

	a section of the sect
1	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA
2	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
3	BEFORE THE HON. A. JAMES ROBERTSON II, JUDGE PRESIDING
4	DEPARTMENT NUMBER 502
5	000
6	PETER M. OWENS, an)
7	individual, CAROLYN A.) RADISCH, an individual,) STEPHEN I OWENS on) Case No.
8	STEPHEN L. OWENS, an) Case No. individual,) CGC-14-543437
9	Plaintiffs,)
10	vs.
11	IRIS CANADA, an individual,) OLD REPUBLIC TITLE COMPANY, a)
12	California corporation, and)
	DOES 1-10, inclusive,) Pages 1 - 13
13)
14	Defendants.)
15	
16	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
17	Wednesday, April 27, 2016
18	
19	Reported By:
20	Sarah Goekler, CSR No. 13446, RPR, CRR, CCRR
21	
22	JAN BROWN & ASSOCIATES
23	WORLDWIDE DEPOSITION & VIDEOGRAPHY SERVICES
24	701 Battery Street, 3rd Floor, San Francisco, CA 94111
25	(415)981-3498 or (800)522-7096
-	1

1	APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL
2	
3	For Plaintiffs:
4	ZACKS, FREEDMAN & PATTERSON 235 Montgomery Street, Suite 400
5	San Francisco, California 94104
6	Phone: (415)956-8100 Email: az@zfplaw.com
7	mark@zfplaw.com BY: ANDREW M. ZACKS, ATTORNEY AT LAW MARK B. CHERNEV, ATTORNEY AT LAW
8	
9	For Defendants:
10	HOMELESS ADVOCACY PROJECT/JDC
11	125 Hyde Street San Francisco, California 94102
12	Phone: (415)865-9216 Email: mspalding@sfbar.org
13	ekatayama@sfbar.org BY: MICHAEL SPALDING, ATTORNEY AT LAW
	ERIN KATAYAMA, ATTORNEY AT LAW
14	
1,5	
16	00
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
24	
25	
	2
	-

- 1	
1	Wednesday, April 27, 2016 9:48 a.m.
2	PROCEEDINGS
3	000
4	THE CLERK: Can I have your appearances for
5	the record.
6	MR. ZACKS: Andrew Zacks for the plaintiffs.
7	MR. CHERNEV: Mark Chernev for Plaintiff.
8	THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Zacks.
9	Good morning.
10	MR. SPALDING: Michael Spalding for Defendant.
11	THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Spalding.
12	Good morning.
13	MS. KATAYAMA: Erin Katayama for Defendant.
14	THE COURT: Good morning, Ms. Katayama.
15	THE CLERK: This is the matter of Peter M.
16	Owens, et al. versus Iris Canada, et al., and the case
17	number is CGC-14-543437.
18	THE COURT: So do we have is Ms. Canada
19	present?
20	MR. SPALDING: She is, Your Honor.
21	THE COURT: All right. And how about the
22	plaintiffs?
23	MR. ZACKS: Plaintiffs are on the East Coast,
24	but I have full authority to act on their behalf,
25	Your Honor.
	3

THE COURT: All right. So at the last hearing, I distributed to you a tentative decision, and basically continue the matter a week to see if some resolution could be reached before considering the tentative decision.

The tentative decision basically provided that Defendants' motion for relief was to be -- from forfeiture was to be granted. However, it was conditioned that Defendant make full compensation to the plaintiff and honors her obligations under the promissory note, deed of trust, and life estate.

And I set forth the conditions, the defendant shall pay Plaintiffs' reasonable attorneys' fees to be determined on a motion for attorneys' fees.

Defendant shall make -- shall timely make all the payments currently due under the agreement up to and including May 2016. Payment by no later than May 6, which I might revise to give a little more time to.

Defendant shall make all subsequent payments in a timely manner.

Defendant shall not establish any tenancies or allow occupancy by any individuals other than himself.

This includes but is not limited to any care provider.

