

FILE NO. 250794

Petitions and Communications received from July 17, 2025, through July 24, 2025, for reference by the President to Committee considering related matters, or to be ordered filed by the Clerk on July 29, 2025.

Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information will not be redacted.

From the Office of the Mayor (MYR), making the following appointments to the following body. Copy: Each Supervisor. (1)

- Appointments pursuant Administrative Code, Section 2A.233(d), to the Children, Youth and Their Families Oversight and Advisory Committee:
  - Eloise Krehlik - term ending January 17, 2027
  - Yvette Byes Edwards - term ending July 1, 2026
  - Jenny Pearlman - term ending July 1, 2027
  - Ryan Hazelton - term ending July 1, 2026
  - Prasanthi Patel - term ending January 17, 2027

From various departments, pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 12B.5-1(d)(1), submitting approved Chapter 12B Waiver Request Forms. 2 Forms. Copy: Each Supervisor. (2)

From the Ethics Commission (ETH), submitting a letter recommending changes to trustee election disclosures. Copy: Each Supervisor. (3)

From the San Francisco Arts Commission (ART), submitting the Civic Design Review committee meeting agenda for July 21, 2025. Copy: Each Supervisor. (4)

From the Office of the Controller (CON), submitting the Muni Funding Working Group report. Copy: Each Supervisor. (5)

From the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA), submitting the Interdepartmental Staff Committee on Traffic and Transportation for Temporary Street Closures (ISCOTT) meeting agenda for July 24, 2025. Copy: Each Supervisor. (6)

From the San Francisco Police Department (SFPD), submitting a letter of extension for the second quarter of Calendar Year (CY) 2025 Quarterly Activity and Data Report. Copy: Each Supervisor. (7)

From the Department on the Status of Women (WOM), submitting a Monthly Update on the Status of Abortion Rights for the month of July 2025. Copy: Each Supervisor. (8)

From the Office of the Assessor-Recorder (ASR), pursuant to Administrative Code, Chapter 67.24(e)(3)(iii), submitting Sole Source Contracts Report for Fiscal Year (FY) 2024-2025. Copy: Each Supervisor. (9)

From the Office of District 1 Supervisor Connie Chan, submitting a notice of a special Budget and Finance committee meeting to convene on July 29, 2025, at 10:30 a.m. Copy: Each Supervisor. (10)

From the Office of the Treasurer Tax Collector (TTX), pursuant to California State Government Code, Section 53646, submitting the Monthly Pooled Investment Report for the month of June 2025. Copy: Each Supervisor. (11)

From the Port of San Francisco (PRT), pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 10.100-305, submitting an Annual Gifts Report for Fiscal Year (FY) 2024-2025. Copy: Each Supervisor. (12)

From the Planning Department (CPC), pursuant to Resolution No. 405-24, submitting the Interim Zoning Controls - Health Services and Social Services in Upper Market Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District (NCT) and Castro Street Neighborhood Commercial District (NCD) post passage report. Copy: Each Supervisor. (13)

From the California Fish and Game Commission, submitting a notice of a meeting on August 13-14, 2025, and a notice of proposed changes in regulations relating to white sturgeon fishing. 2 notices. Copy: Each Supervisor. (14)

From Stephen Torres and Persia Parra, regarding 111 Taylor Street. Copy: Each Supervisor. (15)

From Elizabeth Stahl, regarding advisory committees. Copy: Each Supervisor. (16)

From Shannon Seaberg, regarding water fountains at Balboa Park for dogs. Copy: Each Supervisor. (17)

From Eileen Boken, regarding Planning, Building Codes - Noncomplying, Unpermitted, and Accessory Structures; and Administrative Code - Employee Donations to San Francisco Firefighter and EMS Behavioral Health Foundation. File Nos. 250284 and 250681. 2 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (18)

From Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), submitting a notice regarding request to change rates for 2027 income qualified programs bridge funding. Copy: Each Supervisor. (19)

From members of the public, regarding the installation of a protected bikeway on Valencia Street south of 23rd Street. 4 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (20)

From members of the public, regarding lobbying activity in San Francisco government. 13 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (21)

From members of the public, regarding District 9. 14 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (22)

From members of the public, regarding animal welfare in South Korea. 46 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (23)

From members of the public, regarding the Hearing - Committee of the Whole - Dogpatch and Northwest Potrero Hill Green Benefit District - Renewal and Expansion - July 22, 2025, at 3:00 p.m.; and Establish, Renew and Expand - Dogpatch and Northwest Potrero Hill Green Benefit District. File Nos. 250470 and 250471. 6 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (24)

From members of the public, regarding the proposed Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to promote equitable access to shelter and behavioral health services by prohibiting the City from siting a new, City-funded homeless shelter, transitional housing facility, or certain behavioral health residential care and treatment facilities (collectively, "Covered Facilities") in a neighborhood where the neighborhood's share of the City's shelter and transitional housing beds exceeds the neighborhood's share of the City's unsheltered persons, and prohibiting the City from siting a new City-funded homeless shelter within 300 feet of an existing homeless shelter; and authorizing the Board of Supervisors to waive these prohibitions upon a finding that approving the Covered Facility or homeless shelter at the proposed location is in the public interest; and providing that this ordinance shall sunset on December 31, 2031. File No. 250487. 4 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (25)

From Colette I. Hughes, regarding the proposed Ordinance authorizing the City to reallocate approximately \$34,777,000 in prior appropriated revenue and unappropriated earned interest within the Our City, Our Home ("OCOH") Fund, to allow the City to use revenues from the Homelessness Gross Receipts Tax through Fiscal Year (FY) 2026-27 for certain types of services to address homelessness, notwithstanding the expenditure percentages set forth in Business and Tax Regulations Code, Section 2810; where future revenue and interest to the OCOH Fund exceeds amounts appropriated in the adopted budget for fiscal years 2025-2026 and 2026-2027, authorizing the City to expend up to \$19,100,000 of such additional revenues and interest on any programs to address homelessness as described in Business and Tax Regulations Code, Section 2810, without regard to the expenditure percentages in that section; temporarily suspending the limit on funding for short-term rental subsidies; and finding that these reallocations are necessary to achieve the purposes of the Our City, Our Home Fund pursuant to Business and Tax Regulations Code, Section 2811. File No. 250609. Copy: Each Supervisor. (26)

From a member of the public, regarding the proposed Resolution authorizing the Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) to set parking rates in Golden Gate Park in

accordance with Park Code provisions that authorize SFMTA rate-setting on park property; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act. File No. 250617. Copy: Each Supervisor. (27)

From members of the public, regarding the proposed Ordinance 1) amending Division I of the Transportation Code to reduce the time that large vehicles may be parked on City streets from overnight to two hours, and modify the time that commercial vehicles may be parked on City streets; 2) amending the Administrative Code to require City departments, including but not limited to the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, the Department of Emergency Management, and the Police Department, to assist the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) with administering a Large Vehicle Refuge Permit Program that exempts certain large vehicles from the two-hour parking restriction under certain conditions; 3) urging SFMTA to develop a fair review process and to develop further exceptions to the two-hour restriction as may be needed to support the public interest; 4) amending the Park Code to impose a two-hour parking limit on large vehicles on park property; 5) amending the Port Code to impose two-hour parking limits on large vehicles on Port property; and 6) affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act. File No. 250655. 9 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (28)

From members of the public, regarding the Zoning Map - Family Zoning Plan; Planning, Business and Tax Regulations Codes - Family Zoning Plan; and Opposing California State Senate Bill No. 79 (Wiener) Unless Amended - Housing Development: Transit-Oriented Development). File Nos. 250700, 250701, and 250727. 62 Letters. Copy: Each Supervisor. (29)

From Hanson Bridgett LLP, regarding the Hearing of persons interested in or objecting to the decision of Public Works, dated June 25, 2025, approving a Tentative Parcel Map for a three-lot subdivision at 1979 Mission Street, Assessor's Parcel Block No. 3553, Lot No. 052. (District 9) (Appellant: Ali Gilmore) (Filed: July 3, 2025). File No. 250735. Copy: Each Supervisor. (30)

From Jordan, regarding the proposed Resolution celebrating the 35th anniversary of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the work of disability rights leaders and the San Francisco Office on Disability and Accessibility, and the opening of the San Francisco Disability Cultural Center. File No. 250759. Copy: Each Supervisor. (31)

From Robert Tischer, regarding John F. Kennedy Drive. Copy: Each Supervisor. (32)



**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Mayoral Appt - DCYF OAC  
**Date:** Monday, July 21, 2025 8:50:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [Clerk's Memo - 7.18.25 - DCYF OAC.pdf](#)  
[7.18.25 Appointment Notice.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

The Office of the Mayor submitted the attached complete appointment package. Please see the memo from the Clerk of the Board for more information and instructions.

Regards,

John Bullock  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-5184  
[BOS@sfgov.org](mailto:BOS@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco 94102-4689  
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184  
Fax No. (415) 554-5163  
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

---

## MEMORANDUM

---

Date: July 18, 2025  
To: Members, Board of Supervisors  
From: *ACC* Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board  
Subject: Mayoral Appointments  
Children, Youth and Their Families Oversight and Advisory Committee

---

On July 18, 2025, the Mayor submitted the following complete appointment packages. Pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 2A.233(d), these appointments shall take effect 30 days after the transmittal of the notice of appointments (August 17, 2025).

**Children, Youth and Their Families Oversight and Advisory Committee:**

- **Eloise Krehlik** - Seat 2 - term ending January 17, 2027
- **Yvette Byes Edwards** - Seat 3 - term ending July 1, 2026
- **Jenny Pearlman** - Seat 4 - term ending July 1, 2027
- **Ryan Hazelton** - Seat 5 - term ending July 1, 2026
- **Prasanthi Patel** - Seat 6 - term ending January 17, 2027

These appointments are not subject to rejection by the Board of Supervisors; however, the Board may conduct a public hearing on any of these appointments.

Due to the Summer Recess, please let me know in writing by 12:00 noon on Wednesday, July 23, 2025.

(Attachments)

c: President Rafael Mandelman - Board of Supervisors  
Supervisor Shamann Walton - Chair, Rules Committee, Board of Supervisors  
Alisa Somera - Legislative Deputy  
Victor Young - Rules Clerk  
Brad Russi - Deputy City Attorney  
Adam Thongsavat - Mayor's Liaison to the Board of Supervisors  
Andre Adeyemi - Mayor's Director of Appointments



## Notice of Appointment

July 18, 2025

San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Honorable Board of Supervisors:

Pursuant to Administrative Code, Sections 2A.233-2A.234, and Charter, Section 16.108-1 of the City and County of San Francisco, I make the following appointment to the Children, Youth and Their Families Oversight and Advisory Committee :

Eloise Krehlik - Seat 2 (formerly held by Skylar Dang - term expired) for the unfinished portion of a two-year term ending January 17, 2027. (Youth Seat -under 19 years old)

Yvette Byes Edwards - Seat 3 (formerly held by Mollie Matull - term expired) for the unfinished portion of a two-year term ending July 1, 2026. (Parent of San Francisco Unified School District student)

Jenny Pearlman - Seat 4 (formerly held by Lesly Simmons - term expired) for the unfinished portion of a two-year term ending July 1, 2027. (led SF Family First Prevention Services Plan)

Ryan Hazelton - Seat 5 (formerly held by Jada Curry - term expired) for the unfinished portion of a two-year term ending July 1, 2026. (Executive Director of Mariposa Kids - offering play based programming for kids 5-11)

Prasanthi Patel - Seat 6 (formerly held by Linda Martley-Jordan - term expired) for the unfinished portion of a two-year term ending January 17, 2027. (experience in youth health education and young family case management)

I am confident that they will serve our community well. Attached are their qualifications to serve, which demonstrate how their appointment represents the communities of interest, neighborhoods, and diverse populations of the City and County of San Francisco.

Should you have any questions about this appointment, please contact my Director of Appointments, Andre Adeyemi, at (415) 554-4000.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Daniel Lurie", with a stylized flourish at the end.

Daniel Lurie

Mayor, City and County of San Francisco

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** 2 12B Waiver Request Forms  
**Date:** Thursday, July 24, 2025 10:20:15 AM  
**Attachments:** [2 12B Waiver Request Forms.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see attached two 12B waiver request forms.

Regards,

John Bullock  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-5184  
[BOS@sfgov.org](mailto:BOS@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

**From:** [CCSF IT Service Desk](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** CMD12B0004446 - "Request to Waive 12B Requirements" has been Approved by (DPH) Department Head (Michelle Ruggels)  
**Date:** Thursday, July 24, 2025 8:52:41 AM  
**Attachments:** [image](#)

---



## Contract Monitoring Division

SF Board of Supervisors,

This is to inform you that CMD12B0004446 - 'Request to Waive 12B Requirements' has been approved by (DPH) Department Head (Michelle Ruggels).

### Summary of Request

**Requester:** Swati Patel

**Department:** DPH

**Waiver Justification:** 12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing)

**Supplier ID:** 0000042765

**Requested total cost:** \$200,000.00

**Short Description:** Quva: Outsourced Sterile Compounding Services

[Take me to the CMD 12B Waiver Request](#)

For additional questions regarding this waiver request please contact  
[cmd.equalbenefits@sfgov.org](mailto:cmd.equalbenefits@sfgov.org)

Thank you.

Ref:TIS6016147\_sZaZgdDnUYrZ0Sk8T1rC

**Report Title:** CMD 12B Waiver Details  
**Run Date and Time:** 2025-07-24 09:49:28 Pacific Daylight Time  
**Run by:** ServiceNow Admin  
**Table name:** u\_cmd\_12b\_waiver

CMD 12B Waiver

Number:	CMD12B0004446	Request Status:	Awaiting CMD Analyst Approval
Requested for:	Swati Patel	State:	Work in Progress
Department Head/Delegated authority:	Michelle Ruggels	Waiver Type:	12B Waiver
Opened:	2025-07-23 09:58:44	12B Waiver Type:	Standard
		Requesting Department:	DPH
		Requester Phone:	(628) 206-2504
		Awaiting Info from:	
		Awaiting Info reason:	
		Opened by:	Swati Patel
		Watch list:	

Short Description:

Quva: Outsourced Sterile Compounding Services

Supplier ID:	0000042765	Requested Amount:	\$75,000.00
Is this a new waiver or are you modifying a previously approved waiver?:	Modification – Prior Waiver Approved in ServiceNow	Increase Amount:	\$125,000.00
Last Approved 12B Waiver Request:	CMD12B0003047	Previously Approved Amount:	\$75,000.00
		Total Requested Amount:	\$200,000.00
Document Type:	Requisition	Enter Contract ID:	
12B Waiver Justification:	12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing)	Enter Requisition ID:	0000335274
City Treasurer:	Jose Cisneros	Enter Purchase Order ID:	
Admin Code Chapter:	Chapter 21A GPO (DPH Only)	Enter Direct Voucher ID:	
Select Chapter 21.04 Section:		Waiver Start Date:	2025-07-01
Confirm Dept. has documented this agreement as a Sole Source:		Waiver End Date:	2027-06-30

Advertising:	false
Commodities, Equipment and Hardware :	false
Equipment and Vehicle Lease:	false
On Premise Software and Support:	false
Online Content, Reports, Periodicals and Journals:	false
Professional and General Services:	true
Software as a Service (SaaS) and Cloud Software Applications:	false
Vehicles and Trailers:	false

Detail the purpose of this contract is and what goods and/or services the contra:

(a) QUVA PHARMA INC

(b) Quva provides sterile compounded services to assist with ventilated COVID-19 patients and controlled substance IV medications not commercially available.

(c) This supplier was pre-selected through group purchasing authority GPO (Vizient) for DPH bulk purchasing.

If you have made an effort to have the supplier comply, explain it here. If not,:

QUVA PHARMA INC is pending compliance with 12b. In the interim, SFDPH is seeking a waiver until the supplier can be found compliant or unable to comply. This supplier was also pre-selected through group purchasing authority GPO for DPH bulk purchasing.

Cancel Notes:

#### CMD Analyst

CMD Analyst:

CMD Analyst Decision:

CMD Analyst Comments:

CMD Director:

Select the reason for this request:

#### CMD Director

CMD Director:

CMD Director Decision:

Reason for Determination:

#### 12B.5-1(a)(1) (Non Property Contracts)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:

Sole Source – Non Property Contract  
Justification Reason:

Has DPH Commission qualified this  
agreement as a Sole Source under  
Chpt 21.42?:

Has MTA qualified this agreement as  
a Sole Source under Charter Sec.  
8A.102(b)?:

Explain why this is a Sole Source:

#### 12B.5-1(a)(1) (Property Contracts)

City Property Status:

Has DPH Commission qualified this  
agreement as a Sole Source under  
Chpt 21.42?:

Has MTA qualified this agreement as  
a Sole Source under Charter Sec.  
8A.102(b)?:

CMD 12B.5-1(a)(1) (Sole Source – Property Contracts) Question1:

CMD 12B.5-1(a)(1) (Sole Source – Property Contracts) Question2:

#### 12B.5-1(a)(1)(Property Contracts)

Sole Source – Property Contract  
Justification Reason:

**12B.5-1(a)(2) (Declared Emergency)**

12B.5-1(a)(2) (Declared Emergency) Question2:

**12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation)**

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation) Question1 :

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation) Question2:

**12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-Non Property)**

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:

Public Entity Sole Source – Non  
Property Contract Justification  
Reason:Has DPH Commission qualified this  
agreement as a Sole Source under  
Chpt 21.42?:Has MTA qualified this agreement as  
a Sole Source under Charter Sec.  
8A.102(b)?:

Explain why this is a Sole Source (Public Entity):

**12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-Property)**

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity SS-PC) Question1:

**12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity - Substantial)**12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-SPI)  
Question1:**12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms)**

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms) Question1:

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms) Question2:

**12B.5-1(e) Investments and Services**

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question1:

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question2:

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question3:

**12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk Water, Power and**

Bulk Water: false

Bulk Power: false

Bulk Gas: false

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk WPG)  
Question2:



12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk WPG) Question1:

#### 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question2:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question4:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question5:

#### 12B.5-1(d)(1)(No Vendors Comply)

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question2 :

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question4:

#### 12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:

Has MTA qualified agreement as Bulk  
Purchasing under Charter Sec.  
8A.102(b)?:

Detail the nature of this Bulk Purchasing transaction:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question1:

Per Admin Code Section 21A.2(a)

(2) Healthcare GPOs obtain cost savings by pooling their members' purchasing power and negotiating lower prices from their participating vendors. Healthcare GPOs also provide their members with cost savings by conducting a competitive bidding process for some – though not all – of the goods and services offered by their suppliers.

(3) Membership in Healthcare GPOs allows DPH to employ a streamlined process for procuring goods and services, thereby reducing administrative burdens, facilitating improved quality of care, and saving DPH millions of dollars each fiscal year.

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question2:

Per Admin Code Section 21A.2(a)

(2) Healthcare GPOs obtain cost savings by pooling their members' purchasing power and negotiating lower prices from their participating vendors. Healthcare GPOs also provide their members with cost savings by conducting a competitive bidding process for some – though not all – of the goods and services offered by their suppliers.

(3) Membership in Healthcare GPOs allows DPH to employ a streamlined process for procuring goods and services, thereby reducing administrative burdens, facilitating improved quality of care, and saving DPH millions of dollars each fiscal year.

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question3:

To fulfill the Board's desire to obtain the cost savings from using a GPO, pursuant to Chapter 21A.

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question4:

This entity is pre selected through Group purchasing for healthcare bulk purchasing.

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question5:

The purpose of Chapter 12B is to ensure equal access to benefits, including health benefits, regardless of one's protected category. The use of a GPO ensures DPH can access the goods and services it needs to provide healthcare to SF residents in a cost-effective and reliable manner, thereby increasing their access to healthcare regardless of their status. In this regard, the use of this Vizient contractor is aligned with the intent of Chapter 12B.

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question6:

Yes

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity)

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question2:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question4:

Activities

Additional comments:

**Related List Title:** Approval List

**Table name:** sysapproval\_approver

**Query Condition:** Approval for = CMD12B0004446

**Sort Order:** Order in ascending order

1 Approvals

State	Approver	Approving	Created	Approval set	Comments
Approved	Michelle Ruggels	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004446	2025-07-23 10:37:43		

**Related List Title:** Metric List

**Table name:** metric\_instance

**Query Condition:** Table = u\_cmd\_12b\_waiver AND ID = 235651e3ebfeea10302bf284dad0cd39

**Sort Order:** None

8 Metrics

Created	Definition	ID	Value	Start	End	Duration	Calculation complete
2025-07-23 10:37:45	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004446	Draft	2025-07-23 10:37:44	2025-07-24 08:51:40	22 Hours 13 Minutes	true
2025-07-24 08:51:45	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004446	Awaiting CMD Analyst Approval	2025-07-24 08:51:40			false
2025-07-23 10:37:45	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004446	Dept. Head approval	2025-07-23 10:37:44	2025-07-23 10:37:44	0 Seconds	true
2025-07-23 09:58:46	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004446	Draft	2025-07-23 09:58:45	2025-07-23 10:37:44	38 Minutes	true
2025-07-23 09:58:46	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004446	Draft	2025-07-23 09:58:45	2025-07-23 10:37:44	38 Minutes	true

Created	Definition	ID	Value	Start	End	Duration	Calculation complete
2025-07-23 10:37:45	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004446	Draft	2025-07-23 10:37:44	2025-07-24 08:51:40	22 Hours 13 Minutes	true
2025-07-24 08:51:45	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004446	Awaiting CMD Analyst Approval	2025-07-24 08:51:40			false
2025-07-23 10:37:45	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004446	Dept. Head approval	2025-07-23 10:37:44	2025-07-23 10:37:44	0 Seconds	true

**From:** [CCSF IT Service Desk](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** CMD12B0004435 - "Request to Waive 12B Requirements" has been Approved by (CON) Department Head (Michael Lambert)  
**Date:** Friday, July 18, 2025 2:22:26 PM  
**Attachments:** [image](#)

---



## Contract Monitoring Division

SF Board of Supervisors,

This is to inform you that CMD12B0004435 - 'Request to Waive 12B Requirements' has been approved by (CON) Department Head (Michael Lambert).

### Summary of Request

**Requester:** Lisseth Salazar Lopez  
**Department:** CON  
**Waiver Justification:** 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)  
**Supplier ID:** 0000025869  
**Requested total cost:** \$9,776.25  
**Short Description:** Adult Physical Collection

[Take me to the CMD 12B Waiver Request](#)

For additional questions regarding this waiver request please contact [cmd.equalbenefits@sfgov.org](mailto:cmd.equalbenefits@sfgov.org)

Thank you.

Ref:TIS6005133\_w4Ki83IKGmb0eUrDBBJD

**Report Title:** CMD 12B Waiver Details  
**Run Date and Time:** 2025-07-24 09:50:45 Pacific Daylight Time  
**Run by:** ServiceNow Admin  
**Table name:** u\_cmd\_12b\_waiver

CMD 12B Waiver

Number:	CMD12B0004435	Request Status:	Completed
Requested for:	Lisbeth Salazar Lopez	State:	Completed
Department Head/Delegated authority:	Michael Lambert	Waiver Type:	12B Waiver
Opened:	2025-07-18 14:05:14	12B Waiver Type:	Limited (Under 250K)
		Requesting Department:	CON
		Requester Phone:	
		Awaiting Info from:	
		Awaiting Info reason:	
		Opened by:	Lisbeth Salazar Lopez
		Watch list:	

Short Description:

Adult Physical Collection

Supplier ID:	0000025869	Requested Amount:	\$9,776.25
Is this a new waiver or are you modifying a previously approved waiver?:	New Waiver	Increase Amount:	\$0.00
Last Approved 12B Waiver Request:		Previously Approved Amount:	\$0.00
		Total Requested Amount:	\$9,776.25
Document Type:	Purchase Order	Enter Contract ID:	
12B Waiver Justification:	12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)	Enter Requisition ID:	
City Treasurer:	Jose Cisneros	Enter Purchase Order ID:	0000950108
Admin Code Chapter:	Chapter 21 Goods and Services	Enter Direct Voucher ID:	
Select Chapter 21.04 Section:		Waiver Start Date:	2025-07-18
Confirm Dept. has documented this agreement as a Sole Source:		Waiver End Date:	2026-06-30

Advertising:	false
Commodities, Equipment and Hardware :	false
Equipment and Vehicle Lease:	false
On Premise Software and Support:	false
Online Content, Reports, Periodicals and Journals:	true
Professional and General Services:	false
Software as a Service (SaaS) and Cloud Software Applications:	false
Vehicles and Trailers:	false

Detail the purpose of this contract is and what goods and/or services the contra:

Alibris for library a specialized source for out-of-print and hard-to-find materials. They also offer wide selection of these titles by individual sellers that are not offered by national vendors

If you have made an effort to have the supplier comply, explain it here. If not,:

I have emailed the vendor encouraging them to be 12B compliant and attached the 12B Compliance Process to vendor

Cancel Notes:

### CMD Analyst

CMD Analyst:	Ruth Santana	CMD Director:	Regina Chan
CMD Analyst Decision:	Reviewed and Approved	Select the reason for this request:	12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)
CMD Analyst Comments:	A specialized source for out-of-print and hard-to-find materials. Also, offer wide selection of these titles by individual sellers that are not offered by national vendors		

### CMD Director

CMD Director:	Regina Chan	CMD Director Decision:	Reviewed and Approved
---------------	-------------	------------------------	-----------------------

Reason for Determination:

Approved per 12B.5-1(d)(1)

### 12B.5-1(a)(1) (Non Property Contracts)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:
Sole Source – Non Property Contract Justification Reason:
Has DPH Commission qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Chpt 21.42?:
Has MTA qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:
Explain why this is a Sole Source:

### 12B.5-1(a)(1) (Property Contracts)

City Property Status:
Has DPH Commission qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Chpt 21.42?:
Has MTA qualified this agreement as a Sole Source under Charter Sec. 8A.102(b)?:

CMD 12B.5-1(a)(1) (Sole Source – Property Contracts) Question1:

CMD 12B.5-1(a)(1) (Sole Source – Property Contracts) Question2:

### 12B.5-1(a)(1)(Property Contracts)

Sole Source – Property Contract  
Justification Reason:

**12B.5-1(a)(2) (Declared Emergency)**

12B.5-1(a)(2) (Declared Emergency) Question2:

**12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation)**

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation) Question1 :

12B.5-1(a)(3) (Specialized Litigation) Question2:

**12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-Non Property)**

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:

Public Entity Sole Source – Non  
Property Contract Justification  
Reason:

Has DPH Commission qualified this  
agreement as a Sole Source under  
Chpt 21.42?:

Has MTA qualified this agreement as  
a Sole Source under Charter Sec.  
8A.102(b)?:

Explain why this is a Sole Source (Public Entity):

**12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-Property)**

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity SS-PC) Question1:

**12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity - Substantial)**

12B.5-1(b) (Public Entity-SPI)  
Question1:

**12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms)**

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms) Question1:

12B.5-1(c) (Conflicting Grant Terms) Question2:

**12B.5-1(e) Investments and Services**

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question1:

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question2:

12B.5-1(e) Investments Question3:

**12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk Water, Power and**

Bulk Water: false

Bulk Power: false

Bulk Gas: false

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk WPG)  
Question2:

12B.5-1(f) (SFPUC Bulk WPG) Question1:

#### 12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply)

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question2:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question4:

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Question5:

#### 12B.5-1(d)(1)(No Vendors Comply)

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question1:

These are items that the citizens of San Francisco came to expect us to carry. Not being able to provide these materials to our patrons is a disservice to them.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question2 :

These are hard-to-find and out-of-print items. We have tried conducting a search through the web and attending professional conferences.

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question3:

It does not conflict. Vendor is still working on 12B certification (please pending status)

12B.5-1(d)(1) (No Vendors Comply) Limited Question4:

Yes

#### 12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing)

Select OCA Solicitation Waiver:

Has MTA qualified agreement as Bulk  
Purchasing under Charter Sec.  
8A.102(b)?:

Detail the nature of this Bulk Purchasing transaction:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question2:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question4:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question5:

12B.5-1(d)(2) (Bulk Purchasing) Question6:

#### 12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity)

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question1:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question2:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question3:

12B.5-1(d)(3) (Sham Entity) Question4:



Activities

Additional comments:

Related List Title: Approval List  
Table name: sysapproval\_approver  
Query Condition: Approval for = CMD12B0004435  
Sort Order: Order in ascending order

1 Approvals

State	Approver	Approving	Created	Approval set	Comments
Approved	Michael Lambert	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004435	2025-07-18 14:18:50		

Related List Title: Metric List  
Table name: metric\_instance  
Query Condition: Table = u\_cmd\_12b\_waiver AND ID = 69de188a3b76ae90cf49eef764e45a2d  
Sort Order: None

12 Metrics

Created	Definition	ID	Value	Start	End	Duration	Calculation complete
2025-07-21 10:09:20	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004435	Awaiting CMD Director Approval	2025-07-21 10:09:16	2025-07-23 06:26:18	1 Day 20 Hours 17 Minutes	true
2025-07-18 14:21:41	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004435	Awaiting CMD Analyst Approval	2025-07-18 14:21:35	2025-07-21 10:09:16	2 Days 19 Hours 47 Minutes	true
2025-07-18 14:18:16	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004435	Draft	2025-07-18 14:18:11	2025-07-18 14:18:50	39 Seconds	true
2025-07-18 14:18:55	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004435	Draft	2025-07-18 14:18:50	2025-07-18 14:21:35	2 Minutes	true
2025-07-23 06:26:20	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004435	Completed	2025-07-23 06:26:18			false
2025-07-18 14:18:55	OCA 12B Metric	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004435	Dept. Head approval	2025-07-18 14:18:50	2025-07-18 14:18:50	0 Seconds	true
2025-07-23 06:26:20	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004435	Completed	2025-07-23 06:26:18			false
2025-07-18 14:21:41	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004435	Awaiting CMD Analyst Approval	2025-07-18 14:21:35	2025-07-21 10:09:16	2 Days 19 Hours 47 Minutes	true
2025-07-21 10:09:20	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004435	Awaiting CMD Director Approval	2025-07-21 10:09:16	2025-07-23 06:26:18	1 Day 20 Hours 17 Minutes	true

Created	Definition	ID	Value	Start	End	Duration	Calculation complete
2025-07-18 14:18:16	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004435	Draft	2025-07-18 14:18:11	2025-07-18 14:18:50	39 Seconds	true
2025-07-18 14:18:55	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004435	Dept. Head approval	2025-07-18 14:18:50	2025-07-18 14:18:50	0 Seconds	true
2025-07-18 14:18:55	Assigned to Duration	CMD 12B Waiver: CMD12B0004435	Draft	2025-07-18 14:18:50	2025-07-18 14:21:35	2 Minutes	true

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Letter from Ethics Commission to Members of the Board of Supervisors  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:23:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [Ethics Commission Letter Re Trustee Elections - 7.14.25.pdf](#)

---

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached letter from the Ethics Commission.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh  
Executive Assistant  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163  
[eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

---

**From:** Canning, Michael (ETH) <michael.a.canning@sfgov.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 14, 2025 4:31 PM  
**To:** Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>; Ford, Patrick (ETH) <patrick.ford@sfgov.org>; Florez Feng, Argemira (ETH) <argemira.florezfeng@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Letter from Ethics Commission to Members of the Board of Supervisors

Hello Madam Clerk,

I am writing to share the attached correspondence from the Ethics Commission. Can you please distribute it to the members of the Board? Thank you and please let me know if you have any questions or need anything from our office.

Best,  
Michael

**Michael Canning | Policy and Legislative Affairs Manager**  
pronouns: he/him  
**San Francisco Ethics Commission**  
25 Van Ness Ave., Suite 220  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
[Michael.A.Canning@sfgov.org](mailto:Michael.A.Canning@sfgov.org) | (415) 252-3130  
[sfethics.org](http://sfethics.org)





# San Francisco Ethics Commission

25 Van Ness Avenue, STE 220  
San Francisco, CA 94102-6053  
ethics.commission@sfgov.org  
415-252-3100 | sfethics.org

July 14, 2025

Honorable Members of the San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
Attention: Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

## **Re: Ethics Commission Recommending Changes to Trustee Election Disclosures**

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors:

The San Francisco Ethics Commission recommends that the Board of Supervisors enact legislation that would discontinue the campaign reporting requirements of candidates for the Retirement Board, Health Service Board, and Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board. The Commission has found these requirements to be unnecessary, overly strict, and administratively burdensome and does not believe they add sufficient value to the City to justify their continued existence.

This recommendation stems from the Commission's current *Streamlining Project* that was undertaken to evaluate various programs and policies administered by the Commission to determine if they are effective, efficient, adding value to the City, and furthering the Commission's mission of promoting the highest standards of integrity in government. This program evaluation included soliciting feedback from the regulated community, members of the public, and other stakeholders.

Most of the recommendations emerging from this project involve changes to San Francisco's Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code and will thus require joint approval by the Board of Supervisors and the Ethics Commission. Commission staff will be reaching out to the Board soon to engage on those changes. However, the rules regarding the trustee election disclosure requirements are contained within the Administrative Code,<sup>1</sup> which is outside of the Ethics Commission's legislative authority. Thus, these changes require action solely from the Board of Supervisors.

On June 13, 2025, the Ethics Commission voted to authorize the Chair and the Executive Director to send this letter to the Board to formally make this legislative recommendation. At that meeting, Ethics Commission staff presented this recommendation, along with several others, under [Agenda Item 7](#). The portion of the associated staff memo regarding the trustee election disclosure requirements is provided as **Attachment 1** to this letter.

A brief overview of the current program, the Commission's findings, and proposed recommendations are included below.

### **History of Trustee Election Disclosure Requirements**

In 2018, the Administrative Code was amended to establish a uniform set of registration, disclosure, and termination filings for both candidates and third-party spenders in elections to the Health Service Board, Retirement Board, and Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board. These trustee elections are

---

<sup>1</sup> Administrative Code Sections 16.553-1, 16.553-2, 16.553-3, and 16.553-4.

administered by the Department of Elections but are not open to the public and candidates for these seats are not considered candidates under State and local law. Although the disclosure program was created following a 2017 Retiree Health Care Trust Fund Board in which third-party spending was prevalent, in general there is little or no spending in these races.

### **Current Requirements are Unnecessary, Overly Strict, and Burdensome**

The current program provides little public benefit, as these elections do not typically have candidates that raise or spend any money, and the poor program structure creates undue burdens on candidates and City officials.

- Since the program was implemented, there has been virtually no financial activity reported. Since 2018, there have been a total of **just seven candidates who have registered and filed disclosures with the Ethics Commission** – of those seven, **only one candidate has ever disclosed raising funds**. The **total amount raised was \$78.60**, which is below the \$100 threshold that requires contributor itemization on a campaign statement. No third-party spending has been reported during this time.
- The existing disclosure requirements are overly strict on trustee candidates, with the requirements being more stringent than those followed by candidates for City elective office. The current program requires these trustee candidates to establish a bank account regardless of whether they anticipate raising or spending any money. These requirements create a barrier to entry and discourage participation by potential candidates, who are typically City employees and retirees interested in how their benefits are managed.
- There are also unnecessary support costs borne by the Ethics Commission and the trustee bodies regarding the implementation of these rules, as they must coordinate to assist candidates and otherwise administer these trustee elections.

The public demands and deserves government agencies that are focused on using public resources in ways that are effective, efficient, and adding value to their lives. Discontinuing these unnecessary, overly strict, and burdensome reporting requirements will help encourage more people to run for trustee positions and enable Ethics Commission staff to focus more on the Commission's core functions that serve to promote the highest standards of integrity in City government.

Thank you for your consideration of legislation that would discontinue these requirements. If you have questions about this recommendation or would like to engage on this matter, please contact our Policy & Legislative Affairs Manager, Michael Canning ([Michael.A.Canning@sfgov.org](mailto:Michael.A.Canning@sfgov.org)).

Sincerely,

/s/ Argemira Flórez Feng

**Argemira Flórez Feng**  
Chair  
San Francisco Ethics Commission



**Patrick Ford**  
Executive Director  
San Francisco Ethics Commission

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: July 21, 2025 SFAC Civic Design Review Agenda Posted  
**Date:** Friday, July 18, 2025 10:35:30 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)  
[July 21 2025 Civic Design Review Agenda.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see attached San Francisco Arts Commission's Civic Design Review committee meeting agenda for July 21, 2025.

Regards,

John Bullock  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisor  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-5184  
[BOS@sfgov.org](mailto:BOS@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Dhaliwal, Manraj (ART) <manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org>  
**Sent:** Friday, July 18, 2025 10:31 AM  
**Subject:** July 21, 2025 SFAC Civic Design Review Agenda Posted

Hello,

The agenda for the Monday, July 21, 2025, Civic Design Review meeting has been posted:

[Civic Design Review Meeting | San Francisco \(sf.gov\)](#)

[Agenda](#)

Thank you,  
Manraj



**Manraj Dhaliwal**

Commission Secretary

Pronouns: [he/him](#)

Email: [manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org](mailto:manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org)

Phone: 415-252-2247

Mobile: 415-940-1803

**San Francisco Arts Commission**

401 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 325

San Francisco, CA 94102

[www.sfartscommission.org](http://www.sfartscommission.org)

[Newsletter](#) | [Flickr](#) | [LinkedIn](#) | [Facebook](#) | [Instagram](#) | [TikTok](#) | [Twitter](#) | [YouTube](#)

The San Francisco Arts Commission acknowledges that we are on the unceded ancestral homeland of the [Ramaytush Ohlone](#). We affirm the sovereign rights of their community as First Peoples and are committed to supporting the traditional and contemporary evolution of the American Indian community and uplifting contemporary indigenous voices and culture.

Please be mindful that all correspondence and documents submitted to the San Francisco Arts Commission are public records and, as such, are subject to the [Sunshine Ordinance](#) and can be requested by the public. If this happens, personal information such as personal emails, Social Security numbers and phone numbers will be redacted.





## **MEETING OF THE CIVIC DESIGN REVIEW COMMITTEE**

**Monday, July 21, 2025**

**2:00 p.m.**

**City Hall, Room 416**

**1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place**

### **AGENDA**

Members of the Committee will attend this meeting in-person at the location listed above.

Members of the public are invited to observe the meeting in-person at the physical meeting location listed or remotely online. Members of the public attending the meeting in-person will have an opportunity to provide up to three minutes of public comment on every agenda item.

Civic Design Review Committee Commissioners: Seth Brenzel, Patrick Carney, Jessica Rothschild, Janine Shiota, Debra Walker.

#### **1. Call to Order, Roll Call, Agenda Changes, Land Acknowledgment**

- Call to order
- Roll call / Confirmation of quorum.
- Agenda changes
- Ramaytush Ohlone Land Acknowledgement

The San Francisco Arts Commission acknowledges that we are on the unceded ancestral homeland of the Ramaytush Ohlone who are the original inhabitants of the San Francisco Peninsula. As the indigenous stewards of this land and in accordance with their traditions, the Ramaytush Ohlone have never ceded, lost nor forgotten their responsibilities as the caretakers of this place, as well as for all peoples who reside in their traditional territory. As guests, we recognize that we benefit from living and working on their traditional homeland. We wish to pay our respects by acknowledging the ancestors, elders and relatives of the Ramaytush Community and by affirming their sovereign rights as First Peoples. As a department dedicated to promoting a diverse and equitable Arts and Culture environment in San

Francisco, we are committed to supporting the traditional and contemporary evolution of the American Indian community.

## **2. General Public Comment**

*(This item is to allow members of the public to comment generally on matters within the Committee's purview as well as to suggest new agenda items for the Committee's consideration.)*

## **3. SFO West Field Campus: Bldg 626.1 – Phase 2**

*Discussion and Possible Action*

Discussion and possible action for Phase 2 Review for **SFO West Field Campus: Bldg 626.1 – Phase 2**

**Presentation Time:** Approximately 35 minutes (Presentation: 20 minutes, Commissioner Discussion: 15 minutes)

### **Project team:**

Jay Wilson, Project Designer, SCB

Luis Moreno and Samuel Chui, Project Managers, SFO

SCB, Architect

Petersen Studio, Landscape Architect

[This project was previously reviewed on May 19, 2025.](#)

## **4. Adjournment**

*Action*

Posted 7/17/2025, 3:32pm

---

### Notices

The meetings of the San Francisco Arts Commission will be occurring in-person at City Hall, Room 416 and available to view on SFGovTV2, Comcast 78/Astound 28 and AT&T Uverse 99.

### Agenda Item Information / Materials Available

Each item on the agenda may include the following documents:

- 1) Department or agency report;
- 2) Public correspondence;
- 3) Other explanatory documents.

Each explanatory documents listed above, as well as documents created or distributed after the posting of this agenda to the Arts Commission will be available only electronically at <https://sf.gov/departments/civic-design-review-committee-arts-commission>. Please contact: Manraj Dhaliwal at [manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org](mailto:manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org) or 415-252-2252. PLEASE NOTE: The Arts Commission often receives documents created or submitted by other City officials, agencies, or departments after the posting of the Arts Commission agenda. For such documents or presentations, members of the public may wish to contact the originating agency if they seek documents not yet provided to the Arts Commission.

### Meeting Procedures

1. Agenda items will normally be heard in order. Please note, that on occasion a special circumstance may necessitate that an agenda item be taken out of order. To ensure that an agenda item is not missed, it is advised to arrive at the beginning of the meeting. All agenda changes will be announced by the Chair at the top of the meeting.
2. Public comment will be taken before or during the Committee's consideration of each agenda item. Each speaker will be allowed to speak for the time allotted by the Chair at the top of the meeting or up to three (3) minutes. Speakers may not transfer their time to another person.
3. During General Public Comment, members of the public may address the Commissioners on matters that are within the Arts Commission's jurisdiction and are not on the agenda.
4. Persons who speak during the public comment period at today's meeting of the Arts Commission may supply a brief written summary of the comments to be included in the minutes if it is 150 words or less, to [manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org](mailto:manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org). The Arts Commission may reject the summary if it exceeds the prescribed word limit or is not an accurate summary of the speaker's public comment.
5. Persons unable to attend an Arts Commission meeting may submit correspondence to the Arts Commission in connection with an agenda item. Art Commission staff will post these documents adjacent to the agenda if they are one page in length. If they are longer than one page, the Arts Commission will make such documents available for public inspection and copying. Please note, correspondence submitted to the Arts Commission will NOT be read aloud during the meeting. Names and addresses included in these submittals will be public. Submittals may be

made anonymously. Written comments pertaining to this meeting should be submitted to [manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org](mailto:manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org) by 5:00 p.m. before the date of the meeting to ensure comments are shared with commissioners ahead of the meeting.

#### Electronic Devices Prohibited

The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers, and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. The Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any person responsible for the ringing or use of a cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic device

#### Disability Access

To obtain a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to participate in the meeting, please contact Manraj Dhaliwal at [manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org](mailto:manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org) or 415-252-2247, at least 48 hours before the meeting, except for Monday meetings, for which the deadline is 4:00 p.m. the previous Friday. Captions can be enabled by you using our meeting platform, WebEx.

#### Archives Available

A recording of this meeting will be available online after the meeting at [https://sanfrancisco.granicus.com/ViewPublisher.php?view\\_id=149](https://sanfrancisco.granicus.com/ViewPublisher.php?view_id=149).

#### Lobbyist Registration and Reporting Requirements

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance (San Francisco Campaign and Governmental Conduct Code sections 2.100-2.160) to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the Ethics Commission at 25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 220, San Francisco, CA 94102, telephone 415-252-3100, fax 415-252-3112 and <http://www.sfethics.org/>.

#### Sensitivity to chemical-based products

In order to assist the City's efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illnesses, multiple chemical sensitivity, or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to various chemical-based products. Please help the City accommodate these individuals.

#### Sunshine Ordinance

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decision in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are

open to the people's review. For more information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact by mail to Administrator, Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco CA 94102-4689; by phone at 415-554-7724; by fax at 415-554-7854; or by email at [sotf@sfgov.org](mailto:sotf@sfgov.org).

Citizens interested in obtaining a free copy of the Sunshine Ordinance can request a copy from by printing Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code on the Internet, <http://www.sfgov.org/sunshine/>

#### Accessibility Meeting Policy

Per the American Disabilities Act and the Language Access Ordinance, Chinese, Spanish, and/or American Sign Language interpreters will be available upon request. Additionally, every effort will be made to provide a sound enhancement system, meeting materials in alternative formats, and/or a reader. Minutes may be translated after they have been adopted by the Commission. For all these requests, please contact Manraj Dhaliwal, [manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org](mailto:manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org), 415-252-2247. Late requests will be honored if possible. The hearing room is wheelchair accessible.

#### 利便參與會議的相關規定

根據美國殘疾人士法案和語言服務條例，中文、西班牙語、和/或美國手語翻譯人員在收到要求後將會提供翻譯服務。另外，我們將盡力提供擴音設備。同時也會提供不同格式的會議資料，和/或者提供閱讀器。此外，翻譯版本的會議記錄可在委員會通過後提供。上述的要求，請於會議前最少48小時致電415-252-2219向Manraj Dhaliwal, [manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org](mailto:manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org), 415-252-2247提出。逾期提出的請求，若可能的話，亦會被考慮接納。聽證室設有輪椅通道。

#### Política De Acceso A La Reunión

De acuerdo con la Ley sobre Estadounidenses con Discapacidades (American Disabilities Act) y la Ordenanza de Acceso a Idiomas (Language Access Ordinance) intérpretes de chino, español, y lenguaje de señas estarán disponibles de ser requeridos. En adición, se hará todo el esfuerzo posible para proveer un sistema mejoramiento de sonido, materiales de la reunión en formatos alternativos, y/o proveer un leedor. Las minutas podrán ser traducidas luego de ser aprobadas por la Comisión. Para solicitar estos servicios, favor contactar a Manraj Dhaliwal, por lo menos 48 horas antes de la reunión al 415-252-2247, [manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org](mailto:manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org). Las solicitudes tardías serán consideradas de ser posible. La sala de audiencia es accesible a silla de ruedas.

#### Patakaran para sa pag-access ng mga Miting

Ayon sa batas ng American Disabilities Act at ng Language Access Ordinance, maaring mag-request ng mga tagapagsalin wika sa salitang Tsino, Espanyol at/o sa may kapansanan pandinig sa American Sign Language. Bukod pa dito, sisikapin gawan ng paraan na makapaglaan ng gamit upang lalong pabutihin ang inyong pakikinig, maibahagi ang mga kaganapan ng miting sa iba't ibang anyo, at/o isang tagapagbasa. Ang mga kaganapan ng miting ay maaring isalin sa ibang wika matapos ito ay aprobahan ng komisyon. Sa mga ganitong uri ng kahilingan, mangyari po lamang makipag ugnayan kay Manraj Dhaliwal [manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org](mailto:manraj.dhaliwal@sfgov.org), 415-252- 2247. Magbigay po lamang ng hindi bababa sa 48 oras na abiso bago ng miting. Kung maari, ang mga late na hiling ay posibleng tanggapin. Ang silid ng pagpupulungan ay accessible sa mga naka wheelchair.

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Issued: Report Details Shortlisted Options of Next Steps for Fixing SFMTA's \$322 Million Budget Deficit  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 6:12:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [image005.png](#)  
[image006.png](#)  
[image007.png](#)  
[image008.png](#)

---

---

**From:** San Francisco Controller's Office Reports <controller.reports@sfgov.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 10:02 AM  
**To:** Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Issued: Report Details Shortlisted Options of Next Steps for Fixing SFMTA's \$322 Million Budget Deficit



Today, the Controller's Office released a report that identifies a list of practical options to help the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) address its significant budget deficit and avoid future service cuts. Despite public perception of SFMTA service increasing steadily since the pandemic, declining parking revenue and ridership (due to remote work), the exhaustion of one-time federal and state grants, and slower growth of General Fund support have contributed to the SFMTA's growing fiscal troubles.

The latest projections show that SFMTA's budget deficit is expected to rise to approximately \$322 million by July 2026. In order to balance the budget and prevent harder decisions down the road, including service cuts that would cause significant impact, the SFMTA and policymakers will need to press ahead with selecting options from this report to ensure the continued operation of public transit in San Francisco and, by extension, the City's continued economic recovery. Actions taken from this report will be in addition to SFMTA's ongoing efforts to close the budget gap.

In fall 2024, the SFMTA partnered with the Controller's Office to establish the Muni Funding

Working Group — which included labor representatives, business groups, City officials, and external advocacy organizations — to identify a combination of new revenue sources and administrative reductions to close the budget gap. The Working Group's report details a shortlist of the 37 most feasible options, organized into six packages. Each of the six packages includes different combinations of service cuts, funding options, and efficiencies to reach \$322 million and, importantly, includes ideas that provide ongoing revenue vs. one-time solutions.

Of the options presented, service cuts represent a worst-case scenario for both Muni riders and the City as a whole. Members of the Muni Funding Working Group were clear about their objections to major service cuts. In contrast, revenue generating options, including regional or local ballot measures, stand to be the most important and least painful tools for impacting San Francisco.

Today's report will provide a foundation for future decision-making by the SFMTA Board of Directors, the Mayor and Board of Supervisors, and ultimately the voters, should ballot measures proceed in future municipal elections. Any ballot measures asking San Franciscan's to vote for or against funding Muni would appear no earlier than calendar year 2026.

[View the full report](#)

[Visit the Muni Funding Working Group Website](#)

Image





Sign up to receive news and updates

Search all Controller's Office reports



---

This is a send-only e-mail address.

For questions about the report, please contact [CON.Munifunding@sfgov.org](mailto:CON.Munifunding@sfgov.org).

For media queries, please contact Communications Manager Alyssa Sewlal at [alyssa.sewlal@sfgov.org](mailto:alyssa.sewlal@sfgov.org) or (415) 694-3261.

[Manage](#) your preferences | [Opt Out](#) using TrueRemove™  
Got this as a forward? [Sign up](#) to receive our future emails.  
View this email [online](#).

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place | San Francisco, CA 94102 US

This email was sent to [angela.calvillo@sfgov.org](mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org).  
*To continue receiving our emails, add us to your address book.*

# Muni Funding Working Group


## Solving for Muni's Funding Needs



Prepared by

**OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER**  
**CITY PERFORMANCE**

**July 2025**



## About the Controller's Office

The Controller is the chief financial officer and auditor for the City and County of San Francisco. We produce regular reports on the City's financial condition, economic condition, and the performance of City government. We are also responsible for key aspects of the City's financial operations — from processing payroll for City employees to processing and monitoring the City's budget.

Our team includes financial, tech, accounting, analytical and other professionals who work hard to secure the City's financial integrity and promote efficient, effective, and accountable government. We strive to be a model for good government and to make the city a better place to live and work.

## About City Performance

The City Performance team is part of the City Services Auditor (CSA) within the Controller's Office. CSA's mandate, shared with the Audits Division, is to monitor and improve the overall performance and efficiency of City Government. The team works with City departments across a range of subject areas, including transportation, public health, human services, homelessness, capital planning, and public safety.

City Performance Goals:

- Support departments in making transparent, data-driven decisions in policy development and operational management.
- Guide departments in aligning programming with resources for greater efficiency and impact.
- Provide departments with the tools they need to innovate, test, and learn.

### City Performance Team

Natasha Mihal, Director  
Janice Levy, Project Manager  
Greyson Spencer, Senior Performance Analyst  
Ashley Koburov, former Senior Performance Analyst  
Arjun Paul, Performance Analyst

### For more information, please contact:

Janice Levy, Project Manager  
Office of the Controller  
City and County of San Francisco  
[janice.levy@sfgov.org](mailto:janice.levy@sfgov.org)

Media inquiries:  
[con.media@sfgov.org](mailto:con.media@sfgov.org)

 [sf.gov/controller](http://sf.gov/controller)

 [@sfcontroller](https://twitter.com/sfcontroller)

 [Controller's Office LinkedIn](#)



## OFFICE OF THE CONTROLLER

### CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

Greg Wagner  
Controller  
  
ChiaYu Ma  
Deputy Controller

July 15, 2025

Julie Kirschbaum, Director of Transportation  
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency  
1 South Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Director Kirschbaum,

The Office of the Controller (CON) presents its Muni Funding Working Group report — a summary of the workshop series designed to identify potential policy options to address the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency's (the SFMTA) emerging deficit. The Working Group was comprised of elected officials, labor, business, and advocacy organization representatives.

Over a series of seven meetings in the last year, members of the Working Group reviewed service improvements, service cut scenarios, and both ballot and non-ballot funding options to address the SFMTA's projected \$320 million deficit. SFMTA staff developed policy options with CON staff providing technical assistance in reviewing the costing analyses. CON staff also partnered with SFMTA staff to design and facilitate the workshops. While this report is not an audit or a list of policy recommendations, it does provide insights and context from the robust discussions with key stakeholders. It is my hope that this report will provide a foundation for future decision-making by the SFMTA Board of Directors, the Mayor and Board of Supervisors, and ultimately the voters should any ballot measures proceed in future municipal elections.

Through the financial and data analysis conducted as part of this Working Group, it has become clear to me that San Francisco faces a significant policy choice in the coming months—with no easy answers. Either we must create a substantial new revenue source for public transportation, or the MTA's only option will be to implement significant service cuts to Muni. In response to this choice, members of the Muni Funding Working Group clearly expressed concern with the size and potential impact of the service cuts discussed and highlighted a general consensus among the convened stakeholders that it is imperative for the City to find sufficient revenue through the ballot to prevent such a worst-case scenario.

Muni is vital to the city's economy and economic recovery. It is an essential component of both revitalizing downtown and bringing customers to small businesses on commercial corridors in our neighborhoods. With San Francisco nurturing an economic recovery that is slowly but noticeably gaining momentum, service cuts at the level needed to address Muni's financial deficit could be a significant setback. It is also noteworthy that data show the public's perception of SFMTA service has increased steadily since the pandemic because of service improvements, less service disruption, and other improvements. Service cuts risk reversing those important gains by lowering public perception and potentially resulting in even lower ridership.

The possibility of asking the public to support new revenue measures, particularly in a time of uncertainty, should not be taken lightly. However, given the high stakes of this choice for our city's future, in my opinion it is imperative that City leadership actively engage in a substantive policy conversation with stakeholders and the public about the appetite for revenue generating options, including potential ballot measures for the November, 2026 ballot.

I want to express my thanks to SFMTA staff and members of the working group for their engagement during this important process.

Sincerely,  
Greg Wagner  
Controller

With copy to:

Mayor Daniel Lurie  
President Rafael Mandelman and Members of the Board of Supervisors  
Chair Tarlov and Members of the SFMTA Board of Directors

# Executive Summary

## INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

The San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (the SFMTA) faces an approximately \$320 million deficit beginning in Fiscal Year 2026–2027 (FY27). Declining parking revenue and ridership due to a shift to remote work, the exhaustion of COVID-19 pandemic-related one-time federal and state grants, and slower growth of General Fund support have contributed to the SFMTA’s growing fiscal gap. Without a solvent transit agency, San Francisco’s economy will not fully recover from the effects of the pandemic.

In the fall of 2024, the SFMTA partnered with the Controller’s Office to establish the Muni Funding Working Group, which included labor representatives, City officials, and external advocacy organizations. From September 2024 to March 2025, the [Working Group](#) met seven times to discuss a wide range of policy options to address the budget shortfall.

During the working group meetings, the SFMTA presented funding options, service cuts, efficiency improvements, and other ideas to meet the \$320 million gap. Member feedback on the individual options led to the SFMTA developing six potential packages of options (“packages”) for additional member feedback at the final workshop session on March 21<sup>st</sup>, 2025. Each of the six packages included different combinations of service cuts, funding options, and efficiencies to reach \$320 million.

	Package Name	% Top Choice
A	Preserve Muni & Street Safety—Big at the Ballot in 2026	69%
B	Preserve Muni & Street Safety—Multiple Ballots over Time	19%
C	Protecting Muni Service—Minimizing Cuts for Riders	6%
D	Finding Revenues through Parking	6%
E	Cuts Due to Less Opportunity at the Ballot	0%
F	Fewer Options, More Cuts	0%

## SUMMARY OF KEY MEMBER FEEDBACK

**Members overwhelmingly supported addressing the deficit through revenue-raising options over service cuts.** Through facilitated discussion at the workshops and voting activities throughout the workshop series, members repeatedly showed support for revenue options over cutting service. In ranking individual options against one another, on average members ranked all the revenue options over every service cut option. When reviewing the packages, members ranked packages with no service cuts higher than packages with service cuts.

**Of the revenue-raising options, members supported both ballot measures and parking revenue options.** Members were in favor of ballot measures, though some forms of ballot measures (e.g. ride-hail and autonomous vehicle gross receipts tax and the regional revenue measure) received more support than others (utility user tax or local parcel tax). Members were generally open to parking revenue options and favored options like optimizing parking but supported other parking options like increasing residential parking permit fees to a lesser extent. In discussions, members had concerns about the potential impact of parking options on downtown businesses and residents.

**Service cut options generally received significant opposition, with less opposition for non-Muni service cut options.** In voting activities, Working Group Members rated every funding option higher than every service cut option. Of the service cut options, non-Muni proposals such as Flexing Capital Funds to the Operating Budget and Reducing or Eliminating Tow Subsidies received the least opposition. Of the four packages of options that included service cuts, the most popular option included only non-Muni service cuts.

**Members expressed concerns that the ballot measures would not pass.** In discussion, members pointed out the potential challenges of proposing multiple different tax ballot measures in the same year or in quick succession given the potential for voter fatigue. Members also highlighted the need for contingency planning should ballot measures fail and suggested finding options that increased non-ballot revenue over service cuts.

**Members provided additional feedback throughout the workshops to help inform the development of the final packages.** Members also shared concerns about the impact of cuts on seniors and people with disabilities and recommended avoiding cutting subsidies. Members cited passenger and pedestrian safety, liability risks, the city's economic recovery, and impact on local businesses as their primary concerns with service cuts. Members encouraged the SFMTA to roll out any parking fee increases and expansions slowly to help ease resident concerns.

**At the conclusion of the meeting series, members supported package options with higher ballot revenue options and supported additional non-ballot measure revenue in order to avoid cutting service.** The two packages with the highest levels of parking revenue received lower levels of support from members in the voting activities, however, based on group discussions that support may be related to the higher levels of Muni and non-Muni service cuts in those packages.

## CONCLUSIONS

**All six packages meet the \$320 million target—but may require short-term funding depending on timing and implementation decisions.** Each package includes combinations of funding options, cuts, and efficiencies to meet the SFMTA's FY27 budget deficit of \$320 million. However, as presented, some packages include components that would not be fully implemented until 2028 or later. The SFMTA identified capital budget cuts and potential bridge funding from the state as potential options to cover shortfalls in FY27.

**If the ballot measures do not pass, even with all of the proposed Muni service cuts and the parking revenue increases considered, there would still be an approximately \$100 million gap.** Should voters fail to approve the proposed ballot measures, the SFMTA and the City will face difficult tradeoffs. If no ballot measures pass and the SFMTA implemented every non-ballot measure option across all six packages, the remaining proposals would only equal \$222 million—70% of \$320 million needed. If voters do not approve ballot revenue, the SFMTA and policymakers would be left with less popular solutions discussed by the Working Group, other options not discussed by the Working Group, or would have to rely more on non-ballot revenue such as parking revenue or deeper service cuts.

**Public perception of Muni service has steadily increased since the pandemic; deep transit service cuts could reverse these gains and impact ridership.** In SFMTA's [2024 Muni Rider Survey](#), 72% of respondents rated Muni service overall as either good or excellent—a steady improvement over 57% of respondents in 2021 and 66% of respondents in 2022. In the [2023 City Survey](#), residents gave Muni service a B- grade, an improvement over the C+ rating in 2019. As shown in these surveys, service improvements have led to

higher satisfaction ratings. Implementing service cuts discussed by the Working Group could reverse these gains and impact ridership.

**The impact to the city's economy resulting from deep transit service cuts would be significant.**

Substantial Muni service cuts would have serious economic consequences for San Francisco, especially the downtown core that drives the city's economy. Three of the final packages included Muni service cuts ranging from \$23 million in Package D to \$90 million in Package F. The \$90 million amount in Package F is 50% of the total \$181 million worst case scenario outlined at the [November 13, 2024 Muni Funding Working Group](#), which would represent a large decrease in transit service across multiple lines and mode types. The service impact of this option and the resulting impact on the city's economy would be significant. Without a solvent transit agency, the city will not achieve economic recovery.

## NEXT STEPS

On April 22, 2025, the SFMTA presented options to the SFMTA Board of Directors for consideration for the FY27 budget process. Beginning in Summer 2025, the SFMTA staff will develop detailed implementation plans that will provide further certainty on the timing and amount of any expected revenues or savings. The SFMTA Board of Directors, the Board of Supervisors, and the Mayor will make final decisions on which packages or combination of package components as part of the normal FY27 budget process starting in fall 2025.

## REPORT STRUCTURE

This report is organized into four sections.

1. **Introduction and Background:** financial and economic outlook of the SFMTA and San Francisco, background and work plan of the working group
2. **Member Feedback on Individual Options:** member rankings and support levels of individual options
3. **Member Feedback on Packages:** overview of the process and composition of the proposed package, rankings and support levels of packages and individual options
4. **Conclusions and Next Steps**



# Table of Contents

---

<b>Executive Summary .....</b>	<b>5</b>
Introduction and Background .....	5
Report Structure .....	7
<b>Table of Contents .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Introduction and Background .....</b>	<b>10</b>
SFMTA's Impact on the Local Economy.....	10
SFMTA's Budget and Financial Outlook .....	11
SFMTA's Ongoing Efforts to Close the Gap .....	13
<b>Working Group Background.....</b>	<b>15</b>
Working Group Background .....	15
Membership .....	15
Meeting Dates .....	16
Meeting Themes Overview.....	16
Efficiency Improvements .....	16
Service Cuts .....	17
Funding Options .....	17
Service Improvements.....	18
<b>Member Feedback on Individual Options .....</b>	<b>19</b>
Feedback on Individual Options .....	19
Efficiency Improvements .....	19
Service Cuts .....	20
Funding Options .....	20
Long-term Funding Ideas and Service Improvements.....	21
<b>Member Feedback on Packages .....</b>	<b>24</b>
Packages Overview .....	24
Option Groupings .....	24
Package Composition .....	26
Packages Summary .....	27
Context on the Packages .....	29
Member Feedback On Packages.....	31
<b>Conclusions .....</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>Closing and Next Steps .....</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>Appendices.....</b>	<b>35</b>
Appendix 1: SFMTA's Proposed Options List to Close the Funding Gap.....	36


Appendix 2: Final Muni Funding Working group MEeting Summary .....	40
Discussion on Packages .....	40
Close-out Discussion.....	42
Appendix 3: Additional Muni Funding Working Group Meeting Resources.....	44

# Introduction and Background

## SFMTA'S IMPACT ON THE LOCAL ECONOMY

Thousands rely on Muni every day to get to work, school, and go shopping. Without a solvent transit agency, San Francisco's economy will not fully recover from the effects of the pandemic.

As of May 2025, ridership is approximately 79% of pre-pandemic levels compared to the same month in 2019. Downtown metro ridership recovery remains lower. However, without Muni's network of buses, light-rail trains, streetcars, and cable cars, businesses, employees, and customers would struggle with limited accessibility to the city's economic core in the downtown. Any significant reduction to the city's public transportation system would have a significant impact on the city's economy and economic recovery.



### Impact on the Downtown Core

With a GDP per capita nearly four times the national average, San Francisco has a large and vibrant economy relative to its comparatively small population. The city historically achieved this economic vitality by connecting skilled labor across the Bay Area to businesses and offices densely concentrated in San Francisco's downtown core. Many of these skilled workers commuted on public transit, including Muni. Prior to the pandemic, 44% of employees in San Francisco took public transit to work, the second highest percentage in the country.

The downtown core makes up a significant portion of the City and County of San Francisco's (the City's) tax base and its recovery has been slower than any other part of the city. Potential reductions in transit operations could weaken the city's access to regional talent, pushing existing businesses to relocate and prospective businesses to expand to other cities instead of San Francisco.

The city—and the downtown core specifically—is too dense to expand road capacity to meet an increase in demand for private transportation (such as private vehicles, autonomous vehicles, or ride-share vehicles) if public transit is reduced. Thus far, workers returning to the office for in-person work have pivoted away from public transit and toward driving.

The health of San Francisco's economic recovery and tax base depend on a functioning Muni. And Muni's functioning depends on the health of the San Francisco economy and tax base.

## SFMTA'S BUDGET AND FINANCIAL OUTLOOK

The SFMTA faces an approximately \$320 million deficit beginning in FY27<sup>1</sup> and a \$350 million deficit in FY28 due to decreasing revenue across many of the SFMTA's main funding sources.

- Shifts to telecommuting work arrangements for many workers in the city during the COVID-19 pandemic and afterwards caused the SFMTA's ridership, fare revenue, and parking revenue to fall.
- Wider shifts in San Francisco's economy also affected the City's tax revenues, which in turn led to a slower rate of growth in General Fund support the City provides the SFMTA.
- Finally, starting in FY27, the SFMTA will have exhausted the original one-time state and federal pandemic relief funds that were temporarily making up for reductions in other revenue sources.

Under current projections, the SFMTA's total recurring revenue will grow by approximately 3% over the next four years. However, with inflation and previously agreed upon cost-of-living adjustments, expenditures will grow at 4% over the same period.<sup>2</sup> As expenditures and revenues diverge, the SFMTA's budget deficits will grow.

The budget information in this section is based on the SFMTA Budget Context and Background presentation from the [April 22, 2025 Board of Directors Special Meeting](#). The SFMTA will update budget and deficit projections next in Summer 2025.

### Revenue Sources




As outlined in the charts below, transit and parking revenue accounts for 25% of the SFMTA's projected budget for the current fiscal year (FY26). Other revenue, including one-time sources, advertising, and rent, makes up another 5%. Taken together, these internal sources make up 30% of the SFMTA's revenue.

The remaining 70% comes from external sources. The single largest funding source comes from San Francisco's General Fund, the City's primary account that most City tax revenue flows through. Federal and state relief funds account for approximately 17% of the SFMTA's budget for FY26. These funds will be unavailable in the SFMTA's FY27 budget. Since FY24, federal and state relief has contributed over \$200 million annually to the SFMTA's budget. The SFMTA will continue to receive operating grants from state and regional governments in FY27.

---

<sup>1</sup> San Francisco's and the SFMTA's fiscal year runs from July to June. Fiscal Year 2026–2027 ("FY27") begins July 1, 2026, and ends June 30, 2027.

The data below is from the April 22, 2025 SFMTA Board of Directors Presentation based on the FY26 original budget.



\* Agency-wide consists of administrative costs primarily of retiree health, debt, and reserves.

## Expenditure Categories

While certain SFMTA revenue sources have slowed, fallen, or ended, total expenditures have continued to rise due to inflation and cost-of-living increases in negotiated labor contracts.

As outlined in the previous charts, over 87% of the SFMTA's expenditures are for transit or revenue generating activities that fund transit. The SFMTA spends 3% of its budget on street safety and functionality, which includes traffic engineers, school crossing guards, and the workshops that install and maintain paint and signs on the city's streets and sidewalks. The SFMTA spends less than 1% on taxi and microtransit. Another 10% of the budget is spent on administration, which includes central offices for finance and information technology, communications, human resources, the chief of staff, and the Board of directors and fixed costs such as retiree health costs, debt service payments, and reserves.

Because spending on transit services makes up the majority of the SFMTA's expenditures in any given year, Muni service spending cuts are the only service area large enough to close the \$320 million budget deficit in FY27.

## SFMTA'S ONGOING EFFORTS TO CLOSE THE GAP

Prior to the creation of the Muni Funding Working Group, the SFMTA began planning efforts and implemented changes to operations to address the anticipated upcoming deficit.

### FY25 Internal Cuts and Efficiencies

At the [October 17, 2024 Muni Funding Working Group Meeting](#) and the [April 22, 2025 Board of Directors Special Meeting](#), the SFMTA discussed ongoing, internal efforts to control the deficit.

- **Hiring Slowdown:** Slowed and delayed hiring to contain the growth of personnel costs including holding positions vacant, saving up to \$90 million in personnel costs in FY25 with ongoing savings likely.
- **Work Order Efficiencies:** The SFMTA increased review and monitoring of services from other departments to control costs and will continue to assess workorders for opportunities to bring services in house to achieve savings. The SFMTA currently allocates approximately 9% (\$123 million) of the Agency's budget to hiring other City departments to perform work at its request.
- **Fiscal Monitoring Efficiencies:** Instituted monthly budget monitoring, ensuring personnel and non-labor expenses are appropriately charged to capital projects.
- **Energy Efficiencies:** Replaced old electric and gas fixtures, pumps and heaters to reduce energy by 5%.
- **Approved Muni Forward Projects:** Finalized and implemented Muni Forward projects that deliver more Muni service without increased costs.

### FY26 Proposed Internal Cuts and Efficiencies

By winter 2024, the SFMTA projected a budget deficit of \$50 million in the next fiscal year (FY26), beginning July 1, 2025. To address this immediate-term budget need, the SFMTA proposed additional programs and changes that built on the prior efforts outlined above.

#	FY26 Efficiencies and Cuts	Amount (\$ million)
1.	Allocate transit portion of the Population Baseline <sup>3</sup> increase from the General Fund to operations	\$9.5
2.	Redirect 25% of paint and sign shop employees to capital priorities and fund with increase in streets allocation of the Population Baseline from the General Fund	\$2.5
3.	Optimize existing parking solutions, including filling Parking Control Officer (PCO) vacancies and optimizing enforcement, increasing the cost of contractor permits, meter and garage rates, and increasing minimum times at meters	\$18.0
4.	Decrease existing professional services expenditures	\$5.0
5.	Cancel vintage historic rebuild and delay a midlife bus overhaul	\$4.0
6.	Realign maintenance and capital improvements at joint BART/Muni stations to BART projected expenditure	\$3.6
7.	Remove insurance company subsidy for stolen vehicles	\$0.2
8.	<a href="#">Cut Muni service in Summer 2025</a> (see link for additional details)	\$7.2
	<b>Total</b>	<b>\$50.0</b>

The \$7.2 million in Muni service cuts were not included in the packages discussed by the Working Group on March 21, 2025, but will be included in future deficit projections.

The proposed changes will address the entirety of the SFMTA's \$50 million immediate-term budget deficit. To address the full \$320 million deficit projected in FY27, the SFMTA and the City will need to identify even larger strategies to ensure the continued operation of public transit in San Francisco.

The Muni Funding Working Group was founded to identify these larger strategies.

---

<sup>3</sup> The San Francisco Charter Sec. 8A.105 establishes a minimum level of funding from the General Fund to the SFMTA, which is adjusted annually based on the change in General Fund revenue. Proposition B, passed by voters in November 2014, adjusts these baselines by the annual growth in population.

# Working Group Background

## WORKING GROUP BACKGROUND

In Fall 2024, the Controller’s Office and the SFMTA convened the Muni Funding Working Group to review and prioritize policy options that the SFMTA can implement to address the agency’s long-term funding gap. Past citywide working groups such as the T2030, T2045, and the Muni Reliability Working Group were successfully convened to address the SFMTA’s funding or structural problems, and the Muni Funding Working Group aimed to build on these efforts. The Working Group was not formed as a decision making or advisory body. Working Group members provided insights and feedback to SFMTA staff and leadership, who developed individual policy options and overall packages for discussion.

Across seven workshops, members assessed and evaluated potential policy solutions through open dialogue and active participation. In the final meeting, the SFMTA provided the Working Group with a set of packages for discussion and prioritization. SFMTA presented packages to the SFMTA Board of Directors for further consideration as a part of the FY27 budget process.

## Membership

The Working Group included voices from labor, business, and advocacy.


Member	Organization or Group
<b>Chris Arvin</b>	SFMTA Citizens’ Advisory Council
<b>Anthony Ballester</b>	Transport Workers Union, 250a
<b>Terrence Hall</b>	Transport Workers Union, 250a
<b>Alicia John-Baptiste</b>	Mayor’s Office
<b>Desira Brown</b>	Tenderloin Neighborhood Development Corporation
<b>Tilly Chang</b>	San Francisco County Transportation Authority
<b>Rodney Fong</b>	San Francisco Chamber of Commerce
<b>Trevor Adams</b>	Service Employees International Union 1021 (SEIU)
<b>Nicole Christian</b>	Service Employees International Union 1021 (SEIU)
<b>Jon Hee</b>	Chinatown Transportation Research and Improvement Project
<b>Steve Heminger</b>	SFMTA Board of Directors
<b>Fiona Hinze</b>	SFMTA Board of Directors
<b>Sara Johnson</b>	San Francisco Transit Riders
<b>Kathleen Kelly</b>	Transportation Expert
<b>Julie Kirschbaum</b>	SFMTA Director ( <i>non-voting member</i> )
<b>Rafael Mandelman</b>	Board of Supervisors, President; San Francisco County Transportation Authority



<b>Myrna Melgar</b>	Board of Supervisors; San Francisco County Transportation Authority
<b>Robin Pam</b>	KidSafe SF
<b>Seleta Reynolds</b>	LA Metro
<b>Mia Satya</b>	Senior & Disability Action
<b>Alex Sweet</b>	Mayor’s Transportation Advisor
<b>Kim Tavaglione</b>	San Francisco Labor Council
<b>Laurie Thomas</b>	Golden Gate Restaurant Association ( <i>joined March 2025</i> )
<b>Laura Tolkoff</b>	San Francisco Planning and Urban Research Association (SPUR)
<b>Greg Wagner</b>	Office of the Controller ( <i>non-voting member</i> )

## Meeting Dates

The Working Group convened in person seven times between September 2024 and March 2025.



## MEETING THEMES OVERVIEW

The Working Group reviewed 38 detailed policy options across the following four categories:

- 1. Efficiency Improvements**
- 2. Service Cuts**
- 3. Funding Options**
- 4. Service Improvements**

### Efficiency Improvements

*Streamline systems and processes to decrease operating costs*

The SFMTA presented four efficiency improvement options to the Working Group.

- **Accelerated work on Muni Forward:** Accelerate implementation of Muni Forward transit priority improvements that reduce travel time and operating costs on seven heavily used routes
- **HOV lanes on state highways:** Implement additional high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes on state-owned highways to reduce transit travel times and operating costs
- **Mailed parking citations:** Amend state law to allow Parking Control Officers (PCOs) to send citations to the registered address of the vehicle instead of printing citations and placing them on windshields
- **Automated parking enforcement:** Amend state law to enforce parking violations via photo or video to speed up enforcement efforts

## Service Cuts

*Reduce service to decrease operating costs*

The SFMTA presented 11 service cut options to the Working Group.

- **Reduce frequencies up to 50%:** Decrease frequency on the most frequent, highest ridership routes
- **Suspend lower utilized routes:** Suspend routes with parallel service and low ridership routes without parallel service
- **Suspend historic train and cable car service:** Suspend all historic service including F-line and cable car service
- **Owl Service only from 9pm–6am:** Suspend all service at 9pm and replace with owl service from 9pm–6am
- **Eliminate or reduce fare subsidies:** Reduce subsidies for youth, seniors, and people with disabilities
- **Eliminate or reduce tow subsidies:** Decrease or limit discounts to tow and storage fee waivers
- **Flexing capital funds to the operating budget:** Reduce capital investments by approximately 40% over two years
- **Muni Assistance Transit Program:** Reduce funding for the Muni Transit Assistance Program. The program deters disruptive behavior, enhances public safety, and improves community engagement on Muni lines with high levels of violence and vandalism
- **Safety work reductions:** Safety cuts for transportation engineering operations, transit engineering, bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure and Vision Zero, and school crossing guard programs
- **Maintenance reductions:** Maintenance cuts for the signal shop, sign shop, and paint shop
- **Constituent services reductions:** Service cuts for Streets' programs with associated reductions in customer service request response time, which include tow, garage and janitorial services, parking policy and programs, shared spaces, special events, and planning.

## Funding Options

*Increase fees, revenue, or taxes to generate new revenue*

The SFMTA presented 17 funding options to the Working Group.

- **Regional revenue measure:** Sales and/or parcel tax measure to address the funding deficit for the SFMTA and regional transit partners
- **Local sales tax measure:** Increase local sales tax between ¼ cent and 1 cent
- **Local parcel tax measure:** Parcel tax that would adjust depending on the type of property, size of property, size of building, or other characteristics

- **Commuter benefit Clipper Card:** Commuter benefit program where businesses provide their employees Clipper cards
- **Ride-hail and AV tax:** Tax on ride-hail platforms and autonomous vehicle companies
- **Parking tax measure:** Increase the existing parking tax rate to 35%
- **New off-street parking tax:** Tax on publicly available, off-street free parking (like retail parking lots)
- **Utility tax measure:** Increase the utility user tax on commercial properties and charge residential users a natural gas and/or electricity tax
- **Transit fare optimization:** Optimize deployment by hiring more Transit Fare Inspectors, implementing tap-to-pay with Clipper 2.0, and exploring fare increases
- **Parking optimization:** Optimize enforcement by hiring more PCOs, update paid parking meters to increase minimum times or extending limits, and explore additional charges for off-street parking and contractor permit rates
- **Increase residential parking permit fee:** Increase the Residential Parking Permit (RPP) rates in existing areas with a possible subsidy for people with low incomes
- **Extend paid parking hours to Sunday:** Extend paid parking hours to Sundays from 12pm–6pm
- **Extend evening paid parking:** Extend paid parking hours Monday to Saturday from 6pm–10pm in alignment with typical business hours

Additionally, the SFMTA presented four ideas for longer term funding, to start in 2029 or later.

- **Visitor paid parking (citywide):** Expand paid parking for visitors in all residential areas in the city
- **Charge for short-term curb use:** Charge short-term curb users like ride share, on-demand delivery, parcel delivery, and other similar companies
- **Joint development:** Work with developers to use the SFMTA's property for non-SFMTA uses, like housing and retail
- **Roadway pricing:** Collect fees or tolls for use of city roads by private vehicles during specific times of day

## Service Improvements

*Enhance services to win voter support for revenue measures*

The SFMTA presented six service improvement options to the Working Group.

- **Safety and security:** Expand the Muni Safe initiative by building out the SFMTA Security Operations Center, increasing transit ambassador staff, adding solar lighting to transit stops, and refreshing City-owned parking garages
- **Transit access increases:** Provide new Muni connections by expanding rapid service and reinstating express routes to support downtown recovery
- **Accessibility improvements:** Improve access to the transportation system for disabled and older adults by adding new accessible transit stops, accelerating flag stop conversion, improving accessible wayfinding, and enhancing the Essential Trip Card
- **Customer experience:** Improve the waiting and navigation experience at transit stops to maximize smooth experiences by ensuring clean stops and stations, improving cable car turnarounds, accelerating new bus stop signage, and launching a new Customer Engagement program
- **Regional transit coordination:** Make regional transit trips seamless by upgrading signage for regional wayfinding and implementing a regional free and reduced transfer program

- **Street upkeep:** Pave roadways on major transit corridors, refresh faded signs, crosswalks and curbs, and improve street beautification and landscaping

## Member Feedback on Individual Options

---

Throughout the first six meetings of the Muni Funding Working Group, members provided detailed feedback on the individual options through group discussion and breakout group activities. Breakout group activities enabled members to share in-depth feedback in a small group setting and allowed the SFMTA staff to immediately respond to questions or to address ideas or concerns that may not be feasible. Full-group discussions encouraged collaboration by allowing members to build on each other's ideas when sharing with the SFMTA staff.

SFMTA staff developed the final packages discussed in the next section based on the feedback Working Group members provided on the individual options.

### FEEDBACK ON INDIVIDUAL OPTIONS

Throughout the meeting series, Working Group members indicated their level of support and opposition for each individual option through voting activities during the meetings. These voting activities helped align feedback to measurable levels of support and ensured members' votes were recorded.

*Note:* The sections below are high-level summaries of detailed conversations among the Working Group members. They do not represent any individual or organization's viewpoints and should not be considered to represent all the Working Group members' opinions. These summaries are intended to provide high-level takeaways.

### Efficiency Improvements


Members provided the following feedback regarding the efficiency improvement options from the October 17, 2024 Muni Funding Working Group meeting:

- Members asked about whether there are any additional areas to find efficiency improvements given the four options presented.
- Members expressed the importance of prioritizing the safety of seniors and people with disabilities when boarding transit.
- Members shared concerns about how the SFMTA would inform riders about a reduction in stops as part of the proposed policy options that would accelerate Muni Forward.
- Some members cautioned that automation may not always equal efficiency and reiterated the importance of prioritizing PCO safety in discussions on Automated Parking Enforcement.
- Members also suggested reprioritizing parking enforcement towards issues with higher safety risk and higher fines.

## Service Cuts

Members provided the following feedback regarding the service cut options at the November 13, 2024, and November 20, 2024 Muni Funding Working Group meetings:


- Most members were opposed cuts reducing frequency, Muni Transit Assistance Program (MTAP), streets safety and maintenance work.
- Members had more interest in supporting cuts for tow subsidies or flexing capital funds.
- Some members supported and some members opposed suspending lower-utilized routes, historic service, and streets constituent services options.
- Members expressed concerns regarding the population, transit, and citywide impacts of service cuts.
- Members shared suggestions on modifications to the options including lowering the frequency of the lines rather than suspending entire routes, or eliminating only the less frequently used portions of a route or portions of routes with parallel service, among others.



## Funding Options

Below is a summary of member feedback regarding the funding options at the January 23, 2025 Muni Funding Working Group meeting:

- Most members supported the funding options, with a few opposing a local parcel tax, a parking tax measure and off-street parking tax measure.
- Members shared suggestions for avoiding voter fatigue at the ballot. These included combining ballot measures, exploring funding options that didn't require voter approval, and creating a permanent tax measure that would not expire.
- Members also supported the options that would maximize funding from existing programs and establish revenue from new programs, with a few votes against the increase in residential parking permit fees and transit fare optimization.








Members provided the following feedback regarding service improvement options at the January 31, 2025 Muni Funding Working Group meeting:

- Most members supported the service improvement options.
- Members suggested prioritizing improvements that affect the whole city, especially historically underserved areas.
- Some members also recommended pairing service improvement options with revenue measures to appeal to a broader base of voters instead of solely Muni riders.



Through dot voting activities, members rated each funding and service cut option. Members rated every funding option higher than every service cut option, with some service cut options receiving significant opposition.

1 = Actively Support   5 = Actively Oppose		
Grouping	Option	Average Member Rating
<b>Funding Options</b>	Commuter benefit Clipper card	1.0
	Parking optimization	1.1
	Ride-hail and autonomous vehicle gross receipts tax	1.2
	Regional revenue measure	1.2
	Extend paid parking hours to 10pm	1.4
	Off-street parking tax increase from 25% to 35%	1.4
	Extend paid parking hours to Sunday	1.4
	Local sales tax measure	1.5
	New parking stall fee for privately owned publicly available parking lots	1.5
	Local parcel tax measure	1.7
	Transit fare optimization	1.7
	Utility tax measure	1.8
	Increase residential parking permit fee	1.9
	Subsidies: Eliminate or reduce tow subsidies	2.3
<b>Service Cuts</b>	Capital: Flexing capital funds to the operating budget	2.3
	Transit: Suspend lower utilized routes	3.2
	Streets: Constituent services reductions	3.3
	Transit: Suspend historic train and cable car service	3.4
	Transit: Owl Service only from 9pm–6am	3.9
	Subsidies: Eliminate or reduce fare subsidies	4.3
	Security: Muni Transit Assistance Program	4.4
	Streets: Maintenance reductions	4.6
	Streets: Safety work reductions	4.8
	Transit: Reduce frequencies up to 50%	4.8



# Member Feedback on Packages

---

## PACKAGES OVERVIEW

### Option Groupings

Between September 2024 and January 2025, the Working Group reviewed 38 individual Efficiency Improvements, Service Cuts, Funding Options, and Service Improvements options. Based on Working Group feedback on the individual options (summarized in the prior section), the SFMTA then bundled these individual options into six packages that each would address the \$320 million budget gap.

Based on feedback, the policy ideas and categorizations changed over time. Efficiency Improvements and Service Improvements discussed in the workshops became Efficiencies and Internal Cuts in the final packages. Service Cuts discussed in the workshops were split into Muni, Non-Muni, and Subsidy Reductions in the final packages. Funding Options discussed in the workshops were split into Large, Small, and Non-Ballot Revenue Measures.

The following table lists the 20 individual components included in the final packages. Packages did not include some individual options that were one-time solutions, would not be ready in time, or had other implementation challenges.


Category	Component	Description
<b>Muni Service Cuts</b>	Muni Service Cuts	Reductions in Muni service, including reducing frequencies of trains and buses, suspending routes, suspending historic train and cable car service
<b>Subsidy Reductions</b>	Eliminate or Reduce Tow Subsidies	Eliminate or reduce discounts for towing and storage fee waivers
	Eliminate or Reduce Fare Subsidies	Eliminate or reduce fare subsidies for youth, seniors, and/or people with disabilities
<b>Non-Muni Cuts</b>	Safety Work Reductions	Safety cuts for transit engineering, bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure and Vision Zero, and school crossing guard programs
	Streets Maintenance Reductions	Maintenance cuts for the signal shop, sign shop, and paint shop
	Constituent Services Reductions	Service cuts for Streets' programs, including tow, garage and janitorial services, parking policy and programs, shared spaces, special events, and planning
<b>Non-Ballot Revenue</b>	Increase Residential Parking Permit Fee	Increase the Residential Parking Permit (RPP) rates in existing areas with a possible subsidy for people with low incomes
	Extend Paid Parking Hours to 10pm	Extend paid parking hours on Monday–Saturday to 6pm–10pm in alignment with typical business hours
	Extend Paid Parking Hours to Sunday	Extend paid parking hours on Sundays to 12pm–6pm
	Pay or Permit/Visitor Parking Expansion	Expand paid and/or permitted parking to cover more neighborhoods and larger parts of the city
<b>Small Ballot Measures</b>	Small Ballot Measures	Potential combinations of ballot measures with revenues under \$50M, including utility user taxes, off-street parking taxes, ride-hail and autonomous vehicle taxes, etc.
<b>Large Ballot Measures</b>	Local Parcel Tax	Tax on city parcels ranging from \$0.078/sq ft to \$0.159/sq ft
	Local Sales Tax	Tax on transactions in the city ranging from ¼ cent to ½ cent
	Regional Revenue	Tax on transactions in all regional jurisdictions that opt in ranging from ½ cent up to 1 cent in San Francisco
<b>Efficiencies &amp; Internal Cuts</b>	Administrative Cuts	Cuts to the contracts, work orders, and the SFMTA's central office functions and administrative personnel
	Automated Parking Enforcement	Begin enforcing parking violations via photo or video to speed up enforcement efforts
	Accelerated Work on Muni Forward	Accelerate implementation of Muni Forward transit priority improvements that reduce travel time and operating costs on seven heavily used routes
	Transit Fare Optimization	Continue to hire Transit Fare Inspectors and optimize deployment. Make payment easier through implementation of tap-to-pay with Clipper and prepare for future fare increases by exploring options to expand discount fare eligibility
	Parking Optimization	Continue to hire Parking Control Officers and optimize enforcement. Potentially change off-street parking rates, parking meter rates, contractor permit rate, parking meter minimum times and time-limits at existing meters

The SFMTA combined these 20 components into six packages based around themes with different compositions of components across each package.

	Package Names
A	Preserve Muni & Street Safety—Big at the Ballot in 2026
B	Preserve Muni & Street Safety—Multiple Ballots over Time
C	Protecting Muni Service—Minimizing Cuts for Riders
D	Finding Revenues through Parking
E	Cuts Due to Less Opportunity at the Ballot
F	Fewer Options, More Cuts

## Package Composition

As presented and discussed on March 21, 2025, the six packages include different combinations of service cuts, funding options, and efficiencies to reach \$320 million (see chart below).



### Commonalities Across Packages

**Large ballot measures** (regional revenue measure, local sales tax, and local parcel tax) make up the single largest category in all six packages.

- The large ballot measure category in all six packages includes a version of a Regional Revenue Measure (SB63) that San Francisco and the SFMTA is collaborating on with other Bay Area stakeholders outside of the Muni Funding Working Group. The exact amount of revenue generated for the SFMTA is subject to ongoing regional discussions. August 11, 2025 is the deadline for

reaching consensus on the final parameters of the regional measure. All packages include some form of a Regional Revenue Measure with revenue to the SFMTA projected at either \$88 million or \$162 million.

- **Option 1A**—included in Packages D, E, & F
  - Flat ½ cent sales tax in four counties
  - \$88 million/year for Muni
- **Variable Rate Option**—included in Packages A, B, & C
  - Sales tax rate based on each county’s needs (up to 1 cent in San Francisco)
  - \$162 million/year for Muni
- In addition to the Regional Revenue Measure, the large ballot measure category in all packages includes an additional local tax measure (either a sales or parcel tax), with projected revenue ranging from \$49 million to \$99 million.

Certain **efficiencies and internal cuts** were also included in all six packages.

- Accelerated work on Muni Forward—\$3 million in every package.
- Transit fare optimization—\$5 million in every package
- Parking optimization—\$18 million in every package
- Administrative cuts to the SFMTA’s central office functions—minimum of \$10 million in every package and increasing proportionally with the size of service cuts in each option (up to \$19 million in Package F).

# Packages Summary

The table below provides a detailed breakdown of the components included in each of the six packages. The components are organized by category with green shading indicating the relative size of the budget impact of each component in each option. Note that different packages include different versions of components with different projected budget impacts.

**Package Summary Table—Detail (\$ millions)**

Category	Component	Package A Preserve Muni & Street Safety— Big at the Ballot in 2026	Package B Preserve Muni & Street Safety— Multiple Ballots over Time	Package C Protecting Muni Service— Minimizing Cuts for Riders	Package D Finding Revenues through Parking	Package E Cuts Due to Less Opportunity at the Ballot	Package F Fewer Options, More Cuts
Muni Service Cuts	Muni Service Cuts	-	-	-	23	40	90
Subsidy Reductions	Eliminate or Reduce Tow Subsidies	-	-	4	-	4	4
Subsidy Reductions	Eliminate or Reduce Fare Subsidies	-	-	12	-	-	12
Non-Muni Cuts	Safety Work Reductions	-	-	3	-	-	7
Non-Muni Cuts	Streets Maintenance Reductions	-	-	4	4	4	4
Non-Muni Cuts	Constituent Services Reductions	-	-	7	7	7	7
Non-Ballot Revenue	Increase Residential Parking Permit Fee	-	-	-	5	-	-
Non-Ballot Revenue	Extend Paid Parking Hours to 10pm	-	-	-	8	-	8
Non-Ballot Revenue	Extend Paid Parking Hours to Sunday	-	-	-	6	6	6
Non-Ballot Revenue	Pay or Permit/Visitor Parking Expansion	20	20	-	30	30	-
Small Ballot Measures	Small Ballot Measures	-	50	42	25	-	-
Large Ballot Measures	Local Parcel Tax	98	48	-	80	-	-
Large Ballot Measures	Local Sales Tax	-	-	49	-	99	49
Large Ballot Measures	Regional Revenue	162	162	162	88	88	88
Efficiencies & Internal Cuts	Administrative Cuts	10	10	11	14	16	19
Efficiencies & Internal Cuts	Automated Parking Enforcement	4	4	-	4	-	-
Efficiencies & Internal Cuts	Accelerated Work on Muni Forward	3	3	3	3	3	3
Efficiencies & Internal Cuts	Transit Fare Optimization	5	5	5	5	5	5
Efficiencies & Internal Cuts	Parking Optimization	18	18	18	18	18	18
<b>Total</b>		<b>320</b>	<b>320</b>	<b>320</b>	<b>320</b>	<b>320</b>	<b>320</b>

## Context on the Packages

The discussion of the packages included above reflects their composition as of March 21, 2025, when the SFMTA presented them to the Working Group. The composition of the packages, the versions of the components included, and the budget impacts of all components are subject to change.

