

From: [Frank Lockary](#)
To: [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on #200375
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 2:13:48 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

To Whom It May Concern,

I am a small property owner with one 3 unit building. I am semi-retired and rely on the income from the building. This goes too far! Someone can just claim Covid-19 and will exploit the pandemic in order to not pay rent or make it ultra difficult to collect rent whether they are truly in need or not. This will make it nearly impossible to recover unpaid rent and places the burden of Covid-19 on small mom and pop property Owners who are not large enough to hire attorneys to pursue their lawful benefits. The Mayor's TEMPORARY order should be extended if necessary but a PERMANENT order by the Board impacting the rights of only SF property owners to use lawful State eviction procedures is UNFAIR>

Thank you,
Frank Lockary
Richmond District, SF

From: [Curtis Atkisson](#)
To: [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Feedback on Proposal to Amend Code on Tenant Evictions
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 2:21:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

We have a worldwide health and economic crisis, so why is the San Francisco Board of Supervisors asking small landlords to fill in the gap rather than the city or state addressing the economic safety net itself?

There is a desire by the city and community to help covid sufferers--let's have the city and the community set up a fund to pay the rent of those affected. Don't put it *all* on the backs of the small landlords who own and live in a 2 or 3 unit building. Have *all* of San Francisco property owners and/or taxpayers contribute--keeping San Francisco alive and vibrant is everyone's responsibility, not just small landlords who are renting to make ends meet. To propose this change without offering these participating landlords a tax credit or something to offset these Covid losses looks like the Board of Supervisors is trying to blame and penalize small landlords for doing the city's job.

Do you know why I am a landlord? Because I couldn't afford to buy a Single Family Home. To afford to buy a home in SF, our option was to buy a duplex, live in one unit and rent out the other to help pay the mortgage and taxes. Since I'm renting part of my house, I'm subject to rent control. But if you could afford to buy a single family home, guess what? You're not subject to rent control and you don't have to pay for someone else's rent during a health crisis but ironically you might have the money to do it. This is why I'm tired of the city taking it out on the small landlords who are trying to get by themselves while letting all the single family home owners and renters with great jobs go scott free.

I lost my job and was laid off mid-April because the company I work for was in travel--Covid-19 layoff. I'm grateful for my unemployment benefits and the covid money I'm receiving. If my tenant (who has a high-paying job with a prominent company that has made no layoffs) gets sick and stops paying me, it is a real problem for me and my family. I would applaud the city for providing a further safety net. This ordinance amendment does not accomplish that. It once again turns the city against itself.

If you are trying to ensure affordable housing for San Francisco, you would want to incent the behavior you want to see not penalize it. Let's build more housing--a lot of it. If you want more housing, then you should be supporting the affected landlords providing the housing and try to get more landlords into the fold of providing housing, not scaring them away and demonizing them for trying to have a place to live in this city along with everyone else.

Curtis Atkisson

--

Curtis Atkisson
2584 California Street
San Francisco, CA 94115

From: [Judy Adami](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: # 200375
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 2:31:25 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Subject: No on #200375

Dear Ms. Major,

I am a rental property owner in San Francisco. Our buildings are clean and well maintained, we charge fair rents, are subject to rent control, and we obey the law and pay our taxes. We have many tenants who have been with us for over 30 yrs. We are caring, fair - and help our tenants solve any problems they may have.

I am writing to urge you to vote no on ordinance #200375 for

the following reasons.

This proposal makes it impossible for small property owners to recoup unpaid rent and places the financial burden of COVID 19 on small property owners who have fixed mortgages, property taxes, employees, and utility and maintenance expenses.

This proposal along with the closing of the court system would allow tenants to live rent free from March 2020 to potentially September and beyond and landlords would have no legal recourse to recoup unpaid rent.

The Board of Supervisors cannot and should not prohibit housing providers who have been financially impacted by COVID 19 from using California state law to enforce our legal rights.

Please vote NO on #200375. Thank you.

