

File No. 251096

Committee Item No. _____

Board Item No. 33

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: _____

Date: _____

Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date: December 9, 2025

Cmte Board

- | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| ☐ | ☒ | Motion |
| ☐ | ☐ | Resolution |
| ☐ | ☐ | Ordinance |
| ☐ | ☐ | Legislative Digest |
| ☐ | ☐ | Budget and Legislative Analyst Report |
| ☐ | ☐ | Youth Commission Report |
| ☐ | ☒ | Introduction Form |
| ☐ | ☐ | Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report |
| ☐ | ☐ | MOU |
| ☐ | ☐ | Grant Information Form |
| ☐ | ☐ | Grant Budget |
| ☐ | ☐ | Subcontract Budget |
| ☐ | ☐ | Contract/Agreement |
| ☐ | ☐ | Form 126 – Ethics Commission |
| ☐ | ☐ | Award Letter |
| ☐ | ☐ | Application |
| ☐ | ☐ | Public Correspondence |

OTHER

☐	☐	_____
☐	☐	_____
☐	☐	_____
☐	☐	_____
☐	☐	_____
☐	☐	_____
☐	☐	_____
☐	☐	_____
☐	☐	_____

Prepared by: Arthur Khoo

Date: December 4, 2025

Prepared by: _____

Date: _____

1 [Conditionally Reversing the Categorical Exemption Determination - Proposed 350 Amber
2 Drive Project]

3 **Motion conditionally reversing the determination by the Planning Department that the**
4 **proposed project at 350 Amber Drive is categorically exempt from environmental**
5 **review, subject to the adoption of written findings of the Board in support of this**
6 **determination.**

7
8 WHEREAS, On May 29, 2025, the Planning Department determined that the proposed
9 project at 350 Amber Drive (the Project) is categorically exempt from the California
10 Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) under the Class 3 (New Construction or Conversion of
11 Small Structures) categorical exemption - section 15303 of the CEQA Guidelines; and

12 WHEREAS, The project site is located at 350 Amber Drive (Assessor's Block No. 7521,
13 Lot No. 005), with frontage along Amber Drive to the northwest, Turquoise Way to the west
14 and Duncan Street to the north; and

15 WHEREAS, The property is owned by the City and County of San Francisco and under
16 the jurisdiction of the San Francisco Police Department (SFPD), with a lot area of
17 approximately 195,113 square feet (about 4.5 acres); and

18 WHEREAS, The project site contains three existing, one-to-two-story buildings,
19 currently occupied and used by the SFPD as its police academy; the buildings are surrounded
20 by paved surface parking; and

21 WHEREAS, The proposed Project would construct a new AT&T Macro Wireless
22 Telecommunication Facility on a new approximately 104-foot-tall monopole located at the rear
23 of the San Francisco Police Academy, over a paved parking area with a footprint of
24 approximately 550 square feet; the new facility would consist of twelve antennas, nine remote
25 radio units, three tower-mounted surge suppressors, one global positioning system unit

1 mounted on a proposed outdoor equipment cabinet, one walk-up cabinet, and one 30-kilowatt
2 direct current backup generator with a 190-gallon diesel fuel tank on a concrete pad, with the
3 ancillary equipment to be surrounded by an eight-foot-tall chain link fence; and

4 WHEREAS, CEQA Guidelines, Sections 15301 through 15333, list the categorical
5 exemptions for classes of projects that have been determined not to have a significant effect
6 on the environment and that are exempt from further environmental review; and

7 WHEREAS, CEQA Guidelines, Section 15303 (New Construction or Conversion of
8 Small Structures), or Class 3, applies to projects that include construction and location of
9 limited numbers of new, small facilities or structures, installation of small new equipment and
10 facilities in small structures, and the conversion of existing small structures from one use to
11 another where only minor modifications are made in the exterior of the structure; and

12 WHEREAS, The Planning Department determined that the Project is exempt under the
13 Class 3 categorical exemption because it meets the criteria for applicability of the exemption,
14 and none of the exceptions that would preclude application of the exemption listed under
15 CEQA Guidelines, Section 15300.2, are present; and

16 WHEREAS, On September 25, 2025, the Planning Commission conducted a duly
17 noticed public hearing at a regularly scheduled meeting to consider conditional use application
18 2024-004318CUA, after which it approved the proposed project by granting a conditional use
19 authorization and required conditions of approval through Motion No. 21825; and

20 WHEREAS, On October 27, 2025, Mitchell M. Tsai of Mitchell M. Tsai Law Firm, on
21 behalf of the Diamond Heights Community Association (appellant) filed an appeal of the
22 categorical exemption determination; and

23 WHEREAS, By memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated November 3, 2025, the
24 Planning Department's Environmental Review Officer determined that the appeal was timely
25 filed; and

1 WHEREAS, On December 9, 2025, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to
2 consider the appeal filed by Appellant; and

3 WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal, this Board reviewed and considered the
4 categorical exemption determination, the appeal letter, the responses to the appeal
5 documents that the Planning Department and the Project Sponsor prepared, the other written
6 records before the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of
7 and opposed to the appeal; and

8 WHEREAS, Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors
9 conditionally reversed the Planning Department's determination that the Project is
10 categorically exempt, subject to the adoption of written findings of the Board in support of
11 such determination based on the written record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all
12 of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal; and

13 WHEREAS, The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the
14 appeal and the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of
15 Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal, including
16 the deliberations by the members of the Board, is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File
17 No. 251094, and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now,
18 therefore, be it

19 MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors conditionally reverses the determination by the
20 Planning Department that the Project is categorically exempt from environmental review,
21 subject to the adoption of written findings of the Board in support of this determination.
22

23 c:\attchmnt\350 amber cat ex - rev.docx
24
25

Introduction Form

(by a Member of the Board of Supervisors or the Mayor)

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):

- ☐ 1. For reference to Committee (Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment)
- ☐ 2. Request for next printed agenda (For Adoption Without Committee Reference)
(Routine, non-controversial and/or commendatory matters only)
- ☒ 3. Request for Hearing on a subject matter at Committee
- ☐ 4. Request for Letter beginning with "Supervisor _____ inquiries..."
- ☐ 5. City Attorney Request
- ☐ 6. Call File No. _____ from Committee.
- ☐ 7. Budget and Legislative Analyst Request (attached written Motion)
- ☐ 8. Substitute Legislation File No. _____
- ☐ 9. Reactivate File No. _____
- ☐ 10. Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the Board on _____

The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following (please check all appropriate boxes):

- ☐ Small Business Commission ☐ Youth Commission ☐ Ethics Commission
- ☐ Planning Commission ☐ Building Inspection Commission ☐ Human Resources Department

General Plan Referral sent to the Planning Department (proposed legislation subject to Charter 4.105 & Admin 2A.53):

- ☐ Yes ☐ No

(Note: For Imperative Agenda items (a Resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Agenda Form.)

Sponsor(s):

Clerk of the Board

Subject:

Conditionally Reversing the Categorical Exemption Determination - 350 Amber Drive

Long Title or text listed:

Motion conditionally reversing the determination by the Planning Department that the proposed project at 350 Amber Drive is categorically exempt from environmental review, subject to the adoption of written findings of the Board of Supervisors in support of this determination.

Signature of Requestor:



251096