

File No. 121144

Committee Item No. 5

Board Item No. _____

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: Rules

Date 12/6/12

Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date _____

Cmte Board

- Motion
- Resolution
- Ordinance
- Legislative Digest
- Budget Analyst Report
- Legislative Analyst Report
- Youth Commission Report
- Introduction Form (for hearings)
- Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report
- MOU
- Grant Information Form
- Grant Budget
- Subcontract Budget
- Contract/Agreement
- Award Letter
- Application
- Public Correspondence

OTHER (Use back side if additional space is needed)

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____

Completed by: Linda Wong

Date 12/3/12

Completed by: _____

Date _____

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages. The complete document is in the file.

1 [Appointment, Successor Agency Commission (Commonly Known as Commission on
2 Community Investment and Infrastructure) - Mara Rosales]

3 **Motion confirming the Mayor's appointment of Mara Rosales to the Commission on**
4 **Community Investment and Infrastructure, Seat 4, for the unexpired portion of a two-**
5 **year term ending November 3, 2014.**

6
7 WHEREAS, Ordinance No. 215-12 was adopted by the Board of Supervisors and
8 signed by Mayor Edwin Lee on October 4, 2012; and,

9 WHEREAS, Pursuant to Ordinance No. 215-12, the Mayor has submitted a
10 communication notifying the Board of Supervisors of the appointment of Mara Rosales to the
11 San Francisco Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure, received by the
12 Clerk of the Board on November 20, 2012; now, therefore, be it

13 ~~MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors hereby confirms the Mayor's appointment of~~
14 ~~Mara Rosales to the San Francisco Commission on Community Investment and~~
15 ~~Infrastructure, Seat 4, for the unexpired portion of a two-year term ending November 3, 2014.~~

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



EDWIN M. LEE
MAYOR

Notice of Appointment

November 20, 2012

San Francisco Board of Supervisors
City Hall, Room 244
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, California 94102

RECEIVED
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
SAN FRANCISCO
2012 NOV 20 PM 4:18
AK

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

It is my pleasure to notify you of the following appointments to the Redevelopment Successor Commission (commonly known as Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure), pursuant to Ordinance No. 215-12:

Theodore Ellington to Seat 1, for a term of four years - (File # 121141)

Marily Mondejar to Seat 2, for a term of two years - (File # 121142)

Christine Johnson to Seat 3, for a term of four years - (File # 121143)


Mara Rosales to Seat 4, for a term of two years - (File # 121144)

Darshan Singh to Seat 5, for a term of four years - (File # 121145)

I am confident that Mr. Ellington, Ms. Mondejar, Ms. Johnson, Ms. Rosales, and Mr. Singh, all CCSF electors, will serve our community well. Attached are their qualifications to serve, which demonstrates how these appointments represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

Should you have any questions related to these appointments, please contact my Director of Appointments, Nicole Wheaton at (415) 554-7940.

Sincerely,


Edwin M. Lee
Mayor

OFFICE OF THE MAYOR
SAN FRANCISCO



EDWIN M. LEE
MAYOR

November 20, 2012

Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors
San Francisco City Hall
1 Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Ms. Calvillo,

It is my pleasure to notify you of the following appointments to the Redevelopment Successor Commission (commonly known as Commission on Community Investment and Infrastructure), pursuant to Ordinance No. 215-12:

Theodore Ellington to Seat 1, for a term of four years

Marily Mondejar to Seat 2, for a term of two years

Christine Johnson to Seat 3, for a term of four years

Mara Rosales to Seat 4, for a term of two years

Darshan Singh to Seat 5, for a term of four years

I am confident that Mr. Ellington, Ms. Mondejar, Ms. Johnson, Ms. Rosales, and Mr. Singh, all CCSF electors, will serve our community well. Attached are their qualifications to serve, which demonstrates how these appointments represent the communities of interest, neighborhoods and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

Should you have any questions related to these appointments, please contact my Director of Appointments, Nicole Wheaton at (415) 554-7940.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Edwin M. Lee".