Sincerely,
Judy Adami
San Francisco Tax Payer and Voter
Sent from my iPad

From: [K.cloudsrest](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Cc: cloudsrest789@gmail.com
Subject: No on #200375
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 2:55:04 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Erica Major:

I am a District 3 constituent and own and live in a small mom & pop apartment building in your district. I have a genuinely positive business relationship with all my tenants - both residential and commercial - and am opposed to proposed legislation #200375 ("COVID-19 Tenant Protections") for the following reasons:

- This proposal will make it nearly impossible for small property owners to recoup unpaid rent and places the financial burden of COVID-19 on small mom property owners who have fixed mortgages, property taxes, employees, and maintenance expenses.
- This proposal, along with the closure of the court system, would allow tenants to live rent free from March 2019 to potentially September and beyond-- and landlords would have no legal recourse to recoup unpaid rent.
- The Board of Supervisors cannot and should not prohibit housing providers who have been financially impacted by COVID from using California state law to enforce our rights.

Karen Wong
mobile #415-992-2489

--

Karen
mobile #415-992-2489

From: [Nettie Atkisson](#)
To: [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Ronen, Hillary](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Mar, Gordon \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Fewer, Sandra \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yee, Norman \(BOS\)](#); [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Cityattorney](#); [Phil Ting](#); [Scott Wiener](#); [Gamboa-Eastman, Tara](#)
Cc: [Nettie Atkisson](#)
Subject: Rainy Day Fund
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 3:11:24 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors,

I am beyond stressed out and I know so many tenants are stressed out too. We go down to the Cow Palace and Gordon Lau to help the food bank and we see the lines. People are suffering. Yes, it looks better as a media bite to say you are taking on "greedy landlords" but we all know good policy that will benefit San Francisco residents of all shapes and sizes is much more complicated and nuanced.

Why not rental assistance??? We have this RAINY DAY FUND. That way there are not No courts, no blaming anyone, not harming small property owners who are already struggling. The courts are tied up with so many issues. They are closed so why add more to this? Just provide rental assistance. With courts closed why put everyone in an untenable situation? Tenants without work certainly don't need anymore stress and even though you don't seem to see us as struggling humans, landlords don't need this stress either. I have to imagine the courts that have been closed don't need added case loads either.

This is the RAINIEST DAY we have seen in a long time. Why are we not providing rental assistance instead of blaming, demonizing and punishing landlords who are trying to provide a much needed service for the city.

My tenant has told us many times how thankful she is to have an ethical, caring, human being of a landlord. Our unit was rented to 3 young professional women as their first apartment after growing up in Orinda and finishing school at UCSB.

When the gate breaks, we fix it immediately employing Lowell graduate and SF native Matthew Batmale. When our tenant's brother fell off a two story building and had to have an AORTA 3D printed at UCSF in the middle of the night, we compiled a care packaged and checked in on her. When Valentines comes around, we leave Blue Bottle gift cards for tenants.

So many people are TERRIFIED (and for good reason) to be landlords. I know so many families that come here, put their kids in private school, summer in the Hamptons, Utah or Connecticut and don't care about giving back. They come and grab and feel NO NEED to contribute. That has never been us. Being familiar with the Gold Rush and in addition, what we have done to Native People across this country, I feel it is totally wrong and immoral to come and TAKE and not Contribute. If people only harvest and they do not plant, nothing will go well. So many come to SF to take and do none of the work of planting.

As a family, we have tried so hard to plant and contribute to the harvest we enjoy living in a city with people from all over the world, tide pools, hard working public school teachers,

dedicated parents, museums, Dandelion Chocolate, the best street artists in the world, culture like lectures at the JCCSF , COMMONWEALTH CLUB and more. I went to UCSF to watch the school board debates when Supervisor Haney was running. I take being a citizen and participating VERY SERIOUSLY.