No one other than Defendant may receive mail at the premises. Notices regarding any individual providing

permanent care must be given to the plaintiff within 48 hours of the inception of such care.

Defendant must notify Plaintiff in writing if she is absent from the premises for more than 14 days. Such notice shall be provided at the inception of the absence, if the length of the absence is known, or within two business days of the absence reaching 14 days.

So that was the tentative that I have. Have we any further argument on this? Any settlement possibilities here that have been reached?

MR. ZACKS: Your Honor --

THE COURT: That was the purpose for the week's continuance.

MR. ZACKS: Andrew Zacks on behalf of the plaintiffs, Your Honor.

Regrettably, we have not received any proposals and have not been able to resolve the matter. I do want to make clear my clients submit to the tentative ruling with some very minor clarifications that we proposed to the Court regarding the timing of the attorneys' fees matter.

I also want to make clear to the Court that my client is fully prepared to give up any right to attorneys' fees in this case and any costs associated

with this matter merely for the exchange of signatures on the necessary subdivision paperwork so that this six-unit building can be lawfully converted to condominiums.

There are five other owners of this building who are residing in the property as owners. Under San Francisco law, they have a right to convert to condominiums.

Because of the fact that Ms. Canada was on title as a life estate holder, her signature was necessary, according to the City and County. We have simply been requesting that signature for several years and that's what's actually led to this litigation.

If Ms. Canada is prepared to sign those subdivision papers, my client is fully prepared to give up on the attorneys' fees that they're entitled to under the law and what the Court has recognized should be ordered.

And I will note we have a notary public here in the courtroom today prepared to notarize Ms. Canada's signature if she's prepared to execute those documents.

THE COURT: So, Mr. Zacks, let me ask this question: So does -- if she signs the condominium conversion, does this have any effect on her life estate?

1	MR. ZACKS: Absolutely not, Your Honor,
2	assuming the Court would reinstate it in the manner that
3	the relief had granted. Ms. Canada would have the right
4	to remain in the unit pursuant to the terms of the life
5	estate, and she would have always had the right to
6	remain in the unit, and that was always the intention of
7	my client.
8	THE COURT: So she could stay in the unit if
9	she signs the tenancy document under the same terms as
10	before?
11	MR. ZACKS: Correct.
12	THE COURT: And you'd give up what you're
13	entitled to, which is reasonable attorneys' fees.
14	MR. ZACKS: Correct, Your Honor. And, for the
15	record, Your Honor, that was always my client's
16	intention, and that is what led to this dispute, the
17	refusal, quite frankly, not by Ms. Canada but by the
18	family members to allow that to happen, Your Honor.
19	THE COURT: So, Mr. Spalding, any I
20	understand the situation here.
21	MR. SPALDING: I just wanted to raise a couple
22	issues, Your Honor.
23	THE COURT: Yes. Mr. Spalding, I welcome your
24	comments.
25	MR. SPALDING: On the proposed order with

respect to the nature of Ms. Canada's caregiver. We interpret the provision that notice regarding any individuals providing permanent care as to contemplator provide that Ms. Canada could have an in-home or around-the-clock care provider in a way that wouldn't establish any right to possession for that care provider but would allow Ms. Canada to get the full benefits of the life estate that she has purchased.

So we would just like some additional clarity in No. 4, that it does provide for her to have an in-home or around-the-clock care provider without violating the tentative order and, No. 5, just that it provides the notice to Plaintiff can be provided by anybody, not the defendant herself.

THE COURT: Mr. Zacks.

MR. ZACKS: Obviously to the second matter we have no issue.

We have concerns about in-home care providers, and we do think the tentative ruling correctly addressed that issue. Obviously, we don't have those persons present in court to execute documentation, and this building would be subject to the provisions of San Francisco's Rent Control Ordinance.

The concern that the owners would have about having that be part of this order is that it's not clear

that the person who would be residing in the unit would not later assert some claim or right to possession under the Rent Ordinance, which is something that we do see occasionally in cases that involved home caregivers.

