

**From:** [Rishav Rout](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 12:17:15 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,  
Rishav Rout

Rishav Rout  
rout.rishav@gmail.com  
1075 Valencia St, Apt. 1  
San Francisco, California 94110

**From:** [Natalie Lopez](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 12:38:21 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Natalie Lopez  
naylo245@gmail.com  
1300 Mills St  
Menlo Park, California 94025

**From:** [Tyler Breisacher](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 12:39:38 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Tyler Breisacher (D5 resident)

Tyler Breisacher  
matrixfrog@gmail.com  
888 O'Farrell St, Apt E706  
San Francisco, California 94109

**From:** [Jen Snyder](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 1:01:54 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Jen Snyder

jencsnnyder@gmail.com

1161 Hayes Street, Apartment 3, Apartment 3

San Francisco, California 94117-1651



**From:** [Jesse Stout](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please vote AGAINST Removing Commissioner Carter-Oberstone  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 1:02:41 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear BOS Members,

Please oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police Commission issued general order 9.07 banning "pretext" stops which enable racial profiling in

traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Jesse Stout

[jessestout@gmail.com](mailto:jessestout@gmail.com)

1400 MISSION ST, APT 1309

SAN FRANCISCO, California 94103-3082

**From:** [Christopher Mika](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 1:05:12 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Christopher Mika  
mika.christopher@gmail.com  
811 Geary St.  
San Francisco , California 94109

**From:** [Justin Dolezal](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 1:12:30 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Justin Dolezal  
justin.t.dolezal@gmail.com  
826 TREAT AVE  
San Francisco, California 94110

**From:** [Adrian Plaisance](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 1:14:40 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Adrian Plaisance  
adrianplaisance@gmail.com  
1618 Broderick Street  
San Francisco, California 94115



**From:** [Shasta Garcia](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 1:19:23 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning "pretext" stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Shasta Garcia

Shasta Garcia

shastablsta@gmail.com

872 Rhode Island St

San Francisco , California 94107

**From:** [Victoria Adams](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 1:20:47 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Victoria Adams  
victorialeighadams@gmail.com  
510 26TH AVE  
San Francisco, California 94121

**From:** [Isabella Hill](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 1:22:54 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Isabella Hill

Isabella Hill

isabella.w.hill@gmail.com

836 HAIGHT ST

SAN FRANCISCO, California 94117

**From:** [Mike Midden](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 1:23:31 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Mike Midden  
slacks@gmail.com  
501 Capp  
SF, California 94110



**From:** [Tanvi Mer](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 1:24:43 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Tanvi Mer  
tmeringengi@gmail.com  
185 Channel St  
San Francisco , California 94158

**From:** [Raya Steier](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 1:32:01 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Raya Steier  
sarkar.raya@gmail.com  
1723 Holloway Avenue  
San Francisco , California 94132

**From:** [Gwen McLaughlin](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 1:40:13 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning "pretext" stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Gwen McLaughlin  
gm72297@gmail.com  
2008 BALBOA ST  
San Francisco, California 94121

**From:** [Carlos Ciudad-Real](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 1:41:17 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Carlos Ciudad-Real  
cmciudadreal@gmail.com  
1222 Harrison St, Apt 1203  
San Francisco, California 94103



**From:** [Alvin Lee](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 1:52:32 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Alvin Lee

Alvin Lee

alvin.ch.lee@gmail.com

174 1/2 Hartford Street

San Francisco, California 94114

**From:** [Marisol Ramirez](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 1:57:03 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Marisol Ramirez  
willwork4tacos@gmail.com  
1367 Hudson Ave  
San Francisco, California 94124

**From:** [Shanti Singh](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 2:01:18 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Shanti Singh  
shanti.priyasingh@gmail.com  
1441 Clay St, Apt. 10  
San Francisco, California 94109

**From:** [Matthew Coleman](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 2:03:40 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning "pretext" stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Matthew

Matthew Coleman  
matthewbcoleman2017@gmail.com  
319 20th Avenue  
San Francisco, California 94121



**From:** [Alice Duesdieker](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 2:04:09 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Alice Duesdieker  
D4 Resident

Alice Duesdieker  
alice.dues@gmail.com  
1850 39th Ave  
San Francisco , California 94122

**From:** [Luc Bouchard](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 2:10:56 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Luc Bouchard

1215 Greenwich St. Apt 1A

San Francisco CA, 94109

Luc Bouchard

lbouchard010@gmail.com

1215 Greenwich St

San Francisco, California 94109

**From:** [Dimitry Yakoushkin](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 2:13:39 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

To the six or seven of the Members of the Board of Supervisors who are on the right side of history, who are not in the pocket of the SFPOA and Mayor Lurie, and who will listen,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. Without oversight of the SFPD, and with our DA's explicit messaging that she will not prosecute any police, we will be in a functional police state.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police Commission issued general order 9.07 banning "pretext" stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then

can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Dimitry Yakoushkin, district 8 resident and SF resident of 20+ years.