If people like us are terrified to own, I imagine it will only be lawyer-ed up real estate trusts that are faceless and nameless. We are not landlords to extort or take advantage of anyone. We got our mortgage based on the CONTRACT that we would be paying a portion of it with the rental income. That is the agreement the bank went in to with us. We believe it is always our duty to fulfill our obligations.

As stated in the ordinance: "If these trends worsen or if the emergency continues, tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole"

Please help me understand. Why would this not apply to mom and pop landlords too? Help me understand why you are going after families that are also very stressed out instead of offering rental assistance? If we make policies so complicated like the rainy day fund that no one can use them during a monsoon, what good are they?

Your strategy is setting the stage for people hating each other, resenting each other instead of working together. Where is the leadership Jacinda Ardern style where people are inspired by a common cause instead of enraged by a common enemy? Destroying is so much easier than building but I don't recommend it. I feel so sad for this city with so much potential. Might I recommend you all read the book COMMUNITY by Peter Block?

It is just so discouraging to find that year after year as we try and build and contribute to this city only to be hated by it. Demonized by it. Punished by it. For being ethical, responsive landlords we are now responsible for a virus the world has not seen since the Spanish Flu.

Why not employ the unemployed at parks fining people who are not wearing masks and use that for a fund to pay rent? Like the car ticketing? What about this fund the city started to raise money for people effected that Scott Weiner and Catherine Stefani sent me emails to donate to?

What about all of you coming together to realize that Laurel Hill UCSF would be a great housing development. Put a park on top where the neighbors with dogs that are complaining, have a place to walk their dogs? What a view they would have!!! And there would be more housing that could even have underground parking. Target, all of the stores at Laurel Village and Geary and even the Haight would get more business with all of the new tenants. Get the Lucky Penny fast tracked. There is so much space in the presidio that could be better employed with smarter design providing more units for our veterans and teachers.

Dear God help me understand why you always come after landlords? The way people commit genocide is by dehumanizing a certain group. We are human. We suffer. I have been living in hell with mental illness while trying to care for a husband with cancer and girls with autoimmune disease. Now my husband has no job. We are human. We hurt. We suffer. We love our kids. We love and care for this city. We contribute. We volunteer. We cry. We laugh. We donate. We invest in the children of this city. We don't come and grab and run to the Hamptons or New Zealand. This is the one property we own. We pay our taxes. I hired immigrants to work at my preschool and ALL OF THE PARENTS would tell you what an amazing program I ran trying to GIVE to the next generation. Preschool teachers don't have IPOs and make millions or billions. We plant knowing that someone needs to plant or the

harvest will be dismal.

Please Dear God listen to us. We are not monsters. We are a family trying to survive in one of the most expensive cities. I am not saying we deserve a prize but good God, we also don't deserve to be punished and held responsible for a global pandemic and an economic disaster. Please choose to lead by uniting us for a common purpose instead of dividing us by a common enemy. That is just a base and disappointing way to lead. You can do better. If you cannot, please let other people run this city who know that demonizing groups will never ever lead to good results.

Nettie Atkisson

From: [Nettie Atkisson](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Re: Rainy Day Fund
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 3:19:56 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

On Thu, May 28, 2020 at 3:11 PM Nettie Atkisson <nettieatkisson@gmail.com> wrote:

Dear Supervisors,

I am beyond stressed out and I know so many tenants are stressed out too. We go down to the Cow Palace and Gordon Lau to help the food bank and we see the lines. People are suffering. Yes, it looks better as a media bite to say you are taking on "greedy landlords" but we all know good policy that will benefit San Francisco residents of all shapes and sizes is much more complicated and nuanced.

Why not rental assistance???. We have this RAINY DAY FUND. That way there are not No courts, no blaming anyone, not harming small property owners who are already struggling. The courts are tied up with so many issues. They are closed so why add more to this? Just provide rental assistance. With courts closed why put everyone in an untenable situation? Tenants without work certainly don't need anymore stress and even though you don't seem to see us as struggling humans, landlords don't need this stress either. I have to imagine the courts that have been closed don't need added case loads either.