Edwin M. Lee
Mayor

Mara E. Rosales



Mara has extensive experience in airport law, government law and public policy. She most recently served as chair of the Airports & Transportation Group at Renne Sloan Holtzman Sakai LLP. She also was the head of the Airport Practice Group at the law firm of Meyers Nave in San Francisco. Prior to entering private practice in 2004, Mara served as San Francisco International Airport's general counsel for over ten years (1992 – 2003) and was SFO's Deputy Director for Regulatory & Legislative Affairs (2003).

As chief in-house counsel for SFO, a \$590 million enterprise, Mara managed legal issues relating to the \$3 billion airport expansion construction project and airport operations, including the runway reconfiguration project. Notably, Mara negotiated a project labor agreement with the San Mateo Building and Construction Trades Council and successfully defended that agreement before the California courts. (*Associated Builders & Contractors, Inc. v. San Francisco Airports Commission* (1999) 21 Cal.4th 352.)

Before joining SFO, Mara served for nine years as a San Francisco deputy city attorney in the Government Law Division. There, she developed special expertise in First and Fourteenth Amendment issues, municipal law and public contracting.

Mara has a distinguished career in the specialized area of affirmative action in public contracting. In her capacities of general counsel and deputy city attorney, Mara authored and defended several minority/local business contracting programs. (See e.g., *Domar Electric, Inc. v. City of Los Angeles* (1994) 9 Cal.4th 161; *Associated General Contractors of California v. Coalition for Economic Equity* (9th Cir. 1991) 950 F.2d 1401.) Mara represents several airports and transportation agencies, including Phoenix Sky Harbor International, California Department of Transportation and San Jose International on federal disadvantaged business program issues. She was Oakland International's lead defense counsel in Prop. 209 litigation, challenging the Airport Concessions Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Program.

During her career, Mara has handled approximately 150 civil litigation matters in California state and federal trial and appellate courts, including more than 30 appellate matters, obtaining over 16 published decisions.

Separately from her work for airports, Mara's diverse practice includes the representation of the Port of Los Angeles, Transbay Joint Powers Authority, the San Francisco Unified School District, the City of Oakland, and airport concessionaires and construction related companies.

Mara earned a J.D. from Hastings College of the Law and a B.A. in psychology, magna cum laude, from San Francisco State University.

Memberships

- Airports Council International – North America
- California Airport Attorneys Roundtable
- San Francisco La Raza Lawyers Association

Community Activities

- Board member, Hispanas Organized for Political Equality (HOPE)

Awards

- San Francisco Hispanic Chamber of Commerce Latino Business Leader – Professional Category – 2009
- County Counsel's Litigation Award – 2003
- San Francisco Commission on the Status of Women "Women Who Make A Difference" – 2000
- CA State Bar Honorable Mention "Public Lawyer of the Year" – 1992

1 [Successor Agency to the Former Redevelopment Agency]

2
3 **Ordinance of the Successor Agency to the former Redevelopment Agency of the City**
4 **and County of San Francisco implementing the State redevelopment dissolution law**
5 **known as AB X1 26 (2011) in furtherance of the recently adopted amendments to that**
6 **law known as AB 1484 and of previously adopted City policies, by 1) acknowledging**
7 **that AB 1484 provides that the Successor Agency is a separate legal entity from the**
8 **City, with the Successor Agency holding all of the transferred assets and obligations of**
9 **the former Redevelopment Agency (other than the affordable housing assets) distinct**
10 **from the City, and with the Oversight Board performing specific functions set forth in**
11 **the State redevelopment dissolution law; 2) officially naming the Successor Agency as**
12 **the "Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San**
13 **Francisco;" 3) acknowledging that former Redevelopment Agency employees who**
14 **became Successor Agency employees by operation of AB 26 and were assigned to R**
15 **classifications, and who continued in those R classifications through the effective date**
16 **of AB 1484, shall continue to be Successor Agency employees; 4) creating the**
17 **Successor Agency Commission as the policy body of the Successor Agency and**
18 **delegating to it the authority to act in place of the former Redevelopment Agency**
19 **Commission to implement the surviving redevelopment projects, the replacement**
20 **housing obligations and other enforceable obligations and the authority to take actions**
21 **that the State redevelopment dissolution law requires or allows on behalf of the**
22 **Successor Agency; 5) establishing the composition and terms of the members of the**
23 **Successor Agency Commission, setting forth voting requirements and providing for**
24 **the Successor Agency Commission to appoint an Executive Director of the Successor**
25 **Agency; 6) authorizing the Successor Agency to retain the City Attorney as its legal**