Dimitry Yakoushkin

dimitry.multi@gmail.com

29 Ford St, San Francisco CA 94114

San Francisco, California 94114

**From:** [Cindy Rodríguez](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 2:24:12 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning "pretext" stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Cindy Rodríguez  
cincyerrr@yahoo.com  
47 Gutenberg  
San Francisco , California 94112



**From:** [Emilie Struthers](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 2:26:24 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,  
Emilie

Emilie Struthers  
emiliestruthers@gmail.com  
655 shotwell street  
San Francisco, California 94110

**From:** [Brette Howard](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 2:27:57 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning "pretext" stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Brette Howard  
lteinhorn@yahoo.com  
164 4TH AVE  
San Francisco, California 94118

**From:** [Michael Smith](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 2:34:32 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Michael Smith

Michael Smith  
msmithtransit@gmail.com  
536 Broderick St  
San Francisco, California 94117

**From:** [Alex Lantsberg](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 2:42:31 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Alex Lantsberg  
lantsberg@gmail.com  
991 Innes Ave  
San Francisco, California 94124



**From:** [danielle mcvay](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 2:45:27 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning "pretext" stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

danielle mcvay

lovedanielle@gmail.com

300 ANZAVISTA AVE

SAN FRANCISCO, California 94115

**From:** [Brendan Kierans](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 2:51:27 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Brendan Kierans  
bpkierans@gmail.com  
3318 WILSON PL  
Oakland, California 94602

**From:** [Olivia Cunningham](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 2:52:16 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Olivia Cunningham  
cunninghamolivia@gmail.com  
431 12th Ave  
San Francisco , California 94118

**From:** [Darcie Bell](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 3:02:21 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Darcie Bell  
darciebell@gmail.com  
7 Beaver Street  
San Francisco , California 94114



**From:** [Michela Garber](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 3:02:59 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,  
Michela Garber  
D7 Resident, D2 worker, pedestrian and transit rider

Michela Garber  
garber.michela@gmail.com  
1246A 9th Avenue  
San Francisco, California 94122

**From:** [Julien Ball](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 3:15:20 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Julien Ball  
julienball4@gmail.com  
10 Lundys In  
san Francisco , California 94110

**From:** [Melinda Huot](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 3:20:23 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning "pretext" stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Melinda H  
18 year SF resident

Melinda Huot  
melhuot@gmail.com  
1316 Hayes street  
San Francisco , California 94117

**From:** [Serena McNair](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 3:21:28 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Serena McNair

serenadmcnair@gmail.com

50 Chumasero Drive, Apt. 5F

San Francisco, California 94132-2306



**From:** [Ian James](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 3:58:42 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Ian James  
ianhenryjames@gmail.com  
155 Haight St, Unit 304  
San Francisco, California 94102

**From:** [Max Wickham](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 4:19:28 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning "pretext" stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Max Wickham  
mtorchiowickham@gmail.com  
1407 Castillo st  
San Francisco , California 94118

**From:** [Noah Sloss](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 4:36:38 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Noah Sloss  
noahsloss@gmail.com  
2280 Pine St  
SF, California 94115

**From:** [Anya Worley-Ziegmann](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 4:37:45 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Anya

Anya Worley-Ziegmann  
anyaziegmann@gmail.com  
440 Davis Ct  
San Francisco, California 94111



**From:** [Lisa Awbrey](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 4:51:14 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Mayor Lurie and members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election.

San Francisco needs 100 more Max Carter Oberstones. San Francisco, skins demand and expect oversight, transparency, and accountability from our elected leaders and from the SFPD.

Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data

showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police Commission issued general order 9.07 banning "pretext" stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Lisa Awbrey

Lisa Awbrey  
weegreenmea@gmail.com  
1969 Hayes Street  
San Francisco, California 94116

**From:** [Edna Kozikaro](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 4:51:27 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning "pretext" stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Edna Kozikaro  
ednakozikaro@gmail.com  
115 gough street  
san francisco, California 94102

**From:** [Sean Presley](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 4:59:39 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Sean Presley

Sean Presley  
seanpaulpresley@gmail.com  
1006 HOWARD ST  
Santa Rosa, California 95404

**From:** [Ren Fitzgerald](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 5:05:58 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Ren Fitzgerald  
renfitzgerald04@gmail.com  
1837 Parker Street  
Berkeley, California 94703



**From:** [Zoe Williams](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 5:08:04 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Zoe Williams  
zoephillipsw@gmail.com  
1240 27th Ave  
San Francisco, California 94122

**From:** [Madeline Love](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 5:26:27 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Madeline Love  
madilove27@gmail.com  
1211 Arguello Blvd  
San Francisco , California 94122

**From:** [Julia Gitis](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 5:48:21 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Julia Gitis  
juliag@gmail.com  
109 madrone Ave  
San Francisco, California 94127

**From:** [lilysloane@gmail.com](mailto:lilysloane@gmail.com)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 5:58:28 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,  
Lily Sloane  
Noe Valley Resident

[lilysloane@gmail.com](mailto:lilysloane@gmail.com)  
1192 Dolores St  
San Francisco, California 94110



**From:** [Brendan Hagarty](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 6:13:13 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning "pretext" stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,  
Brendan Hagarty

Brendan Hagarty  
brndnhgrty@gmail.com  
125 shotwell st  
San Francisco , California 94103

**From:** [Nicole Greene](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 6:15:20 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. WE NEED POLICE OVERSIGHT. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Nicole Greene  
nicolecolettegreene@gmail.com  
1080 EDDY ST APT 604  
San Francisco, California 94109

**From:** [Lauren Christensen](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 6:16:14 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Lauren Christensen

Lauren Christensen  
lchristensen314@gmail.com  
325 Guerrero St  
San Francisco, California 94103

**From:** [Jeremiah Currier](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 6:42:09 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

JEREMIAH CURRIER

Jeremiah Currier  
jeremiah.currier@gmail.com  
359 Fillmore St, Apt 12  
San Francisco, California 94117



**From:** [Victoria Adams](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 6:45:48 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,  
Victoria Adams

Victoria Adams  
victorialeighadams@gmail.com  
510 26TH AVE  
San Francisco, California 94121

**From:** [Stevie Garcia](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 6:47:42 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning "pretext" stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Stevie Garcia  
fleetfeet88@yahoo.com  
150 Carl st  
San Francisco , California 94117

**From:** [Meena Rezaei](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 6:48:46 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Meena Rezaei  
mnrz4545@gmail.com  
3226 25th St  
San Francisco, California 94110

**From:** [Elizabeth Hille](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 7:07:05 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Hille  
elizhille@gmail.com  
2639 21st Street  
San Francisco, California 94110



**From:** [Lor OConnor](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 7:16:25 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning "pretext" stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Lor OConnor  
loco.lark@gmail.com  
1145 KEARNY ST APT 7  
San Francisco, California 94133

**From:** [Thomas Skelly](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 7:30:40 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning "pretext" stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Thomas Skelly  
tskelly99@gmail.com  
2332 Ortega Street  
San Francisco, California 94122

**From:** [Bunny McFadden](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 7:49:58 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning "pretext" stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Bunny McFadden  
residue.wooden0h@icloud.com  
667 Fell st  
San Francisco, California 94102

**From:** [Joshua Ochoa](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 8:17:30 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Joshua Ochoa  
joshuarudychoa@gmail.com  
2155 15th St  
San Francisco, California 94114



**From:** [Bianca Gonzalez](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 8:29:04 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning "pretext" stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Bianca Gonzalez

Bianca Gonzalez  
bgonzalez493@gmail.com  
256 Brighton Ave  
San Francisco, California 94112

**From:** [torotoro26@gmail.com](mailto:torotoro26@gmail.com)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 8:43:28 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning "pretext" stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Fadi Shihadeh

torotoro26@gmail.com

2006 22nd Street

San Francisco, California 94107

**From:** [Joscelyn Meador](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 8:51:02 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning "pretext" stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Joscelyn Meador  
josci\_mead@yahoo.com  
269 church st  
San Francisco , California 94114

**From:** [Langford Poh](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 9:02:14 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning "pretext" stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Langford J Poh