This is the RAINIEST DAY we have seen in a long time. Why are we not providing rental assistance instead of blaming, demonizing and punishing landlords who are trying to provide a much needed service for the city.

My tenant has told us many times how thankful she is to have an ethical, caring, human being of a landlord. Our unit was rented to 3 young professional women as their first apartment after growing up in Orinda and finishing school at UCSB.

When the gate breaks, we fix it immediately employing Lowell graduate and SF native Matthew Batmale. When our tenant's brother fell off a two story building and had to have an AORTA 3D printed at UCSF in the middle of the night, we compiled a care package and checked in on her. When Valentines comes around, we leave Blue Bottle gift cards for tenants.

So many people are TERRIFIED (and for good reason) to be landlords. I know so many families that come here, put their kids in private school, summer in the Hamptons, Utah or Connecticut and don't care about giving back. They come and grab and feel NO NEED to contribute. That has never been us. Being familiar with the Gold Rush and in addition, what we have done to Native People across this country, I feel it is totally wrong and immoral to come and TAKE and not Contribute. If people only harvest and they do not plant, nothing will go well. So many come to SF to take and do none of the work of planting.

As a family, we have tried so hard to plant and contribute to the harvest we enjoy living in a city with people from all over the world, tide pools, hard working public school teachers, dedicated parents, museums, Dandelion Chocolate, the best street artists in the world, culture like lectures at the JCCSF , COMMONWEALTH CLUB and more. I went to UCSF to watch the school board debates when Supervisor Haney was running. I take being a citizen and participating VERY SERIOUSLY.

If people like us are terrified to own, I imagine it will only be lawyer-ed up real estate trusts that are faceless and nameless. We are not landlords to extort or take advantage of anyone. We got our mortgage based on the CONTRACT that we would be paying a portion of it with the rental income. That is the agreement the bank went in to with us. We believe it is always our duty to fulfill our obligations.

As stated in the ordinance: "If these trends worsen or if the emergency continues, tenants may find themselves in an ever-deepening financial hole"

Please help me understand. Why would this not apply to mom and pop landlords too? Help me understand why you are going after families that are also very stressed out instead of offering rental assistance? If we make policies so complicated like the rainy day fund that no one can use them during a monsoon, what good are they?

Your strategy is setting the stage for people hating each other, resenting each other instead of working together. Where is the leadership Jacinda Ardern style where people are inspired by a common cause instead of enraged by a common enemy? Destroying is so much easier than building but I don't recommend it. I feel so sad for this city with so much potential. Might I recommend you all read the book COMMUNITY by Peter Block?

It is just so discouraging to find that year after year as we try and build and contribute to this city only to be hated by it. Demonized by it. Punished by it. For being ethical, responsive landlords we are now responsible for a virus the world has not seen since the Spanish Flu.

Why not employ the unemployed at parks fining people who are not wearing masks and use that for a fund to pay rent? Like the car ticketing? What about this fund the city started to raise money for people effected that Scott Weiner and Catherine Stefani sent me emails to donate to?

What about all of you coming together to realize that Laurel Hill UCSF would be a great housing development. Put a park on top where the neighbors with dogs that are complaining, have a place to walk their dogs? What a view they would have!!! And there would be more housing that could even have underground parking. Target, all of the stores at Laurel Village and Geary and even the Haight would get more business with all of the new tenants. Get the Lucky Penny fast tracked. There is so much space in the presidio that could be better employed with smarter design providing more units for our veterans and teachers.

Dear God help me understand why you always come after landlords? The way people commit genocide is by dehumanizing a certain group. We are human. We suffer. I have been living in hell with mental illness while trying to care for a husband with cancer and girls with autoimmune disease. Now my husband has no job. We are human. We hurt. We suffer. We love our kids. We love and care for this city. We contribute. We volunteer. We cry. We laugh. We donate. We invest in the children of this city. We don't come and grab and run to the Hamptons or New Zealand. This is the one property we own. We pay

our taxes. I hired immigrants to work at my preschool and ALL OF THE PARENTS would tell you what an amazing program I ran trying to GIVE to the next generation. Preschool teachers don't have IPOs and make millions or billions. We plant knowing that someone needs to plant or the harvest will be dismal.