- Packages were based on modeled assumptions and were not implementation plans, so timing and projected revenue or projected savings will continue to evolve.
- Some funding identified in the packages may not be available until after FY27, depending on implementation decisions.
- The SFMTA has implemented budget cuts in the current fiscal year cycle, some of which will result in ongoing savings which could reduce the projected \$320 million deficit.
- Short-term funding options may need to be explored which could include state funding support or could leverage the “Flexing Capital to Operating” option.

### Timing and Potential Gaps in FY27

As presented on March 21, 2025, two components will not be fully implemented and/or their revenues will not impact the SFMTA’s budget until FY28 or later.

- **Pay or permit/visitor parking expansion**—the SFMTA assumed the component could not be fully implemented until FY28 or later.
- **Small ballot measures**—the SFMTA assumed small ballot measures would not appear on the ballot until 2028, at the earliest.

As shown in the table below, the gap in FY27 (which equals the total of the two components in FY28 or later) ranges from \$20 million (Package A) to \$70 million (Package B). Package F is the only one with no gap in FY27.

(\$ millions)	Package A	Package B	Package C	Package D	Package E	Package F
<b>Subtotal—FY27 Components</b>	\$300	\$250	\$278	\$265	\$290	\$320
<b>Subtotal—FY28+ Components</b>	\$20	\$70	\$42	\$55	\$30	\$-
<b>Pay or Permit/Visitor Parking Expansion</b>	\$20	\$20	\$-	\$30	\$30	\$-
<b>Small Ballot Measures</b>	\$-	\$50	\$42	\$25	\$-	\$-
<b>FY27 Gap</b>	<b>\$(20)</b>	<b>\$(70)</b>	<b>\$(42)</b>	<b>\$(55)</b>	<b>\$(30)</b>	<b>\$-</b>


Additionally, potential revenue from the Regional Revenue Measure would be unlikely to be realized until the end of FY27, likely leading to a larger gap than represented above. Given larger gaps, the SFMTA put forward two potential strategies to fill any budget gaps in FY27 that the packages would not address.

- 1. Secure short-term budget assistance from the State to bridge the revenue gap
- 2. Flex parts of the capital budget towards the operating budget as a one-time solution<sup>4</sup>

Additionally, as discussed on March 21, 2025, none of the six packages include the \$7.2 million in annual savings from Muni service cuts (to be implemented in Summer 2025) that the SFMTA Board approved on April 15, 2025. However, future versions of the packages will include these ongoing savings.

**Reliance on Ballot Measures**


Should voters fail to approve the proposed ballot measures, the SFMTA and the City will face difficult tradeoffs. If no ballot measures pass and the SFMTA implemented every non-ballot measure option across all six packages, the remaining proposals would only equal \$222 million—70% of \$320 million needed. To close the approximately \$100 million gap, the SFMTA would need to implement additional service cuts or higher or more expansive paid parking fees than proposed in the six packages, or explore other solutions not discussed by the Working Group.



<sup>4</sup> This option was discussed by the Muni Funding Working Group but was not included in the final packages as it is one-time funding, and the packages include only ongoing funding or savings.

## Service Cuts and Parking Fees

Responding to feedback from the working group and other stakeholders, the SFMTA kept the percentage of each of the six options made up of service cuts and parking fees relatively low—ranging from 6% (A & B) to 43% (F, see graph below). Several packages included parking revenue ranging from \$14 million in Package F to \$49 million in Package D.



## MEMBER FEEDBACK ON PACKAGES


The Muni Funding Working Group reviewed and provided feedback on all six packages through a combination of facilitated large group and small group discussions and individual voting activities. In addition to voting for their top choice of package, members also provided more detailed feedback on the packages, challenges with addressing the budget deficit going forward, and concerns about the impact of various options.

### Voting Results

When asked to select their top choice of package, nearly 70% of members voted for Package A and nearly 20% voted for Package B (see table below). Only 12% of members ranked an option that included any service cuts as their first choice (C & D each received 6%). Packages E and F, the packages with the largest Muni service cuts (\$40 million and \$90 million, respectively) received no first-choice votes.



In addition to voting for their top choice of package, members also indicated their level of support and opposition for each package (see graph below).



In this more detailed voting exercise—similar to the dot voting exercises for the individual options—members underscored their preference for funding options over service cuts. In particular,

- At least 50% of members indicated they “would actively support” the two packages with no service cuts (A & B)
- No members opposed Packages A and B
- All options with service cuts (Packages C through F) received some level of opposition, ranging from 19% to 63%
- At least half of members indicated they “would actively oppose” the three options (D, E, & F) that include Muni service cuts

Taking the average of member support and opposition for each package further highlights how consistent members were in evaluating the individual options and the packages. Members ranked every package with higher levels of service cuts worse than every package with lower levels of service cuts (see table below).

1 = Actively Support   5 = Actively Oppose				
	Package Name	% of \$320 million Covered by Service Cuts	Average Member Rating	% Top Choice
A	Preserve Muni & Street Safety—Big at the Ballot in 2026	0%	1.4	69%
B	Preserve Muni & Street Safety—Multiple Ballots over Time	0%	1.6	19%
C	Protecting Muni Service—Minimizing Cuts for Riders	9%	3.1	6%
D	Finding Revenues through Parking	11%	4.1	6%
E	Cuts Due to Less Opportunity at the Ballot	17%	4.2	0%
F	Fewer Options, More Cuts	39%	4.4	0%

### **Limitations on Interpretation of Voting Results**

In the final workshop, members voted on six separate packages. This feedback represents member feedback at a single point in time; perception may change as the SFMTA shares additional implementation details. Additionally, the voting results only represent one dimension of member feedback and should be considered alongside the content of the group discussions. The two packages with the highest levels of parking revenue (Packages D & E) received lower levels of support from members in the voting activities, however based on group discussions that support may be related to the higher levels of Muni and non-Muni service cuts in those packages.

The sections below are high-level summaries of detailed conversations among the Working Group members. They do not represent any individual or organization's viewpoints and should not be considered to represent all the Working Group members' opinions. These summaries are intended to provide high-level takeaways.

### **Concerns, Recommendations, and Additional Feedback**

In addition to voting on the six packages, members also provided more detailed feedback on the packages through discussion as summarized below.

#### **Ballot Measures**

- Members highlighted the potential challenges of proposing multiple different tax ballot measures in the same year or in quick succession, given the potential for voter fatigue.
- Members strongly recommended combining ballot measures in the same election year.
- Members suggested modifying ballot measures that create permanent tax changes.
- Members voiced concerns that service cuts and fee increases could decrease public support for higher taxes.
- Members also highlighted the need for contingency planning, should ballot measures fail.
- Members suggested that having a plan to reverse planned service cuts in Summer 2025 or restore service to pre-pandemic levels may increase public support for ballot measures.

#### **Modification Suggestions**

- Members consistently voiced concerns for how various service cut proposals could impact certain vulnerable populations including seniors, people with disabilities, youth, and others.
- Members suggested adding certain parking revenue options to packages that did not include them to help pay for reversing recently passed service cuts.
- Members encouraged the SFMTA to roll out any parking fee increases and expansions slowly to help ease resident concerns.
- Members recommended exploring whether other City departments could take on the subsidies currently provided by the SFMTA.
- Members recommended the SFMTA should consider reducing the budget for consulting and professional services instead of service cuts.

## Conclusions

---

**All six packages meet the \$320 million target—but may require short-term funding depending on timing and implementation decisions.** Each package includes combinations of funding options, cuts, and efficiencies to meet the SFMTA's FY27 budget deficit of \$320 million. However, as presented, some packages include components that would not be fully implemented until 2028 or later. The SFMTA identified capital budget cuts and potential bridge funding from the state as potential options to cover shortfalls in FY27.

**If the ballot measures do not pass, even with all of the proposed Muni service cuts and the parking revenue increases considered, there would still be an approximately \$100 million gap.** Should voters fail to approve the proposed ballot measures, the SFMTA and the City will face difficult tradeoffs. If no ballot measures pass and the SFMTA implemented every non-ballot measure option across all six packages, the remaining proposals would only equal \$222 million—70% of \$320 million needed. If voters do not approve ballot revenue, the SFMTA and policymakers would be left with less popular solutions discussed by the Muni Funding Working Group or other options not discussed by the Working Group, or would have to rely more on non-ballot revenue such as parking revenue or deeper service cuts.

**Public perception of Muni service has steadily increased since the pandemic; deep transit service cuts could reverse these gains and impact ridership.** In SFMTA's [2024 Muni Rider Survey](#), 72% of respondents rated Muni service overall as either good or excellent—a steady improvement over 57% of respondents in 2021 and 66% of respondents in 2022. In the [2023 City Survey](#), residents gave Muni service a B- grade, an improvement over the C+ rating in 2019. As shown in these surveys, service improvements have led to higher satisfaction ratings. Implementing service cuts discussed by the Working Group could reverse these gains and impact ridership.

**The impact to the city's economy resulting from deep transit service cuts would be significant.** Substantial Muni service cuts would have serious economic consequences for San Francisco, especially the downtown core that drives the city's economy. Three of the final packages included Muni service cuts ranging from \$23 million in Package D to \$90 million in Package F. The \$90 million amount in Package F is 50% of the total \$181 million worst case scenario outlined at the [November 13, 2024 Muni Funding Working Group](#), which would represent a large decrease in transit service across multiple lines and mode types. The service impact of this option and the resulting impact on the city's economy would be significant. Without a solvent transit agency, the city will not achieve economic recovery.

## Closing and Next Steps

---

On April 22, 2025, the SFMTA presented options to the SFMTA Board of Directors for consideration for the FY27 budget process. Beginning in Summer 2025, the SFMTA staff will develop detailed implementation plans that will provide further certainty on the timing and amount of any expected revenues or savings. Final decisions on which packages, or combination of package components will be made by the SFMTA Board of Directors, the Board of Supervisors, and the Mayor as a part of the normal FY27 budget process starting in Fall 2025.

# Appendices

---

**Appendix 1: SFMTA's Proposed Options List to Close the Funding Gap**

**Appendix 2: Final Muni Funding Working Group Meeting Summary**

**Appendix 3: Additional Muni Funding Working Group Meeting Resources**

## APPENDIX 1: SFMTA'S PROPOSED OPTIONS LIST TO CLOSE THE FUNDING GAP

### Efficiency Improvements

OPTION	DESCRIPTION	FISCAL SAVINGS	IMPLEMENTATION START DATE
Accelerated work on Muni Forward	Accelerate implementation of Muni Forward transit priority improvements that reduce travel time and operating costs on seven heavily used routes	\$5M	FY27
HOV lanes on state highways	Implement additional high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes on state-owned highways to reduce transit travel times and operating costs	\$700K	FY28
Mailed parking citations	Amend state law to allow PCOs to send citations to the registered address of the vehicle instead of printing citations and placing them on windshields	\$740K	FY26
Automated parking enforcement	Amend state law to enforce parking violations via photo or video to speed up enforcement efforts	\$3.5	FY28

### Service Cuts

OPTION	DESCRIPTION	FISCAL SAVINGS	IMPLEMENTATION START DATE
<b>Transit:</b> Reduce frequencies up to 50%	Decrease frequency on the most frequent, highest ridership routes  Up to 23 route frequency changes, 18 equity routes	\$63M	FY26
<b>Transit:</b> Suspend lower utilized routes	Suspend routes with parallel service and low-ridership routes without parallel service  Up to 20 route suspensions, 8 equity routes	\$71M	FY26
<b>Transit:</b> Suspend historic train and cable car service	Suspend all historic service including F-line and cable car service  4 routes impacted, 0 equity routes	\$33M	FY26

## 37 | Muni Funding Working Group Final Report

<b>Transit:</b> Owl Service only from 9pm–6am	Suspend all service at 9pm and replace with owl service from 9pm–6am  Up to 28 daytime routes impacted, 18 equity routes	\$14M	FY26
<b>Subsidies:</b> Eliminate or reduce fare subsidies	Reduce subsidies for youth, seniors, and people with disabilities	\$11.8M	FY26
<b>Subsidies:</b> Eliminate or reduce tow subsidies	Decrease or limit discounts to tow and storage fee waivers	\$3.2M	FY26
<b>Capital:</b> Flexing capital funds to the operating budget	Reduce capital investments by approximately 40% over two years.  Potential Projects impacted include fleet replacement, facilities rehabilitation, fleet overhauls, Active Communities implementation, Muni Forward, and Vision Zero.	\$37.9	FY27
<b>Security:</b> Muni Assistance Transit Program	Reduce funding for the Muni Transit Assistance Program (MTAP). The program deters disruptive behavior, enhances public safety, and improves community engagement on Muni lines with high levels of violence and vandalism.	\$1.85M	FY26
<b>Streets:</b> Safety work reductions	Safety cuts for transportation engineering operations, transit engineering, bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure and Vision Zero, and school crossing guard programs.	\$7M	FY26
<b>Streets:</b> Maintenance reductions	Maintenance cuts for the signal shop, sign shop, and paint shop.	\$3.6M	FY26
<b>Streets:</b> Constituent services reductions	Service cuts for Streets' programs, which include tow, garage and janitorial services, parking policy and programs, shared spaces, special events, and planning.	\$6.6M	FY26

## Funding Options

OPTION	DESCRIPTION	FISCAL SAVINGS	IMPLEMENTATION START DATE
Ride-hail and AV tax	Voter-approved tax on ride-hail platforms and autonomous vehicle companies	\$25M	FY27
Parking tax measure	Increase the existing parking tax rate to 35%	\$25M	FY27
Utility tax measure	Increase the utility user tax on commercial properties and charge residential users a natural gas and/or electricity tax	\$50M	FY27

## 38 | Muni Funding Working Group Final Report

Regional revenue measure	Sales and/or parcel tax measure to address the funding deficit for the SFMTA and regional transit partners	\$88M	FY27
Local sales tax measure	Increase local sales tax between a ¼ cent and 1 cent	\$17M	FY27
Local parcel tax measure	Parcel tax that would adjust depending on the type of property, size of property, size of building, or other characteristics	\$85M	FY27
Transit fare optimization	Optimize deployment by hiring more Transit Fare Inspectors, implementing tap-to-pay with Clipper 2.0, and exploring fare increases	\$5M	FY27
Parking optimization	Optimize enforcement by hiring more Parking Control Officers, updating paid parking meters to increase minimum times or extending limits, and exploring additional charges for off-street parking and contractor permit rates	\$20M	FY27
Increase residential parking permit fee	Increase the Residential Parking Permit (RPP) rates in existing areas with a possible subsidy for people with low incomes	\$3M	FY27
Extend paid parking hours to Sunday	Extend paid parking hours on Sundays to 12pm–6pm	\$6M	FY27
Extend evening paid parking	Extend paid parking hours on Monday–Saturday to 6pm–10pm in alignment with typical business hours	\$8M	FY27

## Service Improvements

OPTION	DESCRIPTION	FISCAL SAVINGS
Safety and security	Expand the Muni Safe initiative by building out the SFMTA Security Operations Center, increasing transit ambassador staff, adding solar lighting to transit stops, and refreshing City-owned parking garages	\$6.5M
Transit access increases	Provide new Muni connections by expanding rapid service and reinstating Express routes to support downtown recovery	\$40M
Accessibility improvements	Improve access to the transportation system for disabled and older adults by adding new accessible transit stops, accelerating flag stop conversion, improving accessible wayfinding, and enhancing the Essential Trip Card	\$9.5M

### 39 | Muni Funding Working Group Final Report

Customer experience	Improve the waiting and navigation experience at transit stops to maximize smooth experiences by ensuring clean stops and stations, improving cable car turnarounds, accelerating new bus stop signage, and launching a new Customer Engagement program	\$3.5M
Regional transit coordination	Make regional transit trips seamless by upgrading signage for regional wayfinding and implementing a regional free and reduced transfer program	\$6M
Street upkeep	Pave roadways on major transit corridors, refresh faded signs, crosswalks and curbs, and improve street beautification and landscaping	\$44M



## APPENDIX 2: FINAL MUNI FUNDING WORKING GROUP MEETING SUMMARY

Below is a summary of the committee members' questions and concerns regarding the SFMTA's proposed options packages. These packages were presented at the March 21, 2025 Muni Funding Working Group meeting. During the meeting, members were invited to share their immediate reactions to the packages presented through a raise of hands activity, where each member raised their hand if they felt positively about a package. Members were able to cast their vote for multiple packages. Next, there was a live polling activity through the survey tool Alchemer where members were asked to vote on their preferred package.

Members provided feedback below and suggestions for SFMTA. This feedback should not be construed as direction or commitment from the SFMTA on a single package or combination of options. Further implementation decisions will be made by the SFMTA, SFMTA Board of Directors, and policymakers as applicable.

### Discussion on Packages

#### Package A

- **Raise of hands activity votes:** 9
- **Feedback:**
  - Members liked this package overall because there were **no service or subsidy cuts**.
  - Multiple members expressed concerns about **the inherent risks of relying heavily on ballot measures**. There was concern that the tax measures would not pass.
  - The SFMTA should consider **including positive outcomes in its messaging and outreach** to make campaigning for the ballot measures easier.
  - The SFMTA should consider the negative impact of going back to the ballot in 2028 and try to ensure the necessary funding measures are proposed on the 2026 ballot.
  - The SFMTA should consider including Sunday paid parking as an option in this package.
  - The SFMTA should consider reversing recently passed service cuts as part of this package.
- **Final survey votes:** 11

#### Package B

- **Raise of hands activity votes:** 11
- **Feedback:**
  - Members liked this package overall because there were **no service or subsidy cuts**.
  - Multiple members expressed concerns about **the inherent risks of relying heavily on ballot measures**.
  - The SFMTA should consider the negative impact of going back to the ballot in 2028 and try to ensure the appropriate funding measures are proposed on the 2026 ballot.
  - The SFMTA should consider **including positive outcomes in its messaging and outreach** to make campaigning for the ballot measures easier.
  - The SFMTA should consider expanding Muni services to pre-pandemic levels as part of this package proposal.

- **Final survey votes:** 3

#### Package C

- **Raise of hands activity votes:** 5
- **Feedback:**
  - Multiple members expressed **concerns with the potential street safety cuts** and wanted more clarity on where the cuts would happen.
  - Members expressed concerns about **the inherent risks of relying heavily on ballot measures**.
  - The SFMTA should **consider the impact of street safety cuts on seniors, youth, and crossing guards**.
  - There was a concern about the proposed local sales tax and a suggestion to **consider exploring more progressive tax measures**.
  - A member suggested considering **a tax on ride share companies** that utilize San Francisco roads.
  - The SFMTA should consider exploring whether other City departments could take on the subsidies currently provided by the agency.
- **Final survey votes:** 1

#### Package D

- **Raise of hands activity votes:** 0
- **Feedback:**
  - No members voiced support for this package.
  - The SFMTA should consider reducing the budget for consulting and professional services instead of service cuts.
  - The SFMTA should consider the **challenge of proposing multiple different tax measures** in the same year.
- **Final survey votes:** 0

#### Package E

- **Raise of hands activity votes:** 1
- **Feedback:**
  - There were fewer volunteers to speak about this package, with only one member volunteering to speak in support. The facilitator instead had to ask members to speak to what they found difficult to support instead.
  - The SFMTA should consider the **impact of administrative cuts on seniors and people with disabilities** and should avoid cutting subsidies.
  - Members expressed concerns about the impact of expanded permit parking and Sunday paid parking but emphasized that such measures may be needed.
  - The SFMTA should consider a **measured approach when introducing the parking option** to help ease resident concerns and get them used to it.

- The SFMTA should consider the **challenge of proposing multiple different tax measures** in the same year.
- **Final survey votes:** 0

### Package F

- **Raise of hands activity votes:** 0
- **Feedback:**
  - No members voiced support for this package.
  - The SFMTA should consider the **impact of administrative cuts on seniors and people with disabilities** and should avoid cutting subsidies.
  - Members expressed concerns that this package was not a fully-developed plan to succeed at the ballot. The SFMTA should consider how an approach that maximizes ballot measure success and plan separately an approach if it fails.
  - A member shared that this package does **start a conversation about the difficult choices** the agency faces.
- **Final survey votes:** 0

## Close-out Discussion

### Organization next steps

- SPUR is campaigning for **\$2 billion of state funds** with a portion coming to support the Bay Area transit companies.
- Labor will continue to engage with the SFMTA to support a future ballot measure campaign.
- Organizations that have campaigned and have reached out to the public for transit before will continue to do so moving forward.
- Supervisor Melgar will support **an approach that avoids service cuts**.
- The Mayor's office will continue to prioritize this budget challenge and is open to community feedback.

### Things that members support

- Labor Organizations supported an approach that avoids any service cuts.
- Members were glad to see **support for Package A** and reiterated the hard work needed to campaign for this to pass.
- Multiple members expressed support for the **Muni Now, Muni Forever campaign**.
- Members expressed support for continued dialog with the SFMTA.
- Multiple members expressed broad support for packages that maintained service levels (no service cuts).

### Key points for the SFMTA to consider

- It will be **challenging to go to the ballot twice**: in 2026 and then again in 2028.
- Service cuts will impact both riders and operators.
- It is important to highlight Muni successes as well as ongoing efforts in increasing efficiency in the agency's messaging and outreach.

- The SFMTA should create a more aspirational package with ballot measures and new/extended Muni service.
- The importance of being honest about the budget challenges the SFMTA is facing and preparing for the worst in case ballot measures do not pass.
- A document with MFWG FAQ would be helpful to members now that meeting series is completed.
- The SFMTA should continue to engage with advocates, business leaders, labor, and other stakeholders. Consider continuing the MFWG meeting series through 2026.
- The SFMTA should leverage district liaisons for outreach to the public, and should consider the role of the press in influencing public opinion.
- The SFMTA should explore more polling to understand sentiment around proposed options.
- The SFMTA should consider withdrawing the proposed summer service cuts. The SFMTA should explore other options for budget cuts while avoiding service cuts.
- The SFMTA should take options without support off the table.

## APPENDIX 3: ADDITIONAL MUNI FUNDING WORKING GROUP MEETING RESOURCES

Muni Funding Working Group meeting materials were posted on <https://www.sf.gov/muni-funding-working-group> throughout the meeting series. See below for additional details on the options presented at each meeting.

### **October 17, 2024**

- [SFMTA Efficiency Improvement Options \[October 17, 2024\]](#)
- [Efficiency Improvement Options 1-Pagers \[October 17, 2024\]](#)

### **November 13, 2024**

- [SFMTA Service Cut Options \[November 13, 2024\]](#)
- [Service Cuts 1-Pagers \[November 13, 2024\]](#)

### **November 20, 2024**

- [SFMTA Service Cut Options \[November 20, 2024\]](#)
- [Service Cuts 1-Pagers \[November 20, 2024\]](#)

### **January 23, 2025**

- [SFMTA Solving for Muni Funding Needs \[January 23, 2025\]](#)
- [Funding Options Handout \[January 23, 2025\]](#)

### **January 31, 2025**

- [SFMTA Long-Term Funding Ideas and Service Improvements \[January 31, 2025\]](#)

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: ISCOTT Hearing on Thu, July 24 - Agenda - Temporary Street Closure Requests  
**Date:** Friday, July 18, 2025 10:45:59 AM  
**Attachments:** [ISCOTT\\_1597\\_Agenda.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see attached, from the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA), submitting the agenda for the July 24, 2025 meeting of the Interdepartmental Staff Committee on Traffic and Transportation for Temporary Street Closures (ISCOTT).

Regards,

John Bullock  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-5184  
[BOS@sfgov.org](mailto:BOS@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** SpecialEvents <SpecialEvents@sfmta.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, July 18, 2025 10:42 AM  
**To:** SpecialEvents <SpecialEvents@sfmta.com>  
**Subject:** ISCOTT Hearing on Thu, July 24 - Agenda - Temporary Street Closure Requests

Good morning –

Attached is the agenda for the upcoming ISCOTT hearing on Thursday, July 24.

If you have any questions, please email us.

**Nick Chapman**

Manager, [Special Events / Temporary Street Closures](#)

San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

1 South Van Ness Ave, 7th Floor

San Francisco, CA 94103

*Pronouns: he/him, they/them*



## ISCOTT AGENDA



INTERDEPARTMENTAL STAFF COMMITTEE  
ON TRAFFIC AND TRANSPORTATION FOR  
TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES

**Meeting of July 24, 2025 - Thursday, 9:00 AM**  
**1597<sup>th</sup> Regular Meeting**

### **Online Participation**

Please join Microsoft Teams Meeting at

[SFMTA.com/ISCOTTHearing](https://sfmta.com/ISCOTTHearing)

Click on the Raise your hand icon . When you are prompted to unmute, click on the microphone icon  to speak.

### **Phone Participation**

Please dial +1 415-523-2709,,397937701# [Find a local number](#)


Phone conference ID: 397 937 701#

Dial \*5 to be placed in the queue for public comment. When prompted dial \*6 to unmute yourself.

Please ensure that you are in a quiet location, speak clearly, and turn off any TVs or radios around you.

### **Written Participation**

Submit your written comments to [SpecialEvents@SFMTA.com](mailto:SpecialEvents@SFMTA.com) with "Public Hearing" in the subject line or by mail to SFMTA, 1 South Van Ness, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor, San Francisco, CA 94103. Written comments must be received by 12 noon on the day prior to the hearing to be considered.

 **415.646.2414:** For free interpretation services, please submit your request 48 hours in advance of meeting. / 如果需要免費口語翻譯，請於會議之前 48 小時提出要求 / Para servicios de interpretación gratuitos, por favor haga su petición 48 horas antes de la reunión. / Para sa libreng serbisyo sa interpretasyon, kailangan mag-request 48 oras bago ang miting.





### **MINUTES OF THE JULY 10, 2025, MEETING (ACTION ITEM)**

The Committee to adopt the Minutes.

### **PUBLIC COMMENT**

Members of the public may address ISCOTT members on matters that are within ISCOTT purview and are not on today's agenda.

### **TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES (ACTION ITEMS)**

These proposed actions are an Approval Action as defined by S.F. Administrative Code Chapter 31.

### **CONSENT CALENDAR**

If there are no objections from the committee or the public, the following items will be voted on as a group.

- A.**     Surrey Street between Swiss Avenue and Van Buren Street  
          Day, September 27, 2025, 1 pm to 9 pm  
          **Block Party - Surrey Street**
  
- B.**     Java Street between Buena Vista Avenue West and Masonic Avenue  
          Sunday, September 28, 2025, 10 am to 6 pm  
          **Block Party - Java Street**
  
- C.**     Minna Street between 2nd and New Montgomery streets  
          Sunday, September 14, 2025, 6 am to  
          Thursday, September 18, 2025, 11:59 pm  
          **111 Minna - Salesforce**

### **REGULAR CALENDAR**

- D.**     Bache Street between Crescent and Benton avenues  
          Sunday, September 7, 2025, 1 pm to 5 pm  
          **Block Party - Bache Street Civic Space**
  
- E.**     Kirkham Street between 47th and 48th avenues  
          Sunday, August 24, 2025, 1 pm to 9 pm  
          **Block Party - Kirkham Street**
  
- F.**     Arlington Street between Natick and Roanoke streets  
          Saturday, September 13, 2025, 12 pm to 6 pm  
          **Block Party - Arlington St**



- G.** Storrie Street between 18th and Market streets  
Sunday, August 24, 2025, 9:30 am to 5 pm  
***Block Party - Upper Market***
- H.** Rossi Avenue between Anza Street and Turk Boulevard  
Saturday, September 20, 2025, 8 am to 6 pm  
***Block Party - Rossi Avenue***
- I.** Divisadero Street between Duboce Avenue and 14<sup>th</sup> Street  
Sunday, October 19, 2025, 11 am to 7 pm  
***Block Party - Buena Vista***
- J.** Harrington Street between Mission Street and 150' west of Mission Street  
Friday, August 1, 2025, 10 am to 1 pm  
***Jerry Garcia Street Naming***
- K.** Page Street between Octavia and Gough street  
Saturday, September 20, 2025, 8 am to 4:30 pm  
***Compass Clara House 30th Anniversary***
- L.** Keith Street between Armstrong and Carroll avenues  
Intersection(s) closed: Keith Street and Bancroft Avenue  
Saturday, August 2, 2025, 7 am to 7 pm  
***Gospel Festival***
- M.** Balmy Street between 24th and 25th streets  
Saturday, September 27, 2025, 12 pm to 10:30 pm  
***Wedding Reception for Frank & Jess***
- N.** Powell Street between Green and Vallejo streets  
Thursday, October 9, 2025, 2 pm to 10 pm  
***American Legion Cathay Post 384 Fleet Week Block Party***
- O.** Gilman Avenue between Griffith Street and Bill Walsh Way  
Sunday, August 17, 2025, 10 am to 6 pm  
***Hot August Nights San Francisco***
- P.** Harrison Street between 15<sup>th</sup> and 16th streets; Treat Avenue between 15<sup>th</sup> and Harrison streets  
Intersections closed: Treat avenue at 15<sup>th</sup> and Harrison streets  
Saturday, September 6, 2025, 12 pm to 11 pm  
***SF Sapphic Pride***



- Q.** Polk Street between Pacific Avenue and Broadway  
Saturday, September 13, 2025, 7 am to 10 pm  
***Shanghai Kelly's 40th Anniversary Celebration***
- R.** Folsom Street, southernmost parking and bicycle lane only, between Langton and Hallam streets (bicycle lane to remain open and be detoured around the closure)  
Thursday, July 24, 2025, through  
Thursday, September 25, 2025  
3 pm to 11 pm, every second and fourth Thursday of each month  
***SOMA Nights***
- S.** 24 Street between Folsom and Bryant streets; Lucky Street between 24th and 25th streets; Treat Street between 23rd and 25th streets; Balmy Street between 24th and 25th streets; Harrison Street between 23rd and 25th streets; Alabama Street between 23rd and 25th streets; Florida Street between 23rd and 25th streets  
Intersections closed: 24<sup>th</sup> Street at Lucky, Treat, Balmy, Harrison, Alabama and Florida streets  
Saturday, September 13, 2025, 8 am to 8 pm  
***Fiesta de las Américas 2025***



- T.** Howard Street between Third and Fourth streets; Howard Street (southernmost travel lane and parking lane only) between Hawthorne and Third streets; Third Street (westernmost 2 lanes) between Folsom and Howard streets; Third Street (westernmost lane) between Howard and Mission streets; Folsom Street (northernmost travel lane and parking lane) between Third and Fourth streets; Fourth Street (easternmost travel lane) between Howard and Folsom; streets

Friday, September 12, 2025, 12:01 am to

Saturday, September 20, 2025, 4 pm

***Workday Rising 2025 Event***

Fourth Street (easternmost travel lane) between Minna and Howard streets

Thursday, September 11, 2025, 10:30 pm to

Friday, September 12, 2025, 2 am

*and*

Thursday, September 18, 2025, 10:30 pm to

Friday, September 19, 2025, 2 am

***K-rail install/removal for Workday Rising 2025***

Third Street (westernmost travel lane and parking lane only) between Howard and Folsom streets

Thursday, September 11, 2025, 10:30 pm to

Friday, September 12, 2025, 2 am

*and*

Thursday, September 18, 2025, 10:30 pm to

Friday, September 19, 2025, 2 am

***K-rail install/removal for Workday Rising 2025***

- U.** Castro Street between 16th and 19th streets; Market Street between Noe and Diamond streets; 17<sup>th</sup> Street between Hartford and Castro streets; 18<sup>th</sup> Street between Noe and Diamond streets; Hartford Street between 17<sup>th</sup> and 19<sup>th</sup> streets; Collingwood Street between 19<sup>th</sup> and Market streets. Intersections closed: 17th Street at Castro Market; 18<sup>th</sup> Street at Collingwood, Castro and Hartford

Sunday, October 5, 2025, 2 am to 10 pm

***Castro Street Fair 2025***

Categorically exempt from CEQA: CEQA Guidelines Section 15304 Class 4(e) minor temporary use of land having negligible or no permanent effects on the environment, including carnivals, sales of Christmas trees, etc. and/or Section 15305 Class 5(b) minor alterations in land use limitations, including street closings and equipment for special events



Forrest Chamberlain  
San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency

Date

**ROADWAY SHARED SPACES CLOSURES (ACTION ITEMS)**

The following item has been environmentally cleared by the Planning Department on April 19, 2021, Addendum #2 to San Francisco Better Streets Plan Project [Case No. 2021-003010ENV (addendum to Case No. 2007.1238E)]:

**NONE**

**ROADWAY SHARED SPACES CLOSURES (INFORMATIONAL ITEMS)**

The following items are presented for informational purposes and public comment. Closures are subject to review and approval by the SFMTA Board.

- V.**     Harrison Street between 14th and 15th streets; Alameda Street between Harrison Street and Treat Avenue; Treat Avenue between Division St/Florida St and Alameda St; Alabama Street between Treat Avenue and 15th Street; 15th Street between Harrison and Alabama streets; Treat Avenue between Alabama St and 16th St/Harrison St  
           Saturday, September 6, 2025, through  
           Saturday, September 5, 2026  
           8 am to 8 pm, first Saturday of each month  
           ***Stuff by Luxe – Shared Space***



\*\*\*SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION FOR INTERDEPARTMENTAL STAFF COMMITTEE AGENDA ITEMS ARE AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW AT THE MUNICIPAL TRANSPORTATION AGENCY'S OFFICES, ONE SOUTH VAN NESS, SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103, DURING NORMAL BUSINESS HOURS. PLEASE CONTACT TEMPORARY STREET CLOSURES/SPECIAL EVENTS AT [specialevents@sfmta.com](mailto:specialevents@sfmta.com). \*\*\*

### ***Sound Producing Devices***

The ringing of and use of cell phones, pagers and similar sound-producing electronic devices are prohibited at this meeting. Please be advised that the Chair may order the removal from the meeting room of any person(s) responsible for the ringing or use of cell phone, pager, or other similar sound-producing electronic devices.

### ***Disability Access***

To obtain a disability-related modification or accommodation, including auxiliary aids or services, to participate in the meeting, please contact (415) 701-4683 at least two business days before the meeting. In order to assist the City's efforts to accommodate persons with severe allergies, environmental illness, multiple chemical sensitivity or related disabilities, attendees at public meetings are reminded that other attendees may be sensitive to perfumes and various other chemical-based scented products. Please help the City to accommodate these individuals.

### ***Know Your Rights under the Sunshine Ordinance***

Government's duty is to serve the public, reaching its decision in full view of the public. Commissions, boards, councils and other agencies of the City and County exist to conduct the people's business. This ordinance assures that deliberations are conducted before the people and that City operations are open to the people's review. For information on your rights under the Sunshine Ordinance (Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code) or to report a violation of the ordinance, contact the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator by mail to Sunshine Ordinance Task Force, One Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco CA 94102, by phone at (415) 554-7724, by fax at (415) 554-7854 or by email at [sotf@sfgov.org](mailto:sotf@sfgov.org). Citizens may obtain a free copy of the Sunshine Ordinance by contacting the Sunshine Ordinance Task Force Administrator or by printing Chapter 67 of the San Francisco Administrative Code on the Internet, at web site <http://www.sfgov.org/sunshine>.

### ***Lobbyist Registration and Reporting Requirements***

Individuals and entities that influence or attempt to influence local legislative or administrative action may be required by the San Francisco Lobbyist Ordinance [SF Campaign & Governmental Conduct Code Sec. 2.100] to register and report lobbying activity. For more information about the Lobbyist Ordinance, please contact the San Francisco Ethics Commission at 30 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 3900, San Francisco, CA 94102, telephone (415) 581-2200, fax (415) 581-2217, web site [www.sfgov.org/ethics](http://www.sfgov.org/ethics).

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Appeal Rights under S.F. Admin. Code Chapter 31: For identified Approval Actions, the Planning Department or the SFMTA has issued a CEQA exemption determination or negative declaration, which may be viewed online at the Planning Department's website. Following approval of the item by ISCOTT, the CEQA determination is subject to appeal within the time frame specified in S.F. Administrative Code Section 31.16 which is typically within 30 calendar days. For information on filing a CEQA appeal, contact the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors at City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA 94102, or call (415) 554-5184. Under CEQA, in a later court challenge, a litigant may be limited to raising only those issues previously raised at a hearing on the project or submitted in writing to the City prior to or at such hearing, or as part of the appeal hearing process on the CEQA decision.

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Update SFPD Required Report - Chapter 96a, Law Enforcement Reporting  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 22, 2025 5:19:00 PM  
**Attachments:** [Q2 QADR Extension Signed.pdf](#)

---

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached Law Enforcement Report from the SFPD.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh  
Executive Assistant  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163  
[eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

---

**From:** Cunningham, Jason (POL) <jason.cunningham@sfgov.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 21, 2025 3:53 PM  
**To:** Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>; Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** ChanStaff (BOS) <chanstaff@sfgov.org>; SherrillStaff <SherrillStaff@sfgov.org>; SauterStaff <SauterStaff@sfgov.org>; EngardioStaff (BOS) <EngardioStaff@sfgov.org>; MahmoodStaff <MahmoodStaff@sfgov.org>; DorseyStaff (BOS) <DorseyStaff@sfgov.org>; MelgarStaff (BOS) <melgarstaff@sfgov.org>; MandelmanStaff (BOS) <mandelmanstaff@sfgov.org>; FielderStaff <FielderStaff@sfgov.org>; Waltonstaff (BOS) <waltonstaff@sfgov.org>; Aroche, Diana (POL) <diana.aroches@sfgov.org>; Fountain, Chris (POL) <Christine.Fountain@sfgov.org>; Lam, Terry (POL) <terry.lam@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Update SFPD Required Report - Chapter 96a, Law Enforcement Reporting

Good afternoon, Madam Clerk,

Please see attached letter of extension for Q2 2025 Quarterly Activity and Data Report from Interim Chief Paul Yep. Your assistance is appreciated in the distribution to the members of the Board.

v/r

Jason Cunningham  
Program Manager  
Professional Standards & Principled Policing Bureau  
San Francisco Police Department

[jason.cunningham@sfgov.org](mailto:jason.cunningham@sfgov.org)

(415) 889-0024 (C)

[Book time on my calendar](#)





**DANIEL LURIE**  
MAYOR

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO  
**POLICE DEPARTMENT**  
HEADQUARTERS  
1245 3<sup>RD</sup> Street  
San Francisco, California, 94158



**PAUL Y. YEP**  
ACTING CHIEF OF POLICE

July 18<sup>th</sup>, 2025

The Honorable Daniel Lurie  
Mayor, City and County of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102

The Honorable Rafael Mandelman  
President, Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102

The Honorable C. Don Clay  
Police Commission  
1245 3rd Street  
San Francisco, CA 94158

Acting Director Mawuli Tugbenyoh  
Executive Director, Human Rights Commission  
25 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 800  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Dear Mayor Lurie, Supervisor Mandelman, Commissioner Clay, and Acting Director Tugbenyoh:

**RE: Second Quarter 2025 Report per Chapter 96A, Law Enforcement  
Reporting Requirements and Chapter 96E, Domestic Violence Data  
Reporting**

The reporting requirements under Chapter 96A to include reporting of officer activity (Chapter 96A.3, 96A.4), crime victim data (Chapter 96A.5), and Domestic Violence Reporting (Chapter 96E) for 2025 Quarter 2 (April, May and June) will be delayed.

SFPD is transitioning its Quarterly Activity and Data Report into a series of online dashboards. These dashboards will replace a large section of the “paper” QADR with explorable data, updated quarterly, in tandem with data prepared for submission to California Department of Justice. The Stop Dashboards are located here: ([SFPD Stop Data Dashboards | San Francisco Police Department](#)).

Additionally, starting with the Q1 2025 report, we now include three other types of metrics to the Census Population benchmark. The additional benchmarks are the Risk Adjusted Disparity (RAD) index, Suspect Adjusted Disparity (SAD) index and Not-at-fault crash Traffic Analytic Layout (TAL) index. They each utilize different measures to provide additional context and an additional benchmark with which to understand with whom the department interacts.

Your patience and consideration are greatly appreciated. If you have any further questions, please do not hesitate to contact me or my staff, Director of Policy and Public Affairs, Diana Aroche at [diana.aroche@sfgov.org](mailto:diana.aroche@sfgov.org).

Sincerely,

**PAUL Y. YEP**  
Acting Chief of Police

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: July 2025 update on the status of abortion rights  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 23, 2025 12:59:10 PM  
**Attachments:** [Outlook-vbcdac20.png](#)  
[Monthly Memo on the Status of Abortion Rights July 2025.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see below and attached from the Department on the Status of Women (WOM), submitting a Monthly Update on the Status of Abortion Rights for the month of July 2025.

Regards,

John Bullock  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-5184  
[BOS@sfgov.org](mailto:BOS@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Heitzenroder, Denise (HRC) <denise.heitenroder@sfgov.org>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 23, 2025 12:06 PM  
**To:** Lurie, Daniel (MYR) <daniel.lurie@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Chu, Carmen (ADM) <carmen.chu@sfgov.org>; Tsai, Daniel (DPH) <daniel.tsai@sfdph.org>; Tugbenyoh, Mawuli (HRC) <mawuli.tugbenyoh@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** ALARCON, MOLLY (CAT) <Molly.Alarcon@sfcityatty.org>; Bobba, Naveena (DPH) <naveena.bobba@sfdph.org>; CLARK, JANA (CAT) <Jana.Clark@sfcityatty.org>; Escandon, Martin (DPH) <martin.escandon@sfdph.org>; Gabillet, Annie (MYR) <annie.gabillet@sfgov.org>; Kim, Phil (HRC) <phil.kim@sfgov.org>; KRELL, REBEKAH (CAT) <Rebekah.Krell@sfcityatty.org>; Lutvak, Charles (MYR) <charles.lutvak@sfgov.org>; Macaluso, Joseph (HRC) <joseph.macaluso@sfgov.org>; Negusse, Nina (MYR) <Nina.Negusse@sfgov.org>; Patil, Sneha (DPH) <sneha.patil@sfdph.org>; WILENSKY,

JULIE (CAT) <Julie.Wilensky@sfcityatty.org>; Yip, Angela (ADM) <angela.yip@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** July 2025 update on the status of abortion rights

Good afternoon everyone.

On behalf of the Department on the Status of Women, please see the attached Monthly Update on the Status of Abortion Rights Memorandum. I look forward to supporting you around any questions or requests for additional information.

Have a great rest of your day,

Denise



Denise Heitzenroder | Project Manager for Strategic Initiatives  
She/her  
**San Francisco Dept. on the Status of Women**  
Engage. Educate. Empower.  
p: 415-252-2576  
w: dosw.org

---



Mayor  
Daniel Lurie

**City and County of San Francisco  
Department on the Status of Women**



Date: July 23, 2025

To: Mayor Daniel Lurie, Members of the Board of Supervisors; City Attorney David Chiu; City Administrator Carmen Chu; Director of the Department of Public Health, Daniel Tsai; Acting Executive Director of the Human Rights Commission, Mawuli Tugbenyoh, and other interested stakeholders.

Cc: Molly Alarcon, Dr. Naveena Bobba, Jana Clark, Dr. Martin Escandon, Annie Gabillet, Phil Kim, Rebekah Krell, Charles Lutvak, Dr. Joseph Macaluso, Nina Negusse, Sneha Patil, Julie Wilensky and Angela Yip

Through: Linda Yeung, Acting Director/Department Head, Department on the Status of Women

From: Denise Heitzenroder, Project Manager for Strategic Initiatives, Department on the Status of Women

Subject: July 2025 Monthly Memo on the Status of Abortion Rights

The following update provides an overview of abortion laws in individual states, as well as local and statewide efforts to protect patients' access to reproductive healthcare. Our goal is to provide a monthly update that keeps the Mayor and other key internal stakeholders informed of developments in this new, ever-changing post-Dobbs landscape.

### **I. Current Snapshot of Abortion Access across the Nation**

- A total of 19 states [restrict abortion access](#), and 12 states now ban abortions. In November of 2024, voters passed abortion protections in seven states, ending bans in Arizona and Missouri. However, measures to enshrine abortion rights failed to pass in three states, and Nebraska voters passed a measure to uphold the state's abortion restrictions. Restrictions exist in seven states: Florida,

Georgia, Iowa and South Carolina have six-week abortion bans; Nebraska and North Carolina have bans at 12 weeks; and Utah has a ban after 18 weeks. Abortion bans are currently blocked in Montana and Wyoming, while court cases progress. Some states with abortion bans have [exceptions for certain scenarios](#), six states have no exceptions to protect the life of the pregnant person; eight have no exceptions for rape or incest, and ten have no exceptions for lethal fetal anomalies.

- On July 21<sup>st</sup>, a District Court judge in Boston [granted a preliminary injunction](#) in a suit brought by Planned Parenthood Federation of America against Health and Human Services Secretary Robert F. Kennedy Jr. regarding provisions of the Big Beautiful Bill Act that would cut Medicaid payments to clinics that provide abortion care. The injunction “blocks the government from cutting Medicaid payments to Planned Parenthood member organizations that either don’t provide abortion care or didn’t meet a threshold of at least \$800,000 in Medicaid reimbursements in a given year.”
- Polling continues to show the majority of Americans support protecting access to abortion, making efforts to enact a national abortion ban wildly unpopular. To avoid political blowback, [anti-abortion groups and officials are pursuing a myriad of other tactics](#) to restrict abortion access. Moves like the cuts in federal funding for clinics that provide abortion care and calls to roll back access to medication abortion are priorities. Anti-abortion activists are exploring ways to restrict access to medication abortion by rolling back FDA approval and dispensing guidelines, going after telehealth providers and looking to the [Comstock Act](#) to prevent the medication from being mailed. Now anti-abortion activists [are also targeting contraceptives](#). They have blocked funding to family planning clinics and information and guidance on contraception from the CDC website.
- A study published in the [American Journal of Public Health](#) by researchers from the [University of California, San Francisco](#), examined the experiences of individuals seeking abortion care in 14 states with bans. They found that women seeking abortion care are seeing increased costs, travel times, navigating overnight stays and receiving abortion care later than they were before the *Dobbs* decision.
  - 81% traveled to another state



- Travel time after the abortion bans were implemented increased from 2.8 to 11.3 hours, and 58% required an overnight stay compared to 5% before bans
- Mean travel costs increased from \$179 to \$372
- The proportion of those receiving an abortion at or after 13 weeks increases by 9% and the mean pregnancy duration increased from 7.7 weeks to 8.8 weeks

These challenges are [likely to worsen for women](#), both in states with bans and in states with abortion protections. Efforts to defund clinics in states with abortion protections by restricting federal Medicaid funds could lead to closures in pro-abortion states like New York and California, many of whom support women from states with abortion bans. Planned Parenthood clinics in Colorado and New Mexico have had to turn away patients covered by Medicaid. Meanwhile, efforts by anti-abortion groups to restrict medication abortion continue to gain steam.

- In Idaho abortion bans have resulted in [gaps in care for residents of the state](#). Wait times for general and specialist care have increased as some hospitals and providers have cut services due to both staffing shortages and the political climates. Women seeking routine reproductive, fertility and gynecological care all experience delays. Rural areas, which were already facing health care delivery challenges, and labor and maternity wards have been the hardest hit: [more than 100 hospitals](#) across 26 states have closed labor and delivery services since 2020.
- A [consent decree](#) was issued between Idaho's Attorney General Raúl Labrador and two county prosecutors and Planned Parenthood Great Northwest, Hawaii, Alaska, Indiana, Kentucky and two doctors, ending a [case regarding advice and referrals for patients seeking out-of-state for abortions](#). Labrador stated "we do not have the authority to prosecute referrals for out-of-state services" while the state's abortion ban remains in place.
- On July 3<sup>rd</sup>, a Missouri County Circuit judge [reinstated an injunction blocking most regulations](#) in the state that restrict abortion access. The ruling overturned a ruling just [over a month ago](#) that lifted the restrictions and effectively banned abortion in the state. Republican Missouri [Attorney General Andrew Bailey has appealed the ruling](#). A Jackson County Circuit Court is currently [hearing arguments as to whether or not it has jurisdiction](#) in the appeal. In the interim, abortions are legal again in the state through 21 weeks after voters

passed a constitutional amendment in November 2024. However, the Republican controlled legislature has approved its own [constitutional amendment to again ban abortion](#) in the state. The measure may be on the ballot in 2026, or sooner. The Missouri ACLU is [challenging the measure](#), suing to keep the measure off the ballot or to force the measure to be rewritten, arguing it is unfair and misleading.

- The Supreme Court [declined to hear a case](#) regarding Montana's parental consent requirement for minors to receive abortion care without commenting on the underlying premise of the case. Montana's State Supreme Court had ruled that minors "have a fundamental right to privacy, which includes procreative autonomy and making medical decisions affecting his or her bodily integrity and health in partnership with a chosen health care provider free from governmental interest." Justices Samuel Alito and Clarence Thomas noted technical problems with and called it a "poor vehicle" for reviewing the law, leaving open the possibility of a future challenge.
- In Nevada, Planned Parenthood Mar Monte has filed a lawsuit seeking to [suspend the implementation of a parental notification law](#) for minors seeking abortion care. The suit alleges that the 1985 law is "hazy [and] inadequate" and should have been nullified by a 2019 law repealing "informed consent laws for abortions" and the 2022 constitutional amendment ensuring equal rights for all.
- A judge [struck down the provision of a Tennessee abortion restriction](#) that banned the "recruitment" or transportation of a minor for an abortion without parental consent. The Court found the recruitment provision posed free speech concerns, and that "Tennessee cannot criminalize 'disseminating information about an activity that is legal in another state.'"
- A federal appeals court upheld West Virginia's [ability to ban mifepristone](#), stating the FDA's approval "did not preempt West Virginia Law" by a 2-1 vote. GenBioPro, a major manufacturer of mifepristone, challenged the near-total ban, arguing that the FDA's authority superseded state efforts to restrict access to medications. GenBioPro vowed to keep fighting, noting the ruling risked "a dangerous ripple effect on the availability of essential medications in this country."
- The Wisconsin Supreme Court ruled that the [state's 1849 law did not in fact ban abortion](#). They found that "the Wisconsin Legislature

functionally repealed the 1849 law by later laws regulating abortion." However, the Court declined to rule on the question of whether abortion bans would conflict with the State Constitution, leaving the door open to future challenges and potential ballot measures. In Wisconsin, only the legislature can introduce ballot measures. The current legislature is Republican controlled, but the state has a Democratic governor.

## **II. State Policy Update**

- A man in Texas filed a civil suit against a doctor in California that he [claims violated the Comstock Act](#) by mailing abortion medication that was taken by his girlfriend. The plaintiff is seeking an injunction on behalf of a class of "all current and future fathers of unborn children in the United States" and \$75,000 in damages from the provider. The plaintiff also claims the abortion qualifies as murder under Texas law.
- Planned Parenthood clinics in Los Angeles are poised [to lose federal funding](#) after the passage of the One Big Beautiful Bill Act. Planned Parenthood Federation of America filed a lawsuit challenging the act, and a partial injunction is in effect. However, the injunction does not apply to Planned Parenthood clinics in Los Angeles as the injunction only applies in states with abortion bans and for Planned Parenthood affiliates that received less than \$800,000 in Medicaid reimbursements. Local officials have expressed outrage and concern, noting the wide array of reproductive care and health screenings Planned Parenthood provides. Planned Parenthood LA vows to keep providing healthcare.

## **III. San Francisco Bay Area Abortion Rights Coalition Update**

- The Department on the Status of Women continues to work with regional partners and participate in meetings with abortion rights advocates in fiscal year 25/26. We will be working with our partners to help implement recommendations from our regional abortion landscape report, "[Preparing for an Uncertain Future in Post-Dobbs America](#)."



**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: ASR Sole Source Report  
**Date:** Thursday, July 24, 2025 11:27:52 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)  
[ASR Sole Source Report 2024-25.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see attached Office of the Assessor-Recorder Sole Source Contracts Report for Fiscal Year (FY) 2024-2025.

Regards,

ohn Bullock  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-5184  
[BOS@sfgov.org](mailto:BOS@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Alt, Emily (ASR) <Emily.Alt@sfgov.org>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 24, 2025 11:13 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Torres, Joaquin (ASR) <joaquin.torres@sfgov.org>; Jacques, Simone (ASR) <simone.jacques@sfgov.org>; Lung, Holly (ASR) <holly.lung@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** ASR Sole Source Report

Hi,

Attached is the 2024-25 Sole Source Report for the Assessor-Recorder's Office.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,  
Emily



**Emily Alt** (She/Her)  
**Manager II**

Office of the Assessor-Recorder  
City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Rm 190  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Email: [emily.alt@sfgov.org](mailto:emily.alt@sfgov.org)

Phone: 628-652-8270

Website: [www.sfassessor.org](http://www.sfassessor.org)

*“With integrity we work together to build a better San Francisco through superior customer service, fair property taxation and the preservation of public records.”*



**MEMORANDUM**

**To:** Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors

**From:** Simone Jacques  
Deputy Assessor of Finance and Administration

**Date:** July 24, 2025

**Subject:** Sole Source Contracts for Fiscal Year 2024-25

ASR has a total of five (5) existing contracts under Sole Source Waiver authority as follows:

Supplier Name and ID	Contract Number and Description	Contract Type	Procurement Authority	Solicitation Waiver ID	Waiver Justification	Start Date	End Date	NTE Amount
BMI Imaging Systems, Inc. (SAAS) 0000024801	Contract 1000024751: Recorded document hosting services on proprietary Digital Reel platform	PSPFC: Professional Services and P-Form Contracts	21.3: Admin Code. Software License and Maintenance are Sole Source	OCAWVR0005414	Regulation 21.30: Proprietary Software Licenses and Support and Proprietary Equipment Maintenance	7/1/2022	6/30/2027	\$117,000.00
BMI Imaging Systems, Inc. 0000024801	Contract 1000026522: Digital Reel system upgrade to implement AB1466 requirements for restrictive covenant redaction	PSPFC: Professional Services and P-Form Contracts	21.3: Admin Code. Software License and Maintenance are Sole Source	OCAWVR0006408	Regulation 21.30: Proprietary Software Licenses and Support and Proprietary Equipment Maintenance	1/1/2023	12/31/2026	\$280,000.00
Carahsoft 0000023400	Contract 1000010174: SAAS - Salesforce platform for new Property Assessment Solution	PSPFC: Professional Services and P-Form Contracts	21.3: Admin Code. Software License and Maintenance are Sole Source	N/A	Regulation 21.30: Proprietary Software Licenses and Support and Proprietary Equipment Maintenance	11/1/2018	10/31/2030	\$14,432,762.00
Easy Access, Inc. 0000021003	Contract 1000028862: License and maintenance for EZ-CAM/RE/PP Property Assessment Database System & E-filing module	PSPFC: Professional Services and P-Form Contracts	PROFSERV-NOS: For Professional Services Contracts that have not been competitively bid and where a Sole Source Waiver has been approved	OCAWVR0007765	Regulation 21.5(b): No Substitute for Product/Service and Only One Source (No Bid Required)	1/1/2024	12/31/2025	\$931,750.00
Lavi Industries 0000016536	Contract 1000025367: Public-facing lobby queue management system support and maintenance	PSPFC: Professional Services and P-Form Contracts	21.3: Admin Code. Software License and Maintenance are Sole Source	OCAWVR0005873	Regulation 21.30: Proprietary Software Licenses and Support and Proprietary Equipment Maintenance	7/1/2022	6/30/2025	\$21,000.00

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Special Meeting of the Budget and Finance Committee  
**Date:** Thursday, July 24, 2025 11:30:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [Updated Special B&F Meeting Memo.pdf](#)

---

---

**From:** Mchugh, Eileen (BOS) <eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 24, 2025 11:02 AM  
**To:** BOS Legislation, (BOS) <bos.legislation@sfgov.org>; BOS-Legislative Aides <bos-legislative\_aides@sfgov.org>; BOS-IT <BOS-IT@sfgov.org>; BOS-Operations <bos-operations@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <alisa.somera@sfgov.org>; Ng, Wilson (BOS) <wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org>; De Asis, Edward (BOS) <edward.deasis@sfgov.org>; Entezari, Mehran (BOS) <Mehran.Entezari@sfgov.org>; Jalipa, Brent (BOS) <brent.jalipa@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** FW: Special Meeting of the Budget and Finance Committee

Hello Everyone,

Please see the attached memo updating the Special Budget and Finance Meeting time to **10:30 a.m.** on July 29, 2025.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh  
Executive Assistant  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163  
[eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

---

**From:** Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 24, 2025 10:59 AM  
**To:** BOS-Supervisors <[bos-supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:bos-supervisors@sfgov.org)>; BOS-Legislative Aides <[bos-legislative\\_aides@sfgov.org](mailto:bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org)>  
**Cc:** Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <[angela.calvillo@sfgov.org](mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org)>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <[alisa.somera@sfgov.org](mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org)>; Ng, Wilson (BOS) <[wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org](mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org)>; De Asis, Edward (BOS) <[edward.deasis@sfgov.org](mailto:edward.deasis@sfgov.org)>; Entezari, Mehran (BOS) <[mehran.entezari@sfgov.org](mailto:mehran.entezari@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** RE: Special Meeting of the Budget and Finance Committee

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached memo updating the Special Budget and Finance Meeting time to **10:30 a.m.** on July 29, 2025.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh  
Executive Assistant  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163  
[eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

---

**From:** Mchugh, Eileen (BOS)  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 24, 2025 10:31 AM  
**To:** BOS-Supervisors <[bos-supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:bos-supervisors@sfgov.org)>; BOS-Legislative Aides <[bos-legislative\\_aides@sfgov.org](mailto:bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org)>  
**Cc:** Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <[angela.calvillo@sfgov.org](mailto:angela.calvillo@sfgov.org)>; Somera, Alisa (BOS) <[alisa.somera@sfgov.org](mailto:alisa.somera@sfgov.org)>; Ng, Wilson (BOS) <[wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org](mailto:wilson.l.ng@sfgov.org)>; De Asis, Edward (BOS) <[edward.deasis@sfgov.org](mailto:edward.deasis@sfgov.org)>; Entezari, Mehran (BOS) <[mehran.entezari@sfgov.org](mailto:mehran.entezari@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Special Meeting of the Budget and Finance Committee

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the memo from Budget Chair Chan calling for a Special Meeting of the Budget and Finance Committee on Tuesday, July 29, 2025, at 10:00 a.m. to hear File No. 250191 and to send it to the July 29, 2025, Board of Supervisors Meeting as a Committee Report.

**File No: 250191** - Planning and Building Codes - Amnesty for Properties in the Department of Building Inspection's Internal Quality Control Audit

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh  
Executive Assistant  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163

[eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

Member, Board of Supervisors  
District 1



City and County of San Francisco

**CONNIE CHAN**

陳詩敏

第一區市參事

**MEMORANDUM**

*gcs*  
RECEIVED  
OFFICE OF SUPERVISORS  
SAN FRANCISCO  
2025 JUL 29 10:42

DATE: July 24, 2025  
TO: Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors  
  
FROM: Supervisor Connie Chan *Connie Chan*  
Chair  
  
RE: Budget and Finance Committee  
Committee Report

---

Pursuant to Board Rule 4.20, as Chair of the Budget and Finance Committee, I have deemed the following matter to be of an urgent nature and request it be considered by the full Board on July 29, 2025 as a Committee Report:

250191 Planning and Building Codes - Amnesty for Properties in the Department of Building Inspection's Internal Quality Control Audit

This matter will be heard at a special Budget and Finance meeting on July 29, 2025 at 10:30 am.

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: CCSF Monthly Pooled Investment Report for June 2025  
**Date:** Thursday, July 24, 2025 11:46:44 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)  
[CCSF Monthly Pooled Investment Report for June 2025.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see below and attached for communication from the Office of the Treasurer & Tax Collector, submitting the CCSF Pooled Investment Report for the month of June, 2025.

Regards,

John Bullock  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-5184  
[BOS@sfgov.org](mailto:BOS@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Dion, Ichieh (TTX) <[ichieh.dion@sfgov.org](mailto:ichieh.dion@sfgov.org)>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 24, 2025 11:35 AM  
**Subject:** CCSF Monthly Pooled Investment Report for June 2025

All-

Please find the CCSF Pooled Investment Report for the month of June attached for your use.

Regards,





[Ichieh C. Dion](#)

*Investment Settlement Operations/Reporting*  
Investments

Office of the Treasurer & Tax Collector

Office: 415.554.5433

San Francisco only, call **311**

[sftreasurer.org](http://sftreasurer.org)



# Treasurer & Tax Collector

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

José Cisneros  
TREASURER

Investment Report for the month of June 2025

July 15, 2025

The Honorable Daniel L. Lurie  
Mayor of San Francisco  
City Hall, Room 200  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4638

The Honorable Board of Supervisors  
City and County of San Francisco  
City Hall, Room 244  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4638

Colleagues,

In accordance with the provisions of California State Government Code, Section 53646, we forward this report detailing the City's pooled fund portfolio as of June 30, 2025. These investments provide sufficient liquidity to meet expenditure requirements for the next six months and are in compliance with our statement of investment policy and California Code.

This correspondence and its attachments show the investment activity for the month of June 2025 for the portfolios under the Treasurer's management. All pricing and valuation data is obtained from Interactive Data Corporation.

## CCSF Pooled Fund Investment Earnings Statistics \*

	Current Month		Prior Month	
(in \$ million)	Fiscal YTD	June 2025	Fiscal YTD	May 2025
Average Daily Balance	\$ 17,065	\$ 18,549	\$ 16,932	\$ 19,162
Net Earnings	628.74	57.87	570.87	61.39
Earned Income Return	3.68%	3.80%	3.67%	3.77%

## CCSF Pooled Fund Statistics \*

(in \$ million)	% of Portfolio	Book Value	Market Value	Wtd. Avg. Coupon	Wtd. Avg. YTM	WAM
Investment Type						
U.S. Treasuries	21.27%	\$ 3,900.5	\$ 3,884.7	2.44%	2.92%	741
Federal Agencies	36.11%	6,598.7	6,594.0	3.77%	3.82%	772
Public Time Deposits	0.33%	60.0	60.0	4.31%	4.31%	104
Negotiable CDs	18.01%	3,288.0	3,288.3	4.43%	4.43%	156
Commercial Paper	6.76%	1,234.5	1,233.9	0.00%	4.42%	117
Medium Term Notes	1.04%	189.1	189.4	3.99%	4.23%	582
Money Market Funds	13.24%	2,417.0	2,417.0	4.26%	4.26%	1
Supranationals	1.29%	235.1	235.6	3.33%	3.49%	568
Secured Bank Deposit	1.96%	358.4	358.4	4.35%	4.35%	1
Totals	100.0%	\$ 18,281.2	\$ 18,261.4	3.42%	3.85%	487

In the remainder of this report, we provide additional information and analytics at the security-level and portfolio-level, as recommended by the California Debt and Investment Advisory Commission.

Respectfully,

José Cisneros  
Treasurer

cc: Treasury Oversight Committee: Aimee Brown, Kevin Kone, Brenda Kwee McNulty  
Greg Wagner - Controller, Office of the Controller  
Mark de la Rosa - Director of Audits, Office of the Controller  
Mayor's Office of Public Policy and Finance  
San Francisco County Transportation Authority  
San Francisco Public Library  
San Francisco Health Service System

# Portfolio Summary

## Pooled Fund

As of June 30, 2025

<i>(in \$ million)</i>							
<b>Security Type</b>	<b>Par Value</b>	<b>Book Value</b>	<b>Market Value</b>	<b>Market/Book Price</b>	<b>Current % Allocation</b>	<b>Max. Policy Allocation</b>	<b>Compliant?</b>
U.S. Treasuries	\$ 3,912.0	\$ 3,900.5	\$ 3,884.7	99.59	21.34%	100%	Yes
Federal Agencies	6,602.8	6,598.7	6,594.0	99.93	36.10%	100%	Yes
State & Local Government							
Agency Obligations	-	-	-	-	0.00%	20%	Yes
Public Time Deposits	60.0	60.0	60.0	100.00	0.33%	100%	Yes
Negotiable CDs	3,288.0	3,288.0	3,288.3	100.01	17.99%	30%	Yes
Bankers Acceptances	-	-	-	-	0.00%	40%	Yes
Commercial Paper	1,252.0	1,234.5	1,233.9	99.96	6.75%	25%	Yes
Medium Term Notes	189.6	189.1	189.4	100.16	1.03%	30%	Yes
Repurchase Agreements	-	-	-	-	0.00%	10%	Yes
Reverse Repurchase/							
Securities Lending Agreements	-	-	-	-	0.00%	\$75mm	Yes
Money Market Funds - Government	2,417.0	2,417.0	2,417.0	100.00	13.22%	20%	Yes
LAIF	-	-	-	-	0.00%	\$50mm	Yes
Supranationals	235.7	235.1	235.6	100.23	1.29%	30%	Yes
Secured Bank Deposit	358.4	358.4	358.4	100.00	1.96%	N/A	Yes
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>\$ 18,315.6</b>	<b>\$ 18,281.2</b>	<b>\$ 18,261.4</b>	<b>99.89</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>Yes</b>

The City and County of San Francisco uses the following methodology to determine compliance: Compliance is pre-trade and calculated on a book value basis of the overall portfolio value. Cash balances are included in the City's compliance calculations.

Please note the information in this report does not include cash balances. Due to fluctuations in the market value of the securities held in the Pooled Fund and changes in the City's cash position, the allocation limits may be exceeded on a post-trade compliance basis. In these instances, no compliance violation has occurred, as the policy limits were not exceeded prior to trade execution. The full Investment Policy can be found at <https://sftreasurer.org/banking-investments/investments>

Totals may not add due to rounding.


# City and County of San Francisco

## Pooled Fund Portfolio Statistics

For the month ended June 30, 2025

Average Daily Balance	\$18,549,305,798
Net Earnings	\$57,873,226
Earned Income Return	3.80%
Weighted Average Maturity	487 days



Investment Type	(\$ million)	Par Value	Book Value	Market Value
U.S. Treasuries	\$	3,912.0	\$ 3,900.5	\$ 3,884.7
Federal Agencies		6,602.8	6,598.7	6,594.0
Public Time Deposits		60.0	60.0	60.0
Negotiable CDs		3,288.0	3,288.0	3,288.3
Commercial Paper		1,252.0	1,234.5	1,233.9
Medium Term Notes		189.6	189.1	189.4
Money Market Funds		2,417.0	2,417.0	2,417.0
Supranationals		235.7	235.1	235.6
Secured Bank Deposit		358.4	358.4	358.4
<b>Total</b>		<b>\$ 18,315.6</b>	<b>\$ 18,281.2</b>	<b>\$ 18,261.4</b>





**Asset Allocation by Market Value**

# Portfolio Analysis

## Pooled Fund



# Yield Curves



# Investment Inventory

## Pooled Fund

As of June 30, 2025

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Original Cost	Amortized	
			Settle Date	Date				Book Value	Market Value
U.S. Treasuries	912797PE1	U.S. Treasury Bill	4/17/2025	7/17/2025	0.00	\$ 100,000,000	\$ 98,932,014	\$ 99,812,222	\$ 99,808,850
U.S. Treasuries	912797PF8	U.S. Treasury Bill	4/24/2025	7/24/2025	0.00	100,000,000	98,932,014	99,730,069	99,728,810
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAB7	U.S. Treasury Note	8/5/2021	7/31/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,458,984	49,988,853	49,835,940
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAB7	U.S. Treasury Note	8/6/2021	7/31/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,363,281	49,986,872	49,835,940
U.S. Treasuries	912797QM2	U.S. Treasury Bill	5/6/2025	9/2/2025	0.00	100,000,000	98,614,972	99,266,750	99,262,790
U.S. Treasuries	91282CFK2	U.S. Treasury Note	10/7/2022	9/15/2025	3.50	50,000,000	48,968,750	49,927,025	49,958,985
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAM3	U.S. Treasury Note	5/12/2021	9/30/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,109,375	49,949,409	49,503,905
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAM3	U.S. Treasury Note	7/26/2021	9/30/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,281,250	49,957,167	49,503,905
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAT8	U.S. Treasury Note	2/25/2021	10/31/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,298,828	49,949,946	49,343,750
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAT8	U.S. Treasury Note	3/2/2021	10/31/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,078,125	49,933,997	49,343,750
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAT8	U.S. Treasury Note	3/4/2021	10/31/2025	0.25	50,000,000	49,048,828	49,931,820	49,343,750
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBC4	U.S. Treasury Note	2/25/2021	12/31/2025	0.38	50,000,000	49,455,078	49,943,661	49,054,690
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBC4	U.S. Treasury Note	2/26/2021	12/31/2025	0.38	50,000,000	49,271,484	49,924,636	49,054,690
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBW0	U.S. Treasury Note	6/28/2021	4/30/2026	0.75	50,000,000	49,662,109	49,942,060	48,654,295
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBW0	U.S. Treasury Note	7/2/2021	4/30/2026	0.75	50,000,000	49,730,469	49,953,677	48,654,295
U.S. Treasuries	912828R36	U.S. Treasury Note	7/23/2021	5/15/2026	1.63	50,000,000	52,203,125	50,398,744	48,964,845
U.S. Treasuries	912828R36	U.S. Treasury Note	8/27/2021	5/15/2026	1.63	50,000,000	51,890,625	50,349,140	48,964,845
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	U.S. Treasury Note	7/2/2021	6/30/2026	0.88	50,000,000	49,931,641	49,986,358	48,484,375
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	U.S. Treasury Note	7/14/2021	6/30/2026	0.88	50,000,000	50,070,313	50,014,125	48,484,375
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	U.S. Treasury Note	7/22/2021	6/30/2026	0.88	50,000,000	50,345,703	50,069,754	48,484,375
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	U.S. Treasury Note	7/22/2021	6/30/2026	0.88	50,000,000	50,328,125	50,066,207	48,484,375
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	U.S. Treasury Note	8/6/2021	6/30/2026	0.88	50,000,000	50,406,250	50,082,658	48,484,375
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	U.S. Treasury Note	8/10/2021	6/30/2026	0.88	50,000,000	50,240,234	50,048,989	48,484,375
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	U.S. Treasury Note	9/24/2021	6/30/2026	0.88	50,000,000	49,937,500	49,986,925	48,484,375
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	U.S. Treasury Note	10/14/2021	6/30/2026	0.88	50,000,000	49,593,750	49,914,026	48,484,375
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	U.S. Treasury Note	1/4/2022	6/30/2026	0.88	50,000,000	49,027,344	49,783,854	48,484,375
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCW9	U.S. Treasury Note	9/28/2021	8/31/2026	0.75	50,000,000	49,449,219	49,869,503	48,193,360
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCZ2	U.S. Treasury Note	10/8/2021	9/30/2026	0.88	50,000,000	49,689,453	49,922,107	48,169,920
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCZ2	U.S. Treasury Note	10/8/2021	9/30/2026	0.88	50,000,000	49,671,875	49,917,698	48,169,920
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCZ2	U.S. Treasury Note	10/19/2021	9/30/2026	0.88	50,000,000	49,318,359	49,827,987	48,169,920
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDK4	U.S. Treasury Note	12/3/2021	11/30/2026	1.25	50,000,000	50,072,266	50,020,494	48,214,845
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDK4	U.S. Treasury Note	12/7/2021	11/30/2026	1.25	50,000,000	50,117,188	50,033,307	48,214,845
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDK4	U.S. Treasury Note	3/29/2022	11/30/2026	1.25	50,000,000	47,078,125	49,115,050	48,214,845
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDQ1	U.S. Treasury Note	3/29/2022	12/31/2026	1.25	50,000,000	47,107,422	49,087,956	48,138,670
U.S. Treasuries	91282CEF4	U.S. Treasury Note	4/6/2022	3/31/2027	2.50	25,000,000	24,757,813	24,915,101	24,467,773
U.S. Treasuries	91282CKV2	U.S. Treasury Note	6/26/2024	6/15/2027	4.63	50,000,000	50,199,219	50,131,220	50,806,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CKV2	U.S. Treasury Note	7/9/2024	6/15/2027	4.63	50,000,000	50,292,969	50,195,313	50,806,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CKV2	U.S. Treasury Note	10/8/2024	6/15/2027	4.63	50,000,000	50,906,250	50,660,268	50,806,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CKV2	U.S. Treasury Note	5/15/2025	6/15/2027	4.63	50,000,000	50,603,516	50,566,242	50,806,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CEW7	U.S. Treasury Note	3/21/2024	6/30/2027	3.25	50,000,000	48,203,125	48,904,748	49,552,735
U.S. Treasuries	91282CEW7	U.S. Treasury Note	4/3/2024	6/30/2027	3.25	50,000,000	48,113,281	48,837,347	49,552,735
U.S. Treasuries	91282CEW7	U.S. Treasury Note	9/26/2024	6/30/2027	3.25	50,000,000	49,683,594	49,770,943	49,552,735
U.S. Treasuries	91282CLL3	U.S. Treasury Note	10/1/2024	9/15/2027	3.38	50,000,000	49,785,156	49,839,514	49,656,250
U.S. Treasuries	91282CLX7	U.S. Treasury Note	2/12/2025	11/15/2027	4.13	61,000,000	60,692,617	60,735,089	61,569,490
U.S. Treasuries	91282CMB4	U.S. Treasury Note	12/16/2024	12/15/2027	4.00	50,000,000	49,718,750	49,769,396	50,321,100
U.S. Treasuries	91282CMB4	U.S. Treasury Note	12/16/2024	12/15/2027	4.00	50,000,000	49,712,891	49,764,591	50,321,100
U.S. Treasuries	9128284N7	U.S. Treasury Note	4/9/2024	5/15/2028	2.88	65,000,000	61,082,227	62,254,680	63,547,660
U.S. Treasuries	91282CND9	U.S. Treasury Note	5/15/2025	5/15/2028	3.75	70,000,000	69,485,938	69,507,982	70,103,908
U.S. Treasuries	91282CHK0	U.S. Treasury Note	1/5/2024	6/30/2028	4.00	50,000,000	49,974,609	49,983,026	50,427,735
U.S. Treasuries	91282CHK0	U.S. Treasury Note	1/18/2024	6/30/2028	4.00	50,000,000	49,927,734	49,951,304	50,427,735
U.S. Treasuries	91282CHK0	U.S. Treasury Note	1/18/2024	6/30/2028	4.00	50,000,000	49,904,297	49,935,511	50,427,735

# Investment Inventory

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Original Cost	Amortized	Market Value
				Date					Book Value	
U.S. Treasuries	91282CHK0	U.S. Treasury Note	2/6/2024	6/30/2028		4.00	50,000,000	49,677,734	49,780,273	50,427,735
U.S. Treasuries	91282CHK0	U.S. Treasury Note	2/27/2024	6/30/2028		4.00	50,000,000	49,298,828	49,515,594	50,427,735
U.S. Treasuries	91282CHK0	U.S. Treasury Note	5/13/2024	6/30/2028		4.00	50,000,000	48,939,453	49,230,418	50,427,735
U.S. Treasuries	91282CHX2	U.S. Treasury Note	12/12/2023	8/31/2028		4.38	50,000,000	50,115,234	50,077,335	50,982,420
U.S. Treasuries	91282CHX2	U.S. Treasury Note	5/14/2025	8/31/2028		4.38	50,000,000	50,550,781	50,528,841	50,982,420
U.S. Treasuries	91282CJW2	U.S. Treasury Note	5/13/2025	1/31/2029		4.00	60,000,000	59,927,344	59,929,963	60,548,436
U.S. Treasuries	91282CJW2	U.S. Treasury Note	10/8/2024	1/31/2029		4.00	65,000,000	65,266,602	65,221,604	65,594,139
U.S. Treasuries	9128286B1	U.S. Treasury Note	4/11/2024	2/15/2029		2.63	50,000,000	45,710,938	46,791,074	48,160,155
U.S. Treasuries	91282CKD2	U.S. Treasury Note	4/8/2024	2/28/2029		4.25	50,000,000	49,773,438	49,830,363	50,886,720
U.S. Treasuries	91282CKD2	U.S. Treasury Note	5/13/2025	2/28/2029		4.25	75,000,000	75,568,359	75,548,280	76,330,080
U.S. Treasuries	91282CKP5	U.S. Treasury Note	10/24/2024	4/30/2029		4.63	50,000,000	51,171,875	50,994,211	51,566,405
U.S. Treasuries	91282CKP5	U.S. Treasury Note	12/20/2024	4/30/2029		4.63	51,000,000	51,448,242	51,393,901	52,597,733
U.S. Treasuries	91282CKT7	U.S. Treasury Note	10/23/2024	5/31/2029		4.50	50,000,000	51,039,063	50,883,914	51,369,140
U.S. Treasuries	91282CLC3	U.S. Treasury Note	10/1/2024	7/31/2029		4.00	50,000,000	51,046,875	50,884,859	50,400,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CLC3	U.S. Treasury Note	10/24/2024	7/31/2029		4.00	50,000,000	49,888,672	49,904,658	50,400,500
U.S. Treasuries	91282CLC3	U.S. Treasury Note	10/7/2024	7/31/2029		4.00	65,000,000	65,563,672	65,478,063	65,520,650
U.S. Treasuries	91282CFJ5	U.S. Treasury Note	10/1/2024	8/31/2029		3.13	50,000,000	49,041,016	49,186,867	48,802,735
U.S. Treasuries	91282CFJ5	U.S. Treasury Note	10/3/2024	8/31/2029		3.13	65,000,000	63,664,453	63,866,312	63,443,556
U.S. Treasuries	91282CFT3	U.S. Treasury Note	5/30/2025	10/31/2029		4.00	60,000,000	59,988,281	59,988,513	60,595,314
U.S. Treasuries	91282CLR0	U.S. Treasury Note	11/25/2024	10/31/2029		4.13	50,000,000	49,611,328	49,658,374	50,718,750
U.S. Treasuries	91282CGQ8	U.S. Treasury Note	4/10/2025	2/28/2030		4.00	50,000,000	49,927,734	49,931,054	50,490,235
U.S. Treasuries	91282CMZ1	U.S. Treasury Note	5/22/2025	4/30/2030		3.88	50,000,000	49,468,750	49,480,529	50,197,265
<b>Subtotals</b>						<b>2.44</b>	<b>\$ 3,912,000,000</b>	<b>\$ 3,884,416,735</b>	<b>\$ 3,900,520,324</b>	<b>\$ 3,884,688,783</b>
Federal Agencies	3133EPKA2	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/18/2023	8/18/2025		4.00	25,000,000	24,982,000	24,998,950	24,983,500
Federal Agencies	3133EPKA2	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/18/2023	8/18/2025		4.00	26,500,000	26,483,835	26,499,057	26,482,510
Federal Agencies	3133EPKA2	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/18/2023	8/18/2025		4.00	30,000,000	29,981,700	29,998,933	29,980,200
Federal Agencies	3135G05X7	Fannie Mae	3/4/2021	8/25/2025		0.38	25,000,000	24,684,250	24,989,378	24,844,250
Federal Agencies	3135G05X7	Fannie Mae	2/25/2021	8/25/2025		0.38	72,500,000	71,862,000	72,478,630	72,048,325
Federal Agencies	3130A8ZQ9	Federal Home Loan Bank	11/2/2021	9/12/2025		1.75	10,295,000	10,575,333	10,309,514	10,238,378
Federal Agencies	3133EPVY8	Federal Farm Credit Bank	9/15/2023	9/15/2025		5.00	8,230,000	8,224,074	8,229,384	8,237,983
Federal Agencies	3133EPVY8	Federal Farm Credit Bank	9/15/2023	9/15/2025		5.00	15,000,000	14,981,850	14,998,113	15,014,550
Federal Agencies	3133EPVY8	Federal Farm Credit Bank	9/15/2023	9/15/2025		5.00	20,000,000	19,975,800	19,997,484	20,019,400
Federal Agencies	3137EAEX3	Freddie Mac	3/4/2021	9/23/2025		0.38	22,600,000	22,295,352	22,584,621	22,390,724
Federal Agencies	3133EPDL6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	3/15/2023	10/1/2025		4.85	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,044,000
Federal Agencies	3133EPYW9	Federal Farm Credit Bank	10/20/2023	10/20/2025		5.13	24,000,000	23,923,440	23,988,375	24,047,760
Federal Agencies	3133EPYW9	Federal Farm Credit Bank	10/20/2023	10/20/2025		5.13	25,000,000	24,985,500	24,997,798	25,049,750
Federal Agencies	3133EPYW9	Federal Farm Credit Bank	10/20/2023	10/20/2025		5.13	35,000,000	34,972,350	34,995,801	35,069,650
Federal Agencies	3133EPYW9	Federal Farm Credit Bank	10/20/2023	10/20/2025		5.13	50,000,000	49,972,000	49,995,748	50,099,500
Federal Agencies	3133ENEG1	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/17/2021	11/17/2025		1.05	39,675,000	39,622,232	39,669,980	39,169,937
Federal Agencies	3133ENEG1	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/17/2021	11/17/2025		1.05	55,000,000	54,923,000	54,992,674	54,299,850
Federal Agencies	3133ENHM5	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/16/2021	12/16/2025		1.17	45,000,000	44,954,100	44,994,722	44,372,250
Federal Agencies	3133ENHM5	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/16/2021	12/16/2025		1.17	50,000,000	49,949,000	49,994,136	49,302,500
Federal Agencies	3133EN5E6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/29/2022	12/29/2025		4.00	15,000,000	14,954,700	14,982,519	14,982,150
Federal Agencies	3133EN5E6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/29/2022	12/29/2025		4.00	20,000,000	19,939,600	19,990,025	19,976,200
Federal Agencies	3133EN5E6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/29/2022	12/29/2025		4.00	25,000,000	24,923,750	24,987,408	24,970,250
Federal Agencies	3133EN6A3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	1/13/2023	1/13/2026		4.00	20,000,000	19,982,400	19,996,853	19,966,200
Federal Agencies	3133EN6A3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	1/13/2023	1/13/2026		4.00	30,000,000	29,977,200	29,995,923	29,949,300
Federal Agencies	3130AUTC8	Federal Home Loan Bank	2/9/2023	2/6/2026		4.01	21,100,000	20,985,427	21,076,939	21,076,368
Federal Agencies	3133EPJX4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/17/2023	2/17/2026		3.63	25,000,000	24,928,500	24,983,598	24,909,000
Federal Agencies	3133EPJX4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/17/2023	2/17/2026		3.63	30,000,000	29,905,500	29,978,322	29,890,800
Federal Agencies	3133EPBJ3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	2/23/2023	2/23/2026		4.38	25,000,000	24,953,500	24,989,945	25,026,500



# Investment Inventory

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Original Cost	Amortized	
				Date					Book Value	Market Value
Federal Agencies	3133EPBJ3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	2/23/2023	2/23/2026		4.38	28,000,000	27,954,080	27,990,070	28,029,680
Federal Agencies	3133EPBJ3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	2/23/2023	2/23/2026		4.38	50,000,000	49,918,000	49,982,268	50,053,000
Federal Agencies	3133ENJ35	Federal Farm Credit Bank	8/25/2022	2/25/2026		3.32	35,000,000	34,957,650	34,992,092	34,800,850
Federal Agencies	3130AXB31	Federal Home Loan Bank	11/2/2023	3/13/2026		4.88	10,000,000	9,953,900	9,986,363	10,047,400
Federal Agencies	3130AXB31	Federal Home Loan Bank	11/2/2023	3/13/2026		4.88	10,000,000	9,950,700	9,985,416	10,047,400
Federal Agencies	3130AXB31	Federal Home Loan Bank	11/2/2023	3/13/2026		4.88	10,000,000	9,950,700	9,985,416	10,047,400
Federal Agencies	3130AXB31	Federal Home Loan Bank	4/5/2024	3/13/2026		4.88	25,000,000	25,053,750	25,019,386	25,118,500
Federal Agencies	3130AXB31	Federal Home Loan Bank	4/2/2024	3/13/2026		4.88	36,730,000	36,803,460	36,756,384	36,904,100
Federal Agencies	3133EP5K7	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/2/2024	3/13/2026		4.50	50,000,000	49,758,000	49,913,085	50,124,500
Federal Agencies	3133EMZ21	Federal Farm Credit Bank	8/9/2021	4/6/2026		0.69	15,500,000	15,458,150	15,493,136	15,093,590
Federal Agencies	3133ENUD0	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/8/2022	4/8/2026		2.64	20,000,000	19,961,200	19,992,537	19,764,000
Federal Agencies	3133ENUD0	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/8/2022	4/8/2026		2.64	30,000,000	29,941,800	29,988,806	29,646,000
Federal Agencies	3130AVWS7	Federal Home Loan Bank	5/10/2023	6/12/2026		3.75	17,045,000	16,991,479	17,028,598	17,024,716
Federal Agencies	3130AVWS7	Federal Home Loan Bank	5/17/2023	6/12/2026		3.75	20,000,000	19,939,200	19,981,251	19,976,200
Federal Agencies	3130AWAH3	Federal Home Loan Bank	6/1/2023	6/12/2026		4.00	10,000,000	9,934,300	9,979,465	10,006,200
Federal Agencies	3130AWAH3	Federal Home Loan Bank	6/1/2023	6/12/2026		4.00	15,000,000	14,899,350	14,968,541	15,009,300
Federal Agencies	3130AWLZ1	Federal Home Loan Bank	7/10/2023	6/12/2026		4.75	50,000,000	49,856,000	49,953,348	50,291,500
Federal Agencies	3130B1BT3	Federal Home Loan Bank	6/18/2024	6/12/2026		4.88	13,485,000	13,505,093	13,494,602	13,604,882
Federal Agencies	3133ERHD6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/18/2024	6/12/2026		4.88	20,000,000	20,030,400	20,014,528	20,156,000
Federal Agencies	3133ERHD6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/18/2024	6/12/2026		4.88	32,000,000	32,051,200	32,024,469	32,249,600
Federal Agencies	3133EPMU6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/15/2023	6/15/2026		4.25	20,000,000	19,969,200	19,990,192	20,040,200
Federal Agencies	3133EPMU6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/15/2023	6/15/2026		4.25	24,700,000	24,640,226	24,680,966	24,749,647
Federal Agencies	3133EPMU6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/15/2023	6/15/2026		4.25	30,000,000	29,951,400	29,984,524	30,060,300
Federal Agencies	3133EPNG6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/23/2023	6/23/2026		4.38	25,000,000	24,986,750	24,995,684	25,105,500
Federal Agencies	3133EPNG6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/23/2023	6/23/2026		4.38	25,000,000	24,986,750	24,995,684	25,105,500
Federal Agencies	3133EPNG6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/23/2023	6/23/2026		4.38	50,000,000	49,973,500	49,991,368	50,211,000
Federal Agencies	3133EPVP7	Federal Farm Credit Bank	9/8/2023	7/8/2026		4.75	10,000,000	9,991,700	9,997,014	10,073,800
Federal Agencies	3133EPVP7	Federal Farm Credit Bank	9/8/2023	7/8/2026		4.75	19,000,000	18,984,800	18,994,532	19,140,220
Federal Agencies	3133EPVP7	Federal Farm Credit Bank	9/8/2023	7/8/2026		4.75	21,000,000	20,982,780	20,993,805	21,154,980
Federal Agencies	3130ANNM8	Federal Home Loan Bank	8/19/2021	7/13/2026		1.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,234,250
Federal Agencies	3130ANNM8	Federal Home Loan Bank	8/19/2021	7/13/2026		1.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,234,250
Federal Agencies	3130ANNM8	Federal Home Loan Bank	8/19/2021	7/13/2026		1.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,234,250
Federal Agencies	3130ANNM8	Federal Home Loan Bank	8/19/2021	7/13/2026		1.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,234,250
Federal Agencies	3130ANMP2	Federal Home Loan Bank	8/20/2021	7/27/2026		1.07	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,214,000
Federal Agencies	3130ANMP2	Federal Home Loan Bank	8/20/2021	7/27/2026		1.07	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,214,000
Federal Agencies	3130ANMP2	Federal Home Loan Bank	8/20/2021	7/27/2026		1.07	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,214,000
Federal Agencies	3130ANMP2	Federal Home Loan Bank	8/20/2021	7/27/2026		1.07	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,214,000
Federal Agencies	3133EPZY4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	10/30/2023	7/30/2026		5.00	3,000,000	2,991,930	2,996,833	3,025,110
Federal Agencies	3133EPZY4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	10/30/2023	7/30/2026		5.00	9,615,000	9,589,136	9,604,850	9,695,478
Federal Agencies	3133EPZY4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	10/30/2023	7/30/2026		5.00	16,000,000	15,956,960	15,983,110	16,133,920
Federal Agencies	3133EPZY4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	10/30/2023	7/30/2026		5.00	25,000,000	24,936,750	24,975,179	25,209,250
Federal Agencies	3130ANTG5	Federal Home Loan Bank	9/13/2021	8/10/2026		1.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,183,250
Federal Agencies	3130ANTG5	Federal Home Loan Bank	9/13/2021	8/10/2026		1.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,183,250
Federal Agencies	3130ANTG5	Federal Home Loan Bank	9/13/2021	8/10/2026		1.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,183,250
Federal Agencies	3130ANTG5	Federal Home Loan Bank	9/13/2021	8/10/2026		1.05	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,183,250
Federal Agencies	3133EPSW6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	8/14/2023	8/14/2026		4.50	50,000,000	49,885,000	49,957,085	50,268,000
Federal Agencies	3130AP6T7	Federal Home Loan Bank	10/1/2021	9/3/2026		1.08	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,147,750
Federal Agencies	3130AP6T7	Federal Home Loan Bank	10/1/2021	9/3/2026		1.08	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,147,750
Federal Agencies	3130AP6T7	Federal Home Loan Bank	10/1/2021	9/3/2026		1.08	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,147,750
Federal Agencies	3130AP6T7	Federal Home Loan Bank	10/1/2021	9/3/2026		1.08	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,147,750
Federal Agencies	3130B2PJ8	Federal Home Loan Bank	9/13/2024	9/4/2026		3.63	19,000,000	18,974,730	18,984,929	18,919,440
Federal Agencies	3130B2PJ8	Federal Home Loan Bank	9/13/2024	9/4/2026		3.63	25,000,000	24,966,750	24,980,170	24,894,000