1 **counsel; 7) ratifying prior acts; and 8) authorizing and directing the Successor Agency**
2 **Commission to take all appropriate steps to effectuate the purpose of this ordinance**
3 **consistent with the State redevelopment dissolution law.**

4 NOTE: Additions are single-underline italics Times New Roman;
5 deletions are ~~strike-through italics Times New Roman~~.
6 Board amendment additions are double-underlined;
7 Board amendment deletions are ~~strikethrough-normal~~.

8 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

9 Section 1. General Findings.

10 (a) In accordance with the California Community Redevelopment Law, California
11 Health and Safety Code section 33000 et. seq., the City and County of San Francisco (the
12 "City") created the Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco (the
13 "Redevelopment Agency") and approved redevelopment plans to alleviate blight in various
14 parts of the City. For more than 60 years, the Redevelopment Agency was engaged in state-
15 authorized activities to implement those plans.

16 (b) The Redevelopment Agency played a critical role in alleviating physical and
17 economic blight in disadvantaged neighborhoods in San Francisco, by attracting private
18 investment and leveraging public resources to increase the City's supply of affordable
19 housing, improve public facilities and infrastructure, create jobs and expand the local
20 economy.

21 (c) Under Assembly Bill No. 1X 26 (Chapter 5, Statutes of 2011-12, First Extraordinary
22 Session) ("AB 26") and the California Supreme Court's decision in California Redevelopment
23 Association v. Matosantos, No. S194861, all redevelopment agencies in the State, including
24 the Redevelopment Agency, were dissolved by operation of law as of February 1, 2012. Their
25 affordable housing assets and functions were transferred to certain designated successor

1 housing agencies, and their non-affordable housing assets and obligations were transferred to
2 certain designated successor agencies, which AB 26 charged with satisfying enforceable
3 obligations of the former redevelopment agencies, preserving their assets for the benefit of
4 taxing entities and winding up their affairs, under the supervision of a new oversight board and
5 review by the State Department of Finance and State Controller.

6 (d) AB 26 defined the term "successor agency" as "the county, city, or city and county
7 that authorized the creation of each redevelopment agency...." Accordingly, in
8 San Francisco, the City, as the sponsoring community, was designated as the successor
9 agency to receive the non-affordable housing assets of the former Redevelopment Agency
10 (the "Successor Agency").

11 (e) AB 26 required the Successor Agency to establish separate funds to receive the
12 revenues and pay the obligations of the former Redevelopment Agency and insulated the City
13 from General Fund liability associated with the dissolution of the Redevelopment Agency and
14 transfer of its assets and obligations by providing that the liability of Successor Agency acting
15 under the powers granted under AB 26 is limited to the total sum of the property tax revenues
16 (former tax increment) the Successor Agency receives under AB 26 and the value of the
17 Redevelopment Agency's assets transferred to the Successor Agency.

18 (f) As required by AB 26, the City timely established the seven-member oversight board
19 of the Successor Agency (the "Oversight Board"). The Mayor appointed, and the Board
20 confirmed, four members to the Oversight Board. The Bay Area Rapid Transit District, the
21 Chancellor of the California Community Colleges, and the County Superintendent of
22 Education, each appointed one of the remaining three members of the Oversight Board. In
23 exercising its authority under AB 26, the Oversight Board owes a fiduciary duty to the holders
24 of enforceable obligations and the taxing entities.

1 (g) To provide for the smooth transition of assets and functions to the
2 Successor Agency and help enable the Successor Agency to perform all enforceable
3 obligations of the former Redevelopment Agency under AB 26, the City adopted Board of
4 Supervisors Resolution No 11-12, which, among other things, approved the City's acceptance
5 of the transfer of affordable housing rights, assets and functions and authorized the Mayor's
6 Office of Housing to manage those rights, assets and functions; acknowledged and accepted
7 the transfer of non-affordable housing rights, assets and functions and placed them under the
8 jurisdiction of the Port of San Francisco or the Director of the Department of Administrative
9 Services; and delegated to the Oversight Board certain implementation authority in place of
10 the former commission of the dissolved Redevelopment Agency over three major integrated,
11 multi-phase revitalization projects, which are- the Mission Bay North and Mission Bay South
12 Projects, the Hunters Point Shipyard/Candlestick Point Project, and the Transbay Transit
13 Center Project (collectively, the "Major Approved Development Projects") and which are
14 subject to enforceable obligations requiring the implementation and completion of those
15 projects.

