1	[Supporting Strong Public Sector Unions Post a Janus v. AFSCME Supreme Court Decision]
2	
3	Resolution urging the Mayor and the Department of Human Resources to work with the
4	public sector unions in San Francisco to ensure their health and success; and
5	supporting the freedom of city employees to participate in strong unions post a United
6	States Supreme Court decision on Janus v. AFSCME.
7	
8	WHEREAS, All families should have the means to thrive in safe and healthy
9	communities; and
10	WHEREAS, The working people who make our city run deserve good jobs that can
11	support families; and
12	WHEREAS, Over the last forty years, working people have become more productive
13	than ever, yet real wages have declined and CEOs make more than ever before; i.e., 347
14	times more than the average person in 2016; and
15	WHEREAS, Being able to come together in unions gives people – particularly women
16	and people of color – a powerful voice in speaking up for themselves, their families, and their
17	communities and ensures they are treated with dignity and respect at work; and
18	WHEREAS, When people stick together in unions, they gain the power in numbers to
19	raise wages and improve benefits like health care for themselves, their families, and all of our
20	communities; and
21	WHEREAS, People working collectively together in unions have won victories like the
22	40-hour work week, overtime pay, and health and safety standards, as well as advanced
23	policies especially important to women like paid leave, earned sick time, and reducing the
24	gender pay gap; and
25	

Supervisors Ronen; Fewer, Peskin, Sheehy, Kim, Yee, Safai, Cohen, Breed, Stefani **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

1	WHEREAS, When people can negotiate together for strong contracts, higher wages,
2	and safer, dignified working conditions, all of us benefit, our communities are stronger, and
3	our entire economy is made more fair; and
4	WHEREAS, The United States Supreme Court is considering the case Janus v.
5	AFSCME Council 31, which could restrict unions from requiring dues from non-member
6	employees who benefit from collective bargaining, thereby weakening the unions' power to
7	effectively negotiate on behalf of all public sector workers - such as nurses, teachers, and
8	firefighters; and
9	WHEREAS, An unfavorable decision by United States Supreme Court may deny public
10	sector workers the freedom to access strong unions that make our communities safe, more
11	equitable, and strong; and
12	WHEREAS, In states where public union rights have come under attack, we have seen
13	different outcomes - in Wisconsin, for example, union membership has fallen 38% after the
14	state passed a law curtailing collective bargaining in 2011, but in other states such as Ohio
15	and New Hampshire, unions have been able to survive similar attacks through proactive
16	strategies; and
17	WHEREAS, The late Mayor Ed Lee, meeting with statewide and local labor leaders
18	before his passing, committed his administration to doing all it could to encourage city
19	employees to continue membership in their unions; and
20	WHEREAS, the City of San Francisco relies on those who work in public service to
21	provide health care, educate our children, put out fires, fix our potholes, drive our buses, pick
22	up our trash, and more; now, therefore, be it
23	RESOLVED, That the City and County of San Francisco supports the freedom of all

city employees to exercise their rights to a voice and dignity on the job through joining

together in strong unions; and, be it

24

25

FURTHER RESOLVED, That the Board of Supervisors urges the Mayor and the
Department of Human Resources to work with the public sector unions in San Francisco to
ensure their health and success post a Janus v. AFSCME Supreme Court case decision by
engaging in good faith discussions around implementing a "gold card" membership such as
that which is being proposed by the San Francisco Labor Council's Public Employee
Committee, providing annual membership commitments and adequate release time for rank
and file union leaders to explain the implications of a negative Janus decision and to
encourage continued union membership to their city employee colleagues.