# Investment Inventory

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Original Cost	Amortized	
				Date					Book Value	Market Value
Federal Agencies	3130B2PJ8	Federal Home Loan Bank	9/13/2024	9/4/2026		3.63	25,900,000	25,865,553	25,879,456	25,790,184
Federal Agencies	3130B2PJ8	Federal Home Loan Bank	9/13/2024	9/4/2026		3.63	50,000,000	49,933,500	49,960,340	49,788,000
Federal Agencies	3133EM4X7	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/12/2023	9/10/2026		0.80	28,975,000	26,174,277	27,757,537	27,906,112
Federal Agencies	3130AXCP1	Federal Home Loan Bank	10/18/2023	9/11/2026		4.88	11,895,000	11,821,965	11,864,862	12,016,686
Federal Agencies	3130B3A29	Federal Home Loan Bank	10/11/2024	10/9/2026		4.00	15,000,000	14,996,850	14,997,988	15,006,600
Federal Agencies	3130B3A29	Federal Home Loan Bank	10/11/2024	10/9/2026		4.00	25,000,000	24,994,750	24,996,647	25,011,000
Federal Agencies	3130B3A29	Federal Home Loan Bank	10/11/2024	10/9/2026		4.00	25,000,000	24,994,750	24,996,647	25,011,000
Federal Agencies	3130B3A29	Federal Home Loan Bank	10/11/2024	10/9/2026		4.00	50,000,000	49,989,500	49,993,293	50,022,000
Federal Agencies	3130APPR0	Federal Home Loan Bank	11/18/2021	10/19/2026		1.43	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,180,250
Federal Agencies	3130APPR0	Federal Home Loan Bank	11/18/2021	10/19/2026		1.43	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,180,250
Federal Agencies	3130APPR0	Federal Home Loan Bank	11/18/2021	10/19/2026		1.43	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,180,250
Federal Agencies	3130APPR0	Federal Home Loan Bank	11/18/2021	10/19/2026		1.43	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,180,250
Federal Agencies	3133EPZA6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	10/20/2023	10/20/2026		4.88	14,000,000	13,904,940	13,958,715	14,158,620
Federal Agencies	3133EPZA6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	10/20/2023	10/20/2026		4.88	30,000,000	29,834,100	29,927,949	30,339,900
Federal Agencies	3133ETJS7	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/29/2025	11/10/2026		4.00	12,600,000	12,581,352	12,582,513	12,617,262
Federal Agencies	3130AQ7L1	Federal Home Loan Bank	12/16/2021	11/16/2026		1.61	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,195,250
Federal Agencies	3130AQ7L1	Federal Home Loan Bank	12/16/2021	11/16/2026		1.61	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,195,250
Federal Agencies	3130AQ7L1	Federal Home Loan Bank	12/16/2021	11/16/2026		1.61	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,195,250
Federal Agencies	3130AQ7L1	Federal Home Loan Bank	12/16/2021	11/16/2026		1.61	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,195,250
Federal Agencies	3130AXU63	Federal Home Loan Bank	11/17/2023	11/17/2026		4.63	50,000,000	49,911,500	49,959,303	50,426,000
Federal Agencies	3130AQJ95	Federal Home Loan Bank	1/14/2022	12/14/2026		1.65	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,172,750
Federal Agencies	3130AQJ95	Federal Home Loan Bank	1/14/2022	12/14/2026		1.65	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,172,750
Federal Agencies	3130AQJ95	Federal Home Loan Bank	1/14/2022	12/14/2026		1.65	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,172,750
Federal Agencies	3133ERWR8	Federal Farm Credit Bank	10/8/2024	1/7/2027		3.50	12,500,000	12,500,000	12,414,654	12,417,375
Federal Agencies	3130AYPN0	Federal Home Loan Bank	1/29/2024	1/15/2027		4.13	12,000,000	11,973,000	11,985,951	12,056,040
Federal Agencies	3130AYPN0	Federal Home Loan Bank	1/29/2024	1/15/2027		4.13	25,000,000	24,943,750	24,970,731	25,116,750
Federal Agencies	3130AYPN0	Federal Home Loan Bank	1/29/2024	1/15/2027		4.13	29,350,000	29,283,963	29,315,639	29,487,065
Federal Agencies	3130AYPN0	Federal Home Loan Bank	1/29/2024	1/15/2027		4.13	50,000,000	49,887,500	49,941,463	50,233,500
Federal Agencies	3133EPX91	Federal Farm Credit Bank	1/25/2024	1/25/2027		4.13	5,000,000	4,992,850	4,996,262	5,014,750
Federal Agencies	3133EPX91	Federal Farm Credit Bank	1/25/2024	1/25/2027		4.13	10,000,000	9,986,600	9,992,994	10,029,500
Federal Agencies	3133EPX91	Federal Farm Credit Bank	1/25/2024	1/25/2027		4.13	25,000,000	24,968,500	24,983,531	25,073,750
Federal Agencies	3133EPX91	Federal Farm Credit Bank	1/25/2024	1/25/2027		4.13	35,000,000	34,955,900	34,976,944	35,103,250
Federal Agencies	3133EPX91	Federal Farm Credit Bank	1/25/2024	1/25/2027		4.13	50,000,000	49,933,000	49,964,972	50,147,500
Federal Agencies	3133ER4A6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	2/18/2025	2/18/2027		4.25	2,000,000	1,997,860	1,998,250	2,010,160
Federal Agencies	3133ER4A6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	2/18/2025	2/18/2027		4.25	25,000,000	24,974,250	24,978,941	25,127,000
Federal Agencies	3133ER4A6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	2/18/2025	2/18/2027		4.25	30,000,000	29,967,600	29,973,503	30,152,400
Federal Agencies	3133ERD24	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/18/2024	2/18/2027		4.25	30,000,000	29,983,500	29,988,016	30,152,400
Federal Agencies	3133ETJF5	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/23/2025	2/23/2027		4.00	10,000,000	9,993,800	9,994,177	10,022,400
Federal Agencies	3133ETJF5	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/23/2025	2/23/2027		4.00	45,000,000	44,972,100	44,973,798	45,100,800
Federal Agencies	3130ARB59	Federal Home Loan Bank	3/22/2022	3/8/2027		2.35	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,346,500
Federal Agencies	3130ARB59	Federal Home Loan Bank	3/22/2022	3/8/2027		2.35	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,346,500
Federal Agencies	3130ARB59	Federal Home Loan Bank	3/22/2022	3/8/2027		2.35	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,346,500
Federal Agencies	3130ARB59	Federal Home Loan Bank	3/22/2022	3/8/2027		2.35	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,346,500
Federal Agencies	3133ENRD4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	3/16/2022	3/10/2027		1.68	48,573,000	47,432,020	48,186,195	46,780,656
Federal Agencies	3133EP6K6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/2/2024	3/26/2027		4.50	50,000,000	49,910,000	49,947,638	50,559,000
Federal Agencies	3133ENTS9	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/6/2022	4/5/2027		2.60	22,500,000	22,392,338	22,462,067	21,988,575
Federal Agencies	3133ENTS9	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/6/2022	4/5/2027		2.60	24,500,000	24,377,010	24,456,667	23,943,115
Federal Agencies	3133ENTS9	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/6/2022	4/5/2027		2.60	25,000,000	24,804,000	24,930,944	24,431,750
Federal Agencies	3130B0TY5	Federal Home Loan Bank	4/11/2024	4/9/2027		4.75	17,000,000	16,955,120	16,973,433	17,259,420
Federal Agencies	3130B0TY5	Federal Home Loan Bank	4/11/2024	4/9/2027		4.75	20,000,000	19,947,200	19,968,745	20,305,200
Federal Agencies	3130B0TY5	Federal Home Loan Bank	4/11/2024	4/9/2027		4.75	40,000,000	39,894,400	39,937,490	40,610,400

# Investment Inventory

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Original Cost	Amortized	
				Date					Book Value	Market Value
Federal Agencies	3130B0TY5	Federal Home Loan Bank	4/11/2024	4/9/2027		4.75	48,000,000	47,873,280	47,924,988	48,732,480
Federal Agencies	3133ERDS7	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/13/2024	5/6/2027		4.75	12,727,000	12,740,236	12,735,200	12,938,395
Federal Agencies	3133EN2L3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/17/2022	5/17/2027		4.13	4,650,000	4,646,792	4,648,661	4,670,135
Federal Agencies	3133EN2L3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/17/2022	5/17/2027		4.13	5,000,000	4,996,550	4,998,561	5,021,650
Federal Agencies	3133EN2L3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/17/2022	5/17/2027		4.13	21,000,000	20,987,001	20,994,577	21,090,930
Federal Agencies	3133EN2L3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/17/2022	5/17/2027		4.13	25,000,000	24,982,750	24,992,804	25,108,250
Federal Agencies	3133EPP66	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/20/2023	5/20/2027		4.00	31,000,000	30,905,760	30,948,006	31,066,030
Federal Agencies	3133EPP66	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/20/2023	5/20/2027		4.00	58,850,000	58,662,269	58,746,424	58,975,351
Federal Agencies	3130B6R24	Federal Home Loan Bank	6/13/2025	6/4/2027		3.88	10,000,000	9,986,700	9,987,032	10,015,900
Federal Agencies	3130B6R24	Federal Home Loan Bank	6/13/2025	6/4/2027		3.88	13,000,000	12,982,710	12,983,142	13,020,670
Federal Agencies	3130B6R24	Federal Home Loan Bank	6/13/2025	6/4/2027		3.88	16,500,000	16,478,055	16,478,603	16,526,235
Federal Agencies	3130B6R24	Federal Home Loan Bank	6/13/2025	6/4/2027		3.88	20,000,000	19,973,400	19,974,064	20,031,800
Federal Agencies	3130B6R24	Federal Home Loan Bank	6/13/2025	6/4/2027		3.88	36,000,000	35,952,120	35,953,315	36,057,240
Federal Agencies	3130ASGU7	Federal Home Loan Bank	7/19/2022	6/11/2027		3.50	10,000,000	10,141,500	10,056,188	9,926,200
Federal Agencies	3130ASGU7	Federal Home Loan Bank	7/19/2022	6/11/2027		3.50	12,375,000	12,552,829	12,445,614	12,283,673
Federal Agencies	3130ASGU7	Federal Home Loan Bank	7/20/2022	6/11/2027		3.50	21,725,000	22,016,550	21,840,837	21,564,670
Federal Agencies	3130AX4E5	Federal Home Loan Bank	5/13/2024	6/11/2027		4.50	11,000,000	10,937,190	10,960,325	11,139,722
Federal Agencies	3130B1EF0	Federal Home Loan Bank	7/10/2024	6/11/2027		4.63	20,700,000	20,795,634	20,763,696	21,011,038
Federal Agencies	3133EPMV4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/15/2023	6/15/2027		4.13	28,940,000	28,911,928	28,926,281	29,078,623
Federal Agencies	3133ENZK9	Federal Farm Credit Bank	7/7/2022	6/28/2027		3.24	27,865,000	28,099,066	27,958,652	27,512,786
Federal Agencies	3133ERJZ5	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/28/2024	6/28/2027		4.50	30,000,000	29,985,840	29,990,599	30,361,500
Federal Agencies	3133ERV9R	Federal Farm Credit Bank	9/30/2024	7/1/2027		3.50	55,000,000	54,925,200	54,945,614	54,593,550
Federal Agencies	3133ERKM2	Federal Farm Credit Bank	7/9/2024	7/8/2027		4.50	25,000,000	25,033,250	25,022,400	25,305,750
Federal Agencies	3133ERKM2	Federal Farm Credit Bank	7/10/2024	7/8/2027		4.50	25,000,000	25,025,500	25,017,194	25,305,750
Federal Agencies	3133ERMB4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	7/23/2024	7/23/2027		4.25	10,000,000	9,996,500	9,997,596	10,077,100
Federal Agencies	3133ERMB4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	7/23/2024	7/23/2027		4.25	15,000,000	14,994,750	14,996,395	15,115,650
Federal Agencies	3133EPBM6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	2/23/2023	8/23/2027		4.13	10,000,000	9,974,000	9,987,602	10,054,600
Federal Agencies	3133ERXJ5	Federal Farm Credit Bank	10/15/2024	10/15/2027		3.88	5,000,000	4,997,250	4,997,900	5,000,400
Federal Agencies	3133ERXJ5	Federal Farm Credit Bank	10/15/2024	10/15/2027		3.88	8,000,000	7,996,160	7,997,068	8,000,640
Federal Agencies	3133ER2Z3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	2/4/2025	11/3/2027		4.25	5,000,000	4,999,350	4,999,445	5,044,100
Federal Agencies	3133ER2Z3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	2/4/2025	11/3/2027		4.25	41,880,000	41,877,738	41,878,070	42,249,382
Federal Agencies	3133EPC60	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/15/2023	11/15/2027		4.63	27,950,000	27,834,008	27,881,167	28,431,858
Federal Agencies	3133EPC60	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/15/2023	11/15/2027		4.63	33,300,000	33,161,472	33,217,793	33,874,092
Federal Agencies	3133ERJ51	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/17/2024	12/10/2027		4.13	21,000,000	20,936,790	20,948,177	21,135,870
Federal Agencies	3133ERJ51	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/17/2024	12/10/2027		4.13	25,505,000	25,428,485	25,442,269	25,670,017
Federal Agencies	3133ERJ51	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/17/2024	12/10/2027		4.13	33,000,000	32,893,080	32,912,341	33,213,510
Federal Agencies	3133ERJ51	Federal Farm Credit Bank	12/17/2024	12/10/2027		4.13	38,343,000	38,227,588	38,248,379	38,591,079
Federal Agencies	3133ERT84	Federal Farm Credit Bank	1/14/2025	1/14/2028		4.25	29,750,000	29,575,963	29,602,664	30,043,335
Federal Agencies	3133ERZ46	Federal Farm Credit Bank	1/31/2025	1/28/2028		4.25	47,000,000	46,976,030	46,979,345	47,541,910
Federal Agencies	3133ERZ46	Federal Farm Credit Bank	1/31/2025	1/28/2028		4.25	50,000,000	49,974,500	49,978,026	50,576,500
Federal Agencies	3136GAAR0	Fannie Mae	2/14/2025	2/7/2028		4.69	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,989,250
Federal Agencies	3136GAAR0	Fannie Mae	2/14/2025	2/7/2028		4.69	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,989,250
Federal Agencies	3136GAAR0	Fannie Mae	2/14/2025	2/7/2028		4.69	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,989,250
Federal Agencies	3136GAAR0	Fannie Mae	2/14/2025	2/7/2028		4.69	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,978,500
Federal Agencies	3133EP5S0	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/9/2024	3/20/2028		4.25	4,971,000	4,916,667	4,933,559	5,024,339
Federal Agencies	3133ETJZ1	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/11/2025	6/5/2028		3.88	7,370,000	7,342,584	7,343,087	7,396,532
Federal Agencies	3133ETJZ1	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/5/2025	6/5/2028		3.88	25,000,000	24,957,250	24,958,264	25,090,000
Federal Agencies	3133ERGL9	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/26/2024	6/7/2028		4.50	14,934,000	14,962,076	14,954,872	15,202,513
Federal Agencies	3133ERGL9	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/7/2024	6/7/2028		4.50	15,000,000	14,994,600	14,996,038	15,269,700
Federal Agencies	3133ERGL9	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/26/2024	6/7/2028		4.50	20,000,000	20,037,600	20,027,952	20,359,600
Federal Agencies	3130AWC24	Federal Home Loan Bank	5/14/2025	6/9/2028		4.00	10,000,000	9,996,600	9,996,745	10,064,720

# Investment Inventory

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Original Cost	Amortized	
				Date					Book Value	Market Value
Federal Agencies	3130B6MU7	Federal Home Loan Bank	6/10/2025	6/15/2028		4.59	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,998,750
Federal Agencies	3130B6MU7	Federal Home Loan Bank	6/10/2025	6/15/2028		4.59	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,998,750
Federal Agencies	3130B6MU7	Federal Home Loan Bank	6/10/2025	6/15/2028		4.59	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,998,750
Federal Agencies	3130B6MU7	Federal Home Loan Bank	6/10/2025	6/15/2028		4.59	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,997,500
Federal Agencies	3133EPSK2	Federal Farm Credit Bank	8/7/2023	8/7/2028		4.25	19,500,000	19,412,250	19,445,583	19,736,535
Federal Agencies	3133EPUN3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	8/28/2023	8/28/2028		4.50	10,000,000	9,979,100	9,986,799	10,191,500
Federal Agencies	3133EPUN3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	8/28/2023	8/28/2028		4.50	15,000,000	14,962,800	14,976,503	15,287,250
Federal Agencies	3133EPUN3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	8/28/2023	8/28/2028		4.50	25,000,000	24,943,500	24,964,313	25,478,750
Federal Agencies	3133EPUN3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	8/28/2023	8/28/2028		4.50	33,000,000	32,904,960	32,939,969	33,631,950
Federal Agencies	3133ERHN4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/20/2024	10/20/2028		4.25	5,000,000	4,972,100	4,978,727	5,063,200
Federal Agencies	3133ERHN4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/20/2024	10/20/2028		4.25	38,000,000	37,785,300	37,836,296	38,480,320
Federal Agencies	3133EPC45	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/13/2023	11/13/2028		4.63	12,000,000	11,984,040	11,989,246	12,287,040
Federal Agencies	3133EPC45	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/13/2023	11/13/2028		4.63	20,000,000	19,971,600	19,980,865	20,478,400
Federal Agencies	3133EPC45	Federal Farm Credit Bank	11/13/2023	11/13/2028		4.63	55,000,000	54,922,285	54,947,637	56,315,600
Federal Agencies	3130B3GD9	Federal Home Loan Bank	10/28/2024	11/27/2028		4.00	47,025,000	46,940,355	46,954,321	47,401,200
Federal Agencies	3130AVBD3	Federal Home Loan Bank	4/9/2024	3/9/2029		4.50	25,000,000	25,018,750	25,014,070	25,593,750
Federal Agencies	3133EP5U5	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/8/2024	3/20/2029		4.13	51,660,000	51,008,309	51,170,240	52,237,042
Federal Agencies	3133ERDH1	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/8/2024	4/30/2029		4.75	27,892,000	28,191,755	28,122,670	28,713,140
Federal Agencies	3133ERDH1	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/8/2024	4/30/2029		4.75	30,000,000	30,317,400	30,244,248	30,883,200
Federal Agencies	3133ERDH1	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/8/2024	4/30/2029		4.75	63,085,000	63,763,795	63,607,351	64,942,222
Federal Agencies	3133ERGS4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/26/2024	6/11/2029		4.25	10,000,000	9,967,600	9,974,220	10,155,050
Federal Agencies	3133ERGS4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/26/2024	6/11/2029		4.25	10,000,000	9,967,600	9,974,220	10,155,050
Federal Agencies	3133ERGS4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/26/2024	6/11/2029		4.25	20,000,000	19,935,200	19,948,439	20,310,100
Federal Agencies	3133ERGS4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/26/2024	6/11/2029		4.25	29,000,000	28,923,730	28,939,312	29,449,645
Federal Agencies	3130B2XR1	Federal Home Loan Bank	10/2/2024	7/2/2029		4.01	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,674,250
Federal Agencies	3130B2XR1	Federal Home Loan Bank	10/2/2024	7/2/2029		4.01	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,674,250
Federal Agencies	3130B2XR1	Federal Home Loan Bank	10/2/2024	7/2/2029		4.01	65,000,000	65,000,000	65,000,000	64,153,050
Federal Agencies	3134H16K4	Freddie Mac	7/16/2024	7/9/2029		5.38	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,006,250
Federal Agencies	3134H16K4	Freddie Mac	7/16/2024	7/9/2029		5.38	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,006,250
Federal Agencies	3134H16K4	Freddie Mac	7/16/2024	7/9/2029		5.38	65,000,000	65,000,000	65,000,000	65,016,250
Federal Agencies	3133ERKX8	Federal Farm Credit Bank	7/12/2024	7/12/2029		4.25	20,000,000	19,989,200	19,991,294	20,235,400
Federal Agencies	3130B2KJ3	Federal Home Loan Bank	9/4/2024	9/4/2029		4.63	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,866,500
Federal Agencies	3130B2KJ3	Federal Home Loan Bank	9/4/2024	9/4/2029		4.63	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,866,500
Federal Agencies	3130B2KJ3	Federal Home Loan Bank	9/4/2024	9/4/2029		4.63	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,733,000
Federal Agencies	3130ATHX8	Federal Home Loan Bank	9/27/2024	9/14/2029		4.13	15,000,000	15,392,700	15,332,701	15,168,180
Federal Agencies	3130ATHX8	Federal Home Loan Bank	10/29/2024	9/14/2029		4.13	15,000,000	15,048,300	15,041,656	15,168,180
Federal Agencies	3130ATHX8	Federal Home Loan Bank	10/29/2024	9/14/2029		4.13	15,000,000	15,043,200	15,037,257	15,168,180
Federal Agencies	3130ATHX8	Federal Home Loan Bank	10/29/2024	9/14/2029		4.13	25,590,000	25,663,699	25,653,561	25,876,915
Federal Agencies	3130B2TG0	Federal Home Loan Bank	9/24/2024	9/24/2029		4.38	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,780,500
Federal Agencies	3130B2TG0	Federal Home Loan Bank	9/24/2024	9/24/2029		4.38	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	24,780,500
Federal Agencies	3130B2TG0	Federal Home Loan Bank	9/24/2024	9/24/2029		4.38	65,000,000	65,000,000	65,000,000	64,429,300
Federal Agencies	3133ETKN6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/9/2025	10/9/2029		4.00	10,000,000	9,987,500	9,987,674	10,072,300
Federal Agencies	3133ETKN6	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/9/2025	10/9/2029		4.00	15,000,000	14,981,250	14,981,511	15,108,450
Federal Agencies	3136GAFL8	Fannie Mae	4/15/2025	10/15/2029		4.71	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,011,500
Federal Agencies	3136GAFL8	Fannie Mae	4/15/2025	10/15/2029		4.71	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,011,500
Federal Agencies	3136GAFL8	Fannie Mae	4/15/2025	10/15/2029		4.71	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,011,500
Federal Agencies	3136GAFL8	Fannie Mae	4/15/2025	10/15/2029		4.71	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,000,000	25,011,500
Federal Agencies	3136GAFL8	Fannie Mae	4/15/2025	10/15/2029		4.71	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,023,000
Federal Agencies	3133ETJV0	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/30/2025	11/30/2029		4.00	15,000,000	14,945,400	14,946,462	15,093,750
Federal Agencies	3133ETJV0	Federal Farm Credit Bank	5/30/2025	11/30/2029		4.00	23,000,000	22,922,030	22,923,547	23,143,750
Federal Agencies	3136GA3N7	Fannie Mae	12/27/2024	12/27/2029		5.05	20,000,000	20,000,000	20,000,000	20,000,600



# Investment Inventory

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Original Cost	Amortized	
				Date	Date				Book Value	Market Value
Federal Agencies	3136GA3N7	Fannie Mae	12/27/2024	12/27/2029		5.05	20,000,000	20,000,000	20,000,000	20,000,600
Federal Agencies	3136GA3N7	Fannie Mae	12/27/2024	12/27/2029		5.05	20,000,000	20,000,000	20,000,000	20,000,600
Federal Agencies	3136GA3N7	Fannie Mae	12/27/2024	12/27/2029		5.05	62,000,000	62,000,000	62,000,000	62,001,860
Federal Agencies	3133ER4H1	Federal Farm Credit Bank	3/25/2025	1/18/2030		4.50	12,815,000	13,022,219	13,010,680	13,115,896
Federal Agencies	3133ER4H1	Federal Farm Credit Bank	3/25/2025	1/18/2030		4.50	18,000,000	18,289,620	18,273,493	18,422,640
Federal Agencies	3133ETME4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/23/2025	1/23/2030		4.00	5,000,000	4,989,550	4,989,600	5,029,100
Federal Agencies	3133ETME4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/23/2025	1/23/2030		4.00	14,450,000	14,418,788	14,418,937	14,534,099
Federal Agencies	3133ETME4	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/23/2025	1/23/2030		4.00	25,000,000	24,946,000	24,946,258	25,145,500
Federal Agencies	3133ER7L9	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/10/2025	3/18/2030		4.00	8,695,000	8,671,610	8,672,674	8,719,694
Federal Agencies	3133ETBF3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/9/2025	4/1/2030		4.00	15,000,000	14,993,700	14,993,988	15,085,950
Federal Agencies	3133ETBF3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/9/2025	4/1/2030		4.00	32,260,000	32,243,870	32,244,606	32,444,850
Federal Agencies	3133ETBF3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/10/2025	4/1/2030		4.00	43,020,000	42,904,276	42,909,499	43,266,505
Federal Agencies	3133ETBF3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/9/2025	4/1/2030		4.00	46,750,000	46,732,703	46,733,492	47,017,878
Federal Agencies	3133ETBF3	Federal Farm Credit Bank	4/15/2025	4/1/2030		4.00	50,000,000	49,527,500	49,547,579	50,286,500
Federal Agencies	3133ETKQ9	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/10/2025	6/10/2030		4.00	10,000,000	9,974,000	9,974,299	10,062,700
Federal Agencies	3133ETKQ9	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/10/2025	6/10/2030		4.00	20,000,000	19,945,000	19,945,633	20,125,400
Federal Agencies	3133ETKQ9	Federal Farm Credit Bank	6/23/2025	6/10/2030		4.00	25,000,000	24,965,000	24,965,154	25,156,750
Federal Agencies	3130AWGS3	Federal Home Loan Bank	6/23/2025	6/14/2030		4.13	16,000,000	16,063,200	16,062,922	16,158,720
<b>Subtotals</b>						<b>3.77</b>	<b>\$ 6,602,845,000</b>	<b>\$ 6,592,581,705</b>	<b>\$ 6,598,650,024</b>	<b>\$ 6,594,042,633</b>
Public Time Deposits	PPGR8L735	Bank of San Francisco	4/7/2025	7/7/2025		4.33	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000	\$ 10,000,000
Public Time Deposits	PPGR18NT6	Bridge Bank NA	1/13/2025	7/14/2025		4.30	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
Public Time Deposits	PPGQE6C86	Five Star Bank	5/21/2025	11/17/2025		4.29	20,000,000	20,000,000	20,000,000	20,000,000
Public Time Deposits	PPGUALS16	Bank of San Francisco	6/11/2025	12/8/2025		4.31	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
Public Time Deposits	PPGQEWEX2	Bridge Bank NA	6/16/2025	12/15/2025		4.34	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000	10,000,000
<b>Subtotals</b>						<b>4.31</b>	<b>\$ 60,000,000</b>	<b>\$ 60,000,000</b>	<b>\$ 60,000,000</b>	<b>\$ 60,000,000</b>
Negotiable CDs	78015JVF1	Royal Bank of Canada/NY	8/15/2024	7/1/2025		4.61	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 50,000,500
Negotiable CDs	89115DQB5	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	2/10/2025	7/1/2025		4.45	35,000,000	35,000,000	35,000,000	35,000,008
Negotiable CDs	06367DN84	Bank of Montreal/CHI	12/3/2024	7/2/2025		4.58	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,001,000
Negotiable CDs	13606DCT7	Canadian Imperial Bank/NY	12/3/2024	7/2/2025		4.58	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,002,000
Negotiable CDs	89115DQF6	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	2/10/2025	7/3/2025		4.45	20,000,000	20,000,000	20,000,000	20,000,200
Negotiable CDs	78015JAG2	Royal Bank of Canada/NY	12/12/2024	7/14/2025		4.43	72,000,000	72,000,000	72,000,000	71,999,280
Negotiable CDs	78015JTB3	Royal Bank of Canada/NY	7/16/2024	7/14/2025		5.09	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,012,500
Negotiable CDs	13606DDU3	Canadian Imperial Bank/NY	12/13/2024	7/23/2025		4.50	75,000,000	75,000,000	75,000,000	75,001,500
Negotiable CDs	78015J2U0	Royal Bank of Canada/NY	11/14/2024	7/28/2025		4.53	115,000,000	115,000,000	115,000,000	115,003,450
Negotiable CDs	06367DNQ4	Bank of Montreal/CHI	1/7/2025	7/30/2025		4.45	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,999,500
Negotiable CDs	06367DMU6	Bank of Montreal/CHI	11/15/2024	8/11/2025		4.59	65,000,000	65,000,000	65,000,000	65,007,150
Negotiable CDs	78015JJC47	Royal Bank of Canada/NY	1/6/2025	8/11/2025		4.41	66,000,000	66,000,000	66,000,000	65,995,380
Negotiable CDs	89115DWB8	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	3/21/2025	9/5/2025		4.36	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,991,500
Negotiable CDs	78015J2Y2	Royal Bank of Canada/NY	11/15/2024	9/8/2025		4.55	65,000,000	65,000,000	65,000,000	65,005,850
Negotiable CDs	89115DWF9	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	3/21/2025	9/10/2025		4.36	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,991,000
Negotiable CDs	06367DN92	Bank of Montreal/CHI	12/4/2024	9/22/2025		4.53	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,998,000
Negotiable CDs	13606DCV2	Canadian Imperial Bank/NY	12/4/2024	9/22/2025		4.53	75,000,000	75,000,000	75,000,000	74,999,250
Negotiable CDs	13606DFF4	Canadian Imperial Bank/NY	2/5/2025	9/24/2025		4.42	110,000,000	110,000,000	110,000,000	109,976,900
Negotiable CDs	06367DMX0	Bank of Montreal/CHI	11/19/2024	10/24/2025		4.57	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,998,000
Negotiable CDs	06367DPW9	Bank of Montreal/CHI	3/21/2025	10/24/2025		4.35	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,973,500
Negotiable CDs	13606DCE0	Canadian Imperial Bank/NY	11/20/2024	10/24/2025		4.55	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,996,500
Negotiable CDs	89115DPK6	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	2/7/2025	10/24/2025		4.49	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000	99,995,000
Negotiable CDs	89115DWK8	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	3/21/2025	11/5/2025		4.35	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,977,500
Negotiable CDs	78015J3U9	Royal Bank of Canada/NY	11/20/2024	11/19/2025		4.56	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,021,000
Negotiable CDs	78015J3L9	Royal Bank of Canada/NY	11/20/2024	11/20/2025		4.51	60,000,000	60,000,000	60,000,000	60,013,800

# Investment Inventory

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity		Coupon	Par Value	Original Cost	Amortized	
				Date					Book Value	Market Value
Negotiable CDs	96130AZR2	Westpac Banking Corp/NY	4/14/2025	12/3/2025		4.35	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000	99,963,000
Negotiable CDs	13606DHE5	Canadian Imperial Bank/NY	3/31/2025	12/15/2025		4.34	75,000,000	75,000,000	75,000,000	74,983,500
Negotiable CDs	89115DXB7	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	3/31/2025	12/15/2025		4.34	60,000,000	60,000,000	60,000,000	59,979,000
Negotiable CDs	89115DXF8	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	3/31/2025	12/29/2025		4.34	65,000,000	65,000,000	65,000,000	64,983,100
Negotiable CDs	06367DQK4	Bank of Montreal/CHI	5/7/2025	1/12/2026		4.32	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,982,500
Negotiable CDs	89115DQT6	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	2/13/2025	1/12/2026		4.54	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,072,000
Negotiable CDs	13606DKH4	Canadian Imperial Bank/NY	5/6/2025	1/20/2026		4.33	60,000,000	60,000,000	60,000,000	60,001,200
Negotiable CDs	13606DGY2	Canadian Imperial Bank/NY	3/25/2025	1/22/2026		4.36	60,000,000	60,000,000	60,000,000	59,996,400
Negotiable CDs	13606DGZ9	Canadian Imperial Bank/NY	3/25/2025	1/28/2026		4.36	80,000,000	80,000,000	80,000,000	79,998,400
Negotiable CDs	89115DWT9	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	3/25/2025	1/28/2026		4.36	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,991,500
Negotiable CDs	06367DQ32	Bank of Montreal/CHI	3/31/2025	2/9/2026		4.33	75,000,000	75,000,000	75,000,000	74,994,000
Negotiable CDs	13606DHF2	Canadian Imperial Bank/NY	3/31/2025	2/9/2026		4.33	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,500
Negotiable CDs	06367DQP3	Bank of Montreal/CHI	5/14/2025	2/11/2026		4.43	70,000,000	70,000,000	70,000,000	70,022,400
Negotiable CDs	78015JG84	Royal Bank of Canada/NY	5/16/2025	2/23/2026		4.33	60,000,000	60,000,000	60,000,000	60,011,400
Negotiable CDs	78015JGQ4	Royal Bank of Canada/NY	5/29/2025	3/6/2026		4.38	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,033,000
Negotiable CDs	89115DEG7	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	5/29/2025	3/11/2026		4.41	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,039,000
Negotiable CDs	78015JJ81	Royal Bank of Canada/NY	6/25/2025	3/16/2026		4.29	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,003,000
Negotiable CDs	89115DHC3	Toronto Dominion Bank/NY	6/20/2025	3/16/2026		4.40	60,000,000	60,000,000	60,000,000	60,050,400
Negotiable CDs	96130AA24	Westpac Banking Corp/NY	5/20/2025	3/16/2026		4.35	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,038,000
Negotiable CDs	96130AA65	Westpac Banking Corp/NY	5/28/2025	3/23/2026		4.38	140,000,000	140,000,000	140,000,000	140,057,400
Negotiable CDs	06367DRH0	Bank of Montreal/CHI	6/25/2025	3/24/2026		4.33	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,983,500
Negotiable CDs	06367DRJ6	Bank of Montreal/CHI	6/25/2025	3/25/2026		4.33	50,000,000	50,000,000	50,000,000	49,983,500
Negotiable CDs	96130AZZ4	Westpac Banking Corp/NY	5/15/2025	5/4/2026		4.35	125,000,000	125,000,000	125,000,000	125,075,000
Negotiable CDs	96130AC22	Westpac Banking Corp/NY	6/20/2025	6/15/2026		4.32	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,118,000
<b>Subtotals</b>						<b>4.43</b>	<b>\$ 3,288,000,000</b>	<b>\$ 3,288,000,000</b>	<b>\$ 3,288,000,000</b>	<b>\$ 3,288,319,968</b>
Commercial Paper	19121AU7	Coca Cola Company	4/10/2025	7/10/2025		0.00	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 49,452,736	\$ 49,945,875	\$ 49,922,250
Commercial Paper	62479LUF0	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	3/24/2025	7/15/2025		0.00	15,000,000	14,795,188	14,974,625	14,967,630
Commercial Paper	62479LUG8	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	4/1/2025	7/16/2025		0.00	20,000,000	19,745,600	19,964,000	19,954,460
Commercial Paper	62479LUJ2	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	3/24/2025	7/18/2025		0.00	15,000,000	14,789,750	14,969,188	14,962,260
Commercial Paper	62479LV51	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	4/1/2025	8/5/2025		0.00	25,000,000	24,622,875	24,895,243	24,882,750
Commercial Paper	62479LV51	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	5/6/2025	8/5/2025		0.00	110,000,000	108,776,556	109,529,444	109,484,100
Commercial Paper	89233GVR4	Toyota Motor Credit	12/2/2024	8/25/2025		0.00	65,000,000	62,867,567	64,559,083	64,538,695
Commercial Paper	89233GW85	Toyota Motor Credit	12/17/2024	9/8/2025		0.00	65,000,000	62,918,646	64,458,062	64,429,300
Commercial Paper	03785DWF9	Apple	4/28/2025	9/15/2025		0.00	10,000,000	9,836,667	9,911,333	9,904,370
Commercial Paper	62479LWF8	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	4/1/2025	9/15/2025		0.00	20,000,000	19,604,767	19,820,133	19,806,080
Commercial Paper	62479LWP6	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	4/1/2025	9/23/2025		0.00	35,000,000	34,275,208	34,652,100	34,627,530
Commercial Paper	62479LX67	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	4/1/2025	10/6/2025		0.00	25,000,000	24,445,139	24,713,715	24,695,200
Commercial Paper	89233GX84	Toyota Motor Credit	1/27/2025	10/8/2025		0.00	60,000,000	58,179,667	59,290,500	59,259,660
Commercial Paper	62479LXF7	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	4/1/2025	10/15/2025		0.00	11,000,000	10,744,776	10,862,671	10,854,096
Commercial Paper	62479LXL4	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	4/1/2025	10/20/2025		0.00	15,000,000	14,643,133	14,803,900	14,792,130
Commercial Paper	62479LXN0	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	4/1/2025	10/22/2025		0.00	55,000,000	53,678,533	54,268,011	54,224,720
Commercial Paper	89233GXP6	Toyota Motor Credit	2/12/2025	10/23/2025		0.00	75,000,000	72,665,021	73,947,875	73,944,750
Commercial Paper	89233GXQ4	Toyota Motor Credit	1/29/2025	10/24/2025		0.00	50,000,000	48,388,278	49,308,403	49,290,750
Commercial Paper	62479LY33	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	4/28/2025	11/3/2025		0.00	10,000,000	9,772,150	9,849,306	9,844,710
Commercial Paper	62479LY74	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	4/28/2025	11/7/2025		0.00	11,000,000	10,744,650	10,829,326	10,823,912
Commercial Paper	62479LYH2	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	4/28/2025	11/17/2025		0.00	38,000,000	37,076,463	37,367,627	37,346,286
Commercial Paper	62479LYM1	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	4/28/2025	11/21/2025		0.00	26,000,000	25,355,655	25,554,873	25,540,320
Commercial Paper	62479LYS8	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	4/28/2025	11/26/2025		0.00	15,000,000	14,620,167	14,734,833	14,726,040

# Investment Inventory

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Settle Date	Maturity Date	Coupon	Par Value	Original Cost	Amortized Book Value	Market Value
Commercial Paper	89233GZ17	Toyota Motor Credit	3/24/2025	12/1/2025	0.00	125,000,000	121,333,750	122,774,063	122,686,500
Commercial Paper	89116EZ55	Toronto Dominion Bank	5/7/2025	12/5/2025	0.00	11,000,000	10,724,694	10,796,118	10,788,811
Commercial Paper	89116EZ53	Toronto Dominion Bank	5/7/2025	12/15/2025	0.00	25,000,000	24,346,333	24,508,278	24,493,100
Commercial Paper	89233GZF6	Toyota Motor Credit	3/25/2025	12/15/2025	0.00	75,000,000	72,692,292	73,545,708	73,494,375
Commercial Paper	89116EZP1	Toronto Dominion Bank	5/7/2025	12/23/2025	0.00	40,000,000	38,924,111	39,181,389	39,154,880
Commercial Paper	62479MB69	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	5/13/2025	2/6/2026	0.00	25,000,000	24,198,604	24,344,583	24,342,625
Commercial Paper	62479MC92	MUFG Bank Ltd/NY	6/18/2025	3/9/2026	0.00	130,000,000	125,891,133	126,093,464	126,140,690
<b>Subtotals</b>					<b>0.00</b>	<b>\$ 1,252,000,000</b>	<b>\$ 1,220,110,108</b>	<b>\$ 1,234,453,730</b>	<b>\$ 1,233,922,980</b>
Medium Term Notes	594918BJ2	Microsoft	9/26/2024	11/3/2025	3.13	\$ 11,749,000	\$ 11,650,191	\$ 11,718,352	\$ 11,698,362
Medium Term Notes	91324PFF4	United Health	7/25/2024	7/15/2026	4.75	15,000,000	14,974,800	14,986,735	15,046,800
Medium Term Notes	594918CN2	Microsoft	7/9/2024	9/15/2026	3.40	6,452,000	6,270,957	6,351,950	6,408,707
Medium Term Notes	594918CN2	Microsoft	7/9/2024	9/15/2026	3.40	13,009,000	12,645,919	12,808,350	12,921,710
Medium Term Notes	14913UAN0	Caterpillar	9/18/2024	10/16/2026	4.45	18,385,000	18,600,288	18,519,058	18,469,387
Medium Term Notes	89236TMY8	Toyota Motors	1/9/2025	1/8/2027	4.60	40,000,000	39,978,000	39,983,221	40,226,400
Medium Term Notes	037833CJ7	Apple	9/18/2024	2/9/2027	3.35	50,000,000	49,586,000	49,721,474	49,456,500
Medium Term Notes	24422EXV6	John Deere	9/6/2024	7/15/2027	4.20	10,000,000	9,998,600	9,999,000	10,015,000
Medium Term Notes	24422EYD5	John Deere	6/5/2025	6/5/2028	4.25	25,000,000	24,977,750	24,978,278	25,131,750
<b>Subtotals</b>					<b>0.31</b>	<b>\$ 189,595,000</b>	<b>\$ 188,682,505</b>	<b>\$ 189,066,418</b>	<b>\$ 189,374,616</b>
Money Market Funds	09248U718	BlackRock Liquidity Funds T-Fund	6/30/2025	7/1/2025	4.22	\$ 14,318,705	\$ 14,318,705	\$ 14,318,705	\$ 14,318,705
Money Market Funds	31607A703	Fidelity Govt Portfolio	6/30/2025	7/1/2025	4.29	885,999,975	885,999,975	885,999,975	885,999,975
Money Market Funds	608919718	Federated Hermes Govt Obligations Fd	6/30/2025	7/1/2025	4.27	500,862,525	500,862,525	500,862,525	500,862,525
Money Market Funds	262006208	Dreyfus Government Cash Managemen	6/30/2025	7/1/2025	4.23	14,895,474	14,895,474	14,895,474	14,895,474
Money Market Funds	85749T517	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMI	6/30/2025	7/1/2025	4.24	807,449,954	807,449,954	807,449,954	807,449,954
Money Market Funds	61747C319	Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity Fd	6/30/2025	7/1/2025	4.25	193,460,339	193,460,339	193,460,339	193,460,339
<b>Subtotals</b>					<b>4.26</b>	<b>\$ 2,416,986,972</b>	<b>\$ 2,416,986,972</b>	<b>\$ 2,416,986,972</b>	<b>\$ 2,416,986,972</b>
Supranational	4581X0DN5	Inter-American Development Bank	11/1/2021	7/15/2025	0.63	\$ 28,900,000	\$ 28,519,098	\$ 28,896,056	\$ 28,854,049
Supranational	45950VRU2	International Finance Corp	1/26/2023	1/26/2026	4.02	100,000,000	100,000,000	100,000,000	99,760,000
Supranational	45818WDG8	Inter-American Development Bank	8/25/2021	2/27/2026	0.82	19,500,000	19,556,907	19,508,327	19,090,110
Supranational	459058KJ1	Int'l Bank for Recon and Dev	7/17/2024	6/15/2027	3.13	12,323,000	11,934,333	12,061,938	12,157,502
Supranational	4581X0EN4	Inter-American Development Bank	4/9/2024	2/15/2029	4.13	25,000,000	24,630,000	24,723,491	25,245,500
Supranational	4581X0EN4	Inter-American Development Bank	7/17/2024	2/15/2029	4.13	50,000,000	49,827,000	49,863,068	50,491,000
<b>Subtotals</b>					<b>3.33</b>	<b>\$ 235,723,000</b>	<b>\$ 234,467,338</b>	<b>\$ 235,052,880</b>	<b>\$ 235,598,161</b>
Secured Bank Deposit	0660P0999	Bank of America TTX INV Deposit Acct	6/30/2025	7/1/2025	4.35	\$ 358,432,719	\$ 358,432,719	\$ 358,432,719	\$ 358,432,719
<b>Subtotals</b>					<b>4.35</b>	<b>\$ 358,432,719</b>	<b>\$ 358,432,719</b>	<b>\$ 358,432,719</b>	<b>\$ 358,432,719</b>
<b>Grand Totals</b>					<b>3.42</b>	<b>\$ 18,315,582,690</b>	<b>\$ 18,243,678,080</b>	<b>\$ 18,281,163,067</b>	<b>\$ 18,261,366,830</b>

# Monthly Investment Earnings

## Pooled Fund

For month ended June 30, 2025

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Accrued Interest Earned	(Amortization) / Accretion	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Total Earnings
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBC4	T 0.375 12/31/2025	\$ 50,000,000	15,530	9,236		\$ 24,766
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAT8	T 0.250 10/31/2025	50,000,000	10,190	12,308		22,499
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBC4	T 0.375 12/31/2025	50,000,000	15,530	12,355		27,885
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAT8	T 0.250 10/31/2025	50,000,000	10,190	16,230		26,420
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAT8	T 0.250 10/31/2025	50,000,000	10,190	16,766		26,956
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025		10,014	15,823		25,837
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025		10,014	17,633		27,647
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAM3	T 0.250 09/30/2025	50,000,000	10,246	16,678		26,924
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025		10,014	13,804		23,818
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025		10,014	15,690		25,704
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025		10,014	14,386		24,400
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBW0	T 0.750 04/30/2026	50,000,000	30,571	5,737		36,307
U.S. Treasuries	91282CBW0	T 0.750 04/30/2026	50,000,000	30,571	4,586		35,157
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	50,000,000	36,237	1,124		37,362
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025		10,014	13,799		23,812
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	50,000,000	36,237	(1,164)		35,073
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	50,000,000	36,237	(5,749)		30,488
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	50,000,000	36,237	(5,457)		30,781
U.S. Treasuries	912828R36	T 1.625 05/15/2026	50,000,000	66,236	(37,617)		28,619
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAM3	T 0.250 09/30/2025	50,000,000	10,246	14,121		24,367
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025		10,014	10,175		20,189
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAB7	T 0.250 07/31/2025	50,000,000	10,359	11,147		21,506
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025		10,014	12,092		22,106
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	50,000,000	36,237	(6,812)		29,425
U.S. Treasuries	91282CAB7	T 0.250 07/31/2025	50,000,000	10,359	13,128		23,487
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	50,000,000	36,237	(4,038)		32,200
U.S. Treasuries	912828R36	T 1.625 05/15/2026	50,000,000	66,236	(32,938)		33,299
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	50,000,000	36,237	1,078		37,315
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCW9	T 0.750 08/31/2026	50,000,000	30,571	9,190		39,761
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCZ2	T 0.875 09/30/2026	50,000,000	35,861	5,125		40,985
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCZ2	T 0.875 09/30/2026	50,000,000	35,861	5,415		41,275
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	50,000,000	36,237	7,086		43,323
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCZ2	T 0.875 09/30/2026	50,000,000	35,861	11,317		47,177
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDK4	T 1.250 11/30/2026	50,000,000	51,230	(1,189)		50,040
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDK4	T 1.250 11/30/2026	50,000,000	51,230	(1,933)		49,297
U.S. Treasuries	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025		10,014	30,562		40,576
U.S. Treasuries	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	50,000,000	36,237	17,814		54,051
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDQ1	T 1.250 12/31/2026	50,000,000	51,767	49,929		101,697
U.S. Treasuries	91282CDK4	T 1.250 11/30/2026	50,000,000	51,230	51,351		102,581
U.S. Treasuries	91282CEF4	T 2.500 03/31/2027	25,000,000	51,230	3,992		55,222
U.S. Treasuries	91282CFK2	T 3.500 09/15/2025	50,000,000	142,663	28,806		171,469
U.S. Treasuries	91282CHX2	T 4.375 08/31/2028	50,000,000	178,329	(2,005)		176,324
U.S. Treasuries	91282CHK0	T 4.000 06/30/2028	50,000,000	165,656	465		166,121
U.S. Treasuries	91282CHK0	T 4.000 06/30/2028	50,000,000	165,656	1,334		166,990
U.S. Treasuries	91282CHK0	T 4.000 06/30/2028	50,000,000	165,656	1,767		167,423
U.S. Treasuries	91282CHL8	T 4.625 06/30/2025		185,256	1,333		186,588
U.S. Treasuries	91282CHK0	T 4.000 06/30/2028	50,000,000	165,656	6,020		171,676
U.S. Treasuries	91282CHK0	T 4.000 06/30/2028	50,000,000	165,656	13,271		178,927
U.S. Treasuries	91282CEW7	T 3.250 06/30/2027	50,000,000	134,595	45,072		179,667
U.S. Treasuries	91282CEW7	T 3.250 06/30/2027	50,000,000	134,595	47,846		182,441
U.S. Treasuries	91282CKD2	T 4.250 02/28/2029	50,000,000	173,234	3,803		177,037
U.S. Treasuries	9128284N7	T 2.875 05/15/2028	65,000,000	152,344	78,512		230,856
U.S. Treasuries	9128286B1	T 2.625 02/15/2029	50,000,000	108,771	72,655		181,426
U.S. Treasuries	91282CHK0	T 4.000 06/30/2028	50,000,000	165,656	21,084		186,740



# Monthly Investment Earnings

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Accrued Interest Earned	(Amortization) / Accretion	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Total Earnings
U.S. Treasuries	91282CKV2	T 4.625 06/15/2027	50,000,000	190,035	(5,513)		184,522
U.S. Treasuries	91282CKV2	T 4.625 06/15/2027	50,000,000	190,035	(8,206)		181,829
U.S. Treasuries	91282CEW7	T 3.250 06/30/2027	50,000,000	134,595	9,426		144,022
U.S. Treasuries	91282CFJ5	T 3.125 08/31/2029	50,000,000	127,378	16,028		143,405
U.S. Treasuries	91282CLC3	T 4.000 07/31/2029	50,000,000	165,746	(17,804)		147,942
U.S. Treasuries	91282CLL3	T 3.375 09/15/2027	50,000,000	137,568	5,973		143,541
U.S. Treasuries	91282CFJ5	T 3.125 08/31/2029	65,000,000	165,591	22,346		187,937
U.S. Treasuries	91282CLC3	T 4.000 07/31/2029	65,000,000	215,470	(9,619)		205,851
U.S. Treasuries	91282CJW2	T 4.000 01/31/2029	65,000,000	215,470	(5,075)		210,395
U.S. Treasuries	91282CKV2	T 4.625 06/15/2027	50,000,000	190,035	(27,742)		162,293
U.S. Treasuries	91282CKT7	T 4.500 05/31/2029	50,000,000	184,426	(18,544)		165,883
U.S. Treasuries	91282CLC3	T 4.000 07/31/2029	50,000,000	165,746	1,918		167,664
U.S. Treasuries	91282CKP5	T 4.625 04/30/2029	50,000,000	188,519	(21,320)		167,199
U.S. Treasuries	91282CLR0	T 4.125 10/31/2029	50,000,000	168,139	6,474		174,613
U.S. Treasuries	91282CMB4	T 4.000 12/15/2027	50,000,000	164,355	7,713		172,067
U.S. Treasuries	91282CMB4	T 4.000 12/15/2027	50,000,000	164,355	7,873		172,228
U.S. Treasuries	91282CKP5	T 4.625 04/30/2029	51,000,000	192,289	(8,447)		183,843
U.S. Treasuries	912797PR2	B 0.000 06/10/2025			52,563		52,563
U.S. Treasuries	912797PL5	B 0.000 06/03/2025			11,697		11,697
U.S. Treasuries	91282CLX7	T 4.125 11/15/2027	61,000,000	205,129	9,166		214,296
U.S. Treasuries	91282CGQ8	T 4.000 02/28/2030	50,000,000	163,043	1,215		164,258
U.S. Treasuries	912797PE1	B 0.000 07/17/2025	100,000,000		352,083		352,083
U.S. Treasuries	912797PF8	B 0.000 07/24/2025	100,000,000		352,083		352,083
U.S. Treasuries	912797QM2	B 0.000 09/02/2025	100,000,000		349,167		349,167
U.S. Treasuries	912797NV5	B 0.000 06/20/2025			223,514		223,514
U.S. Treasuries	91282CJW2	T 4.000 01/31/2029	60,000,000	198,895	1,604		200,499
U.S. Treasuries	91282CKD2	T 4.250 02/28/2029	75,000,000	259,851	(12,293)		247,557
U.S. Treasuries	91282CHX2	T 4.375 08/31/2028	50,000,000	178,329	(13,712)		164,616
U.S. Treasuries	91282CND9	T 3.750 05/15/2028	70,000,000	213,995	14,071		228,066
U.S. Treasuries	91282CKV2	T 4.625 06/15/2027	50,000,000	190,035	(23,792)		166,244
U.S. Treasuries	91282CMZ1	T 3.875 04/30/2030	50,000,000	157,948	8,835		166,783
U.S. Treasuries	91282CFT3	T 4.000 10/31/2029	60,000,000	195,652	218		195,870
<b>Subtotals</b>			<b>\$ 3,912,000,000</b>	<b>\$ 8,033,902</b>	<b>\$ 1,944,643</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 9,978,545</b>
Federal Agencies	3135G05X7	FNMA 0.375 08/25/2025	\$ 72,500,000	\$ 22,656	\$ 11,656	\$	34,313
Federal Agencies	3137EAEX3	FHLMC 0.375 09/23/2025	22,600,000	7,063	5,492		12,555
Federal Agencies	3135G05X7	FNMA 0.375 08/25/2025	25,000,000	7,813	5,794		13,606
Federal Agencies	3130AN4A5	FHLB 0.700 06/30/2025		9,970	(1,093)		8,876
Federal Agencies	3130ANMP2	FHLB 1.070 07/27/2026	25,000,000	22,292			22,292
Federal Agencies	3130ANMP2	FHLB 1.070 07/27/2026	25,000,000	22,292			22,292
Federal Agencies	3130ANMP2	FHLB 1.070 07/27/2026	25,000,000	22,292			22,292
Federal Agencies	3130ANMP2	FHLB 1.070 07/27/2026	25,000,000	22,292			22,292
Federal Agencies	3133EMZ21	FFCB 0.690 04/06/2026	15,500,000	8,913	738		9,651
Federal Agencies	3130ANNM8	FHLB 1.050 07/13/2026	25,000,000	21,875			21,875
Federal Agencies	3130ANNM8	FHLB 1.050 07/13/2026	25,000,000	21,875			21,875
Federal Agencies	3130ANNM8	FHLB 1.050 07/13/2026	25,000,000	21,875			21,875
Federal Agencies	3130ANNM8	FHLB 1.050 07/13/2026	25,000,000	21,875			21,875
Federal Agencies	3130ANTG5	FHLB 1.050 08/10/2026	25,000,000	21,875			21,875
Federal Agencies	3130ANTG5	FHLB 1.050 08/10/2026	25,000,000	21,875			21,875
Federal Agencies	3130ANTG5	FHLB 1.050 08/10/2026	25,000,000	21,875			21,875
Federal Agencies	3130ANTG5	FHLB 1.050 08/10/2026	25,000,000	21,875			21,875
Federal Agencies	3130AP6T7	FHLB 1.075 09/03/2026	25,000,000	22,396			22,396
Federal Agencies	3130AP6T7	FHLB 1.075 09/03/2026	25,000,000	22,396			22,396
Federal Agencies	3130AP6T7	FHLB 1.075 09/03/2026	25,000,000	22,396			22,396

# Monthly Investment Earnings

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Accrued Interest Earned	(Amortization) / Accretion	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Total Earnings
Federal Agencies	3130A8ZQ9	FHLB 1.750 09/12/2025	10,295,000	15,014	(5,965)		9,049
Federal Agencies	3133ENEG1	FFCB 1.050 11/17/2025	55,000,000	48,125	1,581		49,706
Federal Agencies	3133ENEG1	FFCB 1.050 11/17/2025	39,675,000	34,716	1,084		35,799
Federal Agencies	3130APPR0	FHLB 1.430 10/19/2026	25,000,000	29,792			29,792
Federal Agencies	3130APPR0	FHLB 1.430 10/19/2026	25,000,000	29,792			29,792
Federal Agencies	3130APPR0	FHLB 1.430 10/19/2026	25,000,000	29,792			29,792
Federal Agencies	3130APPR0	FHLB 1.430 10/19/2026	25,000,000	29,792			29,792
Federal Agencies	3130AQ7L1	FHLB 1.605 11/16/2026	25,000,000	33,438			33,438
Federal Agencies	3130AQ7L1	FHLB 1.605 11/16/2026	25,000,000	33,438			33,438
Federal Agencies	3130AQ7L1	FHLB 1.605 11/16/2026	25,000,000	33,438			33,438
Federal Agencies	3130AQ7L1	FHLB 1.605 11/16/2026	25,000,000	33,438			33,438
Federal Agencies	3135G04Z3	FNMA 0.500 06/17/2025		2,222	2,616		4,838
Federal Agencies	3135G04Z3	FNMA 0.500 06/17/2025		1,034	1,223		2,257
Federal Agencies	3133ENHM5	FFCB 1.170 12/16/2025	45,000,000	43,875	943		44,818
Federal Agencies	3133ENHM5	FFCB 1.170 12/16/2025	50,000,000	48,750	1,047		49,797
Federal Agencies	3130AQJ95	FHLB 1.645 12/14/2026	25,000,000	34,271			34,271
Federal Agencies	3130AQJ95	FHLB 1.645 12/14/2026	25,000,000	34,271			34,271
Federal Agencies	3130AQJ95	FHLB 1.645 12/14/2026	25,000,000	34,271			34,271
Federal Agencies	3130AQJ95	FHLB 1.645 12/14/2026	25,000,000	34,271			34,271
Federal Agencies	3130ARB59	FHLB 2.350 03/08/2027	25,000,000	48,958			48,958
Federal Agencies	3130ARB59	FHLB 2.350 03/08/2027	25,000,000	48,958			48,958
Federal Agencies	3130ARB59	FHLB 2.350 03/08/2027	25,000,000	48,958			48,958
Federal Agencies	3130ARB59	FHLB 2.350 03/08/2027	25,000,000	48,958			48,958
Federal Agencies	3133ENRD4	FFCB 1.680 03/10/2027	48,573,000	68,002	18,807		86,810
Federal Agencies	3133ENUD0	FFCB 2.640 04/08/2026	20,000,000	44,000	797		44,797
Federal Agencies	3133ENUD0	FFCB 2.640 04/08/2026	30,000,000	66,000	1,195		67,195
Federal Agencies	3133ENTS9	FFCB 2.600 04/05/2027	24,500,000	53,083	2,022		55,105
Federal Agencies	3133ENTS9	FFCB 2.600 04/05/2027	22,500,000	48,750	1,770		50,520
Federal Agencies	3133ENTS9	FFCB 2.600 04/05/2027	25,000,000	54,167	3,222		57,389
Federal Agencies	3133ENYQ7	FFCB 2.950 06/13/2025		49,167	268		49,435
Federal Agencies	3133ENZK9	FFCB 3.240 06/28/2027	27,865,000	75,236	(3,865)		71,371
Federal Agencies	3130ASGU7	FHLB 3.500 06/11/2027	12,375,000	36,094	(2,984)		33,110
Federal Agencies	3130ASGU7	FHLB 3.500 06/11/2027	10,000,000	29,167	(2,374)		26,792
Federal Agencies	3130ASGU7	FHLB 3.500 06/11/2027	21,725,000	63,365	(4,894)		58,470
Federal Agencies	3130ASG86	FHLB 3.375 06/13/2025		14,288	(1,218)		13,070
Federal Agencies	3130ASG86	FHLB 3.375 06/13/2025		13,433	(692)		12,741
Federal Agencies	3133ENJ35	FFCB 3.320 02/25/2026	35,000,000	96,833	993		97,826
Federal Agencies	3133EN2L3	FFCB 4.125 05/17/2027	21,000,000	72,188	238		72,425
Federal Agencies	3133EN2L3	FFCB 4.125 05/17/2027	5,000,000	17,188	63		17,251
Federal Agencies	3133EN2L3	FFCB 4.125 05/17/2027	4,650,000	15,984	59		16,043
Federal Agencies	3133EN2L3	FFCB 4.125 05/17/2027	25,000,000	85,938	315		86,253
Federal Agencies	3133EN4B3	FFCB 4.250 06/13/2025		21,250	153		21,403
Federal Agencies	3133EN4B3	FFCB 4.250 06/13/2025		21,250	134		21,384
Federal Agencies	3133EN4B3	FFCB 4.250 06/13/2025		21,250	144		21,394
Federal Agencies	3133EN5E6	FFCB 4.000 12/29/2025	15,000,000	50,000	1,240		51,240
Federal Agencies	3133EN5E6	FFCB 4.000 12/29/2025	25,000,000	83,333	2,087		85,420
Federal Agencies	3133EN5E6	FFCB 4.000 12/29/2025	20,000,000	66,667	1,653		68,320
Federal Agencies	3133EN6A3	FFCB 4.000 01/13/2026	30,000,000	100,000	624		100,624
Federal Agencies	3133EN6A3	FFCB 4.000 01/13/2026	20,000,000	66,667	482		67,148
Federal Agencies	3130AUTC8	FHLB 4.010 02/06/2026	21,100,000	70,509	3,145		73,654
Federal Agencies	3133EPBJ3	FFCB 4.375 02/23/2026	50,000,000	182,292	2,245		184,536
Federal Agencies	3133EPBJ3	FFCB 4.375 02/23/2026	25,000,000	91,146	1,273		92,419
Federal Agencies	3133EPBJ3	FFCB 4.375 02/23/2026	28,000,000	102,083	1,257		103,340
Federal Agencies	3133EPBM6	FFCB 4.125 08/23/2027	10,000,000	34,375	475		34,850
Federal Agencies	3133EPDL6	FFCB 4.850 10/01/2025	50,000,000	202,083			202,083

## Monthly Investment Earnings

### Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Accrued Interest Earned	(Amortization) / Accretion	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Total Earnings
Federal Agencies	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025		14,583	(1,017)		13,566
Federal Agencies	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025		14,459	(952)		13,507
Federal Agencies	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025		37,188	(1,953)		35,234
Federal Agencies	3130AVWS7	FHLB 3.750 06/12/2026	17,045,000	53,266	1,422		54,688
Federal Agencies	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025		4,375	(192)		4,183
Federal Agencies	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025		14,583	(565)		14,018
Federal Agencies	3133EPJX4	FFCB 3.625 02/17/2026	30,000,000	90,625	2,815		93,440
Federal Agencies	3133EPJX4	FFCB 3.625 02/17/2026		75,521	2,130		77,651
Federal Agencies	3133EPA2	FFCB 4.000 08/18/2025	26,500,000	88,333	589		88,923
Federal Agencies	3133EPA2	FFCB 4.000 08/18/2025	30,000,000	100,000	667		100,667
Federal Agencies	3133EPA2	FFCB 4.000 08/18/2025	25,000,000	83,333	656		83,989
Federal Agencies	3130AVWS7	FHLB 3.750 06/12/2026	20,000,000	62,500	1,626		64,126
Federal Agencies	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025		35,000	(1,258)		33,742
Federal Agencies	3130AWAH3	FHLB 4.000 06/12/2026	15,000,000	50,000	2,728		52,728
Federal Agencies	3130AWAH3	FHLB 4.000 06/12/2026	10,000,000	33,333	1,780		35,114
Federal Agencies	3130AWER7	FHLB 4.625 06/06/2025		16,059	143		16,202
Federal Agencies	3130AWER7	FHLB 4.625 06/06/2025		9,635	86		9,721
Federal Agencies	3130AWER7	FHLB 4.625 06/06/2025		33,403	298		33,700
Federal Agencies	3130AWER7	FHLB 4.625 06/06/2025		6,424	57		6,481
Federal Agencies	3133EPMU6	FFCB 4.250 06/15/2026	30,000,000	106,250	1,330		107,580
Federal Agencies	3133EPMU6	FFCB 4.250 06/15/2026	20,000,000	70,833	843		71,676
Federal Agencies	3133EPMV4	FFCB 4.125 06/15/2027	28,940,000	99,481	576		100,058
Federal Agencies	3133EPMU6	FFCB 4.250 06/15/2026	24,700,000	87,479	1,636		89,115
Federal Agencies	3133EPNG6	FFCB 4.375 06/23/2026	50,000,000	182,292	725		183,017
Federal Agencies	3133EPNG6	FFCB 4.375 06/23/2026	25,000,000	91,146	363		91,509
Federal Agencies	3133EPNG6	FFCB 4.375 06/23/2026	25,000,000	91,146	363		91,509
Federal Agencies	3130AWLZ1	FHLB 4.750 06/12/2026	50,000,000	197,917	4,045		201,962
Federal Agencies	3130AWLY4	FHLB 5.125 06/13/2025		82,256	(1,602)		80,654
Federal Agencies	3130AWLY4	FHLB 5.125 06/13/2025		18,450	(314)		18,136
Federal Agencies	3133EPSK2	FFCB 4.250 08/07/2028	19,500,000	69,063	1,441		70,503
Federal Agencies	3133EPSW6	FFCB 4.500 08/14/2026	50,000,000	187,500	3,148		190,648
Federal Agencies	3133EPUN3	FFCB 4.500 08/28/2028	10,000,000	37,500	343		37,843
Federal Agencies	3133EPUN3	FFCB 4.500 08/28/2028	25,000,000	93,750	928		94,678
Federal Agencies	3133EPUN3	FFCB 4.500 08/28/2028	15,000,000	56,250	611		56,861
Federal Agencies	3133EPUN3	FFCB 4.500 08/28/2028	33,000,000	123,750	1,561		125,311
Federal Agencies	3133EPVP7	FFCB 4.750 07/08/2026	19,000,000	75,208	441		75,649
Federal Agencies	3133EPVP7	FFCB 4.750 07/08/2026	10,000,000	39,583	241		39,824
Federal Agencies	3133EPVP7	FFCB 4.750 07/08/2026	21,000,000	83,125	500		83,625
Federal Agencies	3133EPVY8	FFCB 5.000 09/15/2025	8,230,000	34,292	243		34,535
Federal Agencies	3133EPVY8	FFCB 5.000 09/15/2025	15,000,000	62,500	745		63,245
Federal Agencies	3133EPVY8	FFCB 5.000 09/15/2025	20,000,000	83,333	993		84,327
Federal Agencies	3133EPYW9	FFCB 5.125 10/20/2025	50,000,000	213,542	1,149		214,691
Federal Agencies	3133EPYW9	FFCB 5.125 10/20/2025	25,000,000	106,771	595		107,366
Federal Agencies	3133EPYW9	FFCB 5.125 10/20/2025	35,000,000	149,479	1,135		150,614
Federal Agencies	3130AXCP1	FHLB 4.875 09/11/2026	11,895,000	48,323	2,069		50,392
Federal Agencies	3133EPA6	FFCB 4.875 10/20/2026	30,000,000	121,875	4,541		126,416
Federal Agencies	3133EPA6	FFCB 4.875 10/20/2026	14,000,000	56,875	2,602		59,477
Federal Agencies	3133EPYW9	FFCB 5.125 10/20/2025	24,000,000	102,500	3,142		105,642
Federal Agencies	3133EPZY4	FFCB 5.000 07/30/2026	25,000,000	104,167	1,890		106,057
Federal Agencies	3133EPZY4	FFCB 5.000 07/30/2026	3,000,000	12,500	241		12,741
Federal Agencies	3133EPZY4	FFCB 5.000 07/30/2026	9,615,000	40,063	773		40,835
Federal Agencies	3133EPZY4	FFCB 5.000 07/30/2026	16,000,000	66,667	1,286		67,953
Federal Agencies	3130AXB31	FHLB 4.875 03/13/2026	10,000,000	40,625	1,604		42,229
Federal Agencies	3130AXB31	FHLB 4.875 03/13/2026	10,000,000	40,625	1,716		42,341
Federal Agencies	3130AXB31	FHLB 4.875 03/13/2026	10,000,000	40,625	1,716		42,341

# Monthly Investment Earnings

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Accrued Interest Earned	(Amortization) / Accretion	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Total Earnings
Federal Agencies	3133EPC60	FFCB 4.625 11/15/2027	27,950,000	107,724	2,382		110,106
Federal Agencies	3133EPC60	FFCB 4.625 11/15/2027	33,300,000	128,344	2,845		131,188
Federal Agencies	3133EPC45	FFCB 4.625 11/13/2028	12,000,000	46,250	262		46,512
Federal Agencies	3133EPC45	FFCB 4.625 11/13/2028	20,000,000	77,083	466		77,550
Federal Agencies	3133EPC45	FFCB 4.625 11/13/2028	55,000,000	211,979	1,276		213,255
Federal Agencies	3130AXU63	FHLB 4.625 11/17/2026	50,000,000	192,708	2,422		195,131
Federal Agencies	3133EM4X7	FFCB 0.800 09/10/2026	28,975,000	19,317	83,770		103,087
Federal Agencies	3133EPP66	FFCB 4.000 05/20/2027	31,000,000	103,333	2,267		105,601
Federal Agencies	3133EPP66	FFCB 4.000 05/20/2027	58,850,000	196,167	4,516		200,683
Federal Agencies	3133EPX91	FFCB 4.125 01/25/2027	35,000,000	120,313	1,207		121,520
Federal Agencies	3133EPX91	FFCB 4.125 01/25/2027	50,000,000	171,875	1,834		173,709
Federal Agencies	3133EPX91	FFCB 4.125 01/25/2027	25,000,000	85,938	862		86,800
Federal Agencies	3133EPX91	FFCB 4.125 01/25/2027	10,000,000	34,375	367		34,742
Federal Agencies	3133EPX91	FFCB 4.125 01/25/2027	5,000,000	17,188	196		17,383
Federal Agencies	3130AYPN0	FHLB 4.125 01/15/2027	12,000,000	41,250	749		41,999
Federal Agencies	3130AYPN0	FHLB 4.125 01/15/2027	25,000,000	85,938	1,560		87,497
Federal Agencies	3130AYPN0	FHLB 4.125 01/15/2027	29,350,000	100,891	1,831		102,722
Federal Agencies	3130AYPN0	FHLB 4.125 01/15/2027	50,000,000	171,875	3,119		174,994
Federal Agencies	3133EP6K6	FFCB 4.500 03/26/2027	50,000,000	187,500	2,482		189,982
Federal Agencies	3130AXB31	FHLB 4.875 03/13/2026	36,730,000	149,216	(3,104)		146,112
Federal Agencies	3133EP5K7	FFCB 4.500 03/13/2026	50,000,000	187,500	10,225		197,725
Federal Agencies	3130AXB31	FHLB 4.875 03/13/2026	25,000,000	101,563	(2,281)		99,282
Federal Agencies	3133EP5U5	FFCB 4.125 03/20/2029	51,660,000	177,581	10,819		188,401
Federal Agencies	3133EP5S0	FFCB 4.250 03/20/2028	4,971,000	17,606	1,131		18,737
Federal Agencies	3130AVBD3	FHLB 4.500 03/09/2029	25,000,000	93,750	(313)		93,437
Federal Agencies	3130B0TY5	FHLB 4.750 04/09/2027	20,000,000	79,167	1,449		80,616
Federal Agencies	3130B0TY5	FHLB 4.750 04/09/2027	17,000,000	67,292	1,232		68,523
Federal Agencies	3130B0TY5	FHLB 4.750 04/09/2027	48,000,000	190,000	3,478		193,478
Federal Agencies	3130B0TY5	FHLB 4.750 04/09/2027	40,000,000	158,333	2,898		161,232
Federal Agencies	3133ERDH1	FFCB 4.750 04/30/2029	63,085,000	249,711	(11,201)		238,510
Federal Agencies	3133ERDH1	FFCB 4.750 04/30/2029	27,892,000	110,406	(4,946)		105,459
Federal Agencies	3133ERDH1	FFCB 4.750 04/30/2029	30,000,000	118,750	(5,238)		113,512
Federal Agencies	3133ERDS7	FFCB 4.750 05/06/2027	12,727,000	50,378	(365)		50,013
Federal Agencies	3130AX4E5	FHLB 4.500 06/11/2027	11,000,000	41,250	1,676		42,926
Federal Agencies	3133ERGL9	FFCB 4.500 06/07/2028	15,000,000	56,250	111		56,361
Federal Agencies	3130B1BT3	FHLB 4.875 06/12/2026	13,485,000	54,783	(833)		53,950
Federal Agencies	3133ERHD6	FFCB 4.875 06/12/2026	32,000,000	130,000	(2,122)		127,879
Federal Agencies	3133ERHD6	FFCB 4.875 06/12/2026	20,000,000	81,250	(1,260)		79,990
Federal Agencies	3133ERHN4	FFCB 4.250 10/20/2028	38,000,000	134,583	4,069		138,652
Federal Agencies	3133ERHN4	FFCB 4.250 10/20/2028	5,000,000	17,708	529		18,237
Federal Agencies	3133ERGS4	FFCB 4.250 06/11/2029	10,000,000	35,417	537		35,953
Federal Agencies	3133ERGS4	FFCB 4.250 06/11/2029	10,000,000	35,417	537		35,953
Federal Agencies	3133ERGS4	FFCB 4.250 06/11/2029	20,000,000	70,833	1,073		71,907
Federal Agencies	3133ERGS4	FFCB 4.250 06/11/2029	10,000,000	35,417	537		35,953
Federal Agencies	3133ERGS4	FFCB 4.250 06/11/2029	29,000,000	102,708	1,263		103,972
Federal Agencies	3133ERGL9	FFCB 4.500 06/07/2028	20,000,000	75,000	(782)		74,218
Federal Agencies	3133ERGL9	FFCB 4.500 06/07/2028	14,934,000	56,003	(584)		55,418
Federal Agencies	3133ERJZ5	FFCB 4.500 06/28/2027	30,000,000	112,500	388		112,888
Federal Agencies	3133ERKM2	FFCB 4.500 07/08/2027	25,000,000	93,750	(912)		92,838
Federal Agencies	3130B1EF0	FHLB 4.625 06/11/2027	20,700,000	79,781	(2,691)		77,090
Federal Agencies	3133ERKM2	FFCB 4.500 07/08/2027	25,000,000	93,750	(700)		93,050
Federal Agencies	3133ERKX8	FFCB 4.250 07/12/2029	20,000,000	70,833	177		71,011
Federal Agencies	3134H16K4	FHLMC 5.380 07/09/2029	25,000,000	112,083			112,083
Federal Agencies	3134H16K4	FHLMC 5.380 07/09/2029	65,000,000	291,417			291,417
Federal Agencies	3134H16K4	FHLMC 5.380 07/09/2029	25,000,000	112,083			112,083

# Monthly Investment Earnings

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Accrued Interest Earned	(Amortization) / Accretion	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Total Earnings
Federal Agencies	3133ERMB4	FFCB 4.250 07/23/2027	10,000,000	35,417		96	35,513
Federal Agencies	3133ERMB4	FFCB 4.250 07/23/2027	15,000,000	53,125		144	53,269
Federal Agencies	3130B2KJ3	FHLB 4.625 09/04/2029	50,000,000	192,708			192,708
Federal Agencies	3130B2KJ3	FHLB 4.625 09/04/2029	25,000,000	96,354			96,354
Federal Agencies	3130B2KJ3	FHLB 4.625 09/04/2029	25,000,000	96,354			96,354
Federal Agencies	3130B2PJ8	FHLB 3.625 09/04/2026	25,000,000	75,521		1,383	76,904
Federal Agencies	3130B2PJ8	FHLB 3.625 09/04/2026	50,000,000	151,042		2,767	153,809
Federal Agencies	3130B2PJ8	FHLB 3.625 09/04/2026	19,000,000	57,396		1,051	58,447
Federal Agencies	3130B2PJ8	FHLB 3.625 09/04/2026	25,900,000	78,240		1,433	79,673
Federal Agencies	3130B2TG0	FHLB 4.375 09/24/2029	25,000,000	91,146			91,146
Federal Agencies	3130B2TG0	FHLB 4.375 09/24/2029	25,000,000	91,146			91,146
Federal Agencies	3130B2TG0	FHLB 4.375 09/24/2029	65,000,000	236,979			236,979
Federal Agencies	3130ATHX8	FHLB 4.125 09/14/2029	15,000,000	51,563		(6,498)	45,064
Federal Agencies	3133ERVR9	FFCB 3.500 07/01/2027	55,000,000	160,417		2,235	162,652
Federal Agencies	3130B2XR1	FHLB 4.010 07/02/2029	65,000,000	217,208			217,208
Federal Agencies	3130B2XR1	FHLB 4.010 07/02/2029	25,000,000	83,542			83,542
Federal Agencies	3130B2XR1	FHLB 4.010 07/02/2029	25,000,000	83,542			83,542
Federal Agencies	3133ERWR8	FFCB 3.500 01/07/2027	12,500,000	36,458		4,613	41,072
Federal Agencies	3133ERXJ5	FFCB 3.875 10/15/2027	8,000,000	25,833		105	25,939
Federal Agencies	3133ERXJ5	FFCB 3.875 10/15/2027	5,000,000	16,146		75	16,221
Federal Agencies	3130B3A29	FHLB 4.000 10/09/2026	15,000,000	50,000		130	50,130
Federal Agencies	3130B3A29	FHLB 4.000 10/09/2026	25,000,000	83,333		216	83,550
Federal Agencies	3130B3A29	FHLB 4.000 10/09/2026	25,000,000	83,333		216	83,550
Federal Agencies	3130B3A29	FHLB 4.000 10/09/2026	50,000,000	166,667		433	167,099
Federal Agencies	3130B3GD9	FHLB 4.000 11/27/2028	47,025,000	156,750		1,703	158,453
Federal Agencies	3130ATHX8	FHLB 4.125 09/14/2029	15,000,000	51,563		(814)	50,749
Federal Agencies	3130ATHX8	FHLB 4.125 09/14/2029	15,000,000	51,563		(728)	50,835
Federal Agencies	3130ATHX8	FHLB 4.125 09/14/2029	25,590,000	87,966		(1,241)	86,724
Federal Agencies	3133ERD24	FFCB 4.250 02/18/2027	30,000,000	106,250		602	106,852
Federal Agencies	3136GA3D9	FNMA 5.060 12/10/2029		82,225			82,225
Federal Agencies	3136GA3D9	FNMA 5.060 12/10/2029		31,625			31,625
Federal Agencies	3136GA3D9	FNMA 5.060 12/10/2029		31,625			31,625
Federal Agencies	3136GA3N7	FNMA 5.045 12/27/2029	20,000,000	84,083			84,083
Federal Agencies	3136GA3N7	FNMA 5.045 12/27/2029	62,000,000	260,658			260,658
Federal Agencies	3136GA3N7	FNMA 5.045 12/27/2029	20,000,000	84,083			84,083
Federal Agencies	3136GA3N7	FNMA 5.045 12/27/2029	20,000,000	84,083			84,083
Federal Agencies	3133ERJ51	FFCB 4.125 12/10/2027	38,343,000	131,804		3,182	134,986
Federal Agencies	3133ERJ51	FFCB 4.125 12/10/2027	25,505,000	87,673		2,110	89,783
Federal Agencies	3133ERJ51	FFCB 4.125 12/10/2027	21,000,000	72,188		1,743	73,930
Federal Agencies	3133ERJ51	FFCB 4.125 12/10/2027	33,000,000	113,438		2,948	116,386
Federal Agencies	3133ERT84	FFCB 4.250 01/14/2028	29,750,000	105,365		4,768	110,133
Federal Agencies	3133ERZ46	FFCB 4.250 01/28/2028	50,000,000	177,083		701	177,784
Federal Agencies	3133ERZ46	FFCB 4.250 01/28/2028	47,000,000	166,458		659	167,117
Federal Agencies	3133ERZ23	FFCB 4.250 11/03/2027	41,880,000	148,325		68	148,393
Federal Agencies	3133ERZ23	FFCB 4.250 11/03/2027	5,000,000	17,708		19	17,728
Federal Agencies	3136GAAR0	FNMA 4.690 02/07/2028	25,000,000	97,708			97,708
Federal Agencies	3136GAAR0	FNMA 4.690 02/07/2028	50,000,000	195,417			195,417
Federal Agencies	3136GAAR0	FNMA 4.690 02/07/2028	25,000,000	97,708			97,708
Federal Agencies	3136GAAR0	FNMA 4.690 02/07/2028	25,000,000	97,708			97,708
Federal Agencies	3136GAAR0	FNMA 4.690 02/07/2028	25,000,000	97,708			97,708
Federal Agencies	3133ER4A6	FFCB 4.250 02/18/2027	30,000,000	106,250		1,332	107,582
Federal Agencies	3133ER4A6	FFCB 4.250 02/18/2027	25,000,000	88,542		1,058	89,600
Federal Agencies	3133ER4A6	FFCB 4.250 02/18/2027	2,000,000	7,083		88	7,171
Federal Agencies	3133ER4H1	FFCB 4.500 01/18/2030	12,815,000	48,056		(3,532)	44,524
Federal Agencies	3133ER4H1	FFCB 4.500 01/18/2030	18,000,000	67,500		(4,937)	62,563



# Monthly Investment Earnings

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Accrued Interest Earned	(Amortization) / Accretion	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Total Earnings
Federal Agencies	3133ETBF3	FFCB 4.000 04/01/2030	32,260,000	107,533	266		107,800
Federal Agencies	3133ETBF3	FFCB 4.000 04/01/2030	46,750,000	155,833	285		156,119
Federal Agencies	3133ETBF3	FFCB 4.000 04/01/2030	15,000,000	50,000	104		50,104
Federal Agencies	3136GAFL8	FNMA 4.710 10/15/2029	50,000,000	196,250			196,250
Federal Agencies	3136GAFL8	FNMA 4.710 10/15/2029	25,000,000	98,125			98,125
Federal Agencies	3136GAFL8	FNMA 4.710 10/15/2029	25,000,000	98,125			98,125
Federal Agencies	3136GAFL8	FNMA 4.710 10/15/2029	25,000,000	98,125			98,125
Federal Agencies	3136GAFL8	FNMA 4.710 10/15/2029	25,000,000	98,125			98,125
Federal Agencies	3133ETBF3	FFCB 4.000 04/01/2030	43,020,000	143,400	1,911		145,311
Federal Agencies	3133ER7L9	FFCB 4.000 03/18/2030	8,695,000	28,983	389		29,373
Federal Agencies	3133ETBF3	FFCB 4.000 04/01/2030	50,000,000	166,667	7,823		174,490
Federal Agencies	313385HK5	FHDN 0.000 06/27/2025			66,294		66,294
Federal Agencies	3130AWC24	FHLB 4.000 06/09/2028	10,000,000	33,333	91		33,424
Federal Agencies	3133ETJF5	FFCB 4.000 02/23/2027	45,000,000	150,000	1,306		151,306
Federal Agencies	3133ETJF5	FFCB 4.000 02/23/2027	10,000,000	33,333	290		33,624
Federal Agencies	3133ETJV0	FFCB 4.000 11/30/2029	15,000,000	50,000	996		50,996
Federal Agencies	3133ETJS7	FFCB 4.000 11/10/2026	12,600,000	42,000	1,056		43,056
Federal Agencies	3133ETJV0	FFCB 4.000 11/30/2029	23,000,000	76,667	1,422		78,089
Federal Agencies	3130B6MU7	FHLB 4.590 06/15/2028	50,000,000	133,875			133,875
Federal Agencies	3130B6MU7	FHLB 4.590 06/15/2028	25,000,000	66,938			66,938
Federal Agencies	3130B6MU7	FHLB 4.590 06/15/2028	25,000,000	66,938			66,938
Federal Agencies	3130B6MU7	FHLB 4.590 06/15/2028	25,000,000	66,938			66,938
Federal Agencies	3133ETJZ1	FFCB 3.875 06/05/2028	25,000,000	69,965	1,014		70,979
Federal Agencies	313385GL4	FHDN 0.000 06/04/2025			11,778		11,778
Federal Agencies	313385GM2	FHDN 0.000 06/05/2025			5,861		5,861
Federal Agencies	3133ETKQ9	FFCB 4.000 06/10/2030	20,000,000	46,667	633		47,299
Federal Agencies	3133ETKQ9	FFCB 4.000 06/10/2030	10,000,000	23,333	299		23,632
Federal Agencies	3133ETKN6	FFCB 4.000 10/09/2029	15,000,000	36,667	261		36,927
Federal Agencies	3133ETKN6	FFCB 4.000 10/09/2029	10,000,000	24,444	174		24,618
Federal Agencies	313385GN0	FHDN 0.000 06/06/2025			11,750		11,750
Federal Agencies	3133ETJZ1	FFCB 3.875 06/05/2028	7,370,000	15,866	503		16,369
Federal Agencies	3130B6R24	FHLB 3.875 06/04/2027	16,500,000	31,969	548		32,517
Federal Agencies	3130B6R24	FHLB 3.875 06/04/2027	10,000,000	19,375	332		19,707
Federal Agencies	3130B6R24	FHLB 3.875 06/04/2027	20,000,000	38,750	664		39,414
Federal Agencies	3130B6R24	FHLB 3.875 06/04/2027	13,000,000	25,188	432		25,619
Federal Agencies	3130B6R24	FHLB 3.875 06/04/2027	36,000,000	69,750	1,195		70,945
Federal Agencies	3133ETME4	FFCB 4.000 01/23/2030	25,000,000	22,222	258		22,480
Federal Agencies	3133ETME4	FFCB 4.000 01/23/2030	14,450,000	12,844	149		12,994
Federal Agencies	3133ETME4	FFCB 4.000 01/23/2030	5,000,000	4,444	50		4,494
Federal Agencies	3130AWGS3	FHLB 4.125 06/14/2030	16,000,000	14,667	(278)		14,388
Federal Agencies	3133ETKQ9	FFCB 4.000 06/10/2030	25,000,000	22,222	154		22,377
<b>Subtotals</b>			<b>\$ 6,602,845,000</b>	<b>\$ 20,679,219</b>	<b>\$ 361,776</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 21,040,995</b>
Public Time Deposits	PPGJLMRN0	BRIDGE 4.350 06/16/2025		\$ 19,846		\$	19,846
Public Time Deposits	PPGR18NT6	BRIDGE 4.300 07/14/2025	10,000,000	35,342			35,342
Public Time Deposits	PPGPB4ZX6	BKSANF 4.340 06/11/2025		12,056			12,056
Public Time Deposits	PPGR8L735	BKSANF 4.330 07/07/2025	10,000,000	36,083			36,083
Public Time Deposits	PPGQE6C86	FIVSTR 4.290 11/17/2025	20,000,000	71,500			71,500
Public Time Deposits	PPGUALS16	BKSANF 4.310 12/08/2025	10,000,000	23,944			23,944
Public Time Deposits	PPGQEWEX2	BRIDGE 4.340 12/15/2025	10,000,000	17,836			17,836
<b>Subtotals</b>			<b>\$ 60,000,000</b>	<b>\$ 216,607</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 216,607</b>
Negotiable CDs	78015JTB3	RY 5.090 07/14/2025	\$ 50,000,000	\$ 212,083		\$	212,083
Negotiable CDs	78015JVF1	RY 4.610 07/01/2025	50,000,000	192,083			192,083
Negotiable CDs	06367DM44	BMOCHG 4.250 06/30/2025		342,361			342,361

## Monthly Investment Earnings

### Pooled Fund

<u>Type of Investment</u>	<u>CUSIP</u>	<u>Issuer Name</u>	<u>Par Value</u>	<u>Accrued Interest Earned</u>	<u>(Amortization) / Accretion</u>	<u>Realized Gain/(Loss)</u>	<u>Total Earnings</u>
Negotiable CDs	13606DAG7	CIBCNY 4.250 06/30/2025		342,361			342,361
Negotiable CDs	78015JWF0	RY 4.220 06/24/2025		269,611			269,611
Negotiable CDs	06367DMT9	BMOCHG 4.560 06/16/2025		218,500			218,500
Negotiable CDs	78015J2U0	RY 4.530 07/28/2025	115,000,000	434,125			434,125
Negotiable CDs	06367DMU6	BMOCHG 4.590 08/11/2025	65,000,000	248,625			248,625
Negotiable CDs	78015J2Y2	RY 4.550 09/08/2025	65,000,000	246,458			246,458
Negotiable CDs	78015J3L9	RY 4.510 11/20/2025	60,000,000	225,500			225,500

# Monthly Investment Earnings

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Accrued Interest Earned	(Amortization) / Accretion	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Total Earnings
Negotiable CDs	06367DMX0	BMOCHG 4.570 10/24/2025	50,000,000	190,417			190,417
Negotiable CDs	13606DCE0	CIBCNY 4.550 10/24/2025	50,000,000	189,583			189,583
Negotiable CDs	78015J3U9	RY 4.560 11/19/2025	50,000,000	190,000			190,000
Negotiable CDs	06367DN84	BMOCHG 4.580 07/02/2025	50,000,000	190,833			190,833
Negotiable CDs	13606DCT7	CIBCNY 4.580 07/02/2025	50,000,000	190,833			190,833
Negotiable CDs	78015J5G8	RY 4.560 06/02/2025		12,667			12,667
Negotiable CDs	13606DCU4	CIBCNY 4.560 06/02/2025		3,167			3,167
Negotiable CDs	13606DCV2	CIBCNY 4.530 09/22/2025	75,000,000	283,125			283,125
Negotiable CDs	06367DN92	BMOCHG 4.530 09/22/2025	50,000,000	188,750			188,750
Negotiable CDs	06367DNE1	BMOCHG 4.460 06/23/2025		136,278			136,278
Negotiable CDs	06367DNG6	BMOCHG 4.460 06/27/2025		161,056			161,056
Negotiable CDs	78015JAG2	RY 4.430 07/14/2025	72,000,000	265,800			265,800
Negotiable CDs	13606DDU3	CIBCNY 4.500 07/23/2025	75,000,000	281,250			281,250
Negotiable CDs	78015JC47	RY 4.410 08/11/2025	66,000,000	242,550			242,550
Negotiable CDs	06367DNQ4	BMOCHG 4.450 07/30/2025	50,000,000	185,417			185,417
Negotiable CDs	13606DFF4	CIBCNY 4.420 09/24/2025	110,000,000	405,167			405,167
Negotiable CDs	89115DPK6	TDNY 4.490 10/24/2025	100,000,000	374,167			374,167
Negotiable CDs	89115DQ33	TDNY 4.430 06/20/2025		35,071			35,071
Negotiable CDs	89115DQ74	TDNY 4.440 06/23/2025		122,100			122,100
Negotiable CDs	89115DQB5	TDNY 4.450 07/01/2025	35,000,000	129,792			129,792
Negotiable CDs	89115DQF6	TDNY 4.450 07/03/2025	20,000,000	74,167			74,167
Negotiable CDs	89115DQT6	TDNY 4.540 01/12/2026	100,000,000	378,333			378,333
Negotiable CDs	89115DWB8	TDNY 4.360 09/05/2025	50,000,000	181,667			181,667
Negotiable CDs	89115DWF9	TDNY 4.360 09/10/2025	50,000,000	181,667			181,667
Negotiable CDs	89115DWK8	TDNY 4.350 11/05/2025	50,000,000	181,250			181,250
Negotiable CDs	06367DPW9	BMOCHG 4.350 10/24/2025	50,000,000	181,250			181,250
Negotiable CDs	13606DGY2	CIBCNY 4.360 01/22/2026	60,000,000	218,000			218,000
Negotiable CDs	13606DGZ9	CIBCNY 4.360 01/28/2026	80,000,000	290,667			290,667
Negotiable CDs	89115DWT9	TDNY 4.360 01/28/2026	50,000,000	181,667			181,667
Negotiable CDs	06367DQ32	BMOCHG 4.330 02/09/2026	75,000,000	270,625			270,625
Negotiable CDs	13606DHE5	CIBCNY 4.340 12/15/2025	75,000,000	271,250			271,250
Negotiable CDs	13606DHF2	CIBCNY 4.330 02/09/2026	50,000,000	180,417			180,417
Negotiable CDs	89115DXB7	TDNY 4.340 12/15/2025	60,000,000	217,000			217,000
Negotiable CDs	89115DXF8	TDNY 4.340 12/29/2025	65,000,000	235,083			235,083
Negotiable CDs	96130AZR2	WSTNY 4.350 12/03/2025	100,000,000	362,500			362,500
Negotiable CDs	13606DKH4	CIBCNY 4.330 01/20/2026	60,000,000	216,500			216,500
Negotiable CDs	06367DQK4	BMOCHG 4.320 01/12/2026	50,000,000	180,000			180,000
Negotiable CDs	06367DQP3	BMOCHG 4.430 02/11/2026	70,000,000	258,417			258,417
Negotiable CDs	96130AZZ4	WSTNY 4.350 05/04/2026	125,000,000	453,125			453,125
Negotiable CDs	78015JG84	RY 4.330 02/23/2026	60,000,000	216,500			216,500
Negotiable CDs	96130AA24	WSTNY 4.350 03/16/2026	100,000,000	362,500			362,500
Negotiable CDs	96130AA65	WSTNY 4.380 03/23/2026	140,000,000	511,000			511,000
Negotiable CDs	89115DEG7	TDNY 4.410 03/11/2026	50,000,000	183,750			183,750
Negotiable CDs	78015JGQ4	RY 4.380 03/06/2026	50,000,000	182,500			182,500
Negotiable CDs	89115DHC3	TDNY 4.400 03/16/2026	60,000,000	80,667			80,667
Negotiable CDs	96130AC22	WSTNY 4.320 06/15/2026	100,000,000	132,000			132,000
Negotiable CDs	06367DRH0	BMOCHG 4.330 03/24/2026	50,000,000	36,083			36,083
Negotiable CDs	06367DRJ6	BMOCHG 4.330 03/25/2026	50,000,000	36,083			36,083
Negotiable CDs	78015JJ81	RY 4.290 03/16/2026	100,000,000	71,500			71,500
<b>Subtotals</b>			<b>\$ 3,288,000,000</b>	<b>\$ 12,835,896</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 12,835,896</b>



