

File No. 240174

Committee Item No. 2

Board Item No. \_\_\_\_\_

# COMMITTEE/BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## AGENDA PACKET CONTENTS LIST

Committee: Rules Committee

Date April 15, 2024

Board of Supervisors Meeting

Date \_\_\_\_\_

### Cmte Board

- Motion
- Resolution
- Ordinance
- Legislative Digest
- Budget and Legislative Analyst Report
- Youth Commission Report
- Introduction Form
- Department/Agency Cover Letter and/or Report
- Memorandum of Understanding (MOU)
- Grant Information Form
- Grant Budget
- Subcontract Budget
- Contract/Agreement
- Form 126 - Ethics Commission
- Award Letter
- Application
- Form 700
- Information/Vacancies (Boards/Commissions)
- Public Correspondence

### OTHER (Use back side if additional space is needed)

<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	_____

Completed by: Victor Young Date April 11, 2024

Completed by: \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

1 [Administrative, Municipal Elections Codes - General Obligation Bond Passthroughs]

2

3 **Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to provide that the general obligation**  
 4 **bond passthrough from landlords to tenants shall be calculated based on the amount**  
 5 **the property tax rate has increased due to general obligation bonds since the tenant's**  
 6 **move-in date or 2005, whichever is later; and to allow tenants to seek relief from**  
 7 **general obligation bond passthroughs based on financial hardship.**

8

9 NOTE: **Unchanged Code text and uncodified text** are in plain Arial font.  
 10 **Additions to Codes** are in *single-underline italics Times New Roman font*.  
 11 **Deletions to Codes** are in ~~*italics Times New Roman font*~~.  
 12 **Board amendment additions** are in Arial font.  
 13 **Board amendment deletions** are in ~~Arial font~~.  
 14 **Asterisks (\* \* \* \*)** indicate the omission of unchanged Code  
 15 subsections or parts of tables.

13

14 Be it ordained by the People of the City and County of San Francisco:

15

16 Section 1. Purpose and Findings.

17

18 (a) City law allows landlords to pass through to residential tenants a portion of any  
 19 property tax increases that result from the issuance of certain types of general obligation  
 20 bonds. The purpose of this law has been to reflect a compromise that landlords and tenants  
 21 should equally share the burden of any property tax increases that result from the issuance of  
 22 these bonds. And so, except in cases involving certain older general obligation bonds, the  
 23 general rule is that landlords may pass through to tenants up to 50% of the change in property  
 24 taxes that landlords may pay as a result of the bonds.

24

25 (b) Since approximately 2006, the City has followed a general obligation bond debt  
 management policy that seeks to prevent City general obligation bonds from resulting in an

1 increase to the property tax rate from the prior year. By and large this policy has achieved  
2 that aim, and yet, the City has been authorizing passthroughs based on the costs of individual  
3 bonds in isolation and without regard to the policy and whether those bond issuances actually  
4 resulted in any property tax increase. If landlords and tenants are to share the burden of  
5 property tax increases that result from general obligation bond debt, so too should they  
6 equally benefit from the City's debt management policies.

7 (c) A further issue is that because landlords have the right to set the initial rent at the  
8 start of a new tenancy, landlords already have the opportunity when they set the rent to cover  
9 their existing property tax costs. If these costs are priced into the starting rent, then allowing a  
10 passthrough of these costs creates a potential for double recovery, and moreover the City's  
11 debt management policy has helped keep the property tax rate relatively stable despite  
12 subsequent bonds. As a result, it is fair and consistent with the purpose of the passthrough  
13 for the passthrough to only cover property tax increases that arose after the tenant's move-in  
14 due to general obligation bonds, which are not already included in the rent. In the case of  
15 tenants who moved in before the City adopted its debt management policy, it is appropriate to  
16 measure the change in property tax rate relative to the property tax rate in 2005.