Please Dear God listen to us. We are not monsters. We are a family trying to survive in one of the most expensive cities. I am not saying we deserve a prize but good God, we also don't deserve to be punished and held responsible for a global pandemic and an economic disaster. Please choose to lead by uniting us for a common purpose instead of dividing us by a common enemy. That is just a base and disappointing way to lead. You can do better. If you cannot, please let other people run this city who know that demonizing groups will never ever lead to good results.

Nettie Atkisson

From: [dave.collins](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff, \[BOS\]](#); [Haney, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Charley Goss](#)
Subject: Ordinance amending administrative code regarding Covid-19
Date: Thursday, May 28, 2020 3:20:54 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Supervisors and members of the San Francisco Land Use Committee,

I have read the most recent proposed ordinance regarding Covid-19 pandemic relief for tenants.

This pro-tenant legislation is admirable, benevolent, conscientious but is also grossly unfair and probably illegal.

I have been negotiating with my bank, First Foundation Bank, and there are absolutely no mortgage forbearance options, loan modifications or mortgage relief of any kind for the loans I have for properties in San Francisco. Furthermore, I have to continue to pay for tenants utilities, water, garbage and of course property taxes without any help from our local City Government.

So, the long and the short is this, if the proposed legislation is passed, building owners in San Francisco will be forced to subsidize ALL the housing needs for tenants in this City, for the foreseeable future, (as nobody knows how long this pandemic will last.)

With vacancy rates about to surge and property revenue decreasing, the City's tax base is about to take a big hit. SO – all you folks should remember who pays the bills in the City and your salaries.

If you do not protect your city revenue and tax base going forward there are going to be major fiscal problems going forward even after this pandemic is eventually over.

The Board of Supervisors has not thought this through and has obviously not taken into consideration the unintended consequences of the proposed legislation.

If the City of San Francisco wants to guarantee housing for its tenants regardless of world events that are beyond the control of property owners – then the City of San Francisco should ALSO protect us good property owners who house the tenants that vote for you.

Otherwise you are shifting all the financial cost of Covid-19 disaster onto us small property owners and not giving us any recourse to financially protect ourselves from financial ruin.

Please reconsider your position on this legislation as it unfairly punishes property owners for this unfortunate “act of god.”

We property owners are your partners in housing in San Francisco, not your enemy. We should be treated as such, and not as a pawn to generate more votes for your next election.

Please feel free to contact me for further information if needed.

Thank you for your consideration,

Dave Collins

415-240-1248

Sent from [Mail](#) for Windows 10

From: [Gus Cano](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#); [Peskin, Aaron \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Stefani, Catherine \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: No on #200375
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 7:58:20 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Land Use Committee,

I am a building owner in District 9, residing in District 2. I am very concerned to hear about Ordinance #200375 being brought forward for discussion. This proposal would essentially allow any and all tenants the ability to not pay rent with impunity. Most San Francisco building owners are small property owners. This personally impacts their ability to live and furthermore pay their mortgages and County property taxes, the very taxes that pay for government salaries and subsidies.

With the closure of the courts, tenants would simply be allowed to live rent-free. This is not just. As property owners, we work with our tenants to come to an agreement should any issues arise. This WILL affect the City's income and ability to help people through the COVID disaster.

Thank you,

Augusto Cano



Reply

Forward

From: [jon s](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on #200375
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 10:17:37 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good Morning,

Hope you and your family are well/safe during these crazy times.

I am writing you this email as a concerned small business owner in your district.

I am a native to SF, retired and currently own two small apartments in San Francisco as the main source of our retirement.