16 (h) As to the affordable housing rights, assets, and functions, certain obligations and
17 revenues of the former Redevelopment Agency were included in the transfer of such rights,
18 assets and functions to the City, but the successor agency retained enforceable obligations
19 for the development of affordable housing required to fulfill the Major Approved Development
20 Projects and the replacement housing obligation associated with the former Redevelopment
21 Agency's destruction of 6700 affordable units in the 1960's and early 1970's (See California
22 Health & Safety Code Sections 33333.7 and 33333.8, Oversight Board Resolution No. 5-
23 2012 (April 10, 2012), and Board Ordinances No. 256-09 (Dec. 30, 2009), No. 316-08 (Dec.
24 19, 2008) and No. 15-05 (Jan. 21, 2005)) (collectively, the "Retained Replacement Housing
25 Obligations").

1 (i) This ordinance acknowledges that the authority over Major Approved Development
2 Projects delegated to the Oversight Board by Board of Supervisors Resolution No. 11-12 and
3 the transfer of authority to City departments over non-affordable housing assets have been
4 superseded by the recently adopted budget trailer bill, Assembly Bill No. 1484 (Chapter 26,
5 Statutes of 2011-12, Regular Session) ("AB 1484"), and are no longer in effect. Such
6 previously dDelegated authority includes, without limitation, (i) granting approvals under the
7 Land Use Controls (as defined in Board Resolution No. 11-12), (ii) approving changes to,
8 amending, or fulfilling the terms of enforceable obligations, and (iii) exercising the authority
9 previously exercisable by the Redevelopment Agency Commission. Non-affordable housing
10 assets include, without limitation, all rights, interests, privileges, property—real, personal and
11 intangible, including all loans and grants, all property, such as land, buildings, and dwelling
12 units held by the Agency, the rights to all disposition and development agreements, owner
13 participation agreements or other agreements that comprise enforceable obligations.

14 (j) As required by AB 26, on March 6, 2012, by Resolution No. 3-2012, the Oversight
15 Board approved the transfer of affordable housing assets of the former Redevelopment
16 Agency to the City, under the administrative jurisdiction of the Mayor's Office of Housing. On
17 March 9, 2012, the Successor Agency submitted Resolution No. 3-2012 to the Department of
18 Finance, which did not object to the resolution. In addition, on April 10, 2012, the Oversight
19 Board approved, by Resolution No. 5-2012, a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule
20 ("ROPS") for the period January 1, 2012 to June 30, 2012, and a housing obligation summary
21 identifying the Retained Housing Obligations. Resolution 5-2012 was submitted to
22 Department of Finance, which did not object to the resolution. In addition, as As required
23 under AB 1484 (Cal. Health & Safety Code Section 34176 (a) (2)), on August 1, 2012, the
24 Mayor's Office of Housing submitted a Housing Asset List containing a list of all housing
25 assets of the former Redevelopment Agency to the Department of Finance, which has not

1 ~~objected to the assets or transfers of assets on the list~~approved the Housing Asset List on
2 September 7, 2012. The term "Housing Assets" as used in this Ordinance means the assets
3 transferred to the City and placed under the jurisdiction of the Mayor's Office of Housing under
4 the Redevelopment Dissolution Law and by Board of Supervisors Resolution No. 11-12, as
5 approved by Oversight Board Resolution No. 3-2012, and approved on included in the
6 Housing Asset List as approved by the Department of Finance. Accordingly, under Under AB
7 26, AB 1484, and Resolution No. 11-12, such affordable housing assets Housing Assets are
8 now held by the City, acting through the Mayor's Office of Housing, and are no longer under
9 the jurisdiction of the Successor Agency (except for Oversight Board approval of the use of
10 former tax increment under AB 26, as amended by AB 1484), nor is title to such assets
11 affected by AB 1484's provisions regarding the legal status of the Successor Agency. Such
12 ~~affordable housing assets~~Housing Assets are outside the scope of the delegated authority
13 under this ordinance, unless they are subject to the Retained Housing Obligations.