Langford Poh  
pohpoh@gmail.com  
269 Church St #9  
San Francisco, California 94114



**From:** [Lindsey Coonan](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 9:04:58 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Lindsey Coonan

Lindsey Coonan

[lindsey.coonan@gmail.com](mailto:lindsey.coonan@gmail.com)

1342 Hayes Street

San Francisco, California 94117

**From:** [emma.jackson](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 9:10:30 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

emma jackson  
emmajackson@gmail.com  
175 RED ROCK WAY  
San Francisco, California 94131

**From:** [Carl Castro](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 9:27:39 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Carl R Castro

Carl Castro

carlrcastro@me.com

55 Hermann No. 502

San Francisco, California 94102

**From:** [Molly Hayden](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 9:34:15 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,  
Molly

Molly Hayden  
molly.hayden@me.com  
144A SCOTT ST  
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94117



**From:** [Zaki Shaheen](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 9:46:19 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Zaki

Zaki Shaheen  
zakishaheen1@gmail.com  
2390 31st Avenue Apt. 103  
San Francisco, California 94116

**From:** [Gloria Maciejewski](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 9:51:12 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to strongly urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission.

San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning "pretext" stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

On a personal note, I am raising two children in the Mission district, and when they were young, there were so many incidents where the police had shot and killed men near our home, that my kids began to think all police officers were bad people and corrupt. Things have improved so much since Police Chief Greg Suhr was forced to leave his position, but it is so important to have oversight!

Sincerely,  
Gloria Maciejewski

Gloria Maciejewski  
glowglow9@gmail.com  
3277 20th street  
San Francisco , California 94110

**From:** [Msry Bugarin](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 9:53:19 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Msry Bugarin  
maria.bugarin7@gmail.com  
1130 Filbert Street. Apt.4  
S an Francisco, California 94108

**From:** [Veena Bansal](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 9:57:40 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Veena Bansal  
vab56@georgetown.edu  
1426 pine grove way  
San Jose , California 95129



**From:** [Alexander Lawhorn](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 9:59:16 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Alexander Lawhorn  
alexanderlawhorn@gmail.com  
612 Central Ave.  
San Francisco, California 94117

**From:** [Susan Englander](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 10:00:36 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning "pretext" stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Susan Englander  
senglander52@gmail.com  
3456 17th St  
San Francisco, California 94110

**From:** [kristaannelkin@gmail.com](mailto:kristaannelkin@gmail.com)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 10:02:40 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning "pretext" stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

kristaannelkin@gmail.com  
2250 30TH AVE  
San Francisco, California 94116

**From:** [Thomas Swanson](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 10:10:00 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning "pretext" stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Thomas Swanson  
swansont96@gmail.com  
3 Natalie circle  
petaluma, California 94952



**From:** [Vanessa Pimentel](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 10:26:05 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,  
Vanessa Pimentel

Vanessa Pimentel  
vanessa.pimentel26@gmail.com  
2026 Palou Ave  
San Francisco , California 94124

**From:** [Luana Lima Silva](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 10:28:22 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Luana Lima Silva  
limaluana141@gmail.com  
1808a 8th St  
Berkeley, California 94710

**From:** [Isabella Kintz](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 10:33:41 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning "pretext" stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Isabella Kintz

Isabella Kintz

izzykintz6@gmail.com

650 arguello

San Francisco, California 94118

**From:** [Annabelle Littlejohn-Bailey](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 10:34:55 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Annabelle

Annabelle Littlejohn-Bailey  
annabellelittlejohnbailey@gmail.com  
890 Geary Street, Apt 43  
San Francisco, California 94109



**From:** [dorothywins@gmail.com](mailto:dorothywins@gmail.com)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 10:40:38 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. It is shocking and upsetting that a new leader could come in and remove a voice for justice, integrity, and honesty. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of

white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police Commission issued general order 9.07 banning "pretext" stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Young

dorothywins@gmail.com  
168 Ridgewood Ave., Apt. B  
San Francisco, California 94112

**From:** [Nathan Lomas](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 10:46:58 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning "pretext" stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Nathan Lomas  
natedlomas@gmail.com  
1628 FOLSOM ST  
San Francisco, California 94103

**From:** [Megan Launer](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 10:53:44 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Megan Launer  
meganlauner@gmail.com  
624 Haight St  
San Francisco, California 94117

**From:** [liz\\_hatfield](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 11:17:33 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

liz hatfield  
liz.hatfield@me.com  
1230 HYDE ST  
San Francisco, California 94109



