAA), these funds were directed in equal amounts to the Sheriff's Department and the District Attorney. The Sheriff's Department created Trust Fund 5097 (now sub-fund 321147) to account for its share. In August 9 1993, the Sheriff's Department received Board approval (3.58) to use the trust funds to create the Riverside Auto-Theft Interdiction Detail (RAID), a multi-jurisdictional vehicle theft task force. Per its most recent Memorandum of Understanding (MOU), RAID interfaces with the California Highway Patrol (CHP), the Department of Insurance, the National Insurance Crime Bureau, and all agencies in Riverside County for the sharing of information related to vehicle theft.

The RAID MOU specifies that the Sheriff, or his designee, shall serve as Director and Chairperson of the Task Force Executive Committee. A full-time CHP Lieutenant is specified as the Task Force Coordinator. The Sheriff's Department contributes a Sergeant, who serves as the Task Force Supervisor. The Task Force has Investigators from the following agencies: Riverside County Sheriff's Department, California Highway Patrol, Department of Insurance, National Insurance Crime Bureau, District Attorney's Office, Murrieta Police Department, Riverside Police Department and Cathedral City Police Department. Currently, the Sheriff's Department and the Murrieta, Riverside and Cathedral City Police Departments sworn personnel costs of salaries and benefits are reimbursed from the sub-fund at 70%.

In February of 2009, Assembly Bill 286 was approved by the Legislature, which amended California Vehicle Code Section 9250.14 to ensure continued funding for RAID until January 1, 2018. On September 6, 2013, Governor Brown approved Assembly Bill 767, which amended Vehicle Code Section 9250.14. The amended Vehicle Code Section authorizes every county,

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE,
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

upon adoption of a resolution by its County Board of Supervisors, to increase its motor vehicle registration fee from one dollar to two dollars. Additionally, it authorizes every county to increase its commercial motor vehicle registration fee from two dollars to four dollars. The passage of AB 767 removed the January 1, 2018, date of repeal, thereby, making these provisions operative indefinitely. The operative date of the fee changes must be at least six months after the date of submission of the resolution to the Department of Motor Vehicles.

The source of revenue and the distribution of funds to both RAID and the District Attorney's Office has not changed over the 25-year history of the Task Force. The number of registered vehicles in the County has risen slightly over time, however, rising personnel costs caused by salary and benefit increases have far outpaced the growth of RAID's budget. The current revenue received through vehicle registration fees is not adequate to meet the workload generated by the criminal activity of vehicle theft suspects. Additionally, due to budgetary constraints affecting municipalities throughout the County, participation in RAID by allied law enforcement agencies has slightly decreased in recent years. In an effort to stay within its operational budget, RAID has reduced overtime hours allotted to its investigators, and has decreased salary and benefits reimbursement rates to the parent agencies of RAID investigators. Further reductions, if necessary, will likely result in the loss of additional personnel currently assigned to RAID.

Recently, Los Angeles and San Bernardino Counties have approved similar resolutions and are now receiving increased vehicle registration fees and have increased the size of their vehicle theft task forces. As of July 2018, a total of twelve (12) counties have approved resolutions to receive additional vehicle registration fees used to combat vehicle theft. The counties include: Los Angeles, San Bernardino, Sacramento, Marin, Santa Cruz, Sonoma, San Mateo, Solano, Kings, Alameda, Napa, and Santa Clara.

Due to the rising number of vehicle thefts occurring throughout Riverside County, numerous city councils, Chiefs of Police, and Law Enforcement Executive Associations have provided written support in favor of the additional one-dollar fee as outlined in AB 767 for the RAID Task Force. Additionally, several regional auto insurance companies have provided written support for an expansion of the RAID Task Force.

The additional revenue generated from vehicle registration fees will increase the effectiveness of RAID and would allow for an expansion of Task Force personnel.

One additional sergeant position and four additional investigator positions would be added to the Task Force. Each added position, along with current positions from the Sheriff's Department, and Murrieta, Riverside and Cathedral City Police Departments, would be reimbursed from the sub-fund at 80%. The purchase of additional equipment would also be necessary to support the additional positions.

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE,
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

Additional personnel assigned to the RAID Task Force would foreseeably result in an increase in apprehension rates of vehicle theft suspects, an increase in successful recoveries of stolen vehicles, and conceivably a reduction to insurance premiums currently paid by County residents. Task Force members would also provide training to allied law enforcement agencies and much needed vehicle theft awareness and preventative measure education to the residents of Riverside County, in an effort to further reduce the incidence of vehicle theft.

Previously, neither the Sheriff nor the District Attorney has requested that the Board resolve to impose an increase in vehicle registration fees as outlined in AB 767. However, pursuant to AB 767, both the Sheriff and the District Attorney recommends the imposition of the one-dollar increase of registration fees to address the rising rate of vehicle theft throughout Riverside County.

Impact on Residents and Businesses

Since 1993, the RAID Task Force has provided an essential crime fighting service to the county residents and businesses. As stated in the RAID MOU: “Vehicle theft continues to be widespread throughout the county and requires our continuing efforts to curtail this criminal activity. It is recognized that the continued existence of the RAID Task Force is a valuable resource to county law enforcement agencies in their collective efforts to deter vehicle theft activity, increase the apprehension and identification of the professional vehicle thief, increase the recovery of stolen vehicles, and educate the citizens of Riverside County in vehicle theft prevention.”

If approved, the additional revenue generated will be approximately \$2 million, with funds being divided equally between the Sheriff’s Department and the District Attorney’s Office used to deter, investigate, and prosecute vehicle theft crimes. There will be no fiscal impact to the County’s budget. At the time of vehicle registration, vehicles registered in Riverside County will be assessed an additional \$1.00 fee.

Additional Information

Current Vehicle Theft Trends

In 2017, 175,351 vehicles were stolen statewide. The estimated total value of the vehicles is approximately \$1.3 billion. In 2017, Riverside County experienced 10,414 vehicle thefts. One vehicle is stolen every 50 minutes in Riverside County.

Riverside County Vehicle Thefts	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Total # of Vehicles Stolen	10,070	9,521	11,265	11,920	10,414

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE,
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

# of Vehicles Stolen Daily	27.59	26.08	30.86	32.65	28.53
# of Vehicles Stolen Hourly	1.15	1.09	1.3	1.36	1.18
One Vehicle Stolen Every	52 minutes	55minutes	47 minutes	44 minutes	50 minutes

In 2016, the metropolitan statistical area of Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario ranked #5 nationally in the number of vehicle thefts per 100,000 residents, up from the #9 position in 2015.