The ordinance proposal #200375 will make it nearly impossible for me to recoup unpaid rent and places huge financial burden of COVID-19 on me as well as other mom and pop property owners. People like me, who are retired and limited in resources will not be able to pay our mortgages, property taxes, employees, and maintenance expenses.

How are we to financially survive? Will you pass a ordinance to protect small property owners?

This ordinance along with the closure of the court system, would allow tenants to live rent free until possibly September. Small property owners would have no legal recourse to recoup unpaid rent.

I feel the Board of Supervisors should not prohibit property owners who have been financially impacted by COVID from using California state law to enforce our rights.

I currently have two tenants that have been financially effected by COVID-19. We've been working together to figure out a payment plan once they are back on their feet and we are fine with that. We have always been fair with our tenants. Please let us do the right thing.

Thank you for your time and consideration,
Jon S

From: [K cloudsrest](#)
To: [Yan, Calvin \(BOS\)](#); [Preston, Dean \(BOS\)](#); [Safai, Ahsha \(BOS\)](#); [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: Fwd: NO on # 200375
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 11:21:55 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Vote "NO" on # 200375. Work with the good mom&pop landlords in the City. Thank you!

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **K cloudsrest** <cloudsrest789@gmail.com>
Date: Fri, May 29, 2020 at 11:19 AM
Subject: NO on # 200375
To: <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>
Cc: cloudsrest789@gmail.com <cloudsrest789@gmail.com>, Dion wong <wong_dion@hotmail.com>, Kenton Wong <ahwahnee1927@gmail.com>

Dear Board of Supervisors, Erica Major:

This proposal will make it nearly impossible for small property owners like myself to recoup unpaid rent and places the financial burden of COVID-19 on small mom & pop property owners who have fixed mortgages, property taxes, employees, and high maintenance expenses, particularly with older buildings like mine.

This proposal, along with the closure of the court system, would allow tenants to live rent free from March 2020 to potentially September and beyond-- and landlords would have no legal recourse to recoup unpaid rent.

The Board of Supervisors cannot and should not prohibit housing providers (who have been financially impacted by COVID) from using California state law to enforce our rights.

I worked hard my entire life to make my rental property a success – for both my family and my tenants. Please help the good landlords of the City succeed so we can continue to provide comfortable, clean, safe and well-maintained housing for people. Please work WITH US NOT AGAINST US. That is all we ask but we need your help to make this work. I just feel that the Board is constantly picking on good landlords like myself. I comply with every single ordinance whether it makes sense or not, and now I feel like I'm fighting a losing battle. Please work with us, not against us. Thank

you!

Karen Wong
District 3 constituent & native San Franciscan
Apartment bldg co-owner
mobile #415-992-2489

--

Karen
mobile #415-992-2489

From: [Betty Smith](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: No on #200375
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 12:48:49 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I own an apartment house in district 8. I want a healthy vibrant San Francisco in all the neighborhoods. I am 77 years old. I was born in SF so have seen many changes in the city. If evictions are eliminated for corona virus-19 cases people will no longer look for jobs. They will revive food in various ways. The city will decline. Landlords will not be able to pay property taxes, mortgages, utilities?repairs such as plumbing and other expenses to keep up their properties. In June 2021 is due another expense to upgrade fire panels. It is not right to tenants who are paying rent, who have taken in roommates or moved to a cheaper apartment and want to live in a safe maintained building. If people stop looking for work, it will affect other businesses who need employees to keep open. We want conventions and tourists. Let's go back to having a beautiful vibrant city. Please cite NO on #200375 and save San Francisco from decline. Thank you for your consideration.

Sent from my iPhone

From: [DOROTHEA KAZ](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: No on #200375
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 2:04:23 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

As a long time San Francisco landlord who has provided housing to many individuals over the years I am coming to the end of my ability to continue as a landlord in San Francisco. I have always been a very good landlord. Most SF landlords fall into this category, however we are tarnished by the actions of a few unscrupulous individuals and corporations.

