

File No. 190827

Committee Item No. \_\_\_\_\_

Board Item No. 10

### COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

#### AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: \_\_\_\_\_  
Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date: \_\_\_\_\_  
Date: September 3, 2019

#### Cmte Board

- Motion
- Resolution
- Ordinance
- Legislative Digest
- Budget and Legislative Analyst Report
- Youth Commission Report
- Introduction Form
- Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report
- MOU
- Grant Information Form
- Grant Budget
- Subcontract Budget
- Contract/Agreement
- Award Letter
- Application
- Public Correspondence

#### OTHER

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____

Prepared by: Jocelyn Wong  
Prepared by: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: August 30, 2019  
Date: \_\_\_\_\_

1 [Affirming the Community Plan Evaluation - 655 Fourth Street]  
2

3 **Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that a proposed project**  
4 **at 655 Fourth Street is exempt from further environmental review under a Community**  
5 **Plan Evaluation.**  
6

7 WHEREAS, On June 11, 2019, the Planning Department issued a Community Plan  
8 Evaluation and an Initial Study (“environmental determination”), pursuant to the California  
9 Environmental Quality Act (CEQA), the CEQA Guidelines, 14 Cal. Code of Reg.,  
10 Sections 15000 et seq., and Chapter 31 of the San Francisco Administrative Code, finding  
11 that the proposed project at 655 Fourth Street, 280-290 Townsend Street, and 292-296  
12 Townsend Street (“Project”) is consistent with the development density established by zoning,  
13 community plan, and general plan policies in the Central South of Market (SoMa) Area Plan  
14 (the “Area Plan”) for the project site, for which a Programmatic Environmental Impact Report  
15 (the “PEIR”) was certified; and

16 WHEREAS, The project site is composed of seven lots (Assessor’s Parcel Block  
17 No. 3787, Lot Nos. 26, 28, 50, and 161-164); currently containing three buildings, an  
18 approximately 4,000-square-foot surface parking lot, and a 2,300-square-foot loading area;  
19 the project site is completely developed, has minimal landscaping, and has served largely  
20 commercial land uses; and

21 WHEREAS, Lot No. 26, in the northwest portion of the site, fronts onto Fourth Street  
22 and consists of one building built in 1947; the one-story portion of the building on the southern  
23 end of the lot is currently occupied by The Creamery—a café and restaurant; and  
24  
25

1           WHEREAS, A restaurant, gym, and several commercial office tenants occupy the rest  
2 of the building on the remainder of Lot No. 26; the building is 12 to 33 feet high and is not set  
3 back from the property line at the street front; and

4           WHEREAS, Lot No. 161 is a privately-owned driveway accessed via a 31-foot-wide  
5 curb cut along Townsend Street, which diagonally splits the project site between Lot No. 26  
6 and Lot No. 28; this driveway is approximately 275 feet long by 30 feet wide and is lined with  
7 approximately 30 trees; and there is one larger tree on the project site located on Lot No. 161;  
8 and

9           WHEREAS, Excluding the loading zone, there are 14 off-street parking spaces along  
10 Lot No. 161 on the southern portion of the project site; there are also 11 off-street parking  
11 spaces within Lot No. 50, a surface parking lot; Lot No. 50 is accessed via a 12-foot-wide curb  
12 cut along Townsend Street; and

13           WHEREAS, One building, built in 1948, occupies Lot No. 28 in the southeastern  
14 portion of the site; the two-story portion fronting Townsend Street is occupied by HD  
15 Buttercup, a retail business; and the one-story portion behind HD Buttercup is occupied by  
16 Bulthaupt, a remodeling business, and is accessed from the surface parking lot that is Lot  
17 No. 50 and the loading area that is part of Lot No. 161; and

18           WHEREAS, Lot Nos. 162-164 consist of one three-story building, built in 1996; the first  
19 floor is a commercial unit and the upper two floors are two separate residential units; off-street  
20 parking for Lot Nos. 162, 163, and 164, is accessed via the 31-foot-wide curb cut on  
21 Townsend Street, and each lot has an easement for one parking space within Lot No. 161 and  
22 an easement for ingress and egress through Lot No. 161 to access the reserved parking  
23 spaces; and

24           WHEREAS, The northwest property line of the project site faces the vehicular access  
25 driveway for 601 Fourth Street; and

1           WHEREAS, The Project would demolish the three existing buildings, associated  
2 surface parking lots, and vegetation on the project site, including street trees and other  
3 plantings; and

4           WHEREAS, The project would merge the seven existing lots and construct two new  
5 buildings containing approximately 1,004,000 square feet of residential area, 24,500 square  
6 feet of hotel area (38 hotel rooms), 21,900 square feet of office area, and approximately  
7 18,500 square feet of ground-floor retail use; and

8           WHEREAS, The residential portion of the proposed project would include  
9 approximately 960 dwelling units in a mix of 242 studios, 330 one-bedroom units, 351 two-  
10 bedroom units, and 37 three-bedroom condominiums; and

11           WHEREAS, Each building would have two towers: one of which would rise to a height  
12 of 425 feet aboveground (including rooftop appurtenances 25 feet above the highest occupied  
13 floor), and the second which would rise to a height of 370 feet aboveground (including 10 feet  
14 for rooftop appurtenances); and