14 (k) Both the Redevelopment Agency and the Oversight Board have previously retained
15 the City Attorney for certain legal advice and representation under memoranda of
16 understanding that those parties negotiated and approved.

17 (l) Under AB 26, the Successor Agency became the employer of all employees of the
18 former Redevelopment Agency on the date of its dissolution. The City, in its capacity as
19 Successor Agency, assigned "R" classifications to employees of the former Redevelopment
20 Agency, indicating their status as having become employees of the Successor Agency by
21 operation of law under AB 26. The City, in such capacity as Successor Agency, negotiated
22 amendments to memoranda of agreement with the unions representing employees of the
23 former Redevelopment Agency ("MOAs"), which were approved by the City under Board of
24 Supervisors Ordinance Nos. 120-12, 121-12, 122-12 and 123-12. Those MOAs have an
25 expiration date of June 30, 2012.

1 (m) AB 26 requires successor agencies to submit to the State's Department of Finance
2 a ~~Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule ("ROPS")~~ for each six-month period, which is
3 approved by oversight boards, and which identifies the sources of payment for enforceable
4 obligations. The City, as Successor Agency, timely submitted to the Department of Finance
5 the ROPS, approved by the Oversight Board, for the periods January 1, 2012 to June 30,
6 2012 (Oversight Board Resolution No. 5-2012) and July 1, 2012 to December 31, 2012
7 (Oversight Board Resolution No. 6-2012). The Department of Finance approved each ROPS,
8 including the funds identified in each for, among other things, long term affordable housing
9 obligations and pension and benefit obligations to current and retired employees of the former
10 Redevelopment Agency.

11 (n) In June 2012, the California Legislature adopted legislation amending AB 26 as a
12 trailer bill to the State's budget bill for the 2012-2013 fiscal year, AB 1484, and the Governor
13 signed that bill on June 27, 2012. ~~The State maintains that under Proposition 25 (November~~
14 ~~2010), AB 1484 went into effect upon the Governor's signature. While AB 26 defined the~~
15 ~~successor agency to be the sponsoring community (as described above), AB 1484 changes~~
16 ~~that definition of successor agency and now provides:~~

17 (1) the successor agency is a separate public entity from the public agency that
18 provides for its governance and the two entities shall not merge;

19 (2) the liabilities of the former redevelopment agency shall not be transferred to
20 the sponsoring entity and the (non-housing) assets shall not become assets of
21 the sponsoring entity;

22 (3) the successor agency has its own name and the capacity to sue and be
23 sued;

24 (4) the successor agency shall be substituted for the redevelopment agency in
25 all litigation to which a redevelopment agency is a party;

1 (5) the former redevelopment agency employees shall not automatically become
2 employees of the sponsoring entity and the successor agency shall retain its
3 own collective bargaining status;

4 (6) the successor agency succeeds to the organizational status of the former
5 redevelopment agency but without any legal authority to participate in
6 redevelopment activities except to complete the work related to an approved
7 enforceable obligation; and

8 (7) the successor agency is a local entity for purposes of the Ralph M. Brown
9 Act.

10 (o) AB 1484 does not specify what the governing body of the Successor Agency is or
11 how it must take actions (other than to provide that it is subject to the State's public meeting
12 rules).

13 (p) By operation of law, in San Francisco the Successor Agency is now distinct from
14 the City but is still subject to the governance of the City acting through its legislative capacity.

15 (q) Since the dissolution of the Redevelopment Agency on February 1, 2012, the City
16 has been successful in helping restore investor confidence in the surviving redevelopment
17 projects, including the Major Approved Development Projects, so that the City can achieve its
18 goals of increasing the supply of affordable housing, improving public facilities and
19 infrastructure, creating jobs, expanding the local economy and realizing other public benefits,
20 and the City, acting in its capacity as Successor Agency, has made all required payments and
21 otherwise performed enforceable obligations, including payments due on outstanding tax
22 allocation bonds, and the Successor Agency has not been adversely affected by the potential
23 cash flow issues that some of the bond rating agencies have identified as issues under AB 26.