# Monthly Investment Earnings

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Accrued Interest Earned	(Amortization) / Accretion	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Total Earnings
Commercial Paper	89233GVR4	TOYCC 0.000 08/25/2025	\$ 65,000,000		\$ 240,500		\$ 240,500
Commercial Paper	89233GW85	TOYCC 0.000 09/08/2025	65,000,000		235,625		235,625
Commercial Paper	89233GX84	TOYCC 0.000 10/08/2025	60,000,000		215,000		215,000
Commercial Paper	89233GXQ4	TOYCC 0.000 10/24/2025	50,000,000		180,417		180,417
Commercial Paper	89116ET29	TDHUSA 0.000 06/02/2025			604		604
Commercial Paper	89116ET45	TDHUSA 0.000 06/04/2025			17,037		17,037
Commercial Paper	89116ET60	TDHUSA 0.000 06/06/2025			9,063		9,063
Commercial Paper	89116ETJ2	TDHUSA 0.000 06/18/2025			67,788		67,788
Commercial Paper	89233GXP6	TOYCC 0.000 10/23/2025	75,000,000		276,875		276,875
Commercial Paper	89233GZ17	TOYCC 0.000 12/01/2025	125,000,000		436,458		436,458
Commercial Paper	89233GZF6	TOYCC 0.000 12/15/2025	75,000,000		261,250		261,250
Commercial Paper	62479LTG0	MUFGBK 0.000 06/16/2025			18,250		18,250
Commercial Paper	62479LUF0	MUFGBK 0.000 07/15/2025	15,000,000		54,375		54,375
Commercial Paper	62479LUJ2	MUFGBK 0.000 07/18/2025	15,000,000		54,375		54,375
Commercial Paper	62479LUG8	MUFGBK 0.000 07/16/2025	20,000,000		72,000		72,000
Commercial Paper	62479LV51	MUFGBK 0.000 08/05/2025	25,000,000		89,792		89,792
Commercial Paper	62479LWF8	MUFGBK 0.000 09/15/2025	20,000,000		71,000		71,000
Commercial Paper	62479LWP6	MUFGBK 0.000 09/23/2025	35,000,000		124,250		124,250
Commercial Paper	62479LX67	MUFGBK 0.000 10/06/2025	25,000,000		88,542		88,542
Commercial Paper	62479LXF7	MUFGBK 0.000 10/15/2025	11,000,000		38,867		38,867
Commercial Paper	62479LXL4	MUFGBK 0.000 10/20/2025	15,000,000		53,000		53,000
Commercial Paper	62479LXN0	MUFGBK 0.000 10/22/2025	55,000,000		194,333		194,333
Commercial Paper	62479LTD7	MUFGBK 0.000 06/13/2025			146,000		146,000
Commercial Paper	19121AUA7	KOPP 0.000 07/10/2025	50,000,000		180,417		180,417
Commercial Paper	03785DTC0	APPINC 0.000 06/12/2025			73,104		73,104
Commercial Paper	03785DWF9	APPINC 0.000 09/15/2025	10,000,000		35,000		35,000
Commercial Paper	62479LY33	MUFGBK 0.000 11/03/2025	10,000,000		36,167		36,167
Commercial Paper	62479LY74	MUFGBK 0.000 11/07/2025	11,000,000		39,692		39,692
Commercial Paper	62479LYH2	MUFGBK 0.000 11/17/2025	38,000,000		136,483		136,483
Commercial Paper	62479LYM1	MUFGBK 0.000 11/21/2025	26,000,000		93,383		93,383
Commercial Paper	62479LYS8	MUFGBK 0.000 11/26/2025	15,000,000		53,750		53,750
Commercial Paper	62479LV51	MUFGBK 0.000 08/05/2025	110,000,000		403,333		403,333
Commercial Paper	89116EZ55	TDHUSA 0.000 12/05/2025	11,000,000		38,958		38,958
Commercial Paper	89116EZF3	TDHUSA 0.000 12/15/2025	25,000,000		88,333		88,333
Commercial Paper	89116EZF1	TDHUSA 0.000 12/23/2025	40,000,000		140,333		140,333
Commercial Paper	62479MB69	MUFGBK 0.000 02/06/2026	25,000,000		89,375		89,375
Commercial Paper	14912DTC3	CATFIN 0.000 06/12/2025			40,783		40,783
Commercial Paper	03785DTA4	APPINC 0.000 06/10/2025			33,567		33,567
Commercial Paper	14912DT90	CATFIN 0.000 06/09/2025			23,093		23,093
Commercial Paper	14912DT90	CATFIN 0.000 06/09/2025			41,376		41,376
Commercial Paper	14912DTP4	CATFIN 0.000 06/23/2025			65,393		65,393
Commercial Paper	14912DTW9	CATFIN 0.000 06/30/2025			80,887		80,887
Commercial Paper	62479MC92	MUFGBK 0.000 03/09/2026	130,000,000		202,331		202,331
<b>Subtotals</b>			<b>\$ 1,252,000,000</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 4,841,159</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 4,841,159</b>
Medium Term Notes	594918CN2	MSFT 3.400 09/15/2026	\$ 6,452,000	\$ 18,281	\$ 6,806		\$ 25,087
Medium Term Notes	594918CN2	MSFT 3.400 09/15/2026	13,009,000	36,859	13,650		50,509
Medium Term Notes	91324PFF4	UNH 4.750 07/15/2026	15,000,000	59,375	1,050		60,425
Medium Term Notes	24422EXV6	DE 4.200 07/15/2027	10,000,000	35,000	40		35,040
Medium Term Notes	14913UAN0	CAT 4.450 10/16/2026	18,385,000	68,178	(8,521)		59,657
Medium Term Notes	037833CJ7	AAPL 3.350 02/09/2027	50,000,000	139,583	14,211		153,794
Medium Term Notes	594918BJ2	MSFT 3.125 11/03/2025	11,749,000	30,596	7,356		37,952
Medium Term Notes	89236TMY8	TOYOTA 4.600 01/08/2027	40,000,000	153,333	905		154,239
Medium Term Notes	24422EYD5	DE 4.250 06/05/2028	25,000,000	76,736	528		77,264
<b>Subtotals</b>			<b>\$ 189,595,000</b>	<b>\$ 617,941</b>	<b>\$ 36,025</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 653,966</b>

# Monthly Investment Earnings

## Pooled Fund

Type of Investment	CUSIP	Issuer Name	Par Value	Accrued Interest Earned	(Amortization) / Accretion	Realized Gain/(Loss)	Total Earnings
Money Market Funds	09248U718	BlackRock Liquidity Funds T-Fund	\$ 14,318,705	\$ 49,004			\$ 49,004
Money Market Funds	31607A703	Fidelity Govt Portfolio	885,999,975	2,216,879			2,216,879
Money Market Funds	608919718	Federated Hermes Govt Obligations Fund	500,862,525	1,615,529			1,615,529
Money Market Funds	262006208	Dreyfus Government Cash Management	14,895,474	51,008			51,008
Money Market Funds	85749T517	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt MMF	807,449,954	2,348,121			2,348,121
Money Market Funds	61747C319	Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity Fund	193,460,339	67,226			67,226
<b>Subtotals</b>			<b>\$ 2,416,986,972</b>	<b>\$ 6,347,767</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 6,347,767</b>
Supranationals	45818WDG8	IADB 0.820 02/27/2026	\$ 19,500,000	\$ 13,325	\$ (1,037)		\$ 12,288
Supranationals	4581X0DN5	IADB 0.625 07/15/2025	28,900,000	15,052	8,452		23,504
Supranationals	45950VRU2	IFC 4.023 01/26/2026	100,000,000	335,250			335,250
Supranationals	4581X0EN4	IADB 4.125 02/15/2029	25,000,000	85,938	6,261		92,198
Supranationals	459058KJ1	IBRD 3.125 06/15/2027	12,323,000	32,153	10,969		43,122
Supranationals	4581X0EN4	IADB 4.125 02/15/2029	50,000,000	171,875	3,100		174,975
<b>Subtotals</b>			<b>\$ 235,723,000</b>	<b>\$ 653,592</b>	<b>\$ 27,745</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 681,338</b>
Secured Bank Deposit	0660P0999	Bank of America TTX INV Deposit Acct	\$ 358,432,719	\$ 1,276,954			\$ 1,276,954
<b>Subtotals</b>			<b>\$ 358,432,719</b>	<b>\$ 1,276,954</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 1,276,954</b>
<b>Grand Totals</b>			<b>\$18,315,582,690</b>	<b>\$ 50,661,879</b>	<b>\$ 7,211,347</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 57,873,226</b>

# Investment Transactions

## Pooled Fund

For month ended June 30, 2025

Accounting ID	Transaction Type	Cusip	Description	Price	Settlement Date	Posted Date	Par Value	Principal	Accrued Interest	Total
58705	Buy	313385GL4	FHDN 0.000 06/04/2025	99.98822	06/03/2025	06/03/2025	100,000,000.00	99,988,222.22	0.00	99,988,222.22
58706	Buy	313385GM2	FHDN 0.000 06/05/2025	99.97656	06/03/2025	06/03/2025	25,000,000.00	24,994,138.89	0.00	24,994,138.89
58703	Buy	14912DTP4	CATFIN 0.000 06/23/2025	99.77200	06/04/2025	06/04/2025	28,681,000.00	28,615,607.32	0.00	28,615,607.32
58702	Buy	24422EYD5	DE 4.250 06/05/2028	99.91100	06/05/2025	06/05/2025	25,000,000.00	24,977,750.00	0.00	24,977,750.00
58704	Buy	3133ETJZ1	FFCB 3.875 06/05/2028	99.82900	06/05/2025	06/05/2025	25,000,000.00	24,957,250.00	0.00	24,957,250.00
58711	Buy	14912DTW9	CATFIN 0.000 06/30/2025	99.69931	06/05/2025	06/05/2025	26,900,000.00	26,819,113.19	0.00	26,819,113.19
58712	Buy	313385GN0	FHDN 0.000 06/06/2025	99.98825	06/05/2025	06/05/2025	100,000,000.00	99,988,250.00	0.00	99,988,250.00
58709	Buy	3133ETKN6	FFCB 4.000 10/09/2029	99.87500	06/09/2025	06/09/2025	15,000,000.00	14,981,250.00	0.00	14,981,250.00
58710	Buy	3133ETKN6	FFCB 4.000 10/09/2029	99.87500	06/09/2025	06/09/2025	10,000,000.00	9,987,500.00	0.00	9,987,500.00
58698	Buy	3130B6MU7	FHLB 4.590 06/15/2028	100.00000	06/10/2025	06/10/2025	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
58699	Buy	3130B6MU7	FHLB 4.590 06/15/2028	100.00000	06/10/2025	06/10/2025	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00	0.00	25,000,000.00
58700	Buy	3130B6MU7	FHLB 4.590 06/15/2028	100.00000	06/10/2025	06/10/2025	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00	0.00	25,000,000.00
58701	Buy	3130B6MU7	FHLB 4.590 06/15/2028	100.00000	06/10/2025	06/10/2025	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00	0.00	25,000,000.00
58707	Buy	3133ETKQ9	FFCB 4.000 06/10/2030	99.72500	06/10/2025	06/10/2025	20,000,000.00	19,945,000.00	0.00	19,945,000.00
58708	Buy	3133ETKQ9	FFCB 4.000 06/10/2030	99.74000	06/10/2025	06/10/2025	10,000,000.00	9,974,000.00	0.00	9,974,000.00
58713	Buy	PPGUALS16	BKSANF 4.310 12/08/2025	100.00000	06/11/2025	06/11/2025	10,000,000.00	10,000,000.00	0.00	10,000,000.00
58714	Buy	3133ETJZ1	FFCB 3.875 06/05/2028	99.62800	06/11/2025	06/11/2025	7,370,000.00	7,342,583.60	4,759.79	7,347,343.39
58715	Buy	3130B6R24	FHLB 3.875 06/04/2027	99.86700	06/13/2025	06/13/2025	16,500,000.00	16,478,055.00	0.00	16,478,055.00
58716	Buy	3130B6R24	FHLB 3.875 06/04/2027	99.86700	06/13/2025	06/13/2025	10,000,000.00	9,986,700.00	0.00	9,986,700.00
58717	Buy	3130B6R24	FHLB 3.875 06/04/2027	99.86700	06/13/2025	06/13/2025	20,000,000.00	19,973,400.00	0.00	19,973,400.00
58718	Buy	3130B6R24	FHLB 3.875 06/04/2027	99.86700	06/13/2025	06/13/2025	13,000,000.00	12,982,710.00	0.00	12,982,710.00
58719	Buy	3130B6R24	FHLB 3.875 06/04/2027	99.86700	06/13/2025	06/13/2025	36,000,000.00	35,952,120.00	0.00	35,952,120.00
58720	Buy	PPGQEWEX2	BRIDGE 4.340 12/15/2025	100.00000	06/16/2025	06/16/2025	10,000,000.00	10,000,000.00	0.00	10,000,000.00
58724	Buy	62479MC92	MUFGBK 0.000 03/09/2026	96.83933	06/18/2025	06/18/2025	130,000,000.00	125,891,133.33	0.00	125,891,133.33
58725	Buy	89115DHC3	TDNY 4.400 03/16/2026	100.00000	06/20/2025	06/20/2025	60,000,000.00	60,000,000.00	0.00	60,000,000.00
58726	Buy	96130AC22	WSTNY 4.320 06/15/2026	100.00000	06/20/2025	06/20/2025	100,000,000.00	100,000,000.00	0.00	100,000,000.00
58721	Buy	3133ETME4	FFCB 4.000 01/23/2030	99.78400	06/23/2025	06/23/2025	25,000,000.00	24,946,000.00	0.00	24,946,000.00
58722	Buy	3133ETME4	FFCB 4.000 01/23/2030	99.78400	06/23/2025	06/23/2025	14,450,000.00	14,418,788.00	0.00	14,418,788.00
58723	Buy	3133ETME4	FFCB 4.000 01/23/2030	99.79100	06/23/2025	06/23/2025	5,000,000.00	4,989,550.00	0.00	4,989,550.00
58727	Buy	3130AWGS3	FHLB 4.125 06/14/2030	100.39500	06/23/2025	06/23/2025	16,000,000.00	16,063,200.00	16,500.00	16,079,700.00
58728	Buy	3133ETKQ9	FFCB 4.000 06/10/2030	99.86000	06/23/2025	06/23/2025	25,000,000.00	24,965,000.00	36,111.11	25,001,111.11
58729	Buy	06367DRH0	BMOCHG 4.330 03/24/2026	100.00000	06/25/2025	06/25/2025	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
58730	Buy	06367DRJ6	BMOCHG 4.330 03/25/2026	100.00000	06/25/2025	06/25/2025	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
58731	Buy	78015JJ81	RY 4.290 03/16/2026	100.00000	06/25/2025	06/25/2025	100,000,000.00	100,000,000.00	0.00	100,000,000.00
Activity Total							1,208,901,000.00	1,204,217,321.55	57,370.90	1,204,274,692.45

# Investment Transactions

## Pooled Fund

Accounting ID	Transaction Type	Cusip	Description	Price	Settlement Date	Posted Date	Par Value	Principal	Accrued Interest	Total
58462	Maturity	13606DCU4	CIBCN 4.560 06/02/2025	100.00000	06/02/2025	06/02/2025	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00	0.00	25,000,000.00
58461	Maturity	78015J5G8	RY 4.560 06/02/2025	100.00000	06/02/2025	06/02/2025	100,000,000.00	100,000,000.00	0.00	100,000,000.00
58538	Maturity	89116ET29	TDHUSA 0.000 06/02/2025	100.00000	06/02/2025	06/02/2025	5,000,000.00	5,000,000.00	0.00	5,000,000.00
58536	Maturity	912797PL5	B 0.000 06/03/2025	100.00000	06/03/2025	06/03/2025	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
58705	Maturity	313385GL4	FHDN 0.000 06/04/2025	100.00000	06/04/2025	06/04/2025	100,000,000.00	100,000,000.00	0.00	100,000,000.00
58539	Maturity	89116ET45	TDHUSA 0.000 06/04/2025	100.00000	06/04/2025	06/04/2025	47,000,000.00	47,000,000.00	0.00	47,000,000.00
58706	Maturity	313385GM2	FHDN 0.000 06/05/2025	100.00000	06/05/2025	06/05/2025	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00	0.00	25,000,000.00
57675	Maturity	3130AWER7	FHLB 4.625 06/06/2025	100.00000	06/06/2025	06/06/2025	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00	0.00	25,000,000.00
57676	Maturity	3130AWER7	FHLB 4.625 06/06/2025	100.00000	06/06/2025	06/06/2025	15,000,000.00	15,000,000.00	0.00	15,000,000.00
57677	Maturity	3130AWER7	FHLB 4.625 06/06/2025	100.00000	06/06/2025	06/06/2025	52,000,000.00	52,000,000.00	0.00	52,000,000.00
57678	Maturity	3130AWER7	FHLB 4.625 06/06/2025	100.00000	06/06/2025	06/06/2025	10,000,000.00	10,000,000.00	0.00	10,000,000.00
58712	Maturity	313385GN0	FHDN 0.000 06/06/2025	100.00000	06/06/2025	06/06/2025	100,000,000.00	100,000,000.00	0.00	100,000,000.00
58540	Maturity	89116ET60	TDHUSA 0.000 06/06/2025	100.00000	06/06/2025	06/06/2025	15,000,000.00	15,000,000.00	0.00	15,000,000.00
58693	Maturity	14912DT90	CATFIN 0.000 06/09/2025	100.00000	06/09/2025	06/09/2025	24,000,000.00	24,000,000.00	0.00	24,000,000.00
58694	Maturity	14912DT90	CATFIN 0.000 06/09/2025	100.00000	06/09/2025	06/09/2025	43,000,000.00	43,000,000.00	0.00	43,000,000.00
58685	Maturity	03785DTA4	APPINC 0.000 06/10/2025	100.00000	06/10/2025	06/10/2025	31,153,000.00	31,153,000.00	0.00	31,153,000.00
58473	Full Call	3136GA3D9	FNMA 5.060 12/10/2029	100.00000	06/10/2025	06/10/2025	65,000,000.00	65,000,000.00	0.00	65,000,000.00
58474	Full Call	3136GA3D9	FNMA 5.060 12/10/2029	100.00000	06/10/2025	06/10/2025	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00	0.00	25,000,000.00
58475	Full Call	3136GA3D9	FNMA 5.060 12/10/2029	100.00000	06/10/2025	06/10/2025	25,000,000.00	25,000,000.00	0.00	25,000,000.00
58530	Maturity	912797PR2	B 0.000 06/10/2025	100.00000	06/10/2025	06/10/2025	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
58568	Maturity	PPGPB4ZX6	BKSANF 4.340 06/11/2025	100.00000	06/11/2025	06/11/2025	10,000,000.00	10,000,000.00	0.00	10,000,000.00
58622	Maturity	03785DTC0	APPINC 0.000 06/12/2025	100.00000	06/12/2025	06/12/2025	55,000,000.00	55,000,000.00	0.00	55,000,000.00
58684	Maturity	14912DTC3	CATFIN 0.000 06/12/2025	100.00000	06/12/2025	06/12/2025	30,754,000.00	30,754,000.00	0.00	30,754,000.00
47425	Maturity	3130ASG86	FHLB 3.375 06/13/2025	100.00000	06/13/2025	06/13/2025	12,700,000.00	12,700,000.00	0.00	12,700,000.00
47426	Maturity	3130ASG86	FHLB 3.375 06/13/2025	100.00000	06/13/2025	06/13/2025	11,940,000.00	11,940,000.00	0.00	11,940,000.00
57650	Maturity	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025	100.00000	06/13/2025	06/13/2025	10,000,000.00	10,000,000.00	0.00	10,000,000.00
57655	Maturity	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025	100.00000	06/13/2025	06/13/2025	9,915,000.00	9,915,000.00	0.00	9,915,000.00
57656	Maturity	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025	100.00000	06/13/2025	06/13/2025	25,500,000.00	25,500,000.00	0.00	25,500,000.00
57658	Maturity	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025	100.00000	06/13/2025	06/13/2025	3,000,000.00	3,000,000.00	0.00	3,000,000.00
57659	Maturity	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025	100.00000	06/13/2025	06/13/2025	10,000,000.00	10,000,000.00	0.00	10,000,000.00
57666	Maturity	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025	100.00000	06/13/2025	06/13/2025	24,000,000.00	24,000,000.00	0.00	24,000,000.00
57708	Maturity	3130AWLY4	FHLB 5.125 06/13/2025	100.00000	06/13/2025	06/13/2025	48,150,000.00	48,150,000.00	0.00	48,150,000.00
57709	Maturity	3130AWLY4	FHLB 5.125 06/13/2025	100.00000	06/13/2025	06/13/2025	10,800,000.00	10,800,000.00	0.00	10,800,000.00
57539	Maturity	3133EN4B3	FFCB 4.250 06/13/2025	100.00000	06/13/2025	06/13/2025	15,000,000.00	15,000,000.00	0.00	15,000,000.00
57540	Maturity	3133EN4B3	FFCB 4.250 06/13/2025	100.00000	06/13/2025	06/13/2025	15,000,000.00	15,000,000.00	0.00	15,000,000.00
57541	Maturity	3133EN4B3	FFCB 4.250 06/13/2025	100.00000	06/13/2025	06/13/2025	15,000,000.00	15,000,000.00	0.00	15,000,000.00
47381	Maturity	3133ENYQ7	FFCB 2.950 06/13/2025	100.00000	06/13/2025	06/13/2025	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
58620	Maturity	62479LTD7	MUFGBK 0.000 06/13/2025	100.00000	06/13/2025	06/13/2025	100,000,000.00	100,000,000.00	0.00	100,000,000.00
58442	Maturity	06367DMT9	BMOCHG 4.560 06/16/2025	100.00000	06/16/2025	06/16/2025	115,000,000.00	115,000,000.00	0.00	115,000,000.00
58577	Maturity	62479LTD0	MUFGBK 0.000 06/16/2025	100.00000	06/16/2025	06/16/2025	10,000,000.00	10,000,000.00	0.00	10,000,000.00
58494	Maturity	PPGJLMRN0	BRIDGE 4.350 06/16/2025	100.00000	06/16/2025	06/16/2025	10,000,000.00	10,000,000.00	0.00	10,000,000.00
47239	Maturity	3135G04Z3	FNMA 0.500 06/17/2025	100.00000	06/17/2025	06/17/2025	10,000,000.00	10,000,000.00	0.00	10,000,000.00
47241	Maturity	3135G04Z3	FNMA 0.500 06/17/2025	100.00000	06/17/2025	06/17/2025	4,655,000.00	4,655,000.00	0.00	4,655,000.00
58541	Maturity	89116ETJ2	TDHUSA 0.000 06/18/2025	100.00000	06/18/2025	06/18/2025	33,000,000.00	33,000,000.00	0.00	33,000,000.00
58542	Maturity	89115DQ33	TDNY 4.430 06/20/2025	100.00000	06/20/2025	06/20/2025	15,000,000.00	15,000,000.00	0.00	15,000,000.00
58663	Maturity	912797NV5	B 0.000 06/20/2025	100.00000	06/20/2025	06/20/2025	100,000,000.00	100,000,000.00	0.00	100,000,000.00
58483	Maturity	06367DNE1	BMOCHG 4.460 06/23/2025	100.00000	06/23/2025	06/23/2025	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
58703	Maturity	14912DTP4	CATFIN 0.000 06/23/2025	100.00000	06/23/2025	06/23/2025	28,681,000.00	28,681,000.00	0.00	28,681,000.00
58543	Maturity	89115DQ74	TDNY 4.440 06/23/2025	100.00000	06/23/2025	06/23/2025	45,000,000.00	45,000,000.00	0.00	45,000,000.00
58390	Maturity	78015JWF0	RY 4.220 06/24/2025	100.00000	06/24/2025	06/24/2025	100,000,000.00	100,000,000.00	0.00	100,000,000.00
58484	Maturity	06367DNG6	BMOCHG 4.460 06/27/2025	100.00000	06/27/2025	06/27/2025	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
58656	Maturity	313385HK5	FHDN 0.000 06/27/2025	100.00000	06/27/2025	06/27/2025	21,700,000.00	21,700,000.00	0.00	21,700,000.00
58388	Maturity	06367DM44	BMOCHG 4.250 06/30/2025	100.00000	06/30/2025	06/30/2025	100,000,000.00	100,000,000.00	0.00	100,000,000.00
58389	Maturity	13606DAG7	CIBCN 4.250 06/30/2025	100.00000	06/30/2025	06/30/2025	100,000,000.00	100,000,000.00	0.00	100,000,000.00

# Investment Transactions

## Pooled Fund

Accounting ID	Transaction Type	Cusip	Description	Price	Settlement Date	Posted Date	Par Value	Principal	Accrued Interest	Total
58711	Maturity	14912DTW9	CATFIN 0.000 06/30/2025	100.00000	06/30/2025	06/30/2025	26,900,000.00	26,900,000.00	0.00	26,900,000.00
47091	Maturity	3130AN4A5	FHLB 0.700 06/30/2025	100.00000	06/30/2025	06/30/2025	17,680,000.00	17,680,000.00	0.00	17,680,000.00
46959	Maturity	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	100.00000	06/30/2025	06/30/2025	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
46960	Maturity	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	100.00000	06/30/2025	06/30/2025	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
47045	Maturity	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	100.00000	06/30/2025	06/30/2025	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
47046	Maturity	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	100.00000	06/30/2025	06/30/2025	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
47051	Maturity	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	100.00000	06/30/2025	06/30/2025	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
47093	Maturity	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	100.00000	06/30/2025	06/30/2025	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
47109	Maturity	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	100.00000	06/30/2025	06/30/2025	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
47112	Maturity	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	100.00000	06/30/2025	06/30/2025	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
47238	Maturity	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	100.00000	06/30/2025	06/30/2025	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
57844	Maturity	91282CHL8	T 4.625 06/30/2025	100.00000	06/30/2025	06/30/2025	50,000,000.00	50,000,000.00	0.00	50,000,000.00
			Activity Total				2,626,528,000.00	2,626,528,000.00	0.00	2,626,528,000.00

## Interest Received Pooled Fund

For month ended June 30, 2025

Accounting ID	Transaction Type	Cusip	Description	Date Posted	Interest Received	Purchased Interest Adjustment	Net Interest
58430	Interest Income	91282CKT7	T 4.500 05/31/2029	06/02/2025	1,125,000.00		1,125,000.00
58461	Interest Income	78015J5G8	RY 4.560 06/02/2025	06/02/2025	2,292,666.70		2,292,666.70
58462	Interest Income	13606DCU4	CIBCNY 4.560 06/02/2025	06/02/2025	573,166.68		573,166.68
47226	Interest Income	91282CDK4	T 1.250 11/30/2026	06/02/2025	312,500.00		312,500.00
47237	Interest Income	91282CDK4	T 1.250 11/30/2026	06/02/2025	312,500.00		312,500.00
47333	Interest Income	91282CDK4	T 1.250 11/30/2026	06/02/2025	312,500.00		312,500.00
57675	Interest Income	3130AWER7	FHLB 4.625 06/06/2025	06/06/2025	578,125.00		578,125.00
57676	Interest Income	3130AWER7	FHLB 4.625 06/06/2025	06/06/2025	346,875.00		346,875.00
57677	Interest Income	3130AWER7	FHLB 4.625 06/06/2025	06/06/2025	1,202,500.00		1,202,500.00
57678	Interest Income	3130AWER7	FHLB 4.625 06/06/2025	06/06/2025	231,250.00		231,250.00
58000	Interest Income	3133ERGL9	FFCB 4.500 06/07/2028	06/09/2025	337,500.00		337,500.00
58038	Interest Income	3133ERGL9	FFCB 4.500 06/07/2028	06/09/2025	450,000.00		450,000.00
58040	Interest Income	3133ERGL9	FFCB 4.500 06/07/2028	06/09/2025	336,015.00		336,015.00
58672	Interest Income	3130AWC24	FHLB 4.000 06/09/2028	06/09/2025	200,000.00	172,222.22	27,777.78
58473	Interest Income	3136GA3D9	FNMA 5.060 12/10/2029	06/10/2025	1,553,138.89		1,553,138.89
58474	Interest Income	3136GA3D9	FNMA 5.060 12/10/2029	06/10/2025	597,361.11		597,361.11
58475	Interest Income	3136GA3D9	FNMA 5.060 12/10/2029	06/10/2025	597,361.11		597,361.11
58495	Interest Income	3133ERJ51	FFCB 4.125 12/10/2027	06/10/2025	790,824.38	30,754.28	760,070.10
58496	Interest Income	3133ERJ51	FFCB 4.125 12/10/2027	06/10/2025	526,040.62	20,457.14	505,583.48
58497	Interest Income	3133ERJ51	FFCB 4.125 12/10/2027	06/10/2025	433,125.00	16,843.75	416,281.25
58500	Interest Income	3133ERJ51	FFCB 4.125 12/10/2027	06/10/2025	680,625.00	26,468.75	654,156.25
57937	Interest Income	3130AX4E5	FHLB 4.500 06/11/2027	06/11/2025	247,500.00		247,500.00
58033	Interest Income	3133ERGS4	FFCB 4.250 06/11/2029	06/11/2025	212,500.00		212,500.00
58034	Interest Income	3133ERGS4	FFCB 4.250 06/11/2029	06/11/2025	212,500.00		212,500.00
58035	Interest Income	3133ERGS4	FFCB 4.250 06/11/2029	06/11/2025	425,000.00		425,000.00
58036	Interest Income	3133ERGS4	FFCB 4.250 06/11/2029	06/11/2025	212,500.00		212,500.00
58037	Interest Income	3133ERGS4	FFCB 4.250 06/11/2029	06/11/2025	616,250.00		616,250.00
58066	Interest Income	3130B1EF0	FHLB 4.625 06/11/2027	06/11/2025	478,687.50		478,687.50
58568	Interest Income	PPGPB4ZX6	BKSANF 4.340 06/11/2025	06/11/2025	108,500.00		108,500.00
47409	Interest Income	3130ASGU7	FHLB 3.500 06/11/2027	06/11/2025	216,562.50		216,562.50
47410	Interest Income	3130ASGU7	FHLB 3.500 06/11/2027	06/11/2025	175,000.00		175,000.00
47411	Interest Income	3130ASGU7	FHLB 3.500 06/11/2027	06/11/2025	380,187.50		380,187.50
58022	Interest Income	3130B1BT3	FHLB 4.875 06/12/2026	06/12/2025	328,696.88		328,696.88
58023	Interest Income	3133ERHD6	FFCB 4.875 06/12/2026	06/12/2025	780,000.00		780,000.00
58024	Interest Income	3133ERHD6	FFCB 4.875 06/12/2026	06/12/2025	487,500.00		487,500.00
57657	Interest Income	3130AVWS7	FHLB 3.750 06/12/2026	06/12/2025	319,593.75		319,593.75
57665	Interest Income	3130AVWS7	FHLB 3.750 06/12/2026	06/12/2025	375,000.00		375,000.00
57671	Interest Income	3130AWAH3	FHLB 4.000 06/12/2026	06/12/2025	300,000.00		300,000.00
57672	Interest Income	3130AWAH3	FHLB 4.000 06/12/2026	06/12/2025	200,000.00		200,000.00
57701	Interest Income	3130AWLZ1	FHLB 4.750 06/12/2026	06/12/2025	1,187,500.00		1,187,500.00
47381	Interest Income	3133ENYQ7	FFCB 2.950 06/13/2025	06/13/2025	737,500.00		737,500.00
47425	Interest Income	3130ASG86	FHLB 3.375 06/13/2025	06/13/2025	214,312.50		214,312.50
47426	Interest Income	3130ASG86	FHLB 3.375 06/13/2025	06/13/2025	201,487.50		201,487.50
57539	Interest Income	3133EN4B3	FFCB 4.250 06/13/2025	06/13/2025	318,750.00		318,750.00
57540	Interest Income	3133EN4B3	FFCB 4.250 06/13/2025	06/13/2025	318,750.00		318,750.00
57541	Interest Income	3133EN4B3	FFCB 4.250 06/13/2025	06/13/2025	318,750.00		318,750.00
57650	Interest Income	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025	06/13/2025	218,750.00		218,750.00
57655	Interest Income	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025	06/13/2025	216,890.63		216,890.63



## Interest Received Pooled Fund

Accounting ID	Transaction Type	Cusip	Description	Date Posted	Interest Received	Purchased Interest Adjustment	Net Interest
57656	Interest Income	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025	06/13/2025	557,812.50		557,812.50
57658	Interest Income	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025	06/13/2025	65,625.00		65,625.00
57659	Interest Income	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025	06/13/2025	218,750.00		218,750.00
57666	Interest Income	3130ATST5	FHLB 4.375 06/13/2025	06/13/2025	525,000.00		525,000.00
57708	Interest Income	3130AWLY4	FHLB 5.125 06/13/2025	06/13/2025	1,233,843.75		1,233,843.75
57709	Interest Income	3130AWLY4	FHLB 5.125 06/13/2025	06/13/2025	276,750.00		276,750.00
58039	Interest Income	91282CKV2	T 4.625 06/15/2027	06/16/2025	1,156,250.00		1,156,250.00
58067	Interest Income	91282CKV2	T 4.625 06/15/2027	06/16/2025	1,156,250.00		1,156,250.00
58101	Interest Income	459058KJ1	IBRD 3.125 06/15/2027	06/16/2025	192,608.49		192,608.49
58415	Interest Income	91282CKV2	T 4.625 06/15/2027	06/16/2025	1,156,250.00		1,156,250.00
58442	Interest Income	06367DMT9	BMOCHG 4.560 06/16/2025	06/16/2025	3,131,833.30		3,131,833.30
58492	Interest Income	91282CMB4	T 4.000 12/15/2027	06/16/2025	1,000,000.00	5,494.51	994,505.49
58493	Interest Income	91282CMB4	T 4.000 12/15/2027	06/16/2025	1,000,000.00	5,494.51	994,505.49
58494	Interest Income	PPGJLMRN0	BRIDGE 4.350 06/16/2025	06/16/2025	218,873.25		218,873.25
58676	Interest Income	91282CKV2	T 4.625 06/15/2027	06/16/2025	1,156,250.00	959,306.32	196,943.68
47263	Interest Income	3133ENHM5	FFCB 1.170 12/16/2025	06/16/2025	263,250.00		263,250.00
47264	Interest Income	3133ENHM5	FFCB 1.170 12/16/2025	06/16/2025	292,500.00		292,500.00
57679	Interest Income	3133EPMU6	FFCB 4.250 06/15/2026	06/16/2025	637,500.00		637,500.00
57680	Interest Income	3133EPMU6	FFCB 4.250 06/15/2026	06/16/2025	425,000.00		425,000.00
57681	Interest Income	3133EPMV4	FFCB 4.125 06/15/2027	06/16/2025	596,887.50		596,887.50
57683	Interest Income	3133EPMU6	FFCB 4.250 06/15/2026	06/16/2025	524,875.00		524,875.00
47239	Interest Income	3135G04Z3	FNMA 0.500 06/17/2025	06/17/2025	25,000.00		25,000.00
47241	Interest Income	3135G04Z3	FNMA 0.500 06/17/2025	06/17/2025	11,637.50		11,637.50
58542	Interest Income	89115DQ33	TDNY 4.430 06/20/2025	06/20/2025	239,958.33		239,958.33
58483	Interest Income	06367DNE1	BMOCHG 4.460 06/23/2025	06/23/2025	1,201,722.20		1,201,722.20
58543	Interest Income	89115DQ74	TDNY 4.440 06/23/2025	06/23/2025	738,150.00		738,150.00
57686	Interest Income	3133EPNG6	FFCB 4.375 06/23/2026	06/23/2025	1,093,750.00		1,093,750.00
57687	Interest Income	3133EPNG6	FFCB 4.375 06/23/2026	06/23/2025	546,875.00		546,875.00
57688	Interest Income	3133EPNG6	FFCB 4.375 06/23/2026	06/23/2025	546,875.00		546,875.00
58390	Interest Income	78015JWF0	RY 4.220 06/24/2025	06/24/2025	3,200,166.70		3,200,166.70
58484	Interest Income	06367DNG6	BMOCHG 4.460 06/27/2025	06/27/2025	1,226,500.00		1,226,500.00
58486	Interest Income	3136GA3N7	FNMA 5.045 12/27/2029	06/27/2025	504,500.00		504,500.00
58487	Interest Income	3136GA3N7	FNMA 5.045 12/27/2029	06/27/2025	1,563,950.00		1,563,950.00
58488	Interest Income	3136GA3N7	FNMA 5.045 12/27/2029	06/27/2025	504,500.00		504,500.00
58489	Interest Income	3136GA3N7	FNMA 5.045 12/27/2029	06/27/2025	504,500.00		504,500.00
57844	Interest Income	91282CHL8	T 4.625 06/30/2025	06/30/2025	1,156,250.00		1,156,250.00
57845	Interest Income	91282CHK0	T 4.000 06/30/2028	06/30/2025	1,000,000.00		1,000,000.00
57861	Interest Income	91282CHK0	T 4.000 06/30/2028	06/30/2025	1,000,000.00		1,000,000.00
57879	Interest Income	91282CEW7	T 3.250 06/30/2027	06/30/2025	812,500.00		812,500.00
46940	Interest Income	91282CBC4	T 0.375 12/31/2025	06/30/2025	93,750.00		93,750.00
57892	Interest Income	91282CEW7	T 3.250 06/30/2027	06/30/2025	812,500.00		812,500.00
57935	Interest Income	91282CHK0	T 4.000 06/30/2028	06/30/2025	1,000,000.00		1,000,000.00
58041	Interest Income	3133ERJZ5	FFCB 4.500 06/28/2027	06/30/2025	675,000.00		675,000.00
46959	Interest Income	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	06/30/2025	62,500.00		62,500.00
58388	Interest Income	06367DM44	BMOCHG 4.250 06/30/2025	06/30/2025	3,305,555.60		3,305,555.60
58389	Interest Income	13606DAG7	CIBCNY 4.250 06/30/2025	06/30/2025	3,305,555.60		3,305,555.60
46960	Interest Income	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	06/30/2025	62,500.00		62,500.00
58391	Interest Income	91282CEW7	T 3.250 06/30/2027	06/30/2025	812,500.00		812,500.00
47045	Interest Income	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	06/30/2025	62,500.00		62,500.00

## Interest Received Pooled Fund

Accounting ID	Transaction Type	Cusip	Description	Date Posted	Interest Received	Purchased Interest Adjustment	Net Interest
47046	Interest Income	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	06/30/2025	62,500.00		62,500.00
47051	Interest Income	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	06/30/2025	62,500.00		62,500.00
47078	Interest Income	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	06/30/2025	218,750.00		218,750.00
47091	Interest Income	3130AN4A5	FHLB 0.700 06/30/2025	06/30/2025	61,880.00		61,880.00
47093	Interest Income	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	06/30/2025	62,500.00		62,500.00
47096	Interest Income	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	06/30/2025	218,750.00		218,750.00
47099	Interest Income	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	06/30/2025	218,750.00		218,750.00
47101	Interest Income	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	06/30/2025	218,750.00		218,750.00
47109	Interest Income	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	06/30/2025	62,500.00		62,500.00
47112	Interest Income	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	06/30/2025	62,500.00		62,500.00
47113	Interest Income	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	06/30/2025	218,750.00		218,750.00
47124	Interest Income	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	06/30/2025	218,750.00		218,750.00
47165	Interest Income	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	06/30/2025	218,750.00		218,750.00
47175	Interest Income	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	06/30/2025	218,750.00		218,750.00
47238	Interest Income	912828ZW3	T 0.250 06/30/2025	06/30/2025	62,500.00		62,500.00
47275	Interest Income	91282CCJ8	T 0.875 06/30/2026	06/30/2025	218,750.00		218,750.00
47330	Interest Income	91282CDQ1	T 1.250 12/31/2026	06/30/2025	312,500.00		312,500.00
47402	Interest Income	3133ENZK9	FFCB 3.240 06/28/2027	06/30/2025	451,413.00		451,413.00
57560	Interest Income	3133EN5E6	FFCB 4.000 12/29/2025	06/30/2025	300,000.00		300,000.00
57561	Interest Income	3133EN5E6	FFCB 4.000 12/29/2025	06/30/2025	500,000.00		500,000.00
57562	Interest Income	3133EN5E6	FFCB 4.000 12/29/2025	06/30/2025	400,000.00		400,000.00
46938	Interest Income	91282CBC4	T 0.375 12/31/2025	06/30/2025	93,750.00		93,750.00
57826	Interest Income	91282CHK0	T 4.000 06/30/2028	06/30/2025	1,000,000.00		1,000,000.00
57833	Interest Income	91282CHK0	T 4.000 06/30/2028	06/30/2025	1,000,000.00		1,000,000.00
57834	Interest Income	91282CHK0	T 4.000 06/30/2028	06/30/2025	1,000,000.00		1,000,000.00
			Activity Total		72,916,340.97	1,237,041.48	71,679,299.49



# Money Market / Secured Bank Deposit Activity

## Pooled Fund

For month ended June 30, 2025				
Accounting ID	Description	Activity Date	Transaction Type	Transaction Amount
09248U718	BlackRock Liquidity Funds T-Fund	06/30/2025	Interest Received	49,003.74
	<b>Activity Total</b>			<b>49,003.74</b>
31607A703	Fidelity Govt Portfolio	06/02/2025	Withdrawal	( 135,000,000.00)
31607A703	Fidelity Govt Portfolio	06/04/2025	Deposit	25,000,000.00
31607A703	Fidelity Govt Portfolio	06/06/2025	Deposit	65,000,000.00
31607A703	Fidelity Govt Portfolio	06/10/2025	Deposit	35,000,000.00
31607A703	Fidelity Govt Portfolio	06/11/2025	Deposit	20,000,000.00
31607A703	Fidelity Govt Portfolio	06/13/2025	Deposit	130,000,000.00
31607A703	Fidelity Govt Portfolio	06/16/2025	Withdrawal	( 135,000,000.00)
31607A703	Fidelity Govt Portfolio	06/24/2025	Deposit	150,000,000.00
31607A703	Fidelity Govt Portfolio	06/26/2025	Deposit	25,000,000.00
31607A703	Fidelity Govt Portfolio	06/27/2025	Deposit	115,000,000.00
31607A703	Fidelity Govt Portfolio	06/30/2025	Interest Received	2,216,879.23
	<b>Activity Total</b>			<b>297,216,879.23</b>
608919718	Federated Hermes Govt Obligations	06/03/2025	Withdrawal	( 50,000,000.00)
608919718	Federated Hermes Govt Obligations	06/06/2025	Deposit	100,000,000.00
608919718	Federated Hermes Govt Obligations	06/11/2025	Withdrawal	( 20,000,000.00)
608919718	Federated Hermes Govt Obligations	06/12/2025	Deposit	100,000,000.00
608919718	Federated Hermes Govt Obligations	06/16/2025	Withdrawal	( 200,000,000.00)
608919718	Federated Hermes Govt Obligations	06/18/2025	Withdrawal	( 105,000,000.00)
608919718	Federated Hermes Govt Obligations	06/20/2025	Withdrawal	( 70,000,000.00)
608919718	Federated Hermes Govt Obligations	06/30/2025	Deposit	200,000,000.00
608919718	Federated Hermes Govt Obligations	06/30/2025	Interest Received	1,615,528.73
	<b>Activity Total</b>			<b>( 43,384,471.27)</b>
262006208	Dreyfus Government Cash Management	06/30/2025	Interest Received	51,008.11
	<b>Activity Total</b>			<b>51,008.11</b>
85749T517	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt	06/05/2025	Withdrawal	( 155,000,000.00)
85749T517	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt	06/12/2025	Deposit	100,000,000.00
85749T517	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt	06/13/2025	Deposit	130,000,000.00
85749T517	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt	06/16/2025	Withdrawal	( 200,000,000.00)
85749T517	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt	06/18/2025	Withdrawal	( 100,000,000.00)
85749T517	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt	06/24/2025	Deposit	20,000,000.00
85749T517	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt	06/25/2025	Deposit	9,000,000.00
85749T517	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt	06/30/2025	Deposit	200,000,000.00
85749T517	State Street Institutional U.S. Govt	06/30/2025	Interest Received	2,348,120.75
	<b>Activity Total</b>			<b>6,348,120.75</b>
61747C319	Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity	06/30/2025	Deposit	160,000,000.00
61747C319	Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity	06/30/2025	Deposit	20,000,000.00
61747C319	Morgan Stanley Institutional Liquidity	06/30/2025	Interest Received	67,226.48
	<b>Activity Total</b>			<b>180,067,226.48</b>
0660P0999	Bank of America TTX INV Deposit Acct	06/30/2025	Interest Received	1,276,954.16
	<b>Activity Total</b>			<b>1,276,954.16</b>

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Annual Gift Report  
**Date:** Thursday, July 24, 2025 12:04:39 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)  
[image002.png](#)  
[image003.png](#)  
[image004.png](#)  
[image005.png](#)  
[image006.png](#)  
[Annual Report on Gifts - Port FY 2024-25.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see below and attached for communication from the Port of San Francisco (PRT), submitting an Annual Gifts Report for Fiscal Year 2024-2025, pursuant to Administrative Code, Section 10.100-305(c).

Regards,

John Bullock  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-5184  
[BOS@sfgov.org](mailto:BOS@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Liu, Jenica (PRT) <[jenica.liu@sfport.com](mailto:jenica.liu@sfport.com)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 22, 2025 2:40 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors (BOS) <[board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org)>  
**Cc:** Jackson, Jocelyne (PRT) <[jocelyne.jackson@sfport.com](mailto:jocelyne.jackson@sfport.com)>  
**Subject:** Annual Gift Report

Good afternoon, BOS,

Please find the Port's FY 2024-25 Annual Gifts Report attached.

Thank you,  
Jenica



**Jenica Liu (she/her)**

Commission Affairs Manager & Assistant to the Director  
Port of San Francisco  
415.274.0405 | [jenica.liu@sfport.com](mailto:jenica.liu@sfport.com)  
Pier 1, The Embarcadero, San Francisco, CA 94111





## MEMORANDUM

July 1, 2025

**TO:** San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
**FROM:** Elaine Forbes, Executive Director  
**SUBJECT:** Port of San Francisco FY 2024-25 Acceptance of Gift Funds

---

In compliance with Administration Code Section 10.100-305, please find a report of gifts received by the Port of San Francisco in Fiscal Year 2023-2024:

Donor Organization	Purpose	Gift Received	Date Received
Thomas Escher	Holiday gift to the Port	One box of 2 lbs Assorted See's Candies Chocolates valued at \$57	12/1/2024
Steven Kay	Holiday gift to the Port	2 jars of Maple Granola, 1 jar of Red Haven Peach Preserves valued at \$66.80	12/20/2024

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: BF 240683  
**Date:** Thursday, July 24, 2025 1:09:31 PM  
**Attachments:** [Post-Passage Report Jul2025\\_240683\\_Interim Controls Health Services and Social Services in Upper Market Street NCT and Castro Street NCD.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see attached and below communication from the Planning Department (CPC) submitting the Interim Zoning Controls - Health Services and Social Services in Upper Market Street NCT and Castro Street NCD post passage report.

Regards,

John Bullock  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-5184  
[BOS@sfgov.org](mailto:BOS@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Flores, Veronica (CPC) <[Veronica.Flores@sfgov.org](mailto:Veronica.Flores@sfgov.org)>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 21, 2025 9:27 AM  
**To:** Carroll, John (BOS) <[john.carroll@sfgov.org](mailto:john.carroll@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** BF 240683

Hi John,

Please see attached interim controls report for BF 240683: Health Services and Social Services in Upper Market Street NCT and Castro Street NCD. This is the second report and we will submit the last report early next year.

Thank you,

**Veronica Flores, Senior Planner**  
**Legislative Affairs, Director's Office**

San Francisco Planning

49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103

Direct: 628.652.7525 | [www.sfplanning.org](http://www.sfplanning.org)

[San Francisco Property Information Map](#)



## PLANNING DEPARTMENT REPORT

*Date:* July 21, 2025  
*Report Name:* Interim Zoning Controls - Health Services and Social Services in Upper Market Street NCT and Castro Street NCD  
*Case Number:* 2024-007191PCA  
*Board File:* 240683  
*Staff Contact:* Veronica Flores Legislative Affairs  
veronica.flores@sfgov.org, 628-652-7525  
*Reviewed by:* Aaron Starr, Manager of Legislative Affairs  
aaron.starr@sfgov.org, 628-652-7533

### Statement of Purpose

This report was prepared in response to a resolution (BF 240683), introduced by Supervisor Peskin on June 11, 2024, and enacted into law on July 26, 2024 (enactment number 405-24). The resolution imposed interim zoning controls for an 18-month period for parcels within the Upper Market Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit District (NCT) and the Castro Street Neighborhood Commercial District (NCD). The interim controls require a Conditional Use Authorization (CUA) and specified findings for a change of use from a Health Services Use or a Social Services Use. These interim controls will be in effect until January 26, 2026, at which point they may be extended for an additional six months, or until the adoption of permanent controls requiring CUAs for Health Services or Social Services Uses in these districts, whichever occurs first.

The resolution directs the Planning Department to submit a written report to the Clerk of the Board six months after the effective date, and every six months thereafter the resolution is in effect. The report should describe the measures taken to alleviate the conditions that led to the adoption of resolution 405-24. Upon receipt of the report, a hearing is required pursuant to Planning Code Section 306.7(i) for the Land Use and Transportation Committee to consider this report.

### Background

According to the adopted resolution, the proposed interim controls were put into effect due to the challenges faced by organizations and individuals providing mental and behavioral health services in the Castro and Upper Market neighborhoods. These providers have been threatened with eviction as landlords attempt to dramatically increase rents in the aftermath of the pandemic. Currently, only a handful of queer-focused health facilities are left in the Castro, and those that provide care at low to no cost are even more

rare. The adopting resolution also emphasizes that the LGBTQ community has a unique need for affordable, culturally competent, and accessible mental, medical, and behavioral health services. It highlights the disparities the LGBTQ community faces in accessing these services within close proximity to the Castro neighborhood and the Castro LGBTQ Cultural District.

### **Existing Controls Within the Castro and Upper Market Neighborhood**

Depending on the services being provided, mental and behavioral health services fall under either Health Services or Social Service or Philanthropic Facility. Health Service uses are principally permitted on the first and second floors and conditionally permitted on the third floor and above within the Upper Market NCT and Castro Street NCD. Additionally, Social Service or Philanthropic Facility uses are principally permitted on all floors in these same districts.

Planning Code Section 102 defines Health Service and Social Service as follows:

**Service, Health.** *A Retail Sales and Service Use that provides medical and allied health services to the individual by physicians, surgeons, dentists, podiatrists, psychologists, psychiatrists, acupuncturists, chiropractors, Sole Practitioner massage therapists as defined in Section 29.5 of the Health Code, or any other health-care professionals when licensed by a State-sanctioned Board overseeing the provision of medically oriented services. It includes, without limitation, a clinic, primarily providing outpatient care in medical, psychiatric, or other health services, and not part of a Hospital or medical center, as defined by this Section of the Code, and Sole Practitioner Massage Establishments as defined in Section 29.5 of the Health Code, but does not include other Massage Establishments, which are defined elsewhere in this Code.*

**Social Service or Philanthropic Facility.** *An Institutional Community Use that provides programs and/or services of a charitable or public service nature, including but not limited to arts, education, financial or housing assistance, training, and advocacy. In addition to providing their services on site, such uses may also conduct their administrative activities on site as a Principal Use.*

### **Affected Projects**

The interim controls were put forward in response to the possible eviction of Queer LifeSpace (QLS) in May 2024. QLS was founded in 2011 and located at 2275 Market Street within the Upper Market NCT. It is a nonprofit counseling agency that provides affordable, evidence-based mental health and substance abuse services to the LGBTQIA+ community in the Bay Area. The Department understands that QLS is still operating at the site and has not been evicted. Additionally, the Department has not received any CUA applications triggered by these interim controls.

### **Environmental Review**

This Report was determined not to be a project per State CEQA Guidelines, Sections 15378 and 15060(c)(2), because it does not result in a physical change to the environment.



## Report Conclusion

There are at least two other provisions in the Planning Code that require CUA for replacing an existing use: one for replacing movie theaters and the other for grocery stores. However, the Department's experience has shown that this type of land use control is often ineffective in achieving its long-term goals. This is because the city cannot mandate that a business remain operational. These CUA requirements are designed to disincentivize landlords from evicting tenants or demolishing buildings with these uses, aiming to preserve such businesses. Nevertheless, these businesses frequently close because they are no longer economically viable. Once a business ceases operations, the CUA requirement often discourages new tenants from occupying the space, resulting in vacancies that can persist for years or indefinitely. A similar issue arose with the CUA requirement for the removal of gas stations, which was ultimately repealed under the Constraints Reduction Ordinance.

The interim controls under discussion were initially adopted in response to mental and behavioral health service organizations in the Castro and Upper Market neighborhoods facing the threat of eviction due to significant rent increases sought by landlords. As of this report's date, QLS, the one organization known to have been at risk of displacement, continues to operate at its site and has not been evicted. While it is unclear whether these interim controls directly prevented QLS's eviction, it is possible that the CUA requirement played a role in deterring such an outcome; moreover, the Department's understanding is that the outstanding issues between the tenant and landlord have not yet been resolved.

As a long-term solution, the Department does not recommend making these interim controls permanent. The most effective land use approach to encourage and support these uses in any neighborhood is to permit them outright, with minimal regulatory barriers. Other than the CUA requirement for Health Services on the third floor and above, there are no Planning Code restrictions preventing the establishment of Health Service or Social Service uses in these districts. However, implementing permanent controls that require CUA for the replacement of these uses could unintentionally discourage landlords from leasing new spaces to such businesses or organizations.

Health Service and Social Service uses are vital to the city and particularly important to the Castro and Upper Market neighborhoods. Nevertheless, the city should explore alternative, non-land use strategies to retain and attract these critical services in the Castro neighborhood. Such approaches could prove more effective and sustainable in supporting these uses over the long term.

## Required Board Action

The Board may approve or disapprove this report.

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** 2 CA Fish and Game Commission Notices  
**Date:** Thursday, July 24, 2025 10:21:30 AM  
**Attachments:** [2 CA Fish and Game Commission Notices.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see attached two California Fish and Game Commission notices.

Regards,

John Bullock

Office of the Clerk of the Board

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-5184

[BOS@sfgov.org](mailto:BOS@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

**From:** [California Fish and Game Commission](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** California Fish and Game Commission meeting agenda  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 23, 2025 3:30:00 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

[View as a webpage / share](#)

Image of California Redwoods



**California Fish and Game Commission**  
*Wildlife Heritage and Conservation Since 1870*

## August Meeting Agenda

Greetings,

The California Fish and Game Commission will meet on August 13-14, 2025.

Members of the public are welcome to participate in person in Sacramento, via Zoom webinar, or by phone. Instructions on how to participate will be posted to the Commission website prior to the meeting.

Please refer to the agenda for important meeting information and deadlines.

Melissa Miller-Henson  
Executive Director  
**California Fish and Game Commission**

[Click Here for Meeting Agenda](#)

Not signed up to receive our informative emails?

[Sign Up](#)

Do not reply to this message. [FGC@public.govdelivery.com](mailto:FGC@public.govdelivery.com) is for outgoing messages only.

[California Fish and Game Commission](#)  
715 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

SUBSCRIBER SERVICES:

[Manage Subscriptions](#) | [Help](#)

---

This email was sent to [board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org) from the California Natural Resources Agency utilizing govDelivery. California Natural Resources Agency, 715 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814



**Commissioners**

**Erika Zavaleta**, President  
Santa Cruz

**Samantha Murray**, Vice President  
La Jolla

**Jacque Hostler-Carmesin**, Member  
McKinleyville

**Eric Sklar**, Member  
Saint Helena

**Darius W. Anderson**, Member  
Kenwood

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
Gavin Newsom, Governor

**Fish and Game Commission**



*Wildlife Heritage and Conservation  
Since 1870*

**Melissa A. Miller-Henson**  
**Executive Director**  
P.O. Box 944209  
Sacramento, CA 94244-2090  
(916) 653-4899  
[fgc@fgc.ca.gov](mailto:fgc@fgc.ca.gov)  
[www.fgc.ca.gov](http://www.fgc.ca.gov)

**Meeting Agenda  
August 13-14, 2025**

**California Natural Resources Headquarters Building  
715 P Street, Second Floor  
Sacramento, CA 95814**

To provide public comment or observe the meeting, attend in person at the Sacramento location. The Commission will make a reasonable effort to provide the public additional opportunities to provide comments and observe the meeting remotely; in the event technical issues arise that impact the experience of remote attendees, we will attempt to resolve them, but the meeting will continue with those joining in person.

**Option for Remote Public Comment via Zoom or Phone**

The Commission will make a reasonable effort to allow for remote public participation through the Zoom videoconference platform. Zoom allows for computer, mobile device, or telephone connections. The Commission cannot guarantee the accessibility of the videoconference, including the quality or functionality of Zoom or the phone line. Join via Zoom directly at <https://us02web.zoom.us/j/87226185009>. For complete instructions on how to join via Zoom or phone, [click here](#) or visit the Commission meetings page at [fgc.ca.gov/meetings/2025](http://fgc.ca.gov/meetings/2025).

**Option for Remote Observation Without Public Comment**

The Commission will make a reasonable effort to live-stream the meeting to allow the public to observe the meeting without providing comments. The Commission cannot guarantee the accessibility of the webcast, including the quality of the audio or video. To watch or listen on the day of the meeting, visit <http://www.fgc.ca.gov> (link is on the right side of the page).

- Notes:**
- (1) See important meeting deadlines and procedures, including written public comment deadlines, starting on page 12.**
  - (2) A list of reports or other significant documents received by the commission since its June 2025 meeting is on page 7.**
  - (3) Unless otherwise indicated, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife is identified as Department.**
  - (4) All section and subsection references are to Title 14 of the California Code of Regulations (CCR), unless otherwise noted.**

**August 13, 2025; 9:00 AM**

**Call to order and roll call to establish a quorum**

**1. Consider approving agenda and order of items**

---

**General Public Comment**

---

**2. General public comment for items not on the agenda**

Receive public comment regarding topics within the Commission's authority that are not included on either day of the August 13-14, 2025 agenda.

Note: The Commission may not discuss or take action on any matter raised during this item, except to decide whether to place the matter on the agenda of a future meeting (sections 11125 and 11125.7(a), California Government Code).

---

**Consent Items**

---

Note: Items on the consent calendar are expected to be routine and non-controversial. After any public comment, the Commission will consider approving items on the consent calendar in a single vote without discussion. The presiding commissioner may choose to remove any item from the consent calendar and allow a separate discussion and potential action on that item in response to a request by a Commission member, staff, or an interested person.

**3. Five-year private lands wildlife habitat enhancement and management area (PLM) plan and license (consent)**

Consider approving corrections to PLM plan and 2025-2029 license for Roostercomb Ranch (Stanislaus County).  
(Pursuant to Section 601)

**4. White sturgeon sport fishing 2084 regular rulemaking (consent)**

Consider adopting proposed amendments to regulations for the recreational take of, tagging of, and reporting requirements for, white sturgeon (*Acipenser transmontanus*) in inland and ocean waters, pursuant to California Fish and Game Code Section 2084 and consider taking final action under the California Environmental Quality Act.  
(Repeal sections 5.78, 5.79, 27.92, 27.93 and 27.95; and amend sections 1.74, 5.80, 5.81, 27.60, 27.90, 27.91 and 701)

**5. Regulation change petitions (wildlife and inland fisheries) (consent)**

(Pursuant to Section 662)

**(A) Petitions for action today**

Consider whether to grant, deny, or refer for additional review, petitions for regulation change received at previous meetings. Petitions granted today will be added to the rulemaking calendar for development and future consideration.

I. *Petition 2022-02: Request to create a new class of deer hunting tags restricted to specified methods of take such as traditional archery and muzzleloading*

II. *Petition 2025-04: Request to allow the purchase of a second bear tag*

(B) ***New petitions***

Receive new petitions for regulation change.

*Consideration of new petitions (whether to grant, deny, or refer for additional review) is expected to be scheduled for the October 8-9, 2025 Commission meeting.*

(C) ***Referred petitions***

Receive comments on petitions previously referred by the Commission to staff, legal counsel, a Commission committee, or the Department for review and recommendation.

*Commission action on any referred petition will be scheduled for consideration under part (A) of this agenda item once a recommendation is received.*

**6. Non-regulatory requests from previous meetings (wildlife and inland fisheries) (consent)**

Consider and potentially act on non-regulatory requests submitted by members of the public at previous meetings.

---

**Discussion and Action Items**

---

**7. Commission executive director and Department reports**

(A) ***Commission executive director***

(B) ***Department director and Law Enforcement Division***

**8. Western Joshua Tree Conservation Plan**

Discuss and consider approving the revised draft *Western Joshua Tree Conservation Plan*.

(Pursuant to subdivision 1927.6(a), California Fish and Game Code)

**9. Quino checkerspot butterfly**

Consider and potentially act on the petition, the Department's evaluation report, and comments received to determine whether listing Quino checkerspot butterfly (*Euphydryas editha quino*) as an endangered species under the California Endangered Species Act may be warranted.

(Pursuant to sections 2074 and 2074.2, California Fish and Game Code)

**10. Bogg's Lake hedge-hyssop**

Receive a presentation from the Department on the five-year status review for Bogg's Lake hedge-hyssop (*Gratiola heterosepala*).

(Pursuant to Section 2077)

**11. Morro manzanita take provision**

Discuss proposed regulation regarding take of Morro manzanita while a candidate species under the California Endangered Species Act, pursuant to Section 2084 of the California Fish and Game Code.

(Add Section 749.14)

**12. Invasive non-native mussels (including golden, pond, and axe-head mussels) and green crab**

Consider authorizing publication of notice of intent to amend regulations adding invasive non-native mussels of the *Limnoperna* (including golden mussel), *Sinanodonta* (pond mussels) and *Xenostrobus* (axe-head mussels) genera, and green crab (*Carcinus maenas*), to the list of live animals restricted from importation, transportation and possession.  
(Amend Section 671)

**13. Recreational take of striped bass**

Discuss proposed amendments to regulations regarding recreational striped bass harvest size limits.  
(Amend sections 5.75 and 27.85)

**14. Committee and Department reports**

**(A) *Wildlife Resources Committee***

Discuss referred topics and consider revisions to topics and timing. Consider approving draft agenda topics for the next committee meeting to be held September 11, 2025.

**(B) *Department Wildlife and Fisheries Division, and Department Ecosystem Conservation Division***

Receive updates from Department divisions on items of note since the previous Commission meeting.

**August 14, 2025; 8:30 AM**

**Call to order and roll call to establish a quorum**

---

**Consent Items**

---

Note: Items on the consent calendar are expected to be routine and non-controversial. After any public comment, the Commission will consider approving items on the consent calendar in a single vote without discussion. The presiding commissioner may choose to remove any item from the consent calendar and allow a separate discussion and potential action on that item in response to a request by a Commission member, staff, or an interested person.

**15. Commercial take of coonstripe shrimp emergency (consent)**

Consider adopting a 90-day extension of emergency regulations related to the commercial take of coonstripe shrimp.  
(Amend Section 180.15)

**16. Market squid (consent)**

**(A) *Market Squid Fishery Management Plan***

Consider approving proposed amendments to the draft amended *Market Squid Fishery Management Plan*.  
(Pursuant to sections 7075, 7077 and 7078, California Fish and Game Code)



(B) **Commercial take of market squid**

Consider adopting proposed amendments to regulations for commercial take of market squid.

(Amend sections 53.01, 149 and 149.1; repeal sections 53.02 and 53.03).

**17. Regulation change petitions (marine) (consent)**

(Pursuant to Section 662)

(A) ***Petitions for action today***

Consider whether to grant, deny, or refer for additional review, petitions for regulation change received at previous meetings. Petitions granted today will be added to the Commission's rulemaking calendar for development and future consideration.

- I. *Petition 2025-05: Request to allow filleting of striped bass on commercial passenger fishing vessels*
- II. *Petition 2025-06: Request to restrict the use of trap gear in the commercial coonstripe shrimp fishery*
- III. *Petition 2025-07: Request to remove recreational crab trap validation requirements for anglers under the age of 16*

(B) ***New petitions***

Receive new petitions for regulation change.

(Pursuant to Section 662)

*Consideration of new petitions (whether to grant, deny, or refer petitions for additional review) is expected to be scheduled for the October 8-9, 2025 Commission meeting.*

(C) ***Referred petitions***

Receive public comments on petitions previously referred by the Commission to staff, legal counsel, a Commission committee, and/or the Department for review and recommendation.

*Commission action on any referred petition will be scheduled under part (A) of this agenda item for discussion and consideration once a recommendation is received.*

*The Commission is not expected to discuss referred MPA (marine protected area) petitions at this meeting. General MPA comments not specific to petitions, will be received under Agenda Item 25 (General public comment for items not on the agenda).*

---

**Discussion and Action Items**

---

**18. Recreational take of rockfish, cabezon, greenling, and lingcod emergency**

Consider adopting emergency regulations to reopen recreational rockfish, cabezon, greenling, and lingcod fisheries in state waters.

(Amend sections 1.91, 27.25, 27.30, 27.35, 27.40 and 28.55)

**19. Commercial bull kelp harvest restrictions extension**

Consider authorizing publication of notice of intent to amend regulations to extend the temporary commercial bull kelp harvest restrictions along the north coast and the closure of lease-only administrative kelp beds 308, 309, and 312 until January 1, 2029, extending the “sunset” date by three years.  
(Amend sections 165 and 165.5)

**20. Recreational red abalone closure extension**

Consider authorizing publication of notice of intent to amend regulations to extend the temporary red abalone recreational fishery closure until April 1, 2036, extending the “sunset” date by ten years.  
(Amend Section 29.15)

**21. Experimental fishing permit (EFP) major amendment request**

Receive, consider, and act upon a major amendment request to an EFP (Commission tracking ID #2022-01) approved to test deep-set buoy gear and night-set buoy gear to target swordfish and other highly migratory species fisheries.  
(Pursuant to Section 91)

**22. Recreational crab fishing gear and commercial passenger fishing vessel trap validation**

Consider authorizing staff to provide public notice of sufficiently related changes to, and consider adopting, proposed amendments to regulations for recreational crab fishing gear and commercial passenger fishing vessel trap validation.  
(Amend sections 29.80, 29.85, 190, 195 and 701)

**23. Committee and Department reports**

**(A) Tribal Committee**

Receive summary and consider approving recommendations from the August 12, 2025 committee meeting. Discuss referred topics and consider revisions to topics and timing.

**(B) Marine Resources Committee**

Receive summary and consider approving recommendations from the July 16-17, 2024 committee meeting. Discuss referred topics and consider revisions to topics and timing.

**(C) Department Marine Region**

Receive updates on items of note since the previous Commission meeting.

**I. Pacific sardine**

Action taken by the Department director to lift the recreational and commercial take restrictions for Pacific sardine south of Point Conception to the U.S./Mexico border after notification that a health risk no longer exists.

(Pursuant to subdivision 5523(b)(2), California Fish and Game Code)

**24. Commission administrative items**

**(A) Legislative report**

Receive updates on state and federal legislation and regulatory activity, and consider providing direction to staff on potential actions.

- (B) ***Rulemaking timetable updates***  
Review and consider approving changes to the perpetual timetable for anticipated regulatory actions.
- (C) ***Potential meeting dates for 2027***  
Consider and potentially approve revised draft meeting dates for 2027 as proposed by staff; staff will confirm locations at a future meeting.
- (D) ***Future meetings and new business***  
Review logistics and approve draft agenda items for the next Commission meeting (October 8-9, 2025), consider any changes to approved meeting dates or locations, or introduce new business for a future meeting agenda.

**25. General public comment for items not on the agenda**

Receive public comment regarding topics within the Commission's authority that are not included on either day of the August 13-14, 2025 agenda.

Note: The Commission may not discuss or take action on any matter raised during this item, except to decide whether to place the matter on the agenda of a future meeting (Section 11125 and subdivision 11125.7(a), California Government Code).

**Adjourn**

**Public Receipt of Documents**

This section of the agenda highlights reports or other significant documents received by the Commission since the previous meeting. Any Commission discussion or action on these documents will be noticed and placed on the agenda of a future meeting. Since June 14, 2025, the Commission has received two documents:

1. Department's evaluation report on the petition to list Pacific pocket mouse (*Perognathus longimembris pacificus*) as threatened or endangered under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA); consideration of whether the petitioned action may be warranted is scheduled for October 2025. Additional information about the petition is available on the Commission's CESA page under "Active Petitions" at <https://fgc.ca.gov/CESA>.
2. Department's evaluation report on the petition to list Gerry's curly-leaved monardella (*Monardella sinuate* subsp. *gerryi*) as endangered under CESA; consideration of whether the petitioned action may be warranted is scheduled for October 2025. Additional information about the petition is available on the Commission's CESA page under "Active Petitions" at <https://fgc.ca.gov/CESA>.

## **Executive Session**

(Not open to the public)

At a convenient time during the regular agenda of its June 11-12, 2025 meeting, the Commission will recess from the public portion of the agenda and conduct a closed session on the agenda items below. The Commission is authorized to discuss these matters in a closed session pursuant to Government Code Section 11126, subdivisions (a)(1), (c)(3), and (e)(1), and Fish and Game Code Section 309. After closed session, the Commission will reconvene in public session, which may include announcements about actions taken during closed session.

- (A) Pending litigation to which the Commission is a party
  - I. United Water Conservation District v. California Fish and Game Commission (challenge to final Southern California steelhead CESA listing decision).
  - II. The People of the State of California v. Hannam Chain U.S.A., Inc., et al. (restricted species) and Hannam Chain U.S.A., Inc., et al. v. California Fish and Game Commission (challenge to restricted species regulation)
  - III. The Ballona Wetlands Land Trust v. California Fish and Game Commission and California Dept. of Fish and Wildlife (challenge to decisions related to public uses on an ecological reserve)
  - IV. The Ballona Wetlands Land Trust v. California Fish and Game Commission (Ballona Wetlands Ecological Reserve petition for regulation change)
  - V. Borba et al. v. Merced Co, Merced Irrigation Dist., California Dept. of Fish and Wildlife, and California Fish and Game Commission (complaint for damages related to flooding)
  - VI. Perez-Ramirez et al. v. County of Merced, City of Merced, Merced Irrigation Dist., California Dept. of Fish and Wildlife, and California Fish and Game Commission (complaint for damages related to flooding)
  - VII. Glenn et al. v. County of Merced, City of Merced, Merced Irrigation Dist., California Dept. of Fish and Wildlife, and California Fish and Game Commission (complaint for damages related to flooding)
- (B) Possible litigation involving the Commission
- (C) Staffing
- (D) Deliberation and action on license and permit items

## California Fish and Game Commission Meeting Schedule

**Note:** As meeting dates and locations can change, please visit [www.fgc.ca.gov](http://www.fgc.ca.gov) for the most current list of meeting dates and locations. All Commission meetings will include a webinar/teleconference option for attendance and every effort will be made to ensure that committee meetings include the same.

Meeting Date	Commission Meeting	Committee Meeting
September 11, 2025		<b>Wildlife Resources</b> California Natural Resources Headquarters Building 715 P Street, 2nd Floor Sacramento, CA 95814
October 8-9, 2025	Sacramento	
November 5-6, 2025		<b>Marine Resources</b> Sacramento area
December 9, 2025		<b>Tribal</b> California Natural Resources Headquarters Building 715 P Street, 2nd Floor Sacramento, CA 95814
December 10-11, 2025	Natural Resources Headquarters Building 715 P Street, 2nd Floor (2-302 A/B) Sacramento, CA 95814	
January 13, 2026		<b>Wildlife Resources</b> Natural Resources Headquarters Building 715 P Street, 2nd Floor (2-302 A/B) Sacramento, CA 95814
February 11-12, 2026	Natural Resources Headquarters Building 715 P Street, 2nd Floor (2-221 A/B/C) Sacramento, CA 95814	
March 12, 2026		<b>Marine Resources</b> Natural Resources Headquarters Building 715 P Street, 2nd Floor (2-221 A/B/C) Sacramento, CA 95814
April 14, 2026		<b>Tribal</b> Natural Resources Headquarters Building 715 P Street, 2nd Floor (2-201, Media) Sacramento, CA 95814

Meeting Date	Commission Meeting	Committee Meeting
May 6, 2026	Teleconference Sacramento (2-201, Media), Trinidad, Sonoma, Santa Cruz, and La Jolla	
May 14, 2026		<b>Wildlife Resources</b> Natural Resources Headquarters Building 715 P Street, 2nd Floor (2-221 A/B/C) Sacramento, CA 95814
June 17-18, 2026	Sacramento area	

## **Other Meetings of Interest**

Meetings listed here are organizations for which the Commission: (1) is a member, or (2) takes action based upon regulations developed by that organization.

### **Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies**

- September 21-24, 2025 – Tucson, AZ
- September 13-16, 2026 – Lancaster, PA

### **Pacific Fishery Management Council**

- September 18-24, 2025 – Spokane, WA
- November 13-19, 2025 – Costa Mesa, CA
- March 4-10, 2026 – San Francisco, CA
- April 7-13, 2026 – Portland, OR
- June 11-17, 2026 – Spokane, WA
- September 17-23, 2026 – Vancouver, WA
- November 13-19, 2026 – Orange County, CA

### **Pacific Flyway Council**

- September 12, 2025 – Provo, UT
- March 2026 – Date and location TBD
- August or September 2026 – Date and location TBD

### **Wildlife Conservation Board**

- August 28, 2025 – Sacramento, CA
- November 20, 2025 – Sacramento, CA
- February 2026 – Sacramento, CA
- May 2026 – Sacramento, CA
- August 2026 – Sacramento, CA
- November 2026 – Sacramento, CA

# Important Commission Meeting Procedures Information

## Welcome to a Meeting of the California Fish and Game Commission

This year marks the 156th year of operation of the Commission in partnership with the California Department of Fish and Wildlife. Our goal is the preservation of our heritage and conservation of our natural resources through informed decision making; Commission meetings are vital in achieving that goal and we provide this information to be as effective and efficient toward that end. Welcome, and please let us know if you have any questions.

## Persons with Disabilities

Persons with disabilities needing reasonable accommodation to participate in public meetings or other Commission activities are invited to contact the Department's Civil Rights Office (CRO) at [civilrights@wildlife.ca.gov](mailto:civilrights@wildlife.ca.gov). Accommodation requests for facility and/or meeting accessibility and requests for American Sign Language interpreters should be submitted at least two weeks prior to the event. Requests for real-time captioners should be submitted at least four weeks prior to the event. These timeframes are to help ensure that the requested accommodation is met. If a request for an accommodation has been submitted but is no longer needed, please contact the CRO immediately.

## Stay Informed

To receive meeting agendas and regulatory notices about those subjects of interest to you, visit the Commission's website, [www.fgc.ca.gov](http://www.fgc.ca.gov), to sign up on our electronic mailing lists.

## Submitting Written Comments

The public is encouraged to comment on any agenda item. Submit written comments by one of the following methods: E-mail to [fgc@fgc.ca.gov](mailto:fgc@fgc.ca.gov); mail to California Fish and Game Commission, P.O. Box 944209, Sacramento, CA 94244-2090; deliver to California Fish and Game Commission, 715 P Street, 16<sup>th</sup> Floor, Sacramento, CA 95814 (you must call at least one business day in advance to arrange delivery). Materials provided to the Commission may be made available to the general public.

## Comment Deadlines

The **Comment Deadline** for this meeting is **5:00 p.m. on July 31, 2025**. Written comments received at the Commission office by this deadline will be made available to Commissioners prior to the meeting.

The **Supplemental Comment Deadline** for this meeting is **noon on August 8, 2025**. Comments received by this deadline will be made available to Commissioners at the meeting.

After these deadlines, written comments may be delivered in person to the meeting. Please bring 12 copies of written comments to the meeting and give them to the designated staff member just prior to speaking.

## Petitions for Regulation Change

Any person requesting that the Commission adopt, amend, or repeal a regulation must complete and submit form FGC 1, *Petition to the California Fish and Game Commission for Regulation Change* (as required by Section 662, Title 14, CCR), available at <https://fgc.ca.gov/Regulations/Petition-for-Regulation-Change>. To be received by the



Commission at this meeting, petition forms must be received by the **Supplemental Comment Deadline** or delivered in person at the meeting during the regulation change petitions agenda item. Petitions received at this meeting will be scheduled for consideration at the next regularly scheduled business meeting, unless the petition is rejected under staff review pursuant to subsection 662(b), Title 14, CCR.

### Non-Regulatory Requests

All non-regulatory requests follow a two-meeting cycle to ensure proper review and thorough consideration of each item. All requests submitted by the **Supplemental Comment Deadline** (or heard during general public comment at the meeting) will be scheduled for receipt at this meeting and scheduled for consideration at the next regularly scheduled business meeting.

### Speaking at the Meeting

**To speak on an agenda item in-person**, please complete a “speaker card” and provide it to the designated staff member before the agenda item is announced. Please complete one speaker card per item. Cards will be available near the entrance of the meeting room.

**To speak on an agenda item via Zoom or phone**, please “raise” your hand either through the Zoom function or by pressing \*9 once on your phone when prompted at the beginning of the agenda item.

1. In-person speakers will be identified in groups; please line up when your name is called. Speakers on Zoom or phone will be identified by your Zoom display name or the last three digits of your phone number; listen closely for when your name or number is called.
2. When addressing the Commission, please give your name and the name of any organization you represent, and provide your comments on the item under consideration.
3. If there are several speakers with the same concerns, you are encouraged to appoint a spokesperson and avoid repetitive testimony.
4. The presiding commissioner will allot between one and three minutes per speaker per agenda item, subject to several exceptions:
  - a. The presiding commissioner may allow up to five minutes for an individual speaker if a minimum of three individuals who are present when the agenda item is called have ceded their time to the designated spokesperson, and the individuals ceding time forfeit their right to speak to the agenda item.
  - b. In-person participants ceding their time shall complete a speaker card and approach the staff table with the spokesperson so that staff may confirm the presence of those ceding their time. Persons participating via Zoom or phone and ceding their time to another speaker must notify the Commission at [fgc@fgc.ca.gov](mailto:fgc@fgc.ca.gov) prior to the start of the agenda item, including to whom they are ceding their time, and must be present during the agenda item.
  - c. Individuals may receive advance approval for additional time to speak if such requests are received by email or delivery to the Commission office by the **Supplemental Comment Deadline**. The president or designee will approve or deny the request no later than 5:00 p.m. two days prior to the meeting.
  - d. An individual requiring an interpreter is entitled to at least twice the allotted speaking time pursuant to Government Code Section 11125.7(c).

- e. An individual may receive additional time to speak to an agenda item at the request of any commissioner.

***Agenda items may be heard in any order and on either day pursuant to the discretion of the presiding commissioner.***

### **Visual Presentations/Materials**

All electronic presentations must be submitted by the ***Supplemental Comment Deadline*** and approved by the Commission executive director before the meeting.

1. Electronic presentations must be provided by email to [fgc@fgc.ca.gov](mailto:fgc@fgc.ca.gov). If the presentation file is too large to send via email, contact staff to identify an alternative method for submitting the file.
2. All electronic formats must be Windows PC compatible.
3. If presenting at the in-person meeting location, it is recommended that you bring a print copy of your presentation in case of technical difficulties.

**From:** [California Fish and Game Commission](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Notice of Revised Proposed Regulatory Language - White Sturgeon Catch and Release (Regular Rulemaking)  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 22, 2025 9:33:53 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

[View as a webpage / share](#)



**California Fish and Game Commission**  
*Wildlife Heritage and Conservation Since 1870*

## Notice of Revised Proposed Regulatory Language

Greetings,

A notice of revised proposed regulatory language concerning white sturgeon catch and release fishing (regular rulemaking) has been posted to the Commission's website. The notice and associated documents can be accessed at: <https://fgc.ca.gov/Regulations/2025-New-and-Proposed#1.74>

Sincerely,

Jenn Bacon, CESA Analyst  
**California Fish and Game Commission**

[Click here to visit our regulations page](#)

Not signed up to receive our informative emails?

[Sign Up](#)

Do not reply to this message. FGC@public.govdelivery.com is for outgoing messages only.

[California Fish and Game Commission](#)  
715 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814

SUBSCRIBER SERVICES:

[Manage Subscriptions](#) | [Help](#)

---

This email was sent to board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org from the California Natural Resources Agency utilizing govDelivery. California Natural Resources Agency, 715 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814



## **TITLE 14. Fish and Game Commission**

### **Notice of Proposed Changes in Regulations**

**NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN** that the Fish and Game Commission (Commission), pursuant to the authority vested by sections 200, 202, 205, 219, 220, 265, 275, 399, 713, 1050, 1053.1, 1055.1, 2084, 7071, 7149.8, 7380 and 8587.1, of the Fish and Game Code, interpret or make specific sections 110, 200, 205, 206, 255, 265, 270, 275, 713, 1050, 1053.1, 1055.1, 2084, 7071, 7120, 7149.8, 7380, 7381, 7382 and 8587.1, proposes to amend sections 1.74, 5.80, 5.81, 27.60, 27.90, 27.91 and 701, repeal sections 5.78, 5.79, 27.92, 27.93 and 27.95, Title 14, California Code of Regulations (CCR), relating to white sturgeon fishing.

#### **Informative Digest/Policy Statement Overview**

Unless otherwise specified, all section references in this document are to Title 14, CCR.

On November 29, 2023, the California Fish and Game Commission (Commission) received a petition from San Francisco Baykeeper, The Bay Institute, Restore the Delta, and California Sportfishing Protection Alliance to list white sturgeon as threatened under the California Endangered Species Act (CESA). The petitioners argued that long term declines in the abundance of white sturgeon are due to 1) Central Valley water management infrastructure and operations, 2) overharvest in the recreational fishery, 3) Harmful Algal Blooms, and 4) other factors such as poaching, pollution, vessel strikes, and climate change. The California Department of Fish and Wildlife (Department) returned an evaluation on March 15, 2024, determining that the petition provided sufficient scientific information to indicate that the petitioned action may be warranted. On June 19, 2024, the Commission voted that white sturgeon warranted candidacy under CESA and directed the Department to initiate a status review of white sturgeon.

Under CESA, candidate species receive protections while a status review is conducted. This prohibition of take includes non-harvest “catch-and-release” angling; however, California Fish and Game Code Section 2084 permits the Commission to authorize the take of candidate species of fish by hook and line for sport based on the best available scientific information. Scientific studies of the effects of angling on white sturgeon in other populations indicate that the species is robust and tolerates catch-and-release angling well. Since the best available science suggests the risks would be minimal.

At the August 2024 Commission meeting, the Department presented an emergency exemption regulation package under Section 2084 that would allow for a catch-and-release fishery during the candidacy period. The Commission voted to approve the Section 2084 exemption, and it went into effect on September 6, 2024.

The goal of the emergency regulations that this regulation extends is to continue to permit a catch-and-release fishery for white sturgeon during the CESA, while providing recreational opportunities for anglers and mitigating adverse economic impacts to businesses that serve sturgeon angling.

The proposed regulations in this Certificate of Compliance are fundamentally the same as the original emergency ruling with the following clarifications:

- The previous long-term sturgeon fishing regulations for inland (5.80) and ocean (27.90) will be edited so that they mirror the language in the emergency regulations (5.78 and 27.93). This language includes both species and report card regulations.
- Sections 5.80 and 27.90 have been edited to change the sturgeon fishing report card season from October 1 through June 30 to encompass the fishing season rather than follow the calendar year. This is the only major difference between the existing emergency regulations and what is proposed in this Certificate of Compliance.

- The emergency regulations (5.78 and 27.93) will be allowed to expire to avoid confusion and duplication.
- The previous long-term sturgeon report card regulations (5.79 and 27.92) will be repealed because all relevant report card information is now included in 5.80 and 27.90.
- The general report card section (1.74) will be edited to remove sturgeon specific information that is now found in 5.80 and 27.90.
- The fee for a white sturgeon sport fishing report card will be set from a range of \$5 to \$11.

### *Benefit of the Regulations*

The status of the existing white sturgeon population and the impacts of recent Harmful Algal Blooms are currently not known. The Department piloted a new sturgeon abundance monitoring program in 2024 to provide population data and the species is currently the subject of a comprehensive CESA species status review. Evidence from successful recreational fisheries on other West Coast rivers and states indicate that the species tolerates catch-and-release angling well and can coexist with a financially lucrative fishery. Maintaining the opportunity for catch-and-release angling will protect the remaining population while still permitting angling and business opportunities.

### *Consistency and Compatibility with Existing Regulations*

The proposed regulations are neither inconsistent nor incompatible with existing state regulations. Section 20, Article IV, of the state Constitution specifies that the Legislature may delegate to the Commission such powers relating to the protection and propagation of fish and game as the Legislature sees fit. The Legislature has delegated to the Commission the power to adopt regulations governing white sturgeon sport fishing (Section 200, California Fish and Game Code). No other state agency has the authority to adopt regulations governing white sturgeon sport fishing. The Commission has reviewed its own regulations and finds that the proposed regulations are neither inconsistent nor incompatible with existing state regulations. The Commission has searched the CCR for any regulations regarding the adoption of white sturgeon sport fishing regulations; therefore, the Commission has concluded that the proposed regulations are neither inconsistent nor incompatible with existing state regulations.

## **Public Participation**

### ***Comments Submitted by Mail or Email***

It is requested, but not required, that written comments be submitted on or before May 29, 2025 at the address given below, or by email to [FGC@fgc.ca.gov](mailto:FGC@fgc.ca.gov). Written comments mailed, or emailed to the Commission office, must be received before 12:00 noon on June 6, 2025. If you would like copies of any modifications to this proposal, please include your name and mailing address. Mailed comments should be addressed to Fish and Game Commission, PO Box 944209, Sacramento, CA 94244-2090.

### ***Meetings***

**NOTICE IS GIVEN** that any person interested may present statements, orally or in writing, relevant to this action at a hearing to be held at the Capitol Event Center, 1020 11<sup>th</sup> Street, Sacramento California on **Wednesday April 16, 2025**, and may continue on **Thursday April 17, 2025**. This meeting will also include the opportunity to participate via webinar/teleconference. Instructions for participation in the webinar/teleconference hearing will be posted at [www.fgc.ca.gov](http://www.fgc.ca.gov) in advance of the meeting or may be obtained by calling 916-653-4899. Please refer to the Commission meeting agenda, which will be available at least 10 days prior to the meeting, for the most current information.

**NOTICE IS ALSO GIVEN** that any person interested may present statements, orally or in writing, relevant to this action at a hearing to be held in Sacramento, California on **Wednesday June 11, 2025**, and may continue on **Thursday June 12, 2025**. This meeting will also include the opportunity to participate via webinar/teleconference. Instructions for participation in the webinar/teleconference hearing will be posted at [www.fgc.ca.gov](http://www.fgc.ca.gov) in advance of the meeting or may be obtained by calling 916-653-4899. Please refer to the Commission meeting agenda, which will be available at least 10 days prior to the meeting, for the most current information.

### **Availability of Documents**

Copies of the Notice of Proposed Action, the Initial Statement of Reasons, and the text of the regulation in underline and strikeout format can be accessed through the Commission website at [www.fgc.ca.gov](http://www.fgc.ca.gov). The regulations as well as all related documents upon which the proposal is based (rulemaking file), are on file and available for public review from the agency representative, Melissa Miller-Henson, Executive Director, Fish and Game Commission, 715 P Street, Box 944209, Sacramento, California 94244-2090, phone (916) 653-4899. Please direct requests for the above-mentioned documents and inquiries concerning the regulatory process to Melissa Miller-Henson or Jenn Bacon at [FGC@fgc.ca.gov](mailto:FGC@fgc.ca.gov) or at the preceding address or phone number. **John Kelly, Statewide Sturgeon Coordinator, Department of Fish and Wildlife, has been designated to respond to questions on the substance of the proposed regulations.** Dr. Kelly can be reached at [strurgeon@wildlife.ca.gov](mailto:strurgeon@wildlife.ca.gov).

### **Availability of Modified Text**

If the regulations adopted by the Commission differ from but are sufficiently related to the action proposed, they will be available to the public for at least 15 days prior to the date of adoption. Any person interested may obtain a copy of said regulations prior to the date of adoption by contacting the agency representative named herein.

If the regulatory proposal is adopted, the final statement of reasons may be obtained from the address above when it has been received from the agency program staff.

### **Impact of Regulatory Action/Results of the Economic Impact Assessment**

The potential for significant statewide adverse economic impacts that might result from the proposed regulatory action has been assessed, and the following initial determinations relative to the required statutory categories have been made:

(a) **Significant Statewide Adverse Economic Impact Directly Affecting Business, Including the Ability of California Businesses to Compete with Businesses in Other States:**

The proposed action will not have a significant statewide adverse economic impact directly affecting business, including the ability of California businesses to compete with businesses in other states. The proposed action will not have a significant statewide adverse economic impact directly affecting business, including the ability of California businesses to compete with businesses in other states. A bag limit maintains the existing economic climate because the reduction is not significant enough to alter fishing behavior beyond reducing daily harvest.

(b) **Impact on the Creation or Elimination of Jobs Within the State, the Creation of New Businesses or the Elimination of Existing Businesses, or the Expansion of Businesses in California; Benefits of the Regulation to the Health and Welfare of California Residents, Worker Safety, and the State's Environment:**

The Commission does not anticipate any impacts on the creation or elimination of jobs, the creation of new business, the elimination of existing businesses or the expansion of businesses in California. The Commission does not anticipate any benefits to the health and welfare of California residents, or worker safety. The Commission anticipates benefits to the State's environment by sustainably managing California's sportfishing resources.

(c) Cost Impacts on a Representative Private Person or Business:

The Commission is not aware of any cost impacts that a representative private person or business would necessarily incur in reasonable compliance with the proposed action. No change in gear or equipment requirements are introduced for the recreational white sturgeon fishery. However, the proposed fee range of \$5 to \$11.06 may lower the sturgeon report card fee below the current expected price of \$11.06, which would represent a slight cost benefit to fishers.

(d) Costs or Savings to State Agencies or Costs/Savings in Federal Funding to the State:

No costs or savings to state agencies or costs/savings in federal funding to the state are anticipated. The Department's existing level of monitoring and enforcement activities are expected to be unchanged by this action. However, it is anticipated that the proposed easing of take limits during the CESA candidacy may result in changes to sturgeon report card sales.

(e) Nondiscretionary Costs/Savings to Local Agencies:

None.

(f) Programs Mandated on Local Agencies or School Districts:

None.

(g) Costs Imposed on any Local Agency or School District that is Required to be Reimbursed Under Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4, Government Code:

None.