15           WHEREAS, The proposed project would also include a 94,500-square-foot below-  
16 grade, four-level garage containing building amenities, a vehicle drop-off area, a loading dock,  
17 back of the house retail operations, refuse handling area, 276 car parking spaces, and other  
18 back-of-house features such as mechanical equipment required for operation and  
19 maintenance of the building; a 35-foot-wide curb cut on Townsend Street would provide two  
20 vehicle lanes and one two-way truck lane to access the vehicular ramp to the basement level;  
21 and

22           WHEREAS, The project proposes 540 class 1 bicycle parking stalls to be located in the  
23 basement and 81 class 2 bicycle parking stalls at grade; the project would include a number  
24 of wind reduction features: a porous façade on one of the towers; canopies installed on all  
25 four towers; a wind screen installed on the south side of Townsend Street near the

1 intersection of Townsend and Lusk streets; and onsite landscaping consisting of shrubs and  
2 deciduous trees; and

3 WHEREAS, The proposed project would require excavation to a maximum depth of  
4 approximately 55 feet below the ground surface for construction of the below-grade parking  
5 garage and building foundations, which would require the removal and disposal of  
6 approximately 142,000 cubic yards of soil; the proposed project would use concrete-framed  
7 buildings supported on a 12-foot-thick, steel-reinforced concrete mat foundation. No pile  
8 driving would be used for the project; and

9 WHEREAS, On, June 20, 2019, the Planning Commission adopted the environmental  
10 determination and approved the large project authorization for the project (Planning  
11 Commission Motion M-20470), which constituted the approval action under Chapter 31 of the  
12 Administrative Code; and

13 WHEREAS, By letter to the Clerk of the Board, dated July 22, 2019, Michael Cruz and  
14 Kevin Rudich on behalf of the 401 Fourth Street Coalition ("Appellant"), appealed the  
15 environmental determination; and

16 WHEREAS, The Planning Department's Environmental Review Officer, by  
17 memorandum to the Clerk of the Board dated July 25, 2019, determined that the appeal had  
18 been timely filed; and

19 WHEREAS, On September 3, 2019, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to  
20 consider the appeal of the environmental determination filed by Appellant and, following the  
21 public hearing, affirmed the environmental determination; and

22 WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the environmental determination, this Board  
23 reviewed and considered the environmental determination, the appeal letter, the responses to  
24 the appeal documents that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records  
25

1 before the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and  
2 opposed to the environmental determination appeal; and

3 WHEREAS, Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors  
4 affirmed the determination that the Project does not require further environmental review  
5 based on the written record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at  
6 the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal; and

7 WHEREAS, The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the  
8 appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the  
9 Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of  
10 the environmental determination is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. 190826  
11 and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now, therefore, be it

12 MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco  
13 hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by reference in this Motion, as though fully set  
14 forth, the environmental determination; and, be it

15 FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that based on the whole  
16 record before it there are no substantial project changes, no substantial changes in project  
17 circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the  
18 conclusions set forth in the environmental determination by the Planning Department that the  
19 Project does not require further environmental review; and, be it

20 FURTHER MOVED, That after carefully considering the appeal of the environmental  
21 determination, including the written information submitted to the Board of Supervisors and the  
22 public testimony presented to the Board of Supervisors at the hearing on the environmental  
23 determination, this Board concludes that the Project is consistent with the development  
24 density established by the zoning, community plan, and general plan policies in the Central  
25 SoMa Area Plan project area, for which the PEIR was certified; would not result in new

1 significant environmental effects, or effects of greater severity than were already analyzed and  
2 disclosed in the PEIR; and therefore does not require further environmental review in  
3 accordance with CEQA, Section 21083.3, and CEQA Guidelines, Section 15183.  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8

9 n:\land\as2019\1900434\01384062.docx  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Print Form

# Introduction Form

By a Member of the Board of Supervisors or Mayor

Time stamp  
or meeting date:

I hereby submit the following item for introduction (select only one):

- 1. For reference to Committee. (An Ordinance, Resolution, Motion or Charter Amendment).
- 2. Request for next printed agenda Without Reference to Committee.
- 3. Request for hearing on a subject matter at Committee.
- 4. Request for letter beginning "Supervisor [ ] inquiries"
- 5. City Attorney Request.
- 6. Call File No. [ ] from Committee.
- 7. Budget Analyst request (attached written motion).
- 8. Substitute Legislation File No. [ ]
- 9. Reactivate File No. [ ]
- 10. Topic submitted for Mayoral Appearance before the BOS on [ ]

Please check the appropriate boxes. The proposed legislation should be forwarded to the following:

- Small Business Commission
- Youth Commission
- Ethics Commission
- Planning Commission
- Building Inspection Commission

Note: For the Imperative Agenda (a resolution not on the printed agenda), use the Imperative Form.

Sponsor(s):

Clerk of the Board

Subject:

Affirming the Community Plan Evaluation - 655 Fourth Street

The text is listed:

Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department, that a proposed project at 655 Fourth Street is exempt from further environmental review under a Community Plan Evaluation.

Signature of Sponsoring Supervisor:

*Alisa Gomez*

For Clerk's Use Only