

1 [Ordinance to Designate the Laguna Honda Station, also known as the Forest Hill Station, At
2 390 Laguna Honda Boulevard As a Landmark Under Planning Code Article 10.]

3 **Ordinance Designating the Laguna Honda Station, At 390 Laguna Honda Boulevard, As**
4 **Landmark No. 231 Pursuant To Article 10, Sections 1004 And 1004.4 Of The Planning**
5 **Code.**

6 Note: Additions are *single-underline italics Times New Roman*;
7 deletions are ~~*strikethrough italics Times New Roman*~~.
8 Board amendment additions are double underlined.
9 Board amendment deletions are ~~strikethrough normal~~.

10 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

11 Section 1. Findings:

12 The Board of Supervisors hereby finds that Laguna Honda Station, also known as the
13 Forest Hill Station, At 390 Laguna Honda Boulevard, Lot 50 in Assessor's Block 2864, has a
14 special character and special historical, architectural and aesthetic interest and value, and
15 that its designation as a Landmark will further the purposes of, and conform to the standards
16 set forth in Article 10 of the City Planning Code.

17 (a) Designation: Pursuant to Section 1004 of the Planning Code, Laguna Honda
18 Station, At 390 Laguna Honda Boulevard, is hereby designated as Landmark No. 231. This
19 designation has been fully approved by Resolution No. 553 of the Landmarks Preservation
20 Advisory Board and Resolution No. 16399 of the Planning Commission, which Resolutions
21 are on file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors under File No. _____ and which
22 Resolutions are incorporated herein and made part hereof as though fully set forth.

23 (b) Priority Policy Findings.

24 Pursuant to Section 101.1 of the Planning Code, the Board of Supervisors makes the
25 following findings:

1 (1) The designation is in conformity with the Priority Policies of Planning Code
2 Section 101.1 and with the General Plan as set forth in the letter dated November 18, 2002
3 from the Director of Planning. Such letter is on file with the Clerk of the Board in File No.
4 _____.

5 (2) The Board of Supervisors finds that this ordinance is in conformity with the
6 Priority Policies of Section 101.1 of the Planning Code and with the General Plan, and hereby
7 adopts the findings set forth in the letter dated November 18, 2002 from the Director of
8 Planning and incorporates such findings by reference as if fully set forth herein.

9 (c) Required Data:

10 (1) The description, location and boundary of the Landmark site is Lot 50 in
11 Assessor's Block 2864.

12 (2) The characteristics of the Landmark which justify its designation are described
13 and shown in the Landmark Designation Report adopted by the Landmarks Preservation
14 Advisory Board on January 23, 2002 and other supporting materials contained in Planning
15 Department Docket No. 1999.457L. In brief the characteristics of the landmark which justify
16 its designation are as follows:

17 (a) Association with the early 20th century expansion of San Francisco's Municipal
18 Railway and early 20th century residential development in San Francisco.

19 (b) Embodies distinctive characteristics of the Mission and Neoclassical Revival
20 styles.

21 (c) Represents the work of a local engineering expert, City Engineer Michael M.
22 O'Shaugnessey.

23 (3) That the particular exterior features that should be preserved, or replaced in-kind
24 as determined necessary, are those generally shown in the photographs and described in the
25 Landmark Designation Report, both which can be found in the case docket No. 1999.457L

1 which is incorporated in this designation ordinance as though fully set forth. In brief, the
2 description of the particular features that should be preserved are as follows:

3 (a) 1917 Surface Station: Exterior of building, and urns but not including structures
4 built after 1917.

5 (b) 1917 Surface Station: Interior of Concourse but only including the scale of the
6 interior space and not including particular features and finishes. The interior should only be
7 reviewed under Article 10 of the Planning Code where it is proposed that the scale will be
8 altered through the addition of permanent partitions, i.e. the addition of a mezzanine in the
9 concourse. The addition or removal of temporary fixtures such as faregates and ticket booths
10 will not permanently alter or negatively impact the historically open character of the
11 concourse, and so will not need to be reviewed under Article 10 of the Planning Code.

12 Section 2. The property shall be subject to the controls and procedures pursuant to
13 this Board of Supervisor's Ordinance and Planning Code Article 10.

14

15 APPROVED AS TO FORM:
16 DENNIS J. HERRERA, City Attorney

17

18 By: _____
19 Sarah Ellen Owsowitz
20 Deputy City Attorney

21

22

23

24

25