(h) Effect on Housing Costs:

None.

**Effect on Small Business**

It has been determined that the adoption of these regulations may affect small business. The Commission has drafted the regulations in Plain English pursuant to Government Code Sections 11342.580 and 11346.2(a)(1).

**Consideration of Alternatives**

The Commission must determine that no reasonable alternative considered by the Commission, or that has otherwise been identified and brought to the attention of the Commission, would be more effective in carrying out the purpose for which the action is proposed, would be as effective and less burdensome to affected private persons than the proposed action, or would be more cost effective to affected private persons and equally effective in implementing the statutory policy or other provision of law.

California Fish and Game Commission

Melissa Miller-Henson  
Executive Director

Dated: March 25, 2025



**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Letter re: 111 Taylor Street  
**Date:** Thursday, July 24, 2025 10:10:55 AM  
**Attachments:** [2025.07.17%20Letter%20to%20Mamood,%20Felder%20Re%20111%20Taylor.pdf.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see attached regarding 111 Taylor Street.

Regards,

John Bullock  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-5184  
[BOS@sfgov.org](mailto:BOS@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Stephen Torres <stephenjontorres@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 7:28 PM  
**To:** Ferrigno, Jennifer (BOS) <jennifer.ferrigno@sfgov.org>; Mahmood, Bilal (BOS) <bilal.mahmood@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; HRC.Commission <HRC.Commission@sfgov.org>; Ho, Calvin (BOS) <calvin.ho@sfgov.org>; Per Sia <persia415@gmail.com>; Souza, Sarah (BOS) <sarah.s.souza@sfgov.org>; HRC - TransCitySF <Transcitysf@sfgov.org>; BoardofAppeals (PAB) <boardofappeals@sfgov.org>; CPC-Commissions Secretary <commissions.secretary@sfgov.org>; comptonsexcoalition@gmail.com; Teague, Corey (CPC) <corey.teague@sfgov.org>; Tsai, Daniel (DPH) <daniel.tsai@sfdph.org>; info@transgenderdistrictsf.com; Rivas, Jorge (ADM) <jorge.rivas@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Letter re: 111 Taylor Street

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon Districts 5 & 9 Staff,

Please see the attached letter.

Thank you,

Stephen Torres

Stephen Torres  
Mission District  
San Francisco, California 94110

July 16, 2025

Supervisor Mahmood,

Thank you for your solidarity in standing with our trans siblings last evening as we appealed the Letter of Determination issued to GEO Reentry Services in support of its ongoing operations at 111 Taylor Street. I am writing to you as well as to my own Supervisor Jackie Fielder, President Mandelman and the entire Board to request that you hold a special hearing to investigate and determine if GEO Reentry Services should be allowed to continue to operate at 111 Taylor Street under the false pretense of group housing rather than a quasi-carceral enterprise, ascertain the extent of the violations and infractions that may have occurred under their stewardship of the site, and hear testimony on the ongoing and irrevocable harm their presence continues to have on the lives of the recently incarcerated in their custody as well as the surrounding community of the Tenderloin and Transgender Cultural District.

Although the Board of Appeals voted 4-1, with Vice-President Eppler in dissent, to deny the appeal and uphold the determination, this fight must not end here. Indeed, every Commissioner was in support of that spirit and encouraged Zoning Administrator Teague to continue his ongoing investigation into the numerous and egregious infractions and violations that many in the community gave testimony on. Furthermore, they advocated that the community pursue every path available with every pertinent City entity, including this body. I agree that a hearing in collaboration with multiple departments, agencies, and organizations is key to holding this company to maximum accountability, and go further in ensuring that this include key Transgender leadership, orgs, and City entities such as the Transgender Cultural District and Office of Transgender Initiatives.

Furthermore, per Stephen's comments this evening, it is incumbent upon this City not only to determine what code violations may have occurred, but if the presence of this operation in our city isn't in violation of San Francisco ordinances, and more importantly, our values. This group is a subsidiary of the GEO Group, a corporation in league with U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement and the very same that is currently holding San Franciscans who have been disappeared off our streets. Tonight GEO representatives affirmed that the LOD is what they required to continue their contracts with the State and Federal Government. They also tried to disingenuously separate themselves from their parent company, implying they have nothing to do with the numerous targeted raids that are terrorizing our country. In effect, although our City may be only fulfilling departmental obligations, due to grey areas and lack of context, what are we inadvertently cosigning? As we seek to hold all City Departments and Agencies accountable to our Sanctuary City status, we must also scrutinize any possible gaps in policy or loopholes.

Our City government is well-acquainted with extractive corporations who use such grey areas in code and law to further entrench themselves in our communities, but given the cruel and horrific times we are living in, can we continue to allow this *affiliate* of state sanctioned terror to continue to operate in the heart of one of our most vulnerable communities and continue to desecrate the site of one of the first battles in LGBTQ civil rights?

Chandra Laborde, who was this evening's named appellate, framed it best. Although, a member of the San Francisco Police Force and charged with upholding an even narrower interpretation of law, Officer Elliott Blackstone could have hid behind his badge and the letter of the law in the months that followed the Compton's Cafeteria Riot, but instead, he allied himself with the movement and fought for the community through his role and in his life until the day he died. As San Franciscans continue to be imperiled by the very country that should be protecting them, now is not the time to hide behind the law, but to find ways to use it to protect our most vulnerable and remove the vagaries and lack of clarity that may be inviting harm.

Although we are aware that August is the legislative recess, it is also the month that the riot of 1966 occurred at this very site and, we believe, helps frame how already imperative this issue is as our communities grow more imperiled by the day.

Best regards and gratitude,

Stephen Torres &  
Persia Parra

District 9 Residents

Cc:

Jacqueline Fielder, District 9 Supervisor  
President Rafael Mandelman, District 8  
The San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
John Trasviña, San Francisco Board of Appeals  
Corey Teague, Zoning Administrator, San Francisco Planning Department  
Lydia So, President, San Francisco Planning Commission  
Diane Matsuda, President, San Francisco Historic Preservation Commission  
Honey Mahogany, Director, Office of Transgender Initiatives  
Breonna McCree, Co-Executive Director, Transgender Cultural District  
Carlo Gómez Arteaga, Co-Executive Director, Transgender Cultural District  
Diamond Collier, Chair, Transgender Cultural District Advisory Board  
Chandra Laborde, ComptonsxCoalition  
Daniel Tsai, Director, San Francisco Department of Public Health  
Leah Pimentel, Chair, San Francisco Human Rights Commission  
Sarah Souza, Chair Immigrant Rights Commission  
Jorge Rivas, Jr., Executive Director, Office of Civic Engagement and Immigrant Affairs



**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Advisory Committee- Contracts !!  
**Date:** Monday, July 21, 2025 8:16:21 AM

---

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding Advisory committees.

Regards,

John Bullock  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-5184  
[BOS@sfgov.org](mailto:BOS@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Elizabeth <estahl444@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 20, 2025 10:59 AM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; MahmoodStaff <MahmoodStaff@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Lurie, Daniel (MYR) <daniel.lurie@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** Advisory Committee- Contracts !!

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Supervisors: As a voter and long-time resident of San Francisco, I am

disgusted that you want to KEEP a Contracts Advisory Committee of 11 members **who do NOT ATTEND THEIR MEETINGS!!!! Why are they a committee if only 3-4 members usually meet???**

This is a waste and a useless bureaucracy in San Francisco that you need to eliminate! This Committee is not doing their job and needs to be fired!!!

Elizabeth Stahl  
San Francisco

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Balboa dog fountain: add water fountain with ground-level bowl for dogs  
**Date:** Monday, July 21, 2025 8:09:50 AM

---

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding water fountains at Balboa Park for dogs.

Regards,

John Bullock

Office of the Clerk of the Board

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-5184

[BOS@sfgov.org](mailto:BOS@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Shannon Seaberg <sseaberg@yahoo.com>

Sent: Saturday, July 19, 2025 1:52 PM

To: ChenStaff <ChenStaff@sfgov.org>; RPDInfo, RPD (REC) <rpdinfo@sfgov.org>

Cc: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

Subject: Balboa dog fountain: add water fountain with ground-level bowl for dogs



This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors and SF Recreation and Parks,

I would like to request that a ground-level bowl for dogs be installed within Balboa Park for the dog park. There would be two options, with the first likely being lower cost:

1. Add a dog bowl with a foot pedal to the existing public water fountain near the basketball courts. Improve water pressure.
2. Add a fountain, including a dog bowl and pedal, near the main benches in the area of the park designated for off-leash dogs.

The existing water fountain near the basketball courts has extremely low water pressure and can only fill water bottles extremely slowly and, due to the angle of the spout, dog bowls can only be filled to approximately 1" of water making this a laborious and fairly useless water fountain for humans and dogs. Because of the water pressure issues, even for a human to drink from the existing fountain is problematic as one's mouth might come into contact with the unsanitary spout.

Please let me know what would need to happen for this to take place.

Regards,

Shannon Seaberg

cell (415) 596-7752

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Operations](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Strongly Urging CONTINUANCE for Land Use and Transportation Committee Meeting July 21, 2025 Agenda Item #1 AND Full Board of Supervisors Meeting July 22, 2025 Agenda Item #64 [Planning, Building Codes - Noncomplying, Unpermitted, and Accessory Stru...  
**Date:** Monday, July 21, 2025 8:35:12 AM

---

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding **File No. 250284:**

Ordinance amending the Planning Code to provide conditions for repair and relocation of existing noncomplying structures within required yards, grant unpermitted residential structures within yards that were constructed before 2003 noncomplying status, and allow accessory structures up to 10 feet in height and 120 square feet within required yards; amending the Building Code to exempt accessory structures up to 120 square feet from building permits.

Regards,

John Bullock  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-5184  
[BOS@sfgov.org](mailto:BOS@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** aeboken <[aeboken@gmail.com](mailto:aeboken@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 20, 2025 7:14 PM  
**To:** BOS-Supervisors <[bos-supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:bos-supervisors@sfgov.org)>; BOS-Legislative Aides <[bos-legislative\\_aides@sfgov.org](mailto:bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Strongly Urging CONTINUANCE for Land Use and Transportation Committee Meeting

July 21, 2025 Agenda Item #1 AND Full Board of Supervisors Meeting July 22, 2025 Agenda Item #64 [Planning, Building Codes - Noncomplying, Unpermitted, and Accessory Structur...

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

TO: Land Use and Transportation Committee members and full Board of Supervisors members

FR: Eileen Boken,  
State and Federal Legislative Liaison

Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods\*

\*For identification purposes only.

RE: Land Use and Transportation Committee Meeting July 21, 2025 Agenda Item #1 AND Full Board of Supervisors Meeting July 22, 2025 Agenda Item #64 [Planning, Building Codes - Noncomplying, Unpermitted, and Accessory Structures] File #250284

Position: Strongly urging CONTINUANCE

This legislation was first heard at the Planning Department on June 26, 2025.

Even though this is a minor issue and is non-urgent, it was on a Planning Commission agenda with 23 items including upzoning.

This Planning Commission hearing lasted seven hours.

Even though this is a minor issue and is non-urgent, it was agendized for the Land Use and Transportation Committee meeting on June 30, 2025 which was just four days after the Planning Commission hearing.

At the Land Use and Transportation Committee meeting, the Chair stated that the legislation would be continued until September which is after the August BOS recess.

Instead, the legislation is once again on the July 21, 2025 Land Use and Transportation Committee meeting agenda.

This legislation is the one and only item on that Land Use and Transportation Committee agenda.

Not only is this legislation the one and only item on the Land Use and Transportation Committee agenda, it's also scheduled to be heard as a Committee Report.

This legislation is also agendized for the full BOS meeting the very next day on July 22, 2025.

That a minor issue and a non-urgent piece of legislation is being treated as though it's a major, urgent issue is more than questionable.

Once again, I'm strongly urging that this item be continued until September.

###

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [Young, Victor \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Operations](#)  
**Subject:** FW: SUPPORTING Rules Committee Meeting July 21, 2025 Agenda Item #1[Administrative Code - Employee Donations to San Francisco Firefighter and EMS Behavioral Health Foundation] File #250681  
**Date:** Monday, July 21, 2025 8:33:16 AM

---

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding **File No. 250681:**

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to add the San Francisco Firefighter and EMS Behavioral Health Foundation to the list of organizations to which City officers and employees may make donations by payroll deduction.

Regards,

John Bullock  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-5184  
[BOS@sfgov.org](mailto:BOS@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** aeboken <[aeboken@gmail.com](mailto:aeboken@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 20, 2025 5:51 PM  
**To:** BOS-Supervisors <[bos-supervisors@sfgov.org](mailto:bos-supervisors@sfgov.org)>; BOS-Legislative Aides <[bos-legislative\\_aides@sfgov.org](mailto:bos-legislative_aides@sfgov.org)>; Crispen, Dean (FIR) <[dean.crispen@sfgov.org](mailto:dean.crispen@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** SUPPORTING Rules Committee Meeting July 21, 2025 Agenda Item #1[Administrative Code - Employee Donations to San Francisco Firefighter and EMS Behavioral Health

Foundation] File #250681

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

TO: Rules Committee members and full Board of Supervisors

cc: San Francisco Fire Chief

FR: Eileen Boken,  
State and Federal Legislative Liaison

Coalition for San Francisco Neighborhoods\*

\*For identification purposes only.

RE: Rules Committee Meeting July 21, 2025 Agenda Item #1[Administrative Code - Employee Donations to San Francisco Firefighter and EMS Behavioral Health Foundation] File #250681

Position: SUPPORTING

This legislation will make it easier for members of the department to donate through an automatic payroll deduction.

###

Sent from my Verizon, Samsung Galaxy smartphone

DATE: July 17, 2025

TO: STATE, CITY AND LOCAL OFFICIALS

**NOTICE OF PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC COMPANY'S REQUEST TO CHANGE RATES FOR 2027 INCOME QUALIFIED PROGRAMS BRIDGE FUNDING (A.25-06-024)**

**Acronyms you need to know**

**PG&E:** Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
**CPUC:** California Public Utilities Commission  
**IQP:** Income Qualified Programs  
**CARE:** California Alternate Rates for Energy  
**FERA:** Family Electric Rate Assistance  
**ESA:** Energy Saving Assistance

RECEIVED  
 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
 SAN FRANCISCO  
 2025 JUL 23 AM 11:51

**Why am I receiving this notice?**

On June 30, 2025, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E) submitted an application to the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) to recover approximately \$133 million in 2027 to continue offering state-required support to customers through its Income Qualified Programs (IQP). **This reflects a decrease from current funding levels of \$190 million in 2025.** These programs—including the California Alternate Rates for Energy (CARE), Family Electric Rate Assistance (FERA) and Energy Savings Assistance (ESA)—help lower energy bills and provide no-cost energy saving measures for eligible customers. The funding will allow PG&E to maintain these assistance programs while completing evaluations that will strengthen and improve these programs in the future. If approved, this request may result in a change to the rates you pay for energy.

**Why is PG&E requesting this rate change?**

PG&E is requesting this rate change to continue providing energy assistance programs that help income-qualified customers manage their energy use and lower their monthly bills. PG&E is asking the CPUC to approve one year of bridge funding for 2027.

This funding would allow PG&E to maintain current levels of support and services while it completes important studies and program evaluations in 2025 and 2026. These evaluations will help shape program improvements for 2028 and beyond, ensuring that PG&E continues to meet the needs of the communities it services with safe, reliable, clean, affordable energy. By requesting this funding, PG&E is working to ensure there's no interruption in assistance for customers who rely on these programs.

**How could this affect my monthly electric rates?**

Many customers receive bundled electric service from PG&E, meaning they receive electric generation, transmission and distribution services. A typical residential non-CARE,<sup>1</sup> bundled electric customer using 500 kWh per month would see a decrease from \$214.93 to \$214.88, or 0.02% in 2027.

Direct Access (DA) and Community Choice Aggregation (CCA) customers receive electric transmission and distribution services and select CPUC-ordered services from PG&E. If this application is approved, on average compared to current rates, rates for services provided by PG&E to these customers would decrease by 0.01% in 2027. DA providers and CCAs set their own generation rates. Check with your DA provider or CCA to learn how this would impact your overall bill.

Another category of nonbundled customers is Departing Load. These customers do not receive electric generation, transmission or distribution services from PG&E. However, these customers are required to pay certain charges by law or CPUC decision. On average, existing Departing Load customers would see a rate decrease of 0.25% in 2027.

Actual impacts will vary depending on usage and are subject to CPUC regulatory approval.

<sup>1</sup> CARE is an income qualified discount program. Electric CARE customers are exempt from certain charges and receive a 35% discount on nonexempt charges for an overall average discount of approximately 38%.

### **How could this affect my monthly gas rates?**

Bundled gas customers receive transmission, distribution and procurement services from PG&E.

Based on rates currently in effect, the bill, including the average monthly climate credit, for a typical non-CARE, bundled residential gas customer averaging 31 therms per month would decrease from \$83.86 to \$83.48, or 0.4% in 2027.

Actual impacts will vary depending on usage and are subject to CPUC regulatory approval.

### **How does the rest of this process work?**

This application will be assigned to a CPUC Administrative Law Judge who will consider proposals and evidence presented during the formal hearing process. The Administrative Law Judge will issue a proposed decision that may adopt PG&E's application, modify it or deny it. Any CPUC Commissioner may sponsor an alternate decision with a different outcome. The proposed decision, and any alternate decisions, will be discussed and voted upon by the CPUC Commissioners at a public CPUC Voting Meeting.

Parties to the proceeding may review PG&E's application, including the Public Advocates Office which is an independent consumer advocate within the CPUC that represents customers to obtain the lowest possible rate for service consistent with reliable and safe service levels. For more information about the Public Advocates Office, please call **1-415-703-1584**, email: **PublicAdvocatesOffice@cpuc.ca.gov** or visit **PublicAdvocates.cpuc.ca.gov**.

### **Where can I get more information?**

#### **CONTACT PG&E**

If you have questions about this joint filing, please contact PG&E at **1-800-743-5000**. For TTY call **711**. Para obtener más información sobre cómo este cambio podría afectar su pago mensual, llame al **1-800-660-6789** • 詳情請致電 **1-800-893-9555**.

If you would like a copy of the filing and exhibits, please write to the address below:

Pacific Gas and Electric Company  
2027 Income Qualified Programs Bridge Funding Application (A.25-06-024)  
P.O. Box 1018  
Oakland, CA 94604-1018

#### **CONTACT CPUC**

Please visit **[apps.cpuc.ca.gov/c/A2506024](https://apps.cpuc.ca.gov/c/A2506024)** to submit a comment about this proceeding on the CPUC Docket Card. Here you can also view documents and other public comments related to this proceeding. Your participation by providing your thoughts on PG&E's request can help the CPUC make an informed decision.

If you have questions about CPUC processes, you may contact the CPUC's Public Advisor's Office at:

**Email:** **Public.Advisor@cpuc.ca.gov**

**Mail:** CPUC

Public Advisor's Office  
505 Van Ness Avenue  
San Francisco, CA 94102

**Call:** **1-866-849-8390** (toll-free) or **1-415-703-2074**

Please reference the **2027 Income Qualified Programs Bridge Funding Application A.25-06-024** in any communications you have with the CPUC regarding this matter.



**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** 4 Letters Regarding Protected Bike Lanes on Valencia  
**Date:** Thursday, July 24, 2025 12:12:54 PM  
**Attachments:** [4 Letters Regarding Protected Bike Lanes on Valencia.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see attached for 4 letters regarding the installation of a protected bikeway on Valencia St. south of 23<sup>rd</sup> St.

Regards,

John Bullock  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-5184  
[BOS@sfgov.org](mailto:BOS@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

**From:** [Aaron Breetwor](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please install curbside protected bike lanes on Valencia Street south of 23rd Street to make Valencia safer for all people, better for business, and help people shift trips from cars to walking, biking, and public transit...  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 22, 2025 2:15:21 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors ,

Alongside Streets Forward, I urge you to install curbside protected bike lanes on Valencia Street south of 23rd Street before the end of 2025 to make the street safer for all people, better for business, and help people shift trips from cars to walking, biking, and public transit. Please work with Streets Forward to design and install protected bike lanes on this critical section of San Francisco's bike network.

The paint-only, unprotected bike lanes on Valencia Street south of 23rd are dangerous for all people and discourage people from shifting trips from cars to walking, biking, and public transit, which increases car traffic, demand for car parking, noise, air pollution, roadway fatalities and injuries, and climate emissions and decreases revenue for local businesses. We need curbside protected bikeways on Valencia Street south of 23rd Street now to increase safety for all people, benefit local businesses, and help people shift trips from cars to bikes, scooters, and other forms of sustainable transportation. The protected bike lanes should be curbside, have protected intersections, and not have any "mixing zones" and be installed before the end of 2025. This is an amazing opportunity for our city, and we need you to take action.

Thank you,

Aaron Breetwor

Aaron Breetwor  
[aaron@skateablecities.com](mailto:aaron@skateablecities.com)  
1534 15th St  
San Francisco, California 94103



**From:** [Andrew Eljumaily](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please install curbside protected bike lanes on Valencia Street south of 23rd Street to make Valencia safer for all people, better for business, and help people shift trips from cars to walking, biking, and public transit...  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 22, 2025 2:31:16 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors ,

Alongside Streets Forward, I urge you to install curbside protected bike lanes on Valencia Street south of 23rd Street before the end of 2025 to make the street safer for all people, better for business, and help people shift trips from cars to walking, biking, and public transit. Please work with Streets Forward to design and install protected bike lanes on this critical section of San Francisco's bike network.

The paint-only, unprotected bike lanes on Valencia Street south of 23rd are dangerous for all people and discourage people from shifting trips from cars to walking, biking, and public transit, which increases car traffic, demand for car parking, noise, air pollution, roadway fatalities and injuries, and climate emissions and decreases revenue for local businesses. We need curbside protected bikeways on Valencia Street south of 23rd Street now to increase safety for all people, benefit local businesses, and help people shift trips from cars to bikes, scooters, and other forms of sustainable transportation. The protected bike lanes should be curbside, have protected intersections, and not have any "mixing zones" and be installed before the end of 2025. This is an amazing opportunity for our city, and we need you to take action.

Thank you,

Andrew Eljumaily  
andrew.eljumaily@gmail.com  
2661 22nd Street  
San Francisco, California 94110



**From:** [Joseph Sciarrillo](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please install curbside protected bike lanes on Valencia Street south of 23rd Street to make Valencia safer for all people, better for business, and help people shift trips from cars to walking, biking, and public transit...  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 22, 2025 3:37:07 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors ,

Alongside Streets Forward, I urge you to install curbside protected bike lanes on Valencia Street south of 23rd Street before the end of 2025 to make the street safer for all people, better for business, and help people shift trips from cars to walking, biking, and public transit. Please work with Streets Forward to design and install protected bike lanes on this critical section of San Francisco's bike network.

The paint-only, unprotected bike lanes on Valencia Street south of 23rd are dangerous for all people and discourage people from shifting trips from cars to walking, biking, and public transit, which increases car traffic, demand for car parking, noise, air pollution, roadway fatalities and injuries, and climate emissions and decreases revenue for local businesses. We need curbside protected bikeways on Valencia Street south of 23rd Street now to increase safety for all people, benefit local businesses, and help people shift trips from cars to bikes, scooters, and other forms of sustainable transportation. The protected bike lanes should be curbside, have protected intersections, and not have any "mixing zones" and be installed before the end of 2025. This is an amazing opportunity for our city, and we need you to take action.

Thank you,

Joseph Sciarrillo  
jls6400@gmail.com  
1368 Natoma st  
San Francisco, California 94103



**From:** [Andrew Seigner](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please install curbside protected bike lanes on Valencia Street south of 23rd Street to make Valencia safer for all people, better for business, and help people shift trips from cars to walking, biking, and public transit...  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 23, 2025 2:34:13 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The Board of Supervisors ,

Alongside Streets Forward, I urge you to install curbside protected bike lanes on Valencia Street south of 23rd Street before the end of 2025 to make the street safer for all people, better for business, and help people shift trips from cars to walking, biking, and public transit. Please work with Streets Forward to design and install protected bike lanes on this critical section of San Francisco's bike network.

The paint-only, unprotected bike lanes on Valencia Street south of 23rd are dangerous for all people and discourage people from shifting trips from cars to walking, biking, and public transit, which increases car traffic, demand for car parking, noise, air pollution, roadway fatalities and injuries, and climate emissions and decreases revenue for local businesses. We need curbside protected bikeways on Valencia Street south of 23rd Street now to increase safety for all people, benefit local businesses, and help people shift trips from cars to bikes, scooters, and other forms of sustainable transportation. The protected bike lanes should be curbside, have protected intersections, and not have any "mixing zones" and be installed before the end of 2025. This is an amazing opportunity for our city, and we need you to take action.

Thank you,  
Andrew Seigner

Andrew Seigner  
[andrew@sig.gy](mailto:andrew@sig.gy)  
400 Grove Street Unit 403  
San Francisco, California 94102





**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#)  
**Subject:** 13 Letters Regarding Lobbying  
**Date:** Thursday, July 24, 2025 12:14:14 PM  
**Attachments:** [13 Letters Regarding Lobbying.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see attached 13 Letters regarding lobbying activity in San Francisco government.

Regards,

John Bullock  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-5184  
[BOS@sfgov.org](mailto:BOS@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

**From:** [Robert Tillman](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)  
**Subject:** No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 1:42:38 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent      Robert Tillman

Email      [roberttrillman@gmail.com](mailto:roberttrillman@gmail.com)

Subject      No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and  
then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:      Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and  
Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations  
who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and  
Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and  
then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government  
on behalf of those same projects. And both receive  
substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special  
interest organizations that lobby against my  
interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve  
contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials  
and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and  
San Francisco Bike Coalition has received  
\$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And  
there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco  
Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high;  
there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous  
mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

---

**From:** [Stewart Wilber](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)  
**Subject:** No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 3:46:29 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent      Stewart Wilber

Email      [s.wilber@mindspring.com](mailto:s.wilber@mindspring.com)

Subject      No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and  
then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:      Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and  
Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations  
who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and  
Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and  
then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government  
on behalf of those same projects. And both receive  
substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special  
interest organizations that lobby against my  
interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve  
contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials  
and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and  
San Francisco Bike Coalition has received  
\$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And  
there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco  
Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high;  
there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous  
mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

---

**From:** [Sharon Handa](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)  
**Subject:** No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 4:56:31 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent      Sharon Handa

Email      [shandaf7@yahoo.com](mailto:shandaf7@yahoo.com)

Subject      No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and  
then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:      Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and  
Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations  
who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and  
Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and  
then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government  
on behalf of those same projects. And both receive  
substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special  
interest organizations that lobby against my  
interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve  
contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials  
and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and  
San Francisco Bike Coalition has received  
\$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And  
there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco  
Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high;  
there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous  
mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

---



**From:** [Russel Ogden](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)  
**Subject:** No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 5:10:30 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent Russel Ogden

Email [russ@ocisf.com](mailto:russ@ocisf.com)

Subject No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message: Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received \$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

---

**From:** [Brian Clausen](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)  
**Subject:** No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 5:29:17 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent      Brian Clausen

Email      [bccclausen@comcast.net](mailto:bccclausen@comcast.net)

Subject      No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and  
then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:      Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and  
Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations  
who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and  
Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and  
then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government  
on behalf of those same projects. And both receive  
substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special  
interest organizations that lobby against my  
interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve  
contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials  
and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and  
San Francisco Bike Coalition has received  
\$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And  
there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco  
Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high;  
there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous  
mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

---

**From:** [Donya Fahmy](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)  
**Subject:** No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 8:48:30 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent      Donya Fahmy

Email      [aromagal@gmail.com](mailto:aromagal@gmail.com)

Subject      No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and  
then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:      Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and  
Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received \$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

---

**From:** [Claudia Leung](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)  
**Subject:** No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA  
**Date:** Friday, July 18, 2025 2:08:28 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent      Claudia Leung

Email      [claudiajleung@gmail.com](mailto:claudiajleung@gmail.com)

Subject      No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and  
then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:      Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and  
Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received \$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

---



**From:** [William.Stec](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)  
**Subject:** No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA  
**Date:** Friday, July 18, 2025 10:08:35 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

**From your constituent** William Stec

**Email** [billxxl@aol.com](mailto:billxxl@aol.com)

**Subject** No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

**Message:** Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received \$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

---

**From:** [Catherine Valentine](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)  
**Subject:** No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA  
**Date:** Friday, July 18, 2025 12:13:24 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent      Catherine Valentine

Email      charis44@earthlink.net

Subject      No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and  
then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:      Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and  
Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received \$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

---

**From:** [Anthony Villa](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)  
**Subject:** No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA  
**Date:** Friday, July 18, 2025 12:53:15 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent      Anthony Villa

Email      [tvobsf@gmail.com](mailto:tvobsf@gmail.com)

Subject      No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and  
then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:      Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and  
Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations  
who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and  
Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and  
then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government  
on behalf of those same projects. And both receive  
substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special  
interest organizations that lobby against my  
interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve  
contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials  
and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and  
San Francisco Bike Coalition has received  
\$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And  
there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco  
Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high;  
there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous  
mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

---

**From:** [carolyn.chris](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)  
**Subject:** No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA  
**Date:** Friday, July 18, 2025 1:50:30 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent      carolyn chris

Email      carolynchris@sbcglobal.net

Subject      No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and  
then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:      Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and  
Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received \$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

---



**From:** [Matt Denny](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)  
**Subject:** No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA  
**Date:** Monday, July 21, 2025 7:12:27 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent      **Matt Denny**

Email      [dennym999@yahoo.com](mailto:dennym999@yahoo.com)

Subject      No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and  
then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:      Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and  
Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations  
who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and  
Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and  
then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government  
on behalf of those same projects. And both receive  
substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special  
interest organizations that lobby against my  
interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve  
contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials  
and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and  
San Francisco Bike Coalition has received  
\$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And  
there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco  
Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high;  
there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous  
mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

---

**From:** [Richard Hansen](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)  
**Subject:** No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 22, 2025 7:49:25 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent      Richard Hansen

Email      richhansen@aol.com

Subject      No Taxpayer Funding of Groups that Coordinate with and  
then Lobby SF Government/ SFMTA

Message:      Dear Mayor Lurie, SFMTA Board Members and  
Board of Supervisors,

It is ironic that the City funds non-profit organizations who then use those funds to lobby the city.

For example, the San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF both actively plan projects with SFMTA and then lobby SFMTA and San Francisco government on behalf of those same projects. And both receive substantial funding from the city.

As a taxpayer I am opposed to funding special interest organizations that lobby against my interests. It is unethical and irresponsible to approve contracts to activist groups who lobby public officials and agencies.

Walk SF received \$311,274 from FY 2022-2024 and San Francisco Bike Coalition has received \$2,788,151 from FY 2022-2025 from SFMTA. And there is \$425,736 still owed to the San Francisco Bike Coalition under its current contract with SFMTA.

The distrust of the Board of Supervisors is high; there were clear conflicts of interest with the previous mayor.

Similarly, trust in SFMTA has diminished due to prior leadership's lack of transparency and fiscal irresponsibility. They funded activist groups such as San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF who bully seniors, people with disabilities, and many other groups who are just trying to get by.

The quality of life of the majority of hard working, taxpaying San Franciscans has decreased over the last several years due to the work of the SFMTA and the BoS.

I urge you to terminate SFMTA's contracts with San Francisco Bike Coalition and Walk SF effective immediately.

Sincerely,

---

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** 14 Letters Regarding District 9  
**Date:** Thursday, July 24, 2025 12:15:04 PM  
**Attachments:** [14 Letters Regarding District 9.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see 14 letters regarding District 9.

Regards,

John Bullock

Office of the Clerk of the Board

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-5184

[BOS@sfgov.org](mailto:BOS@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

**From:** [Carlos Ciudad-Real](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** Commending Supervisor Jackie Fielder  
**Date:** Monday, July 21, 2025 9:35:26 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Dear Supervisor Fielder,

Thank you for your strong leadership and for voting no on the City's budget which does not meet the needs of every day San Franciscans. Thank you for your vote to keep Prop C intact along with Supervisors Walton and Chen, for respecting the will of voters, and for standing up for our democratic process. Last but not least, thank you for voting NO on the terrible RV ban along with Supervisor Walton which will simply exacerbate the homelessness crisis by forcing many working-class, largely Latino, families to give up their housing. You, Jackie Fielder, are among the few representatives we have in this city who reflects our values, especially in light of the ICE raids that are terrifying and kidnapping our neighbors. We are watching and we see you!

Carlos Ciudad-Real  
cmciudadreal@gmail.com  
1222 Harrison St  
San Francisco, California 94103



**From:** [Rishav Rout](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** Commending Supervisor Jackie Fielder  
**Date:** Monday, July 21, 2025 9:52:03 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Dear Supervisor Fielder,

Thank you for your strong leadership and for voting no on the City's budget which does not meet the needs of every day San Franciscans. Thank you for your vote to keep Prop C intact along with Supervisors Walton and Chen, for respecting the will of voters, and for standing up for our democratic process. Last but not least, thank you for voting NO on the terrible RV ban along with Supervisor Walton which will simply exacerbate the homelessness crisis by forcing many working-class, largely Latino, families to give up their housing. You, Jackie Fielder, are among the few representatives we have in this city who reflects our values, especially in light of the ICE raids that are terrifying and kidnapping our neighbors. We are watching and we see you!

Rishav Rout  
rout.rishav@gmail.com  
1075 Valencia St, Apt 1  
San Francisco, California 94110





**From:** [Dominic Ryan](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** Commending Supervisor Jackie Fielder  
**Date:** Monday, July 21, 2025 10:03:57 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Dear Supervisor Fielder,

Thank you for your strong leadership and for voting no on the City's budget which does not meet the needs of every day San Franciscans. Thank you for your vote to keep Prop C intact along with Supervisors Walton and Chen, for respecting the will of voters, and for standing up for our democratic process. Last but not least, thank you for voting NO on the terrible RV ban along with Supervisor Walton which will simply exacerbate the homelessness crisis by forcing many working-class, largely Latino, families to give up their housing. You, Jackie Fielder, are among the few representatives we have in this city who reflects our values, especially in light of the ICE raids that are terrifying and kidnapping our neighbors. We are watching and we see you! To the rest of the supervisors, who are selling out our city to our nepo baby mayor's Trumpian agenda - we see you too, and we will not forget.

Dominic Ryan  
dominicmr@gmail.com  
1300 Irving  
San Francisco , California 94122



**From:** [Jayson VanBeusichem](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** Commending Supervisor Jackie Fielder  
**Date:** Monday, July 21, 2025 10:10:10 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Dear Supervisor Fielder,

Thank you for your strong leadership and for voting no on the City's budget which does not meet the needs of every day San Franciscans. Thank you for your vote to keep Prop C intact along with Supervisors Walton and Chen, for respecting the will of voters, and for standing up for our democratic process. Last but not least, thank you for voting NO on the terrible RV ban along with Supervisor Walton which will simply exacerbate the homelessness crisis by forcing many working-class, largely Latino, families to give up their housing. You, Jackie Fielder, are among the few representatives we have in this city who reflects our values, especially in light of the ICE raids that are terrifying and kidnapping our neighbors. We are watching and we see you!

Jayson VanBeusichem  
jayson.vanbeusichem@gmail.com  
1570 Golden Gate Avenue, Apt 4  
San Francisco, California 94115



**From:** [Dave Madden](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** Commending Sup. Fielder - SF's true leader  
**Date:** Monday, July 21, 2025 11:28:18 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Dear Supervisor Fielder,

Thank you for your strong leadership and for voting no on the City's budget, which grossly ignores the needs of everyday San Franciscans.

Thank you for your vote, along with Supervisors Walton and Chen, to keep Prop C intact, respecting the will of voters. And thank you for voting NO alongside Sup. Walton on the terrible RV ban, which will only exacerbate the homelessness crisis by forcing many working-class, largely Latino, families to give up their housing.

You, Jackie Fielder, are among the few representatives we have in this city who reflect the people's values, especially in light of the ICE raids that are terrifying and kidnapping our neighbors.

I see you, and I admire how hard you're working for us. I hope your colleagues on the Board will learn from your example.

Thank you:

Dave Madden  
Glen Park, 94131

Dave Madden  
[actionnetwork@davemadden.org](mailto:actionnetwork@davemadden.org)  
164 Everson St  
San Francisco, California 94131



**From:** [Christopher Mika](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** Commending Supervisor Jackie Fielder  
**Date:** Monday, July 21, 2025 12:39:04 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Dear Supervisor Fielder,

Thank you for your strong leadership and for voting no on the City's budget which does not meet the needs of every day San Franciscans. Thank you for your vote to keep Prop C intact along with Supervisors Walton and Chen, for respecting the will of voters, and for standing up for our democratic process. Last but not least, thank you for voting NO on the terrible RV ban along with Supervisor Walton which will simply exacerbate the homelessness crisis by forcing many working-class, largely Latino, families to give up their housing. You, Jackie Fielder, are among the few representatives we have in this city who reflects our values, especially in light of the ICE raids that are terrifying and kidnapping our neighbors. We are watching and we see you!

Christopher Mika  
mika.christopher@gmail.com  
Geary St.  
San Francisco , California 94109





**From:** [Samantha Malpiedi](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** Commending Supervisor Jackie Fielder  
**Date:** Monday, July 21, 2025 1:16:33 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Dear Supervisor Fielder,

Thank you for your strong leadership and for voting no on the City's budget which does not meet the needs of every day San Franciscans and instead prioritizes business interests and inflated police budgets that have not contributed to a safer city.

Thank you for your vote to keep Prop C intact along with Supervisors Walton and Chen, for respecting the will of voters, and for standing up for our democratic process. Last but not least, thank you for voting NO on the terrible RV ban along with Supervisor Walton which will simply exacerbate the homelessness crisis by forcing many working-class, largely Latino, families to give up their housing.

You, Jackie Fielder, are among the few representatives we have in this city who reflects our values, especially in light of the ICE raids that are terrifying and kidnapping our neighbors. We are watching and we see you!

Samantha Malpiedi  
samantha.malpiedi@gmail.com  
952 guerrero street  
San Francisco, California 94110



**From:** [Andrew O'Connor](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** Commending Supervisor Jackie Fielder  
**Date:** Monday, July 21, 2025 1:50:19 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Dear Supervisor Fielder,

Thank you for your strong leadership and for voting no on the City's budget which does not meet the needs of every day San Franciscans. Thank you for your vote to keep Prop C intact along with Supervisors Walton and Chen, for respecting the will of voters, and for standing up for our democratic process. Last but not least, thank you for voting NO on the terrible RV ban along with Supervisor Walton which will simply exacerbate the homelessness crisis by forcing many working-class, largely Latino, families to give up their housing. You, Jackie Fielder, are among the few representatives we have in this city who reflects our values, especially in light of the ICE raids that are terrifying and kidnapping our neighbors. We are watching and we see you!

Andrew O'Connor  
andrewjoconn@gmail.com  
1489 Webster Street Apt 702  
San Francisco, California 94115



**From:** [Jack Good](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** Thank You, Supervisor Jackie Fielder!  
**Date:** Monday, July 21, 2025 4:10:59 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

The past few weeks have been filled with bad decisions coming from the Board of Supervisors and the Mayor's Office, and you continue to be the one beacon of hope left in our government. You, along with Supervisors Walton and Chen, voted to keep Prop C as it was intended by the voters. You voted against a budget that hurts the poorest among us and slashes key departments. You voted against an RV ban that puts valued members of this city at risk. Know that folks across the city are watching the great work you do everyday!

Jack Good  
jackyboygood@gmail.com  
1235 Waller St, Apt 4  
San Francisco, California 94117-2968



**From:** [Gabriel Goffman](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** Commending Supervisor Jackie Fielder  
**Date:** Monday, July 21, 2025 6:19:48 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Dear Supervisor Fielder,

Thank you for your strong leadership and for voting no on the City's budget which does not meet the needs of every day San Franciscans. Thank you for your vote to keep Prop C intact along with Supervisors Walton and Chen, for respecting the will of voters, and for standing up for our democratic process. Last but not least, thank you for voting NO on the terrible RV ban along with Supervisor Walton which will simply exacerbate the homelessness crisis by forcing many working-class, largely Latino, families to give up their housing. You, Jackie Fielder, are among the few representatives we have in this city who reflects our values, especially in light of the ICE raids that are terrifying and kidnapping our neighbors. We are watching and we see you!

Gabriel Goffman  
gfgoffman@gmail.com  
273 Frederick st  
San Francisco , California 94117





**From:** [Helen Ung](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** Commending Supervisor Jackie Fielder  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 22, 2025 4:37:45 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Dear Supervisor Fielder,

Thank you for your strong leadership and for voting no on the City's budget which does not meet the needs of every day San Franciscans. Thank you for your vote to keep Prop C intact along with Supervisors Walton and Chen, for respecting the will of voters, and for standing up for our democratic process. Last but not least, thank you for voting NO on the terrible RV ban along with Supervisor Walton which will simply exacerbate the homelessness crisis by forcing many working-class, largely Latino, families to give up their housing. You, Jackie Fielder, are among the few representatives we have in this city who reflects our values, especially in light of the ICE raids that are terrifying and kidnapping our neighbors. We are watching and we see you!

Helen Ung  
uhelen94@gmail.com  
54 Terra Vista Ave Apt 3  
San Francisco, California 94115



**From:** [Hans Ege WEnger](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** Commending Supervisor Jackie Fielder  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 22, 2025 5:48:21 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Dear Supervisor Fielder,

Thank you for your strong leadership and for voting no on the City's budget which does not meet the needs of every day San Franciscans. Thank you for your vote to keep Prop C intact along with Supervisors Walton and Chen, for respecting the will of voters, and for standing up for our democratic process. Last but not least, thank you for voting NO on the terrible RV ban along with Supervisor Walton which will simply exacerbate the homelessness crisis by forcing many working-class, largely Latino, families to give up their housing. You, Jackie Fielder, are among the few representatives we have in this city who reflects our values, especially in light of the ICE raids that are terrifying and kidnapping our neighbors. We are watching and we see you!

Hans Ege WEnger  
hegewe01@gmail.com  
1363 7th Ave, Apt 3  
San Francisco, California 94122



**From:** [Richard Carini](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** Commending Supervisor Jackie Fielder  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 23, 2025 10:10:52 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Dear Supervisor Fielder,

Thank you for your strong leadership and for voting no on the City's budget which does not meet the needs of every day San Franciscans. Thank you for your vote to keep Prop C intact along with Supervisors Walton and Chen, for respecting the will of voters, and for standing up for our democratic process. Last but not least, thank you for voting NO on the terrible RV ban along with Supervisor Walton which will simply exacerbate the homelessness crisis by forcing many working-class, largely Latino, families to give up their housing. You, Jackie Fielder, are among the few representatives we have in this city who reflects our values, especially in light of the ICE raids that are terrifying and kidnapping our neighbors. We are watching and we see you!

Richard Carini  
rdcarini@gmail.com  
1520 Pershing Dr Unit F  
San Francisco, California 94129



**From:** [Jolie Wu](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** Commending Supervisor Jackie Fielder  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 23, 2025 5:18:51 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Dear Supervisor Fielder,

Thank you for your strong leadership and for voting no on the City's budget which does not meet the needs of every day San Franciscans. Thank you for your vote to keep Prop C intact along with Supervisors Walton and Chen, for respecting the will of voters, and for standing up for our democratic process. Last but not least, thank you for voting NO on the terrible RV ban along with Supervisor Walton which will simply exacerbate the homelessness crisis by forcing many working-class, largely Latino, families to give up their housing. You, Jackie Fielder, are among the few representatives we have in this city who reflects our values, especially in light of the ICE raids that are terrifying and kidnapping our neighbors. We are watching and we see you!

Jolie Wu  
joliwu0710@gmail.com  
1865 Fulton St Apt 4  
San Francisco, California 94117





**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** 46 Letters Regarding Animal Welfare  
**Date:** Thursday, July 24, 2025 12:17:49 PM  
**Attachments:** [46 Letters Regarding Animal Welfare.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see attached 46 letters regarding animal welfare.

Regards,

John Bullock

Office of the Clerk of the Board

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-5184

[BOS@sfgov.org](mailto:BOS@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters. Silence allows this suffering to continue.

3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:

4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources, whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/0mEwFJfHC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZrE\\_PvGh0](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZrE_PvGh0)
- <https://koreandogs.org/700-ages-burned-silver/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chadles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/diseapoon/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/8u47K7e0PTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/Sj0H06kMYk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/AB8okdiJhGk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/Bf1E6tbs79e>
- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=6d8m2>
- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=60JlMlM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hokdKdH8i18>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/1S7W4de56>
- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=1A5G04Qd0>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9bW4y5v5d3d>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/DWUTY5vQHQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/1j4yZxKzK0U>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/8k9fz9f>
- <https://koreandogs.org/ujlin/fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gawgondo-ire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danish/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=333>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFormore/stream>

A global petition is growing: <https://chnng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

[https://url.avanan.click/v2l01/\\_\\_\\_www.freekibble.com\\_\\_\\_YAxAOnmZhQyOaE6bz0MzU4MwMwYU4NTBjYTFfZGZ5YTM1MDZjN3g5YmZDN0d3Q3ZGZjZGZjZG5AwwMwYMGZjZlA1ZmZjphNTxZG3E3Z3e3zJhZjMklykN0E0z0Y1zYmZmZnZmA0mYDmT5ZD3ZN2Q10Dp0Q6tG](https://url.avanan.click/v2l01/___www.freekibble.com___YAxAOnmZhQyOaE6bz0MzU4MwMwYU4NTBjYTFfZGZ5YTM1MDZjN3g5YmZDN0d3Q3ZGZjZG5AwwMwYMGZjZlA1ZmZjphNTxZG3E3Z3e3zJhZjMklykN0E0z0Y1zYmZmZnZmA0mYDmT5ZD3ZN2Q10Dp0Q6tG)  
[https://url.avanan.click/v2l01/\\_\\_\\_www.freekibekat.com\\_\\_\\_YAxAOnmZhQyOaE6bz0MzU4MwMwYU4NTBjYTFfZGZ5YTM1MDZjN3g5YmZDN0d3Q3ZGZjZGZjZG5AwwMwYMGZjZlA1ZmZjphNTxZG3E3Z3e3zJhZjMklykN0E0z0Y1zYmZmZnZmA0mYDmT5ZD3ZN2Q10Dp0Q6tG](https://url.avanan.click/v2l01/___www.freekibekat.com___YAxAOnmZhQyOaE6bz0MzU4MwMwYU4NTBjYTFfZGZ5YTM1MDZjN3g5YmZDN0d3Q3ZGZjZGZjZG5AwwMwYMGZjZlA1ZmZjphNTxZG3E3Z3e3zJhZjMklykN0E0z0Y1zYmZmZnZmA0mYDmT5ZD3ZN2Q10Dp0Q6tG)  
[https://url.avanan.click/v2l01/\\_\\_\\_www.theanimalesque.com\\_\\_\\_YAxAOnmZhQyOaE6bz0MzU4MwMwYU4NTBjYTFfZGZ5YTM1MDZjN3g5YmZDN0d3Q3ZGZjZGZjZG5AwwMwYMGZjZlA1ZmZjphNTxZG3E3Z3e3zJhZjMklykN0E0z0Y1zYmZmZnZmA0mYDmT5ZD3ZN2Q10Dp0Q6tG](https://url.avanan.click/v2l01/___www.theanimalesque.com___YAxAOnmZhQyOaE6bz0MzU4MwMwYU4NTBjYTFfZGZ5YTM1MDZjN3g5YmZDN0d3Q3ZGZjZGZjZG5AwwMwYMGZjZlA1ZmZjphNTxZG3E3Z3e3zJhZjMklykN0E0z0Y1zYmZmZnZmA0mYDmT5ZD3ZN2Q10Dp0Q6tG)  
[https://url.avanan.click/v2l01/\\_\\_\\_www.freecrie.com\\_\\_\\_YAxAOnmZhQyOaE6bz0MzU4MwMwYU4NTBjYTFfZGZ5YTM1MDZjN3g5YmZDN0d3Q3ZGZjZGZjZG5AwwMwYMGZjZlA1ZmZjphNTxZG3E3Z3e3zJhZjMklykN0E0z0Y1zYmZmZnZmA0mYDmT5ZD3ZN2Q10Dp0Q6tG](https://url.avanan.click/v2l01/___www.freecrie.com___YAxAOnmZhQyOaE6bz0MzU4MwMwYU4NTBjYTFfZGZ5YTM1MDZjN3g5YmZDN0d3Q3ZGZjZGZjZG5AwwMwYMGZjZlA1ZmZjphNTxZG3E3Z3e3zJhZjMklykN0E0z0Y1zYmZmZnZmA0mYDmT5ZD3ZN2Q10Dp0Q6tG)

**From:** [Consulate Priority](#)  
**To:** [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please help stop animal cruelty  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 16, 2025 5:58:12 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

**1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.

**2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.

**3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:**

- A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
- Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
- Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
- Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.

**4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources,** whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFJlfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)

- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwI6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMfllqM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8Ii8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6I>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVQHQ>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership,

—

Don Dudan  
Walnut Creek, CA 94596 USA



From:  
To:

[Cathy Milovina](#)

[Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Felder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [kanglaw83@hanmail.net](#); [sjkang2005@smc.seoul.kr](#); [k-gimoon@hanmail.net](#); [hiseocho@naver.com](#); [aromaeffort@hanmail.net](#); [smil-ing@naver.com](#); [muse201@hotmail.com](#); [kkhbb0331@daum.net](#); [leejs8341@naver.com](#); [kkd3344@hanmail.net](#); [spoman88@naver.com](#); [dwk5gangnam@gmail.com](#); [visionbosco@naver.com](#); [oki1422@naver.com](#); [kimyc5975@naver.com](#); [yongk0525@hanmail.net](#); [pukasun011@hanmail.net](#); [kwj22222@naver.com](#); [kim22wt@naver.com](#); [ij7857@gmail.com](#); [k80209454@hanmail.net](#); [220601winner@naver.com](#); [sujihoo@hanmail.net](#); [gare1@hanmail.net](#); [kts8104@hanmail.net](#); [hyeon4123@hanmail.net](#); [kign0071@naver.com](#); [khyseoul7@daum.net](#); [bombam111@naver.com](#); [jnd0522@hanmail.net](#); [chjin4455@nate.com](#); [mydoh@naver.com](#); [nayuri89@naver.com](#); [Mbj1440@naver.com](#); [ksp9725@naver.com](#); [shp2022@naver.com](#); [parksk7@hanmail.net](#); [syannie@naver.com](#); [101gangbuk@gmail.com](#); [sjpark5272@hanmail.net](#); [myjjang55@hanmail.net](#); [maum7412@naver.com](#); [pihkorworld@hanmail.net](#); [csp2000@naver.com](#); [seven7star77@hanmail.net](#); [gox9778@nate.com](#); [yeol1977@naver.com](#); [ricehope@hanmail.net](#); [sho3120@hanmail.net](#); [zxy100@naver.com](#); [mountain8511@naver.com](#); [skt007@naver.com](#); [songdoho88@naver.com](#); [ecopolis2050@hanmail.net](#); [flutelove2001@hanmail.net](#); [bj618@hanmail.net](#); [meiqing7@nate.com](#); [kantry1@smc.seoul.kr](#); [bmwohkr@naver.com](#); [oje1015@hanmail.net](#); [joinus7942@daum.net](#); [kfmpd@naver.com](#); [yumanhee@hanmail.net](#); [yjh8144@naver.com](#); [dorimchun@hanmail.net](#); [ds1msm@hanmail.net](#); [etty02@smc.seoul.kr](#); [yoonjb48@naver.com](#); [lks7459@naver.com](#); [min12343@naver.com](#); [2min5ql@naver.com](#); [lover9474@naver.com](#); [kee61k@empas.com](#); [bjkmc@naver.com](#); [seoul7635@gmail.com](#); [teamleesanghoon@naver.com](#); [zzz1895@naver.com](#); [113lee@naver.com](#); [sora94seoul@gmail.com](#); [slj0894@hanmail.net](#); [sm6244@naver.com](#); [marinespyi@naver.com](#); [dndsil@hanmail.net](#); [st2020@hanmail.net](#); [yiw2898@naver.com](#); [eunlim@ewhain.net](#); [jongbae1252@daum.net](#); [smilemen@hanmail.net](#); [ljh5612@hanmail.net](#); [seoul.lhw@gmail.com](#); [archicbnu@naver.com](#); [kcniw@hanmail.net](#); [sangchoo1900@hanmail.net](#); [eparty@paran.com](#); [cnseo566@naver.com](#); [samueladams@naver.com](#); [bjjeon918@hanmail.net](#); [준, 정](#); [jw9976@naver.com](#); [chaemokdong@gmail.com](#); [gichan6907@hanmail.net](#); [minkyu0307@naver.com](#); [choi\\_767@naver.com](#); [inpraxis@naver.com](#); [cjin72261@naver.com](#); [fng9129@hanmail.net](#); [han-shin@naver.com](#); [yangcheongo@naver.com](#); [cp1055@naver.com](#); [lovejane26@hanmail.net](#); [hck01@hanmail.net](#); [ENGLISH@assembly.go.kr](#); [webmaster@assembly.go.kr](#); [015mia@naver.com](#); [0415gunsan@gmail.com](#); [syd@assembly.go.kr](#); [1bdoit@gmail.com](#); [22.ksunmin@gmail.com](#); [326mia@gmail.com](#); [734ssj@gmail.com](#); [7842055@naver.com](#); [assemblymoonsu@gmail.com](#); [ayjk1101@gmail.com](#); [bemil434@naver.com](#); [changit@naver.com](#); [choo\\_worl\\_d@naver.com](#); [chung10park@naver.com](#); [dcparkji@assembly.go.kr](#); [dh337dh@naver.com](#); [dj\\_koh7163@naver.com](#); [eonju5257@gmail.com](#); [gimpolovekky@gmail.com](#); [greenwind21@gmail.com](#); [hanqkim74@assembly.go.kr](#); [happyhappo@naver.com](#); [ilovebusanjin@hanmail.net](#); [j7840790@gmail.com](#); [jee21dongnae@naver.com](#); [jeoung@gmail.com](#); [jhkang315@gmail.com](#); [jhkim1789@naver.com](#); [jinjongoh.official@gmail.com](#); [jihgi0503@naver.com](#); [jmoon123@naver.com](#); [jongno.kwak@gmail.com](#); [joo\\_jinwoo@naver.com](#); [joonforpeace@gmail.com](#); [jsh35351@naver.com](#); [jsjeong0403@naver.com](#); [jskshare2020@gmail.com](#); [jungsdream24@gmail.com](#); [jwk@rebuildingk.kr](#); [jykim61@assembly.go.kr](#); [kimjung2359@daum.net](#); [kktlaw@naver.com](#); [kts230410@gmail.com](#); [letskt2020@gmail.com](#); [limhoseon2020@naver.com](#); [llj11@daum.net](#); [lok0415@hanmail.net](#); [napark81@naver.com](#); [official\\_yt@naver.com](#); [okhyung628@naver.com](#); [parkdukyum@naver.com](#); [pbk705@naver.com](#); [pksjoffice@naver.com](#); [sbyoo64@naver.com](#); [smpark3340@naver.com](#); [unhah0301@gmail.com](#); [vsbok@naver.com](#); [woooo617@naver.com](#); [ydkim22@naver.com](#); [yjb870053@naver.com](#); [yoons609@hanmail.net](#); [youngjink840@naver.com](#); [youngkyos@naver.com](#); [yskys2020@naver.com](#); [zeeahan635@gmail.com](#); [2024iccho@gmail.com](#); [2024wisunglac@gmail.com](#); [2040jd@gmail.com](#); [22yyh620@naver.com](#); [2yangs6288@naver.com](#); [335kang@naver.com](#); [3s0011@naver.com](#); [518jjs@naver.com](#); [532jhlee@gmail.com](#); [613jjs@gmail.com](#); [623kimjk@gmail.com](#); [7842760@naver.com](#); [7844165@naver.com](#); [7844861@naver.com](#); [7880291@naver.com](#); [7882576kj@hanmail.net](#); [7882579@naver.com](#); [907kth@naver.com](#); [ahndogeol@naver.com](#); [ahnho065@gmail.com](#); [anotherk7@gmail.com](#); [antagt@naver.com](#); [anyangmin@gmail.com](#); [assembly0915@naver.com](#); [assembly847@naver.com](#); [bakeunjeong22@gmail.com](#); [best1yty@gmail.com](#); [bhrassembly0217@gmail.com](#); [bigmann64@naver.com](#); [bjh438@naver.com](#); [booseungchan.yongin@gmail.com](#); [braveplane@gmail.com](#); [bsa\\_teacher@naver.com](#); [can929292@naver.com](#); [cdypeace@hanmail.net](#); [cesof@naver.com](#); [chajiho5301@gmail.com](#); [chhi.address@gmail.com](#); [choimh867@gmail.com](#); [choiwakeup@gmail.com](#); [chokuk@assembly.go.kr](#); [chungco518@gmail.com](#); [csa2418@naver.com](#); [csahn435@gmail.com](#); [csh240410@naver.com](#); [dalhee621@naver.com](#); [dobongstar24@naver.com](#); [dongah1021@gmail.com](#); [dongbu1538@naver.com](#); [dskimbless@naver.com](#); [dw7227@naver.com](#); [flyhong21@naver.com](#); [fopepler76@gmail.com](#); [gangseo.kap@gmail.com](#); [gikuchon@nate.com](#); [gimpo\\_park@naver.com](#); [gkim338@naver.com](#); [goanghee1@naver.com](#); [gohoonsik@gmail.com](#); [gracecho1@naver.com](#); [gracesook52@rebuildingk.kr](#); [guseng@hanmail.net](#); [gyewoncho@naver.com](#); [heeyong4you@naver.com](#); [hello@yonghyein.kr](#); [hjun9292@naver.com](#); [hmsgb2024@gmail.com](#); [hoongi2024@gmail.com](#); [hotjjoon@gmail.com](#); [news61@naver.com](#); [huhsmsm@naver.com](#); [hwang\\_0410@naver.com](#); [hwanghee6728@gmail.com](#); [hwangms0@naver.com](#); [indobangrang@hanmail.net](#); [inseon0502@naver.com](#); [intoan429@gmail.com](#); [ironkwon24@gmail.com](#); [ironregion24@gmail.com](#); [jaeganglee@gmail.com](#); [jassembly536@gmail.com](#); [jcm5440@gmail.com](#); [jeonjd7877@gmail.com](#); [jinhae\\_jump@gmail.com](#); [jiyeonwecan@naver.com](#); [jongsyy@naver.com](#); [joomincenter@gmail.com](#); [jth5073@gmail.com](#); [juno.news2020@gmail.com](#); [jwp615@naver.com](#); [jyb116@naver.com](#); [jylee\\_nrp@naver.com](#); [kds21341@naver.com](#); [khchoo@assembly.go.kr](#); [khjkorea@assembly.go.kr](#); [khyungdong@naver.com](#); [kimbyungjoo62@gmail.com](#); [kimeunhye\\_official@naver.com](#); [kimeunpyung0@gmail.com](#); [kimhyun0610@gmail.com](#); [kiminnotank@naver.com](#); [kimkh4u@naver.com](#); [kimnowongu@gmail.com](#); [kimsangwook80@naver.com](#); [kimg5358@naver.com](#); [kimsw910@naver.com](#); [kimwoni923@gmail.com](#); [kim-younggho@naver.com](#); [kiyku@naver.com](#); [knh@na.go.kr](#); [kominjung21@gmail.com](#); [ksdd22@naver.com](#); [kshdq11@gmail.com](#); [kshoi72@naver.com](#); [kskangsk@na.go.kr](#); [ktn0320@daum.net](#); [kyitongil@naver.com](#);

law@bychoi.com; lawji@naver.com; ldek3525@naver.com; leehaesik1113@gmail.com; leejilaw@gmail.com; leesang@assembly.go.kr; leesj545@daum.net; limkhassembly@gmail.com; liv1964@empal.com; ljm631000@assembly.go.kr; ljm935@na.go.kr; lkh502503@gmail.com; lsywin445@gmail.com; m2hwaseo@gmail.com; mains.ail440@daum.net; mapo\_cho@naver.com; maumgil88@gmail.com; mgk2224@naver.com; mhb1961@naver.com; minjoo\_ljk0410@naver.com; minjoomsk@naver.com; minkyucool@assembly.go.kr; moalarm2024@naver.com; moondaelim@naver.com; moongj67@gmail.com; mp\_sj322@naver.com; mrleegt@gmail.com; myounggu.power@gmail.com; nakw63@naver.com; namgeunkim10@gmail.com; newkms2030@gmail.com; newwonju643@naver.com; nisoona@na.go.kr; ontoincha22@gmail.com; oshee0530@gmail.com; parkcd0214@gmail.com; parkhs2024@gmail.com; parkkyoontaek@naver.com; parksm2028@gmail.com; parksw2789@naver.com; peacesong1@naver.com; pjikorea21c@naver.com; plz.hongbae@gmail.com; psyfor2020@naver.com; ptgotogether@naver.com; pyk393329@gmail.com; qkrsw20@naver.com; reform1-1@hanmail.net; saeng0425@rebuildingk.kr; sahalsk1015@naver.com; saminhcm@gmail.com; saseyoung@naver.com; sbsulju@daum.net; shinjh744@naver.com; shinsj6411@gmail.com; shkay73@naver.com; shyoona@na.go.kr; sij5140@naver.com; sijikorea@gmail.com; sjbong4381@naver.com; smjingogo@gmail.com; songok4740@naver.com; sonms@assembly.go.kr; sotong848@daum.net; ssaribi0415@naver.com; strongwind01@naver.com; successshuh@gmail.com; sungkook337@naver.com; swkimsuwon@gmail.com; sylee0546@gmail.com; team1000haram@gmail.com; teampark2024@gmail.com; the.kim.weapon@gmail.com; the.kimyoon@gmail.com; tjcsgh2024@naver.com; together.eut@gmail.com; ubuntu\_21@naver.com; victory\_cho@naver.com; victoryljb@naver.com; we1taeg@daum.net; winner4011@naver.com; wishjeju@hanmail.net; within413@gmail.com; wjseo21@rebuildingk.kr; worker21c@gmail.com; yangmunsuk7227@gmail.com; yb7841521@gmail.com; ybn733@naver.com; yc20002@naver.com; yeajijoy@gmail.com; yeskt@hanmail.net; yhassembly2024@naver.com; yjongo428@gmail.com; ymk0814@naver.com; youngcamp1@gmail.com; younky926@gmail.com; yslee9282@naver.com; yujung7522@gmail.com; yuseong0413@daum.net; kimgipy024@naver.com; jeehye.park@assembly.go.kr; yeonh2ee@gmail.com; lywchosim@naver.com; assembly\_ok@naver.com; ash\_0515@naver.com; haimin@rebuildingk.kr; wisangk@naver.com; yesbupyeong@gmail.com; new\_ansan@naver.com; kilee905@naver.com; sotong0743@gmail.com; jhkjinbo@gmail.com; sbumshin@naver.com

**Subject:** Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 16, 2025 7:57:28 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

**1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.

**2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.

**3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:**

- A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
- Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
- Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
- Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.

**4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources,** whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjIfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)
- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwl6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMfllqM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8Ii8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6l>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVQHQ>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chnng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership,

Cathy Milovina



USA

From:  
To:

[chris](#)

[Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Felder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [kanglaw83@hanmail.net](#); [sjkang2005@smc.seoul.kr](#); [k-gimoon@hanmail.net](#); [hiseocho@naver.com](#); [aromaeffort@hanmail.net](#); [smil-ing@naver.com](#); [muse201@hotmail.com](#); [kkhbb0331@daum.net](#); [leejs8341@naver.com](#); [kkd3344@hanmail.net](#); [spoman88@naver.com](#); [dwk5gangnam@gmail.com](#); [visionbosco@naver.com](#); [oki1422@naver.com](#); [kimyc5975@naver.com](#); [yongk0525@hanmail.net](#); [pukasun011@hanmail.net](#); [kwj22222@naver.com](#); [kim22wt@naver.com](#); [ij7857@gmail.com](#); [k80209454@hanmail.net](#); [220601winner@naver.com](#); [sujiho@hanmail.net](#); [gare1@hanmail.net](#); [kts8104@hanmail.net](#); [hyeon4123@hanmail.net](#); [kign0071@naver.com](#); [khyseoul7@daum.net](#); [bombam111@naver.com](#); [jnd0522@hanmail.net](#); [chjin4455@nate.com](#); [mydoh@naver.com](#); [nayuri89@naver.com](#); [Mbj1440@naver.com](#); [ksp9725@naver.com](#); [shp2022@naver.com](#); [parksuk7@hanmail.net](#); [syannie@naver.com](#); [101gangbuk@gmail.com](#); [sjpark5272@hanmail.net](#); [myjjang55@hanmail.net](#); [maum7412@naver.com](#); [pihkorworld@hanmail.net](#); [csp2000@naver.com](#); [seven7star77@hanmail.net](#); [gox9778@nate.com](#); [yeol1977@naver.com](#); [ricehope@hanmail.net](#); [sho3120@hanmail.net](#); [zxy100@naver.com](#); [mountain8511@naver.com](#); [skt007@naver.com](#); [songdoh088@naver.com](#); [ecopolis2050@hanmail.net](#); [flutelove2001@hanmail.net](#); [bj618@hanmail.net](#); [meiqing7@nate.com](#); [kantry1@smc.seoul.kr](#); [bmwohkr@naver.com](#); [oje1015@hanmail.net](#); [joinus7942@daum.net](#); [kfmpd@naver.com](#); [yumanhee@hanmail.net](#); [yjh8144@naver.com](#); [dorimchun@hanmail.net](#); [ds1msm@hanmail.net](#); [etty02@smc.seoul.kr](#); [yoonjb48@naver.com](#); [lks7459@naver.com](#); [min12343@naver.com](#); [2min5ql@naver.com](#); [lover9474@naver.com](#); [kee61k@empas.com](#); [bjkmc@naver.com](#); [seoul7635@gmail.com](#); [teamleesanghoon@naver.com](#); [zzz1895@naver.com](#); [113lee@naver.com](#); [sora94seoul@gmail.com](#); [slj0894@hanmail.net](#); [sm6244@naver.com](#); [marinespyi@naver.com](#); [dndsil@hanmail.net](#); [st2020@hanmail.net](#); [yiw2898@naver.com](#); [eunlim@ewhain.net](#); [jongbae1252@daum.net](#); [smilemen@hanmail.net](#); [ljh5612@hanmail.net](#); [seoul.lhw@gmail.com](#); [archicbnu@naver.com](#); [kcnljw@hanmail.net](#); [sangchoo1900@hanmail.net](#); [eparty@paran.com](#); [cnseo566@naver.com](#); [samueladams@naver.com](#); [bjjeon918@hanmail.net](#); [준, 정](#); [jw9976@naver.com](#); [chaemokdong@gmail.com](#); [gichan6907@hanmail.net](#); [minkyu0307@naver.com](#); [choi\\_767@naver.com](#); [inpraxis@naver.com](#); [cjin7261@naver.com](#); [fng9129@hanmail.net](#); [han-shin@naver.com](#); [yangcheongo@naver.com](#); [cp1055@naver.com](#); [lovejane26@hanmail.net](#); [hck01@hanmail.net](#); [ENGLISH@assembly.go.kr](#); [webmaster@assembly.go.kr](#); [015mia@naver.com](#); [0415gunsan@gmail.com](#); [syd@assembly.go.kr](#); [1bdoit@gmail.com](#); [22.ksunmin@gmail.com](#); [326mia@gmail.com](#); [734ssj@gmail.com](#); [7842055@naver.com](#); [assemblymoonsu@gmail.com](#); [ayjk1101@gmail.com](#); [bemil434@naver.com](#); [changit@naver.com](#); [choo\\_worl\\_d@naver.com](#); [chung10park@naver.com](#); [dcparkji@assembly.go.kr](#); [dh337dh@naver.com](#); [dj\\_koh7163@naver.com](#); [eonju5257@gmail.com](#); [gimpolovekky@gmail.com](#); [greenwind21@gmail.com](#); [hanqkim74@assembly.go.kr](#); [happyhappo@naver.com](#); [ilovebusanjin@hanmail.net](#); [j7840790@gmail.com](#); [jee21dongnae@naver.com](#); [jeoung@gmail.com](#); [jhkang315@gmail.com](#); [jhkim1789@naver.com](#); [jinjongoh.official@gmail.com](#); [jihqi0503@naver.com](#); [jmoon123@naver.com](#); [jongno.kwak@gmail.com](#); [joo\\_jinwoo@naver.com](#); [joonforpeace@gmail.com](#); [jsh35351@naver.com](#); [jsjeong0403@naver.com](#); [jskshare2020@gmail.com](#); [jungsdream24@gmail.com](#); [jwk@rebuildingk.kr](#); [jykim61@assembly.go.kr](#); [kimjung2359@daum.net](#); [kktlaw@naver.com](#); [kts230410@gmail.com](#); [letskt2020@gmail.com](#); [limhoseon2020@naver.com](#); [llj11@daum.net](#); [lok0415@hanmail.net](#); [napark81@naver.com](#); [official\\_yt@naver.com](#); [okhyung628@naver.com](#); [parkdukyum@naver.com](#); [pbk705@naver.com](#); [pksjoffice@naver.com](#); [sbyoo64@naver.com](#); [smpark3340@naver.com](#); [unhah0301@gmail.com](#); [vsbok@naver.com](#); [woooo617@naver.com](#); [ydkim22@naver.com](#); [yjb870053@naver.com](#); [yoons609@hanmail.net](#); [youngjink840@naver.com](#); [youngkyos@naver.com](#); [yskys2020@naver.com](#); [zeeahan635@gmail.com](#); [2024iccho@gmail.com](#); [2024wisunglac@gmail.com](#); [2040jd@gmail.com](#); [22yyh620@naver.com](#); [2yangs6288@naver.com](#); [335kang@naver.com](#); [3s0011@naver.com](#); [518jjs@naver.com](#); [532jhlee@gmail.com](#); [613jjs@gmail.com](#); [623kimjk@gmail.com](#); [7842760@naver.com](#); [7844165@naver.com](#); [7844861@naver.com](#); [7880291@naver.com](#); [7882576kj@hanmail.net](#); [7882579@naver.com](#); [907kth@naver.com](#); [ahndogeol@naver.com](#); [ahnho065@gmail.com](#); [anotherk7@gmail.com](#); [antagt@naver.com](#); [anyangmin@gmail.com](#); [assembly0915@naver.com](#); [assembly847@naver.com](#); [bakeunjeong22@gmail.com](#); [best1yty@gmail.com](#); [bhrassembly0217@gmail.com](#); [bigmann64@naver.com](#); [bjh438@naver.com](#); [booseungchan.yongin@gmail.com](#); [braveplane@gmail.com](#); [bsa\\_teacher@naver.com](#); [can929292@naver.com](#); [cdypeace@hanmail.net](#); [cesof@naver.com](#); [chajiho5301@gmail.com](#); [chhi.address@gmail.com](#); [choimh867@gmail.com](#); [choiwakeup@gmail.com](#); [chokuk@assembly.go.kr](#); [chungco518@gmail.com](#); [csa2418@naver.com](#); [csahn435@gmail.com](#); [csh240410@naver.com](#); [dalhee621@naver.com](#); [dobongstar24@naver.com](#); [dongah1021@gmail.com](#); [dongbu1538@naver.com](#); [dskimbless@naver.com](#); [dw7227@naver.com](#); [flyhong21@naver.com](#); [fopeopler76@gmail.com](#); [gangseo.kap@gmail.com](#); [gikuchon@nate.com](#); [gimpo\\_park@naver.com](#); [gkim338@naver.com](#); [goanghee1@naver.com](#); [gohoonsik@gmail.com](#); [gracecho1@naver.com](#); [gracesook52@rebuildingk.kr](#); [guseng@hanmail.net](#); [gyewoncho@naver.com](#); [heeyong4you@naver.com](#); [hello@yonghyein.kr](#); [hyun9292@naver.com](#); [hmsgb2024@gmail.com](#); [hoongi2024@gmail.com](#); [hotjjoon@gmail.com](#); [newds61@naver.com](#); [huhsmsm@naver.com](#); [hwang\\_0410@naver.com](#); [hwanghee6728@gmail.com](#); [hwangms0@naver.com](#); [indobangrang@hanmail.net](#); [inseon0502@naver.com](#); [intoan429@gmail.com](#); [ironkwon24@gmail.com](#); [ironregion24@gmail.com](#); [jaeganglee@gmail.com](#); [jassembly536@gmail.com](#); [jcm5440@gmail.com](#); [jeonjd7877@gmail.com](#); [jinhae\\_jump@gmail.com](#); [jiyeonwecan@naver.com](#); [jongsyy@naver.com](#); [joomincenter@gmail.com](#); [jth5073@gmail.com](#); [juno.news2020@gmail.com](#); [jwp615@naver.com](#); [jyb116@naver.com](#); [jylee\\_nrp@naver.com](#); [kds21341@naver.com](#); [khchoo@assembly.go.kr](#); [khjkorea@assembly.go.kr](#); [khyungdong@naver.com](#); [kimbyungjoo62@gmail.com](#); [kimeunhye\\_official@naver.com](#); [kimeunpyung0@gmail.com](#); [kimhyun0610@gmail.com](#); [kiminnotank@naver.com](#); [kimkh4u@naver.com](#); [kimnowongu@gmail.com](#); [kimsangwook80@naver.com](#); [kimg5358@naver.com](#); [kimsw910@naver.com](#); [kimwoni923@gmail.com](#); [kim-younggho@naver.com](#); [kiyku@naver.com](#); [knh@na.go.kr](#); [kominjung21@gmail.com](#); [ksdd22@naver.com](#); [kshdq11@gmail.com](#); [kshoi72@naver.com](#); [kskangsk@na.go.kr](#); [ktn0320@daum.net](#); [kyitongil@naver.com](#);

law@bychoi.com; lawji@naver.com; ldek3525@naver.com; leehaesik1113@gmail.com; leejilaw@gmail.com; leesang@assembly.go.kr; leesj545@daum.net; limkhassembly@gmail.com; liv1964@empal.com; ljm631000@assembly.go.kr; ljm935@na.go.kr; lkh502503@gmail.com; lsywin445@gmail.com; m2hwaseo@gmail.com; mains.ail440@daum.net; mapo\_cho@naver.com; maumgil88@gmail.com; mgk2224@naver.com; mhb1961@naver.com; minjoo\_ljk0410@naver.com; minjoomsk@naver.com; minkyucool@assembly.go.kr; moalarm2024@naver.com; moondaelim@naver.com; moongj67@gmail.com; mp\_sj322@naver.com; mrleegt@gmail.com; myounggu.power@gmail.com; nakw63@naver.com; namgeunkim10@gmail.com; newkms2030@gmail.com; newwonju643@naver.com; nisoona@na.go.kr; ontoincha22@gmail.com; oshee0530@gmail.com; parkcd0214@gmail.com; parkhs2024@gmail.com; parkkyoontaek@naver.com; parksm2028@gmail.com; parksw2789@naver.com; peacesong1@naver.com; pjikorea21c@naver.com; plz.hongbae@gmail.com; psyfor2020@naver.com; ptgotogether@naver.com; pyk393329@gmail.com; qkrsw20@naver.com; reform1-1@hanmail.net; saeng0425@rebuildingk.kr; sahalak1015@naver.com; saminhcm@gmail.com; saseyoung@naver.com; sbsulju@daum.net; shinhj744@naver.com; shinsj6411@gmail.com; shkay73@naver.com; shyoona@na.go.kr; sij5140@naver.com; sijikorea@gmail.com; sjbong4381@naver.com; smjingogo@gmail.com; songok4740@naver.com; sonms@assembly.go.kr; sotong848@daum.net; ssaribi0415@naver.com; strongwind01@naver.com; successshuh@gmail.com; sungkook337@naver.com; swkimsuwon@gmail.com; sylee0546@gmail.com; team1000haram@gmail.com; teampark2024@gmail.com; the.kim.weapon@gmail.com; the.kimyoona@gmail.com; tjcsgh2024@naver.com; together.eut@gmail.com; ubuntu\_21@naver.com; victory\_cho@naver.com; victoryljb@naver.com; we1taeg@daum.net; winner4011@naver.com; wishjeju@hanmail.net; within413@gmail.com; wjseo21@rebuildingk.kr; worker21c@gmail.com; yangmunsuk7227@gmail.com; yb7841521@gmail.com; ybn733@naver.com; yc20002@naver.com; yeajijoy@gmail.com; yeskt@hanmail.net; yhassembly2024@naver.com; yjongo428@gmail.com; ymk0814@naver.com; youngcamp1@gmail.com; younky926@gmail.com; yslee9282@naver.com; yujung7522@gmail.com; yuseong0413@daum.net; kimgipyo24@naver.com; jeehye.park@assembly.go.kr; yeonh2ee@gmail.com; lywchosim@naver.com; assembly\_ok@naver.com; ash\_0515@naver.com; haimin@rebuildingk.kr; wisangk@naver.com; yesbupyeong@gmail.com; new\_ansan@naver.com; kilee905@naver.com; sotong0743@gmail.com; jhkjinbo@gmail.com; sbumshin@naver.com

**Subject:** Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 16, 2025 8:57:06 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

- 1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.
- 2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.

### 3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:

- A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
- Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
- Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
- Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.

**4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources**, whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjIfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)
- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwl6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMfllqM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8li8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6l>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVOHQ>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chnng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership,

Chris DUCHON

PARIS, France

**From:** [Michaela Treffil](#)  
**To:** [Felder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [kanglaw83@hanmail.net](#); [sjkang2005@smc.seoul.kr](#); [k-gimoon@hanmail.net](#); [hiseocho@naver.com](#); [aromaeffort@hanmail.net](#); [smil-ing@naver.com](#); [muse201@hotmail.com](#); [khhbb0331@daum.net](#); [leejs8341@naver.com](#); [kkd3344@hanmail.net](#); [spoman88@naver.com](#); [dwk5gangnam@gmail.com](#); [v.isionbosco@naver.com](#); [oki1422@naver.com](#); [kimyc5975@naver.com](#); [yongk0525@hanmail.net](#); [pukasun011@hanmail.net](#); [kwj22222@naver.com](#); [kim22wt@naver.com](#); [ij7857@gmail.com](#); [k80209454@hanmail.net](#); [220601winner@naver.com](#); [sujiho@hanmail.net](#); [gare1@hanmail.net](#); [kts8104@hanmail.net](#); [hyeon4123@hanmail.net](#); [kign0071@naver.com](#); [khyseoul7@daum.net](#); [bombam111@naver.com](#); [jnd0522@hanmail.net](#); [chjin4455@nate.com](#); [mydoh@naver.com](#); [nayuri89@naver.com](#); [Mbj1440@naver.com](#); [ksp9725@naver.com](#); [shp2022@naver.com](#); [parksuk7@hanmail.net](#); [syannie@naver.com](#); [101gangbuk@gmail.com](#); [sjpark5272@hanmail.net](#); [myjiang55@hanmail.net](#); [maum7412@naver.com](#); [gjhkorworld@hanmail.net](#); [csp2000@naver.com](#); [seven7star77@hanmail.net](#); [gox9778@nate.com](#); [yeol1977@naver.com](#); [ricehope@hanmail.net](#); [sho3120@hanmail.net](#); [zxy100@naver.com](#); [mountain8511@naver.com](#); [skt007@naver.com](#); [songdoho88@naver.com](#); [ecopolis2050@hanmail.net](#); [flutelove2001@hanmail.net](#); [bj618@hanmail.net](#); [melqing7@nate.com](#); [kantry1@smc.seoul.kr](#); [bmwohkr@naver.com](#); [oje1015@hanmail.net](#); [joinus7942@daum.net](#); [kfmpd@naver.com](#); [yumanhee@hanmail.net](#); [yjh8144@naver.com](#); [dorimchun@hanmail.net](#); [ds1msm@hanmail.net](#); [etty02@smc.seoul.kr](#); [yoonjb48@naver.com](#); [lks7459@naver.com](#); [min12343@naver.com](#); [2min5gi@naver.com](#); [lover9474@naver.com](#); [kee61k@empas.com](#); [bjkmc@naver.com](#); [seoul7635@gmail.com](#); [teamleesanghoon@naver.com](#); [zzz1895@naver.com](#); [113lee@naver.com](#); [sora94seoul@gmail.com](#); [sjl0894@hanmail.net](#); [sm6244@naver.com](#); [marinespyi@naver.com](#); [dndsil@hanmail.net](#); [st2020@hanmail.net](#); [yiw2898@naver.com](#); [eunlim@ewhain.net](#); [jongbae1252@daum.net](#); [smilemen@hanmail.net](#); [ljh5612@hanmail.net](#); [seoul.lhw@gmail.com](#); [archicbnu@naver.com](#); [kcni1jw@hanmail.net](#); [sangchoo1900@hanmail.net](#); [eparty@paran.com](#); [cnseo566@naver.com](#); [samueladams@naver.com](#); [bijeon918@hanmail.net](#); [lehgoa@gmail.com](#); [jw9976@naver.com](#); [chaemokdong@gmail.com](#); [gichan6907@hanmail.net](#); [minkyu0307@naver.com](#); [choi\\_767@naver.com](#); [inpraxis@naver.com](#); [cjin2261@naver.com](#); [fng9129@hanmail.net](#); [han-shin@naver.com](#); [yangcheongo@naver.com](#); [cp1055@naver.com](#); [lovejane26@hanmail.net](#); [hck01@hanmail.net](#); [ENGLISH@assembly.go.kr](#); [webmaster@assembly.go.kr](#); [015mia@naver.com](#); [0415gunsan@gmail.com](#); [syd@assembly.go.kr](#); [1bddoit@gmail.com](#); [22.ksunmin@gmail.com](#); [326mia@gmail.com](#); [734ssj@gmail.com](#); [7842055@naver.com](#); [assemblymoonsu@gmail.com](#); [ayik1101@gmail.com](#); [bemil434@naver.com](#); [changit@naver.com](#); [choo\\_world@naver.com](#); [chung10park@naver.com](#); [dcparkjj@assembly.go.kr](#); [dh337dh@naver.com](#); [dj\\_koh7163@naver.com](#); [eonju5257@gmail.com](#); [gimpolovekijy@gmail.com](#); [greenwind21@gmail.com](#); [hangkim74@assembly.go.kr](#); [happyhappo@naver.com](#); [ilovebusanjin@hanmail.net](#); [j7840790@gmail.com](#); [jee21dongnae@naver.com](#); [jeoung@gmail.com](#); [jhkang315@gmail.com](#); [jhkim1789@naver.com](#); [jinjongoh\\_official@gmail.com](#); [jjhgi0503@naver.com](#); [jmoon123@naver.com](#); [jongno.kwak@gmail.com](#); [joo\\_jinwoo@naver.com](#); [joonforpeace@gmail.com](#); [jsh35351@naver.com](#); [jsjeong0403@naver.com](#); [jskshare2020@gmail.com](#); [jungsdream24@gmail.com](#); [jwk@rebuildingk.kr](#); [jykim61@assembly.go.kr](#); [kimjungho3359@daum.net](#); [kktlaw@naver.com](#); [kts230410@gmail.com](#); [letskt2020@gmail.com](#); [limhoseon2020@naver.com](#); [llj11@daum.net](#); [lok0415@hanmail.net](#); [napark81@naver.com](#); [official\\_yt@naver.com](#); [okhyung628@naver.com](#); [parkdukhyum@naver.com](#); [pbk705@naver.com](#); [pksjoffice@naver.com](#); [sbyoo64@naver.com](#); [smpark3340@naver.com](#); [unhah0301@gmail.com](#); [vsbok@naver.com](#); [woooo617@naver.com](#); [ydkim22@naver.com](#); [yjb870053@naver.com](#); [yoons609@hanmail.net](#); [youngjink840@naver.com](#); [youngkyos@naver.com](#); [yskys2020@naver.com](#); [zeeahan635@gmail.com](#); [2024iccho@gmail.com](#); [2024wisunglac@gmail.com](#); [2040jd@gmail.com](#); [22yyh620@naver.com](#); [2yangs6288@naver.com](#); [335kang@naver.com](#); [3s0011@naver.com](#); [518jjs@naver.com](#); [532jhlee@gmail.com](#); [613jjs@gmail.com](#); [623kimjk@gmail.com](#); [7842760@naver.com](#); [7844165@naver.com](#); [7844861@naver.com](#)  
**Subject:** Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 16, 2025 10:10:03 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal,

condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

**1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.

**2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.

**3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:**

- A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
- Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
- Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
- Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.

**4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources,** whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjIbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)
- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwl6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMfllqM>

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8li8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6I>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVQHQ>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chnng.it/dxkJTfLclw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership,

Michaela Treffil, Herten, Germany



**From:** [moog58m@libero.it](mailto:moog58m@libero.it)  
**To:** [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [kanglaw83@hanmail.net](mailto:kanglaw83@hanmail.net); [sjkang2005@smc.seoul.kr](mailto:sjkang2005@smc.seoul.kr); [dorimchun@hanmail.net](mailto:dorimchun@hanmail.net); [k-gimoon@hanmail.net](mailto:k-gimoon@hanmail.net); [ENGLISH@assembly.go.kr](mailto:ENGLISH@assembly.go.kr); [gimpo\\_park@naver.com](mailto:gimpo_park@naver.com); [webmaster@assembly.go.kr](mailto:webmaster@assembly.go.kr)  
**Subject:** Tethering of dogs and Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 16, 2025 11:41:04 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**TO THE MAYOR OF SAN FRANCISCO, THE SAN FRANCISCO BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND, BY EXTENSION, TO THE SEOUL METROPOLITAN COUNCIL AND THE SOUTH KOREAN NATIONAL ASSEMBLY:**

**Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea**

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,  
I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

**1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.  
**2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.

**3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:**

- A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
- Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
- Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
- Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.