17 (d) The City's failure to account for these factors has led to a growing and unintended  
18 disparity. As noted above, the intent of the general obligation bond passthrough was to allow  
19 landlords to pass through up to 50% of any increases in the property tax rate that resulted  
20 from the bonds. But since 2005-2006, the portion of the property tax rate that corresponds to  
21 these bonds has risen about 18%, compared to a 216% increase in the tenant passthrough  
22 rate. And the passthrough rates consider bonds whose costs may already have been priced  
23 into a tenant's starting rent, in any event.

24 (e) For the foregoing reasons, the Board of Supervisors finds it is necessary and in  
25 the public interest to update the Rent Ordinance to ensure it reflects the reality of the City's

1 debt management policy and the true intent of the general obligation bond passthrough. To  
2 ensure fairness, the Board finds that this update should occur prospectively as of July 1,  
3 2024, without affecting or impairing the validity of any passthroughs imposed prior to that  
4 date.

5  
6 Section 2. Article VII of Chapter 2 of the Administrative Code is hereby amended by  
7 revising Section 2.40, to read as follows:

8 **SEC. 2.40. INCLUSION OF LANDLORD PASSTHROUGH LANGUAGE.**

9 Any proposal for bonded indebtedness submitted pursuant to this Article VII shall  
10 include, as a provision of the ordinance submitting such proposal to the voters, language  
11 incorporating and authorizing the ~~50 percent~~ passthrough of the change in a landlord's property  
12 tax resulting from the repayment of such indebtedness as provided in Administrative Code  
13 Section 37.3(a)(6).

14 \* \* \* \*

15  
16 Section 3. Article V of the Municipal Elections Code is hereby amended by revising  
17 Section 520, to read as follows:

18 **SEC. 520. CONTROLLER'S FINANCIAL ANALYSIS.**

19 (a) The Controller shall prepare an impartial financial analysis of each measure  
20 submitted to the voters. The Controller's financial analysis shall include the amount of any  
21 increase or decrease in the cost of City and County government. The Controller's financial  
22 analysis shall also include the effect of the measure upon the tax rate.

23 For any general obligation bond measure placed on the ballot, the Controller's  
24 financial analysis shall include an explanation of the City's legal debt limit, as well as the  
25 impact of the proposed bond measure on that limit. The Controller's financial analysis for a

1 general obligation bond measure placed on the ballot, including general obligation bond  
2 measures submitted by the San Francisco Unified School District or San Francisco  
3 Community College District, also shall include an explanation of the ~~50 percent~~ passthrough of  
4 the change in a landlord's property tax resulting from the repayment of such indebtedness  
5 provided in Administrative Code Section 37.3(a)(6), and an explanation estimate of the impact of  
6 that passthrough ~~as it relates to~~under the proposed bond measure.

7 \* \* \* \*

8  
9 Section 4. Chapter 37 of the Administrative Code is hereby amended by revising  
10 Sections 37.2. and 37.3, to read as follows:

11 **SEC. 37.2. DEFINITIONS.**

12 \* \* \* \*

13 (q) **Rent Increases.** Any additional monies demanded or paid for rent as defined in  
14 item (p) above, or any reduction in housing services without a corresponding reduction in the  
15 monies demanded or paid for rent; provided, however, that passthroughs of the following cost  
16 increases do not constitute rent increases: (1) where the landlord has been paying the tenant's  
17 utilities and the cost of those utilities increases, ~~the landlord's passing through to the tenant of such~~  
18 ~~increased costs pursuant to this Chapter does not constitute a rent increase;~~ (2) where the landlord is  
19 passing through to the tenant the ~~there has been a~~ change in the landlord's property tax attributable  
20 to a general obligation bond ~~approved by the voters between November 1, 1996 and November 30,~~  
21 ~~1998, or after November 14, 2002, the landlord's passing through to the tenant of such increased costs~~  
22 in accordance with ~~this Chapter (see as~~ Section 37.3(a)(6) ~~does not constitute a rent increase;~~ (3)  
23 ~~where there has been a change in the landlord's property tax attributable to a San Francisco Unified~~  
24 ~~School District or San Francisco Community College District general obligation bond approved by the~~  
25 ~~voters after November 1, 2006, the landlord's passing through to the tenant of such increased costs in~~