2016 National Ranking		Vehicle Thefts per 100,000 residents
1.	Albuquerque, N.M.	(10,011) 1,114
2.	Pueblo, Colo.	(1,325) 899.43
3.	Bakersfield, Calif.	(7,176) 854.66
4.	Modesto, Calif.	(3,820) 767.69
5.	Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario, Calif.	(25,708) 679.05
6.	Anchorage, Alaska	(2,273) 669.38
7.	Merced, Calif.	(1,605) 660.65
8.	San Francisco-Oakland-Hayward, Calif.	(29,414) 640.26
9.	Fresno, Calif.	(5,682) 631.79
10.	Billings, Mont.	(877) 625.38

Source: National Crime Information Center (NCIC).

Success of the RAID Task Force

The RAID Task Force maintains an outstanding reputation for excellent service and for the quality of its investigative work. Since its inception in 1993, the RAID Task Force has been the recipient of numerous vehicle theft awards. Most recently in October 2017, the RAID Task Force was awarded the prestigious “**Gary Gray Award**” for *Excellence In Vehicle Theft Investigations.*” The RAID Task Force continues its availability as a resource to all communities within Riverside County, by providing the highest level of service to local law enforcement agencies, in an effort to further reduce the incidence of vehicle theft countywide.

**SUBMITTAL TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS COUNTY OF RIVERSIDE,
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

ATTACHMENTS:

- Resolution No. 2018-201
- 31 Letters in Support


Ryan Carter, Principal Management Analyst

10/24/2018


Gregory H. Priarios, Director County Counsel

10/16/2018



COUNTY OF SAN MATEO
Inter-Departmental Correspondence
Sheriff's Office



Date: July 2, 2014
Board Meeting Date: September 9, 2014
Special Notice / Hearing: None
Vote Required: Majority

To: Honorable Board of Supervisors

From: Greg Munks, Sheriff

Subject: Vehicle Registration Fee Increase

RECOMMENDATION:

Adopt a Resolution authorizing an increase in the vehicle registration fee by one dollar (\$1) for each vehicle registered within the County of San Mateo for the exclusive purpose of enhancing local law enforcement's capacity to deter and investigate vehicle theft crimes.

BACKGROUND:

On February 15, 1994, the San Mateo County Board of Supervisors unanimously adopted Resolution 58024 authorizing the Department of Motor Vehicles for the State of California to impose a one dollar vehicle registration fee on all vehicles registered in San Mateo County under the provisions of SB 2139 (Vehicle Code Section 9250.14). The vehicle registration fee of one dollar, per vehicle registered in San Mateo County, has been used to fund the San Mateo County Vehicle Theft Task Force (VTTF) for the past twenty years.

The level of funding provided by the current DMV surcharge fee has failed to match the level of inflation and the increasing rate of operational costs for the VTTF. When the 1994 Resolution was adopted, the amount of revenue generated from the one dollar fee was able to fully fund the various staff costs associated with the auto theft initiatives. However, the revenue generated by the vehicle registration fee has remained largely flat for the past 20 years, while personnel costs have increased as has the demand for the regulatory activities undertaken by the VTTF.

In addition to the cost of providing staff to the County's Vehicle Theft Task Force, the Sheriff's Office also incurs the expense of supplying necessary services and supplies to that Unit, such as undercover vehicles, bait cars, and miscellaneous office equipment. For FY 2012-13, the revenue from the DMV surcharge was \$693,063 and for FY 2013-14, the revenue was \$703,791.

DISCUSSION:

Assembly Bill 767, which was enacted in September 2013, authorizes each California county, upon adoption of a resolution by its elected Board of Supervisors, to increase the amount collected in support of its auto theft prevention and investigation efforts by one dollar, to a new total of two dollars per vehicle registered within that county.

Adoption of this Resolution will effectively double the amount of money being allocated to San Mateo County and allow the San Mateo County Vehicle Theft Task Force to continue with this valuable program, both now, and into the foreseeable future.

For fiscal years 2012/2013 and 2013/2014, the revenue from the DMV \$1 surcharge was \$677,918. Upon approval from the San Mateo County Board of Supervisors to increase the vehicle registration fee to \$2.00, the estimate revenue would increase to \$1,433,360 (approximate and based on the number of vehicles registered in San Mateo County).

Vehicle Code Section 9250.14 mandates that all revenue raised “be expended exclusively to fund programs that enhance the capacity of local police and prosecutors to deter, investigate, and prosecute vehicle theft crimes.” The Sheriff’s Office has complied with this mandate and will continue to comply with the mandate if the fee is increased, by expending the \$1,433,360 on regulatory/investigatory activities related to vehicle theft prevention. The Sheriff’s Office anticipates that the VTTF budget for the Fiscal Year 2015-16 budget will be approximately \$1,400,000.

County Counsel has reviewed and approved the Resolution as to form.

Approval of this Resolution contributes to the Shared Vision 2025 outcome of a Collaborative Community by giving to the County, the quality of life necessary for prosperity and the ability to work in partnerships, to take a greater responsibility for the future quality of life.

Performance Measures:

Measure	FY 2013-14 Actual	FY 2014-15 Projected
Number of recovered stolen vehicles	144	200
Value of vehicles recovered	\$1,539,009	\$2 million

FISCAL IMPACT:

The increase in the vehicle registration fee from one dollar to two dollars will support Countywide vehicle theft prevention and investigations. There is no Net County Cost.



County of Sonoma
Agenda Item
Summary Report

Clerk of the Board
575 Administration Drive
Santa Rosa, CA 95403

Agenda Item Number: 8
(This Section for use by Clerk of the Board Only.)

To: County of Sonoma Board of Supervisors

Board Agenda Date: January 7, 2014

Vote Requirement: Majority

Department or Agency Name(s): District Attorney's Office

Staff Name and Phone Number:

Cindy Williams, x2818

Supervisorial District(s):

1, 2, 3, 4, and 5

Title: Sonoma County Auto Theft Task Force Vehicle License Registration Fee Increase and New Commercial Vehicle License Fee

Recommended Actions:

Resolution approving the State of California Department of Motor Vehicles registration fee increase from \$1 to \$2 and approving a \$4 fee on all commercial vehicle registrations in Sonoma County per Section 9250.14 of the California Vehicle Code to recover the reasonable cost of providing vehicle theft prevention and prosecution services.