24 (r) This ordinance is consistent with the City's policies and objectives expressed in
25 Resolution No. 11-12: (1) smooth transition of assets and functions to the Successor Agency;

1 (2) performance of all enforceable obligations of the former Agency consistent with applicable
2 law; and (3) achievement of the other policy objectives set forth in Resolution No. 11-12.

3 (s) This ordinance, by establishing a Successor Agency Commission and delegating
4 certain authority to that commission, is intended to be consistent with the provisions of
5 AB 1484 that the Successor Agency succeed to the organizational status of the former
6 redevelopment agency but without legal authority to participate in redevelopment activities
7 other than to complete work related to enforceable obligations.

8 Section 2. Environmental Findings.

9 Adoption of this ordinance is not a "project" within the meaning of Public Resources
10 Code Section 21065 of the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") and Section 15378
11 of the CEQA Guidelines because this ordinance addresses organizational and administrative
12 matters that will not result in direct or indirect physical changes in the environment. This
13 ordinance provides for the continuance of existing governmental organization, administrative
14 activities and operations and creation of a new commission for that organization, does not
15 authorize the encumbrance or use of any new funds on any specific projects that could result
16 in physical changes to the environment, and will not result in changes in conditions in any
17 redevelopment project or survey area, as provided in the letters from the Planning Department
18 on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No. 120898 which is
19 hereby declared to be a part of this ordinance as if set forth fully herein.

20 Section 3. Separate Legal Entity. This ordinance acknowledges and confirms that as
21 of AB 1484's effective date, the Successor Agency is a separate legal entity from the City and
22 is subject to the governance of the City acting in its legislative capacity. Accordingly, the
23 Board of Supervisors, as the legislative body of the Successor Agency, adopts this ordinance
24 under the provisions of City Charter relating to the adoption of ordinances. This ordinance
25 further acknowledges and confirms that the Successor Agency holds, subject to the applicable

1 rights and restrictions set forth in the Redevelopment Dissolution Law, title to all assets,
2 including, without limitation, all real property, and all rights, obligations and liabilities of the
3 former Redevelopment Agency, including the Retained Replacement Housing Obligations and
4 excluding the Housing Assets ~~those housing assets to which the Department of Finance has not~~
5 ~~objected on the Housing Asset List submitted by the Mayor's Office of Housing under Section~~
6 ~~34176 (a)(2) of the California Health & Safety Code. As used in this ordinance,~~
7 "Redevelopment Dissolution Law" shall mean AB 26 as amended by AB 1484, and as it may
8 be further amended from time to time. The Board of Supervisors of the City shall have final
9 budget approval authority over the Successor Agency in its annual budget process in
10 accordance with California Health and Safety Code Section 33606, subject to the
11 Redevelopment Dissolution Law.

12 Section 4. Name of Successor Agency. This ordinance declares that the name of the
13 Successor Agency is the "Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City and
14 County of San Francisco."

15 Section 5. Employee Status. This ordinance acknowledges that under AB 1484, those
16 employees of the former Redevelopment Agency who became employees of the City as
17 Successor Agency by operation of law under AB 26, and who continued to be employed by
18 the City as Successor Agency in an "R" classification as of June 27, 2012, on that date
19 continued to be employees of the Successor Agency only, which is now a separate legal
20 entity distinct from the City under AB 1484.