**4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources,** whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean. Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjIfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)
- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>

- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvig>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwl6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMflqM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8Ii8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6I>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVQHQ>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership,  
Furio Carmignani, Italy

United Kingdom

From:  
To:

[Antonio Lucchetto](#)

[Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Felder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [kanglaw83@hanmail.net](#); [sjkang2005@smc.seoul.kr](#); [k-gimoon@hanmail.net](#); [hiseocho@naver.com](#); [aromaeffort@hanmail.net](#); [smil-ing@naver.com](#); [muse201@hotmail.com](#); [kkhbb0331@daum.net](#); [leejs8341@naver.com](#); [kdk3344@hanmail.net](#); [spoman88@naver.com](#); [dwk5gangnam@gmail.com](#); [visionbosco@naver.com](#); [oki1422@naver.com](#); [kimyc5975@naver.com](#); [yongk0525@hanmail.net](#); [pukasun011@hanmail.net](#); [kwj22222@naver.com](#); [kim22wt@naver.com](#); [ij7857@gmail.com](#); [k80209454@hanmail.net](#); [220601winner@naver.com](#); [sujiho@hanmail.net](#); [gare1@hanmail.net](#); [kts8104@hanmail.net](#); [hyeon4123@hanmail.net](#); [kign0071@naver.com](#); [khyseoul7@daum.net](#); [bombam111@naver.com](#); [jnd0522@hanmail.net](#); [chjin4455@nate.com](#); [mydoh@naver.com](#); [nayuri89@naver.com](#); [Mbj1440@naver.com](#); [ksp9725@naver.com](#); [shp2022@naver.com](#); [parksuk7@hanmail.net](#); [syannie@naver.com](#); [101gangbuk@gmail.com](#); [sjpark5272@hanmail.net](#); [myjjang55@hanmail.net](#); [maum7412@naver.com](#); [pihkorworld@hanmail.net](#); [csp2000@naver.com](#); [seven7star77@hanmail.net](#); [gox9778@nate.com](#); [yeol1977@naver.com](#); [ricehope@hanmail.net](#); [sho3120@hanmail.net](#); [zxy100@naver.com](#); [mountain8511@naver.com](#); [skt007@naver.com](#); [songdoho88@naver.com](#); [ecopolis2050@hanmail.net](#); [flutelove2001@hanmail.net](#); [bj618@hanmail.net](#); [meiqing7@nate.com](#); [kantry1@smc.seoul.kr](#); [bmwohkr@naver.com](#); [oje1015@hanmail.net](#); [joinus7942@daum.net](#); [kfmpd@naver.com](#); [yumanhee@hanmail.net](#); [yjh8144@naver.com](#); [dorimchun@hanmail.net](#); [ds1msm@hanmail.net](#); [etty02@smc.seoul.kr](#); [yoonjb48@naver.com](#); [lks7459@naver.com](#); [min12343@naver.com](#); [2min5ql@naver.com](#); [lover9474@naver.com](#); [kee61k@empas.com](#); [bjkmc@naver.com](#); [seoul7635@gmail.com](#); [teamleesanghoon@naver.com](#); [zzz1895@naver.com](#); [113lee@naver.com](#); [sora94seoul@gmail.com](#); [slj0894@hanmail.net](#); [sm6244@naver.com](#); [marinespyi@naver.com](#); [dndsil@hanmail.net](#); [st2020@hanmail.net](#); [yiw2898@naver.com](#); [eunlim@ewhain.net](#); [jongbae1252@daum.net](#); [smilemen@hanmail.net](#); [ljh5612@hanmail.net](#); [seoul.lhw@gmail.com](#); [archicbnu@naver.com](#); [kcnljw@hanmail.net](#); [sangchoo1900@hanmail.net](#); [eparty@paran.com](#); [cnseo566@naver.com](#); [samueladams@naver.com](#); [bjjeon918@hanmail.net](#); [준, 정](#); [jw9976@naver.com](#); [chaemokdong@gmail.com](#); [gichan6907@hanmail.net](#); [minkyu0307@naver.com](#); [choi\\_767@naver.com](#); [inpraxis@naver.com](#); [cjinhh2261@naver.com](#); [fng9129@hanmail.net](#); [han-shin@naver.com](#); [yangcheongo@naver.com](#); [cp1055@naver.com](#); [lovejane26@hanmail.net](#); [hck01@hanmail.net](#); [ENGLISH@assembly.go.kr](#); [webmaster@assembly.go.kr](#); [015mia@naver.com](#); [0415gunsan@gmail.com](#); [syd@assembly.go.kr](#); [1bdoit@gmail.com](#); [22.ksunmin@gmail.com](#); [326mia@gmail.com](#); [734ssj@gmail.com](#); [7842055@naver.com](#); [assemblymoonsu@gmail.com](#); [ayjk1101@gmail.com](#); [bemil434@naver.com](#); [changit@naver.com](#); [choo\\_worl\\_d@naver.com](#); [chung10park@naver.com](#); [dcparkji@assembly.go.kr](#); [dh337dh@naver.com](#); [dj\\_koh7163@naver.com](#); [eonju5257@gmail.com](#); [gimpolovekky@gmail.com](#); [greenwind21@gmail.com](#); [hanqkim74@assembly.go.kr](#); [happyhappo@naver.com](#); [ilovebusanjin@hanmail.net](#); [j7840790@gmail.com](#); [jee21dongnae@naver.com](#); [jeoung@gmail.com](#); [jhkang315@gmail.com](#); [jhkim1789@naver.com](#); [jinjongoh.official@gmail.com](#); [jihqi0503@naver.com](#); [jmoon123@naver.com](#); [jongno.kwak@gmail.com](#); [joo\\_jinwoo@naver.com](#); [joonforpeace@gmail.com](#); [jsh35351@naver.com](#); [jsjeong0403@naver.com](#); [jskshare2020@gmail.com](#); [jungsdream24@gmail.com](#); [jwk@rebuildingk.kr](#); [jykim61@assembly.go.kr](#); [kimjungcho3359@daum.net](#); [kktlaw@naver.com](#); [kts230410@gmail.com](#); [letskt2020@gmail.com](#); [limhoseon2020@naver.com](#); [llj11@daum.net](#); [lok0415@hanmail.net](#); [napark81@naver.com](#); [official\\_yt@naver.com](#); [okhyung628@naver.com](#); [parkdukyum@naver.com](#); [pbk705@naver.com](#); [pksjoffice@naver.com](#); [sbyoo64@naver.com](#); [smpark3340@naver.com](#); [unhah0301@gmail.com](#); [vsbok@naver.com](#); [woooo617@naver.com](#); [ydkim22@naver.com](#); [yjb870053@naver.com](#); [yoons609@hanmail.net](#); [youngjink840@naver.com](#); [youngkyos@naver.com](#); [yskys2020@naver.com](#); [zeeahan635@gmail.com](#); [2024iccho@gmail.com](#); [2024wisunglac@gmail.com](#); [2040jd@gmail.com](#); [22yyh620@naver.com](#); [2yangs6288@naver.com](#); [335kang@naver.com](#); [3s0011@naver.com](#); [518jjs@naver.com](#); [532jhlee@gmail.com](#); [613jjs@gmail.com](#); [623kimjk@gmail.com](#); [7842760@naver.com](#); [7844165@naver.com](#); [7844861@naver.com](#); [7880291@naver.com](#); [7882576kj@hanmail.net](#); [7882579@naver.com](#); [907kth@naver.com](#); [ahndogeol@naver.com](#); [ahnho065@gmail.com](#); [anotherk7@gmail.com](#); [antagt@naver.com](#); [anyangmin@gmail.com](#); [assembly0915@naver.com](#); [assembly847@naver.com](#); [bakeunjeong22@gmail.com](#); [best1yty@gmail.com](#); [bhrassembly0217@gmail.com](#); [bigmann64@naver.com](#); [bjh438@naver.com](#); [booseungchan.yongin@gmail.com](#); [braveplane@gmail.com](#); [bsa\\_teacher@naver.com](#); [can929292@naver.com](#); [cdypeace@hanmail.net](#); [cesof@naver.com](#); [chajiho5301@gmail.com](#); [chhi.address@gmail.com](#); [choimh867@gmail.com](#); [choiwakeup@gmail.com](#); [chokuk@assembly.go.kr](#); [chungco518@gmail.com](#); [csa2418@naver.com](#); [csahn435@gmail.com](#); [csh240410@naver.com](#); [dalhee621@naver.com](#); [dobongstar24@naver.com](#); [dongah1021@gmail.com](#); [dongbu1538@naver.com](#); [dskimbless@naver.com](#); [dw7227@naver.com](#); [flyhong21@naver.com](#); [fopeopler76@gmail.com](#); [gangseo.kap@gmail.com](#); [gikuchon@nate.com](#); [gimpo\\_park@naver.com](#); [gkim338@naver.com](#); [goanghee1@naver.com](#); [gohoonsik@gmail.com](#); [gracecho1@naver.com](#); [gracesook52@rebuildingk.kr](#); [guseng@hanmail.net](#); [gyewoncho@naver.com](#); [heeyong4you@naver.com](#); [hello@yonghyein.kr](#); [hyun9292@naver.com](#); [hmsgb2024@gmail.com](#); [hoongi2024@gmail.com](#); [hotjjoon@gmail.com](#); [news61@naver.com](#); [huhsmsm@naver.com](#); [hwang\\_0410@naver.com](#); [hwanghee6728@gmail.com](#); [hwangms0@naver.com](#); [indobangrang@hanmail.net](#); [inseon0502@naver.com](#); [intoan429@gmail.com](#); [ironkwon24@gmail.com](#); [ironregion24@gmail.com](#); [jaeganglee@gmail.com](#); [jassembly536@gmail.com](#); [jcm5440@gmail.com](#); [jeonjd7877@gmail.com](#); [jinhae\\_jump@gmail.com](#); [jiyeonwecan@naver.com](#); [jongsyy@naver.com](#); [joomincenter@gmail.com](#); [jth5073@gmail.com](#); [juno.news2020@gmail.com](#); [jwp615@naver.com](#); [jyb116@naver.com](#); [jylee\\_nrp@naver.com](#); [kds21341@naver.com](#); [khchoo@assembly.go.kr](#); [khjkorea@assembly.go.kr](#); [khyungdong@naver.com](#); [kimbyungjoo62@gmail.com](#); [kimeunhye\\_official@naver.com](#); [kimeunpyung0@gmail.com](#); [kimhyun0610@gmail.com](#); [kiminnotank@naver.com](#); [kimkh4u@naver.com](#); [kimnowongu@gmail.com](#); [kimsangwook80@naver.com](#); [kimg5358@naver.com](#); [kimsw910@naver.com](#); [kimwoni923@gmail.com](#); [kim-youngcho@naver.com](#); [kiyku@naver.com](#); [knh@na.go.kr](#); [kominjung21@gmail.com](#); [ksdd22@naver.com](#); [kshdq11@gmail.com](#); [kshoi72@naver.com](#); [kskangsk@na.go.kr](#); [ktn0320@daum.net](#); [kyitongil@naver.com](#);

law@bychoi.com; lawji@naver.com; ldek3525@naver.com; leehaesik1113@gmail.com; leejilaw@gmail.com; leesang@assembly.go.kr; leesj545@daum.net; limkhassembly@gmail.com; liv1964@empal.com; ljm631000@assembly.go.kr; ljm935@na.go.kr; lkh502503@gmail.com; lsywin445@gmail.com; m2hwaseo@gmail.com; mains.ail440@daum.net; mapo\_cho@naver.com; maumgil88@gmail.com; mgk2224@naver.com; mhb1961@naver.com; minjoo\_ljk0410@naver.com; minjoomsk@naver.com; minkyucool@assembly.go.kr; moalarm2024@naver.com; moondaelim@naver.com; moongj67@gmail.com; mp\_sj322@naver.com; mrleegt@gmail.com; myounggu.power@gmail.com; nakw63@naver.com; namgeunkim10@gmail.com; newkms2030@gmail.com; newwonju643@naver.com; nisoona@na.go.kr; ontoincha22@gmail.com; oshee0530@gmail.com; parkcd0214@gmail.com; parkhs2024@gmail.com; parkkyoontaek@naver.com; parksm2028@gmail.com; parksw2789@naver.com; peacesong1@naver.com; pjikorea21c@naver.com; plz.hongbae@gmail.com; psyfor2020@naver.com; ptgotogether@naver.com; pyk393329@gmail.com; qkrsw20@naver.com; reform1-1@hanmail.net; saeng0425@rebuildingk.kr; sahalask1015@naver.com; saminhcm@gmail.com; saseyoung@naver.com; sbsulju@daum.net; shinhj744@naver.com; shinsj6411@gmail.com; shkay73@naver.com; shyoona@na.go.kr; sij5140@naver.com; sijikorea@gmail.com; sjbong4381@naver.com; smjingogo@gmail.com; songok4740@naver.com; sonms@assembly.go.kr; sotong848@daum.net; ssaribi0415@naver.com; strongwind01@naver.com; successshuh@gmail.com; sungkook337@naver.com; swkimsuwon@gmail.com; sylee0546@gmail.com; team1000haram@gmail.com; teampark2024@gmail.com; the.kim.weapon@gmail.com; the.kimyoona@gmail.com; tjcsgh2024@naver.com; together.eut@gmail.com; ubuntu\_21@naver.com; victory\_cho@naver.com; victoryljb@naver.com; we1taeg@daum.net; winner4011@naver.com; wishjeju@hanmail.net; within413@gmail.com; wjseo21@rebuildingk.kr; worker21c@gmail.com; yangmunsuk7227@gmail.com; yb7841521@gmail.com; ybn733@naver.com; yc20002@naver.com; yeajijoy@gmail.com; yeskt@hanmail.net; yhassembly2024@naver.com; yjongo428@gmail.com; ymk0814@naver.com; youngcamp1@gmail.com; younky926@gmail.com; yslee9282@naver.com; yujung7522@gmail.com; yuseong0413@daum.net; kimgipy024@naver.com; jeehye.park@assembly.go.kr; yeonh2ee@gmail.com; lywchosim@naver.com; assembly\_ok@naver.com; ash\_0515@naver.com; haimin@rebuildingk.kr; wisangk@naver.com; yesbupyeong@gmail.com; new\_ansan@naver.com; kilee905@naver.com; sotong0743@gmail.com; jhkjinbo@gmail.com; sbumshin@naver.com

**Subject:** Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 16, 2025 11:55:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

- 1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.
- 2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.

### 3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:

- A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
- Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
- Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
- Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.

**4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources**, whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjIfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)
- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwI6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMfllqM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8Ii8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6I>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVOHQ>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chnng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership,

A.L.

**From:** [Jörg Gaiser](#)  
**To:** [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Press Office, Mayor \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Felder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 16, 2025 11:56:14 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed  
Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:

**1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.



**2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.

**3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:**

- A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
- Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
- Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
- Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.

**4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources,** whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjIfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)
- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>



- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwl6BmQ>
  - <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMfllqM>
  - <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8li8>
  - <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6I>
  - <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
  - <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
  - <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVQHQ>
  - [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
  - <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
  - <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
  - <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
  - <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
  - <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
  - <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chnng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership,

Jörg Gaiser   Baiersbronn   Germany

**From:** [Mickey v. Brussel](#)  
**To:** [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [kanglaw83@hanmail.net](#); [sjkang2005@smc.seoul.kr](#); [k-gimoon@hanmail.net](#); [hiseocho@naver.com](#); [aromaeffort@hanmail.net](#); [smil-ing@naver.com](#); [muse201@hotmail.com](#); [kkhbb0331@daum.net](#); [leejs8341@naver.com](#); [kkd3344@hanmail.net](#); [spoman88@naver.com](#); [dwk5gangnam@gmail.com](#); [visionbosco@naver.com](#); [oki1422@naver.com](#); [kimyc5975@naver.com](#); [yongk0525@hanmail.net](#); [pukasun011@hanmail.net](#); [kwj22222@naver.com](#); [kim22wt@naver.com](#); [ij7857@gmail.com](#); [k80209454@hanmail.net](#); [220601winner@naver.com](#); [sujihooh@hanmail.net](#); [gare1@hanmail.net](#); [kts8104@hanmail.net](#); [hyeon4123@hanmail.net](#); [kign0071@naver.com](#); [khyseoul7@daum.net](#); [bombam111@naver.com](#); [jnd0522@hanmail.net](#); [chjin4455@nate.com](#); [mydoh@naver.com](#); [nayuri89@naver.com](#); [Mbj1440@naver.com](#); [ksp9725@naver.com](#); [shp2022@naver.com](#); [parksuk7@hanmail.net](#); [syannie@naver.com](#); [101gangbuk@gmail.com](#); [sjpark5272@hanmail.net](#); [myjjang55@hanmail.net](#); [maum7412@naver.com](#); [pihkorworld@hanmail.net](#); [csp2000@naver.com](#); [seven7star77@hanmail.net](#); [gox9778@nate.com](#); [yeol1977@naver.com](#); [ricehope@hanmail.net](#); [sho3120@hanmail.net](#); [zxy100@naver.com](#); [mountain8511@naver.com](#); [skt007@naver.com](#); [songdoho88@naver.com](#); [ecopolis2050@hanmail.net](#); [flutelove2001@hanmail.net](#); [bj618@hanmail.net](#); [meiqing7@nate.com](#); [kantry1@smc.seoul.kr](#); [bmwohkr@naver.com](#); [oje1015@hanmail.net](#); [joinus7942@daum.net](#); [kfmpd@naver.com](#); [yumanhee@hanmail.net](#); [yjh8144@naver.com](#); [dorimchun@hanmail.net](#); [ds1msm@hanmail.net](#); [etty02@smc.seoul.kr](#); [yoonjb48@naver.com](#); [lks7459@naver.com](#); [min12343@naver.com](#); [2min5qi@naver.com](#); [lover9474@naver.com](#); [kee61k@empas.com](#); [bjkmc@naver.com](#); [seoul7635@gmail.com](#); [teamleesanghoon@naver.com](#); [zzz1895@naver.com](#); [113lee@naver.com](#); [sora94seoul@gmail.com](#); [sjl0894@hanmail.net](#); [sm6244@naver.com](#); [marinespyi@naver.com](#); [dndsil@hanmail.net](#); [st2020@hanmail.net](#); [yiw2898@naver.com](#); [eunlim@ewhain.net](#); [jongbae1252@daum.net](#); [smilemen@hanmail.net](#)  
**Subject:** Please End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 1:34:21 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie, Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco, To whom it concerns S. Korea:

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

- 1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.
- 2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.

### 3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:

- A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
- Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
- Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
- Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.

**4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources**, whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjIfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)
- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwI6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMfllqM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8Ii8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6I>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVOHQ>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chnng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership, Mickey v. Br., Fountain, MI, USA

**From:** [Korg Onze](#)  
**Subject:** Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 2:08:15 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters (May 2025), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:

1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters. Silence allows this suffering to continue.
2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul. Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.
3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:
  - A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
  - Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
  - Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
  - Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.
4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources, whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

<https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjIfbC8>  
[https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)  
<https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>

<https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>  
<https://koreandogs.org/charles/>  
<https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>  
<https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>  
<https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>  
<https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>  
<https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>  
<https://youtu.be/MunZMwl6BmQ>  
<https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMflqM>  
<https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8Ii8>  
<https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6I>  
<https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>  
<https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>  
<https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVQHQ>  
[https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)  
<https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>  
<https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>  
<https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>  
<https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>  
<https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>  
<https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul’s Sister City, San Francisco’s voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chnng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership,  
Korg Onze

From:  
To:

Sheila G

Lurie, Daniel (MYR); ChanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; DorseyStaff (BOS); EngardioStaff (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); MahmoodStaff; MandelmanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); kanglaw83@hanmail.net; sjkang2005@smc.seoul.kr; k-gimoon@hanmail.net; hiseocho@naver.com; aromaeffort@hanmail.net; smil-ing@naver.com; muse201@hotmail.com; kkhbb0331@daum.net; leej8341@naver.com; kkd3344@hanmail.net; spoman88@naver.com; dwk5gangnam@gmail.com; visionbosco@naver.com; oki1422@naver.com; kimyc5975@naver.com; yongk0525@hanmail.net; pukasun011@hanmail.net; kwj22222@naver.com; kim22wt@naver.com; ij7857@gmail.com; k80209454@hanmail.net; 220601winner@naver.com; sujihoo@hanmail.net; gare1@hanmail.net; kts8104@hanmail.net; hyeon4123@hanmail.net; kign0071@naver.com; khyseoul7@daum.net; bombam111@naver.com; jnd0522@hanmail.net; chjin4455@nate.com; mydoh@naver.com; nayuri89@naver.com; Mbj1440@naver.com; ksp9725@naver.com; shp2022@naver.com; parksuk7@hanmail.net; syannie@naver.com; 101gangbuk@gmail.com; sjpark5272@hanmail.net; myjjang55@hanmail.net; maum7412@naver.com; pijkorworld@hanmail.net; csp2000@naver.com; seven7star77@hanmail.net; gox9778@nate.com; yeol1977@naver.com; ricehope@hanmail.net; sho3120@hanmail.net; zxy100@naver.com; mountain8511@naver.com; skt007@naver.com; songdoho88@naver.com; ecopolis2050@hanmail.net; flutelove2001@hanmail.net; bj618@hanmail.net; meiqing7@nate.com; kantry1@smc.seoul.kr; bmwohkr@naver.com; oje1015@hanmail.net; joinus7942@daum.net; kfmpd@naver.com; yumanhee@hanmail.net; yjhm8144@naver.com; dorimchun@hanmail.net; ds1msm@hanmail.net; etty02@smc.seoul.kr; yoonjb48@naver.com; lks7459@naver.com; min12343@naver.com; 2min5ql@naver.com; lover9474@naver.com; kee61k@empas.com; bjkmcc@naver.com; seoul7635@gmail.com; teamleesanghoon@naver.com; zzz1895@naver.com; 113lee@naver.com; sora94seoul@gmail.com; sjl0894@hanmail.net; sm6244@naver.com; marinespyi@naver.com; dndsil@hanmail.net; st2020@hanmail.net; yiw2898@naver.com; eunlim@ewhain.net; jongbae1252@daum.net; smilemen@hanmail.net; ljh5612@hanmail.net; seoul.lhw@gmail.com; archicbnu@naver.com; kcnljw@hanmail.net; sangchoo1900@hanmail.net; eparty@paran.com; cnseo566@naver.com; samueladams@naver.com; bjjeon918@hanmail.net; 준, 정; jw9976@naver.com; chaemokdong@gmail.com; gichan6907@hanmail.net; minkyu0307@naver.com; choi\_767@naver.com; inpraxis@naver.com; cjinhh2261@naver.com; fng9129@hanmail.net; han-shin@naver.com; yangcheongo@naver.com; cp1055@naver.com; lovejane26@hanmail.net; hck01@hanmail.net; ENGLISH@assembly.go.kr; webmaster@assembly.go.kr; 015mia@naver.com; 0415gunsan@gmail.com; syd@assembly.go.kr; 1bdoit@gmail.com; 22.ksunmin@gmail.com; 326mia@gmail.com; 734ssj@gmail.com; 7842055@naver.com; assemblymoonsu@gmail.com; ayjk1101@gmail.com; bemil434@naver.com; changit@naver.com; choo\_worl\_d@naver.com; chung10park@naver.com; dcparkji@assembly.go.kr; dh337dh@naver.com; dj\_koh7163@naver.com; eonju5257@gmail.com; gimpolovekky@gmail.com; greenwind21@gmail.com; hanqkim74@assembly.go.kr; happyhappo@naver.com; ilovebusanjin@hanmail.net; j7840790@gmail.com; jee21dongnae@naver.com; jeoung@gmail.com; jhkang315@gmail.com; jhkim1789@naver.com; jinjongoh.official@gmail.com; jjhqj0503@naver.com; jmoon123@naver.com; jongno.kwak@gmail.com; joo\_jinwoo@naver.com; joonforpeace@gmail.com; jsh35351@naver.com; jsjeong0403@naver.com; jskshare2020@gmail.com; jungsdream24@gmail.com; jwk@rebuildingk.kr; jykim61@assembly.go.kr; kimjungcho3359@daum.net; kktlaw@naver.com; kts230410@gmail.com; letskt2020@gmail.com; limhoseon2020@naver.com; llj11@daum.net; lok0415@hanmail.net; napark81@naver.com; official\_yt@naver.com; okhyung628@naver.com; parkdukhyum@naver.com; pbk705@naver.com; pksjoffice@naver.com; sbyoo64@naver.com; smpark3340@naver.com; unnah0301@gmail.com; vsbok@naver.com; woo00617@naver.com; ydkim22@naver.com; yjb870053@naver.com; yoons609@hanmail.net; youngjink840@naver.com; youngkyos@naver.com; yskys2020@naver.com; zeeahan635@gmail.com; 2024iccho@gmail.com; 2024wisunglac@gmail.com; 2040jd@gmail.com; 22yyh620@naver.com; 2yangs6288@naver.com; 335kang@naver.com; 3s0011@naver.com; 518jjs@naver.com; 532jhlee@gmail.com; 613jjs@gmail.com; 623kimjk@gmail.com; 7842760@naver.com; 7844165@naver.com; 7844861@naver.com; 7880291@naver.com; 7882576kj@hanmail.net; 7882579@naver.com; 907kth@naver.com; ahndogeol@naver.com; ahnho065@gmail.com; anotherk7@gmail.com; antagt@naver.com; anyangmin@gmail.com; assembly0915@naver.com; assembly847@naver.com; bakeunjeong22@gmail.com; best1yty@gmail.com; bhrassembly0217@gmail.com; bigmann64@naver.com; bjh438@naver.com; booseungchan.yongin@gmail.com; braveplane@gmail.com; bsa\_teacher@naver.com; can929292@naver.com; cdypeace@hanmail.net; cesof@naver.com; chajih05301@gmail.com; chhi.address@gmail.com; choimh867@gmail.com; choiwakeup@gmail.com; chokuk@assembly.go.kr; chungco518@gmail.com; csa2418@naver.com; csahn435@gmail.com; csh240410@naver.com; dalhee621@naver.com; dobongstar24@naver.com; dongah1021@gmail.com; dongbu1538@naver.com; dskimbless@naver.com; dw7227@naver.com; flyhong21@naver.com; fopeopler76@gmail.com; gangseo.kap@gmail.com; gikuchon@nate.com; gimpo\_park@naver.com; gkim338@naver.com; goanghee1@naver.com; gohoonsik@gmail.com; gracecho1@naver.com; gracesook52@rebuildingk.kr; guseng@hanmail.net; gyewoncho@naver.com; heeyong4you@naver.com; hello@yonghyein.kr; hyun9292@naver.com; hmsgb2024@gmail.com; hoongi2024@gmail.com; hotjjoon@gmail.com; newds61@naver.com; huhmsm@naver.com; hwang\_0410@naver.com; hwanghee6728@gmail.com; hwangms0@naver.com; indobangrang@hanmail.net; inseon0502@naver.com; intoan429@gmail.com; ironkwon24@gmail.com; ironregion24@gmail.com; jaeganglee@gmail.com; jassembly536@gmail.com; jcm5440@gmail.com; jeonjd7877@gmail.com; jinhae\_jump@gmail.com; jiyeonwecan@naver.com; jongsyy@naver.com; joomincenter@gmail.com; jth5073@gmail.com; juno.news2020@gmail.com; jwp615@naver.com; jyb116@naver.com; jylee\_nrp@naver.com; kds21341@naver.com; khchoo@assembly.go.kr; khjkorea@assembly.go.kr; khyungdong@naver.com; kimbyungjoo62@gmail.com; kimeunhye\_official@naver.com; kimeunpyung0@gmail.com; kimhyun0610@gmail.com; kiminnotank@naver.com; kimkh4u@naver.com; kimnowongu@gmail.com; kimsangwook80@naver.com; kimg5358@naver.com; kimsu910@naver.com; kimwoni923@gmail.com; kim-youngcho@naver.com; kiyku@naver.com; knh@na.go.kr; kominjung21@gmail.com; kssd22@naver.com; kshdq11@gmail.com; kshoi72@naver.com; kskangsk@na.go.kr; ktn0320@daum.net; kyitongil@naver.com;

law@bychoi.com; lawji@naver.com; ldek3525@naver.com; leehaesik1113@gmail.com; leejilaw@gmail.com; leesang@assembly.go.kr; leesj545@daum.net; limkhassembly@gmail.com; liv1964@empal.com; ljm631000@assembly.go.kr; ljm935@na.go.kr; lkh502503@gmail.com; lsywin445@gmail.com; m2hwaseo@gmail.com; mains.ail440@daum.net; mapo\_cho@naver.com; maumgil88@gmail.com; mgk2224@naver.com; mhb1961@naver.com; minjoo\_ljk0410@naver.com; minjoomsk@naver.com; minkyucool@assembly.go.kr; moalarm2024@naver.com; moondaelim@naver.com; moongj67@gmail.com; mp\_sj322@naver.com; mrleegt@gmail.com; myounggu.power@gmail.com; nakw63@naver.com; namgeunkim10@gmail.com; newkms2030@gmail.com; newwonju643@naver.com; nisoona@na.go.kr; ontoincha22@gmail.com; oshee0530@gmail.com; parkcd0214@gmail.com; parkhs2024@gmail.com; parkkyuontaek@naver.com; parksm2028@gmail.com; parksw2789@naver.com; peacesong1@naver.com; pjikorea21c@naver.com; plz.hongbae@gmail.com; psyfor2020@naver.com; ptgotogether@naver.com; pyk393329@gmail.com; qkrsw20@naver.com; reform1-1@hanmail.net; saeng0425@rebuildingk.kr; sahalsk1015@naver.com; saminhcm@gmail.com; saseyoung@naver.com; sbsulju@daum.net; shinh744@naver.com; shinsj6411@gmail.com; shkay73@naver.com; shyoona@na.go.kr; sij5140@naver.com; sijikorea@gmail.com; sjbong4381@naver.com; smjingogo@gmail.com; songok4740@naver.com; sonms@assembly.go.kr; sotong848@daum.net; ssaribi0415@naver.com; strongwind01@naver.com; successshuh@gmail.com; sungkook337@naver.com; swkimsuwon@gmail.com; sylee0546@gmail.com; team1000haram@gmail.com; teampark2024@gmail.com; the.kim.weapon@gmail.com; the.kimyoon@gmail.com; tjcsgh2024@naver.com; together.eut@gmail.com; ubuntu\_21@naver.com; victory\_cho@naver.com; victoryljb@naver.com; we1taeg@daum.net; winner4011@naver.com; wishjeju@hanmail.net; within413@gmail.com; wjseo21@rebuildingk.kr; worker21c@gmail.com; yangmunsuk7227@gmail.com; yb7841521@gmail.com; ybn733@naver.com; yc20002@naver.com; yeajijoy@gmail.com; yeskt@hanmail.net; yhassembly2024@naver.com; yjongo428@gmail.com; ymk0814@naver.com; youngcamp1@gmail.com; younky926@gmail.com; yslee9282@naver.com; yujung7522@gmail.com; yuseong0413@daum.net; kimgipyo24@naver.com; jeehye.park@assembly.go.kr; yeonh2ee@gmail.com; lywchosim@naver.com; assembly\_ok@naver.com; ash\_0515@naver.com; haimin@rebuildingk.kr; wisangk@naver.com; yesbupyeong@gmail.com; new\_ansan@naver.com; kilee905@naver.com; sotong0743@gmail.com; jhkjinbo@gmail.com; sbumshin@naver.com

**Subject:** Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 2:29:00 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

- 1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.
- 2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are



evacuated alongside their families.

### 3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:

- A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
- Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
- Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
- Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.

**4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources**, whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjIfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)
- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwl6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMfllqM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8Ii8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6l>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVOHQ>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrOU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrOU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chnng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership,

Sheila Glover, Bracknell RG12 OUP, UK



**From:** [vivien.smith](#)  
**To:** [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** PLEASE HELP STOP DOGS IN YOUR SISTER CITY OF SEOUL, SOUTH KOREA, FROM BEING TETHERED 24/7 AND LEFT TO BURN ALIVE IN WILDFIRES  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 3:18:57 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear San Francisco Mayor and Board of Supervisors

I am writing to draw your attention to the appalling cruelty routinely meted out to dogs in your sister city of Seoul, in South Korea. These creatures are routinely tied up outside for their entire lives, alone and exposed to all extremes of weather. But even worse, in the event of evacuation to escape wildfires or other natural disasters they are usually left behind - still tethered!

I am asking you to please do all that you can to press Seoul's officials to improve this terrible situation by:

- Enacting and strictly enforcing a complete ban on lifelong tethering.
- Drastically strengthening the enforcement of animal welfare laws with severe penalties for neglect.
- Enacting mandatory disaster evacuation protocols which unequivocally require the evacuation of all dogs alongside their human guardians, forbidding any dog from being left tethered or confined during evacuations.

**Please take a stand against this cruelty. Do not ignore the horrific reality of dogs chained and left to burn alive. We ask you to contact your counterparts in South Korea and demand meaningful laws to protect dogs, including a ban on tethering and mandatory pet evacuation during emergencies.**

Sincerely

Vivien Smith

**From:** [Emeraldas77](#)  
**To:** [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 4:27:31 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Meryl Pinque  
9 ter, rue Paul Féval  
75018 Paris  
FRANCE

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

- 1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.
- 2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.
- 3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:**
  - A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
  - Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
  - Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
  - Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.
- 4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources,** whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjIfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)
- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwl6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMfllqM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8li8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6l>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVOHQ>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chnng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership,

Meryl Pinque

From:  
To:

[agnease laneve](#)

[Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [kanglaw83@hanmail.net](#); [sjkang2005@smc.seoul.kr](#); [k-gimoon@hanmail.net](#); [hiseocho@naver.com](#); [aromaeffort@hanmail.net](#); [smil-ing@naver.com](#); [muse201@hotmail.com](#); [kkhbb0331@daum.net](#); [leejs8341@naver.com](#); [kkd3344@hanmail.net](#); [spoman88@naver.com](#); [dwk5gangnam@gmail.com](#); [visionbosco@naver.com](#); [oki1422@naver.com](#); [kimyc5975@naver.com](#); [yongk0525@hanmail.net](#); [pukasun011@hanmail.net](#); [kwj22222@naver.com](#); [kim22wt@naver.com](#); [ij7857@gmail.com](#); [k80209454@hanmail.net](#); [220601winner@naver.com](#); [sujiho@hanmail.net](#); [gare1@hanmail.net](#); [kts8104@hanmail.net](#); [hyeon4123@hanmail.net](#); [kign0071@naver.com](#); [khyseoul7@daum.net](#); [bombam111@naver.com](#); [jnd0522@hanmail.net](#); [chjin4455@nate.com](#); [mydoh@naver.com](#); [nayuri89@naver.com](#); [Mbj1440@naver.com](#); [ksp9725@naver.com](#); [shp2022@naver.com](#); [parksuk7@hanmail.net](#); [syannie@naver.com](#); [101gangbuk@gmail.com](#); [sjpark5272@hanmail.net](#); [myjjang55@hanmail.net](#); [maum7412@naver.com](#); [pihkorworld@hanmail.net](#); [csp2000@naver.com](#); [seven7star77@hanmail.net](#); [gox9778@nate.com](#); [yeol1977@naver.com](#); [ricehope@hanmail.net](#); [sho3120@hanmail.net](#); [zxy100@naver.com](#); [mountain8511@naver.com](#); [skt007@naver.com](#); [songdoho88@naver.com](#); [ecopolis2050@hanmail.net](#); [flutelove2001@hanmail.net](#); [bj618@hanmail.net](#); [meiqing7@nate.com](#); [kantry1@smc.seoul.kr](#); [bmwohkr@naver.com](#); [oje1015@hanmail.net](#); [joinus7942@daum.net](#); [kfmpd@naver.com](#); [yumanhee@hanmail.net](#); [yjh8144@naver.com](#); [dorimchun@hanmail.net](#); [ds1msm@hanmail.net](#); [etty02@smc.seoul.kr](#); [yoonjb48@naver.com](#); [lks7459@naver.com](#); [min12343@naver.com](#); [2min5ql@naver.com](#); [lover9474@naver.com](#); [kee61k@empas.com](#); [bjkmc@naver.com](#); [seoul7635@gmail.com](#); [teamleesanghoon@naver.com](#); [zzz1895@naver.com](#); [113lee@naver.com](#); [sora94seoul@gmail.com](#); [sjl0894@hanmail.net](#); [sm6244@naver.com](#); [marinespyi@naver.com](#); [dndsil@hanmail.net](#); [st2020@hanmail.net](#); [yiw2898@naver.com](#); [eunlim@ewhain.net](#); [jongbae1252@daum.net](#); [smilemen@hanmail.net](#); [ljh5612@hanmail.net](#); [seoul.lhw@gmail.com](#); [archicbnu@naver.com](#); [kcniw@hanmail.net](#); [sangchoo1900@hanmail.net](#); [eparty@paran.com](#); [cnseo566@naver.com](#); [samueladams@naver.com](#); [bjjeon918@hanmail.net](#); [준, 정](#); [jw9976@naver.com](#); [chaemokdong@gmail.com](#); [gichan6907@hanmail.net](#); [minkyu0307@naver.com](#); [choi\\_767@naver.com](#); [inpraxis@naver.com](#); [cjin2261@naver.com](#); [fng9129@hanmail.net](#); [han-shin@naver.com](#); [yangcheongo@naver.com](#); [cp1055@naver.com](#); [lovejane26@hanmail.net](#); [hck01@hanmail.net](#); [ENGLISH@assembly.go.kr](#); [webmaster@assembly.go.kr](#); [015mia@naver.com](#); [0415gunsan@gmail.com](#); [syd@assembly.go.kr](#); [1bdoit@gmail.com](#); [22.ksunmin@gmail.com](#); [326mia@gmail.com](#); [734ssj@gmail.com](#); [7842055@naver.com](#); [assemblymoonsu@gmail.com](#); [ayjk1101@gmail.com](#); [bemil434@naver.com](#); [changit@naver.com](#); [choo\\_worl\\_d@naver.com](#); [chung10park@naver.com](#); [dcparkji@assembly.go.kr](#); [dh337dh@naver.com](#); [dj\\_koh7163@naver.com](#); [eonju5257@gmail.com](#); [gimpolovekvy@gmail.com](#); [greenwind21@gmail.com](#); [hanqkim74@assembly.go.kr](#); [happyhappo@naver.com](#); [ilovebusanjin@hanmail.net](#); [j7840790@gmail.com](#); [jee21dongnae@naver.com](#); [jeoung@gmail.com](#); [jhkang315@gmail.com](#); [jhkim1789@naver.com](#); [jinjongoh.official@gmail.com](#); [jihgi0503@naver.com](#); [jmoon123@naver.com](#); [jongno.kwak@gmail.com](#); [joo\\_jinwoo@naver.com](#); [joonforpeace@gmail.com](#); [jsh35351@naver.com](#); [jsjeong0403@naver.com](#); [jskshare2020@gmail.com](#); [jungsdream24@gmail.com](#); [jykimm61@assembly.go.kr](#); [kimjunggho3359@daum.net](#); [kktlaw@naver.com](#); [kts230410@gmail.com](#); [letskt2020@gmail.com](#); [limhoseon2020@naver.com](#); [llj11@daum.net](#); [lok0415@hanmail.net](#); [napark81@naver.com](#); [official\\_yt@naver.com](#); [okhyung628@naver.com](#); [parkdukhyum@naver.com](#); [pbk705@naver.com](#); [pksjoffice@naver.com](#); [sbyoo64@naver.com](#); [smpark3340@naver.com](#); [unhah0301@gmail.com](#); [vsbok@naver.com](#); [ydkim22@naver.com](#); [yjb870053@naver.com](#); [yoons609@hanmail.net](#); [youngjink840@naver.com](#); [youngkyos@naver.com](#); [zeeahan635@gmail.com](#); [2024iccho@gmail.com](#); [2024wisunglac@gmail.com](#); [2040jd@gmail.com](#); [22vyh620@naver.com](#); [2yangs6288@naver.com](#); [335kang@naver.com](#); [3s0011@naver.com](#); [518jis@naver.com](#); [532jhlee@gmail.com](#); [613jjs@gmail.com](#); [623kimjk@gmail.com](#); [7842760@naver.com](#); [7844165@naver.com](#); [7844861@naver.com](#); [7880291@naver.com](#); [7882579@naver.com](#); [907kth@naver.com](#); [ahndogeol@naver.com](#); [ahnho065@gmail.com](#); [anotherk7@gmail.com](#); [antagt@naver.com](#); [anyangmin@gmail.com](#); [assembly0915@naver.com](#); [assembly847@naver.com](#); [bakeunjeong22@gmail.com](#); [best1yty@gmail.com](#); [bhrassembly0217@gmail.com](#); [bigmann64@naver.com](#); [bjh438@naver.com](#); [booseungchan.yongin@gmail.com](#); [braveplane@gmail.com](#); [bsa\\_teacher@naver.com](#); [can929292@naver.com](#); [cdypeace@hanmail.net](#); [cesof@naver.com](#); [chajiho5301@gmail.com](#); [chhi.address@gmail.com](#); [choimh867@gmail.com](#); [choiwakeup@gmail.com](#); [chokuk@assembly.go.kr](#); [chungco518@gmail.com](#); [csa241\\_8@naver.com](#); [csahn435@gmail.com](#); [csh240410@naver.com](#); [dalhee621@naver.com](#); [dobongstar24@naver.com](#); [dongah1021@gmail.com](#); [dongbu1538@naver.com](#); [dskimbless@naver.com](#); [dw7227@naver.com](#); [flyhong21@naver.com](#); [fopeopler76@gmail.com](#); [gangseo.kap@gmail.com](#); [gikuchon@nate.com](#); [gimpo\\_park@naver.com](#); [gkim338@naver.com](#); [goanghee1@naver.com](#); [gohoonsik@gmail.com](#); [gracecho1@naver.com](#); [gracesook52@rebuildingk.kr](#); [guseng@hanmail.net](#); [gyewoncho@naver.com](#); [heeyong4you@naver.com](#); [hello@yonghyein.kr](#); [hjiyun9292@naver.com](#); [hmsgb2024@gmail.com](#); [hoongi2024@gmail.com](#); [hotjjoon@gmail.com](#); [newds61@naver.com](#); [huhsmsm@naver.com](#); [hwang\\_0410@naver.com](#); [hwanghee6728@gmail.com](#); [hwangms0@naver.com](#); [indobangrang@hanmail.net](#); [inseon0502@naver.com](#); [intoan429@gmail.com](#); [ironkwon24@gmail.com](#); [ironregion24@gmail.com](#); [jaeganglee@gmail.com](#); [jcm5440@gmail.com](#); [jeonjd7877@gmail.com](#); [jinhae.jump@gmail.com](#); [jiyeonwecan@naver.com](#); [jongsyv@naver.com](#); [joomincenter@gmail.com](#); [jth5073@gmail.com](#); [juno\\_news2020@gmail.com](#); [jwp615@naver.com](#); [jyb116@naver.com](#); [jylee\\_nrp@naver.com](#); [kds21341@naver.com](#); [khchoo@assembly.go.kr](#); [khjkorea@assembly.go.kr](#); [khyungdong@naver.com](#); [kimbyungjoo62@gmail.com](#); [kimeunhye\\_official@naver.com](#); [kimeunpyung0@gmail.com](#); [kimhyun0610@gmail.com](#); [kiminnotank@naver.com](#); [kimkh4u@naver.com](#); [kimnowongu@gmail.com](#); [kimsangwook80@naver.com](#); [kimg5358@naver.com](#); [kimsu910@naver.com](#); [kimwoni923@gmail.com](#); [kim-youngho@naver.com](#); [kiyku@naver.com](#); [knh@na.go.kr](#); [kominjung21@gmail.com](#); [ksdd22@naver.com](#); [ksdgd11@gmail.com](#); [kshoi72@naver.com](#); [kskangsk@na.go.kr](#); [ktn0320@daum.net](#); [kyitongil@naver.com](#); [law@bychoi.com](#); [lawji@naver.com](#); [ldek3525@naver.com](#); [leehaesik1113@gmail.com](#); [leejiaw@gmail.com](#);

leesang@assembly.go.kr; leesj545@daum.net; limkhassembly@gmail.com; liv1964@empal.com;  
ljm631000@assembly.go.kr; ljm935@na.go.kr; lkh502503@gmail.com; lsywin445@gmail.com;  
m2hwaseo@gmail.com; mainsail440@daum.net; mapo\_cho@naver.com; maumgil88@gmail.com;  
mgk2224@naver.com; mhb1961@naver.com; minjoo\_ljk0410@naver.com; minjoomsk@naver.com;  
minkyucool@assembly.go.kr; moalarm2024@naver.com; moondaelim@naver.com; moongj67@gmail.com;  
mrleegt@gmail.com; myounggu.power@gmail.com; nakw63@naver.com; namgeunkim10@gmail.com;  
newkms2030@gmail.com; newwonju643@naver.com; nisoona@na.go.kr; ontoincha22@gmail.com;  
oshee0530@gmail.com; parkcd0214@gmail.com; parkhs2024@gmail.com; parkkyoontaek@naver.com;  
parksm2028@gmail.com; parksw2789@naver.com; peacesong1@naver.com; pikorea21c@naver.com;  
plz.hongbae@gmail.com; psyfor2020@naver.com; ptgotogether@naver.com; pyk393329@gmail.com;  
qkrsw20@naver.com; reform1-1@hanmail.net; saeng0425@rebuildingk.kr; sahalsk1015@naver.com;  
saminhcm@gmail.com; saseyoung@naver.com; sbsulju@daum.net; shinhj744@naver.com;  
shinjs6411@gmail.com; shkay73@naver.com; shyoon@na.go.kr; sij5140@naver.com; sijkorea@gmail.com;  
sjbong4381@naver.com; smjingogo@gmail.com; songok4740@naver.com; sonms@assembly.go.kr;  
sotong848@daum.net; ssaribi0415@naver.com; strongwind01@naver.com; successshuh@gmail.com;  
sungkook337@naver.com; swkimsuwon@gmail.com; team1000haram@gmail.com; teampark2024@gmail.com;  
the.kim.weapon@gmail.com; the.kimyoon@gmail.com; tjcjsgh2024@naver.com; together.eut@gmail.com;  
ubuntu\_21@naver.com; victory\_cho@naver.com; victoryljb@naver.com; we1taeg@daum.net;  
winner4011@naver.com; wishjeju@hanmail.net; within413@gmail.com; wjseo21@rebuildingk.kr;  
worker21c@gmail.com; yangmunsuk7227@gmail.com; yb7841521@gmail.com; ybn733@naver.com;  
yeajijoy@gmail.com; yeskt@hanmail.net; yhassembly2024@naver.com; yjongo428@gmail.com;  
ymk0814@naver.com; youngcamp1@gmail.com; younky926@gmail.com; yslee9282@naver.com;  
yujung7522@gmail.com; yuseong0413@daum.net; kimgipyo24@naver.com; jeehye.park@assembly.go.kr;  
yeonh2ee@gmail.com; lywchosim@naver.com; assembly\_ok@naver.com; ash\_0515@naver.com;  
haimin@rebuildingk.kr; wisangk@naver.com; yesbupyeong@gmail.com; new\_ansan@naver.com;  
kilee905@naver.com; soton\_g0743@gmail.com; jhkjinbo@gmail.com; sbumshin@naver.com

**Subject:** Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 4:33:02 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

- 1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.
- 2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.
- 3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:**

- A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
- Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
- Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
- Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.

**4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources**, whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjIfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)
- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwl6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMflIqM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8Ii8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6l>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVOHQ>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chnng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership,

AGNESE LANEVE

ITALIA

From:  
To:

[Jodi Rodar](#)  
[Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [kanglaw83@hanmail.net](#); [sjkang2005@smc.seoul.kr](#); [k-gimoon@hanmail.net](#); [hiseocho@naver.com](#); [aromaeffort@hanmail.net](#); [smil-ing@naver.com](#); [muse201@hotmail.com](#); [kkhbb0331@daum.net](#); [leejs8341@naver.com](#); [kdd3344@hanmail.net](#); [spoman88@naver.com](#); [dwk5gangnam@gmail.com](#); [visionbosco@naver.com](#); [oki1422@naver.com](#); [kimyc5975@naver.com](#); [yongk0525@hanmail.net](#); [pukasun011@hanmail.net](#); [kwj22222@naver.com](#); [kim22wt@naver.com](#); [ij7857@gmail.com](#); [k80209454@hanmail.net](#); [220601winner@naver.com](#); [sujiho@hanmail.net](#); [gare1@hanmail.net](#); [kts8104@hanmail.net](#); [hyeon4123@hanmail.net](#); [kign0071@naver.com](#); [khyseoul7@daum.net](#); [bombam111@naver.com](#); [jnd0522@hanmail.net](#); [chjin4455@nate.com](#); [mydoh@naver.com](#); [nayuri89@naver.com](#); [Mbj1440@naver.com](#); [ksp9725@naver.com](#); [shp2022@naver.com](#); [parksuk7@hanmail.net](#); [syannie@naver.com](#); [101gangbuk@gmail.com](#); [sjpark5272@hanmail.net](#); [myjjang55@hanmail.net](#); [maum7412@naver.com](#); [pihkorworld@hanmail.net](#); [csp2000@naver.com](#); [seven7star77@hanmail.net](#); [gox9778@nate.com](#); [yeol1977@naver.com](#); [ricehope@hanmail.net](#); [sho3120@hanmail.net](#); [zxy100@naver.com](#); [mountain8511@naver.com](#); [skt007@naver.com](#); [songdoh88@naver.com](#); [ecopolis2050@hanmail.net](#); [flutelove2001@hanmail.net](#); [bj618@hanmail.net](#); [meiqing7@nate.com](#); [kantry1@smc.seoul.kr](#); [bmwohkr@naver.com](#); [oje1015@hanmail.net](#); [joinus7942@daum.net](#); [kfmpd@naver.com](#); [yumanhee@hanmail.net](#); [yjh8144@naver.com](#); [dorimchun@hanmail.net](#); [ds1msm@hanmail.net](#); [etty02@smc.seoul.kr](#); [yoonjb48@naver.com](#); [lks7459@naver.com](#); [min12343@naver.com](#); [2min5gl@naver.com](#); [lover9474@naver.com](#); [kee61k@empas.com](#); [bjkmc@naver.com](#); [seoul7635@gmail.com](#); [teamleesanghoon@naver.com](#); [zzz1895@naver.com](#); [113lee@naver.com](#); [sora94seoul@gmail.com](#); [slj0894@hanmail.net](#); [sm6244@naver.com](#); [marinespyi@naver.com](#); [dndsil@hanmail.net](#); [st2020@hanmail.net](#); [yiw2898@naver.com](#); [eunlim@ewhain.net](#); [jongbae1252@daum.net](#); [smilemen@hanmail.net](#); [ljh5612@hanmail.net](#); [seoul.lhw@gmail.com](#); [archicbnu@naver.com](#); [kcnljw@hanmail.net](#); [sangchoo1900@hanmail.net](#); [eparty@paran.com](#); [cnseo566@naver.com](#); [samueladams@naver.com](#); [bjjeon918@hanmail.net](#); [준.정](#); [jw9976@naver.com](#); [chaemokdong@gmail.com](#); [gichan6907@hanmail.net](#); [minkyu0307@naver.com](#); [choi\\_767@naver.com](#); [inpraxis@naver.com](#); [cjin72261@naver.com](#); [fng9129@hanmail.net](#); [han-shin@naver.com](#); [yangcheongo@naver.com](#); [cp1055@naver.com](#); [lovejane26@hanmail.net](#); [hck01@hanmail.net](#); [ENGLISH@assembly.go.kr](#); [webmaster@assembly.go.kr](#); [015mia@naver.com](#); [0415gunsan@gmail.com](#); [syd@assembly.go.kr](#); [1bdoit@gmail.com](#); [22.ksunmin@gmail.com](#); [326mia@gmail.com](#); [734ssj@gmail.com](#); [7842055@naver.com](#); [assemblymoonsu@gmail.com](#); [ayjk1101@gmail.com](#); [bemil434@naver.com](#); [changit@naver.com](#); [choo\\_worl\\_d@naver.com](#); [chung10park@naver.com](#); [dcparkji@assembly.go.kr](#); [dh337dh@naver.com](#); [dj\\_koh7163@naver.com](#); [eonju5257@gmail.com](#); [gimpolovekky@gmail.com](#); [greenwind21@gmail.com](#); [hanqkim74@assembly.go.kr](#); [happyhappo@naver.com](#); [ilovebusanjin@hanmail.net](#); [j7840790@gmail.com](#); [jee21dongnae@naver.com](#); [한정애](#); [jhkang315@gmail.com](#); [jhkim1789@naver.com](#); [jinjongoh.official@gmail.com](#); [jihgi0503@naver.com](#); [jmoon123@naver.com](#); [jongno.kwak@gmail.com](#); [joo\\_jinwoo@naver.com](#); [joonforpeace@gmail.com](#); [SungHo Jung](#); [jsjeong0403@naver.com](#); [jskshare2020@gmail.com](#); [jungsdream24@gmail.com](#); [jwk@rebuilding.kr](#); [jykim61@assembly.go.kr](#); [kimjungho3359@daum.net](#); [kktlaw@naver.com](#); [kts230410@gmail.com](#); [letsit2020@gmail.com](#); [limhoseon2020@naver.com](#); [llj11@daum.net](#); [lok0415@hanmail.net](#); [napark81@naver.com](#); [official\\_yt@naver.com](#); [okhyung628@naver.com](#); [parkdukhyum@naver.com](#); [pbk705@naver.com](#); [pksjoffice@naver.com](#); [sbyoo64@naver.com](#); [smpark3340@naver.com](#); [unhah0301@gmail.com](#); [vsbok@naver.com](#); [wooo6617@naver.com](#); [ydkim22@naver.com](#); [yjb870053@naver.com](#); [yoons609@hanmail.net](#); [youngjiink840@naver.com](#); [youngkyos@naver.com](#); [yskys2020@naver.com](#); [zeeahan635@gmail.com](#); [2024iccho@gmail.com](#); [2024wisunglac@gmail.com](#); [2040jd@gmail.com](#); [22yvh620@naver.com](#); [인양수](#); [335kang@naver.com](#); [3s0011@naver.com](#); [518jjs@naver.com](#); [532jhlee@gmail.com](#); [613jjs@gmail.com](#); [623kimjk@gmail.com](#); [7842760@naver.com](#); [7844165@naver.com](#); [7844861@naver.com](#); [7880291@naver.com](#); [7882576kj@hanmail.net](#); [7882579@naver.com](#); [907rth@naver.com](#); [ahndogeol@naver.com](#); [ahnho065@gmail.com](#); [anotherk7@gmail.com](#); [antagt@naver.com](#); [anyangmin@gmail.com](#); [assembly0915@naver.com](#); [assembly847@naver.com](#); [bakeunjeong22@gmail.com](#); [best1tyty@gmail.com](#); [bhrassembly0217@gmail.com](#); [bigmann64@naver.com](#); [bjh438@naver.com](#); [booseungchan.yongin@gmail.com](#); [braveplane@gmail.com](#); [bsa\\_teacher@naver.com](#); [can929292@naver.com](#); [cdypeace@hanmail.net](#); [cesot@naver.com](#); [chajih05301@gmail.com](#); [chhl.address@gmail.com](#); [choimh867@gmail.com](#); [choiwakeup@gmail.com](#); [chokuk@assembly.go.kr](#); [chungco518@gmail.com](#); [csa2418@naver.com](#); [csahn435@gmail.com](#); [csh240410@naver.com](#); [dalhee621@naver.com](#); [dobongstar24@naver.com](#); [dongah1021@gmail.com](#); [dongbu1538@naver.com](#); [dskimbless@naver.com](#); [dw7227@naver.com](#); [flyhong21@naver.com](#); [fopeopler76@gmail.com](#); [gangseo.kap@gmail.com](#); [gikuchon@nate.com](#); [gimpo\\_park@naver.com](#); [gkim338@naver.com](#); [goanghee1@naver.com](#); [hoonsik kang](#); [gracecho1@naver.com](#); [gracesook52@rebuilding.kr](#); [guseng@hanmail.net](#); [gyewoncho@naver.com](#); [heeyong4you@naver.com](#); [hello@yonghyein.kr](#); [hjun9292@naver.com](#); [hmsgb2024@gmail.com](#); [hoongi2024@gmail.com](#); [hotjjoon@gmail.com](#); [news61@naver.com](#); [huhsmm@naver.com](#); [hwang\\_0410@naver.com](#); [hwanghee6728@gmail.com](#); [hwangms0@naver.com](#); [indobangrang@hanmail.net](#); [inseon0502@naver.com](#); [안규백](#); [ironkwon24@gmail.com](#); [ironregion24@gmail.com](#); [jaeganglee@gmail.com](#); [jassembly536@gmail.com](#); [jcm5440@gmail.com](#); [jeonjd7877@gmail.com](#); [jinhae.jump@gmail.com](#); [jiyeonwecan@naver.com](#); [jongsy@naver.com](#); [박주민](#); [jth5073@gmail.com](#); [juno.news2020@gmail.com](#); [jwp615@naver.com](#); [jyb116@naver.com](#); [jylee\\_nrp@naver.com](#); [kds21341@naver.com](#); [khchoo@assembly.go.kr](#); [khjkorea@assembly.go.kr](#); [khyungdong@naver.com](#); [kimbyungjoo62@gmail.com](#); [kimeunhye\\_official@naver.com](#); [kimeunpyung0@gmail.com](#); [kimhyun0610@gmail.com](#); [kiminnotank@naver.com](#); [kimkh4u@naver.com](#); [kimnowongu@gmail.com](#); [kimsangwook80@naver.com](#); [kimg5358@naver.com](#); [kimsw910@naver.com](#); [kimwoni923@gmail.com](#); [kim-youngho@naver.com](#); [kiyku@naver.com](#); [knh@na.go.kr](#); [kominjung21@gmail.com](#); [ksdd22@naver.com](#); [김상훈](#); [kshoi72@naver.com](#); [kskangsk@na.go.kr](#); [ktn0320@daum.net](#); [kyitongil@naver.com](#); [law@bychoi.com](#); [lawji@naver.com](#); [ldek3525@naver.com](#); [leehaesik1113@gmail.com](#); [leejila\\_w@gmail.com](#); [leesang@assembly.go.kr](#);



leesj545@daum.net; limkhassembly@gmail.com; liy1964@empal.com; lij631000@assembly.go.kr;  
lijm935@na.go.kr; lkh502503@gmail.com; lsywin445@gmail.com; m2hwaseo@gmail.com;  
mainsail440@daum.net; mapo\_cho@naver.com; 박홍근; mgk2224@naver.com; mhb1961@naver.com;  
minjoo\_ljk0410@naver.com; minjoomsk@naver.com; minkyucool@assembly.go.kr; moalarm2024@naver.com;  
moondaelim@naver.com; moongj67@gmail.com; mp\_sj322@naver.com; mrleegt@gmail.com;  
myounggu.power@gmail.com; nakw63@naver.com; namgeunkim10@gmail.com; newkms2030@gmail.com;  
newwonju643@naver.com; nisoona@na.go.kr; ontoincha22@gmail.com; oshee0530@gmail.com;  
parkcd0214@gmail.com; parkhs2024@gmail.com; parkkyoontaek@naver.com; parksm2028@gmail.com;  
parksw2789@naver.com; peacesong1@naver.com; pjkkorea21c@naver.com; plz.hongbae@gmail.com;  
psyfor2020@naver.com; ptgototogether@naver.com; pyk393329@gmail.com; qkrsw20@naver.com; 우원식;  
saeng0425@rebuildingk.kr; sahsalsk1015@naver.com; saminhcm@gmail.com; saseyoung@naver.com;  
sbsulju@daum.net; shinhj744@naver.com; shins6411@gmail.com; shkay73@naver.com; shyyoon@na.go.kr;  
sij5140@naver.com; sijkorea@gmail.com; sjbong4381@naver.com; smjingogo@gmail.com;  
songok4740@naver.com; sonms@assembly.go.kr; sotong848@daum.net; ssaribi0415@naver.com;  
strongwind01@naver.com; successshuh@gmail.com; sungkook337@naver.com; swkimsuwon@gmail.com;  
sylee0546@gmail.com; team1000haram@gmail.com; teampark2024@gmail.com; the.kim.weapon@gmail.com;  
the.kimyoon@gmail.com; tjicjgh2024@naver.com; together.eut@gmail.com; ubuntu\_21@naver.com;  
victory\_cho@naver.com; victoryljb@naver.com; we1taeg@daum.net; winner4011@naver.com;  
wishjeju@hanmail.net; within413@gmail.com; wjseo21@rebuildingk.kr; worker21c@gmail.com;  
yangmunsuk7227@gmail.com; yb7841521@gmail.com; ybn733@naver.com; yc20002@naver.com;  
yeajijoy@gmail.com; yeskt@hanmail.net; yhassembly2024@naver.com; yjongo428@gmail.com;  
ymk0814@naver.com; 이학영국회의원; younky926@gmail.com; yslee9282@naver.com;  
yujung7522@gmail.com; yuseong0413@daum.net; kimgipyo24@naver.com; jeehye.park@assembly.go.kr;  
yeonh2ee@gmail.com; lywchosim@naver.com; assembly\_ok@naver.com; ash\_0515@naver.com;  
haimin@rebuildingk.kr; wisangk@naver.com; yesbupyeong@gmail.com; new\_ansan@naver.com;  
kilee905@naver.com; sotong0743@gmail.com; jhkjinbo@gmail.com; sbumshin@naver.com

**Subject:** Please End Cruel Deaths Of Dogs In Seoul  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 5:25:41 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

- 1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.
- 2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are



evacuated alongside their families.

### 3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:

- A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
- Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
- Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
- Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.

**4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources**, whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjIfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)
- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwl6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMfllqM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8li8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6l>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVQHQ>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chnng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership,

Sincerely,

Dr. Jodi Rodar

North Valley Road  
01002

USA

Pelham, MA

**From:** [bernardine.cox27@btinternet.com](mailto:bernardine.cox27@btinternet.com) [bernardine.cox27@btinternet.com](mailto:bernardine.cox27@btinternet.com)  
**To:** [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [kanglaw83@hanmail.net](mailto:kanglaw83@hanmail.net); [sjkang2005@smc.seoul.kr](mailto:sjkang2005@smc.seoul.kr); [k-gimoon@hanmail.net](mailto:k-gimoon@hanmail.net); [hiseocho@naver.com](mailto:hiseocho@naver.com); [aromaeffort@hanmail.net](mailto:aromaeffort@hanmail.net); [smil-ing@naver.com](mailto:smil-ing@naver.com); [muse201@hotmail.com](mailto:muse201@hotmail.com); [khhbb0331@daum.net](mailto:khhbb0331@daum.net); [leejs8341@naver.com](mailto:leejs8341@naver.com); [kkd3344@hanmail.net](mailto:kkd3344@hanmail.net); [spoman88@naver.com](mailto:spoman88@naver.com); [dwk5gangnam@gmail.com](mailto:dwk5gangnam@gmail.com); [visionbosco@naver.com](mailto:visionbosco@naver.com); [oki1422@naver.com](mailto:oki1422@naver.com); [kimyc5975@naver.com](mailto:kimyc5975@naver.com); [yongk0525@hanmail.net](mailto:yongk0525@hanmail.net); [pukasun011@hanmail.net](mailto:pukasun011@hanmail.net); [kwj22222@naver.com](mailto:kwj22222@naver.com); [kim22wt@naver.com](mailto:kim22wt@naver.com); [ij7857@gmail.com](mailto:ij7857@gmail.com); [k80209454@hanmail.net](mailto:k80209454@hanmail.net); [220601winner@naver.com](mailto:220601winner@naver.com); [sujihoo@hanmail.net](mailto:sujihoo@hanmail.net); [gare1@hanmail.net](mailto:gare1@hanmail.net); [kts8104@hanmail.net](mailto:kts8104@hanmail.net); [hyeon4123@hanmail.net](mailto:hyeon4123@hanmail.net); [kign0071@naver.com](mailto:kign0071@naver.com); [khyseoul7@daum.net](mailto:khyseoul7@daum.net); [bombam111@naver.com](mailto:bombam111@naver.com); [jnd0522@hanmail.net](mailto:jnd0522@hanmail.net); [chjin4455@nate.com](mailto:chjin4455@nate.com); [mydoh@naver.com](mailto:mydoh@naver.com); [nayuri89@naver.com](mailto:nayuri89@naver.com); [Mbj1440@naver.com](mailto:Mbj1440@naver.com); [ksp9725@naver.com](mailto:ksp9725@naver.com); [shp2022@naver.com](mailto:shp2022@naver.com); [parksuk7@hanmail.net](mailto:parksuk7@hanmail.net); [syannie@naver.com](mailto:syannie@naver.com); [101gangbuk@gmail.com](mailto:101gangbuk@gmail.com); [sjpark5272@hanmail.net](mailto:sjpark5272@hanmail.net); [myjjang55@hanmail.net](mailto:myjjang55@hanmail.net); [maum7412@naver.com](mailto:maum7412@naver.com); [pjhkorworld@hanmail.net](mailto:pjhkorworld@hanmail.net); [csp2000@naver.com](mailto:csp2000@naver.com); [seven7star77@hanmail.net](mailto:seven7star77@hanmail.net); [gox9778@nate.com](mailto:gox9778@nate.com); [yeol1977@naver.com](mailto:yeol1977@naver.com); [ricehope@hanmail.net](mailto:ricehope@hanmail.net); [sho3120@hanmail.net](mailto:sho3120@hanmail.net); [zxy100@naver.com](mailto:zxy100@naver.com); [mountain8511@naver.com](mailto:mountain8511@naver.com); [skt007@naver.com](mailto:skt007@naver.com); [songdoho88@naver.com](mailto:songdoho88@naver.com); [ecopolis2050@hanmail.net](mailto:ecopolis2050@hanmail.net); [flutelove2001@hanmail.net](mailto:flutelove2001@hanmail.net); [bj618@hanmail.net](mailto:bj618@hanmail.net); [meiqing7@nate.com](mailto:meiqing7@nate.com); [kantry1@smc.seoul.kr](mailto:kantry1@smc.seoul.kr); [bmwohkr@naver.com](mailto:bmwohkr@naver.com); [oje1015@hanmail.net](mailto:oje1015@hanmail.net); [joinus7942@daum.net](mailto:joinus7942@daum.net); [kfmpd@naver.com](mailto:kfmpd@naver.com)  
**Subject:** Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 6:04:38 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

- 1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.
- 2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.
- 3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:**

- A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
- Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
- Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
- Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.

**4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources**, whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjIfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)
- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwI6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMfllqM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8Ii8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6I>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVQHQ>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chnng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership,

Regards

Bernardine Cox UK

**From:** [Margarita Gil](#)  
**To:** [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Felder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** nd Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 6:55:56 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Thanks!

**From:** [Astrid\\_1962](#)  
**To:** [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [kanglaw83@hanmail.net](#); [sjkang2005@smc.seoul.kr](#); [k-gimoon@hanmail.net](#); [hiseocho@naver.com](#); [aromaeffort@hanmail.net](#); [smil-ing@naver.com](#); [muse201@hotmail.com](#); [kkhbb0331@daum.net](#); [leejs8341@naver.com](#); [kdk3344@hanmail.net](#); [spoman88@naver.com](#); [dwk5gangnam@gmail.com](#); [visionbosco@naver.com](#); [oki1422@naver.com](#); [kimyc5975@naver.com](#); [yongk0525@hanmail.net](#); [pukasun011@hanmail.net](#); [kwj22222@naver.com](#); [kim22wt@naver.com](#); [ij7857@gmail.com](#); [k80209454@hanmail.net](#); [220601winner@naver.com](#); [sujihooh@hanmail.net](#); [gare1@hanmail.net](#); [kts8104@hanmail.net](#); [hyeon4123@hanmail.net](#); [kign0071@naver.com](#); [khyseoul7@daum.net](#); [bombam111@naver.com](#); [jnd0522@hanmail.net](#); [chjin4455@nate.com](#); [mydoh@naver.com](#); [nayuri89@naver.com](#); [Mbj1440@naver.com](#); [ksp9725@naver.com](#); [shp2022@naver.com](#); [parksuk7@hanmail.net](#); [syannie@naver.com](#); [101gangbuk@gmail.com](#); [sjpark5272@hanmail.net](#); [myjjang55@hanmail.net](#); [maum7412@naver.com](#); [pihkorworld@hanmail.net](#); [csp2000@naver.com](#); [seven7star77@hanmail.net](#); [gox9778@nate.com](#); [yeol1977@naver.com](#); [ricehope@hanmail.net](#); [sho3120@hanmail.net](#); [zxy100@naver.com](#); [mountain8511@naver.com](#); [skt007@naver.com](#); [songdoho88@naver.com](#); [ecopolis2050@hanmail.net](#); [flutelove2001@hanmail.net](#); [bj618@hanmail.net](#); [meiqing7@nate.com](#); [kantry1@smc.seoul.kr](#); [bmwohkr@naver.com](#); [oje1015@hanmail.net](#); [joinus7942@daum.net](#); [kfmpd@naver.com](#); [yumanhee@hanmail.net](#); [yjh8144@naver.com](#); [dorimchun@hanmail.net](#); [ds1msm@hanmail.net](#); [etty02@smc.seoul.kr](#); [yoonjb48@naver.com](#); [lks7459@naver.com](#); [min12343@naver.com](#); [2min5gl@naver.com](#); [lover9474@naver.com](#); [kee61k@empas.com](#); [bjkmc@naver.com](#); [seoul7635@gmail.com](#); [teamleesanghoon@naver.com](#); [zzz1895@naver.com](#); [113lee@naver.com](#); [sora94seoul@gmail.com](#); [sjl0894@hanmail.net](#); [sm6244@naver.com](#); [marinespyi@naver.com](#); [dnds@hanmail.net](#); [st2020@hanmail.net](#); [yiw2898@naver.com](#); [eunlim@ewhain.net](#); [jongbae1252@daum.net](#); [smilemen@hanmail.net](#); [ljh5612@hanmail.net](#); [seoul.lhw@gmail.com](#); [archicbnu@naver.com](#); [kcni@naver.com](#); [sangchoo1900@hanmail.net](#); [eparty@paran.com](#); [cns0566@naver.com](#); [samueladams@naver.com](#); [bjjeon918@hanmail.net](#); [lehgoa@gmail.com](#); [jw9976@naver.com](#); [chaemokdong@gmail.com](#); [gichan6907@hanmail.net](#); [minkyu0307@naver.com](#); [choi\\_767@naver.com](#); [inpraxis@naver.com](#); [cljnh2261@naver.com](#); [fng9129@hanmail.net](#); [han-shin@naver.com](#); [yangcheongo@naver.com](#); [cp1055@naver.com](#); [lovejane26@hanmail.net](#); [hck01@hanmail.net](#); [ENGLISH@assembly.go.kr](#); [webmaster@assembly.go.kr](#); [015mia@naver.com](#); [0415gunsan@gmail.com](#); [syd@assembly.go.kr](#); [1bdoit@gmail.com](#); [22.ksunmin@gmail.com](#); [326mia@gmail.com](#); [734ssj@gmail.com](#); [7842055@naver.com](#); [assemblymoonsu@gmail.com](#); [ayjk1101@gmail.com](#); [bemil434@naver.com](#); [changit@naver.com](#); [choo\\_world@naver.com](#); [chung10park@naver.com](#); [dcparkji@assembly.go.kr](#); [dh337dh@naver.com](#); [dj\\_koh7163@naver.com](#); [eonju5257@gmail.com](#); [gimpolovek@naver.com](#); [greenwind21@gmail.com](#); [hanqkim74@assembly.go.kr](#); [happyhappo@naver.com](#); [ilovebusanjin@hanmail.net](#); [j7840790@gmail.com](#); [jee21dongnae@naver.com](#); [jeoung@gmail.com](#); [jhkang315@gmail.com](#); [jhkim1789@naver.com](#); [jinjongoh.official@gmail.com](#); [jihgi0503@naver.com](#); [jmoon123@naver.com](#); [jongno.kwak@gmail.com](#); [joo\\_jinwoo@naver.com](#); [joonforpeace@gmail.com](#); [jsh35351@naver.com](#); [jsjeong0403@naver.com](#); [jskshare2020@gmail.com](#); [jungsdream24@gmail.com](#); [jwk@rebuildingk.kr](#); [jykkim61@assembly.go.kr](#); [kimjungho3359@daum.net](#); [kktlaw@naver.com](#); [kts230410@gmail.com](#); [letskt2020@gmail.com](#); [limhoseon2020@naver.com](#); [llj11@daum.net](#); [lok0415@hanmail.net](#); [napark81@naver.com](#); [official\\_yt@naver.com](#); [okhyung628@naver.com](#); [parkdukhyum@naver.com](#); [pbk705@naver.com](#); [pksjoffice@naver.com](#); [sbyoo64@naver.com](#); [smpark3340@naver.com](#); [unhah0301@gmail.com](#); [vsbok@naver.com](#); [woooo617@naver.com](#); [ydkim22@naver.com](#); [yjb870053@naver.com](#); [yoons609@hanmail.net](#); [youngjink840@naver.com](#); [youngkyos@naver.com](#); [yskys2020@naver.com](#); [zeeahan635@gmail.com](#); [2024iccho@gmail.com](#); [2024wisung\\_lac@gmail.com](#); [2040jd@gmail.com](#); [22yyh620@naver.com](#); [2yangs6288@naver.com](#); [335kang@naver.com](#); [3s0011@naver.com](#); [518jjs@naver.com](#); [532jhlee@gmail.com](#); [613jjs@gmail.com](#); [623kimjk@gmail.com](#); [7842760@naver.com](#); [7844165@naver.com](#); [7844861@naver.com](#); [7880291@naver.com](#); [7882576kj@hanmail.net](#); [7882579@naver.com](#); [907kth@naver.com](#); [ahndogeol@naver.com](#); [ahnho065@gmail.com](#); [anotherk7@gmail.com](#); [antagt@naver.com](#); [anyangmin@gmail.com](#); [assembly0915@naver.com](#); [assembly847@naver.co.m](#); [bakeunjeong22@gmail.com](#); [best1ty@gmail.com](#); [bhrassembly0217@gmail.com](#); [bigmann64@naver.com](#)

**Subject:** Utter horror

**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 7:33:09 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—

your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

**1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.

**2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.

**3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:**

- A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
- Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
- Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
- Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.

**4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources,** whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjIfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)
- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwl6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMfllqM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8li8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6l>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVQHQ>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chng.it/dxkJTfLclW>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership,

Astrid van Geest, Delft, Netherland



**From:** [Andrew Umphries](#)  
**To:** [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [ENGLISH@assembly.go.kr](mailto:ENGLISH@assembly.go.kr); [webmaster@assembly.go.kr](mailto:webmaster@assembly.go.kr)  
**Subject:** End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 8:37:37 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

- 1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.
- 2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.
- 3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:**
  - A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
  - Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
  - Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
  - Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.
- 4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources,** whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjIfbC8>

- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)
- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwl6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMflIqM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8Ii8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6I>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVOHQ>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chnng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership

--

Andrew U  
Arizona, USA

**From:** [Ian Rudkin](#)  
**To:** [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 9:19:00 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:

1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters. Silence allows this suffering to continue.
2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul. Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.
3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:
  - A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
  - Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
  - Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
  - Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.
4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources, whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjIfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)
- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwl6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMfllqM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8Ii8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6I>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVQHQ>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul’s Sister City, San Francisco’s voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chnng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership,

Ian Rudkin.

From:  
To:

[Onno van Horn](#)

[Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [kanglaw83@hanmail.net](#); [sjkang2005@smc.seoul.kr](#); [k-gimoon@hanmail.net](#); [hiseocho@naver.com](#); [aromaeffort@hanmail.net](#); [smil-ing@naver.com](#); [muse201@hotmail.com](#); [kkhbb0331@daum.net](#); [leejs8341@naver.com](#); [kkd3344@hanmail.net](#); [spoman88@naver.com](#); [dwk5gangnam@gmail.com](#); [visionbosco@naver.com](#); [oki1422@naver.com](#); [kimyc5975@naver.com](#); [yongk0525@hanmail.net](#); [pukasun011@hanmail.net](#); [kwj22222@naver.com](#); [kim22wt@naver.com](#); [김인제](#); [k80209454@hanmail.net](#); [220601winner@naver.com](#); [sujiho@hanmail.net](#); [gare1@hanmail.net](#); [kts8104@hanmail.net](#); [hyeon4123@hanmail.net](#); [kign0071@naver.com](#); [khyseoul7@daum.net](#); [bombam111@naver.com](#); [jnd0522@hanmail.net](#); [chjin44.55@nate.com](#); [mydoh@naver.com](#); [nayuri89@naver.com](#); [Mbj1440@naver.com](#); [ksp9725@naver.com](#); [shp2022@naver.com](#); [parksuk7@hanmail.net](#); [syannie@naver.com](#); [101gangbuk@gmail.com](#); [sjpark5272@hanmail.net](#); [myjjang55@hanmail.net](#); [maum7412@naver.com](#); [pihkorworld@hanmail.net](#); [csp2000@naver.com](#); [seven7star77@hanmail.net](#); [gox9778@nate.com](#); [yeol1977@naver.com](#); [ricehope@hanmail.net](#); [sho3120@hanmail.net](#); [zxy100@naver.com](#); [mountain8511@naver.com](#); [skt007@naver.com](#); [songdoh088@naver.com](#); [ecopolis2050@hanmail.net](#); [flutelove2001@hanmail.net](#); [bj618@hanmail.net](#); [meiqing7@nate.com](#); [kantry1@smc.seoul.kr](#); [bmwohkr@naver.com](#); [oje1015@hanmail.net](#); [joinus7942@daum.net](#); [kfmpd@naver.com](#); [yumanhee@hanmail.net](#); [yjhm8144@naver.com](#); [dorimchun@hanmail.net](#); [ds1msm@hanmail.net](#); [etty02@smc.seoul.kr](#); [yoonjb48@naver.com](#); [lks7459@naver.com](#); [min12343@naver.com](#); [2min5ql@naver.com](#); [lover9474@naver.com](#); [kee61k@empas.com](#); [bjkmc@naver.com](#); [seoul7635@gmail.com](#); [teamleesanghoon@naver.com](#); [zzz1895@naver.com](#); [113lee@naver.com](#); [sora94seoul@gmail.com](#); [slj0894@hanmail.net](#); [sm6244@naver.com](#); [marinespyi@naver.com](#); [dnds@hanmail.net](#); [st2020@hanmail.net](#); [yiw2898@naver.com](#); [eunlim@ewhain.net](#); [jongbae1252@daum.net](#); [smilemen@hanmail.net](#); [ljh5612@hanmail.net](#); [seoul.lhw@gmail.com](#); [archicbnu@naver.com](#); [kcnljw@hanmail.net](#); [sangchoo1900@hanmail.net](#); [eparty@paran.com](#); [cnseo566@naver.com](#); [samueladams@naver.com](#); [bjjeon918@hanmail.net](#); [준.집](#); [jw9976@naver.com](#); [chaemokdong@gmail.com](#); [gichan6907@hanmail.net](#); [minkyu0307@naver.com](#); [choi\\_767@naver.com](#); [inpraxis@naver.com](#); [cjinhh2261@naver.com](#); [fng9129@hanmail.net](#); [han-shin@naver.com](#); [yangcheongo@naver.com](#); [cp1055@naver.com](#); [lovejane26@hanmail.net](#); [hck01@hanmail.net](#); [ENGLISH@assembly.go.kr](#); [webmaster@assembly.go.kr](#); [015mia@naver.com](#); [0415gunsan@gmail.com](#); [syd@assembly.go.kr](#); [1bdoit@gmail.com](#); [22.ksunmin@gmail.com](#); [326mia@gmail.com](#); [734ssj@gmail.com](#); [7842055@naver.com](#); [assemblymoonsu@gmail.com](#); [ayjk1101@gmail.com](#); [bemil434@naver.com](#); [chang jt@naver.com](#); [choo\\_world@naver.com](#); [chung10park@naver.com](#); [dcparkjj@assembly.go.kr](#); [dh3337dh@naver.com](#); [dj\\_koh7163@naver.com](#); [eonju5257@gmail.com](#); [gimpolivekvy@gmail.com](#); [greenwind21@gmail.com](#); [hanqkim74@assembly.go.kr](#); [happyhappo@naver.com](#); [ilovebusanjin@hanmail.net](#); [j7840790@gmail.com](#); [jee21dongnae@naver.com](#); [jeoung@gmail.com](#); [jhkang315@gmail.com](#); [jhkim1789@naver.com](#); [jinjongoh.official@gmail.com](#); [jihqi0503@naver.com](#); [jmoon123@naver.com](#); [jongno.kwak@gmail.com](#); [joo\\_jinwoo@naver.com](#); [joonforpeace@gmail.com](#); [jsh35351@naver.com](#); [jsjeong0403@naver.com](#); [jskshare2020@gmail.com](#); [jungsdream24@gmail.com](#); [jwk@rebuildingk.kr](#); [jykim61@assembly.go.kr](#); [kimjungcho3359@daum.net](#); [kktlaw@naver.com](#); [kts230410@gmail.com](#); [letskt2020@gmail.com](#); [limhoseon2020@naver.com](#); [llj11@daum.net](#); [lok0415@hanmail.net](#); [napark81@naver.com](#); [official\\_yt@naver.com](#); [okhyung628@naver.com](#); [parkdukyum@naver.com](#); [pbk705@naver.com](#); [pksjoffice@naver.com](#); [sbyoo64@naver.com](#); [smpark3340@naver.com](#); [unhah0301@gmail.com](#); [vsbok@naver.com](#); [woooo617@naver.com](#); [ydkim22@naver.com](#); [yjb870053@naver.com](#); [yoons609@hanmail.net](#); [youngjink840@naver.com](#); [youngkyos@naver.com](#); [yskys2020@naver.com](#); [zeeahan635@gmail.com](#); [2024iccho@gmail.com](#); [2024wisunglac@gmail.com](#); [2040jd@gmail.com](#); [22yyh620@naver.com](#); [2yangs6288@naver.com](#); [335kang@naver.com](#); [3s0011@naver.com](#); [518jjs@naver.com](#); [532jhlee@gmail.com](#); [613jjs@gmail.com](#); [623kimjk@gmail.com](#); [7842760@naver.com](#); [7844165@naver.com](#); [7844861@naver.com](#); [7880291@naver.com](#); [7882576kj@hanmail.net](#); [7882579@naver.com](#); [907kth@naver.com](#); [ahndogeol@naver.com](#); [ahnho065@gmail.com](#); [anotherk7@gmail.com](#); [antagt@naver.com](#); [anyangmin@gmail.com](#); [assembly0915@naver.com](#); [assembly847@naver.com](#); [bakeunjeong22@gmail.com](#); [best1yty@gmail.com](#); [bhrassembly0217@gmail.com](#); [bigmann64@naver.com](#); [bjh438@naver.com](#); [booseungchan.yongin@gmail.com](#); [braveplane@gmail.com](#); [bsa\\_teacher@naver.com](#); [can929292@naver.com](#); [cdypeace@hanmail.net](#); [cesof@naver.com](#); [chajiho5301@gmail.com](#); [chhi.address@gmail.com](#); [choimh867@gmail.com](#); [choiwakeup@gmail.com](#); [chokuk@assembly.go.kr](#); [chungco518@gmail.com](#); [csa2418@naver.com](#); [csahn435@gmail.com](#); [csh240410@naver.com](#); [dalhee621@naver.com](#); [dobongstar24@naver.com](#); [dongah1021@gmail.com](#); [dongbu1538@naver.com](#); [dskimbless@naver.com](#); [dw7227@naver.com](#); [flyhong21@naver.com](#); [fopeopler76@gmail.com](#); [gangseo.kap@gmail.com](#); [gikuchon@nate.com](#); [gimpo\\_park@naver.com](#); [gkim338@naver.com](#); [goanghee1@naver.com](#); [gohoonsik@gmail.com](#); [gracecho1@naver.com](#); [gracesook52@rebuildingk.kr](#); [guseng@hanmail.net](#); [gyewoncho@naver.com](#); [heeyong4you@naver.com](#); [hello@yonghyein.kr](#); [hjun9292@naver.com](#); [hmsgb2024@gmail.com](#); [hoongi2024@gmail.com](#); [hotjjoon@gmail.com](#); [news61@naver.com](#); [huhsmsm@naver.com](#); [hwang\\_0410@naver.com](#); [hwanghee6728@gmail.com](#); [hwangms0@naver.com](#); [indobangrang@hanmail.net](#); [inseon0502@naver.com](#); [intoan429@gmail.com](#); [ironkwon24@gmail.com](#); [ironregion24@gmail.com](#); [jaeganglee@gmail.com](#); [jassembly536@gmail.com](#); [jcm5440@gmail.com](#); [jeonjd7877@gmail.com](#); [jinhae\\_jump@gmail.com](#); [jiyeonwecan@naver.com](#); [jongsyy@naver.com](#); [joomincenter@gmail.com](#); [jth5073@gmail.com](#); [juno.news2020@gmail.com](#); [jwp615@naver.com](#); [jyb116@naver.com](#); [jylee\\_nrp@naver.com](#); [kds21341@naver.com](#); [khchoo@assembly.go.kr](#); [khjkorea@assembly.go.kr](#); [khyungdong@naver.com](#); [kimbyungjoo62@gmail.com](#); [kimeunhye\\_official@naver.com](#); [kimeunpyung0@gmail.com](#); [kimhyun0610@gmail.com](#); [kiminnotank@naver.com](#); [kimkh4u@naver.com](#); [kimnowongu@gmail.com](#); [kimsangwook80@naver.com](#); [kimsg5358@naver.com](#); [kimsw910@naver.com](#); [kimwoni923@gmail.com](#); [kim-youngcho@naver.com](#); [kiyku@naver.com](#); [knh@na.go.kr](#); [kominjung21@gmail.com](#); [ksdd22@naver.com](#); [kshdg11@gmail.com](#); [kshoi72@naver.com](#); [kskangsk@na.go.kr](#); [ktn0320@daum.net](#); [kyjtongil@naver.com](#);

law@bychoi.com; lawji@naver.com; ldek3525@naver.com; leehaesik1113@gmail.com; leejilaw@gmail.com; leesang@assembly.go.kr; leesj545@daum.net; limkhassembly@gmail.com; liv1964@empal.com; ljm631000@assembly.go.kr; ljm935@na.go.kr; lkh502503@gmail.com; lsywin445@gmail.com; m2hwaseo@gmail.com; mains.ail440@daum.net; mapo\_cho@naver.com; maumgil88@gmail.com; mgk2224@naver.com; mhb1961@naver.com; minjoo\_ljk0410@naver.com; minjoomsk@naver.com; minkyucool@assembly.go.kr; moalarm2024@naver.com; moondaelim@naver.com; moongj67@gmail.com; mp\_sj322@naver.com; mrleegt@gmail.com; myounggu.power@gmail.com; nakw63@naver.com; namgeunkim10@gmail.com; newkms2030@gmail.com; newwonju643@naver.com; nisoona@na.go.kr; ontoincha22@gmail.com; oshee0530@gmail.com; parkcd0214@gmail.com; parkhs2024@gmail.com; parkkyoontaek@naver.com; parksm2028@gmail.com; parksw2789@naver.com; peacesong1@naver.com; pjikorea21c@naver.com; plz.hongbae@gmail.com; psyfor2020@naver.com; ptgotogether@naver.com; pyk393329@gmail.com; qkrsw20@naver.com; reform1-1@hanmail.net; saeng0425@rebuildingk.kr; sahalak1015@naver.com; saminhcm@gmail.com; saseyoung@naver.com; sbsulju@daum.net; shinhj744@naver.com; shinsj6411@gmail.com; shkay73@naver.com; shyoona@na.go.kr; sij5140@naver.com; sijikorea@gmail.com; sjbong4381@naver.com; smjingogo@gmail.com; songok4740@naver.com; sonms@assembly.go.kr; sotong848@daum.net; ssaribi0415@naver.com; strongwind01@naver.com; successshuh@gmail.com; sungkook337@naver.com; swkimsuwon@gmail.com; sylee0546@gmail.com; team1000haram@gmail.com; teampark2024@gmail.com; the.kim.weapon@gmail.com; the.kimyoona@gmail.com; tjcsgh2024@naver.com; together.eut@gmail.com; ubuntu\_21@naver.com; victory\_cho@naver.com; victoryljb@naver.com; we1taeg@daum.net; winner4011@naver.com; wishjeju@hanmail.net; within413@gmail.com; wjseo21@rebuildingk.kr; worker21c@gmail.com; yangmunsuk7227@gmail.com; yb7841521@gmail.com; ybn733@naver.com; yc20002@naver.com; yeajijoy@gmail.com; yeskt@hanmail.net; yhassembly2024@naver.com; yjongo428@gmail.com; ymk0814@naver.com; youngcamp1@gmail.com; younky926@gmail.com; yslee9282@naver.com; yujung7522@gmail.com; yuseong0413@daum.net; kimgipy024@naver.com; jeehye.park@assembly.go.kr; yeonh2ee@gmail.com; lywchosim@naver.com; assembly\_ok@naver.com; ash\_0515@naver.com; haimin@rebuildingk.kr; wisangk@naver.com; yesbupyeong@gmail.com; new\_ansan@naver.com; kilee905@naver.com; sotong0743@gmail.com; jhkjinbo@gmail.com; sbumshin@naver.com

**Subject:** Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 9:38:52 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

- 1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.
- 2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.

### 3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:

- A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
- Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
- Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
- Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.

**4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources**, whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjIfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)
- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwI6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMfllqM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8Ii8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6I>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVOHQ>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chnng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership,

Onno van Horn Oostzaan/The Netherlands



**From:** [Maria Zimmer](#)  
**To:** [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Felder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [kimsangwook80@naver.com](#); [kimg5358@naver.com](#); [kimsu910@naver.com](#); [kimwoni923@gmail.com](#); [kim-youngho@naver.com](#); [kiyku@naver.com](#); [knh@na.go.kr](#); [kominjung21@gmail.com](#); [ksdd22@naver.com](#); [kshdg11@gmail.com](#); [kshoi72@naver.com](#); [kskangsk@na.go.kr](#); [ktn0320@daum.net](#); [kyjtongil@naver.com](#); [law@bychoi.com](#); [lawji@naver.com](#); [ldek3525@naver.com](#); [leeaesik1113@gmail.com](#); [leejjlaw@gmail.com](#); [leesj545@daum.net](#); [limkhassembly@gmail.com](#); [liy1964@empal.com](#); [ljm935@na.go.kr](#); [lkh502503@gmail.com](#); [lsywin445@gmail.com](#); [m2hwaseo@gmail.com](#); [mapo\\_cho@naver.com](#); [maumgil88@gmail.com](#); [mgk2224@naver.com](#); [mhb1961@naver.com](#); [minjoo\\_ljk0410@naver.com](#); [minjoomsk@naver.com](#); [moalarm2024@naver.com](#); [moondae\\_lim@naver.com](#); [moongj67@gmail.com](#); [mp\\_sj322@naver.com](#); [mrleegt@gmail.com](#); [myounggu.power@gmail.com](#); [nakw63@naver.com](#); [namgeunkim10@gmail.com](#); [newkms2030@gmail.com](#); [newwonju643@naver.com](#); [nisoona@na.go.kr](#); [ontoincha22@gmail.com](#); [oshee0530@gmail.com](#); [parkcd0214@gmail.com](#); [parkhs2024@gmail.com](#); [parkkyoontaek@naver.com](#); [parksm2028@gmail.com](#); [parksw2789@naver.com](#); [peacesong1@naver.com](#); [pjkorea21c@naver.com](#); [plz.hongbae@gmail.com](#); [psyfor2020@naver.com](#); [ptgotogether@naver.com](#); [pyk393329@gmail.com](#); [qkrsw20@naver.com](#); [reform1-1@hanmail.net](#); [saeng0425@rebuildingk.kr](#); [sahalsk1015@naver.com](#); [saminhcm@gmail.com](#); [saseyoung@naver.com](#); [sbsulju@daum.net](#); [shinh744@naver.com](#); [shinjs6411@gmail.com](#); [shkay73@naver.com](#); [shyoon@na.go.kr](#); [slj5140@naver.com](#); [sjkorea@gmail.com](#); [sjbong4381@naver.com](#); [smjingogo@gmail.com](#); [songok4740@naver.com](#); [ssaribi0415@naver.com](#); [strongwind01@naver.com](#); [successshuh@gmail.com](#); [sungkook337@naver.com](#); [svkimsuwon@gmail.com](#); [sylee0546@gmail.com](#); [team1000haram@gmail.com](#); [teampark2024@gmail.com](#); [the.kim.weapon@gmail.com](#); [the.kimyoon@gmail.com](#); [tjcsgh2024@naver.com](#); [together.eut@gmail.com](#); [ubuntu\\_21@naver.com](#); [victory\\_cho@naver.com](#); [victoryljb@naver.com](#); [winner4011@naver.com](#); [withmin413@gmail.com](#); [wjseo21@rebuildingk.kr](#); [worker21c@gmail.com](#); [yangmunusuk7227@gmail.com](#); [yb7841521@gmail.com](#); [ybn733@naver.com](#); [yc20002@naver.com](#); [yeajijoy@gmail.com](#); [yhassembly2024@naver.com](#); [yjongo428@gmail.com](#); [ymk0814@naver.com](#); [youngcamp1@gmail.com](#); [younky926@gmail.com](#); [yslee9282@naver.com](#); [yujung7522@gmail.com](#); [kingipyo24@naver.com](#); [yeonh2ee@gmail.com](#); [lywchosim@naver.com](#); [assembly\\_ok@naver.com](#); [ash\\_0515@naver.com](#); [haimin@rebuildingk.kr](#); [wisangk@naver.com](#); [yesbupyeong@gmail.com](#); [new\\_ansan@naver.com](#); [kilee905@naver.com](#); [sotong0743@gmail.com](#); [jhkinbo@gmail.com](#); [sbumshin@naver.com](#)  
**Subject:** End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 12:25:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## **Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea**

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

- 1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.
- 2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.



### 3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:

- A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
- Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
- Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
- Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.

### 4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources, whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjIfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)
- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwI6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMfllqM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8Ii8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6I>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVOHQ>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chnng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership,

Maria Zimmer  
Annagasse 4  
7361 Kroatisch Geresdorf  
Österreich/Austria

**From:** [Jimmy Fulgor](#)  
**To:** [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [swkimsuwon@gmail.com](#); [sylee0546@gmail.com](#); [team1000haram@gmail.com](#); [teampark2024@gmail.com](#); [the.kim.weapon@gmail.com](#); [the.kimyoona@gmail.com](#); [tjcsgh2024@naver.com](#); [together.eut@gmail.com](#); [ubuntu\\_21@naver.com](#); [victory\\_cho@naver.com](#); [victoryljb@naver.com](#); [we1taeg@daum.net](#); [winner4011@naver.com](#); [wishjeju@hanmail.net](#); [within413@gmail.com](#); [wiseo21@rebuildingk.kr](#); [worker21c@gmail.com](#); [yangmunsuk7227@gmail.com](#); [yb7841521@gmail.com](#); [ybn733@naver.com](#); [yc20002@naver.com](#); [yeajijoy@gmail.com](#); [yeskt@hanmail.net](#); [yhassembly2024@naver.com](#); [yjongo428@gmail.com](#); [ymk0814@naver.com](#); [youngcamp1@gmail.com](#); [youunky926@gmail.com](#); [yslee9282@naver.com](#); [yujung7522@gmail.com](#); [yuseong0413@daum.net](#); [kimgipy024@naver.com](#); [jeehye.park@assembly.go.kr](#); [yeonh2ee@gmail.com](#); [lywchosim@naver.com](#); [assembly\\_ok@naver.com](#); [ash\\_0515@naver.com](#); [haimin@rebuildingk.kr](#); [wisangk@naver.com](#); [yesbupyeong@gmail.com](#); [new\\_ansan@naver.com](#); [kilee905@naver.com](#); [sotong0743@gmail.com](#); [jhkinbo@gmail.com](#)  
**Subject:** Please try to help these poor innocent dogs.  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 1:23:45 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello,

We need brave people to face and stop this pure madness : <https://koreandogs.org/a-plea-for-compassion-seoul-sf>

They don't deserve this cruelty, this lack of heart and to be betrayed by the humanity.

Thank for them.

Regards.