1 ~~accordance with this Chapter (see Section 37.3(a)(6)) does not constitute a rent increase; and, (4); and~~  
2 ~~(3) where the landlord is passing through to the tenant where water bill charges are attributable to~~  
3 water rate increases resulting from issuance of water revenue bonds authorized at the  
4 November 5, 2002 election, ~~the landlord's passing through to the tenant of such increased costs in~~  
5 ~~accordance with this Chapter (see Section 37.3(a)(5)(B)) does not constitute a rent increase.~~

6 \* \* \* \*

### 8 **SEC. 37.3. RENT LIMITATIONS.**

9 (a) **Rent Increase Limitations for Tenants in Occupancy.** Landlords may impose  
10 rent increases upon tenants in occupancy only as provided below and as provided by  
11 subsections 37.3(d) and 37.3(g):

12 \* \* \* \*

#### 13 (6) **Property Tax.**

14 (A) Effective July 1, 2024, a landlord may impose increases based upon a  
15 100% passthrough of the change in the landlord's property tax resulting from the repayment of  
16 general obligation bonds of the City and County of San Francisco approved by the voters  
17 between November 1, 1996, and November 30, 1998; a 50% passthrough of the change in the  
18 landlord's property tax resulting from the repayment of general obligation bonds of the City and  
19 County of San Francisco approved by the voters after November 14, 2002; and ~~as provided in Section~~  
20 37.2(q).

21 ~~—A landlord may impose increases based upon~~ a 50% passthrough of the change in the  
22 landlord's property tax resulting from the repayment of San Francisco Unified School District  
23 or San Francisco Community College District general obligation bonds approved by the voters  
24 after November 1, 2006. General obligation bonds that meet the criteria set forth in the previous  
25 sentence are referred to herein as "Eligible Bonds;" provided, however, that a general obligation bond

1 approved after December 20, 2000 may qualify as an Eligible Bond only if the passthrough was  
2 disclosed and approved by the voters. The City and County of San Francisco, San Francisco Unified  
3 School District, and San Francisco Community College District are referred to collectively as “the  
4 Issuing Entities.”

5 To pass through the change in property tax resulting from the repayment of Eligible Bonds, the  
6 landlord shall calculate said change relative to the amount that the property tax rate increased due to  
7 the repayment of Eligible Bonds since each tenancy commenced, or 2005, whichever is later (“the Base  
8 Year”), as set forth in subsection (a)(6)(B). ~~The amount of such increases shall be determined for each~~  
9 tax year as follows:

10 (B)(A) The Controller ~~and the Board of Supervisors~~ will first determine the  
11 percentage of the property tax rate, if any, ~~in each tax year~~ attributable to ~~the~~ general obligation  
12 bonds ~~of any of the Issuing Entities (“the General Obligation Bond Factor”) for each~~ and ~~repayable~~  
13 ~~within such~~ tax year. The passthrough rate for an individual tenant (the “Tenant Passthrough Rate”)  
14 shall be based on the amount that the General Obligation Bond Factor for the current year has  
15 increased since the tenant’s Base Year; and the degree to which said increase, if any, is attributable to  
16 Eligible Bonds, as measured by the ratio of debt service for the Eligible Bonds compared to the total  
17 debt service attributable to general obligation bonds of the Issuing Entities. The resulting figure shall  
18 then be discounted to reflect the specific percentage passthroughs for each of the Eligible Bonds as  
19 specified in subsection (A). The Controller shall prepare and annually update a form to help landlords  
20 and tenants calculate the Tenant Passthrough Rates. The Controller’s authority to interpret and  
21 administer this calculation shall be liberally construed to further the purposes of this subsection (a)(6).

22 (C)(B) To calculate the amount of increased property tax that the landlord  
23 can pass through to a tenant in any given year, the landlord shall divide ~~This percentage shall be~~  
24 ~~multiplied by~~ the total amount of the net taxable value as of November 1 of the applicable tax  
25 year by the total number of all units in the property, including commercial units; and shall multiply the

1 resulting figure by the applicable Tenant Passthrough Rate. The result is the dollar amount of  
2 increased property taxes for that tax year for a particular property attributable to the repayment  
3 of ~~the general obligation bonds~~ Eligible Bonds that the landlord may pass through to the tenant.