**From:** [Charlie Ertola](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 11:24:33 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Charlie Ertola  
charlieertola@gmail.com  
664a Greenwich St Apt A  
San Francisco, California 94133

**From:** [Danielle Hoang](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 11:26:16 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Danielle Hoang  
brokenpebblejewelry@gmail.com  
129 Bache St  
San Francisco, California 94110

**From:** [Samantha Mutter](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Thursday, February 20, 2025 11:57:41 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Samantha Mutter  
alison.mutter@gmail.com  
1951 Clement Street  
San Francisco, California 94121

**From:** [Jessica Goss](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Friday, February 21, 2025 12:10:38 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Jessica Goss  
news.snooze@gmail.com  
247 25th Ave  
San Francisco , California 94121



**From:** [Sydney Simpson](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Friday, February 21, 2025 12:16:37 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,  
Sydney Simpson

Sydney Simpson  
sjsimpson67@gmail.com  
537 NATOMA ST  
SAN FRANCISCO, California 94103

**From:** [Ryan Khojasteh](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Friday, February 21, 2025 7:41:26 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,  
Ryan Khojasteh

Ryan Khojasteh  
ryan.khojasteh@gmail.com  
368 20th avenue  
San Francisco , California 94121

**From:** [Nathaniel Hale](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Friday, February 21, 2025 8:05:00 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,  
Nathaniel Hale

Nathaniel Hale  
thanehale@gmail.com  
819 Judah St  
San Francisco, California 94122

**From:** [Jad Shaheen](#)  
**To:** [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Vote AGAINST Commissioner Carter-Oberstone's Removal  
**Date:** Friday, February 21, 2025 8:44:40 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Budget Clerk Brent Jalipa,

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to urge you to oppose Commissioner Max Carter-Oberstone's removal from the SFPD Commission. San Franciscans have consistently voiced their support for this independence, most recently rejecting an attempt to diminish the commission's autonomy in the November election. Two decades ago, voters amended the City Charter to guarantee commissioners' independence from the Mayor, a decision that reflects the community's desire for objective oversight. Previous attempts to bypass this independence, including former Mayor London Breed's practice of requiring appointees to sign undated resignation letters, were widely criticized for being inconsistent with the City Charter and for threatening the impartiality that is essential to meaningful oversight. Mayor Lurie's attempt to dismiss Max Carter Oberstone goes against the democratic mandate of independent oversight over police conduct in San Francisco.

Such independent oversight is especially necessary given the troubling history of racial discrimination within the SFPD. Decades of reports, including the ACLU's 2002 findings and more recent data from the California Department of Justice, have documented the systemic racial disparities in policing, with Black and Latino residents disproportionately subjected to police stops, searches, and excessive use of force. These disparities are not merely historical; they persist today, as evidenced by the SFPD's continued resistance to policy reforms aimed at reducing racial profiling. The Commission's role in overseeing these issues and pushing for necessary reforms is crucial, especially when the department itself resists changes that would protect marginalized communities. Commissioner Carter-Oberstone has been instrumental in advancing necessary reforms as part of his work on the Commission, and I oppose his removal.

The SFPD's opposition to measures aimed at curbing racially biased policing further underscores the necessity of a strong and independent Police Commission. The Commission's efforts to address racial disparities through new policy proposals have been met with fierce opposition from the San Francisco Police Officers Association, despite data showing the severity of the problem. For example, SFPD's own data shows SFPD officers stopped Black people at six times the rate of white people, searched them at more than 10 times the rate of white individuals and used force on them at more than 21 times the rate of white individuals. To reverse the trend of racial profiling during traffic stops, the SF Police

Commission issued general order 9.07 banning “pretext” stops which enable racial profiling in traffic stops. The SF Police Officers Association has filed suit to overturn this order from the Police commission. Without the ability to exercise independent judgment, the Police Commission would lack the authority to challenge entrenched practices that disproportionately harm Black and Brown communities, as demonstrated by their issuance of general order 9.07. As a vital check on police power, the Police Commission must remain autonomous and unencumbered by political influence to succeed at holding the SFPD accountable. Only then can we ensure fair treatment for all San Franciscans. To maintain the independence of the police commission, I urge you to oppose the Mayor's attempt to remove Commissioner Carter-Oberstone.

Sincerely,

Jad Shaheen  
shaheenjad@gmail.com  
3883 18th Street  
San Francisco, California 94104