Executive Summary:

On June 23, 1992, the Board of Supervisors approved the collection of a \$1.00 fee from the State of California Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) per Section 9250.14 of the California Vehicle Code. This \$1.00 fee has provided funding since July 1998 for the Sonoma County Auto Theft Task Force (Auto Theft Task Force) to address vehicle theft-related crimes in Sonoma County through a collaborative effort between the District Attorney's office, the California Highway Patrol, the Santa Rosa Police Department, and the Petaluma Police Department.

Since 2010, Sonoma County has experienced an increasing trend of vehicle theft. Statistics from the CHP Vehicle Theft information show the following rates:

<u>Year</u>	<u>Number</u>	<u>Change</u>
2010	615	-
2011	632	2.76%
2012	669	5.85%

As you can see from the table below, the current FY 13/14 allocated budget for the Auto Theft Task Force Program does not currently cover the total actual program costs. The vehicle registration license fee cost increase is necessary to come closer to recovering the actual program costs:

	FY 13/14 Allocated Budget	Actual Program Costs	(Deficit)/ Surplus
Staff:			
1.0 DDA	\$ 100,000	\$ 197,555	(\$97,555)
1.0 Support Staff	\$ 95,177	\$ 95,177	\$0
1.0 SRPD	\$ 50,000	\$ 176,887	(\$126,887)
0.50 SRPD	\$ 37,500	\$ 94,719	(\$57,219)
1.0 Petaluma PD	\$ 50,000	\$ 145,050	(\$95,050)
3.0 CHP Staff (1 SGT 2 Detectives)	\$ -	\$ 496,506	(\$496,506)
Staff Total:	\$ 332,677	\$ 1,205,894	(\$873,217)
Total Operation Costs	\$ 111,926	\$ 111,926	\$0
Total Program Costs	\$ 444,603	\$ 1,317,820	(\$873,217)

The Auto Theft Task Force is governed by a Task Force Council, consisting of the District Attorney, the Sheriff, the Santa Rosa Police Chief, the Petaluma Police Chief, and the Chief of the Golden Gate Division of the California Highway Patrol. The CHP is the lead law enforcement agency, with the District Attorney's Office assuming the responsibility for coordinating and managing the Task Force budget and purchases.

The adopted budget for the Auto Theft Task Force project is \$445,000 for FY 2013-2014. The FY 2013-2014 MOU provides \$100,000 towards the salary and benefits of a staff Deputy District Attorney (approximately 50% of an FTE) and \$95,000 towards the salary and benefits of a staff Secretary (approximately 100% of an FTE), both allocated to the Office of the District Attorney. District Attorney personnel costs in excess of the MOU limits are covered by General Fund dollars. The MOU also provides \$50,000 and \$37,500 to the Santa Rosa Police Department towards the salaries and benefits of a detective and field technician, respectively, and \$50,000 to the Petaluma Police Department towards personnel costs of a detective. Operating expenses are budgeted at \$112,500 for the current fiscal year. The current budget does not include salary and benefit expenses associated with CHP staff. The current fee does not provide sufficient resources to adequately address the growing vehicle theft problem affecting Sonoma County residents.

On September 6, 2013, Governor Brown approved AB 767 which amended Section 9250.14 and states, "This bill would authorize every county to increase its motor vehicle fee from \$1 to \$2, and its commercial vehicle service fee from \$2 to \$4, upon adoption of the resolution by its board of supervisors, and submission of the resolution to the department..." The resolution must be submitted to DMV six (6) months prior to the operative date of the fee increase.

The Auto Theft Task Force is requesting the Board of Supervisors approve the fee increase from \$1.00 to \$2.00 and approve a new fee for commercial vehicle registration of \$4.00 to recover the reasonable cost of providing vehicle theft prevention and prosecution services to the registered vehicle owners. The

commercial vehicle fee has not previously been collected by the County; however, due to the increased incidence of commercial vehicle theft, the District Attorney's Office is requesting the approval of the new fee allowance as authorized by the new legislation.

With the additional revenue from these fees, the task force will enhance the program and will allocate funding for additional staff resources, overtime costs, and needed equipment. A new Memorandum of Understanding will be prepared and presented to the Board in the Spring of 2014 and budget appropriations will be requested in the FY 14/15 budget.

Prior Board Actions:

- 12/07/2010: Authorized the District Attorney to execute an MOU between the DA's Office, California Highway Patrol, Petaluma Police Dept., and Santa Rosa Dept. for a term of four years through Fiscal Year 2013-2014.
- Annual items authorizing the District Attorney's Office to execute Memoranda of Understanding for Sonoma Auto Theft Task Force from 1998-2009.
- 06/23/1992 BOS Resolution No. 92-1040: In accordance with State of California Department of Motor Vehicles Section 9250.14 of the California Vehicle Code, approving the collection of a \$1.00 fee on each paid vehicle registration in Sonoma County.

Strategic Plan Alignment Goal 1: Safe, Healthy, and Caring Community

Funds from the vehicle registration fee and commercial vehicle registration fee will be utilized to support the Sonoma County Auto Theft Task Force to reduce the incidence of vehicle theft-related crimes in Sonoma County.

Fiscal Summary - FY 13-14

Expenditures		Funding Source(s)	
Budgeted Amount	\$ 0	County General Fund	\$ 0
Add Appropriations Req'd.	\$ 0	State/Federal	\$ 0
	\$ 0	Fees/Other	\$ 0
	\$ 0	Use of Fund Balance	\$ 0
	\$ 0	Contingencies	\$ 0
	\$ 0		\$ 0
Total Expenditure	\$ 0	Total Sources	\$ 0

Narrative Explanation of Fiscal Impacts (If Required):

The fee increase will not go into effect until 7/7/2014. The California Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV) requires a Board Resolution be submitted six months prior to the fee increase implementation. The most recent DMV report estimates that there were 311,845 automobiles and 49,642 trucks registered in Sonoma County in calendar year 2012. Additionally, in 2012, approximately 8% of registered vehicles statewide were identified under Commercial Vehicle Registration Act designation. Based on these statistics, DA's Office forecasts that the Auto Theft Task Force budget will be \$732,000 in FY 14/15; funds will be appropriated in the FY 14/15 budget.

Staffing Impacts			
Position Title (Payroll Classification)	Monthly Salary Range (A – I Step)	Additions (Number)	Deletions (Number)
N/A			
Narrative Explanation of Staffing Impacts (If Required):			
Not applicable at this time; staffing impacts will be addressed with the new MOU to be submitted in Spring 2014.			
Attachments:			
None			
Related Items "On File" with the Clerk of the Board:			
None			

Assembly Bill No. 767

CHAPTER 241

An act to amend Section 9250.14 of the Vehicle Code, relating to vehicles, and making an appropriation therefor.