21 Section 6. Successor Agency Commission.

22 (a) This ordinance establishes the Successor Agency Commission (the "Commission").
23 This ordinance delegates to the Commission the authority (excluding authority as to the
24 ~~affordable housing assets~~ Housing Assets ~~that were transferred to the City under ABX1 26 and~~
25 ~~placed under the jurisdiction of the Mayor's Office of Housing under Board of Supervisors~~

1 Resolution 11-12 and that the Department of Finance has not objected to in its review of the
2 Housing Asset List under AB-1484) to: (1) act in place of the former commission of the
3 dissolved Redevelopment Agency to implement, modify, enforce and complete the surviving
4 redevelopment projects, including, without limitation, the Major Approved Development
5 Projects, the Retained Replacement Housing Obligations, and all other enforceable
6 obligations, except for those enforceable obligations for affordable housing transferred to the
7 City and placed under the jurisdiction of the Mayor's Office of Housing; provided, however,
8 that the Successor Agency Commission shall not modify the Major Approved Development
9 Projects or the Retained Housing Obligations in any manner that would decrease the
10 commitment of property tax revenue for affordable housing or materially change the
11 obligations to provide affordable housing without obtaining the approval of the Board of
12 Supervisors and any required approval of the Oversight Board; (2) approve all contracts and
13 actions related to the assets transferred to or retained by the Successor Agency, including,
14 without limitation, the authority to exercise land use, development and design approval
15 authority for the Major Approved Development Projects and other surviving redevelopment
16 projects, and the approval of amendments to redevelopment plans as allowed under the
17 Redevelopment Dissolution Law and subject to adoption of such plan amendments by the
18 Board of Supervisors and any required approval by the Oversight Board, consistent with
19 applicable enforceable obligations; and (3) take any action that the Redevelopment
20 Dissolution Law requires or authorizes on behalf of the Successor Agency and any other
21 action that the Commission deems appropriate consistent with the Redevelopment Dissolution
22 Law to comply with such obligations, including, without limitation, preparing and submitting to
23 the Oversight Board each ROPS to the Oversight Board, which shall include, among other
24 things, the long term affordable housing obligations described in Oversight Board Resolution
25 No. 5-2012, authorizing additional obligations in furtherance of enforceable obligations, and

1 approving the issuance- of bonds to carry out the enforceable obligations, subject to any
2 approval of the Oversight Board as may be required under the Redevelopment Dissolution
3 Law.

4 (b) The Commission shall consist of five members appointed by the Mayor, subject to
5 confirmation by a majority of this Board of Supervisors. The member appointed to Seat 1
6 shall be a resident of the supervisorial district that includes the largest amount of cumulative
7 area of the Major Approved Development Projects. The member appointed to Seat 2 shall be
8 a resident of the supervisorial district that includes the second largest amount of cumulative
9 area of the Major Approved Development Projects. The members appointed to Seats 3, 4
10 and 5 need not reside in any specific supervisorial district. Each of the members shall serve
11 for a term of four years, subject to the provisions of subsection (d) below regarding initial
12 terms. Members of the Commission shall serve at the pleasure of the Mayor and without
13 compensation or reimbursement for expenses. Members of the Commission are protected by
14 the immunities applicable to public entities and public officers and employees governed by
15 Part I (commencing with Section 810) and Part 2 (commencing with Section 814) of Division
16 3.6 of Title 1 of the California Government Code.

17 (c) Each member of the Commission shall be a resident of the City and County of
18 San Francisco.

19 (d) To provide for staggered terms, the members appointed to Seats 2 and 4 shall
20 serve for an initial term of two years from the effective date of this
21 ordinance_____2012. The remaining three members appointed to Seats 1, 3, and
22 5 shall serve for an initial term of four years from the effective date of this
23 ordinance_____, 2012, and thereafter the terms of all members shall be four
24 years.
25

1 (e) The Commission shall approve matters by the affirmative vote of the majority of the
2 members present, so long as the members present constitute a quorum (three or more).

3 (f) The Commission shall appoint an Executive Director who shall be the chief
4 executive of the Successor Agency and who shall have the responsibility for the day-to-day
5 management of all the affairs and activities of the Successor Agency. -The Commission shall
6 fix the salary of the Executive Director. The Executive Director shall serve at the pleasure of
7 the Commission. The Executive Director shall possess the administrative and executive skills
8 necessary to perform the duties of the office. The Commission may delegate to the Executive
9 Director any of its duties it deems appropriate.

10 (g) The Executive Director shall follow the Commission's policy directions on all matters
11 concerning collective bargaining, meeting and conferring with the recognized representatives
12 for employees, and the adoption of local rules under the Meyer-Millias-Brown Act. No
13 collective bargaining agreement shall be binding on the Successor Agency unless approved
14 by the Commission.