4 ~~(D)(C)~~ The dollar amount calculated under subsection (a)(6)(C)(B) shall  
5 be divided by the total number of all units in each property, including commercial units. That figure  
6 shall also be discounted to reflect the percentage passthrough that the voters authorized, as applicable:  
7 specifically, in the case of the 50% passthroughs authorized for general obligation bonds of the City  
8 and County of San Francisco approved by the voters after November 14, 2002 and general obligation  
9 bonds of the San Francisco Unified School District or San Francisco Community College District  
10 approved by the voters after November 1, 2006, the figure shall be divided by two. The figure shall  
11 then be divided by the total number of months that the passthrough may apply pursuant to  
12 subsection (a)(6)(E)(D)(i), to determine the monthly per unit costs for that tax year of the  
13 repayment of general obligation bonds.

14 ~~(E)(D)~~ Landlords may pass through to each unit in a particular property  
15 the dollar amount calculated under subsections (a)(6)(A)-(D), (B), and (C), as provided in this  
16 subsection (a)(6)(E)(D).

17 (i) ~~If a passthrough is imposed on or before December 31, 2020, it shall~~  
18 ~~apply only for the 12-month period after it is imposed. Starting January 1, 2021, a~~All passthroughs  
19 shall apply for the same number of months covered by the property tax bills used in the  
20 passthrough calculation, and the calculation may not be based on tax bills issued more than  
21 three years prior to the year in which the passthrough was imposed.

22 (ii) The landlord shall give affected tenants notice of the  
23 passthrough as provided by applicable notice of rent increase provisions of this Chapter 37,  
24 including but not limited to Section 37.3(b)(3). The passthroughs may be imposed at any time  
25 in the calendar year, provided that the landlord serves notice of such passthrough to be



1 effective on the anniversary date of each tenant's occupancy of the property. The  
2 passthroughs shall not become a part of a tenant's base rent. The amount of each  
3 passthrough imposed pursuant to this subsection (a)(6) may vary from year-to-year,  
4 depending on the amount calculated by the Controller~~under subsections (a)(6)(A), (B), and (C)~~. A  
5 landlord may impose the passthroughs described in this subsection (a)(6) for a particular tax  
6 year only with respect to those tenants who were residents of a particular property on  
7 November 1 of the applicable tax year. A landlord shall not impose a passthrough pursuant to  
8 this subsection (a)(6) if the landlord has filed for or received Board approval for a rent  
9 increase under Section 37.8(e)(4) for increased operating and maintenance expenses in  
10 which the same increase in property taxes due to the repayment of general obligation bonds  
11 was included in the comparison year cost totals.

12 ~~(F)(E)~~ A tenant who has received a passthrough under this subsection  
13 (a)(6) may file a financial hardship application with the Board, and the Board may grant the  
14 tenant complete or partial relief from ~~that part of the passthrough that is attributable to general~~  
15 ~~obligation bonds approved by the voters on or after November 5, 2019~~. The standards and  
16 procedures for the financial hardship application shall be as set forth in Sections 37.7(h)-(i).

17 ~~(G)(F)~~ The Board will have available a form which explains how to  
18 calculate the passthrough. Landlords must provide to tenants, on or before the date that  
19 notice is served on the tenant of a passthrough permitted under this subsection (a)(6), a copy  
20 of the completed form. Landlords shall provide their tenants the ~~This~~ completed forms ~~shall be~~  
21 ~~provided~~ in addition to the Notice of Rent Increase required under Section 37.3(b), and shall file  
22 copies of the completed forms with the Board. Where a tenant alleges that a landlord has imposed  
23 a charge which exceeds the limitations set forth in this subsection (a)(6), the tenant may  
24 petition for a hearing under the procedures provided by Section 37.8. In such a hearing, the  
25 landlord shall have the burden of proving the accuracy of the calculation that is the basis for

1 the increase. Any tenant petitions challenging such a passthrough must be filed within one  
2 year of the effective date of the passthrough.

