File No. 101548

Committee Item No. _____
Board Item No. 9

COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Board of Supervisors Meeting Date January 11, 2011

Cmte	Board	
☐	☒	**Motion**
☐	☐	**Resolution**
☐	☐	**Ordinance**
☐	☐	**Legislative Digest**
☐	☐	**Budget Analyst Report**
☐	☐	**Legislative Analyst Report**
☐	☐	**Youth Commission Report**
☐	☐	**Introduction Form (for hearings)**
☐	☐	**Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report**
☐	☐	**MOU**
☐	☐	**Grant Information Form**
☐	☐	**Grant Budget**
☐	☐	**Ethics Form 126**
☐	☐	**Subcontract Budget**
☐	☐	**Contract/Agreement**
☐	☐	**Award Letter**
☐	☐	**Application**
☐	☐	**Public Correspondence**

OTHER **(Use back side if additional space is needed)**

☐ ☐ _____
☐ ☐ _____
☐ ☐ _____

Completed by: Andrea Ausberry **Date** January 5, 2011
Completed by: _____ **Date** _____

An asterisked item represents the cover sheet to a document that exceeds 25 pages. The complete document is in the file.

[Affirming the Exemption Determination - 134-136 Ord Street]

Motion affirming the determination by the Planning Department that the project located at 134-136 Ord Street is exempt from environmental review.

WHEREAS, On July 8, 2010, following a noticed public hearing, the Planning Commission determined not to take discretionary review and approve the project as proposed (Discretionary Review Case No. 2007.112400) for the construction of a new single-family home at the front of the subject lot, which new building would be located in front of an existing building on the lot (the "Project"). In so doing, the Commission affirmed the Department's decision that the Project was exempt from environmental review under the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA"), the CEQA Guidelines, and San Francisco Administrative Code Chapter 31 under categorical exemption Class 1 (the "exemption determination"). By letter to the Clerk of the Board, Stephen M. Williams, on behalf of the Corbett Heights Neighbors, ("Appellant"), received by the Clerk's Office on or around December 9, 2010, appealed the exemption determination; and

WHEREAS, On January 11, 2011, this Board held a duly noticed public hearing to consider the appeal of the exemption determination filed by Appellant, and following the public hearing affirmed the exemption determination; and

WHEREAS, In reviewing the appeal of the exemption determination, this Board reviewed and considered the exemption determination, the appeal letters, the responses to concerns document that the Planning Department prepared, the other written records before the Board of Supervisors and all of the public testimony made in support of and opposed to the exemption determination appeal. Following the conclusion of the public hearing, the Board of Supervisors affirmed the exemption determination for the Project based on the

written record before the Board of Supervisors as well as all of the testimony at the public hearing in support of and opposed to the appeal. The written record and oral testimony in support of and opposed to the appeal and deliberation of the oral and written testimony at the public hearing before the Board of Supervisors by all parties and the public in support of and opposed to the appeal of the exemption determination is in the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors File No. 101547 and is incorporated in this motion as though set forth in its entirety; now therefore be it

MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco hereby adopts as its own and incorporates by reference in this motion, as though fully set forth, the exemption determination; and be it

FURTHER MOVED, That the Board of Supervisors finds that based on the whole record before it there are no substantial Project changes, no substantial changes in Project circumstances, and no new information of substantial importance that would change the conclusions set forth in the exemption determination by the Planning Department that the proposed Project is exempt from environmental review; and be it

FURTHER MOVED, That after carefully considering the appeal of the exemption determination, including the written information submitted to the Board of Supervisors and the public testimony presented to the Board of Supervisors at the hearing on the exemption determination, this Board concludes that the Project qualifies for a exemption determination under CEQA Guidelines Sections 15301 (Class 1) and 15303 (Class 3).