[Approved by Governor September 6, 2013. Filed with
Secretary of State September 6, 2013.]

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 767, Levine. Vehicles: additional registration fees: vehicle-theft crimes.

Existing law, effective until January 1, 2018, authorizes a county, upon the adoption of a resolution by its board of supervisors, to impose a fee of \$1 on all motor vehicles, in addition to other fees imposed for the registration of a vehicle, and an additional service fee of \$2 on commercial motor vehicles of 10,001 pounds or more, as specified. These funds are continuously appropriated to local programs relating to vehicle theft crimes. Existing law authorizes the County of Los Angeles, the County of San Diego, and the County of San Bernardino to increase the motor vehicle fee from \$1 to \$2, and provides that the service fee on commercial motor vehicles shall increase from \$2 to \$4, upon adoption of a resolution by its board of supervisors, and requires the resolution to be submitted to the Department of Motor Vehicles at least 6 months prior to the operative date of the fee increase.

This bill would authorize every county to increase its motor vehicle fee from \$1 to \$2, and its commercial vehicle service fee from \$2 to \$4, upon adoption of a resolution by its board of supervisors, and submission of the resolution to the department, as described above. The bill would also authorize a county to adopt a fee of \$2 on all major vehicles if that county has not adopted a resolution to impose a \$1 fee, and by adopting that fee, imposing a \$4 fee on all commercial vehicle services. The bill would delete the January 1, 2018, date of repeal and thereby make these provisions operative indefinitely. The bill would make other technical and conforming changes.

This bill would add a new source of revenue to be deposited into a continuously appropriated fund, thereby making an appropriation.

Appropriation: yes.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. Section 9250.14 of the Vehicle Code is amended to read:

9250.14. (a) (1) In addition to any other fees specified in this code and the Revenue and Taxation Code, upon the adoption of a resolution by any county board of supervisors, a fee of one dollar (\$1) shall be paid at the time of registration or renewal of registration of every vehicle, except vehicles described in subdivision (a) of Section 5014.1, registered to an address within that county except those expressly exempted from payment of registration fees. The fees, after deduction of the administrative costs incurred by the department in carrying out this section, shall be paid quarterly to the Controller.

(2) (A) If a county has adopted a resolution to impose a one-dollar (\$1) fee pursuant to paragraph (1), the county may increase the fee specified in paragraph (1) to two dollars (\$2) in the same manner as the imposition of the initial fee pursuant to paragraph (1). The two dollars (\$2) shall be paid at the time of registration or renewal of registration of a vehicle, and quarterly to the Controller, as provided in paragraph (1).

(B) If a county has not adopted a resolution to impose a one-dollar (\$1) fee pursuant to paragraph (1), the county may instead adopt a fee of two dollars (\$2) in the manner prescribed in paragraph (1).

(C) A resolution to impose a fee of two dollars (\$2) pursuant to subparagraph (A) or (B) shall be submitted to the department at least six months prior to the operative date of the fee increase.

(3) In addition to the service fee imposed pursuant to paragraph (1), and upon the implementation of the permanent trailer identification plate program, and as part of the Commercial Vehicle Registration Act of 2001 (Chapter 861 of the Statutes of 2000), all commercial motor vehicles subject to Section 9400.1 registered to an owner with an address in the county that established a service authority under this section, shall pay an additional service fee of two dollars (\$2).

(4) (A) If a county imposes a service fee of two dollars (\$2) by adopting a resolution pursuant to subparagraph (A) or (B) of paragraph (2), the fee specified in paragraph (3) shall be increased to four dollars (\$4). The four dollars (\$4) shall be paid at the time of registration or renewal of registration of a vehicle, and quarterly to the Controller as provided in paragraph (1).

(B) A resolution to increase the additional service fee from two dollars (\$2) to four dollars (\$4) pursuant to subparagraph (A) or (B) of paragraph (2) shall be submitted to the department at least six months prior to the operative date of the fee increase.

(b) Notwithstanding Section 13340 of the Government Code, the moneys paid to the Controller are continuously appropriated, without regard to fiscal years, for the administrative costs of the Controller, and for disbursement by the Controller to each county that has adopted a resolution pursuant to subdivision (a), based upon the number of vehicles registered, or whose registration is renewed, to an address within that county.

(c) Except as otherwise provided in this subdivision, moneys allocated to a county pursuant to subdivision (b) shall be expended exclusively to fund programs that enhance the capacity of local police and prosecutors to deter, investigate, and prosecute vehicle theft crimes. In any county with a

population of 250,000 or less, the moneys shall be expended exclusively for those vehicle theft crime programs and for the prosecution of crimes involving driving while under the influence of alcohol or drugs, or both, in violation of Section 23152 or 23153, or vehicular manslaughter in violation of Section 191.5 of the Penal Code or subdivision (c) of Section 192 of the Penal Code, or any combination of those crimes.

(d) The moneys collected pursuant to this section shall not be expended to offset a reduction in any other source of funds, nor for any purpose not authorized under this section.

(e) Any funds received by a county prior to January 1, 2000, pursuant to this section, that are not expended to deter, investigate, or prosecute crimes pursuant to subdivision (c) shall be returned to the Controller, for deposit in the Motor Vehicle Account in the State Transportation Fund. Those funds received by a county shall be expended in accordance with this section.

(f) Each county that adopts a resolution under subdivision (a) shall submit, on or before the 13th day following the end of each quarter, a quarterly expenditure and activity report to the designated statewide Vehicle Theft Investigation and Apprehension Coordinator in the Department of the California Highway Patrol.

(g) A county that imposes a fee under subdivision (a) shall issue a fiscal yearend report to the Controller on or before August 31 of each year. The report shall include a detailed accounting of the funds received and expended in the immediately preceding fiscal year, including, at a minimum, all of the following:

(1) The amount of funds received and expended by the county under subdivision (b) for the immediately preceding fiscal year.

(2) The total expenditures by the county under subdivision (c) for the immediately preceding fiscal year.

(3) Details of expenditures made by the county under subdivision (c), including salaries and expenses, purchase of equipment and supplies, and any other expenditures made listed by type with an explanatory comment.

(4) A summary of vehicle theft abatement activities and other vehicle theft programs funded by the fees collected pursuant to this section.

(5) The total number of stolen vehicles recovered and the value of those vehicles during the immediately preceding fiscal year.

(6) The total number of vehicles stolen during the immediately preceding fiscal year as compared to the fiscal year prior to the immediately preceding fiscal year.

(7) Any additional, unexpended fee revenues received under subdivision (b) for the county for the immediately preceding fiscal year.