3 ~~(H)(G)~~ The Board and the Controller may amend their rules and  
4 regulations as necessary to implement this subsection (a)(6).

5 \* \* \* \*

6  
7 Section 5. Effective and Operative Dates.

8 (a) This ordinance shall become effective 30 days after enactment. Enactment occurs  
9 when the Mayor signs the ordinance, the Mayor returns the ordinance unsigned or does not  
10 sign the ordinance within ten days of receiving it, or the Board of Supervisors overrides the  
11 Mayor’s veto of the ordinance.

12 (b) This ordinance shall become operative on its effective date or on July 1, 2024,  
13 whichever is later.

14  
15 Section 6. Scope of Ordinance. In enacting this ordinance, the Board of Supervisors  
16 intends to amend only those words, phrases, paragraphs, subsections, sections, articles,  
17 numbers, punctuation marks, charts, diagrams, or any other constituent parts of the Municipal  
18 Code that are explicitly shown in this ordinance as additions, deletions, Board amendment  
19 additions, and Board amendment deletions in accordance with the “Note” that appears under  
20 the official title of the ordinance.

21  
22 Section 7. Severability. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, or word of  
23 this ordinance, or any application thereof to any person or circumstance, is held to be invalid  
24 or unconstitutional by a decision of a court of competent jurisdiction, such decision shall not  
25 affect the validity of the remaining portions or applications of the ordinance. The Board of

1 Supervisors hereby declares that it would have passed this ordinance and each and every  
2 section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase, and word not declared invalid or  
3 unconstitutional without regard to whether any other portion of this ordinance or application  
4 thereof would be subsequently declared invalid or unconstitutional

5

6 APPROVED AS TO FORM:  
7 DAVID CHIU, City Attorney

8 By: /s/ \_\_\_\_\_  
9 MANU PRADHAN  
Deputy City Attorney

10 n:\legana\as2024\2400216\01739467.docx

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## LEGISLATIVE DIGEST

[Administrative, Municipal Elections Codes - General Obligation Bond Passthroughs]

**Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to provide that the general obligation bond passthrough from landlords to tenants shall be calculated based on the amount the property tax rate has increased due to general obligation bonds since the tenant's move-in date or 2005, whichever is later; and to allow tenants to seek relief from general obligation bond passthroughs based on financial hardship.**

### Existing Law

The Rent Ordinance limits rent increases on residential tenants, but allows landlords to “pass through” to their tenants a portion of the change in the property taxes that is the result of the repayment of certain categories of general obligation bonds (“Eligible Bonds”). Specifically, landlords can pass through 100% of the change in property tax resulting from bonds issued by the City between 1996-98; 50% of the change resulting from bonds issued by the City after 2002; and 50% of the change resulting from bonds issued by the San Francisco Unified School District or the San Francisco Community College District after 2006. The Controller calculates a Citywide passthrough rate each year, based on the amount of the property tax rate in that year that is attributable to Eligible Bonds. Landlords can use the passthrough rate to determine the amount of property tax costs they can pass through to their tenants.

A tenant who has received a passthrough may file a financial hardship application with the Rent Board as to that part of the passthrough that is attributable to Eligible Bonds approved on or after November 5, 2019.

### Amendments to Current Law

The ordinance would modify how the Controller calculates the tenant passthrough rates. Rather than use a standard passthrough rate for all tenants based on the aggregate cost of the Eligible Bonds under repayment, landlords would identify a specific passthrough rate for each tenant. Each tenant's passthrough rate would be based on a comparison of how much the portion of the property tax rate that pays for general obligation bonds of the three bond-issuing entities (the “General Obligation Bond Factor”) had increased between the current year, and the year that tenant moved into the unit or 2005, whichever is later (“the Base Year”). If the current year's General Obligation Bond Factor was not higher than it was in the tenant's Base Year, then there would be no increase to pass through to that tenant. But if the General Obligation Bond Factor had increased since the tenant's Base Year, the landlord could pass through to that tenant the portion of the increase that was attributable to Eligible Bonds, in the specific percentages authorized for each of the different categories of Eligible Bonds. The Controller would prepare and annually update a form to help landlords and tenants calculate the tenant passthrough rates. These changes would take effect on July 1, 2024, and would not affect prior years' passthroughs.