I do think that Counsel and I might be able to work out some language to amend this order before the time of the attorneys' fees issue that would address this issue.

We would be very willing to work with Counsel to try and come to some language that would include a specific agreement that the caregiver would have to sign so that the caregiver was relinquishing any claims to protections under the Rent Ordinance and any claims that the caregiver might make to being a tenant in the building.

So if we were to work on that level of detail,

I think we could probably come to some agreement.

I don't see any reason why this order can't go ahead and be executed. Obviously, we're going to have a further stay that's going to occur as a result of the attorneys' fees matter that's going to happen. And between now and the attorneys' fees time, I'm confident that Counsel and I can work out language that would address Counsel's concern on this.

THE COURT: So let me ask now, so my tentative

order provides for attorneys' fees to be determined on a motion for attorneys' fees.

When would you contemplate having such a motion heard?

MR. ZACKS: We would file the motion within the next week and have it heard in the next -- within the next three weeks, Your Honor, if the Court is available. Obviously, we would be on the Court's disposal on scheduling, but we would be anticipating doing that rather promptly, and obviously a stay would be in place through that period.

And our proposal contemplates that a stay would remain in effect for a 14-day period after that order, the attorneys' fees order, is entered to give Ms. Canada sufficient time to make payment on the attorneys' fees order, whatever that might be, and of course that's also something to be revisited once we get to that point of the litigation.

THE COURT: Anything further?

MR. SPALDING: We would ask that after the order providing for attorneys' fees is entered, that the defendants have 30 days to post or pay the attorneys' fees instead of the 14 that have been provided for here and that we -- you do not adopt the language that no further stays of eviction shall issue at that point,

giving you the discretion depending on the facts of this 1 case to stop issuing stays when it's warranted. 2 3 MR. ZACKS: No objection to 30 days, 4 Your Honor. 5 We do think there have been enough stays in this case, and that there should be an order that no 6 7 further stays should --8 THE COURT: Mr. Spalding, I'm going to accept 9 your suggestion for 30 days. And I'm going to delete 10 "no further stays of execution." 11 Mr. Spalding, I don't think I'm going to modify 4 at this time, but I'm going to leave that open 12 13 for you and Mr. Zacks to discuss. I don't see any 14 reason why that can't be -- appropriate language can't 15 be adopted to meet your objective of having a 24-hour caregiver present. But I think it would require some 16 17 redrafting from attorneys like yourselves that are familiar with the San Francisco Rent Ordinance. 18 19 MR. SPALDING: Thank you, Your Honor. 20 THE COURT: So anything further? 21 MR. ZACKS: Nothing further, Your Honor. 22 Thank you to the Court for your time. 23 THE COURT: I'm going to go ahead and execute 24 the order then. I appreciate your coming in today. 25 Thank you very much.

11

```
1
               Mr. Zacks and Mr. Spalding, please advise my
     clerk of when you would like to have that motion for
 2
 3
     attorneys' fees heard.
               MR. ZACKS: Thank you, Your Honor. We will.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: Thank you very much.
 6
               We're in recess.
 7
                (Proceedings adjourned at 10:01 a.m.)
 8
                             ---000---
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
                                                              12
```

į	
1	State of California)
2	
3	County of San Francisco)
4	
5	I, Sarah Goekler, Court Reporter at the
6	Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco,
7	do hereby certify:
8	That I was present at the time of the above
9	proceedings;
10	That I took down in machine shorthand notes
11	all proceedings had and testimony given;
12	That I thereafter transcribed said shorthand
13	notes with the aid of a computer;
14	That the above and foregoing is a full, true,
15	and correct transcription of said shorthand notes, and a
16	full, true, and correct transcript of all proceedings
17	had and testimony taken;
18	That I am not a party to the action or related
19	to a party or counsel;
20	That I have no financial or other interest in
21	the outcome of the action.
22	Dated: May 10, 2016
23	
24	Lough J. Agebla
25	Sarah Goekler, CSR No. 13446
	13