(h) Each county that fails to submit the report required pursuant to subdivision (g) by November 30 of each year shall have the fee suspended by the Controller for one year, commencing on July 1 following the Controller's determination that a county has failed to submit the report.

(i) (1) On or before January 1, 2013, and on or before January 1 of each year, the Controller shall provide to the Department of the California

Highway Patrol copies of the yearend reports submitted by the counties under subdivision (g) and, in consultation with the Department of the California Highway Patrol, shall review the fiscal yearend reports submitted by each county pursuant to subdivision (g) to determine if fee revenues are being utilized in a manner consistent with this section. If the Controller determines that the use of the fee revenues is not consistent with this section, the Controller shall consult with the participating counties' designated regional coordinators. If the Controller determines that use of the fee revenues is still not consistent with this section, the authority to collect the fee by that county shall be suspended for one year.

(2) If the Controller determines that a county has not submitted a fiscal yearend report as required in subdivision (g), the authorization to collect the service fee shall be suspended for one year pursuant to subdivision (h).

(3) When the Controller determines that a fee shall be suspended for a county, the Controller shall inform the Department of Motor Vehicles on or before January 1 of each year that the authority to collect a fee for that county is suspended.

(j) On or before January 1 of each year, the Controller shall prepare and submit to the Legislature a revenue and expenditure summary for each participating county that includes all of the following:

- (1) The total revenues received by each county.
- (2) The total expenditures by each county.
- (3) The unexpended revenues for each county.

(k) For the purposes of this section, a county-designated regional coordinator is that agency designated by the participating county's board of supervisors as the agency in control of its countywide vehicle theft apprehension program.

CORRECTIONS:
Date—Page 1.

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244
San Francisco 94102-4689
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184
Fax No. (415) 554-5163
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

**NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING
BUDGET AND APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO**

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco's Budget and Appropriations Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard in-person or remotely:

Members of the public attending this hearing in-person may be required to wear masks and adhere to certain procedures, please visit https://sfbos.org/in_person_meeting_guidelines for the current guidelines.

Date: June 17, 2022

Time: 10:00 a.m.

Location: **IN-PERSON MEETING INFORMATION**
Legislative Chamber, Room 250, located at City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA

REMOTE ACCESS

Watch: www.sfgovtv.org

Watch: SF Cable Channel 26, 78, or 99 (*depending on your provider*)
once the meeting starts, the telephone number and Meeting ID will be displayed on the screen.

Public Comment Call-In: <https://sfbos.org/remote-meeting-call>

Subject: **File No. 220680.** Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to increase the surcharge on vehicles registered to a San Francisco address in accordance with California state law.

If this legislation passes, amendments to Administrative Code, Section 10.100-185, will impose a fee of \$2 to be paid at the time of registration or renewal of registration for every vehicle registered to an address within the City, except those expressly exempted under State law.

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

File No. 220680 (10-Day Fee Ad)

Hearing Date: June 17, 2022

Page 2

In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email (board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org). Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center (<https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-lrc>). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, June 10, 2022.

For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Budget and Appropriations Committee:

Brent Jalipa (Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org) ~ (415) 554-7712)

Please Note: *The Department is open for business, but employees are working from home. Please allow 48 hours for us to return your call or email.*



Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
City and County of San Francisco

bjj:ams

CALIFORNIA NEWSPAPER SERVICE BUREAU

DAILY JOURNAL CORPORATION

Mailing Address : 915 E FIRST ST, LOS ANGELES, CA 90012
Telephone (800) 788-7840 / Fax (800) 464-2839
Visit us @ www.LegalAdstore.com

BRENT JALIPA
CCSF BD OF SUPERVISORS (OFFICIAL NOTICES)
1 DR CARLTON B GOODLETT PL #244
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94102

COPY OF NOTICE

Notice Type: GPN GOVT PUBLIC NOTICE

Ad Description

BJJ - Fee Ad - File No. 220680

To the right is a copy of the notice you sent to us for publication in the SAN FRANCISCO EXAMINER. Thank you for using our newspaper. Please read this notice carefully and call us with ny corrections. The Proof of Publication will be filed with the County Clerk, if required, and mailed to you after the last date below. Publication date(s) for this notice is (are):

06/05/2022 , 06/10/2022

The charge(s) for this order is as follows. An invoice will be sent after the last date of publication. If you prepaid this order in full, you will not receive an invoice.

Publication	\$675.00
Total	\$675.00

EXM# 3593346

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO BUDGET AND APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE FRIDAY, JUNE 17, 2022 - 10:00 A.M. IN-PERSON AND REMOTE ACCESS

Watch: www.sfgovtv.org
Watch: SF Cable Channel 26, 78, or 99 (depending on your provider) once the meeting starts, the telephone number and Meeting ID will be displayed on the screen.
Public Comment Call-In: <https://sfbos.org/remotemeeting-call>

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco's Budget and Appropriations Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard in-person or remotely: File No. 220680, Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to increase the surcharge on vehicles registered to a San Francisco address in accordance with California state law. If this legislation passes, amendments to Administrative Code Section 10.100-185, will impose a fee of \$2 to be paid at the time of registration or renewal of registration for every vehicle registered to an address within the City, except those expressly exempted under State law. In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email (board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org). Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center (<https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-irc>). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, June 10, 2022. For any questions about this hearing, please

contact the Assistant Clerk for the Budget and Appropriations Committee: Brent Jalipa (Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org - (415) 554-7712). Please Note: The Department is open for business, but employees are working from home. Please allow 48 hours for us to return your call or email. Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco

EXM-3593346#



* A 0 0 0 0 0 6 0 3 5 9 4 8 *

GOVERNMENT

NOTICE OF REGULAR MEETING
SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
RULES COMMITTEE
CITY HALL, LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250
JUNE 13, 2022
10:00 AM

This meeting will be held in-person at the location above and accessible remotely. Public comment will be taken in-person and via telephone at 1 (415) 655-0001 / Meeting ID: 2491 326 8157 #. Visit www.sfgovt.org to stream video of the live meeting or watch on SF Cable Channel 26, 78, or 99 (depending upon your provider). Visit [www.sfbos.org/remote-meeting-call](https://sfbos.org/remote-meeting-call) for more information. The agenda packet and legislative files are available for review at <https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-irc> or by calling (415) 554-5184.