Besides this being my livelihood I am also proud to be a proactive and responsive landlord who provides much needed housing in this city.

The coronavirus has not only affected tenants but many others including landlords. It seems very discriminatory that the focus is solely on tenants and how to give them benefits that go above and beyond anything that makes rational sense. I have worked with tenants during this unprecedented time, and have one that is currently unable to pay any rent. I understand and am compassionate and will continue to work with them. However, at some point I should not be forced to subsidize someone who may not be able to pay rent in a few months from now. I have to continue to pay my business expenses as well as personal expenses. We have all been dealt a blow. Everyone is trying to figure out how to adjust and make things work. A tenant does not have the right to occupy my property and not pay for as long as they need.

By passing this proposal you are hurting all small landlords in this city and it may have unintended consequences. Small landlords have relationships with their tenants. They are more willing to work with them and allow them time to catch up on their rent. If the small landlords start to sell and leave the city because of this proposal you can rest assured that the properties will get bought up by larger landlords. As you know these large landlords do not have personal relationships with their tenants. Their goal is the bottom line.

Please do not support Proposal 200375

Sincerely
Dorothea Kaz

From: [John Lavrich](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: NO on #200375 - Please oppose the Covid-19 Tenant Protections Amendments on Monday
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 3:08:06 PM
Importance: High

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Erica Major - Land Use Committee Clerk,

Please VOTE NO on #200375 the proposed amendments to the Administrative Code that would prohibit landlords from evicting tenants for non-payment of rent that was due to COVID-19 (Covid-19 Tenant Protections). I am a native San Franciscan who has spent a lifetime working hard to become an owner/manager of a small building with rent controlled residential apartments in the Upper Market/Twin Peaks area (District 8). Our mom & pop business primarily provides clean and safe housing services to the elderly and the LGBTQ community. These are amongst the most vulnerable and underrepresented residents of our city. We value our tenants and have been working with those in need by providing rent forbearance and favorable payment plans. We have even extended these terms for those residents who are abusing the Mayor's Order by protesting rents while having no Covid-19 impact.

The rental business is my livelihood and sole source of income for my family and I. As we extend help to our tenants in need, our lenders offer no such help for us to meet our debt obligation while revenue decreases. In addition our expenses are soaring. For example, with everyone staying home we have increases in the costs of gas/electric utilities, water and trash removal yet our revenue is declining. In addition we are still paying down the huge debt we incurred do to the SF MADATORY SEISMIC RETROFIT which was not part of our business plan.

If passed, these amendments to the Administrative Code will cripple us financially and further put our business at risk which in turn puts our residents at risk. It will lead to the reduction in people who want to invest and manage housing in San Francisco and in turn the city will see a reduction in its housing stock. Please do not take away our ability to collect rents and **VOTE NO** on the proposed amendments.

Thank you
John Lavrich

From: [Mary Lavrich](#)
To: [Major, Erica \(BOS\)](#)
Subject: VOTE NO on #200375
Date: Friday, May 29, 2020 3:58:05 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Erica Major,

I urge you desperately to **VOTE NO on #200375** the proposed amendments to the **Administrative Code**. I oppose the order which would permanently prohibit landlords from using the state law eviction processes for unpaid rent due to COVID-19. I own and manage rent controlled residential property in Twin Peaks. The rental business is my livelihood and my income. I manage the property myself to stay involved and actively engaged with my tenants. I consider my tenants like family and have been working with many of my tenants who are facing financial struggles at this time. As a contributing member of our community, I beg you not to take away my ability to earn income on my investments. I depend on the income from my renters to pay my taxes, mortgages, and pay my 1 employee. I keep my properties safe and clean. Having just gone through and successfully completed the MANDATED SIESMIC RETROFITS I am still struggling to recover financially. I did it willingly to make sure my buildings were safe but to add the burden on top of that of not being able to collect rents is tragic and may mean the end of my business. Please **do not take away my ability to collect rents**.

Sincerely,

Mary Lavrich