FILE NO. 240174

Also, the ordinance would allow tenants to seek relief based on financial hardship as to passthroughs associated with all Eligible Bonds, not just Eligible Bonds issued on or after November 5, 2019.

n:\legana\as2024\2400216\01733003.docx

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco 94102-4689  
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184  
Fax No. (415) 554-5163  
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

## MEMORANDUM

TO: Greg Wagner, City Controller  
Christina Varner, Executive Director, Rent Board

FROM: Victor Young, Assistant Clerk *Victor Young*

DATE: May 8, 2024

SUBJECT: LEGISLATION INTRODUCED

The Board of Supervisors' Rules Committee received the following proposed legislation:

File No. 240174

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to provide that the general obligation bond passthrough from landlords to tenants shall be calculated based on the amount the property tax rate has increased due to general obligation bonds since the tenant's move-in date or 2005, whichever is later; and to allow tenants to seek relief from general obligation bond passthroughs based on financial hardship.

If you have comments or reports to be included with the file, please forward them to Victor Young at the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco, CA 94102 or by email at: [victor.young@sfgov.org](mailto:victor.young@sfgov.org).

cc. Tood Rydstrom, Office of the Controller



March 19, 2024

Supervisor Hillary Ronen  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
City Hall Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: File 240174, Assigned to Rules, Bond Pass-Throughs to Tenants

Dear Supervisor Ronen,

I am writing to seek your support and request your co-sponsorship of this legislation to update and reform the Rent Law's provision for Pass-Through of Property Tax Increases due to the passage of G.O. Bonds.

Since fiscal year 2005-2006, the City has adhered to the Capital Plan mandate that the issuance of new G.O. Bonds be staggered and timed with the retirement of existing G.O. Bonds, in order to accomplish the goal of not raising property taxes. This policy is routinely touted in the materials urging voters to adopt G.O. Bonds. We tell the voters that their vote for the G.O. Bonds will not raise property taxes.

Meanwhile, the antiquated provision in the Rent Law has been producing significant Pass-Throughs for Property Tax Increases, ostensibly due to the passage of those same G.O. Bonds, when in fact those property tax increases do not exist.

The legislation I am writing to you about will update the relevant Rent Law pass-through provision to reflect the "No Property Tax Increase" policy. City and County G.O. Bonds that adhere to the Capital Plan, and therefore do not result in a property tax increase, will, by the same token, not result in a Pass-Through.

The existing Rent Law provision is based on what was a bit of a "one-off" solution originally addressing just two G.O. Bonds, first in 1996 and then in 1998. The formula is an inexact approximation that resulted, among other things, in tenants paying for property tax increases that occurred before their tenancy even started. The passage of time has magnified the inaccuracy of the original provision, with increased significance as the discrepancy has grown. The adoption of the Capital Plan beginning in Fiscal Year 2005/06 has made this clear, as the City approves and issues G.O. Bonds, without raising property taxes, while tenants pay a Pass-Through for an increase in property taxes that no longer exists.

71 Norwich Street, San Francisco, CA 94110 415-756-3037

[www.saffordablehousingalliance.org](http://www.saffordablehousingalliance.org)



The legislation provides that the G.O. Bond Pass-Through will be calculated based upon the amount the Property Tax Rate has increased due to general obligation bonds after the tenant's move-in date, or 2005, whichever is later.

The result will be that the City's G.O. Bonds issued pursuant to the Capital Plan's "No Property Tax Increase" provision, will not result in a pass-through to tenants.

Other provisions of the legislation will allow tenants to seek relief based on financial hardship, and to require landlords to file a copy of their Pass-Through worksheet with the Rent Board, a process that mimics one already in place for certain Utility Pass-Throughs. The legislation will not result in a significant increase in work load for the Rent Board.

My request is that you consider supporting the legislation by joining as a co-sponsor.

Sincerely,

Mitchell Omerberg  
Director