EXM-3595090#

NOTICE OF REGULAR MEETING SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS LAND USE AND TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE CITY HALL, LEGISLATIVE CHAMBER, ROOM 250 JUNE 13, 2022 - 1:30 PM

This meeting will be held in-person at the location above and accessible remotely. Public comment will be taken in-person and via telephone at 1 (415) 655-0001 / Meeting ID: 2499 861 0736 #. Visit www.sfgovt.org to stream video of the live meeting or watch on SF Cable Channel 26, 78, or 99 (depending upon your provider). Visit [www.sfbos.org/remote-meeting-call](https://sfbos.org/remote-meeting-call) for more information. The agenda packet and legislative files are available for review at <https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-irc> or by calling (415) 554-5184.

EXM-3595077#

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO BUDGET AND APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE THURSDAY, JUNE 16, 2022 - 10:00 A.M. IN-PERSON AND REMOTE ACCESS

Watch: www.sfgovt.org
Watch: SF Cable Channel 26, 78, or 99 (depending on your provider) once the meeting starts, the telephone number and Meeting ID will be displayed on the screen.

Public Comment Call-In: <https://sfbos.org/remote-meeting-call>

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco's Budget and Appropriations Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard in-person or remotely: File No. 220687 Ordinance amending the Park Code to impose a surcharge in addition to the license fees for berthing at the Marina West Harbor, to help fund the cost of dredging activities at the West Harbor; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental

Quality Act. If this legislation passes, amendments to the Park Code, Section 12.11, will impose an additional monthly surcharge on licensees at the Marina West Small Craft Harbor to cover the estimated cost of dredging the entry channel to the West Harbor not to exceed the following: 25-foot berth at \$2.70 per foot/per month; 30 and 35-foot berths at \$2.74 per foot/per month; 40 and 45-foot berths at \$3.32 per foot/per month; 50 and 60-foot berths at \$3.39 per foot/per month; and 70, 80, and 90-foot berths at \$3.47 per foot/per month. In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email (board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org). Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center (<https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-irc>). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, June 10, 2022. For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Budget and Appropriations Committee: Brent Jalipa (Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org) ~ (415) 554-7712 Please Note: The Department is open for business, but employees are working from home. Please allow 48 hours for us to return your call or email. Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco

EXM-3593364#

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO BUDGET AND APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE THURSDAY, JUNE 16, 2022 - 10:00 A.M. IN-PERSON AND REMOTE ACCESS Watch: www.sfgovt.org

Watch: SF Cable Channel 26, 78, or 99 (depending on your provider) once the meeting starts, the telephone number and Meeting ID will be displayed on the screen.

Public Comment Call-In: <https://sfbos.org/remote-meeting-call>

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco's Budget and Appropriations Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard in-person or remotely: File No. 220684 Ordinance amending the Business and Tax Regulations Code to update emergency medical services fees to reflect amounts authorized under annual adjustment provisions and require fees for stroke centers; and amending the Health Code to include a definition of stroke center. If this legislation passes, amendments to the

fees in Business and Tax Regulations Code, Section 249.8, will reflect intervening administrative adjustments and show the actual amounts currently authorized and charged, payable to the Department of Emergency Management. The initial application fee for every person, firm, or corporation engaged in operating a private ambulance that requires a Certificate of Operation increases from \$12,193 to \$12,800 and the annual renewal fee increases from \$6,096 to \$6,400. The annual ambulance permit fee for every person, firm, or corporation holding a Certificate of Operation increases from \$1,950 to \$2,048. The Initial Application fees for an EMS Training Program increases from \$2,163 to \$2,272; a Paramedic Program increases from \$1,445 to \$1,517; an Emergency Medical Technician ("EMT") Program increases from \$1,445 to \$1,517; and increases \$725 \$762 for a Continuing Education Program. EMS Training Program Renewal will increase from \$1,085 to \$1,139 for a Paramedic Program; increase from \$792 to \$832 for an EMT Program; and increase from \$439 to \$461 for a Continuing Education Program. Applying for an initial EMT Certificate will increase from \$177 to \$183; renewal of current and valid EMT Certificate, not including EMT-Paramedic, will increase from \$130 to \$135 due every two years; and an application for initial EMT Paramedic Accreditation will increase from \$38 to \$40. Fees that are due and payable annually in advance to the Department of Emergency Management by the following facilities that receive patients through Ambulance Service Providers will increase from \$18,596 to \$19,523 for Receiving Hospitals; STEMI Centers will increase from \$22,728 to \$23,861; and establishes a new fee of \$23,861 for Stroke Centers. In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email (board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org). Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center (<https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-irc>). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, June 10, 2022. For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Budget and Appropriations Committee: Brent Jalipa (Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org) ~ (415) 554-7712 Please Note: The Department is open for business, but employees are working from home. Please allow 48 hours for us to return your call or email. Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco

EXM-3593361#

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO BUDGET AND APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE WEDNESDAY, JUNE 15, 2022 - 10:00 A.M. IN-PERSON AND REMOTE ACCESS Watch: www.sfgovt.org

Watch: SF Cable Channel 26, 78, or 99 (depending on your provider) once the meeting starts, the telephone number and Meeting ID will be displayed on the screen.

Public Comment Call-In: <https://sfbos.org/remote-meeting-call>

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco's Budget and Appropriations Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard in-person or remotely: File No. 220681. Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to increase the fees imposed by the Department of Administrative Services for reproduction and notary services provided to the public. If the legislation passes, amendments to Administrative Code, Section 8.44, will increase fees that the Department of Administrative Services charges for document reproduction and notary services available to the public at the City's Permit Center. The proposed Ordinance also differentiates between fees charged for standard size document services and large format document services; change the unit used to calculate fees for scanning services from "sheet" to "per sq. ft."; and add a provision allowing for future automatic adjustments to the fees based on changes to the Consumer Price Index. Temporary parking restriction ("no parking") signs required under the Transportation Code increases from \$4.00 to \$5.00 per sign; black and white printing of standard sized documents (8.5x11, 8.5x14, 11x17) increases from \$0.50 to \$0.77 per sq. ft. and establishes large format (greater than 11x7) at \$1 per sq. ft.; color printing of standard sized documents (8.5x11, 8.5x14, 11x17) increases from \$0.35 to \$2 per sq. ft. and establishes large format (greater than 11x7) at \$2 per sq. ft.; and notary jurat or acknowledgement increases from \$13 to \$15 per document. In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email (board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org). Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board

of the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center (<https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-irc>). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, June 10, 2022. For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Budget and Appropriations Committee: Brent Jalipa (Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org) ~ (415) 554-7712 Please Note: The Department is open for business, but employees are working from home. Please allow 48 hours for us to return your call or email. Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco

EXM-3593355#

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO BUDGET AND APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE FRIDAY, JUNE 17, 2022 - 10:00 A.M. IN-PERSON AND REMOTE ACCESS

Watch: www.sfgovt.org
Watch: SF Cable Channel 26, 78, or 99 (depending on your provider) once the meeting starts, the telephone number and Meeting ID will be displayed on the screen.