15 Section 7. City Attorney as Legal Counsel. This ordinance authorizes the Successor
16 Agency to retain, as it deems appropriate, the City Attorney for legal advice and
17 representation.

18 Section 8. Ratification. This ordinance ratifies and approves all former acts of the
19 Oversight Board, staff of the Successor Agency, the Department of Administrative Services,
20 the Controller and other City employees and officials regarding implementation of the
21 surviving redevelopment projects, other enforceable obligations, and other work in furtherance
22 of the Successor Agency's obligations under the Redevelopment Dissolution Law.


23 Section 9. Additional Acts. This ordinance directs the Commission to take all steps
24 that are appropriate to effectuate the purpose of this ordinance consistent with the
25 Redevelopment Dissolution Law. In addition, this ordinance directs the Successor Agency to

1 report to this Board of Supervisors, in its capacity as the legislative body of the Successor
2 Agency, within 120 days of the effective date of this ordinance, with a framework to which the
3 Successor Agency and the Mayor's Office of Housing have agreed for fulfilling their respective
4 responsibilities for the implementation plan for the funding and approval of affordable housing
5 projects required under the Major Approved Development Projects and the Retained Housing
6 Obligations. The Successor Agency may report to this Board either in writing or at a public
7 meeting of this Board.

8 Section 10. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 30 days from the
9 date of passage.

10 APPROVED AS TO FORM:
11 DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

12 By:

13 
14 Robert A. Bryan
15 Deputy City Attorney



City and County of San Francisco
Tails
Ordinance

City Hall
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

File Number: 120898

Date Passed: October 02, 2012

Ordinance of the Successor Agency to the former Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco implementing the State redevelopment dissolution law known as AB X1 26 (2011) in furtherance of the recently adopted amendments to that law known as AB 1484 and of previously adopted City policies, by: 1) acknowledging that AB 1484 provides that the Successor Agency is a separate legal entity from the City, with the Successor Agency holding all of the transferred assets and obligations of the former Redevelopment Agency (other than the affordable housing assets) distinct from the City, and with the Oversight Board performing specific functions set forth in the State redevelopment dissolution law; 2) officially naming the Successor Agency as the "Successor Agency to the Redevelopment Agency of the City and County of San Francisco;" 3) acknowledging that former Redevelopment Agency employees who became Successor Agency employees by operation of AB 26 and were assigned to R classifications, and who continued in those R classifications through the effective date of AB 1484, shall continue to be Successor Agency employees; 4) creating the Successor Agency Commission as the policy body of the Successor Agency and delegating to it the authority to act in place of the former Redevelopment Agency Commission to implement the surviving redevelopment projects, the replacement housing obligations and other enforceable obligations and the authority to take actions that the State redevelopment dissolution law requires or allows on behalf of the Successor Agency; 5) establishing the composition and terms of the members of the Successor Agency Commission, setting forth voting requirements and providing for the Successor Agency Commission to appoint an Executive Director of the Successor Agency; 6) authorizing the Successor Agency to retain the City Attorney as its legal counsel; 7) ratifying prior acts; and 8) authorizing and directing the Successor Agency Commission to take all appropriate steps to effectuate the purpose of this ordinance consistent with the State redevelopment dissolution law.

September 25, 2012 Board of Supervisors - AMENDED, AN AMENDMENT OF THE WHOLE BEARING NEW TITLE

September 25, 2012 Board of Supervisors - PASSED ON FIRST READING AS AMENDED

Ayes: 10 - Avalos, Chiu, Chu, Cohen, Elsbernd, Farrell, Kim, Mar, Olague and Wiener

Noes: 1 - Campos

October 02, 2012 Board of Supervisors - FINALLY PASSED

Ayes: 9 - Avalos, Chiu, Chu, Cohen, Elsbernd, Kim, Mar, Olague and Wiener

Noes: 1 - Campos


Excused: 1 - Farrell

File No. 120898

I hereby certify that the foregoing
Ordinance was FINALLY PASSED on
10/2/2012 by the Board of Supervisors of the
City and County of San Francisco.



Angela Calvillo
Clerk of the Board



Mayor

10/4/2012

Date Approved