Sans virus. [www.avast.com](http://www.avast.com)

**From:** [Zbigniew Stein](#)  
**Subject:** A Plea for Compassion: Protect Chained Dogs from Burning Alive in South Korean Wildfires  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 1:45:46 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

- 1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.
- 2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.
- 3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:**
  - A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
  - Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
  - Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
  - Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.
- 4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources,** whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjIfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)
- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>

- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwl6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMfllqM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8li8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6I>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVOHQ>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chnng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership,

Ewa, Zbigniew Stein, Pt. Charlotte, FL

Many others from Florida and other states of US

**From:** [robbyesaddler@yahoo.com](mailto:robbyesaddler@yahoo.com)  
**To:** [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [kanglaw83@hanmail.net](#); [sjkang2005@smc.seoul.kr](#); [k-gimoon@hanmail.net](#); [hiseocho@naver.com](#); [aromaeffort@hanmail.net](#); [smil-ing@naver.com](#); [muse201@hotmail.com](#); [kkhbb0331@daum.net](#); [leejs8341@naver.com](#); [kkd3344@hanmail.net](#); [spoman88@naver.com](#); [dwk5gangnam@gmail.com](#); [visionbosco@naver.com](#); [oki1422@naver.com](#); [kimyc5975@naver.com](#); [yongk0525@hanmail.net](#); [pukasun011@hanmail.net](#); [kwj22222@naver.com](#); [kim22wt@naver.com](#); [ij7857@gmail.com](#); [k80209454@hanmail.net](#); [220601winner@naver.com](#); [sujiho@hanmail.net](#); [gare1@hanmail.net](#); [kts8104@hanmail.net](#); [hyeon4123@hanmail.net](#); [kign0071@naver.com](#); [khyseoul7@daum.net](#); [bombam111@naver.com](#); [jnd0522@hanmail.net](#); [chjin4455@nate.com](#); [mydoh@naver.com](#); [nayuri89@naver.com](#); [Mbj1440@naver.com](#); [ksp9725@naver.com](#); [shp2022@naver.com](#); [parksuk7@hanmail.net](#); [syannie@naver.com](#); [101gangbuk@gmail.com](#); [sjpark5272@hanmail.net](#); [myjjang55@hanmail.net](#); [maum7412@naver.com](#); [pihkorworld@hanmail.net](#); [csp2000@naver.com](#); [seven7star77@hanmail.net](#); [gox9778@nate.com](#); [yeol1977@naver.com](#); [ricehope@hanmail.net](#); [sho3120@hanmail.net](#); [zxy100@naver.com](#); [mountain8511@naver.c om](#); [skt007@naver.com](#); [songdoh088@naver.com](#); [ecopolis2050@hanmail.net](#); [flutelove2001@hanmail.net](#); [bj618@hanmail.net](#); [meiqing7@nate.com](#); [kantry1@smc.seoul.kr](#); [bmwohkr@naver.com](#); [oje1015@hanmail.net](#); [joinus7942@daum.net](#); [kfmpd@naver.com](#); [yumanhee@hanmail.net](#); [yjh8144@naver.com](#); [dorimchun@hanmail.net](#); [ds1msm@hanmail.net](#); [etty02@smc.seoul.kr](#); [yoonjb48@naver.com](#); [lks7459@naver.com](#); [min12343@naver.com](#); [2min5ql@naver.com](#); [lover9474@naver.com](#); [kee61k@empas.com](#); [bjkmc@naver.com](#); [seoul7635@gmail.com](#); [teamleesanghoon@naver.com](#); [zzz1895@naver.com](#); [113lee@naver.com](#); [sora94seoul@gmail.com](#); [slj0894@hanmail.net](#); [sm6244@naver.com](#); [marinespyi@naver.com](#); [dnds@hanmail.net](#); [st2020@hanmail.net](#); [yiw2898@naver.com](#); [eunlim@ewhain.net](#); [jongbae1252@daum.net](#); [smilemen@hanmail.net](#); [ljh5612@hanmail.net](#); [seoul.lhw@gmail.com](#); [archicbnu@naver.com](#); [kcni@naver.com](#); [sangchoo1900@hanmail.net](#); [eparty@paran.com](#); [cnse9566@naver.com](#); [samueladams@naver.com](#); [bjjeon918@hanmail.net](#); [lehgoa@gmail.com](#); [jw9976@naver.com](#); [chaemokdong@gmail.com](#); [gichan6907@hanmail.net](#); [minkyu0307@naver.com](#); [choi\\_767@naver.com](#); [inpraxis@naver.com](#); [cljnh2261@naver.com](#); [fng9129@hanmail.net](#); [han-shin@naver.com](#); [yangcheongo@naver.com](#); [cp1055@naver.com](#); [lovejane26@hanmail.net](#); [hck01@hanmail.net](#); [ENGLISH@assembly.go.kr](#); [webmaster@assembly.go.kr](#); [015mia@naver.com](#); [0415gunsan@gmail.com](#); [syd@assembly.go.kr](#); [1bdoit@gmail.com](#); [22.ksunmin@gmail.com](#); [326mia@gmail.com](#); [734ssj@gmail.com](#); [7842055@naver.com](#); [assemblymoonsu@gmail.com](#); [ayjk1101@gmail.com](#); [bemil434@naver.com](#); [changit@naver.com](#); [choo\\_world@naver.com](#); [chung10park@naver.com](#); [dcparkji@assembly.go.kr](#); [dh337dh@naver.com](#); [dj\\_koh7163@naver.com](#); [eonju5257@gmail.com](#); [gimpoloveky@gmail.com](#); [greenwind21@gmail.com](#); [hanqkim74@assembly.go.kr](#); [happyhappo@naver.com](#); [ilovebusanjin@hanmail.net](#); [j7840790@gmail.com](#); [jee21dongnae@naver.co m](#); [jeoung@gmail.com](#); [jhkang315@gmail.com](#); [jhkim1789@naver.com](#); [jinjongoh.official@gmail.com](#); [jihgi0503@naver.com](#); [jmoon123@naver.com](#); [jongno.kwak@gmail.com](#); [joo\\_jinwoo@naver.com](#); [joonforpeace@gmail.com](#); [jsh35351@naver.com](#); [jsjeong0403@naver.com](#); [jskshare2020@gmail.com](#); [jungsdream24@gmail.com](#); [jwk@rebuildingk.kr](#); [jykim61@assembly.go.kr](#); [kimjungho3359@daum.net](#); [kktlaw@naver.com](#); [kts230410@gmail.com](#); [letskt2020@gmail.com](#); [limhoseon2020@naver.com](#); [llj11@daum.net](#); [lok0415@hanmail.net](#); [napark81@naver.com](#); [official\\_yt@naver.com](#); [okhyung628@naver.com](#); [parkdukhyum@naver.com](#); [pbk705@naver.com](#); [pksjoffice@naver.com](#); [sbyoo64@naver.com](#); [smpark3340@naver.com](#); [unhah0301@gmail.com](#); [vsbok@naver.com](#); [woooo617@naver.com](#); [ydkim22@naver.com](#); [yjb870053@naver.com](#); [yoons609@hanmail.net](#); [youngjink840@naver.com](#); [youngkyos@naver.com](#); [yskys2020@naver.com](#); [zeeahan635@gmail.com](#); [2024iccho@gmail.com](#); [2024wisunglac@gmail.com](#); [2040jd@ma il.com](#); [22yvh620@naver.com](#); [2yangs6288@naver.com](#); [335kang@naver.com](#); [3s0011@naver.com](#); [518jjs@naver.com](#); [532jhlee@gmail.com](#); [613jjs@gmail.com](#); [623kimjk@gmail.com](#); [7842760@naver.com](#); [7844165@naver.com](#); [7844861@naver.com](#); [7880291@naver.com](#); [7882576kj@hanmail.net](#); [7882579@naver.com](#); [907kth@naver.com](#); [ahndogeol@naver.com](#); [ahnho065@gmail.com](#); [anotherk7@gmail.com](#); [antagt@naver.com](#); [anyangmin@gmail.com](#); [assembly0915@naver.com](#); [assembly847@naver.com](#); [bakeunjeong22@gmail.com](#); [best1tyty@gmail.com](#); [bhrassembly0217@gmail.com](#); [bigmann64@naver.com](#); [bjh438@naver.com](#); [booseungchan.yongin@gmail.com](#); [braveplane@gmail.com](#); [bsa\\_teacher@naver.com](#); [can929292@naver.com](#); [cdypeace@hanmail.net](#); [cesof@naver.com](#); [chajiho5301@gmail.com](#); [chhi.address@gmail.com](#); [choimh867@gmail.com](#); [choiwakeup@gmail.com](#); [chokuk@assembly.go.kr](#); [chungco518@gmail.com](#); [csa2418@naver.com](#); [csahn435@gmail.com](#); [csh240410@naver.com](#); [dalhee621@naver.com](#); [dobongs\\_tar24@naver.com](#); [dongah1021@gmail.com](#); [dongbu1538@naver.com](#); [dskimbless@naver.com](#); [dw7227@naver.com](#); [flyhong21@naver.com](#); [fopeopler76@gmail.com](#); [gangseo.kap@gmail.com](#); [gikuchon@nate.com](#); [gimpo\\_park@naver.com](#); [gkim338@naver.com](#); [goanghee1@naver.com](#); [gohoonsik@gmail.com](#); [gracecho1@naver.com](#); [gracesook52@rebuildingk.kr](#); [guseng@hanmail.net](#); [gyewoncho@naver.com](#); [heeyong4you@naver.com](#); [hello@yonghyein.kr](#); [hijun9292@naver.com](#); [hmsgb2024@gmail.com](#); [hoongi2024@gmail.com](#); [hotjjoon@gmail.com](#); [news61@naver.com](#); [huhsms@naver.com](#); [hwang\\_0410@naver.com](#); [hwanghee6728@gmail.com](#); [hwangms0@naver.com](#); [indobangrang@hanmail.net](#); [inseon0502@naver.com](#); [intoan429@gmail.com](#); [ironkwon24@gmail.com](#); [ironregion24@gmail.com](#); [jaeganglee@gmail.com](#); [jassembly536@gmail.com](#); [jcm5440@gmail.com](#); [jeonjd7877@gmail.com](#); [jinhae.jump@gmail.com](#); [jiyeonwecan@naver.com](#); [jongsyy@naver.com](#); [joomincenter@gmail.com](#); [jth5073@gmail.com](#); [juno.news2020@gmail.c om](#); [jwp615@naver.com](#); [jyb116@naver.com](#); [jylee\\_nrp@naver.com](#); [kds21341@naver.com](#); [kiminnotank@naver.com](#); [kim-younggho@naver.com](#); [law@bychoi.com](#); [lawji@naver.com](#); [leejl@naver.com](#); [ljm631000@assembly.go.kr](#)  
**Subject:** Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 2:23:14 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

**1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.

**2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.

**3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:**

- A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
- Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
- Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
- Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.

**4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources**, whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjIfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)
- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwl6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMfllqM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8li8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6I>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVOHQ>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chnng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership,

Sincerely, Roberta Saddler, Wales, UK

**From:** [Blain Martino](#)  
**To:** [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 6:45:05 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

- 1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.
- 2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.
- 3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:**
  - A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
  - Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
  - Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
  - Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.
- 4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources,** whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFJlfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)



- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwl6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMfllqM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8li8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6l>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVOHQ>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chnng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership,

Blain Martino

St-Laurent, Canada

**From:** [Elise Phillips Margulis](#)  
**To:** [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [kanglaw83@hanmail.net](#); [sjkang2005@smc.seoul.kr](#); [k-gimoon@hanmail.net](#); [hiseocho@naver.com](#); [aromaeffort@hanmail.net](#); [smil-ing@naver.com](#); [muse201@hotmail.com](#); [kkhbb0331@daum.net](#); [leejs8341@naver.com](#); [kdk3344@hanmail.net](#); [spoman88@naver.com](#); [dwk5gangnam@gmail.com](#); [visionbosco@naver.com](#); [oki1422@naver.com](#); [kimyc5975@naver.com](#); [yongk0525@hanmail.net](#); [pukasun011@hanmail.net](#); [kwj22222@naver.com](#); [kim22wt@naver.com](#); [ij7857@gmail.com](#); [k80209454@hanmail.net](#); [220601winner@naver.com](#); [sujiho@hanmail.net](#); [gare1@hanmail.net](#); [kts8104@hanmail.net](#); [hyeon4123@hanmail.net](#); [kign0071@naver.com](#); [khyseoul7@daum.net](#); [bombam111@naver.com](#); [jnd0522@hanmail.net](#); [chjin4455@nate.com](#); [mydoh@naver.com](#); [nayuri89@naver.com](#); [Mbj1440@naver.com](#); [ksp9725@naver.com](#); [shp2022@naver.com](#); [parksuk7@hanmail.net](#); [syannie@naver.com](#); [101gangbuk@gmail.com](#); [sjpark5272@hanmail.net](#); [myjjang55@hanmail.net](#); [maum7412@naver.com](#); [pihkorworld@hanmail.net](#); [csp2000@naver.com](#); [seven7star77@hanmail.net](#); [gox9778@nate.com](#); [yeol1977@naver.com](#); [ricehope@hanmail.net](#); [sho3120@hanmail.net](#); [zxy100@naver.com](#); [mountain8511@naver.com](#); [skt007@naver.com](#); [songdoho88@naver.com](#); [ecopolis2050@hanmail.net](#); [flutelove2001@hanmail.net](#); [bj618@hanmail.net](#); [meiqing7@nate.com](#); [kantry1@smc.seoul.kr](#); [bmwohkr@naver.com](#); [oje1015@hanmail.net](#); [joinus7942@daum.net](#); [kfmpd@naver.com](#); [yumanhee@hanmail.net](#); [yjh8144@naver.com](#); [dorimchun@hanmail.net](#); [ds1msm@hanmail.net](#); [etty02@smc.seoul.kr](#); [yoonjb48@naver.com](#); [lks7459@naver.com](#); [min12343@naver.com](#); [2min5gl@naver.com](#); [lover9474@naver.com](#); [kee61k@empas.com](#); [bjkmc@naver.com](#); [seoul7635@gmail.com](#); [teamleesanghoon@naver.com](#); [zzz1895@naver.com](#); [113lee@naver.com](#); [sora94seoul@gmail.com](#); [sjl0894@hanmail.net](#); [sm6244@naver.com](#); [marinespyi@naver.com](#); [dnds@hanmail.net](#); [st2020@hanmail.net](#); [yiw2898@naver.com](#); [eunlim@ewhain.net](#); [jongbae1252@daum.net](#); [smilemen@hanmail.net](#); [ljh5612@hanmail.net](#); [seoul.lhw@gmail.com](#); [archicbnu@naver.com](#); [kcni@naver.com](#); [sangchoo1900@hanmail.net](#); [eparty@paran.com](#); [cnseo566@naver.com](#); [samueladams@naver.com](#); [bjjeon918@hanmail.net](#); [lehgoa@gmail.com](#); [jw9976@naver.com](#); [chaemokdong@gmail.com](#); [gichan6907@hanmail.net](#); [minkyu0307@naver.com](#); [choi\\_767@naver.com](#); [inpraxis@naver.com](#); [cljnh2261@naver.com](#); [fng9129@hanmail.net](#); [han-shin@naver.com](#); [yangcheongo@naver.com](#); [cp1055@naver.com](#); [lovejane26@hanmail.net](#); [hck01@hanmail.net](#); [ENGLISH@assembly.go.kr](#); [webmaster@assembly.go.kr](#); [015mia@naver.com](#); [0415gunsan@gmail.com](#); [syd@assembly.go.kr](#); [1bdoit@gmail.com](#); [22.ksunmin@gmail.com](#); [326mia@gmail.com](#); [734ssj@gmail.com](#); [7842055@naver.com](#); [assemblymoonsu@gmail.com](#); [ayjk1101@gmail.com](#); [bemil434@naver.com](#); [changit@naver.com](#); [choo\\_world@naver.com](#); [chung10park@naver.com](#); [dcparkjj@assembly.go.kr](#); [dh337dh@naver.com](#); [dj\\_koh7163@naver.com](#); [eonju5257@gmail.com](#); [gimpolovek@naver.com](#); [greenwind21@gmail.com](#); [hanqkim74@assembly.go.kr](#); [happyhappo@naver.com](#); [ilovebusanjin@hanmail.net](#); [j7840790@gmail.com](#); [jee21dongnae@naver.com](#); [jeoung@gmail.com](#); [jhkang315@naver.com](#); [jhkim1789@naver.com](#); [jinjongoh.official@gmail.com](#); [jihgi0503@naver.com](#); [jmoon123@naver.com](#); [jongno.kwak@gmail.com](#); [joo\\_jinwoo@naver.com](#); [joonforpeace@gmail.com](#); [jsh35351@naver.com](#); [jsjeong0403@naver.com](#); [jskshare2020@gmail.com](#); [jungsdream24@gmail.com](#); [jwk@rebuildingk.kr](#); [jykim61@assembly.go.kr](#); [kimjungho3359@daum.net](#); [kktlaw@naver.com](#); [kts230410@gmail.com](#); [letskt2020@gmail.com](#); [limhoseon2020@naver.com](#); [llj11@daum.net](#); [lok0415@hanmail.net](#); [napark81@naver.com](#); [official\\_yt@naver.com](#); [okhyung628@naver.com](#); [parkdukyum@naver.com](#); [pbk705@naver.com](#); [pksjoffice@naver.com](#); [sbyoo64@naver.com](#); [smpark3340@naver.com](#); [unhah0301@gmail.com](#); [vsbok@naver.com](#); [woooo617@naver.com](#); [ydkim22@naver.com](#); [yjb870053@naver.com](#); [yoons609@hanmail.net](#); [youngjink840@naver.com](#); [youngkyos@naver.com](#); [yskys2020@naver.com](#); [zeeahan635@gmail.com](#); [2024iccho@gmail.com](#); [2024wisung\\_lac@gmail.com](#); [2040jd@gmail.com](#); [22yyh620@naver.com](#); [2yangs6288@naver.com](#); [335kang@naver.com](#); [3s0011@naver.com](#); [518jjs@naver.com](#); [532jhlee@gmail.com](#); [613jjs@gmail.com](#); [623kimjk@gmail.com](#); [7842760@naver.com](#); [7844165@naver.com](#); [7844861@naver.com](#); [7880291@naver.com](#); [7882576kj@hanmail.net](#); [7882579@naver.com](#); [907kth@naver.com](#); [ahndogeol@naver.com](#); [ahnho065@gmail.com](#); [anotherk7@gmail.com](#); [antagt@naver.com](#); [anyangmin@gmail.com](#); [assembly0915@naver.com](#); [assembly847@naver.co.m](#); [bakeunjeong22@gmail.com](#); [best1ty@gmail.com](#); [bhrassembly0217@gmail.com](#); [bigmann64@naver.com](#); [bjh438@naver.com](#); [booseungchan.yongin@gmail.com](#); [braveplane@gmail.com](#); [bsa\\_teacher@naver.com](#); [can929292@naver.com](#); [cdypeace@hanmail.net](#); [cesof@naver.com](#); [chajiho5301@gmail.com](#); [chhi.address@gmail.com](#); [choimh867@gmail.com](#); [choiwakeup@gmail.com](#); [chokuk@assembly.go.kr](#); [chungco518@gmail.com](#); [csa2418@naver.com](#); [csahn435@gmail.com](#); [csh240410@naver.com](#); [dalhee621@naver.com](#); [dobongstar24@naver.com](#)  
**Subject:** Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 9:25:13 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

**1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.

**2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.

**3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:**

- A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
- Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
- Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
- Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.

**4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources**, whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)
- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwl6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMfllqM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8li8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6I>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVQHQ>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul’s Sister City, San Francisco’s voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chnng.it/dxkJTfLclW>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership,

Elise Margulis, US

From:  
To:

[morena.gambarelli](#)  
[Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [kanglaw83@hanmail.net](#); [sjkang2005@smc.seoul.kr](#); [k-gimoon@hanmail.net](#); [hiseocho@naver.com](#); [aromaeffort@hanmail.net](#); [smil-ing@naver.com](#); [muse201@hotmail.com](#); [kkhbb0331@daum.net](#); [leejs8341@naver.com](#); [kkd3344@hanmail.net](#); [spoman88@naver.com](#); [dwk5gangnam@gmail.com](#); [visionbosco@naver.com](#); [oki1422@naver.com](#); [kimyc5975@naver.com](#); [yongk0525@hanmail.net](#); [pukasun011@hanmail.net](#); [kwj22222@naver.com](#); [kim22wt@naver.com](#); [김인제](#); [k80209454@hanmail.net](#); [220601winner@naver.com](#); [sujiho@hanmail.net](#); [gare1@hanmail.net](#); [kts8104@hanmail.net](#); [hyeon4123@hanmail.net](#); [kign0071@naver.com](#); [khyseoul7@daum.net](#); [bombam111@naver.com](#); [jnd0522@hanmail.net](#); [chjin44.55@nate.com](#); [mydoh@naver.com](#); [nayuri89@naver.com](#); [Mbj1440@naver.com](#); [ksp9725@naver.com](#); [shp2022@naver.com](#); [parksuk7@hanmail.net](#); [syannie@naver.com](#); [101gangbuk@gmail.com](#); [sjpark5272@hanmail.net](#); [myjjang55@hanmail.net](#); [maum7412@naver.com](#); [pihkorworld@hanmail.net](#); [csp2000@naver.com](#); [seven7star77@hanmail.net](#); [gox9778@nate.com](#); [yeol1977@naver.com](#); [ricehope@hanmail.net](#); [sho3120@hanmail.net](#); [zxy100@naver.com](#); [mountain8511@naver.com](#); [skt007@naver.com](#); [songdoh088@naver.com](#); [ecopolis2050@hanmail.net](#); [flutelove2001@hanmail.net](#); [bj618@hanmail.net](#); [meiqing7@nate.com](#); [kantry1@smc.seoul.kr](#); [bmwohkr@naver.com](#); [oje1015@hanmail.net](#); [joinus7942@daum.net](#); [kfmpd@naver.com](#); [yumanhee@hanmail.net](#); [yjh8144@naver.com](#); [dorimchun@hanmail.net](#); [ds1msm@hanmail.net](#); [etty02@smc.seoul.kr](#); [yoonjb48@naver.com](#); [lks7459@naver.com](#); [min12343@naver.com](#); [2min5ql@naver.com](#); [lover9474@naver.com](#); [kee61k@empas.com](#); [bjkmc@naver.com](#); [seoul7635@gmail.com](#); [teamleesanghoon@naver.com](#); [zzz1895@naver.com](#); [113lee@naver.com](#); [sora94seoul@gmail.com](#); [slj0894@hanmail.net](#); [sm6244@naver.com](#); [marinespyi@naver.com](#); [dnds@hanmail.net](#); [st2020@hanmail.net](#); [yiw2898@naver.com](#); [eunlim@ewhain.net](#); [jongbae1252@daum.net](#); [smilemen@hanmail.net](#); [ljh5612@hanmail.net](#); [seoul.lhw@gmail.com](#); [archicbnu@naver.com](#); [kcnljw@hanmail.net](#); [sangchoo1900@hanmail.net](#); [eparty@paran.com](#); [cnseo566@naver.com](#); [samueladams@naver.com](#); [bjjeon918@hanmail.net](#); [준.길](#); [jw9976@naver.com](#); [chaemokdong@gmail.com](#); [gichan6907@hanmail.net](#); [minkyu0307@naver.com](#); [choi\\_767@naver.com](#); [inpraxis@naver.com](#); [cjinhh2261@naver.com](#); [fng9129@hanmail.net](#); [han-shin@naver.com](#); [yangcheongo@naver.com](#); [cp1055@naver.com](#); [lovejane26@hanmail.net](#); [hck01@hanmail.net](#); [ENGLISH@assembly.go.kr](#); [webmaster@assembly.go.kr](#); [015mia@naver.com](#); [0415gunsan@gmail.com](#); [syd@assembly.go.kr](#); [1bdoit@gmail.com](#); [22.ksunmin@gmail.com](#); [326mia@gmail.com](#); [734ssj@gmail.com](#); [7842055@naver.com](#); [assemblymoonsu@gmail.com](#); [ayjk1101@gmail.com](#); [bemil434@naver.com](#); [chang jt@naver.com](#); [choo\\_world@naver.com](#); [chung10park@naver.com](#); [dcparkjj@assembly.go.kr](#); [dh337dh@naver.com](#); [dj\\_koh7163@naver.com](#); [eonju5257@gmail.com](#); [gimpolovekvy@gmail.com](#); [greenwind21@gmail.com](#); [hanqkim74@assembly.go.kr](#); [happyhappo@naver.com](#); [ilovebusanjin@hanmail.net](#); [j7840790@gmail.com](#); [jee21dongnae@naver.com](#); [jeoung@gmail.com](#); [jhkang315@gmail.com](#); [jhkim1789@naver.com](#); [jinjongoh.official@gmail.com](#); [jihqi0503@naver.com](#); [jmoon123@naver.com](#); [jongno.kwak@gmail.com](#); [joo\\_jinwoo@naver.com](#); [joonforpeace@gmail.com](#); [jsh35351@naver.com](#); [jsjeong0403@naver.com](#); [jskshare2020@gmail.com](#); [jungsdream24@gmail.com](#); [jwk@rebuildingk.kr](#); [jykim61@assembly.go.kr](#); [kimjungcho3359@daum.net](#); [kktlaw@naver.com](#); [kts230410@gmail.com](#); [letskt2020@gmail.com](#); [limhoseon2020@naver.com](#); [llj11@daum.net](#); [lok0415@hanmail.net](#); [napark81@naver.com](#); [official\\_yt@naver.com](#); [okhyung628@naver.com](#); [parkdukhyum@naver.com](#); [pbk705@naver.com](#); [pksjoffice@naver.com](#); [sbyoo64@naver.com](#); [smpark3340@naver.com](#); [unhah0301@gmail.com](#); [vsbok@naver.com](#); [woooo617@naver.com](#); [ydkim22@naver.com](#); [yjb870053@naver.com](#); [yoons609@hanmail.net](#); [youngjink840@naver.com](#); [youngkyos@naver.com](#); [yskys2020@naver.com](#); [zeeahan635@gmail.com](#); [2024iccho@gmail.com](#); [2024wisunglac@gmail.com](#); [2040jd@gmail.com](#); [22yyh620@naver.com](#); [2yangs6288@naver.com](#); [335kang@naver.com](#); [3s0011@naver.com](#); [518jjs@naver.com](#); [532jhlee@gmail.com](#); [613jjs@gmail.com](#); [623kimjk@gmail.com](#); [7842760@naver.com](#); [7844165@naver.com](#); [7844861@naver.com](#); [7880291@naver.com](#); [7882576kj@hanmail.net](#); [7882579@naver.com](#); [907kth@naver.com](#); [ahndogeol@naver.com](#); [ahnho065@gmail.com](#); [anotherk7@gmail.com](#); [antagt@naver.com](#); [anyangmin@gmail.com](#); [assembly0915@naver.com](#); [assembly847@naver.com](#); [bakeunjeong22@gmail.com](#); [best1yty@gmail.com](#); [bhrassembly0217@gmail.com](#); [bigmann64@naver.com](#); [bjh438@naver.com](#); [booseungchan.yongin@gmail.com](#); [braveplane@gmail.com](#); [bsa\\_teacher@naver.com](#); [can929292@naver.com](#); [cdypeace@hanmail.net](#); [cesof@naver.com](#); [chajiho5301@gmail.com](#); [chhi.address@gmail.com](#); [choimh867@gmail.com](#); [choiwakeup@gmail.com](#); [chokuk@assembly.go.kr](#); [chungco518@gmail.com](#); [csa2418@naver.com](#); [csahn435@gmail.com](#); [csh240410@naver.com](#); [dalhee621@naver.com](#); [dobongstar24@naver.com](#); [dongah1021@gmail.com](#); [dongbu1538@naver.com](#); [dskimbless@naver.com](#); [dw7227@naver.com](#); [flyhong21@naver.com](#); [fopeopler76@gmail.com](#); [gangseo.kap@gmail.com](#); [gikuchon@nate.com](#); [gimpo\\_park@naver.com](#); [gkim338@naver.com](#); [goanghee1@naver.com](#); [gohoonsik@gmail.com](#); [gracecho1@naver.com](#); [gracesook52@rebuildingk.kr](#); [guseng@hanmail.net](#); [gyewoncho@naver.com](#); [heeyong4you@naver.com](#); [hello@yonghyein.kr](#); [hyun9292@naver.com](#); [hmsgb2024@gmail.com](#); [hoongi2024@gmail.com](#); [hotjjoon@gmail.com](#); [newds61@naver.com](#); [huhsmsm@naver.com](#); [hwang\\_0410@naver.com](#); [hwanghee6728@gmail.com](#); [hwangms0@naver.com](#); [indobangrang@hanmail.net](#); [inseon0502@naver.com](#); [intoan429@gmail.com](#); [ironkwon24@gmail.com](#); [ironregion24@gmail.com](#); [jaeganglee@gmail.com](#); [jassembly536@gmail.com](#); [jcm5440@gmail.com](#); [jeonjd7877@gmail.com](#); [jinhae\\_jump@gmail.com](#); [jiyeonwecan@naver.com](#); [jongsyy@naver.com](#); [joomincenter@gmail.com](#); [jth5073@gmail.com](#); [juno.news2020@gmail.com](#); [jwp615@naver.com](#); [jyb116@naver.com](#); [jylee\\_nrp@naver.com](#); [kds21341@naver.com](#); [khchoo@assembly.go.kr](#); [khjkorea@assembly.go.kr](#); [khyungdong@naver.com](#); [kimbyungjoo62@gmail.com](#); [kimeunhye\\_official@naver.com](#); [kimeunpyung0@gmail.com](#); [kimhyun0610@gmail.com](#); [kiminnotank@naver.com](#); [kimkh4u@naver.com](#); [kimnowongu@gmail.com](#); [kimsangwook80@naver.com](#); [kimsg5358@naver.com](#); [kimsw910@naver.com](#); [kimwoni923@gmail.com](#); [kim-youngcho@naver.com](#); [kiyku@naver.com](#); [knh@na.go.kr](#); [kominjung21@gmail.com](#); [ksdd22@naver.com](#); [kshdq11@gmail.com](#); [kshoi72@naver.com](#); [kskangsk@na.go.kr](#); [ktn0320@daum.net](#); [kyjtongil@naver.com](#);

law@bychoi.com; lawji@naver.com; ldek3525@naver.com; leehaesik1113@gmail.com; leejilaw@gmail.com; leesang@assembly.go.kr; leesj545@daum.net; limkhassembly@gmail.com; liv1964@empal.com; ljm631000@assembly.go.kr; ljm935@na.go.kr; lkh502503@gmail.com; lsywin445@gmail.com; m2hwaseo@gmail.com; mains.ail440@daum.net; mapo\_cho@naver.com; maumgil88@gmail.com; mgk2224@naver.com; mhb1961@naver.com; minjoo\_ljk0410@naver.com; minjoomsk@naver.com; minkyucool@assembly.go.kr; moalarm2024@naver.com; moondaelim@naver.com; moongj67@gmail.com; mp\_sj322@naver.com; mrleegt@gmail.com; myounggu.power@gmail.com; nakw63@naver.com; namgeunkim10@gmail.com; newkms2030@gmail.com; newwonju643@naver.com; nisoona@na.go.kr; ontoincha22@gmail.com; oshee0530@gmail.com; parkcd0214@gmail.com; parkhs2024@gmail.com; parkkyoontaek@naver.com; parksm2028@gmail.com; parksw2789@naver.com; peacesong1@naver.com; pjikorea21c@naver.com; plz.hongbae@gmail.com; psyfor2020@naver.com; ptgotogether@naver.com; pyk393329@gmail.com; qkrsw20@naver.com; reform1-1@hanmail.net; saeng0425@rebuildingk.kr; sahalsk1015@naver.com; saminhcm@gmail.com; saseyoung@naver.com; sbsulju@daum.net; shinjh744@naver.com; shinsj6411@gmail.com; shkay73@naver.com; shyoona@na.go.kr; sij5140@naver.com; sijikorea@gmail.com; sjbong4381@naver.com; smjingogo@gmail.com; songok4740@naver.com; sonms@assembly.go.kr; sotong848@daum.net; ssaribi0415@naver.com; strongwind01@naver.com; successshuh@gmail.com; sungkook337@naver.com; swkimsuwon@gmail.com; sylee0546@gmail.com; team1000haram@gmail.com; teampark2024@gmail.com; the.kim.weapon@gmail.com; the.kimyoon@gmail.com; tjcsgh2024@naver.com; together.eut@gmail.com; ubuntu\_21@naver.com; victory\_cho@naver.com; victoryljb@naver.com; we1taeg@daum.net; winner4011@naver.com; wishjeju@hanmail.net; withmin413@gmail.com; wjseo21@rebuildingk.kr; worker21c@gmail.com; yangmunsuk7227@gmail.com; yb7841521@gmail.com; ybn733@naver.com; yc20002@naver.com; yeajijoy@gmail.com; yeskt@hanmail.net; yhassembly2024@naver.com; yjongo428@gmail.com; ymk0814@naver.com; youngcamp1@gmail.com; younky926@gmail.com; yslee9282@naver.com; yujung7522@gmail.com; yuseong0413@daum.net; kimgipy024@naver.com; jeehye.park@assembly.go.kr; yeonh2ee@gmail.com; lywchosim@naver.com; assembly\_ok@naver.com; ash\_0515@naver.com; haimin@rebuildingk.kr; wisangk@naver.com; yesbupyeong@gmail.com; new\_ansan@naver.com; kilee905@naver.com; sotong0743@gmail.com; jhkjinbo@gmail.com; sbumshin@naver.com

**Subject:** PLEASE

**Date:** Friday, July 18, 2025 12:12:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## **Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea**

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

**1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.

**2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.

**3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:**

- A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
- Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
- Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
- Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.

**4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources,** whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjIfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)
- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwl6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMfllqM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8Ii8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6l>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVQHQ>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chnng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership,

Morena Gambarelli  
Reggio Emilia ITALY



**From:** [Christiane Romanski](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea  
**Date:** Friday, July 18, 2025 11:46:33 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

- 1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.
- 2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.
- 3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:**
  - A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
  - Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
  - Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
  - Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.
- 4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources,** whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjIfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)
- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwI6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMfllqM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8Ii8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6I>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVQHQ>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership,

Christiane Tanner

Novazzano

**From:** [Debbie](#)  
**To:** [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Please tell Sister City Seoul to end the tethering of dogs.  
**Date:** Friday, July 18, 2025 3:17:30 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

The tragic South Korean wildfires of March 2025 exposed the horrific reality for countless dogs chained for life, left to burn alive despite a revised Animal Protection Act. While a [new non-binding manual from MAFRA](#) is a step, it fails to address the root causes: lifelong tethering remains legal, and animal evacuation isn't mandated.

Please urgently call on Sister City Seoul to enact legally enforceable reforms: ban lifelong tethering, mandate companion animal evacuation during emergencies, prosecute abandonment, and require local governments to implement enforceable disaster plans. This is a pivotal moment for South Korea to choose compassion and leadership, and we must demand change together.

Thank-you,  
Debbie Wall & The Gang of Fur  
Winnipeg, Manitoba Canada

**From:** [Christina Zois](#)  
**To:** [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [kanglaw83@hanmail.net](#); [sjkang2005@smc.seoul.kr](#); [k-gimoon@hanmail.net](#); [hiseocho@naver.com](#); [aromaeffort@hanmail.net](#); [smil-ing@naver.com](#); [muse201@hotmail.com](#); [kkhbb0331@daum.net](#); [leejs8341@naver.com](#); [kdk3344@hanmail.net](#); [spoman88@naver.com](#); [dwk5gangnam@gmail.com](#); [visionbosco@naver.com](#); [oki1422@naver.com](#); [kimyc5975@naver.com](#); [yongk0525@hanmail.net](#); [pukasun011@hanmail.net](#); [kwj22222@naver.com](#); [kim22wt@naver.com](#); [ij7857@gmail.com](#); [k80209454@hanmail.net](#); [220601winner@naver.com](#); [sujiwoo@hanmail.net](#); [gare1@hanmail.net](#); [kts8104@hanmail.net](#); [hyeon4123@hanmail.net](#); [kign0071@naver.com](#); [khyseoul7@daum.net](#); [bombam111@naver.com](#); [jnd0522@hanmail.net](#); [chjin4455@nate.com](#); [mydoh@naver.com](#); [nayuri89@naver.com](#); [Mbj1440@naver.com](#); [ksp9725@naver.com](#); [shp2022@naver.com](#); [parksuk7@hanmail.net](#); [syannie@naver.com](#); [101gangbuk@gmail.com](#); [sjpark5272@hanmail.net](#); [myjjang55@hanmail.net](#); [maum7412@naver.com](#); [pihkorworld@hanmail.net](#); [csp2000@naver.com](#); [seven7star77@hanmail.net](#); [gox9778@nate.com](#); [yeol1977@naver.com](#); [ricehope@hanmail.net](#); [sho3120@hanmail.net](#); [zxy100@naver.com](#); [mountain8511@naver.c om](#); [skt007@naver.com](#); [songdoho88@naver.com](#); [ecopolis2050@hanmail.net](#); [flutelove2001@hanmail.net](#); [bj618@hanmail.net](#); [meiqing7@nate.com](#); [kantry1@smc.seoul.kr](#); [bmwohkr@naver.com](#); [oje1015@hanmail.net](#); [joinus7942@daum.net](#); [kfmpd@naver.com](#); [yumanhee@hanmail.net](#); [yjhm8144@naver.com](#); [dorimchun@hanmail.net](#); [ds1msm@hanmail.net](#); [etty02@smc.seoul.kr](#); [yoonjb48@naver.com](#); [lks7459@naver.com](#); [min12343@naver.com](#); [2min5qi@naver.com](#); [lover9474@naver.com](#); [kee61k@empas.com](#); [bjkmc@naver.com](#); [seoul7635@gmail.com](#); [teamleesanghoon@naver.com](#); [zzz1895@naver.com](#); [113lee@naver.com](#); [sora94seoul@gmail.com](#); [sjl0894@hanmail.net](#); [sm6244@naver.com](#); [marinespyi@naver.com](#); [dndsil@hanmail.net](#); [st2020@hanmail.net](#); [yiw2898@naver.com](#); [eunlim@ewhain.net](#); [jongbae1252@daum.net](#); [smilemen@hanmail.net](#); [ljh5612@hanmail.net](#); [seoul.lhw@gmail.com](#); [archicbnu@naver.com](#); [kcniw@hanmail.net](#); [sangchoo1900@hanmail.net](#); [eparty@paran.com](#); [cnseo566@naver.com](#); [samueladams@naver.com](#); [bjjeon918@hanmail.net](#); [lehgoa@gmail.com](#); [jw9976@naver.com](#); [chaemokdong@gmail.com](#); [gichan6907@hanmail.net](#); [minkyu0307@naver.com](#); [choi\\_767@naver.com](#); [inpraxis@naver.com](#); [clinh2261@naver.com](#); [fng9129@hanmail.net](#); [han-shin@naver.com](#); [yangcheongo@naver.com](#); [cp1055@naver.com](#); [lovejane26@hanmail.net](#); [hck01@hanmail.net](#); [ENGLISH@assembly.go.kr](#); [webmaster@assembly.go.kr](#); [015mia@naver.com](#); [0415gunsan@gmail.com](#); [syd@assembly.go.kr](#); [1bdoit@gmail.com](#); [22.ksunmin@gmail.com](#); [326mia@gmail.com](#); [734ssj@gmail.com](#); [7842055@naver.com](#); [assemblymoonsu@gmail.com](#); [ayjk1101@gmail.com](#); [bemil434@naver.com](#); [changit@naver.com](#); [choo\\_world@naver.com](#); [chung10park@naver.com](#); [dcparkji@assembly.go.kr](#); [dh337dh@naver.com](#); [dj\\_koh7163@naver.com](#); [eonju5257@gmail.com](#); [gimpolovekly@gmail.com](#); [greenwind21@gmail.com](#); [hanqkim74@assembly.go.kr](#); [happyhappo@naver.com](#)  
**Subject:** ETHICS  
**Date:** Saturday, July 19, 2025 10:32:54 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## **Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea**

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric

practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

**1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.

**2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.

**3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:**

- A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
- Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
- Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
- Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.

**4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources,** whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjIfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)
- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>

- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
  - <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
  - <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
  - <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
  - <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>
  - <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
  - <https://youtu.be/MunZMwl6BmQ>
  - <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMfllqM>
  - <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8li8>
  - <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6l>
  - <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
  - <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
  - <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVOHQ>
  - [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
  - <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
  - <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
  - <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
  - <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
  - <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
  - <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chnng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership,

Cristina E. Zois, Greek Ambassador's wife

**From:** [Christian VOINOT](#)  
**To:** [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea  
**Date:** Saturday, July 19, 2025 11:56:16 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

**1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.

**2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.

**3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:**

- A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
- Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
- Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during

emergencies.

- Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.

**4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources**, whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjlfC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)
- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwl6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMflqM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8li8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6l>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVQHQ>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.



With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership,

Marie-Françoise VOINOT - FRANCE

**From:** [Ingrid Schmidt-Eiserbeck](#)  
**To:** [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Felder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea  
**Date:** Sunday, July 20, 2025 6:20:16 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed  
Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

**1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.

**2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.

**3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:**

- A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
- Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
- Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
- Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.

**4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources,** whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjIfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)
- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>

- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwl6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMflqM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8li8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6l>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVQHQ>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chnng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership.

Sincerely

**From:** [Jane Burgess](#)  
**To:** [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Regarding Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea  
**Date:** Sunday, July 20, 2025 6:35:43 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,**

I am contacting you about Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—where dogs continue to suffer and die in terrible ways.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

**1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.

**2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.

**3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:**

- A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
- Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
- Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
- Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.

**4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources,** whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)
- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwl6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMflqM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8li8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6l>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVQHQ>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chnng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

Kind regards

Jane Burgess  
West Bromwich  
United Kingdom

From:  
To:

Bruno Hurel  
Lurie, Daniel (MYR); ChanStaff (BOS); ChenStaff; DorseyStaff (BOS); EngardioStaff (BOS); Fielder, Jackie (BOS); MahmoodStaff; MandelmanStaff (BOS); MelgarStaff (BOS); SauterStaff; SherrillStaff; Walton, Shamann (BOS); Board of Supervisors (BOS); kanglaw83@hanmail.net; sjkang2005@smc.seoul.kr; k-gimoon@hanmail.net; hiseoch@naver.com; aromaeffort@hanmail.net; smil-ing@naver.com; muse201@hotmail.com; kkhbb0331@daum.net; leejs8341@naver.com; kkd3344@hanmail.net; spoman88@naver.com; dwk5gangnam@gmail.com; visionbosco@naver.com; oki1422@naver.com; kimyc5975@naver.com; yongk0525@hanmail.net; pukasun011@hanmail.net; kwj22222@naver.com; kim22wt@naver.com; ij7857@gmail.com; k80209454@hanmail.net; 220601winner@naver.com; sujihoo@hanmail.net; gare1@hanmail.net; kts8104@hanmail.net; hyeon4123@hanmail.net; kign0071@naver.com; khyseoul7@daum.net; bombam111@naver.com; jnd0522@hanmail.net; chjin4455@nate.com; mydoh@naver.co m; nayuri89@naver.com; Mbj1440@naver.com; ksp9725@naver.com; shp2022@naver.com; parksuk7@hanmail.net; syannie@naver.com; 101gangbuk@gmail.com; sjpark5272@hanmail.net; myjjang55@hanmail.net; maum7412@naver.com; pjhkorworld@hanmail.net; csp2000@naver.com; seven7star77@hanmail.net; gox9778@nate.com; yeol1977@naver.com; ricehope@hanmail.net; sho3120@hanmail.net; zxy100@naver.com; mountain8511@naver.com; skt007@naver.com; songdoho88@naver.com; ecopolis2050@hanmail.net; flutelove2001@hanmail.net; bj618@hanmail.net; meijing7@nate.com; kantry1@smc.seoul.kr; bmwohkr@naver.com; oje1015@hanmail.net; joinus7942@daum.net; kfmpd@naver.com; yumanhee@hanmail.net; yjhm8144@naver.com; dorimchun@hanmail.net; ds1msm@hanmail.net; etty02@smc.seoul.kr; yoonjb48@naver.com; lks7459@naver.com; min12343@naver.com; 2min5gi@naver.com; lover9474@naver.com; kee61k@empas.com; bjkm@naver.com; seoul7635@gmail.com; teamleesanghoon@naver.com; zzz1895@naver.com; 113lee@naver.com; sora94seoul@gmail.com; sij0894@hanmail.net; sm6244@naver.com; marinespyi@naver.com; dndsil@hanmail.net; st2020@hanmail.net; ywh2898@naver.com; eunlim@ewhain.net; jongbae1252@daum.net; smilemen@hanmail.net; ljh5612@hanmail.net; seoul.lhw@gmail.com; archicbnu@naver.com; kcnljw@hanmail.net; sangchoo1900@hanmail.net; eparty@paran.com; cnseo566@naver.com; samueladams@naver.com; bjeone918@hanmail.net; 주.철; jw9976@naver.com; chaemokdong@gmail.com; gichan6907@hanmail.net; minkyu0307@naver.com; choi\_767@naver.com; inpraxis@naver.com; cjin2261@naver.com; fng9129@hanmail.net; han-shin@naver.com; yangcheongo@naver.com; cp1055@naver.com; lovejane26@hanmail.net; hck01@hanmail.net; ENGLISH@assembly.go.kr; webmaster@assembly.go.kr; 015mia@naver.com; 0415gunsan@gmail.com; syd@assembly.go.kr; 1bddoit@gmail.com; 22.ksunmin@gmail.com; 326mia@gmail.com; 734ssj@gmail.com; 7842055@naver.com; assemblymoonsu@gmail.com; ayjk1101@gmail.com; bemil434@naver.com; changjit@naver.com; choo\_worl d@naver.com; chung10park@naver.com; dcparkji@assembly.go.kr; dh337dh@naver.com; dj\_koh7163@naver.com; eonju5257@gmail.com; gimpolovekjy@gmail.com; greenwind21@gmail.com; hangkim74@assembly.go.kr; happyhappo@naver.com; ilovebusanjin@hanmail.net; j7840790@gmail.com; jee21dongnae@naver.com; jeoung@gmail.com; jhkang315@gmail.com; jhkim1789@naver.com; jinjongoh.official@gmail.com; jjhgj0503@naver.com; jmoon123@naver.com; jongno.kwak@gmail.com; joo\_jinwoo@naver.com; joonforpeace@gmail.com; jsh35351@naver.com; jsjeong0403@naver.com; jskshare2020@gmail.com; jungsdream24@gmail.com; jwk@rebuildingk.kr; jykimm61@assembly.go.kr; kimjungho3359@daum.net; kktlaw@naver.com; kts230410@gmail.com; letskt2020@gmail.com; limhoseon2020@naver.com; llj11@daum.net; lok0415@hanmail.net; napark81@naver.com; official\_yt@naver.com; okhyung628@naver.com; parkdukhyum@naver.com; pbk705@naver.com; pksjoffice@naver.com; sbyoo64@naver.com; smpark3340@naver.com; unhah0301@gmail.com; vsbok@naver.com; woooo0617@naver.com; ydkim22@naver.com; yjb870053@naver.com; yoons609@hanmail.net; youngjink840@naver.com; youngkyos@naver.com; yskys2020@naver.com; zeeahan635@gmail.com; 2024diccho@gmail.com; 2024wisunglac@gmail.com; 2040jd@gmail.com; 22yyh620@naver.com; 2yangs6288@naver.com; 335kang@naver.com; 3s0011@naver.com; 518js@naver.com; 532jhlee@gmail.com; 613js@gmail.com; 623kimjk@gmail.com; 7842760@naver.com; 7844165@naver.com; 7844861@naver.com; 7880291@naver.com; 7882576kj@hanmail.net; 7882579@naver.com; 907kth@naver.com; ahndogeol@naver.com; ahnho065@gmail.com; anotherk7@gmail.com; antagt@naver.com; anyangmin@gmail.com; assembly0915@naver.com; assembly847@naver.com; bakeunjeong22@gmail.com; best1tyt@gmail.com; bhrassembly0217@gmail.com; bigmann64@naver.com; bjh438@naver.com; booseungchan.yongin@gmail.com; braveplane@gmail.com; bsa\_teacher@naver.com; can929292@naver.com; cdtypeace@hanmail.net; cesof@naver.com; chajih05301@gmail.com; chhi.address@gmail.com; choimh867@gmail.com; choiwakeup@gmail.com; chokuk@assembly.go.kr; chungco518@gmail.com; csa2418@naver.com; csahn435@gmail.com; csh240410@naver.com; dalhee621@naver.com; dobongstar24@naver.com; dongah1021@gmail.com; dongbu1538@naver.com; dskimbless@naver.com; dw7227@naver.com; flyhong21@naver.com; fopeopler76@gmail.com; gangseo.kap@gmail.com; gikuchon@nate.com; gimpo\_park@naver.com; gkim338@naver.com; goanghee1@naver.com; gohoonsik@gmail.com; gracecho1@naver.com; gracesook52@rebuildingk.kr; guseng@hanmail.net; gyywongcho@naver.com; heeyong4you@naver.com; hello@yonghyein.kr; hjiyun9292@naver.com; hmsgb2024@gmail.com; hoongi2024@gmail.com; hotjjoon@gmail.com; newds61@naver.com; huhssm@naver.com; hwang\_0410@naver.com; hwanghee6728@gmail.com; hwangms0@naver.com; indobangrang@hanmail.net; inseon0502@naver.com; intoan429@gmail.com; ironkwon24@gmail.com; ironregion24@gmail.com; jaeganglee@gmail.com; jassembly536@gmail.com; jcm5440@gmail.com; jeonjd7877@gmail.com; jinhae.jump@gmail.com; jiyeonwecan@naver.com; jongsyy@naver.com; joomincenter@gmail.com; jth5073@gmail.com; juno.news2020@gmail.com; jwp615@naver.com; jyb116@naver.com; jylee\_nrp@naver.com; kds21341@naver.com; khchoo@assembly.go.kr; khikorea@assembly.go.kr; khyungdong@naver.com; kimbyungjoo62@gmail.com; kimeunhye\_official@naver.com; kimeunpyung0@gmail.com; kimhyun0610@gmail.com; kiminnotank@naver.com; kimkh4u@naver.com; kimnowongu@gmail.com; kimsangwook80@naver.com; kimg5358@naver.com; kimsu910@naver.com; kimwoni923@gmail.com; kim-youngcho@naver.com; kiyku@naver.com; knh@na.go.kr; kominjung21@gmail.com; ksd22@naver.com; kshdg11@gmail.com; kshoi72@naver.com; kskangsk@na.go.kr; ktn0320@daum.net; kyitongil@naver.com; law@bychoi.com; lawjj@naver.com; ldek3525@naver.com; leehaesik1113@gmail.com;



leejjlaw@gmail.com; leesang@assembly.go.kr; leesj545@daum.net; limkhassembly@gmail.com;  
liy1964@empal.com; ljm631000@assembly.go.kr; ljm935@na.go.kr; lkh502503@gmail.com;  
lsywin445@gmail.com; m2hwaseo@gmail.com; mains ail440@daum.net; mapo\_cho@naver.com;  
maumgli88@gmail.com; mgk2224@naver.com; mhb1961@naver.com; minjoo\_ljk0410@naver.com;  
minjoomsk@naver.com; minkyucool@assembly.go.kr; moalarm2024@naver.com; moondaelim@naver.com;  
moongji67@gmail.com; mp\_sj322@naver.com; mrleegt@gmail.com; myounggu.power@gmail.com;  
nakw63@naver.com; namgeunkim10@gmail.com; newkms2030@gmail.com; newwonju643@naver.com;  
nisoona@na.go.kr; ontoincha22@gmail.com; oshee0530@gmail.com; parkcd0214@gmail.com;  
parkhs2024@gmail.com; parkkyoontaek@naver.com; parksm2028@gmail.com; parksw2789@naver.com;  
peacesong1@naver.com; pjikorea21c@naver.com; plz.hongbae@gmail.com; psyfor2020@naver.com;  
ptgototogether@naver.com; pyk393329@gmail.com; qkrsw20@naver.com; reform1-1@hanmail.net;  
saeng0425@rebuildingk.kr; sahsalsk1015@naver.com; saminhcm@gmail.com; saseyoung@naver.com;  
sbsulju@daum.net; shinh744@naver.com; shinjs6411@gmail.com; shkay73@naver.com; shyoon@na.go.kr;  
sij5140@naver.com; sijikorea@gmail.com; sjbong4381@naver.com; smjingogo@gmail.com;  
songok4740@naver.com; sonms@assembly.go.kr; sotong848@daum.net; ssaribi0415@naver.com;  
strongwind01@naver.com; successshuh@gmail.com; sungkook337@naver.com; swkimsuwon@gmail.com;  
sylee0546@gmail.com; team1000haram@gmail.com; teampark2024@gmail.com; the.kim.weapon@gmail.com;  
the.kimyoona@gmail.com; tjcsgh2024@naver.com; together.eut@gmail.com; ubuntu\_21@naver.com;  
victory\_cho@naver.com; victoryljb@naver.com; we1taeg@daum.net; winner4011@naver.com;  
wishjeju@hanmail.net; within413@gmail.com; wjseo21@rebuildingk.kr; worker21c@gmail.com;  
yangmunsuk7227@gmail.com; yb7841521@gmail.com; ybn733@naver.com; yc20002@naver.com;  
yeajijoy@gmail.com; yeskt@hanmail.net; yhassembly2024@naver.com; yjongo428@gmail.com;  
ymk0814@naver.com; youngcamp1@gmail.com; younky926@gmail.com; yslee9282@naver.com;  
yujung7522@gmail.com; yuseong0413@daum.net; kimgipy024@naver.com; jeehye.park@assembly.go.kr;  
yeonh2ee@gmail.com; lywchosim@naver.com; assembly\_ok@naver.com; ash\_0515@naver.com;  
haimin@rebuildingk.kr; wisangk@naver.com; yesbupyeong@gmail.com; new\_ansan@naver.com;  
kilee905@naver.com; sotong0743@gmail.com; jhkjinbo@gmail.com; sbumshin@naver.com;  
songpapjh22@naver.com; hangh21@naver.com

**Subject:** Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea  
**Date:** Sunday, July 20, 2025 8:34:26 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

**1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering

to continue.

**2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.

**3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:**

- A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
- Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
- Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
- Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.

**4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources,** whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjIfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)
- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwl6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMfillqM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8li8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6l>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVOHQ>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for

those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership,

Bruno Hurel

France

---

**Fondation 30 Millions d'Amis :** <http://www.30millionsdamis.fr/>  
**Fondation Brigitte Bardot :** <http://www.fondationbrigittebardot.fr>

**A DECOUVRIR... Clics gratuits :**

[www.actuanimaux.com](http://www.actuanimaux.com) permet de sauver des animaux de l'euthanasie ou de l'abattoir, et d'aider des animaux malades.  
[www.animalwebaction.com](http://www.animalwebaction.com) envoie de la nourriture, des couvertures et des abris aux animaux en détresse.  
[www.animosave.com](http://www.animosave.com) sauve des centaines de chiens, chats, équidés etc. de l'euthanasie ou de l'abattoir.  
[www.clicanimaux.com](http://www.clicanimaux.com) permet en un clic de nourrir gratuitement un animal abandonné.

**HAVE A LOOK... Free clicks :**

[www.actuanimaux.com](http://www.actuanimaux.com) saves animals from euthanasia or from the slaughterhouse, and helps sick animals.  
[www.animalwebaction.com](http://www.animalwebaction.com) provides food, blankets and shelters to animals in distress.  
[www.animosave.com/](http://www.animosave.com/) rescues hundreds of dogs, cats and equines from the lethal injection or from the slaughterhouse.  
[www.clicanimaux.com](http://www.clicanimaux.com) provides an abandoned animal with a meal for each free click you do.

---

**Bruno A. Hurel**

21 rue Colonel Escallon  
38350 LA MURE D'ISÈRE  
FRANCE

Tel: +33-(0)4-76-81 67 73

eMail: [bruno.hurel@gmail.com](mailto:bruno.hurel@gmail.com)

From:  
To:

[Bill White](#)

[Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Felder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [kanglaw83@hanmail.net](#); [sjkang2005@smc.seoul.kr](#); [k-gimoon@hanmail.net](#); [hiseocho@naver.com](#); [aromaeffort@hanmail.net](#); [smil-ing@naver.com](#); [muse201@hotmail.com](#); [kkhbb0331@daum.net](#); [leejs8341@naver.com](#); [kkd3344@hanmail.net](#); [spoman88@naver.com](#); [dwk5gangnam@gmail.com](#); [visionbosco@naver.com](#); [oki1422@naver.com](#); [kimyc5975@naver.com](#); [yongk0525@hanmail.net](#); [pukasun011@hanmail.net](#); [kwj22222@naver.com](#); [kim22wt@naver.com](#); [ij7857@gmail.com](#); [k80209454@hanmail.net](#); [220601winner@naver.com](#); [sujiho@hanmail.net](#); [gare1@hanmail.net](#); [kts8104@hanmail.net](#); [hyeon4123@hanmail.net](#); [kign0071@naver.com](#); [khyseoul7@daum.net](#); [bombam111@naver.com](#); [jnd0522@hanmail.net](#); [chjin4455@nate.com](#); [mydoh@naver.com](#); [nayuri89@naver.com](#); [Mbj1440@naver.com](#); [ksp9725@naver.com](#); [shp2022@naver.com](#); [parksuk7@hanmail.net](#); [syannie@naver.com](#); [101gangbuk@gmail.com](#); [sjpark5272@hanmail.net](#); [myjjang55@hanmail.net](#); [maum7412@naver.com](#); [pihkorworld@hanmail.net](#); [csp2000@naver.com](#); [seven7star77@hanmail.net](#); [gox9778@nate.com](#); [yeol1977@naver.com](#); [ricehope@hanmail.net](#); [sho3120@hanmail.net](#); [zxy100@naver.com](#); [mountain8511@naver.com](#); [skt007@naver.com](#); [songdoho88@naver.com](#); [ecopolis2050@hanmail.net](#); [flutelove2001@hanmail.net](#); [bj618@hanmail.net](#); [meiqing7@nate.com](#); [kantry1@smc.seoul.kr](#); [bmwohkr@naver.com](#); [oje1015@hanmail.net](#); [joinus7942@daum.net](#); [kfmpd@naver.com](#); [yumanhee@hanmail.net](#); [yjh8144@naver.com](#); [dorimchun@hanmail.net](#); [ds1msm@hanmail.net](#); [etty02@smc.seoul.kr](#); [yoonjb48@naver.com](#); [lks7459@naver.com](#); [min12343@naver.com](#); [2min5ql@naver.com](#); [lover9474@naver.com](#); [kee61k@empas.com](#); [bjkmc@naver.com](#); [seoul7635@gmail.com](#); [teamleesanghoon@naver.com](#); [zzz1895@naver.com](#); [113lee@naver.com](#); [sora94seoul@gmail.com](#); [slj0894@hanmail.net](#); [sm6244@naver.com](#); [marinespyi@naver.com](#); [dndsil@hanmail.net](#); [st2020@hanmail.net](#); [yiw2898@naver.com](#); [eunlim@ewhain.net](#); [jongbae1252@daum.net](#); [smilemen@hanmail.net](#); [ljh5612@hanmail.net](#); [seoul.lhw@gmail.com](#); [archicbnu@naver.com](#); [kcniw@hanmail.net](#); [sangchoo1900@hanmail.net](#); [eparty@paran.com](#); [cnseo566@naver.com](#); [samueladams@naver.com](#); [bjjeon918@hanmail.net](#); [준.길](#); [jw9976@naver.com](#); [chaemokdong@gmail.com](#); [gichan6907@hanmail.net](#); [minkyu0307@naver.com](#); [choi\\_767@naver.com](#); [inpraxis@naver.com](#); [cjin7261@naver.com](#); [fng9129@hanmail.net](#); [han-shin@naver.com](#); [yangcheongo@naver.com](#); [cp1055@naver.com](#); [lovejane26@hanmail.net](#); [hck01@hanmail.net](#); [ENGLISH@assembly.go.kr](#); [webmaster@assembly.go.kr](#); [015mia@naver.com](#); [0415gunsan@gmail.com](#); [syd@assembly.go.kr](#); [1bdoit@gmail.com](#); [22.ksunmin@gmail.com](#); [326mia@gmail.com](#); [734ssj@gmail.com](#); [7842055@naver.com](#); [assemblymoonsu@gmail.com](#); [ayjk1101@gmail.com](#); [bemil434@naver.com](#); [changit@naver.com](#); [choo\\_worl\\_d@naver.com](#); [chung10park@naver.com](#); [dcparkji@assembly.go.kr](#); [dh337dh@naver.com](#); [dj\\_koh7163@naver.com](#); [eonju5257@gmail.com](#); [gimpolovekky@gmail.com](#); [greenwind21@gmail.com](#); [hanqkim74@assembly.go.kr](#); [happyhappo@naver.com](#); [ilovebusanjin@hanmail.net](#); [j7840790@gmail.com](#); [jee21dongnae@naver.com](#); [jeoung@gmail.com](#); [jhkang315@gmail.com](#); [jhkim1789@naver.com](#); [jinjongoh.official@gmail.com](#); [jihgi0503@naver.com](#); [jmoon123@naver.com](#); [jongno.kwak@gmail.com](#); [joo\\_jinwoo@naver.com](#); [joonforpeace@gmail.com](#); [jsh35351@naver.com](#); [jsjeong0403@naver.com](#); [jskshare2020@gmail.com](#); [jungsdream24@gmail.com](#); [jwk@rebuildingk.kr](#); [jykim61@assembly.go.kr](#); [kimjung2359@daum.net](#); [kktlaw@naver.com](#); [kts230410@gmail.com](#); [letskt2020@gmail.com](#); [limhoseon2020@naver.com](#); [llj11@daum.net](#); [lok0415@hanmail.net](#); [napark81@naver.com](#); [official\\_yt@naver.com](#); [okhyung628@naver.com](#); [parkdukyum@naver.com](#); [pbk705@naver.com](#); [pksjoffice@naver.com](#); [sbyoo64@naver.com](#); [smpark3340@naver.com](#); [unhah0301@gmail.com](#); [vsbok@naver.com](#); [woooo617@naver.com](#); [ydkim22@naver.com](#); [yjb870053@naver.com](#); [yoons609@hanmail.net](#); [youngjink840@naver.com](#); [youngkyos@naver.com](#); [yskys2020@naver.com](#); [zeeahan635@gmail.com](#); [2024iccho@gmail.com](#); [2024wisunglac@gmail.com](#); [2040jd@gmail.com](#); [22yyh620@naver.com](#); [2yangs6288@naver.com](#); [335kang@naver.com](#); [3s0011@naver.com](#); [518jjs@naver.com](#); [532jhlee@gmail.com](#); [613jjs@gmail.com](#); [623kimjk@gmail.com](#); [7842760@naver.com](#); [7844165@naver.com](#); [7844861@naver.com](#); [7880291@naver.com](#); [7882576kj@hanmail.net](#); [7882579@naver.com](#); [907kth@naver.com](#); [ahndogeol@naver.com](#); [ahnho065@gmail.com](#); [anotherk7@gmail.com](#); [antagt@naver.com](#); [anyangmin@gmail.com](#); [assembly0915@naver.com](#); [assembly847@naver.com](#); [bakeunjeong22@gmail.com](#); [best1yty@gmail.com](#); [bhrassembly0217@gmail.com](#); [bigmann64@naver.com](#); [bjh438@naver.com](#); [booseungchan.yongin@gmail.com](#); [braveplane@gmail.com](#); [bsa\\_teacher@naver.com](#); [can929292@naver.com](#); [cdypeace@hanmail.net](#); [cesof@naver.com](#); [chajiho5301@gmail.com](#); [chhi.address@gmail.com](#); [choimh867@gmail.com](#); [choiwakeup@gmail.com](#); [chokuk@assembly.go.kr](#); [chungco518@gmail.com](#); [csa2418@naver.com](#); [csahn435@gmail.com](#); [csh240410@naver.com](#); [dalhee621@naver.com](#); [dobongstar24@naver.com](#); [dongah1021@gmail.com](#); [dongbu1538@naver.com](#); [dskimbless@naver.com](#); [dw7227@naver.com](#); [flyhong21@naver.com](#); [fopeopler76@gmail.com](#); [gangseo.kap@gmail.com](#); [gikuchon@nate.com](#); [gimpo\\_park@naver.com](#); [gkim338@naver.com](#); [goanghee1@naver.com](#); [gohoonsik@gmail.com](#); [gracecho1@naver.com](#); [gracesook52@rebuildingk.kr](#); [guseng@hanmail.net](#); [gyewoncho@naver.com](#); [heeyong4you@naver.com](#); [hello@yonghyein.kr](#); [hyun9292@naver.com](#); [hmsgb2024@gmail.com](#); [hoongi2024@gmail.com](#); [hotjjoon@gmail.com](#); [news61@naver.com](#); [huhsmsm@naver.com](#); [hwang\\_0410@naver.com](#); [hwanghee6728@gmail.com](#); [hwangms0@naver.com](#); [indobangrang@hanmail.net](#); [inseon0502@naver.com](#); [intoan429@gmail.com](#); [ironkwon24@gmail.com](#); [ironregion24@gmail.com](#); [jaeganglee@gmail.com](#); [jassembly536@gmail.com](#); [jcm5440@gmail.com](#); [jeonjd7877@gmail.com](#); [jinhae\\_jump@gmail.com](#); [jiyeonwecan@naver.com](#); [jongsyy@naver.com](#); [joomincenter@gmail.com](#); [jth5073@gmail.com](#); [juno.news2020@gmail.com](#); [jwp615@naver.com](#); [jyb116@naver.com](#); [jylee\\_nrp@naver.com](#); [kds21341@naver.com](#); [khchoo@assembly.go.kr](#); [khjkorea@assembly.go.kr](#); [khyungdong@naver.com](#); [kimbyungjoo62@gmail.com](#); [kimeunhye\\_official@naver.com](#); [kimeunpyung0@gmail.com](#); [kimhyun0610@gmail.com](#); [kiminnotank@naver.com](#); [kimkh4u@naver.com](#); [kimnowongu@gmail.com](#); [kimsangwook80@naver.com](#); [kimsg5358@naver.com](#); [kimsw910@naver.com](#); [kimwoni923@gmail.com](#); [kim-younggho@naver.com](#); [kiyku@naver.com](#); [knh@na.go.kr](#); [kominjung21@gmail.com](#); [ksdd22@naver.com](#); [kshdq11@gmail.com](#); [kshoi72@naver.com](#); [kskangsk@na.go.kr](#); [ktn0320@daum.net](#); [kyitongil@naver.com](#);

law@bychoi.com; lawji@naver.com; ldek3525@naver.com; leehaesik1113@gmail.com; leejilaw@gmail.com; leesang@assembly.go.kr; leesj545@daum.net; limkhassembly@gmail.com; liv1964@empal.com; ljm631000@assembly.go.kr; ljm935@na.go.kr; lkh502503@gmail.com; lsywin445@gmail.com; m2hwaseo@gmail.com; mains.ail440@daum.net; mapo\_cho@naver.com; maumgil88@gmail.com; mgk2224@naver.com; mhb1961@naver.com; minjoo\_ljk0410@naver.com; minjoomsk@naver.com; minkyucool@assembly.go.kr; moalarm2024@naver.com; moondaelim@naver.com; moongj67@gmail.com; mp\_sj322@naver.com; mrleegt@gmail.com; myounggu.power@gmail.com; nakw63@naver.com; namgeunkim10@gmail.com; newkms2030@gmail.com; newwonju643@naver.com; nisoona@na.go.kr; ontoincha22@gmail.com; oshee0530@gmail.com; parkcd0214@gmail.com; parkhs2024@gmail.com; parkkyoontaek@naver.com; parksm2028@gmail.com; parksw2789@naver.com; peacesong1@naver.com; pjikorea21c@naver.com; plz.hongbae@gmail.com; psyfor2020@naver.com; ptgotogether@naver.com; pyk393329@gmail.com; qkrsw20@naver.com; reform1-1@hanmail.net; saeng0425@rebuildingk.kr; sahalsk1015@naver.com; saminhcm@gmail.com; saseyoung@naver.com; sbsulju@daum.net; shinjh744@naver.com; shinsj6411@gmail.com; shkay73@naver.com; shyoona@na.go.kr; sij5140@naver.com; sijikorea@gmail.com; sjbong4381@naver.com; smjingogo@gmail.com; songok4740@naver.com; sonms@assembly.go.kr; sotong848@daum.net; ssaribi0415@naver.com; strongwind01@naver.com; successshuh@gmail.com; sungkook337@naver.com; swkimsuwon@gmail.com; sylee0546@gmail.com; team1000haram@gmail.com; teampark2024@gmail.com; the.kim.weapon@gmail.com; the.kimyoona@gmail.com; tjcsgh2024@naver.com; together.eut@gmail.com; ubuntu\_21@naver.com; victory\_cho@naver.com; victoryljb@naver.com; we1taeg@daum.net; winner4011@naver.com; wishjeju@hanmail.net; within413@gmail.com; wjseo21@rebuildingk.kr; worker21c@gmail.com; yangmunsuk7227@gmail.com; yb7841521@gmail.com; ybn733@naver.com; yc20002@naver.com; yeajijoy@gmail.com; yeskt@hanmail.net; yhassembly2024@naver.com; yjongo428@gmail.com; ymk0814@naver.com; youngcamp1@gmail.com; younky926@gmail.com; yslee9282@naver.com; yujung7522@gmail.com; yuseong0413@daum.net; kimgipyo24@naver.com; jeehye.park@assembly.go.kr; yeonh2ee@gmail.com; lywchosim@naver.com; assembly\_ok@naver.com; ash\_0515@naver.com; haimin@rebuildingk.kr; wisangk@naver.com; yesbupyeong@gmail.com; new\_ansan@naver.com; kilee905@naver.com; sotong0743@gmail.com; jhkjinbo@gmail.com; sbumshin@naver.com; songpapjh22@naver.com; hangh21@naver.com

**Subject:** Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea  
**Date:** Sunday, July 20, 2025 1:51:42 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

**1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.

**2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.

**3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:**

- A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
- Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
- Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
- Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.

**4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources,** whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjIfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)
- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwl6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMfllqM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8Ii8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6l>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVQHQ>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chnng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership,

Sandra Kirchberger South Carolina, USA



**From:** [Rachel Crowder](#)  
**To:** [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [cdypeace@hanmail.net](#); [cesof@naver.com](#); [chajiho5301@gmail.com](#); [chhi.address@gmail.com](#); [choimh867@gmail.com](#); [choiwakeup@gmail.com](#); [chokuk@assembly.go.kr](#); [chungco518@gmail.com](#); [csa2418@naver.com](#); [csahn435@gmail.com](#); [csh240410@naver.com](#); [dalhee621@naver.com](#); [dobongstar24@naver.com](#); [dongah1021@gmail.com](#); [dongbu1538@naver.com](#); [dskimbless@naver.com](#); [dw7227@naver.com](#); [flyhong21@naver.com](#); [fopeople76@gmail.com](#); [gangseo.kap@gmail.com](#); [gikuchon@nate.com](#); [gimpo\\_park@naver.com](#); [gkim338@naver.com](#); [goanghee1@naver.com](#); [gohoonsik@gmail.com](#); [gracecho1@naver.com](#); [gracesook52@rebuildingk.kr](#); [guseng@hanmail.net](#); [gyewoncho@naver.com](#); [heeyong4you@naver.com](#); [hello@yonghyein.kr](#); [hjiyun9292@naver.com](#); [hmsgb2024@gmail.com](#); [hoongi2024@gmail.com](#); [hotjjoon@gmail.com](#); [newds61@naver.com](#); [huhsmsm@naver.com](#); [hwang\\_0410@naver.com](#); [hwanghee6728@gmail.com](#); [hwangms0@naver.com](#); [indobangrang@hanmail.net](#); [inseon0502@naver.com](#); [intoan429@gmail.com](#); [ironkwon24@gmail.com](#); [ironregion24@gmail.com](#); [jaeganglee@gmail.com](#); [jass\\_embly536@gmail.com](#); [jcm5440@gmail.com](#); [jeonj727@gmail.com](#); [jinhae.jump@gmail.com](#); [jiyeonwecan@naver.com](#); [jongsyy@naver.com](#); [joomincenter@gmail.com](#); [jth5073@gmail.com](#); [juno.news2020@gmail.com](#); [jwp615@naver.com](#); [jyb116@naver.com](#); [jylee\\_nrp@naver.com](#); [kds21341@naver.com](#); [khchoo@assembly.go.kr](#); [khjkorea@assembly.go.kr](#); [khyungdong@naver.com](#); [kimbyungjoo62@gmail.com](#); [kimeunpyung0@gmail.com](#); [kimhyun0610@gmail.com](#); [kiminnotank@naver.com](#); [kimkh4u@naver.com](#); [kimnowongu@gmail.com](#); [kimsangwook80@naver.com](#); [kimsq5358@naver.com](#); [kimsq910@naver.com](#); [kimwoni923@gmail.com](#); [kim-younggho@naver.com](#); [kiyku@naver.com](#); [knh@na.go.kr](#); [kominjung21@gmail.com](#); [ksdd22@naver.com](#); [kshdg11@gmail.com](#); [kshoi72@naver.com](#); [kskangsk@na.go.kr](#); [ktn0320@daum.net](#); [kyjtongil@naver.com](#); [law@bychoi.com](#); [lawjj@naver.com](#); [ldek3525@naver.com](#); [leeaesik1113@gmail.com](#); [leejjlaw@gmail.com](#); [leesang@assembly.go.kr](#); [leesj545@daum.net](#); [limkhassembly@gmail.com](#); [liy1964@empal.com](#); [ljm631000@assembly.go.kr](#); [ljm935@na.go.kr](#); [lkh502503@gmail.com](#); [lsywin445@gmail.com](#); [m2hwaseo@gmail.com](#); [mainsail440@daum.net](#); [mapo\\_cho@naver.com](#); [maumgil88@gmail.com](#); [mgk2224@naver.com](#); [mhb1961@naver.com](#); [minjoo\\_ljk0410@naver.com](#); [minjoomsk@naver.com](#); [minkyucool@assembly.go.kr](#); [moalarm2024@naver.com](#); [moondaelim@naver.com](#); [moongj67@gmail.com](#); [mp\\_sj322@naver.com](#); [mrleegt@gmail.com](#); [myounggu.power@gmail.com](#); [nakw63@naver.com](#); [namgeunkim10@gmail.com](#); [newkms2030@gmail.com](#); [newwonju643@naver.com](#); [nisoona@na.go.kr](#); [ontoincha22@gmail.com](#); [oshee0530@gmail.com](#); [parkcd0214@gmail.com](#); [parkhs2024@gmail.com](#); [parkkyoontaek@naver.com](#); [parksm2028@gmail.com](#); [parksw2789@naver.com](#); [peacesong1@naver.com](#); [pjkkorea21c@naver.com](#); [plz.hongbae@gmail.com](#); [psyfor2020@naver.com](#); [ptgototogether@naver.com](#); [pyk393329@gmail.com](#); [qkrsw20@naver.com](#); [reform1-1@hanmail.net](#); [saeng0425@rebuildingk.kr](#); [sahalsk1015@naver.com](#); [saminhcm@gmail.com](#); [saseyoung@naver.com](#); [sbsulju@daum.net](#); [shinh744@naver.com](#); [shinjs6411@gmail.com](#); [shkay73@naver.com](#); [shyoon@na.go.kr](#); [sj5140@naver.com](#); [sjkkorea@gmail.com](#); [sjbong4381@naver.com](#); [smjingogo@gmail.com](#); [songok4740@naver.com](#); [sonms@assembly.go.kr](#); [sotong848@daum.net](#); [ssaribi0415@naver.com](#); [strongwind01@naver.com](#); [successshuh@gmail.com](#); [sungkook337@naver.com](#); [swkimsuwon@gmail.com](#); [stylee0546@gmail.com](#); [team1000haram@gmail.com](#); [teampark2024@gmail.com](#); [the.kim.weapon@gmail.com](#); [the.kimyoona@gmail.com](#); [tjcsjgh2024@naver.com](#); [together.eut@gmail.com](#); [ubuntu\\_21@naver.com](#); [victory\\_cho@naver.com](#); [victoryljb@naver.com](#); [we1taeg@daum.net](#); [winner4011@naver.com](#); [wishjeju@hanmail.net](#); [within413@gmail.com](#); [wjseo21@rebuildingk.kr](#); [worker21c@gmail.com](#); [yangmunsuk7227@gmail.com](#); [yb7841521@gmail.com](#); [ybn733@naver.com](#); [yc20002@naver.com](#); [yeajijoy@gmail.com](#); [yeskt@hanmail.net](#); [yhassembly2024@naver.com](#); [yjongo428@gmail.com](#); [ymk0814@naver.com](#); [youngcamp1@gmail.com](#); [younky926@gmail.com](#); [yslee9282@naver.com](#); [yujung7522@gmail.com](#); [yuseong0413@daum.net](#); [kimgipyo24@naver.com](#); [jeehye.park@assembly.go.kr](#); [yeonh2ee@gmail.com](#); [lywchosim@naver.com](#); [assembly\\_ok@naver.com](#); [ash\\_0515@naver.com](#); [haimin@rebuildingk.kr](#); [wisangk@naver.com](#); [yesbupyeong@gmail.com](#); [new\\_ansan@naver.com](#); [kilee905@naver.com](#); [sotong0743@gmail.com](#); [jhkinbo@gmail.com](#); [sbumshin@naver.com](#)  
**Subject:** Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea  
**Date:** Monday, July 21, 2025 1:21:47 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.



Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

**1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.

**2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.

**3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:**

- A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
- Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
- Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
- Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.

**4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources,** whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjIfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)
- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwl6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMfillqM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8Ii8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6I>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVQHQ>

- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chnng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership,

Kenny Eldred

United Kingdom

**From:** [tina.eldred@mac.com](mailto:tina.eldred@mac.com)  
**To:** [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [cdypeace@hanmail.net](#); [cesof@naver.com](#); [chajiho5301@gmail.com](#); [chhi.address@gmail.com](#); [choimh867@gmail.com](#); [choiwakeup@gmail.com](#); [chokuk@assembly.go.kr](#); [chungco518@gmail.com](#); [csa2418@naver.com](#); [csahn435@gmail.com](#); [csh240410@naver.com](#); [dalhee621@naver.com](#); [dobongstar24@naver.com](#); [dongah1021@gmail.com](#); [dongbu1538@naver.com](#); [dskimbless@naver.com](#); [dw7227@naver.com](#); [flyhong21@naver.com](#); [fopeople76@gmail.com](#); [gangseo.kap@gmail.com](#); [gikuchon@nate.com](#); [gimpo\\_park@naver.com](#); [gkim338@naver.com](#); [goanghee1@naver.com](#); [gohoonsik@gmail.com](#); [gracecho1@naver.com](#); [gracesook52@rebuildingk.kr](#); [guseng@hanmail.net](#); [gyewoncho@naver.com](#); [heeyong4you@naver.com](#); [hello@yonghyein.kr](#); [hjiyun9292@naver.com](#); [hmsgb2024@gmail.com](#); [hoongi2024@gmail.com](#); [hotjjoon@gmail.com](#); [newds61@naver.com](#); [huhsmm@naver.com](#); [hwang\\_0410@naver.com](#); [hwanghee6728@gmail.com](#); [hwangms0@naver.com](#); [indobangrang@hanmail.net](#); [inseon0502@naver.com](#); [intoan429@gmail.com](#); [ironkwon24@gmail.com](#); [ironregion24@gmail.com](#); [jaeganglee@gmail.com](#); [jass\\_embly536@gmail.com](#); [jcm5440@gmail.com](#); [jeonj727@gmail.com](#); [jinhae.jump@gmail.com](#); [jiyeonwecan@naver.com](#); [jongsyv@gmail.com](#); [joomincenter@gmail.com](#); [jth5073@gmail.com](#); [juno.news2020@gmail.com](#); [jwp615@naver.com](#); [jyb116@naver.com](#); [jylee\\_nrp@naver.com](#); [kds21341@naver.com](#); [khchoo@assembly.go.kr](#); [khjkorea@assembly.go.kr](#); [khyungdong@naver.com](#); [kimbyungjoo62@gmail.com](#); [kimeunpyung0@gmail.com](#); [kimhyun0610@gmail.com](#); [kiminnotank@naver.com](#); [kimkh4u@naver.com](#); [kimnowongu@gmail.com](#); [kimsangwook80@naver.com](#); [kimsq5358@naver.com](#); [kimsq910@naver.com](#); [kimwoni923@gmail.com](#); [kim-younggho@naver.com](#); [kiyku@naver.com](#); [knh@na.go.kr](#); [kominjung21@gmail.com](#); [ksdd22@naver.com](#); [kshdg11@gmail.com](#); [kshoi72@naver.com](#); [kskangsk@na.go.kr](#); [ktn0320@daum.net](#); [kyjtongil@naver.com](#); [law@bychoi.com](#); [lawjj@naver.com](#); [ldek3525@naver.com](#); [leehaesik1113@gmail.com](#); [leejjlaw@gmail.com](#); [leesang@assembly.go.kr](#); [leesj545@daum.net](#); [limkhassembly@gmail.com](#); [liy1964@empal.com](#); [ljm631000@assembly.go.kr](#); [ljm935@na.go.kr](#); [lkh502503@gmail.com](#); [lsywin445@gmail.com](#); [m2hwaseo@gmail.com](#); [mainsail440@daum.net](#); [mapo\\_cho@naver.com](#); [maumgil88@gmail.com](#); [mgk2224@naver.com](#); [mhb1961@naver.com](#); [minjoo\\_ljk0410@naver.com](#); [minjoomsk@naver.com](#); [minkyucool@assembly.go.kr](#); [moalarm2024@naver.com](#); [moondaelim@naver.com](#); [moongj67@gmail.com](#); [mp\\_sj322@naver.com](#); [mrleegt@gmail.com](#); [myounggu.power@gmail.com](#); [nakw63@naver.com](#); [namgeunkim10@gmail.com](#); [newkms2030@gmail.com](#); [newwonju643@naver.com](#); [nisoona@na.go.kr](#); [ontoincha22@gmail.com](#); [oshee0530@gmail.com](#); [parkcd0214@gmail.com](#); [parkhs2024@gmail.com](#); [parkkyoontaek@naver.com](#); [parksm2028@gmail.com](#); [parksw2789@naver.com](#); [peacesong1@naver.com](#); [pjkkorea21c@naver.com](#); [plz.hongbae@gmail.com](#); [psyfor2020@naver.com](#); [ptgototogether@naver.com](#); [pyk393329@gmail.com](#); [qkrsw20@naver.com](#); [reform1-1@hanmail.net](#); [saeng0425@rebuildingk.kr](#); [sahalsk1015@naver.com](#); [saminhcm@gmail.com](#); [saseyoung@naver.com](#); [sbsulju@daum.net](#); [shinh744@naver.com](#); [shinjs6411@gmail.com](#); [shkay73@naver.com](#); [shyoon@na.go.kr](#); [sj5140@naver.com](#); [sjkkorea@gmail.com](#); [sjbong4381@naver.com](#); [smjingogo@gmail.com](#); [songok4740@naver.com](#); [sonms@assembly.go.kr](#); [sotong848@daum.net](#); [ssaribi0415@naver.com](#); [strongwind013@naver.com](#); [successshuh@gmail.com](#); [sungkook337@naver.com](#); [swkimsuwon@gmail.com](#); [stylee0546@gmail.com](#); [team1000haram@gmail.com](#); [teampark2024@gmail.com](#); [the.kim.weapon@gmail.com](#); [the.kimyoona@gmail.com](#); [tjcsgh2024@naver.com](#); [together.eut@gmail.com](#); [ubuntu\\_21@naver.com](#); [victory\\_cho@naver.com](#); [victoryljb@naver.com](#); [we1taeg@daum.net](#); [winner4011@naver.com](#); [wishjeju@hanmail.net](#); [within413@gmail.com](#); [wjseo21@rebuildingk.kr](#); [worker21c@gmail.com](#); [yangmunsuk7227@gmail.com](#); [yb7841521@gmail.com](#); [ybn733@naver.com](#); [yc20002@naver.com](#); [yeajijoy@gmail.com](#); [yeskt@hanmail.net](#); [yhassembly2024@naver.com](#); [yjongo428@gmail.com](#); [ymk0814@naver.com](#); [youngcamp1@gmail.com](#); [younky926@gmail.com](#); [yslee9282@naver.com](#); [yujung7522@gmail.com](#); [yuseong0413@daum.net](#); [kimgipyo24@naver.com](#); [jeehye.park@assembly.go.kr](#); [yeonh2ee@gmail.com](#); [lywchosim@naver.com](#); [assembly\\_ok@naver.com](#); [ash\\_0515@naver.com](#); [haimin@rebuildingk.kr](#); [wisangk@naver.com](#); [yesbupyeong@gmail.com](#); [new\\_ansan@naver.com](#); [kilee905@naver.com](#); [sotong0743@gmail.com](#); [jhkinbo@gmail.com](#); [sbumshin@naver.com](#)  
**Subject:** Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea  
**Date:** Monday, July 21, 2025 1:22:29 AM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

**1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.

**2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.

**3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:**

- A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
- Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
- Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
- Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.

**4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources,** whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjIfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)
- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwl6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMfillqM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8Ii8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6I>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVQHQ>

- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

Tina Eldred

United Kingdom

**From:** [graham.dm@btinternet.com](mailto:graham.dm@btinternet.com) [graham.dm@btinternet.com](mailto:graham.dm@btinternet.com)  
**To:** [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#)  
**Cc:** [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Plea for Compassion re Chained Dogs Burned Alive in South Korea  
**Date:** Monday, July 21, 2025 5:16:41 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## **Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea**

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

- 1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.
- 2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.
- 3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:**
  - A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
  - Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
  - Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
  - Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.
- 4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources,** whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing

content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjIfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)
- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwl6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMfllqM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8Ii8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6l>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVQHQ>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chnng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership,

Dorothy Graham, Salisbury, UK

**From:** [rogbrenton@gmail.com](mailto:rogbrenton@gmail.com)  
**To:** [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Your Sister City: Seoul, South Korea  
**Date:** Monday, July 21, 2025 12:54:43 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

**1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.

**2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.

**3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:**

- A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
- Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
- Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
- Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.