Public Comment Call-In: <https://sfbos.org/remote-meeting-call>

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco's Budget and Appropriations Committee will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard in-person or remotely: File No. 220680. Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to increase the surcharge on vehicles registered to a San Francisco address in accordance with California state law. If this legislation passes, amendments to Administrative Code, Section 10.100-185, will impose a fee of \$2 to be paid at the time of registration or renewal of registration for every vehicle registered to an address within the City, except those expressly exempted under State law. In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments prior to the time the hearing begins. These comments will be made as part of the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email (board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org). Information relating to this matter is available in the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center (<https://sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-irc>). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, June 10, 2022. For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Budget and Appropriations Committee: Brent Jalipa (Brent.Jalipa@sfgov.org) ~ (415) 554-7712 Please Note:

The Department is open for business, but employees are working from home. Please allow 48 hours for us to return your call or email. Angela Calvillo Clerk of the Board of Supervisors City and County of San Francisco

EXM-3593346#

CIVIL

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME

Case No. 22-CIV-01248
Superior Court of California, County of SAN MATEO
Petition of: JOSEPH YOUNG HOON YANG for Change of Name

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS:

Petitioner JOSEPH YOUNG HOON YANG filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows: JOSEPH YOUNG HOON YANG to ALPHONSE JOSEPH YOUNG

The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: JULY 28, 2022, Time: 9:00 A.M., Dept.: MC, Room: N/A

The address of the court is 400 COUNTY CENTER, REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063
A copy of this Order to Show Cause shall be published at least once each week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing on the petition in the following newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county: THE EXAMINER - REDWOOD CITY TRIBUNE
Date: JUNE 2, 2022
LELAND DAVIS 3

Judge of the Superior Court
6/10, 6/17, 6/24, 7/1/22

SPEN-3594643#
EXAMINER - REDWOOD CITY TRIBUNE

AMENDED ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE FOR CHANGE OF NAME

Case No. 22-CIV-02042
Superior Court of California, County of SAN MATEO
Petition of: PAUL BALL-YANE CHEN for Change of Name

TO ALL INTERESTED PERSONS:
Petitioner PAUL BALL-YANE CHEN filed a petition with this court for a decree changing names as follows: PAUL BALL-YANE CHEN to PAUL BALL-YEN CHEN

The Court orders that all persons interested in this matter appear before this court at the hearing indicated below to show cause, if any, why the petition for change of name should not be granted. Any person objecting to the name changes described above must file a written objection that includes the

reasons for the objection at least two court days before the matter is scheduled to be heard and must appear at the hearing to show cause why the petition should not be granted. If no written objection is timely filed, the court may grant the petition without a hearing.

Notice of Hearing:
Date: 8/04/2022, Time: 9:00 A.M., Dept.: MC, Room: N/A
The address of the court is 400 COUNTY CENTER, REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063

A copy of this Order to Show Cause shall be published at least once each week for four successive weeks prior to the date set for hearing on the petition in the following newspaper of general circulation, printed in this county: THE EXAMINER - REDWOOD CITY TRIBUNE
Date: MAY 24, 2022
LELAND DAVIS 3

Judge of the Superior Court
6/10, 6/17, 6/24, 7/1/22

SPEN-3591823#
EXAMINER - REDWOOD CITY TRIBUNE

SUMMONS (CITACION JUDICIAL)

CASE NUMBER (Número del Caso):
21-CLJ-05957

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT (AVISO AL DEMANDADO): GUSTAVO A. FLORES
YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO) EL DEMANDANTE: NAVY FEDERAL CREDIT UNION
NOTICE! You have been sued. The court may decide against you without your being heard unless you respond within 30 days. Read the information below.

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filing fee, ask the court clerk for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association. NOTE: The court has a statutory lien for waived fees and costs on any settlement or arbitration award of \$10,000 or more in a civil case. The court's lien

must be paid before the court will dismiss the case.

¡AVISO! Lo han demandado. Si no responde dentro de 30 días, la corte puede decidir en su contra sin escuchar su versión. Lea la información a continuación.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le dé un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios legales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.sucorte.ca.gov) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales. AVISO: Por ley, la corte tiene derecho a reclamar las cuotas y los costos exentos por imponer un gravamen sobre cualquier recuperación de \$10,000 o más de valor recibida mediante un acuerdo o una concesión de arbitraje en un caso de derecho civil. Tiene que pagar el gravamen de la corte antes de que la corte pueda desear el caso. The name and address of the court is (El nombre y dirección de la corte es): COUNTY OF SAN MATEO, 400 COUNTY CENTER, REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063

The name, address, and telephone number of plaintiff's attorney, or plaintiff without an attorney, is (El nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono del abogado del demandante, o del demandante que no tiene abogado, es): MORANI STELMACH, ESQ. (SBN 296670), 11630 CHAYOTE ST., SUITE 3, LOS ANGELES, CA 90049; (213) 226-6922
DATE (Fecha): 10/29/2021
NEAL I. TANIGUCHI, Clerk (Secretario), by ANTHONY BERINI, Deputy (Adjunto) (SEAL)
5/20, 5/27, 6/3, 6/10/22
SPEN-3588026#
EXAMINER - REDWOOD CITY TRIBUNE

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
JUN -1 PM 1:20

LONDON N. BREED
MAYOR

TO: President Shamann Walton, President of the Board of Supervisors
FROM: Ashley Groffenberger, Mayor's Budget Director
DATE: June 1, 2022
RE: 30-Day Waiver Requests

President Walton,

The Mayor's Office respectfully requests 30-day hold waivers for the following pieces of trailing legislation:

