| 1 | [Residential Hotels: Tenant Mail Receptacles].] | | | | |
|----|---|--------------|--|--|--|
| 2 | | | | | |
| 3 | Ordinance amending the San Francisco Housing Code by amending Section 1002 and | | | | |
| 4 | amending the San Francisco Administrative Code by adding Chapter 41E to require | | | | |
| 5 | residential hotel owners to provide a United States Postal Service-approved mail | | | | |
| 6 | receptacle for each residential unit and by amending Section 37.14 to provide for an | | | | |
| 7 | appeal to the Rent Board for violation of this requirement; adopting findings pursuant | | | | |
| 8 | to California Health and Safety Code Section 17958.5. | | | | |
| 9 | | Note: | Additions are <u>single-underline italics Times New Roman;</u> deletions are <u>strikethrough italics Times New Roman</u> . | | |
| 10 | | | Board amendment additions are double underlined. | | |
| 11 | | | Board amendment deletions are strikethrough normal. | | |
| 12 | Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco: | | | | |
| 13 | Section 1. Findings pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 17958.5. The Board | | | | |
| 14 | of Supervisors finds that the findings set forth in Section 41E.2 of Section 3 below constitute | | | | |
| 15 | findings of local conditions justifying deviation from the California Housing Code. | | | | |
| 16 | Section 2. The San Francisco Housing Code is hereby amended by amending Section | | | | |
| 17 | 1002, to read as follows: | | | | |
| 18 | SEC. 1002. ADDITIONAL SUBSTANDARD CONDITIONS: ELECTRICAL OUTLETS, | | | | |
| 19 | ELEVATORS, AND ILLEGAL CONVERSION OF RESIDENTIAL HOTELS, AND RESIDENTIA | | | | |
| 20 | HOTEL MAIL RECEPTACLES. | | | | |
| 21 | In addition to the provisions set forth in Section 1001 of this chapter prescribed by | | | | |
| 22 | California Health and Safety Code, Division 13, Part 1.5, State Housing Law, Sections | | | | |
| 23 | 17920.3 et seq., the following conditions are considered substandard: | | | | |
| 24 | (a) | Electrical O | utlets. Habitable rooms and kitchens with insufficient number of | | |
| 25 | electrical con | venience ou | tlets as required by Section 504 of this Code. | | |

| 1 | (b) Elevators. Lack of elevator service as required by Section 713 of this Code. |
|----|--|
| 2 | (c) Illegal Conversion of Residential Hotels. Illegal conversion of any residential unit |
| 3 | of a residential hotel, or improper recordkeeping as defined and required by Chapter 41 of the |
| 4 | San Francisco Administrative Code. |
| 5 | (d) Mold and Mildew. The existence of mold and mildew which is chronic or severe |
| 6 | as defined by Chapter 4 of this code. |
| 7 | (e) Residential Hotel Mail Receptacles. Lack of an individual mail receptacle for each |
| 8 | residential unit in a residential hotel, as required by Section 41E of the San Francisco Administrative |
| 9 | Code. The hotel owner is responsible for making arrangements with the United States Postal Service |
| 10 | for the installation of these receptacles and delivery of mail thereto. Installation and maintenance of |
| 11 | the mail receptacles shall meet all of the specifications and requirements of the United States Postal |
| 12 | Service. Compliance with United States Postal Service specifications and requirements, and delivery of |
| 13 | mail by the United States Postal Service, will not be enforced by the Department of Building Inspection |
| 14 | Section 3. The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by adding |
| 15 | Chapter 41E, to read as follows: |
| 16 | SEC. 41E.1. TITLE. |
| 17 | This Chapter shall be known as the Residential Hotel Mail Receptacle Ordinance. For |
| 18 | purposes of this Chapter, the terms "owner," "permanent resident," "residential hotel," and "residential |
| 19 | unit" shall have the same meaning as those set forth in Administrative Code Sections 41.4(m), (n), (p), |
| 20 | and (q), respectively. |
| 21 | SEC. 41E.2. FINDINGS. |
| 22 | The Board of Supervisors finds that: |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

| 1 | (a) The high cost of housing in San Francisco makes residential hotels the only permanently |
|----|--|
| 2 | affordable housing option for many seniors, immigrants, families, and individuals on low or fixed |
| 3 | incomes. |
| 4 | (b) The City and County of San Francisco has recognized the essential role of residential |
| 5 | hotels and has utilized them as a valuable resource to permanently house more than 1,300 formerly |
| 6 | homeless people in the City's Master Lease Program, which has become a national model for |
| 7 | permanent supportive housing and an important part of finding a solution to the problem of |
| 8 | homelessness. |
| 9 | (c) If there are no individual mail receptacles at a residential hotel, the United States Postal |
| 10 | Service makes a "central delivery," where all mail is dropped in a bag at the front desk and distributed |
| 11 | by desk clerks. |
| 12 | (d) Desk clerks are low-paid, under-trained, and overburdened with the extra responsibility |
| 13 | of handling mail that adds time and difficulty to their jobs, resulting in mail frequently being lost, |
| 14 | misplaced, or accidentally given to the wrong person. In addition, mail is not forwarded to a tenant at |
| 15 | their new address when they move out of a residential hotel. |
| 16 | (e) This lack of services creates an undue burden for the tenants of residential hotels. Lost |
| 17 | or delayed mail has resulted in residential hotel tenants having been bumped to the back of the Section |
| 18 | 8 list after years of waiting, or losing out on other essential services because of missed appointments. |
| 19 | Many tenants must receive SSI, Veterans Disability, or paychecks on time in order to pay their rent for |
| 20 | the month. Tenants who move must return to their old address regularly and attempt to collect mail |
| 21 | rather than have it forwarded to their new address as the Postal Service does for apartment houses. |
| 22 | (f) Mail security and privacy are high priorities for the tenants of residential hotels. At the |
| 23 | Central City SRO Collaborative tenant convention, attended by 300 tenants from more than 100 San |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |

| 1 | Francisco residential hotels, problems with mail distribution in the hotels ranked as one of the most |
|----|--|
| 2 | pressing issues for the tenants surveyed. |
| 3 | (g) Many tenants of residential hotels have been homeless before and are understandably |
| 4 | afraid that lost or misplaced mail could result in homelessness again. |
| 5 | (h) While a number of non-profit owned or managed residential hotels have installed in |
| 6 | their lobbies mail receptacles for permanent residents that meet United States Postal Service |
| 7 | specifications, other residential hotels have not. |
| 8 | SEC. 41E.3. MAILBOXES FOR PERMANENT RESIDENTS OF RESIDENTIAL HOTELS. |
| 9 | (a) Within one year of the effective date of this Chapter, the owner of a residential hotel |
| 10 | shall install an individual mail receptacle for each residential unit. The mail receptacle shall be for |
| 11 | receipt of mail delivered by the United States Postal Service to a permanent resident of the hotel. The |
| 12 | hotel owner is responsible for making arrangements with the United States Postal Service for the |
| 13 | installation of these receptacles and delivery of mail thereto. Installation and maintenance of the mail |
| 14 | receptacles shall meet all of the specifications and requirements of the United States Postal Service. |
| 15 | SEC. 41E.4. PETITIONS BY PERMANENT RESIDENTS FOR VIOLATION OF THIS |
| 16 | <u>CHAPTER.</u> |
| 17 | (a) A current or former permanent resident of a residential hotel who believes that the hotel |
| 18 | has not acted in compliance with the provisions of this Chapter 41E may petition the Rent Board for a |
| 19 | reduction in rent. |
| 20 | (b) Upon a finding of violation following a hearing, the Rent Board may order a reduction |
| 21 | of rent for the aggrieved current or former resident. |
| 22 | (c) The Rent Board may promulgate Rules and Regulations for the mediation and |
| 23 | arbitration of such resident petitions, including provision for mediation and/or hearing and decision by |
| 24 | an Administrative Law Judge, with a right of appeal to the Rent Board. |

25

SEC. 41E.5. LIMITATION OF LIABILITY.

- By adopting this Residential Hotel Permanent Resident Mail Receptacle Ordinance, the City and County of San Francisco is assuming an undertaking only to promote the general welfare. It is not assuming, nor is it imposing on its officers and employees, an obligation for breach of which it is liable in money damages to any person who claims that such breach proximately caused injury.
- Section 4. The San Francisco Administrative Code is hereby amended by renumbering Section 37.14 and adding Section 37.14B, to read as follows:
- SEC. 37.14<u>A</u>. HEARINGS AND REMEDIES FOR VIOLATION OF RESIDENTIAL HOTEL VISITOR POLICIES.
- (a) Upon receipt of a petition from a current or former occupant of a residential hotel alleging violation of the provisions of Administrative Code Chapter 41D, including allegation of violation of the Uniform Visitor Policy or any Supplemental Visitor Policy, the Board through its Administrative Law Judges shall conduct a hearing in order to decide the petition. This decision may require a determination as to whether a residential hotel's policies and procedures are consistent with the Uniform Visitor Policy and any approved Supplemental Policies, and in compliance with Administrative Code Chapter 41D.
- (b) Upon an Administrative Law Judge's findings of fact and decision that the operator, employee or agent of a residential hotel has violated the Uniform Visitor Policy or any approved Supplemental Visitor Policy or any other provision of Chapter 41D, the Administrative Law Judge may conclude that the occupant has suffered a diminution in housing services and order a corresponding reduction in rent.
- (c) The decision of the Administrative Law Judge shall be final unless the Board vacates the decision on appeal.

| 1 | (d) Either party may file an appeal of the Administrative Law Judge's decision with | | | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 2 | the Board. Such appeals are governed by Section 37.8(f). | | | |
| 3 | SEC. 37.14B. (a) Upon receipt of a petition from a current or former permanent resident of | | | |
| 4 | a residential hotel alleging violation of the provisions of Administrative Code Chapter 41E, the Board | | | |
| 5 | through its Administrative Law Judges shall conduct a hearing in order to decide the petition. This | | | |
| 6 | decision may require a determination as to whether the petitioner is permanent resident. | | | |
| 7 | (b) Upon an Administrative Law Judge's findings of fact and decision that the operator of a | | | |
| 8 | residential hotel has violated the requirement to install a United States Postal Service-approved mail | | | |
| 9 | receptacle for receipt of mail delivered by the United States Postal Service, the Administrative Law | | | |
| 10 | Judge may conclude that the resident has suffered a diminution in housing services and order a | | | |
| 11 | corresponding reduction in rent. | | | |
| 12 | (c) The decision of the Administrative Law Judge shall be final unless the Board vacates the | | | |
| 13 | decision on appeal. | | | |
| 14 | (d) Either party may file an appeal of the Administrative Law Judge's decision with the | | | |
| 15 | Board. Such appeals are governed by Section 37.8(f). | | | |
| 16 | | | | |
| 17 | APPROVED AS TO FORM: | | | |
| 18 | DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney | | | |
| 19 | Ву: | | | |
| 20 | JUDITH A. BOYAJIAN Deputy City Attorney | | | |
| 21 | | | | |
| 22 | | | | |
| 23 | | | | |
| 24 | | | | |
| 25 | | | | |