

1 [Findings to Reverse the Common Sense Exemption - 2675 Geary Boulevard]

2

3 **Motion adopting findings to reverse the determination by the Planning Department that**  
4 **the proposed project at 2675 Geary Boulevard is exempt from further environmental**  
5 **review.**

6

7 WHEREAS, On September 11, 2020, the Planning Department issued a CEQA  
8 determination for the proposed project located at 2675 Geary Boulevard ("Project") under the  
9 California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines, and San Francisco  
10 Administrative Code, Chapter 31, finding that the Project is subject to the Common Sense  
11 Exemption; and

12 WHEREAS, The project site is a vacant 49,780-square-foot retail space within an  
13 existing 250,843- square-foot shopping center, the "City Center", located at the southeast  
14 corner of Masonic Avenue and Geary Boulevard, in the Western Addition Neighborhood of  
15 San Francisco (Assessor's Parcel Block No. 1094, Lot No. 001); the City Center shopping  
16 center, constructed in 1951, occupies the block bounded by Geary Boulevard to the north,  
17 Masonic Avenue to the west, O'Farrell Street to the south and Lyon Street to the east; the  
18 southern portion of the 288,297-square-foot City Center parcel (along O'Farrell Street) is  
19 generally upward sloping between Masonic Avenue and just east of Anza Vista Avenue, and  
20 then downward sloping from just east of Anza Vista Avenue to Lyon Street; the northern  
21 portion of the City Center parcel along Geary Boulevard is generally downward sloping  
22 between Masonic Avenue and Lyon Street; as a result, the 250,843 square feet of retail space  
23 in City Center is located on four levels with six separate parking lots (Lots A -F), each with  
24 independent access from O'Farrell Street, Geary Boulevard or Masonic Avenue; the City  
25 Center retail buildings are generally clustered along the northern portion of the City Center

1 parcel adjacent to Geary Boulevard and the northern portion of the Masonic Avenue  
2 frontages; the parking lots fan out from the City Center retail buildings to the south, southwest,  
3 east and southeast; and

4 WHEREAS, The proposed Project is a new grocery store, restaurant, and coffee bar  
5 use within an existing vacant retail space; the proposed project would include a 49,780-  
6 square-foot grocery store (Whole Foods), with a 3,320-square-foot restaurant, and a 1,190-  
7 square-foot coffee shop; the existing on-site parking “Lot C”, with 117 parking spaces, would  
8 be available for parking for Whole Foods customers; loading and deliveries would occur from  
9 an existing 3,528-square-foot on-site loading dock, accessed from O’Farrell Street just east of  
10 Anza Vista Avenue, via “Lot F;” no changes to vehicle parking, bicycle parking, loading,  
11 driveway access, or on-site circulation are proposed; in addition, no changes are proposed to  
12 the public right-of-way; the project is limited to interior renovation; the project does not include  
13 exterior construction and would not require excavation; and

14 WHEREAS, Pursuant to the CEQA Guidelines, the Planning Department issued a  
15 Common Sense Exemption for the project on September 11, 2020, finding that the proposed  
16 project is exempt from further review under CEQA; and

17 WHEREAS, On September 18, 2020, M.R. Wolfe & Associates, P.C., on behalf of Julie  
18 Fisher and Tony Vargas, and United Food & Commercial Workers Union (UFCW) Local 5,  
19 and its members who live and/or work in San Francisco (collectively, “Appellants”), filed an  
20 appeal of the CEQA determination; and

21 WHEREAS, By memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated September 29, 2020, the  
22 Planning Department’s Environmental Review Officer determined that the appeal was timely  
23 filed; and

24 WHEREAS, On November 17, 2020, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to  
25 consider the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellants; and

1           WHEREAS, The Board considered, among other issues, Appellants' argument that the  
2 Project would result in air quality impacts from emissions from delivery vehicles that could  
3 potentially expose sensitive receptors in the vicinity of the site to significant levels of toxic air  
4 contaminants; and

5           WHEREAS, Under CEQA Guidelines, Section 15061(b)(2), the Common Sense  
6 Exemption applies only "when it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the  
7 activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment;" and

8           WHEREAS, Appellants' claims raise serious concerns about the use of the Common  
9 Sense exemption in this instance, particularly the in light of the conflicting information in the  
10 record regarding potential air quality impacts; and

11           WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the exemption determination, this Board  
12 reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letter, the responses to the  
13 appeal documents that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before  
14 the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to  
15 the exemption determination appeal; and

16           WHEREAS, Following the conclusion of the public hearing, in Motion No. M20-0175  
17 the Board of Supervisors conditionally reversed the exemption determination for the Project  
18 subject to the adoption of written findings of the Board in support of such determination based  
19 on the written record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the  
20 public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal; and

21           WHEREAS, The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the  
22 appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the  
23 Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of  
24 the exemption determination is on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in File No.  
25

1 201127 and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now, therefore, be  
2 it

3           MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors reverses the determination by the Planning  
4 Department that the Project is exempt from CEQA under the Common Sense Exemption; and,  
5 be it

6           FURTHER MOVED, That the Board directs the Planning Department to further analyze  
7 the potential air quality impacts of the Project to sensitive receptors in the vicinity of the  
8 Project site; and, be it

9           FURTHER MOVED, That as to all other issues, the Board finds the Common Sense  
10 Exemption conforms to the requirements of CEQA and is adequate, accurate, and objective,  
11 the record does not include substantial evidence to support a fair argument that the project  
12 may have a significant effect on the environment, and no further analysis is required.

13

14 n:\land\as2020\1900434\01497870.docx

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



# City and County of San Francisco

## Tails

### Motion: M21-047

City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

**File Number:** 210266

**Date Passed:** March 16, 2021

Motion adopting findings to reverse the determination by the Planning Department that the proposed project at 2675 Geary Boulevard is exempt from further environmental review.

March 16, 2021 Board of Supervisors - APPROVED

Ayes: 11 - Chan, Haney, Mandelman, Mar, Melgar, Peskin, Preston, Ronen, Safai, Stefani and Walton

File No. 210266

**I hereby certify that the foregoing Motion was APPROVED on 3/16/2021 by the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco.**

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read "Angela Calvillo".

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board