- Police Code - Fixed Place Outdoor Amplified Sound Permit and License Fees
- Agreement Amendment – Francisco Park Agreement
- Accept and Expend Grants - Recurring State Grant Funds - Department of Public Health – FY 2022-2023
- Administrative Code - Deputy Fire Chiefs
- Retroactive Accept and Expend Grant - State of California - #CaliforniansForAll Youth Jobs Corps Program Grant - Up to \$11,790,072
- Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure, operating as Successor Agency to the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency, Fiscal Year 2022-23 Budget – Bond Issuance Not to Exceed \$99,680,000
- Office of Community Investment and Infrastructure, operating as Successor Agency to the San Francisco Redevelopment Agency, Fiscal Year 2022-23 Interim Budget
- Homelessness and Supportive Housing Fund - FYs 2022-2023 and 2023-2024 Expenditure Plan
- Administrative Code - Reproduction and Notary Fees - Department of Administrative Services
- Administrative Code - Police Vehicle Theft Crime Fund Surcharge
- Resolution Adjusting the Access Line Tax with the Consumer Price Index of 2022
- Neighborhood Beautification and Graffiti Clean-up Fund Tax Designation Ceiling
- Ordinance Authorizing Tax-Exempt and/or Taxable Certificates of Participation (Multiple Capital Improvement Projects) - Not to Exceed \$140,000,000
- Business and Tax Regulations, Health Codes - Emergency Medical Services Fees
- Trial Courts Courthouse Construction Fund - Rescission of Superior Court Surcharges for Court Construction
- Health Code - Patient Rates for Fiscal Years 2022-2023 and 2023-2024
- Park Code - Marina West Harbor Fees

1 DR. CARLTON B. GOODLETT PLACE, ROOM 200
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94102-4681
TELEPHONE: (415) 554-6141

Should you have any questions, please contact Tom Paulino at 415-554-6153.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'A. Groffenberger', followed by a long horizontal line extending to the right.

Ashley Groffenberger
Mayor's Budget Director




President, Board of Supervisors
District 10

City and County of San
Francisco

SHAMANN WALTON
MEMORANDUM

DATE: June 6, 2022

TO: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
Board of Supervisors Legislative Division

FROM: President Shamann Walton 

CC: Anne Pearson, Deputy City Attorney
Tom Paulino, Mayor's Office

SUBJECT: **30-Day Waivers Granted for Budget Related Items**

Dear Madam Clerk and Legislative Division Staff,

I am hereby granting the 30-day waiver request for the following Budget related items introduced and requested by the Mayor on June 1, 2022:

- 220666 Proposed Interim Budget and Appropriation Ordinance for Departments - FYs 2022-2023 and 2023-2024
- 220667 Proposed Interim Annual Salary Ordinance - FYs 2022-2023 and 2023-2024
- 220669 Budget and Appropriation Ordinance for Departments - FYs 2022-2023 and 2023-2024
- 220670 Annual Salary Ordinance - FYs 2022-2023 and 2023-2024
- 220678 Police Code - Fixed Place Outdoor Amplified Sound Permit and License Fees
- 220679 Administrative Code - Deputy Fire Chiefs
- 220680 Administrative Code - Police Vehicle Theft Crime Fund Surcharge
- 220681 Administrative Code - Reproduction and Notary Fees - Department of Administrative Services
- 220682 Neighborhood Beautification and Graffiti Clean-up Fund Tax Designation Ceiling
- 220683 Ordinance Authorizing Tax-Exempt and/or Taxable Certificates of Participation (Multiple Capital Improvement Projects) - Not to Exceed \$140,000,000
- 220684 Business and Tax Regulations, Health Codes - Emergency Medical Services Fees
- 220685 Trial Courts Courthouse Construction Fund - Rescission of Superior Court Surcharges for Court Construction
- 220686 Health Code - Patient Rates for Fiscal Years 2022-2023 and 2023-2024
- 220687 Park Code - Marina West Harbor Fees

DEPT	Item	Description	Type of Legislation	File #
ADM	Critical Repair/Recovery Stimulus COPs	Authorizes COPs to finance or refinance the capital plan in the budget.	Ordinance	
ADM	Entertainment Commission Fee Changes	Fee decrease for fixed speakers due to the Commission's "Just Add Music" initiative.	Ordinance	
ADM	Reproduction and Notary Fee Changes	Fee increase for a variety of printing and scanning services at the Permit Center.	Ordinance	
ADM	Prop J Continuation	Moscone Convention Center.	Resolution	
ADM	Prop J Continuation	Fleet security services.	Resolution	
ADM	Prop J Continuation	Real estate security services.	Resolution	
ADM	Prop J Continuation	Real estate custodial services.	Resolution	
BOS	Prop J Continuation	Budget Legislative Analyst (BLA).	Resolution	
CAT	Courthouse Construction Fund	The Board adopted an ordinance last year terminating the Fund, which was established in 1992, because the courthouse construction project is complete and fully financed. This ordinance completes the process.	Ordinance	
CON	Access Line Tax	Sets Access Line Tax. Revenues assumed in budget.	Resolution	
CON	Neighborhood Beautification Fund	Neighborhood Beautification Fund contribution levels assumed in budget.	Ordinance	
DEM	EMSA Fee Changes	Changing the fee structure for EMSA fees that are charged to hospitals.	Ordinance	
DPH	Recurring State Grants	Accept and expend for annual, recurring state grant funds.	Resolution	
DPH	Patient Rates	Amending the Health Code to set patient rates and rates for other services provided by the Department of Public Health.	Ordinance	
DPH	Prop J Continuation	Clinic security services.	Resolution	
DPW	Prop J Continuation	Security services.	Resolution	
FIR	Organizational Changes in the City's Administrative Code	Changing the City's Administrative Code to transition the Chief of EMS position up a Deputy Chief position.	Ordinance	
HRC	State Grant Acceptance	Acceptance of the state-funded California for All grant for the Opportunities for All program.	Resolution	
HSA	Prop J Continuation	Security services.	Resolution	
HSH	CAAP Legislation	Annual legislation for CAAP housing with funding from HSA.	Resolution	
HSH	Prop J Continuation	Security services.	Resolution	
MYR	Prop J Continuation	Security services.	Resolution	

POL	Police Vehicle Theft Crime Fund Surcharge	Increasing the car registration surcharge. Funds will be used to deter, investigate, or prosecute vehicle theft crimes.	Ordinance	
REC	Marina Dredging Surcharge	Imposing a surcharge to license fees at Marina West Harbor to pay for necessary dredging at the Harbor.	Ordinance	
REC	Francisco Park Conservancy Grant Agreement	Credits the Francisco Park Conservancy to offset unanticipated project cost increases incurred due to PG&E requirements.	Resolution	
REG	Prop J Continuation	Assembly of vote by mail envelope services.	Resolution	
SHF	Prop J Continuation	Food services at county jails.	Resolution	
TIS	Prop J Continuation	Mainframe system support.	Resolution	

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



LONDON N. BREED
MAYOR

TO: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors
FROM: Tom Paulino
RE: Administrative Code - Police Vehicle Theft Crime Fund Surcharge
DATE: June 1, 2022

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to increase the surcharge on vehicles registered to a San Francisco address in accordance with California state law.

Should you have any questions, please contact Tom Paulino at 415-554-6153.