**4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources,** whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjIfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)



- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwl6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMflqM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8Ii8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6I>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVQHQ>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul’s Sister City, San Francisco’s voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chnng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

Respectfully yours,

Roger Brenton

Berkshire, UK

**From:** [Fiona McCade](#)  
**To:** [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City— is making San Francisco look bad on the world stage  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 22, 2025 5:52:50 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

- 1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.
- 2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.
- 3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:**
  - A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
  - Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
  - Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
  - Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.
- 4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources,** whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFJlfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)

- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwl6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMfllqM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8li8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6l>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVOHQ>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chnng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership,

Fiona McCade (Glasgow, UK)

From:  
To:

[ann.conney](#)  
[Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [kanglaw83@hanmail.net](#); [sjkang2005@smc.seoul.kr](#); [k-gimoon@hanmail.net](#); [hiseocho@naver.com](#); [aromaeffort@hanmail.net](#); [smil-ing@naver.com](#); [muse201@hotmail.com](#); [kkhbb0331@daum.net](#); [leejs8341@naver.com](#); [kkd3344@hanmail.net](#); [spoman88@naver.com](#); [dwk5gangnam@gmail.com](#); [visionbosco@naver.com](#); [oki1422@naver.com](#); [kimyc5975@naver.com](#); [yongk0525@hanmail.net](#); [pukasun011@hanmail.net](#); [kwj22222@naver.com](#); [kim22wt@naver.com](#); [ij7857@gmail.com](#); [k80209454@hanmail.net](#); [220601winner@naver.com](#); [sujiho@hanmail.net](#); [gare1@hanmail.net](#); [kts8104@hanmail.net](#); [hyeon4123@hanmail.net](#); [kign0071@naver.com](#); [khyseoul7@daum.net](#); [bombam111@naver.com](#); [jnd0522@hanmail.net](#); [chjin4455@nate.com](#); [mydoh@naver.com](#); [nayuri89@naver.com](#); [Mbj1440@naver.com](#); [ksp9725@naver.com](#); [shp2022@naver.com](#); [parksuk7@hanmail.net](#); [syannie@naver.com](#); [101gangbuk@gmail.com](#); [sjpark5272@hanmail.net](#); [myjjang55@hanmail.net](#); [maum7412@naver.com](#); [pihkorworld@hanmail.net](#); [csp2000@naver.com](#); [seven7star77@hanmail.net](#); [gox9778@nate.com](#); [yeol1977@naver.com](#); [ricehope@hanmail.net](#); [sho3120@hanmail.net](#); [zxy100@naver.com](#); [mountain8511@naver.com](#); [skt007@naver.com](#); [songdoho88@naver.com](#); [ecopolis2050@hanmail.net](#); [flutelove2001@hanmail.net](#); [bj618@hanmail.net](#); [meiqing7@nate.com](#); [kantry1@smc.seoul.kr](#); [bmwohkr@naver.com](#); [oje1015@hanmail.net](#); [joinus7942@daum.net](#); [kfmpd@naver.com](#); [yumanhee@hanmail.net](#); [yjh8144@naver.com](#); [dorimchun@hanmail.net](#); [ds1msm@hanmail.net](#); [etty02@smc.seoul.kr](#); [yoonjb48@naver.com](#); [lks7459@naver.com](#); [min12343@naver.com](#); [2min5ql@naver.com](#); [lover9474@naver.com](#); [kee61k@empas.com](#); [bjkmc@naver.com](#); [seoul7635@gmail.com](#); [teamleesanghoon@naver.com](#); [zzz1895@naver.com](#); [113lee@naver.com](#); [sora94seoul@gmail.com](#); [slj0894@hanmail.net](#); [sm6244@naver.com](#); [marinespyi@naver.com](#); [dndsil@hanmail.net](#); [st2020@hanmail.net](#); [yiw2898@naver.com](#); [eunlim@ewhain.net](#); [jongbae1252@daum.net](#); [smilemen@hanmail.net](#); [ljh5612@hanmail.net](#); [seoul.lhw@gmail.com](#); [archicbnu@naver.com](#); [kcnljw@hanmail.net](#); [sangchoo1900@hanmail.net](#); [eparty@paran.com](#); [cnseo566@naver.com](#); [samueladams@naver.com](#); [bjjeon918@hanmail.net](#); [준.길](#); [jw9976@naver.com](#); [chaemokdong@gmail.com](#); [gichan6907@hanmail.net](#); [minkyu0307@naver.com](#); [choi\\_767@naver.com](#); [inpraxis@naver.com](#); [cjinhh2261@naver.com](#); [fng9129@hanmail.net](#); [han-shin@naver.com](#); [yangcheongo@naver.com](#); [cp1055@naver.com](#); [lovejane26@hanmail.net](#); [hck01@hanmail.net](#); [ENGLISH@assembly.go.kr](#); [webmaster@assembly.go.kr](#); [015mia@naver.com](#); [0415gunsan@gmail.com](#); [syd@assembly.go.kr](#); [1bdoit@gmail.com](#); [22.ksunmin@gmail.com](#); [326mia@gmail.com](#); [734ssj@gmail.com](#); [7842055@naver.com](#); [assemblymoonsu@gmail.com](#); [ayjk1101@gmail.com](#); [bemil434@naver.com](#); [changit@naver.com](#); [choo\\_worl\\_d@naver.com](#); [chung10park@naver.com](#); [dcparkji@assembly.go.kr](#); [dh337dh@naver.com](#); [dj\\_koh7163@naver.com](#); [eonju5257@gmail.com](#); [gimpolovekky@gmail.com](#); [greenwind21@gmail.com](#); [hanqkim74@assembly.go.kr](#); [happyhappo@naver.com](#); [ilovebusanjin@hanmail.net](#); [j7840790@gmail.com](#); [jee21dongnae@naver.com](#); [jeoung@gmail.com](#); [jhkang315@gmail.com](#); [jhkim1789@naver.com](#); [jinjongoh.official@gmail.com](#); [jihqi0503@naver.com](#); [jmoon123@naver.com](#); [jongno.kwak@gmail.com](#); [joo\\_jinwoo@naver.com](#); [joonforpeace@gmail.com](#); [jsh35351@naver.com](#); [jsjeong0403@naver.com](#); [jskshare2020@gmail.com](#); [jungsdream24@gmail.com](#); [jwk@rebuildingk.kr](#); [jykim61@assembly.go.kr](#); [kimjungcho3359@daum.net](#); [kktlaw@naver.com](#); [kts230410@gmail.com](#); [letskt2020@gmail.com](#); [limhoseon2020@naver.com](#); [llj11@daum.net](#); [lok0415@hanmail.net](#); [napark81@naver.com](#); [official\\_yt@naver.com](#); [okhyung628@naver.com](#); [parkdukyum@naver.com](#); [pbk705@naver.com](#); [pksjoffice@naver.com](#); [sbyoo64@naver.com](#); [smpark3340@naver.com](#); [unhah0301@gmail.com](#); [vsbok@naver.com](#); [woooo617@naver.com](#); [ydkim22@naver.com](#); [yjb870053@naver.com](#); [yoons609@hanmail.net](#); [youngjink840@naver.com](#); [youngkyos@naver.com](#); [yskys2020@naver.com](#); [zeeahan635@gmail.com](#); [2024iccho@gmail.com](#); [2024wisunglac@gmail.com](#); [2040jd@gmail.com](#); [22yyh620@naver.com](#); [2yangs6288@naver.com](#); [335kang@naver.com](#); [3s0011@naver.com](#); [518jjs@naver.com](#); [532jhlee@gmail.com](#); [613jjs@gmail.com](#); [623kimjk@gmail.com](#); [7842760@naver.com](#); [7844165@naver.com](#); [7844861@naver.com](#); [7880291@naver.com](#); [7882576kj@hanmail.net](#); [7882579@naver.com](#); [907kth@naver.com](#); [ahndogeol@naver.com](#); [ahnho065@gmail.com](#); [anotherk7@gmail.com](#); [antagt@naver.com](#); [anyangmin@gmail.com](#); [assembly0915@naver.com](#); [assembly847@naver.com](#); [bakeunjeong22@gmail.com](#); [best1yty@gmail.com](#); [bhrassembly0217@gmail.com](#); [bigmann64@naver.com](#); [bjh438@naver.com](#); [booseungchan.yongin@gmail.com](#); [braveplane@gmail.com](#); [bsa\\_teacher@naver.com](#); [can929292@naver.com](#); [cdypeace@hanmail.net](#); [cesof@naver.com](#); [chajiho5301@gmail.com](#); [chhi.address@gmail.com](#); [choimh867@gmail.com](#); [choiwakeup@gmail.com](#); [chokuk@assembly.go.kr](#); [chungco518@gmail.com](#); [csa2418@naver.com](#); [csahn435@gmail.com](#); [csh240410@naver.com](#); [dalhee621@naver.com](#); [dobongstar24@naver.com](#); [dongah1021@gmail.com](#); [dongbu1538@naver.com](#); [dskimbless@naver.com](#); [dw7227@naver.com](#); [flyhong21@naver.com](#); [fopeopler76@gmail.com](#); [gangseo.kap@gmail.com](#); [gikuchon@nate.com](#); [gimpo\\_park@naver.com](#); [gkim338@naver.com](#); [goanghee1@naver.com](#); [gohoonsik@gmail.com](#); [gracecho1@naver.com](#); [gracesook52@rebuildingk.kr](#); [guseng@hanmail.net](#); [gyewoncho@naver.com](#); [heeyong4you@naver.com](#); [hello@yonghyein.kr](#); [hjun9292@naver.com](#); [hmsgb2024@gmail.com](#); [hoongi2024@gmail.com](#); [hotjjoon@gmail.com](#); [newds61@naver.com](#); [huhsmsm@naver.com](#); [hwang\\_0410@naver.com](#); [hwanghee6728@gmail.com](#); [hwangms0@naver.com](#); [indobangrang@hanmail.net](#); [inseon0502@naver.com](#); [intoan429@gmail.com](#); [ironkwon24@gmail.com](#); [ironregion24@gmail.com](#); [jaeganglee@gmail.com](#); [jassembly536@gmail.com](#); [jcm5440@gmail.com](#); [jeonjd7877@gmail.com](#); [jinhae\\_jump@gmail.com](#); [jiyeonwecan@naver.com](#); [jongsyy@naver.com](#); [joomincenter@gmail.com](#); [jth5073@gmail.com](#); [juno.news2020@gmail.com](#); [jwp615@naver.com](#); [jyb116@naver.com](#); [jylee\\_nrp@naver.com](#); [kds21341@naver.com](#); [khchoo@assembly.go.kr](#); [khjkorea@assembly.go.kr](#); [khyungdong@naver.com](#); [kimbyungjoo62@gmail.com](#); [kimeunhye\\_official@naver.com](#); [kimeunpyung0@gmail.com](#); [kimhyun0610@gmail.com](#); [kiminnotank@naver.com](#); [kimkh4u@naver.com](#); [kimnowongu@gmail.com](#); [kimsangwook80@naver.com](#); [kimg5358@naver.com](#); [kimsu910@naver.com](#); [kimwoni923@gmail.com](#); [kim-youngcho@naver.com](#); [kiyku@naver.com](#); [knh@na.go.kr](#); [kominjung21@gmail.com](#); [ksdd22@naver.com](#); [kshdq11@gmail.com](#); [kshoi72@naver.com](#); [kskangsk@na.go.kr](#); [ktn0320@daum.net](#); [kyitongil@naver.com](#);

law@bychoi.com; lawji@naver.com; ldek3525@naver.com; leehaesik1113@gmail.com; leejilaw@gmail.com; leesang@assembly.go.kr; leesj545@daum.net; limkhassembly@gmail.com; liv1964@empal.com; ljm631000@assembly.go.kr; ljm935@na.go.kr; lkh502503@gmail.com; lsywin445@gmail.com; m2hwaseo@gmail.com; mains.ail440@daum.net; mapo\_cho@naver.com; maumgil88@gmail.com; mgk2224@naver.com; mhb1961@naver.com; minjoo\_ljk0410@naver.com; minjoomsk@naver.com; minkyucool@assembly.go.kr; moalarm2024@naver.com; moondaelim@naver.com; moongj67@gmail.com; mp\_sj322@naver.com; mrleegt@gmail.com; myounggu.power@gmail.com; nakw63@naver.com; namgeunkim10@gmail.com; newkms2030@gmail.com; newwonju643@naver.com; nisoona@na.go.kr; ontoincha22@gmail.com; oshee0530@gmail.com; parkcd0214@gmail.com; parkhs2024@gmail.com; parkkyuontaek@naver.com; parksm2028@gmail.com; parksw2789@naver.com; peacesong1@naver.com; pjikorea21c@naver.com; plz.hongbae@gmail.com; psyfor2020@naver.com; ptgotogether@naver.com; pyk393329@gmail.com; qkrsw20@naver.com; reform1-1@hanmail.net; saeng0425@rebuildingk.kr; sahalak1015@naver.com; saminhcm@gmail.com; saseyoung@naver.com; sbsulju@daum.net; shinhj744@naver.com; shinsj6411@gmail.com; shkay73@naver.com; shyoona@na.go.kr; sij5140@naver.com; sijikorea@gmail.com; sjbong4381@naver.com; smjingogo@gmail.com; songok4740@naver.com; sonms@assembly.go.kr; sotong848@daum.net; ssaribi0415@naver.com; strongwind01@naver.com; successshuh@gmail.com; sungkook337@naver.com; swkimsuwon@gmail.com; sylee0546@gmail.com; team1000haram@gmail.com; teampark2024@gmail.com; the.kim.weapon@gmail.com; the.kimyoona@gmail.com; tjcsgh2024@naver.com; together.eut@gmail.com; ubuntu\_21@naver.com; victory\_cho@naver.com; victoryljb@naver.com; we1taeg@daum.net; winner4011@naver.com; wishjeju@hanmail.net; within413@gmail.com; wjseo21@rebuildingk.kr; worker21c@gmail.com; yangmunsuk7227@gmail.com; yb7841521@gmail.com; ybn733@naver.com; yc20002@naver.com; yeajijoy@gmail.com; yeskt@hanmail.net; yhassembly2024@naver.com; yjongo428@gmail.com; ymk0814@naver.com; youngcamp1@gmail.com; younky926@gmail.com; yslee9282@naver.com; yujung7522@gmail.com; yuseong0413@daum.net; kimgipyo24@naver.com; jeehye.park@assembly.go.kr; yeonh2ee@gmail.com; lywchosim@naver.com; assembly\_ok@naver.com; ash\_0515@naver.com; haimin@rebuildingk.kr; wisangk@naver.com; yesbupyeong@gmail.com; new\_ansan@naver.com; kilee905@naver.com; sotong0743@gmail.com; jhkjinbo@gmail.com; sbumshin@naver.com; songpajh22@naver.com; hangh21@naver.com

**Subject:** Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 22, 2025 3:07:20 PM

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

- 1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.
- 2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.

### 3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:

- A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
- Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
- Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
- Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.

**4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources**, whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjIfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)
- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwI6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMfllqM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8Ii8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6I>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVOHQ>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chnng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership,

Ann Conney, Durham, UK

**Subject:** [boonaporn84@gmail.com](mailto:boonaporn84@gmail.com) / [boonaporn@gmail.com](mailto:boonaporn@gmail.com) / [boonaporn84@yahoo.com](mailto:boonaporn84@yahoo.com) / [boonaporn@yahoo.com](mailto:boonaporn@yahoo.com) / [boonaporn84@hotmail.com](mailto:boonaporn84@hotmail.com)  
**Date:** Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildlife Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea  
 Thursday, July 24, 2025 8:21:17 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action

As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to

- A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.  
Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.  
Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.  
Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.

4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources, whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

With deep respect, urgency,  
O Lewis, Los Angeles USA



**From:** [Mike Falkenburg](#)  
**To:** [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Urgent International Appeal: End Tethering and Prevent Wildfire Tragedies in Seoul, South Korea  
**Date:** Thursday, July 24, 2025 8:52:02 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and Esteemed Representatives of San Francisco,

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent [Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters \(May 2025\)](#), there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

**As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:**

- 1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters.** Silence allows this suffering to continue.
- 2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul.** Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.
- 3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:**
  - A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.
  - Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.
  - Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
  - Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.
- 4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources,** whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFJlfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)



- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/charles/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/daepoong/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sbUi7K9mPTU>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/sStj0hH6MyM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/A8OskdtJvjg>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/BfEbt6s79sE>
- <https://youtu.be/MunZMwl6BmQ>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hRocjMfllqM>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/hotkDKh8li8>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/SJ-bYNdeS6l>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/CeTa4VRG6pk>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/9Bway6u5sb4>
- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/cDWUTYsVOHQ>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/\\_LjaYeZkrQU](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/_LjaYeZkrQU)
- <https://koreandogs.org/two-brothers-rescued/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/uljin-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/gangwondo-fire/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/danbi/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/chungju-fire/>
- <https://www.youtube.com/@TheFromcare/streams>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chnng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership,

Michael Falkenburg, London, UK

**From:** [r.galla](#)  
**To:** [Lurie, Daniel \(MYR\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChenStaff](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Fielder, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [SherrillStaff](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Greetings and request from Dallas, Texas  
**Date:** Thursday, July 24, 2025 7:16:19 AM  
**Attachments:** [ICN BP October2024.png](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mayor Daniel Lurie and San Francisco Board of Supervisors, 25 July, 2025

First of all, I send you greetings from Dallas, Texas, USA.

This is a request regarding your sister Seoul, South Korea.

I've traveled to South Korea multiple times. The last visit was late October/early November. Please see the attachment which contains my airline boarding passes as proof.

I really really enjoy the people, culture and food. Unfortunately, I personally witnessed that South Korea is not very nice when it comes to animal welfare.

I write to you with a heavy heart and an urgent plea for compassion. In Seoul, South Korea—your Sister City—dogs continue to suffer and die in ways that shock the conscience.

Despite South Korea's recent **Manual for the Rescue and Protection of Companion Animals During Natural Disasters (May 2025)**, there are still no laws that truly protect these innocent lives. The manual is purely advisory, with no legal force to ensure animals are rescued in emergencies. Even worse, the barbaric practice of lifelong tethering remains completely legal, condemning countless dogs to a life of loneliness, filth, and chains barely long enough to turn around.

Time and again, wildfires sweep through these regions, leaving terrified, tethered dogs to face the flames alone. They are burned alive, forgotten, voiceless, and treated as disposable property. This is a moral catastrophe that demands action.

As leaders of Seoul's Sister City, you have a unique power—and responsibility—to speak out. I implore you to:

1. Publicly denounce the cruelty of lifelong tethering and the abandonment of animals during disasters. Silence allows this suffering to continue.
2. Reach out directly to your counterparts in Seoul. Share your deep concern and urge them to enact binding laws that end tethering and guarantee companion animals are evacuated alongside their families.
3. Support critical national reforms in South Korea, including:
  - A complete, enforceable ban on lifelong tethering.

Mandatory evacuation protocols for companion animals.

- Real penalties for abandonment and neglect, especially during emergencies.
- Public campaigns that teach empathy and responsible care.

4. Offer San Francisco's partnership and resources, whether through expertise in humane disaster planning or joint initiatives that show what true sisterhood between cities can mean.

Please, take a moment to see the faces behind this tragedy (warning: deeply disturbing content):

- <https://www.youtube.com/shorts/m0FWFjfbC8>
- [https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE\\_P\\_wGho](https://www.youtube.com/shorts/7vZE_P_wGho)
- <https://koreandogs.org/700-dogs-burned-alive/>
- <https://koreandogs.org/bbibbi/>

Change is possible. South Korea has opened the door—but only strong, enforceable laws will put an end to this horror. As Seoul's Sister City, San Francisco's voice carries immense weight. Please use it to stand up for those who cannot beg for help themselves.

A global petition is growing: <https://chnng.it/dxkJTfLcLw>. Let this be the moment when international friendship saves lives—not just human lives, but countless loyal, loving animals who depend entirely on our mercy.

With deep respect, urgency, and hope for your leadership, I kindly await your reply.

Sincerely

Rodolfo Gallardo

3971 Fernando Dr. Euless, TX 76040 USA

[eire96@yahoo.com](mailto:eire96@yahoo.com) mobile tel. 001.817.919.1236

American



## BOARDING PASS

BOARDING END 15 MINUTES BEFORE DEPARTURE

PASSENGER NAME

GALLARDO/RODOLFO

EJH\*\*\*\*

FREQUENT FLYER #

ADV

CONFIRMATION

CODE

BOARDING PASS

GALLARDO/RODOLFO

FROM:

DALLAS/ FORT WORTH

FLIGHT

CLASS

DATE

DEPARTS

AA281

E

200CT 955A

TO:

SEOUL

FROM:

DALLAS/ FORT WORTH

TO:

SEOUL

GATE

BOARDING TIME

SEAT

GROUP 6

D23

905A

35D

FLIGHT

SEAT

AA281

35D

AADVANTAGE MBR



USA

GROUP 6

DATE

CLASS

DEPARTS

200CT

E

955A

DELTA



GALLARDO/RODOLFO

\*DOE\*

## BOARDING PASS

1 006 2280425724 2

HFDZ66

FLYRDY

NRSA

SEAT

Y107M9R2/ZE

FLIGHT

DATE

CLASS

ORIGIN

DEPARTS

DL26

02NOV

Y

SEOUL-INCHEON

725P

45J

OPERATED BY

MAIN

DESTINATION

BRD TIME

ZONE 7

DELTA AIR LINES INC

ATLANTA

630P

DEPARTURE GATE 246 \*\*SUBJECT TO CHANGE\*\*

DOCS-OK

BCN

ICN279



ICN22E133/KW

## BOARDING PASS

GALLARDO/RODOLFO

\*DOE\*

SEAT

FLIGHT

DATE

DL26

02NOV

45J

ORIGIN

SEOUL-INCHEON

DESTINATION

ATLANTA

OPERATED BY DELTA AIR LINES INC

BCN

ICN279

July 15, 2025

Resident for over 93 years

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Operations](#); [BOS Legislation. \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** 5 Letters Regarding File No. 250470\_471  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 22, 2025 12:15:41 PM  
**Attachments:** [5 Letters Regarding File Nos. 250470\\_471.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see attached 5 letters Regarding File Nos.:

**250470:** Hearing of the Board of Supervisors sitting as a Committee of the Whole on July 22, 2025, at 3:00 p.m., to consider renewal and expansion of a property-based business improvement district, known as the Dogpatch and Northwest Potrero Hill Green Benefit District, pursuant to the California Property and Business Improvement District Law of 1994 (Streets and Highways Code, Sections 36600 et seq.), and City and County of San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Article 15; scheduled pursuant to Resolution No. 249-25 (File No. 250468); approved on May 23, 2025.

**250471:** Resolution to establish, renew and expand the property-based business improvement district known as the "Dogpatch and Northwest Potrero Hill Green Benefit District"; ordering the levy and collection of assessments against property located in that district for a term of 15 years commencing with Fiscal Year 2025-2026, through December 31, 2040.

Regards,

John Bullock  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-5184  
[BOS@sfgov.org](mailto:BOS@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

***Disclosures:*** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

**From:** [Jim Mackey](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Attn Angela Calvillo, file no. 250470  
**Date:** Monday, July 21, 2025 11:31:35 AM  
**Attachments:** [2025-07-21 11-26.pdf](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Hello Board of Supervisors, I am writing this email in opposition to the attached proposed GBD property tax assessment for 15 years going forward.

I'm speaking as the property owner at 731-733 Vermont.

About 50% of my surrounding neighbors on the 700 block are elderly, retired, and on fixed incomes.

The property tax assessment is asking for too long of an assessment period and is making large consecutive yearly increases.

Already our living expenses have made enormous increases.

The cost to park a car is very high, garbage service is going up 50% and we are struggling to make ends meet as it is.

I'm self-employed business owner in San Francisco, and I cannot incur expenses like this to live without sacrificing necessities.

Please reconsider this assessment. I cannot afford this.

Jim Mackey  
731 Vermont  
SF, CA 94107



BOARD of SUPERVISORS



City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Tel. No. (415) 554-5184  
Fax No. (415) 554-5163  
TDD/TTY No. (415) 554-5227

## NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

### BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT the Board of Supervisors of the City and County of San Francisco, as a Committee of the Whole, will hold a public hearing to consider the following proposal and said public hearing will be held as follows, at which time all interested parties may attend and be heard:

**Date:** Tuesday, July 22, 2025

**Time:** 3:00 p.m.

**Location:** Legislative Chamber, Room 250, located at City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco. CA 94102

**Subject:** File No. 250470. Hearing of the Board of Supervisors sitting as a Committee of the Whole on July 22, 2025, at 3:00 p.m., to consider renewal and expansion of a property-based business improvement district, known as the Dogpatch and Northwest Potrero Hill Green Benefit District, pursuant to the California Property and Business Improvement District Law of 1994 (Streets and Highways Code, Sections 36600 et seq.), and City and County of San Francisco Business and Tax Regulations Code, Article 15; scheduled pursuant to the Resolution No. 249-25, approved on May 23, 2025.

This is to notify you that a petition signed by property owners to renew a property and business improvement district in your area, known as the Dogpatch and Northwest Potrero Hill Green Benefit District, was received by the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors on 4/29/2025.

If this Property and Business Improvement District is renewed, it would authorize assessments against real property in the district for 15 years with services to be implemented January 1, 2026, through December 31, 2040. The Dogpatch and Northwest Potrero Hill Green Benefit District would fund and provide the following services:

- 1) Maintenance;
- 2) Capital Improvements;
- 3) Accountability, Transparency, and Citizen Services; and
- 4) Operations and Contingency/Reserves.



**NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING**

File No. 250470 (Dogpatch and Northwest Potrero Hill Green Benefit District)

Hearing Date: July 22, 2025

Page 2


Renewal of the property and business improvement district is subject to the approval of the Board of Supervisors after a noticed public hearing and a vote by the property owners who would be subject to the assessments. Following public comment, ballots submitted by property owners in the proposed Dogpatch and Northwest Potrero Hill Green Benefit District will be counted by the Department of Elections and the results will be announced by the Board of Supervisors. If the ballot election does not result in a majority protest against renewal of the proposed district, the Board of Supervisors may vote to renew the Dogpatch and Northwest Potrero Hill Green Benefit District.

Further information about this petition and proposed district, including the district management plan, which describes the boundaries, operations and activities of the proposed district, may be reviewed in File No. 250468 at the Office of the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors, City Hall, Room 244, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, San Francisco. Alternatively, information may be accessed on the Board of Supervisors web site at [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org) and on the Department of Elections Website at [sfgov.org/elections](http://sfgov.org/elections).

In accordance with Administrative Code, Section 67.7-1, persons who are unable to attend the hearing on this matter may submit written comments. These comments will be added to the official public record in this matter and shall be brought to the attention of the Board of Supervisors. Written comments should be addressed to Angela Calvillo, Clerk of the Board, City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244, San Francisco, CA, 94102 or sent via email ([bos@sfgov.org](mailto:bos@sfgov.org)). Information relating to this matter is available with the Office of the Clerk of the Board or the Board of Supervisors' Legislative Research Center ([www.sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-lrc](http://www.sfbos.org/legislative-research-center-lrc)). Agenda information relating to this matter will be available for public review on Friday, July 18, 2025.

For any questions about this hearing, please contact the Assistant Clerk for the Government Audit and Oversight Committee:

Monique Crayton ([monique.crayton@sfgov.org](mailto:monique.crayton@sfgov.org)) ~ (415) 554-7750)



Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board of Supervisors  
City and County of San Francisco

mcc:edm:ams

**From:** [Elaine Marcopulos Lopez](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Dogpatch and Northwest Potrero Hill Green Benefit District Meeting 7/22/2025  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 7:43:09 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**I oppose the renewal and expansion of the business management improvement district, known as Dogpatch and Northwest Potrero Hill Green Benefit District.**

**Please lodge my OPPOSITION.**

**Thank you,  
Elaine Marcopulos Lopez  
720 Vermont Street**

**From:** [Diane DiPrima](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Dogpatch and Northwest Potrero Hill Green Benefit District  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 7:54:58 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Angela Calvillo.

Att: Board of Supervisors

Re: Dogpatch and Northwest Potrero Hill Green Benefit District

As a long-time resident and property owner in Dogpatch, I appreciate the Green Benefit District's (GBD) contributions to our neighborhood. The transformation of public spaces and commitment to environmental stewardship have added real value to our community.

That said, I respectfully urge the committee to consider a **shorter renewal term** than the proposed 15 years. Neighborhood needs, economic conditions, and priorities can shift significantly over time, and a shorter term — such as 5 years — would allow for **regular review, community input, and financial reassessment**.

This approach would preserve the GBD's momentum while ensuring it remains responsive to the evolving needs of residents and property owners.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Diane DiPrima

**From:** [gregory.c.polos](mailto:gregory.c.polos)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Fwd: Dogpatch and Northwest Potrero Hill Green Benefit District Meeting 07/22/2025  
**Date:** Friday, July 18, 2025 11:27:26 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "gregory c. polos" <gcpolos@gmail.com>  
**Subject:** Dogpatch and Northwest Potrero Hill Green Benefit District Meeting 07/22/2025  
**Date:** July 18, 2025 at 11:08:18 AM PDT  
**To:** bps@sfgov.org  
**Cc:** C Marcopulos <cmarcopulos@gmail.com>, Elaine Marcopulos Lopez <sweetinlodi@gmail.com>, Eugene Polos <eugene.polos@gmail.com>, Harry Polos <hcpolos@yahoo.com>, j polos <summerchum@yahoo.com>, Cathy Radovich Peterson <catherine.peterson@astound.net>

Public Officials

I strongly oppose the renewal and expansion of the property based business district known as  
The Dogpatch and Northwest Potrero Hill Green Benefit District .

Please formally record my opposition.

A land assessment is just another tax burden on us working class old timers who you say you want to keep in The City  
but are forcing out with these unnecessary and unwanted projects .

The only thing Green in this proposal is our citizen tax -payer dollars .  
And I see absolutely no Benefit in it to the local citizens .

Thank you

Gregory C Polos  
736 Kansas St  
SF CA 94107

**From:** [gregory c. polos](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [C Marcopulos](#); [Elaine Marcopulos Lopez](#); [Eugene Polos](#); [Harry Polos](#); [j.polos](#); [Cathy Radovich Peterson](#)  
**Subject:** Dogpatch and Northwest Potrero Hill Green Benefit District Meeting 07/22/2025  
**Date:** Friday, July 18, 2025 11:48:18 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Public Officials

I strongly oppose the renewal and expansion of the property based business district known as The Dogpatch and Northwest Potrero Hill Green Benefit District .

Please formally record my opposition.

A land assessment is just another tax burden on us working class old timers who you say you want to keep in The City but are forcing out with these unnecessary and unwanted projects .

The only thing Green in this proposal is our citizen tax -payer dollars .  
And I see absolutely no Benefit in it to the local citizens .

Thank you

Gregory C Polos  
736 Kansas St  
SF CA 94107

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Operations](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** 4 Letters Regarding File No. 250487  
**Date:** Thursday, July 24, 2025 12:11:11 PM  
**Attachments:** [4 Letters Regarding File No. 250487.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see attached 4 letters regarding **File No. 250487:**

Ordinance amending the Administrative Code to promote equitable access to shelter and behavioral health services by prohibiting the City from siting a new, City-funded homeless shelter, transitional housing facility, or certain behavioral health residential care and treatment facilities (collectively, “Covered Facilities”) in a neighborhood where the neighborhood’s share of the City’s shelter and transitional housing beds exceeds the neighborhood’s share of the City’s unsheltered persons, and prohibiting the City from siting a new City-funded homeless shelter within 300 feet of an existing homeless shelter; and authorizing the Board of Supervisors to waive these prohibitions upon a finding that approving the Covered Facility or homeless shelter at the proposed location is in the public interest; and providing that this ordinance shall sunset on December 31, 2031.

Regards,

John Bullock  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-5184  
[BOS@sfgov.org](mailto:BOS@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

**From:** [Justice Dumlao](#)  
**To:** [SherrillStaff](#); [EngardioStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MandelmanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [DorseyStaff \(BOS\)](#); [SauterStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [FelderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Waltonstaff \(BOS\)](#); [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** ["Wesley Saver" via Treatment on Demand Coalition](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** TOD Letter Re:Equitable Citywide Access to Shelters, Transitional Housing, and BHS Ordinance (250487)  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 22, 2025 5:33:21 PM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)  
[TOD Letter Re-Ordinance 250487.docx](#)

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

This message was sent securely using Zix®

Hello Board of Supervisors and staffers,

My name is Justice Dumlao with the Treatment on Demand Coalition apologies for accidentally sending that initial email with no content. I am now officially delivering a letter with the Treatment on Demand Coalition's recommendations for the Equitable Citywide Access to Shelters, Transitional Housing, and BHS Ordinance (250487) as introduced by Supervisor Bilal Mahmood.

We appreciate your time and attention to this issue. Please let me know if you have any further questions or concerns.

In community,

Justice Dumlao (they/him)

Community Mobilization Manager

**SAN FRANCISCO AIDS FOUNDATION**

940 Howard St. San Francisco, CA 94103

[jdumlao@sfaf.org](mailto:jdumlao@sfaf.org)

**SHOW UP, SPEAK OUT, FIGHT BACK!**

Join the HIV Advocacy Network ([linked here](#))



This message was secured by [Zix](#)®.



July 22, 2025

San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
Clerk's Office, Room 244  
City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689



**RE: Ordinance 250487 – Recommended Amendments**

Dear Board of Supervisors:

As the Treatment on Demand Coalition, we are a diverse stakeholder group of San Francisco community members and service providers, including residents, people who use drugs, family members, advocates, medical professionals, and researchers. We work collaboratively to uphold and expand the City's mental health and substance use disorder treatment framework established under Proposition T (2008), which committed San Francisco to a "treatment on demand" policy.

Thank you for the focus and attention on better citywide accessibility for behavioral health services with Ordinance 250487. We appreciate the spirit of this legislation, but we understand certain provisions in this ordinance can be further developed to improve access to behavioral health services and achieving our shared goal of treatment on demand.

**Fair Share Rule**

The Fair Share Rule suggests that the use of behavioral health services is specific to homelessness while the reality is that people who are not experiencing homelessness also access and use behavioral health services. In addition, applying these homelessness numbers to approve behavioral health services is not suitable or relevant to assess the opening of a new behavioral health service location. Because of this non-relevant assessment, **we support a removal of "Behavioral Health Residential Care and Treatment Facility" and "Behavioral Health Specialized Outpatient Clinic" under the definition of Covered Facilities.**

**Enhanced Care**

Opportunities exist to maximize care by enhancing service models in non-Covered Facility locations with co-located behavioral health care. For example, the City funds the Citywide Roving Team and PHACS that support permanent supportive housing tenants with behavioral health challenges. Yet, this ordinance would prohibit these programs benefitting tenants and virtually all additive and co-located care. **We urge that spaces where people and communities already access care and support be exempted.**

**Funding**

The State of California and the City and County of San Francisco are heavily focusing on policy responses and significant resources towards behavioral health treatment. In addition, the upcoming Continuum of Care (CoC) funding is extremely likely to be geared towards behavioral health treatment. While we understand that behavioral health treatment will not uniquely solve homelessness, accessing and leveraging these resources may be hampered with this ordinance. **We recommend further consideration to ensure that no money is left on the table.**

We appreciate all consideration of our recommendations. In addition, we also serve as a useful space to engage in the development of policy efforts. Accordingly, we sincerely welcome and appreciate all future opportunities to partner and collaborate on solutions to improve the local behavioral health system. Please reach out to Justice Dumlao at [jdumlao@sfaf.org](mailto:jdumlao@sfaf.org) or 650-576-5669 if you would like to collaborate or attend one of our monthly meetings.

Sincerely,  
Treatment on Demand Coalition

**From:** [Saw Lim-Skain](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [MelgarStaff \(BOS\)](#); [ChanStaff \(BOS\)](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [FielderStaff](#); [ChenStaff](#); [MahmoodStaff](#); [SauterStaff](#)  
**Subject:** Vote No on Fiscally Irresponsible Ordinance to Mandate Homeless Shelters in Every District  
**Date:** Friday, July 18, 2025 10:56:24 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

## Message to the Board of Supervisors, Mayor, and the City Attorney

From your constituent      Saw Lim-Skain

Email      [sawlim@att.net](mailto:sawlim@att.net)

Subject      Vote No on Fiscally Irresponsible Ordinance to Mandate  
Homeless Shelters in Every District

Message:      Dear Supervisors,

San Francisco needs more shelter beds, but it is financially irresponsible, especially now with a looming deficit, to mandate placing shelter facilities in every district. Implementing shelters and behavioral health centers throughout our city will only create more problems and safety issues for everyday residents of San Francisco.

It risks disrupting stable communities without meaningfully addressing the root causes of homelessness concentrated in areas like the Tenderloin and SOMA.

San Francisco officials would be wise to consider looking at land outside the city to provide shelter.

I urge you to oppose District 5 Supervisor Bilal Mahmood's proposed legislation that would require the city to approve at least one shelter or behavioral health center in each district in the next 18 months.

Rather I encourage you to expand the search and implementation of shelters and behavioral health centers to pockets of underutilized or lightly used light industrial areas of San Francisco or outside of San Francisco. For example: Log Cabin Ranch (a 600 acre property the city of San Francisco owns in

Santa Cruz County.

Sincerely,

---

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: File #250487 - Support letters  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 16, 2025 11:33:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [image943882.png](#)  
[image604939.png](#)  
[SEIU Support Letter.pdf](#)  
[Support of Supervisor Mahmood Project.pdf](#)

---

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached communication.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh  
Executive Assistant  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163  
[eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

---

**From:** Jade Tolar <jade@somosgroup.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 2:44 PM  
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS) <brent.jalipa@sfgov.org>; BOS Clerks Office (BOS) <clerksoffice@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** File #250487 - Support letters

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Good afternoon,

I hope you are doing well.

Attached are the letters of support to be included in the administrative record ahead of tomorrow's hearing for file number: #250487

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Best,  
Jade

**Jade Tolar**  
**Government and Community Engagement Strategist**

[+1 213-647-4952](tel:+12136474952) | direct

[jade@somosgroup.org](mailto:jade@somosgroup.org)



**SOMOS**

304 South Broadway, Suite 350

Los Angeles, CA 90013

[+1 213-592-1185](tel:+12135921185) | main

[somosgroup.org](http://somosgroup.org)

*This message is sent by a law firm and may contain information that is privileged or confidential. If you received this transmission in error, please notify the sender by reply e-mail and delete the message and any attachments.*



Olga Miranda  
President

Abdo Hadwan  
Vice President

Ying Shan MEI  
Secretary-Treasurer

Service Employee  
International Union  
Local 87

240 Golden Gate Ave  
San Francisco, Ca  
94102  
415.885.0087

Dear Board of Supervisors,

We are writing to express strong support for Supervisor Mahmood's One City Shelter Act (File #250487), which promotes the equitable distribution of homeless shelters and behavioral health services across San Francisco, while preventing the overconcentration of services in any one neighborhood.

For too long, San Francisco's approach to homelessness has disproportionately affected communities like the Tenderloin, SoMa, Mission, Bayview, and Lower Nob Hill – neighborhoods which are home to immigrants, people of color, and working families. This overburdening has strained local resources, undermined community well-being, and hindered economic opportunity.

While we appreciate the City's ongoing efforts to address the homelessness crisis, we believe past policies have created unintended inequities. The One City Shelter Act is a critical step toward a more effective, just, and dignified citywide response.

We urge you to support this legislation and ensure that all communities share in the responsibility – and opportunity – to solve homelessness together, as one city.

Sincerely,

SEIU Local 87

**John Frahm**  
President

**Oscar Orozco**  
Secretary - Treasurer

**Main Office:**  
**United Food & Commercial  
Workers Union, Local 5**  
28870 Mission Boulevard  
Hayward, CA 94544-5510  
(510) 889-0870  
Fax: (510) 889-6415  
Toll Free: (877) 655-FIVE  
**www.ufcw5.org**

240 South Market Street  
San Jose, CA 95113-2310  
(408) 998-0428  
Fax: (408) 971-8355

323 Miller Avenue  
So. San Francisco, CA 94080  
(650) 871-5730  
Fax: (650) 871-3504

4121 Alhambra Avenue  
Martinez, CA 94553-3823  
(925) 228-8800  
Fax: (925) 228-8355

1145 North Main Street  
Salinas, CA 93906-3614  
(831) 757-3094  
Fax: (831) 757-9115

323 Geary Street, Room 709  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 693-0143  
Fax: (415) 675-7645

85 Galli Drive, Suite H  
Novato, CA 94949-5716  
(415) 883-6833  
Fax: (415) 883-1043

840 E Street, Suite 8  
Eureka, CA 95501-6804  
(707) 442-1751  
Fax: (707) 442-9572

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B Goodlett Pl., City Hall Rm.244

San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Dear Board of Supervisors,

We are writing to express strong support for Supervisor Mahmood's One City Shelter Act (File #250487), which promotes the equitable distribution of homeless shelters and behavioral health services across San Francisco, while preventing the overconcentration of services in any one neighborhood.

For too long, San Francisco's approach to homelessness has disproportionately affected communities like the Tenderloin, SoMa, Mission, Bayview, and Lower Nob Hill – neighborhoods which are home to immigrants, people of color, and working families. This overburdening has strained local resources, undermined community well-being, and hindered economic opportunity.

While we appreciate the City's ongoing efforts to address the homelessness crisis, we believe past policies have created unintended inequities. The One City Shelter Act is a critical step toward a more effective, just, and dignified citywide response.

We urge you to support this legislation and ensure that all communities share in the responsibility – and opportunity – to solve homelessness together, as one city.

Sincerely,

James Araby  
Director of Strategic Campaigns  
UFCW Local 5

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [Adrian Covert](#); [Jalipa Brent \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Support letter for Geographic Equity Ordinance  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 16, 2025 11:32:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [image001.png](#)  
[image002.png](#)  
[image003.png](#)  
[image004.png](#)  
[image005.png](#)  
[07.14\\_GeoEquity.pdf](#)

---

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the attached communication.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh  
Executive Assistant  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163  
[eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

---

**From:** Adrian Covert <[acovert@bayareacouncil.org](mailto:acovert@bayareacouncil.org)>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 10:58 AM  
**To:** Jalipa, Brent (BOS) <[brent.jalipa@sfgov.org](mailto:brent.jalipa@sfgov.org)>  
**Cc:** BOS Clerks Office (BOS) <[clerksoffice@sfgov.org](mailto:clerksoffice@sfgov.org)>  
**Subject:** Support letter for Geographic Equity Ordinance


This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Greetings Brent,

Please see the attached letter of support from the Bay Area Council for Supervisor Mahmood's Geographic Equity Ordinance. Also copying the clerk of the board for their records/visibility as well. Please let me know if you have any questions.

Best

Adrian

**Adrian Covert**  
Senior Vice President, Public Policy  
Phone: 415-519-9141 | Email: [acovert@bayareacouncil.org](mailto:acovert@bayareacouncil.org)  
The Historic Klamath, Pier 9, The Embarcadero, San Francisco  
[www.bayareacouncil.org](http://www.bayareacouncil.org)  




HISTORIC  
**KLAMATH**  
BAY AREA COUNCIL





June 14, 2025

The Honorable Bilal Mahmood  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
City Hall, 1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102

**Re: Geographic Equity (Support)**

Dear Supervisor Mahmood and Members of the Board,

On behalf of the Bay Area Council, I write to express our support for the proposed Geographic Equity Ordinance. San Francisco today has approximately 11 percent of the Bay Area's population, 20 percent of the region's homeless shelters, and over 40 percent of the region's Permanent Supportive Housing. This over-concentration of the region's homeless infrastructure isn't spread throughout the city but is instead further concentrated into just a handful of neighborhoods.

This disparity deprives homeless residents in the city's underserved neighborhoods of critical services while burdening all residents in over-served neighborhoods with the externalities that come with high concentrations of high-needs individuals.

The proposed Geographic Equity Ordinance defines a fair-share threshold for the geographic distribution of homeless shelters and interim housing in the City based on a capacity ratio calculated by the percentage of homeless residents and homeless shelters currently located in a neighborhood. This rational approach would help make sure the city is meeting homeless residents where they're at, rather than forcing them to relocate to where they're not. These elements not only help guarantee fairness but also foster public buy-in.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Adrian Covert", written in a cursive style.

Adrian Covert  
Senior Vice President, Public Policy  
Bay Area Council

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: URGENT: PROTECT OUR CITY OUR HOME  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 6:09:00 PM

---

Dear Supervisors,

Please see the below communication.

Thank you,

Eileen McHugh  
Executive Assistant  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689  
Phone: (415) 554-7703 | Fax: (415) 554-5163  
[eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org](mailto:eileen.e.mchugh@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

---

**From:** Colette Hughes <coletteihughes@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 9:07 AM  
**To:** Walton, Shamann (BOS) <shamann.walton@sfgov.org>; Fielder, Jackie (BOS) <Jackie.Fielder@sfgov.org>; Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Sauter, Danny (BOS) <Danny.Sauter@sfgov.org>; Chen, Chyanne (BOS) <Chyanne.Chen@sfgov.org>; Melgar, Myrna (BOS) <myrna.melgar@sfgov.org>; Mahmood, Bilal (BOS) <bilal.mahmood@sfgov.org>; Engardio, Joel (BOS) <joel.engardio@sfgov.org>; Dorsey, Matt (BOS) <matt.dorsey@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Calvillo, Angela (BOS) <angela.calvillo@sfgov.org>  
**Subject:** URGENT: PROTECT OUR CITY OUR HOME

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

July 15, 2025

Dear Supervisor,

I am writing to strongly urge you to reject Section 4 from the trailing legislation associated with Proposition C, Our City Our Home.

The removal of the supermajority requirement is a major departure from previous versions of Proposition C trailing legislation. This change undermines the will of the voters and puts the integrity of citizen Initiatives at risk.

Voters specifically required a supermajority of the Board of Supervisors to approve any changes to Proposition C allocations. Section 4 of this legislation undermines this deliberate safeguard by enabling reallocation with only a simple majority vote. This major departure from the provisions of Proposition C. undermines the very underlying intent of the legislation and should be rejected.

Please honor the intent of the voters as well as the citizen initiative process protected in our San Francisco Charter and reject the removal of the supermajority requirement.

Sincerely,  
Colette I. Hughes

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Reconsider Paid Parking in Golden Gate Park  
**Date:** Monday, July 21, 2025 8:25:36 AM

---

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding **File No. 250617:**

Resolution authorizing the Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) to set parking rates in Golden Gate Park in accordance with Park Code provisions that authorize SFMTA rate-setting on park property; and affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act.

Regards,

John Bullock  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-5184  
[BOS@sfgov.org](mailto:BOS@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** jtorres1950 <jtorres1950@proton.me>  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 20, 2025 12:33 AM  
**To:** Lurie, Daniel (MYR) <daniel.lurie@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; RPDInfo, RPD (REC) <rpdinfo@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** kasia.pawlowska@sfgate.com  
**Subject:** Reconsider Paid Parking in Golden Gate Park

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Mayor, Board, Park and Rec:

I urge you to reconsider implementing paid parking at Golden Gate Park. San Francisco is already one of the most expensive cities in the country, and introducing this policy only compounds the burden on residents and visitors alike.

Paid parking will disproportionately impact locals—many of whom rely on public spaces as affordable escapes from the daily grind. More tickets and fines will add unnecessary strain to residents' lives. Visitors will be discouraged, especially tourists unfamiliar with local enforcement, leaving them with a negative impression of our city when they receive fines.

Moreover, it's risky and irresponsible to base city budgets on speculative revenue. Budgeting with uncollected, projected income has led us into trouble before, and continuing this practice suggests we haven't learned from past mistakes. Moving forward with this plan will force dependence into this revenue stream. As the city is cutting public transit, we are encouraging more car use. With more car use, we will have packed parks. We must pursue sustainable and dependable fiscal planning—not hopeful estimations.

Sent with [Proton Mail](#) secure email.

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Operations](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** 2 Letters Regarding File No. 250655  
**Date:** Thursday, July 24, 2025 12:08:52 PM  
**Attachments:** [2 Letters Regarding File No. 250655.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see attached 2 letters regarding **File No. 250655:**

Ordinance 1) amending Division I of the Transportation Code to reduce the time that large vehicles may be parked on City streets from overnight to two hours, and modify the time that commercial vehicles may be parked on City streets; 2) amending the Administrative Code to require City departments, including but not limited to the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, the Department of Emergency Management, and the Police Department, to assist the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) with administering a Large Vehicle Refuge Permit Program that exempts certain large vehicles from the two-hour parking restriction under certain conditions; 3) urging SFMTA to develop a fair review process and to develop further exceptions to the two-hour restriction as may be needed to support the public interest; 4) amending the Park Code to impose a two-hour parking limit on large vehicles on park property; 5) amending the Port Code to impose two-hour parking limits on large vehicles on Port property; and 6) affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act.

Regards,

John Bullock  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-5184  
[BOS@sfgov.org](mailto:BOS@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

**From:** [Andrew White](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Opposing the Mayor's RV Ban - Give Us Safe Parking Sites and Permanent Housing for RV Residents!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 22, 2025 12:29:15 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I'm a San Francisco resident writing to urge you to strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's 2-hour restriction on RV parking. The proposed policy will seize poor and working class people's only shelter while offering utterly inadequate services in return. I'm particularly concerned that Supervisor Chan and Supervisor Melgar seem willing to accept non-specific commitments from the Mayor's office on refuge permits without pushing for more permanent housing offers for RV residents. The end result is likely to be families poised on a knife edge - waiting years for housing opportunities while constantly worried that their home may be towed if they make a single misstep.

RVs are homes, especially for immigrant families, elderly, and disabled San Franciscans. Over 1,400 City residents live in their vehicles, including 90% of families experiencing unsheltered homelessness. There are 872 people on the family shelter waitlist and 471 people on the adult shelter waitlist. We know as well that existing shelters are often temporary and inadequate. Is it surprising that people would prefer to live in an RV over sleeping on a gymnasium floor where you get kicked out at 7AM?

I am especially dismayed that the Mayor's proposed plan doesn't include safe parking sites. Many elderly residents have been living in their vehicles for decades. It's unrealistic to think that they'll be willing to give up their homes in six months - or a year. Safe parking sites are a proven strategy to meet people where they're at while addressing complaints about street conditions. The City's own failure to run a safe parking site at Candlestick Point isn't an excuse, especially when there's a for-profit RV park operating within SF city limits. If Oakland, San Jose, Fremont, Palo Alto, and Union City can all run safe parking sites affordably, why can't we?

For people living in their vehicles who are ready to move immediately to housing, what's included in the current plan is equally inadequate. There are far more people at risk of having their homes towed than there are housing vouchers, and many of the vouchers being offered are given at the expense of people staying in congregate shelters. An RV or vehicle, while not perfect, is permanent, private housing, and forcing people to give that up in exchange for temporary rental support is unproductive, cruel, and contrary to established research.

I'll conclude by expressing my absolute opposition to the punitive enforcement strategy in the

Mayor's plan, which includes as much funding for signage and tows as it does for helping people. The 2-hour RV parking ban, unlike the housing vouchers, is permanent, and underscores the real purpose of the Mayor's plan: reducing visible poverty, no matter who it hurts. I know SF officials frequently use the existence of 'vanlords' to justify their support for towing policies. While I want to eliminate exploitative landlords as much as anyone, evicting their tenants isn't how we solve this problem. The best way to address predatory behavior is to remove opportunities for predation - by providing permanent, affordable housing.

No one is saying SF shouldn't have a plan for helping our neighbors living in their vehicles, but the solution isn't poverty tows. I'm asking that you push Mayor Lurie and his oligarch pals to come up with a real strategy for addressing this issue, one that includes safe parking sites and real, permanent housing offers for everyone who needs them.

Sincerely,

Andrew White  
metaphysicalrainixi@gmail.com  
1388 Haight Street  
San Francisco , California 94117



**From:** [Arman Musaji](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Opposing the Mayor's RV Ban - Give Us Safe Parking Sites and Permanent Housing for RV Residents!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 22, 2025 3:36:41 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Clerk BOS Clerk,

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I'm a San Francisco resident writing to urge you to strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's 2-hour restriction on RV parking. The proposed policy will seize poor and working class people's only shelter while offering utterly inadequate services in return. I'm particularly concerned that Supervisor Chan and Supervisor Melgar seem willing to accept non-specific commitments from the Mayor's office on refuge permits without pushing for more permanent housing offers for RV residents. The end result is likely to be families poised on a knife edge - waiting years for housing opportunities while constantly worried that their home may be towed if they make a single misstep.

RVs are homes, especially for immigrant families, elderly, and disabled San Franciscans. Over 1,400 City residents live in their vehicles, including 90% of families experiencing unsheltered homelessness. There are 872 people on the family shelter waitlist and 471 people on the adult shelter waitlist. We know as well that existing shelters are often temporary and inadequate. Is it surprising that people would prefer to live in an RV over sleeping on a gymnasium floor where you get kicked out at 7AM?

I am especially dismayed that the Mayor's proposed plan doesn't include safe parking sites. Many elderly residents have been living in their vehicles for decades. It's unrealistic to think that they'll be willing to give up their homes in six months - or a year. Safe parking sites are a proven strategy to meet people where they're at while addressing complaints about street conditions. The City's own failure to run a safe parking site at Candlestick Point isn't an excuse, especially when there's a for-profit RV park operating within SF city limits. If Oakland, San Jose, Fremont, Palo Alto, and Union City can all run safe parking sites affordably, why can't we?

For people living in their vehicles who are ready to move immediately to housing, what's included in the current plan is equally inadequate. There are far more people at risk of having their homes towed than there are housing vouchers, and many of the vouchers being offered are given at the expense of people staying in congregate shelters. An RV or vehicle, while not perfect, is permanent, private housing, and forcing people to give that up in exchange for temporary rental support is unproductive, cruel, and contrary to established research.

I'll conclude by expressing my absolute opposition to the punitive enforcement strategy in the

Mayor's plan, which includes as much funding for signage and tows as it does for helping people. The 2-hour RV parking ban, unlike the housing vouchers, is permanent, and underscores the real purpose of the Mayor's plan: reducing visible poverty, no matter who it hurts. I know SF officials frequently use the existence of 'vanlords' to justify their support for towing policies. While I want to eliminate exploitative landlords as much as anyone, evicting their tenants isn't how we solve this problem. The best way to address predatory behavior is to remove opportunities for predation - by providing permanent, affordable housing.

No one is saying SF shouldn't have a plan for helping our neighbors living in their vehicles, but the solution isn't poverty tows. I'm asking that you push Mayor Lurie and his oligarch pals to come up with a real strategy for addressing this issue, one that includes safe parking sites and real, permanent housing offers for everyone who needs them.

Sincerely,

Arman Musaji  
armanmusaji@gmail.com  
2150 Lakeshore Ave, #3  
Oakland, California 94606

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Operations](#); [Jalipa, Brent \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** 7 Letters Regarding File No. 250655  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 22, 2025 12:17:35 PM  
**Attachments:** [7 Letters Regarding File No. 250655.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see attached 7 letters regarding **File No. 250655:**

Ordinance 1) amending Division I of the Transportation Code to reduce the time that large vehicles may be parked on City streets from overnight to two hours, and modify the time that commercial vehicles may be parked on City streets; 2) amending the Administrative Code to require City departments, including but not limited to the Department of Homelessness and Supportive Housing, the Department of Emergency Management, and the Police Department, to assist the San Francisco Municipal Transportation Agency (SFMTA) with administering a Large Vehicle Refuge Permit Program that exempts certain large vehicles from the two-hour parking restriction under certain conditions; 3) urging SFMTA to develop a fair review process and to develop further exceptions to the two-hour restriction as may be needed to support the public interest; 4) amending the Park Code to impose a two-hour parking limit on large vehicles on park property; 5) amending the Port Code to impose two-hour parking limits on large vehicles on Port property; and 6) affirming the Planning Department's determination under the California Environmental Quality Act.

Regards,

John Bullock  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-5184  
[BOS@sfgov.org](mailto:BOS@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

**From:** [Azucena Hernandez](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** Opposing the Mayor's RV Ban - Give Us Safe Parking Sites and Permanent Housing for RV Residents!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 11:08:16 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I'm a San Francisco resident writing to urge you to strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's 2-hour restriction on RV parking. The proposed policy will seize poor and working class people's only shelter while offering utterly inadequate services in return. I'm particularly concerned that Supervisor Chan and Supervisor Melgar seem willing to accept non-specific commitments from the Mayor's office on refuge permits without pushing for more permanent housing offers for RV residents. The end result is likely to be families poised on a knife edge - waiting years for housing opportunities while constantly worried that their home may be towed if they make a single misstep.

RVs are homes, especially for immigrant families, elderly, and disabled San Franciscans. Over 1,400 City residents live in their vehicles, including 90% of families experiencing unsheltered homelessness. There are 872 people on the family shelter waitlist and 471 people on the adult shelter waitlist. We know as well that existing shelters are often temporary and inadequate. Is it surprising that people would prefer to live in an RV over sleeping on a gymnasium floor where you get kicked out at 7AM?

I am especially dismayed that the Mayor's proposed plan doesn't include safe parking sites. Many elderly residents have been living in their vehicles for decades. It's unrealistic to think that they'll be willing to give up their homes in six months - or a year. Safe parking sites are a proven strategy to meet people where they're at while addressing complaints about street conditions. The City's own failure to run a safe parking site at Candlestick Point isn't an excuse, especially when there's a for-profit RV park operating within SF city limits. If Oakland, San Jose, Fremont, Palo Alto, and Union City can all run safe parking sites affordably, why can't we?

For people living in their vehicles who are ready to move immediately to housing, what's included in the current plan is equally inadequate. There are far more people at risk of having their homes towed than there are housing vouchers, and many of the vouchers being offered are given at the expense of people staying in congregate shelters. An RV or vehicle, while not perfect, is permanent, private housing, and forcing people to give that up in exchange for temporary rental support is unproductive, cruel, and contrary to established research.

I'll conclude by expressing my absolute opposition to the punitive enforcement strategy in the Mayor's plan, which includes as much funding for signage and tows as it does for helping

people. The 2-hour RV parking ban, unlike the housing vouchers, is permanent, and underscores the real purpose of the Mayor's plan: reducing visible poverty, no matter who it hurts. I know SF officials frequently use the existence of 'vanlords' to justify their support for towing policies. While I want to eliminate exploitative landlords as much as anyone, evicting their tenants isn't how we solve this problem. The best way to address predatory behavior is to remove opportunities for predation - by providing permanent, affordable housing.

No one is saying SF shouldn't have a plan for helping our neighbors living in their vehicles, but the solution isn't poverty tows. I'm asking that you push Mayor Lurie and his oligarch pals to come up with a real strategy for addressing this issue, one that includes safe parking sites and real, permanent housing offers for everyone who needs them.

Sincerely,

Azucena Hernandez  
azucenahernandez94112@gmail.com  
626 EDINBURGH ST  
San Francisco, California 94112

**From:** [Ava Ciresi](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Subject:** Opposing the Mayor's RV Ban - Give Us Safe Parking Sites and Permanent Housing for RV Residents!  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 15, 2025 11:27:13 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

BOS Supervisors & Legislative Aides Supervisors & Legislative Aides,

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I'm a San Francisco resident writing to urge you to strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's 2-hour restriction on RV parking. The proposed policy will seize poor and working class people's only shelter while offering utterly inadequate services in return. I'm particularly concerned that Supervisor Chan and Supervisor Melgar seem willing to accept non-specific commitments from the Mayor's office on refuge permits without pushing for more permanent housing offers for RV residents. The end result is likely to be families poised on a knife edge - waiting years for housing opportunities while constantly worried that their home may be towed if they make a single misstep.

RVs are homes, especially for immigrant families, elderly, and disabled San Franciscans. Over 1,400 City residents live in their vehicles, including 90% of families experiencing unsheltered homelessness. There are 872 people on the family shelter waitlist and 471 people on the adult shelter waitlist. We know as well that existing shelters are often temporary and inadequate. Is it surprising that people would prefer to live in an RV over sleeping on a gymnasium floor where you get kicked out at 7AM?

I am especially dismayed that the Mayor's proposed plan doesn't include safe parking sites. Many elderly residents have been living in their vehicles for decades. It's unrealistic to think that they'll be willing to give up their homes in six months - or a year. Safe parking sites are a proven strategy to meet people where they're at while addressing complaints about street conditions. The City's own failure to run a safe parking site at Candlestick Point isn't an excuse, especially when there's a for-profit RV park operating within SF city limits. If Oakland, San Jose, Fremont, Palo Alto, and Union City can all run safe parking sites affordably, why can't we?

For people living in their vehicles who are ready to move immediately to housing, what's included in the current plan is equally inadequate. There are far more people at risk of having their homes towed than there are housing vouchers, and many of the vouchers being offered are given at the expense of people staying in congregate shelters. An RV or vehicle, while not perfect, is permanent, private housing, and forcing people to give that up in exchange for temporary rental support is unproductive, cruel, and contrary to established research.

I'll conclude by expressing my absolute opposition to the punitive enforcement strategy in the Mayor's plan, which includes as much funding for signage and tows as it does for helping

people. The 2-hour RV parking ban, unlike the housing vouchers, is permanent, and underscores the real purpose of the Mayor's plan: reducing visible poverty, no matter who it hurts. I know SF officials frequently use the existence of 'vanlords' to justify their support for towing policies. While I want to eliminate exploitative landlords as much as anyone, evicting their tenants isn't how we solve this problem. The best way to address predatory behavior is to remove opportunities for predation - by providing permanent, affordable housing.

No one is saying SF shouldn't have a plan for helping our neighbors living in their vehicles, but the solution isn't poverty tows. I'm asking that you push Mayor Lurie and his oligarch pals to come up with a real strategy for addressing this issue, one that includes safe parking sites and real, permanent housing offers for everyone who needs them.

Sincerely,

Ava Ciresi  
avaciresi@gmail.com  
76 Homestead St  
San Francisco , California 94114

**From:** [Tenderloin Tenants](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** San Francisco RV Ban  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 9:32:53 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors  
City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: RV Ban

Dear Supervisors,

As organizations who care and fight for seniors, we are deeply disturbed by the RV ban. Approximately half of RV residents are seniors, who have been priced out of the housing market. There is not enough housing in next years budget to meet the needs of folks on the streets, in shelters, let alone those seniors living in RVs. We believe the issues that RV's bring into urban areas can be addressed by opening up safe parking and RV parks, providing sanitation and solar, which is quite inexpensive (\$300-\$500 per RV), along with expansion of affordable housing.

As the affordability crisis grows, this will be a growing issue. We are concerned about the approximately 1,200 homeless seniors on the street and in shelter, who



medically appear on average 25 years senior their calendar year, will lose out on shelter beds given to those in RV's. They are especially vulnerable to premature death. We care about the SF seniors who lose housing when their incomes drop in retirement and lose the option of moving into an RV with this policy, because only those RV's parked in SF before end of May 2025 can be permitted to stay. Homelessness will likely be their only choice. We are concerned that the seniors living in RV's will not get housing before the time period has ended and are unable to navigate getting an extension. We are concerned they will end up on the streets.

For all these reasons, please reject the RV ban.

Tenderloin Tenants seeks to protect and maximize the quality of life for current and future residents.

Regards,

Wilma Gurwork

**Tenderloin Tenants**

**From:** [Central City Democrats](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** RV Ban legislation proposal  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 9:43:57 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors  
City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102

July 17, 2025

Re: RV Ban

Dear Supervisors,

Central City Democrats membership cares and fight for seniors, we are deeply disturbed by the RV ban. Approximately half of RV residents are seniors, who have been priced out of the housing market. There is not enough housing in next years budget to meet the needs of folks on the streets, in shelters, let alone those seniors living in RVs. We believe the issues that RV's bring into urban areas can be addressed by opening up safe parking and RV parks, providing sanitation and solar, which is quite inexpensive (\$300-\$500 per RV), along with expansion of affordable housing.

As the affordability crisis grows, this will be a growing issue. We are concerned about the approximately 1,200 homeless seniors on the street and in shelter, who medically appear on average 25 years senior their calendar year, will lose out on shelter beds given to those in RV's. They are especially vulnerable to premature death. We care about the SF seniors who lose housing when their incomes drop in retirement and lose the option of moving into an RV with this policy, because only those RV's parked in SF before end of May 2025 can be permitted to stay. Homelessness will likely be their only choice. We are concerned that the seniors living in RV's will not get housing before the time period has ended and are unable to navigate getting an extension. We are concerned they will end up on the streets.

Thank you for considering this pressing issue, as the implications of this decision will resonate far beyond the present, affecting generations of San Franciscans to come. It is imperative to understand that the choices made today will shape the political landscape of tomorrow, influencing how future initiatives are crafted, debated, and implemented, and ultimately determining the level of trust that citizens place in their government. The importance of standing firm against changes that weaken voter protections cannot be overstated; it is a matter of preserving the democratic ideals that San Francisco stands for and ensuring that the voices of its citizens remain paramount in the governance of their city. The future of civic engagement, the strength of community initiatives, and the very essence of participatory democracy hinge on the decisions made in this moment, highlighting the critical need for vigilance, advocacy, and unwavering commitment to the principles that uphold the rights of all citizens to be heard and respected in the political arena.

Regards,

For all these reasons, please reject the RV ban.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Denise Dorey  
Co-President

## ***Central City Democrats***

P.O. Box 420846

San Francisco, CA 94142-0846

415-339-VOTE (8683) v/Fax

[centralcitydemocrats@yahoo.com](mailto:centralcitydemocrats@yahoo.com)

**Bringing downtown merchants and residents together since 2006.**

<http://centralcitydemocrats.blogspot.com/>

**From:** [TAC](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Modifying the RV Ban  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 9:55:48 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

**Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors  
City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102**

**Re: RV Ban**

**Dear San Francisco Board of Supervisors,**

**Tenant Associations Coalition of San Francisco truly cares and fight for seniors and disabled people, we are deeply disturbed by the RV ban. Approximately half of RV residents are seniors, who have been priced out of the housing market. There is not enough housing in next years budget to meet the needs of folks on the streets, in shelters, let alone those seniors living in RVs. We believe the issues that RV's bring into urban areas can be addressed by opening up safe parking and RV parks, providing sanitation and solar, which is quite inexpensive (\$300-\$500 per RV), along with expansion of affordable housing.**

**As the affordability crisis grows, this will be a growing issue. We are concerned about the approximately 1,200 homeless seniors on the street and in shelter, who medically appear on average 25 years senior their calendar year, will lose out on shelter beds given to those in RV's. They are especially vulnerable to premature death. We care about the SF seniors who lose housing when their incomes drop in retirement and lose the option of moving into an RV with this policy, because only those RV's parked in SF before end of May 2025 can be permitted to stay. Homelessness will likely be their only choice. We are concerned that the seniors living in RV's will not get housing before the time period has ended and are unable to navigate getting an extension. We are concerned they will end up on the streets.**

**Thank you for considering this pressing issue, as the implications of this decision will resonate far beyond the present, affecting generations of San Franciscans to come. It is imperative to understand that the choices made today will shape the political landscape of tomorrow, influencing how future initiatives are crafted, debated, and implemented, and ultimately determining the level of trust that citizens place in their government. The importance of standing firm against changes that weaken voter protections cannot be overstated; it is a matter of preserving the democratic ideals that San Francisco stands for and ensuring that the voices of its citizens remain paramount in the governance of their city. The future of civic engagement, the strength of community initiatives, and the very essence of participatory democracy hinge on the decisions made in this moment, highlighting the critical need for vigilance, advocacy, and unwavering commitment to the principles that uphold the rights of all citizens to be**

**heard and respected in the political arena.**

**For all these reasons, please vote to reject the RV ban.**

**Regards,**

**Susan Bryan  
Co-Founder**

**Tenant Associations Coalition of San Francisco**

P.O. Box 420846;

San Francisco, CA 94142-0846

415-339-8327 Voice / 415-820-1565 Fax

<http://15thanniversarytac.blogspot.com/>

<http://tenantassociationscoalition.blogspot.com/>

<http://groups.yahoo.com/group/TenantAssociationsCoalition/>

**Serving San Francisco since September, 1997**

**From:** [Michael Nulty](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** San Francisco RV Ban proposal legislation  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 10:27:23 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors  
City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: San Francisco RV Ban proposal legislation

San Francisco Board of Supervisors,

The Alliance for a Better District 6 deeply cares and fights for seniors and disabled people; we are deeply disturbed to hear about the current legislation on the RV ban. Approximately half of RV residents are seniors, who have been priced out of the housing market. There is not enough housing in next year's budget to meet the needs of folks on the streets, in shelters, let alone those seniors living in RVs. We believe the issues that RVs bring into urban areas can be addressed by opening up safe parking and RV parks, providing sanitation and solar energy, which is quite inexpensive (\$300-\$500 per RV), along with the expansion of affordable housing.

It's a significant issue that many seniors are facing today.

Approximately **half of RV residents** are indeed seniors, and many have found themselves priced out of the traditional housing market. Here are some key points to consider:

1. **Growing Interest in Senior Housing:** The senior housing sector is seeing increased interest, with occupancy rates returning to pre-pandemic levels. This indicates a demand for more affordable options.
2. **Concerns About Homelessness:** Advocates are worried about a rising number of homeless individuals over **60 years old** as affordable housing options dwindle. Many seniors are struggling to find stable living situations.
3. **RV Living as an Alternative:** For some, living in RVs has become a viable solution. The median age of RV owners is approximately **53 years**, and many use RVs as a means to maintain a semblance of home while managing costs.

As the affordability crisis grows, this will be a growing issue. We are concerned about the approximately 1,200 homeless seniors on the street and in shelters, who medically appear on average 25 years senior to their calendar year, will lose out on shelter beds given to those in RVs. They are especially vulnerable to premature death. We care about the SF seniors who lose housing when their incomes drop in

retirement and lose the option of moving into an RV with this policy, because only those RVs parked in SF before the end of May 2025 can be permitted to stay. Homelessness will likely be their only choice. We are concerned that seniors living in RVs may not secure housing before the deadline and are unable to obtain an extension. We are concerned they will end up on the streets.

For all these reasons, please vote to reject the RV ban.

The Alliance for A Better District 6 membership appreciates your consideration.

Sincerely,

Michael Nulty  
Executive Director  
Alliance for a Better District 6

***Michael Nulty***

P.O. Box 420782  
San Francisco, CA 94142-0782  
(415) 339-8327 - Direct  
(415) 339-8779 - Alliance for a Better District 6  
<http://abd6.cfsites.org/>

**From:** [Jennifer Friedenbach](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Cc:** [Andrews, Michelle \(BOS\)](#); [Bell, Tita \(BOS\)](#); [Burch, Percy \(BOS\)](#); [Burke, Robyn \(BOS\)](#); [Chan, Connie \(BOS\)](#); [Chen, Chyanne \(BOS\)](#); [Chin, Linshao \(BOS\)](#); [Chung, Lauren \(BOS\)](#); [Cooper, Raynell \(BOS\)](#); [Brian Dahl](#); [Mick Del Rosario](#); [Del Rosario, Mick \(BOS\)](#); [DeRuff, Henry \(BOS\)](#); [Donovan, Dominica \(BOS\)](#); [Dorsey, Matt \(BOS\)](#); [Ebadi, Mahanaz \(BOS\)](#); [Engardio, Joel \(BOS\)](#); [Mike Farrah](#); [Ferrigno, Jennifer \(BOS\)](#); [Fieber, Jennifer \(BOS\)](#); [Gallardo, Tracy \(BOS\)](#); [Gee, Natalie \(BOS\)](#); [Goldberg, Jonathan \(BOS\)](#); [Jessica Gutierrez Garcia](#); [Anh Ha](#); [Ho, Calvin \(BOS\)](#); [Hsieh, Frances \(BOS\)](#); [Kilgore, Preston \(BOS\)](#); [Amy Lee](#); [Esther Lee \(AIR\)](#); [Lempert, Veronica \(BOS\)](#); [Samantha Logan](#); [Lindsey Lopez-weaver](#); [Low, Jen \(BOS\)](#); [Macfie, William \(BOS\)](#); [Mahmood, Bilal \(BOS\)](#); [Mandelman, Rafael \(BOS\)](#); [Melgar, Myrna \(BOS\)](#); [Nagano, Tomio \(BOS\)](#); [David Owen](#); [Prager, Jackie \(BOS\)](#); [Rosas, Lorenzo \(BOS\)](#); [Sauter, Danny \(BOS\)](#); [Sciammas, Charlie \(BOS\)](#); [Sherrill, Stephen \(BOS\)](#); [Madison Tam](#); [Walton, Shamann \(BOS\)](#); [Yan, Calvin \(BOS\)](#); [Yu, Angelina \(BOS\)](#); [Lukas Illa](#); [Shakema Straker](#)  
**Subject:** RV Ban Hurts Seniors  
**Date:** Monday, July 21, 2025 4:52:08 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Honorable Members, Board of Supervisors  
City Hall  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place  
San Francisco, CA 94102

Re: RV Ban

Dear Supervisors,

As organizations who care and fight for seniors, we are deeply disturbed by the RV ban. Approximately half of RV residents are seniors, who have been priced out of the housing market. There is not enough housing in next years budget to meet the needs of folks on the streets, in shelters, let alone those seniors living in RVs. We believe the issues that RV's bring into urban areas can be addressed by opening up safe parking and RV parks, providing sanitation and solar, which is quite inexpensive (\$300-\$500 per RV), along with expansion of affordable housing.

As the affordability crisis grows, this will be a growing issue. We are concerned about the approximately 1,200 homeless seniors on the street and in shelter, who medically appear on average 25 years senior their calendar year, will lose out on shelter beds given to those in RV's. They are especially vulnerable to premature death. We care about the SF seniors who lose housing when their incomes drop in retirement and lose the option of moving into an RV with this policy, because only those RV's parked in SF before end of May 2025 can be permitted to stay. Homelessness will likely be their only choice. We are concerned that the seniors living in RV's will not get housing before the time period has ended and are unable to navigate getting an extension. We are concerned they will end up on the streets.

For all these reasons, please reject the RV ban.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Undersigned Organizations

---

Lyon-Martin Community Health Services



Western Regional Advocacy Project  
Central City Democrats  
Tenant Associations Coalition of San Francisco  
Theatre District Neighbors  
Tenderloin Tenants  
North of Market Planning Coalition  
Alliance for a Better District 6  
Tenderloin People's Congress  
ABD/Skywatchers  
The Transgender District  
Faithful Fools  
Openhouse  
Senior and Disability Action  
Phatt Chance Community Services  
LBFE (Little Brothers-Friends of the Elderly)  
San Francisco Latino Task Force  
United to Save the Mission  
personal cause I can't get approval by Monday.  
miss Major Alexander L Lee TGIJP  
SALT Association  
Tongans Rise Up  
All Islanders Gathering As One  
SALT Association  
San Francisco South Pacific  
SFLPEC  
Legal Assistance to the Elderly  
La Raza Centro Legal

---

Jennifer Friedenbach (she,her)

[jfriedenbach@cohsf.org](mailto:jfriedenbach@cohsf.org)

Coalition on Homelessness

280 Turk Street

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415)346-3740

[https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/\\_\\_\\_http://www.cohsf.org/\\_\\_\\_YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpmZDVmMjZiZDI4NjlxZDMxYWE3MGI3Yjk3MWY1NTAwMT03OmNiYTE6NDYwYzc2ZjRIYTl5ZDM4NjQxMjJhYThjMjQzYTA3OTUyOTU4YzAxY2E5OTkxODA5NTM0Y2Q2YzVmNmYxNGVkeOTp0OIQ6Tg](https://url.avanan.click/v2/r01/___http://www.cohsf.org/___YXAzOnNmZHQyOmE6bzpmZDVmMjZiZDI4NjlxZDMxYWE3MGI3Yjk3MWY1NTAwMT03OmNiYTE6NDYwYzc2ZjRIYTl5ZDM4NjQxMjJhYThjMjQzYTA3OTUyOTU4YzAxY2E5OTkxODA5NTM0Y2Q2YzVmNmYxNGVkeOTp0OIQ6Tg)

Twitter @TheCoalitionSF

Instagram [coalitiononhomelessness](#)

[Facebook](#)

[Donate now](#) to the Coalition on Homelessness!

*“Love is an action, never just a feeling.”*

*Bell Hooks*

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Operations](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** 14 Letters Regarding File Nos. 250700\_701\_727  
**Date:** Thursday, July 24, 2025 12:16:20 PM  
**Attachments:** [14 Letters Regarding File No. 250700\\_701\\_727.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see attached 14 letters regarding File Nos.:

[250700](#): Zoning Map - Family Zoning Plan

[250701](#): Planning, Business and Tax Regulations Codes - Family Zoning Plan

[250727](#): Opposing California State Senate Bill No. 79 (Wiener) Unless Amended -  
Housing Development: Transit- Oriented Development

Regards,

John Bullock

Office of the Clerk of the Board

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-5184

[BOS@sfgov.org](mailto:BOS@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

**From:** cristal\_parsons@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Cristal Parsons  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning [Files: 250700, 250701]  
**Date:** Thursday, July 24, 2025 10:11:59 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):

Sincerely,  
Cristal Parsons  
San Francisco, CA 94133

**From:** [fhochschild@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:fhochschild@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Frances Hochschild](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 22, 2025 12:10:34 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):

Sincerely,  
Frances Hochschild  
San Francisco, CA 94115

**From:** [lynnemerryburwell@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:lynnemerryburwell@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Lynne Burwell](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 22, 2025 1:12:22 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Like most San Franciscans, I hear sad stories of people being evicted from affordable rentals and forced to leave the city. The mayor's plan does nothing to address the displacement problem. Instead, it will encourage expensive housing in ever-taller buildings, with a very small amount going toward affordable housing.

The strength of San Francisco is in its neighborhoods and the community that neighborhoods foster. Plunking down high-rise buildings in low-rise neighborhoods will destroy the fabric of our city.

I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

Please feel free to contact me if you have any questions.

Sincerely,  
Lynne Burwell  
San Francisco, CA 94118

**From:** [nadyanomad@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:nadyanomad@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Nadya Williams](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 22, 2025 4:17:03 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

The great majority of San Franciscans do not want the beauty, human scale, unique architecture and community character of The City destroyed for real estate wealth. We are not fooled. It is a place for the billionaire/trillionaire class to park their money! They don't care if the Luxury condos and office spaces are largely vacant. We know the falsehoods of the promise of "affordable" housing.

We know the funding behind Mahmood, Sauter and Weiner!

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):

Sincerely,  
Nadya Williams  
San Francisco, CA 94133

**From:** [blindauer46@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:blindauer46@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Bonnie Lindauer](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 22, 2025 4:21:02 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors. This is not the San Francisco I have lived in and loved for over 30 years. Luxury or even middle-class housing is not needed. What is needed is true affordable housing for the working class.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

I don't want to join a friend who is leaving San Francisco for the reason that it's too expensive, so PLEASE do NOT support Lurie's and Weiner's actions.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):

Sincerely,  
Bonnie Lindauer  
San Francisco, CA 94134



**From:** [rhiannonwelch@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:rhiannonwelch@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Rhiannon Welch](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning [Files: 250700, 250701]  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 23, 2025 9:54:27 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):

Sincerely,  
Rhiannon Welch  
San Francisco, CA 94117

**From:** [Kburgigrass@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:Kburgigrass@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Karen Grass](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning [Files: 250700, 250701]  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 23, 2025 11:43:42 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional): We have lived in Cow Hollow for over 40 years (husband native SF Franciscan!), proud and involved neighborhood home owners who are now helping raise next generation of family...our neighborhood is already congested and crowded to maximum; please DO NOT add anymore housing to this part of the City when there are open/and or less crowded areas to consider...we would like to retire and continue to be involved here in our neighborhood, and see our grown children and grandchildren thrive here, vs. considering moving out of our beloved City...thank you for considering, please visit our neighborhood at any time and see what I am concerned about...Karen Grass, RN

Sincerely,  
Karen Grass  
San Francisco, CA 94123

**From:** [baughmancardenas@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:baughmancardenas@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Deborah Cardenas](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning [Files: 250700, 250701]  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 23, 2025 11:59:45 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a world traveling San Franciscan born in the Presidio, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors all based on a housing study that is still challenged for accuracy.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline
- Find alternative ways to house the unhoused with the many empty housing units we currently have.

Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees. I have been to many countries that were made true concrete jungles due to bad and short sighted planning.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

Let's see some transparency here. Where is the money that is driving this and who stands to make it? There is a lot of distrust in our city government right now due to the budget overruns, fraud, missing funds, etc. Turn it around by really proving that changing the nature of our city is required and no other alternative will solve the problem.

Sincerely,  
Deborah Cardenas  
San Francisco, CA 94109

**From:** [sandra.derian@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:sandra.derian@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Sandra Derian](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning [Files: 250700, 250701]  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 23, 2025 12:21:24 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

**ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):**

This plan will lead to evicting people who have lived in the community for 30+ years. You want to evict people who have contributed by caring for others in the community in various ways that benefit fellow neighbors and small businesses. Where will the people in the buildings being upzoned go when there is ZERO affordable housing that matches their current monthly rent expense? You're not evicting the wealthy. You're evicting low income and middle income, hard-working long-term residents without any solution to give them equal housing during the construction period. We are people who have made a life in the community by being employed within the city limits, by paying for SFMTA services, and furthermore, displacing us will be a detriment to each person's life, negatively impacting their relations who live within close proximity; upsetting the lives of hundreds of thousands of people who don't have first month, last month, and a security deposit in their savings to find a new place to live during construction. We don't have the funds to pay movers. We don't know a person with a truck who wants to help us move our entire apartment to a new place. Upzoning will lead to further gentrification of neighborhoods. Whole areas of the city will lose their unique character. Manhattanizing SF will only further encourage speculative real estate practices for the millionaires and foreign investors. Please do not upzone and displace the hundreds of thousands of people who have made San Francisco unique to other Bay Area cities.

Sincerely,  
Sandra Derian  
San Francisco, CA 94109

**From:** [lizschenk@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:lizschenk@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Liz Schenk](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning [Files: 250700, 250701]  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 23, 2025 4:27:35 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):

Sincerely,  
Liz Schenk  
San Francisco, CA 94109

**From:** [catherinebehrens@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:catherinebehrens@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Catherine Behrens](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning [Files: 250700, 250701]  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 23, 2025 4:57:25 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I support affordable housing accommodations, particularly for essential workers in SF so they can live where they work. However, I oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which appears to be extreme and overshoots the mark. The heights of the buildings proposed will likely result in transforming our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors rather than providing affordable housing. In addition, the upzoning is excessive and incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses. Russian Hill/North Beach is a beautiful and historic neighborhood that is not only home to many San Franciscans who live and work here, but also attracts significant numbers of tourists who wish to enjoy the beauty and hospitality that the neighborhood offers.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

Please look at the bigger picture and reconsider these plans. As we are rapidly learning, in addition to the immediate impact of policy changes, there are also long-lasting and often irreversible consequences that result from myopic and hasty decisions.

Thank you for your attention to this issue and for your continued service to San Francisco.

Sincerely,  
Catherine Behrens  
San Francisco, CA 94133

**From:** [soundsationally@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:soundsationally@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Brian Ziffer](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning [Files: 250700, 250701]  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 23, 2025 5:36:53 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

BUILDING UP IS NOT THE ANSWER!!!!!! As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional): This is OUR city, not the mayors office or the developers. People's voices should be heard on any single environmental and structural change that affects our lives, for months ( construction, delays, traffic, noise, pollution, destruction) and for years.. ( views, sunlight, congestion, parking)

Sincerely,  
Brian Ziffer  
San Francisco, CA 94121

**From:** [cadamb@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:cadamb@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Brendan Cadsm](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning [Files: 250700, 250701]  
**Date:** Thursday, July 24, 2025 1:01:01 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):

Sincerely,  
Brendan Cadsm  
San Francisco, CA 94124



**From:** gregg@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Gregg Butensky  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning [Files: 250700, 250701]  
**Date:** Thursday, July 24, 2025 11:07:58 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I'm writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed upzoning plan.

As a San Franciscan, I'm very concerned that this plan will drive up rents, displace long-term tenants, push out small businesses, and cause irreparable harm to our neighborhoods.

While change is inevitable in a city such as ours, it's critical that we collectively work for the common good. The current upzoning plan will require the demolition of many buildings, resulting in the displacement of lower income residents and small businesses.

Especially given the current national climate, it is paramount that San Francisco retains its values and cares for all of its residents.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

Sincerely,  
Gregg Butensky

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Operations](#); [Carroll, John \(BOS\)](#); [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** 48 Letters Regarding File Nos. 250700\_701\_727  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 22, 2025 12:23:27 PM  
**Attachments:** [48 Letters Regarding File Nos. 250700\\_701\\_727.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see attached 48 letters regarding File Nos.:

[250700:](#) Zoning Map - Family Zoning Plan

[250701:](#) Planning, Business and Tax Regulations Codes - Family Zoning Plan

[250727:](#) Opposing California State Senate Bill No. 79 (Wiener) Unless Amended - Housing Development: Transit- Oriented Development

Regards,

John Bullock

Office of the Clerk of the Board

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-5184

[BOS@sfgov.org](mailto:BOS@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

**From:** [Josinsf@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:Josinsf@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Josephine Lucchesi](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 16, 2025 4:12:08 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):

Sincerely,  
Josephine Lucchesi  
San Francisco, CA 94133

**From:** [marygassert@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:marygassert@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Mary Gassert](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 16, 2025 5:18:12 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

**ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):**

These proposals go too far. They will destroy the neighborhoods that make San Francisco desirable to families. There is absolutely no guarantee that any of the new housing will benefit those who need it. There is no guarantee that the new housing will incorporate outdoor space for a more livable environment. There is no preservation of the architecture for which San Francisco is famous. The only developments that will likely be built are luxury towers along the water. San Franciscans are not naive, we know this is the result of development money that has been pouring into the politicians coffers. Stand up to the corrupting influence of big money.

Sincerely,  
Mary Gassert  
San Francisco, CA 94109

**From:** [pjduff@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:pjduff@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Patricia J. Duff](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 16, 2025 5:29:36 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

I object to the destruction of historic buildings in upzoned areas that have never been formally evaluated for listing on a historic register as required by CEQA and will not be given further consideration once the upzoning initiative has been approved.

I object to the characterization by housing proponents of historic building owners. Most of us did not inherit our property but saved for years for a down payment on homes with long-deferred maintenance. It was our sweat equity that provided the homes that are so precious to us today. We do not oppose new housing. We just want to have a say in new construction that affects or destroys our historic homes and neighborhoods.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as affordability. This is a nationwide effort by corporate real estate interests! It is happening in Seattle, WA, Denver, CO and San Diego. To name a few. The massive real estate speculation this plan is likely to ignite will not solve San Francisco's affordability crisis.

Sincerely,  
Patricia J Duff  
San Francisco, CA 94127

**From:** [bellacatus@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:bellacatus@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Catherine Bellin](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 16, 2025 6:22:15 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):

Sincerely,  
Catherine Bellin  
San Francisco, CA 94117

**From:** [hobb2@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:hobb2@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Sherri Samu](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 16, 2025 6:33:10 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):

Sincerely,  
Sherri Samu  
San Francisco, CA 94122

**From:** [barbaradelaney@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:barbaradelaney@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Barbara Delaney](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 16, 2025 7:09:58 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Please reject this bill. It is not a good fit for development in San Francisco and threatens to erase all that is unique about the city.

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):

Sincerely,  
Barbara Delaney  
San Francisco, CA 94122



**From:** [barakosmd@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:barakosmd@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Jerome Barakos](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 16, 2025 7:57:34 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional): Let's build the projects that are already approved rather than starting with new zoning first.

Sincerely,  
Jerome Barakos  
San Francisco, CA 94121

**From:** [abxguba@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:abxguba@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Andrew Barakos](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 16, 2025 7:58:13 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional): Let's build the projects that are already approved rather than starting with new zoning first.

Sincerely,  
Andrew Barakos  
San Francisco, CA 94121

**From:** [angryoctopus@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:angryoctopus@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Stuart Barakos](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 16, 2025 7:58:50 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional): Let's build the projects that are already approved rather than starting with new zoning first.

Sincerely,  
Stuart Barakos  
San Francisco, CA 94121

**From:** [mark.r.berwick@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:mark.r.berwick@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Mark Berwick](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 16, 2025 8:04:29 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):

Sincerely,  
Mark Berwick  
San Francisco, CA 94123

**From:** [zike13.5@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:zike13.5@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [michael zabelle](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 16, 2025 8:19:03 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional): The forcing of high rise apt / condo buildings is extremely bad for SF and it's citizens. If SF was just another city like LA or Sacramento then I would have a different opinion but SF is a jewel of the world. SF is arguably the most beautiful city in the world yet Scot Weiner treats it like the above mentioned cities. Please protect the beauty of each SF neighborhood and stop Scot Weiner's urban renewal plan. Please.

Sincerely,  
michael zabelle  
San Francisco, CA 94117

**From:** [artiekramer@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:artiekramer@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Arthur Kramer](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 16, 2025 10:20:55 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):

Sincerely,  
Arthur Kramer  
San Francisco, CA 94118

**From:** [mtcarhart@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:mtcarhart@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Matthew Carhart](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 16, 2025 11:02:50 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):

Sincerely,  
Matthew Carhart  
San Francisco, CA 94114

**From:** [racwar@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:racwar@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Rachel Ward](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 7:57:35 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

This is the 3rd letter I have written on this subject given I feel SO strongly about it.

I voted for Daniel Lurie - but if this plan goes through - I shall never again.

I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps especially along Lombard Street.
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

I can only see the benefits for developers - not for those who actually currently live in the Cow Hollow & Marina communities.

Sincerely,  
Rachel Ward  
San Francisco, CA 94123



**From:** [travlsprt@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:travlsprt@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Annemarie Rossi](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 8:07:54 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps or better yet eliminate the possibility of his bill passing.
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning. Planning requires consideration of resources available and repurposing empty or mostly vacant buildings.

I live at 1835 Golden Gate Ave. There is a monstrosity of a building behind my residence that has no business being there at over 9 stories high. It's out of character, out of place for the neighborhood and I can't sit silent and let this happen elsewhere in our city.

Please, I urge you to find another way.

Sincerely,  
Annemarie Rossi  
San Francisco, CA 94115

**From:** [romalynschmaltz@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:romalynschmaltz@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Romalyn Schmaltz](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 8:15:05 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Esp. Attention to my new supervisor Danny Sauter:

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):

Sincerely,  
Romalyn Schmaltz  
San Francisco, CA 94133

**From:** [priscillamuniz1@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:priscillamuniz1@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Priscilla Muniz](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 9:45:27 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):

Sincerely,  
Priscilla Muniz  
San Francisco, CA 94123

**From:** [julssf35@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:julssf35@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Juli Sante](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 9:49:23 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):

Sincerely,  
Juli Sante  
San Francisco, CA 94123

**From:** [ntdc@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:ntdc@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Neil Dellacava](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 9:53:41 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

**ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):**

I think you've miss the economics. It's very expensive to build in San Francisco. How can our fireman teachers and even policeman afford the rentals in the city of San Francisco. The numbers just don't make sense. I'd love to see the affordability index that you folks create. I just read about a recent plan where developers paid the right price and were able to increase the number of units and decrease the number of local housing. You guys are kidding Going forward I don't believe I can support any politician who supports this initiative. Thank you.

Sincerely,  
Neil Dellacava  
San Francisco, CA 94123

**From:** [r\\_bloch@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:r_bloch@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Rene Bloch](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 9:58:24 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):

Sincerely,  
Rene Bloch  
San Francisco, CA 94116

**From:** [denisemgeorge@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:denisemgeorge@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Denise George](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 10:22:31 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):

Sincerely,  
Denise George  
San Francisco, CA 94117

**From:** [buckbagot@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:buckbagot@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Buck Bagot](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 10:29:47 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):

The upzoning plan is an exercise in Reaganomic tricolor down theory. Build 100% affordable housing. No marketrate housing.

Sincerely,  
Buck Bagot  
San Francisco, CA 94110



**From:** [carole.stahlkopf@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:carole.stahlkopf@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Carole Stahlkopf](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 11:19:03 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Dear Lisa Chen and Myrna Melgar,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

As a 50+ year resident of this city, what I have loved the most about this city is its immense diversity in culture, life style, and neighborhoods. Should you prefer living in a lively neighborhood, there is SOMA; should you prefer an area with small shops and many restaurants, there is the Sacramento Street area; should you prefer living in a quiet neighborhood, there is my neighborhood, Ingleside Terraces. Choices like these make San Francisco the fascinating and unique city that it is. The homogenization of housing as proposed will diminish the value and appeal of the choices available to residents.

Given the number of housing permits already approved, I ask that there be a thoughtful delay in making a decision on housing that will have such potentially drastic effects on the residents of San Francisco.

Sincerely,  
Carole Stahlkopf  
149 Moncada Way  
San Francisco CA 94127

Sincerely,  
Carole Stahlkopf  
San Francisco, CA 94127

**From:** [madyjones@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:madyjones@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Mady Jones](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 11:46:00 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):

I have lived in SF for 55 years. I remember well the devastating effects of the Fillmore Urban Renewal. Please don't make similar mistakes now. We need more housing but we need to respect historical neighborhoods.

Sincerely,  
Mady Jones  
San Francisco, CA 94115

**From:** [richard-marini@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:richard-marini@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Richard Marini](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 12:27:01 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a native San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Senator Weiner and Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional): There is no guarantee nor plan that ensures that the changes will provide "affordable" housing. It will forever change the city that we know and love into a fragmented cluster of buildings serving the rich.

The city cannot even keep up with its current issues like street and sidewalk repair, infrastructure maintenance including sewers and trees. Please tell me how it will keep up with this growth plan?

Upzoning etc. is not why I voted for the current leadership and will certainly be the reason they will be VOTED OUT when the time comes. Hopefully the damage will not be irreversible by then.

Sincerely,  
Richard Marini  
San Francisco, CA 94123

**From:** [maryksullivan4@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:maryksullivan4@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Mary Sullivan](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 12:29:07 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):

Sincerely,  
Mary Sullivan  
San Francisco, CA 94133

**From:** [seaward94122@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:seaward94122@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [STEVE WARD](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 12:50:27 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

In the most dense city west of the Hudson River we must develop with discretion to preserve SF's world renowned character and the quality of life FOR OUR CURRENT RESIDENTS! Reduce the office vacancy to 10% before approving any up zoning in neighborhoods not desiring it through repurposing to mixed use. This is the economic and environmental best strategy.  
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):

Sincerely,  
STEVE WARD  
San Francisco, CA 94122

**From:** [upzoneoverreachemail@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:upzoneoverreachemail@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Vance Nesbitt](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 2:31:26 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

KEEP SAN FRANCISCO, SAN FRANCISCO!

Sincerely,  
Vance Nesbitt  
San Francisco, CA 94123

**From:** [padysplace@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:padysplace@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Luis Pine](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 5:44:38 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):

How can we correct a situation whereby an Industry (Building) whose priority is profiting, gets to influence public offices about the need and parameters for building? Or, to put it another way, how do we correct our public officials (from city supervisors, state assembly and governor), who are protecting and prioritizing the will of an industry instead of the need of the citizens of a city whom they're required to represent?

Sincerely,  
Luis Pine  
San Francisco, CA 94122

**From:** [ayun112@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:ayun112@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Andersen Yun](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 7:29:52 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional): Building new buildings has never in the history of San Francisco resulted in the needed amount of affordable housing. We need to rethink the idea that new buildings = affordable housing if we turn over land to rich, corporate developers, who only have profits in mind. As an example, the newish building on Sloat near 47th Ave is already being repaired, and the building on Ocean and Miramar has new scaffolding around it for needed repairs. Neither of these buildings offer affordable housing, yet the build quality is shoddy. Add to that the relaxation of building codes in the name of the economy and turning back CEQA regulations, and the residents of San Francisco can expect high rents, shoddy buildings and the continued growth of RV's on the street and tent cities, as well as a degraded environment. Please oppose SB79 and the upzoning deadline!!

Sincerely,  
Andersen Yun  
San Francisco, CA 94112



**From:** [alan94117@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:alan94117@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Alan Collins](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Thursday, July 17, 2025 11:37:16 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):

Sincerely,  
Alan Collins  
San Francisco, CA 94107

**From:** [quinnevent@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:quinnevent@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Phyllis Quinn](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Friday, July 18, 2025 1:13:36 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

Stop trying to make San Francisco the land of expensive Towers! Do not destroy our neighborhoods! Go somewhere else!

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (see above):

Sincerely,  
Phyllis Quinn  
San Francisco, CA 94109

**From:** [bailey.kathyr@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:bailey.kathyr@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Kathy Bailey](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Friday, July 18, 2025 3:41:48 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

Have increased traffic and parking [and other infrastructure] issues been seriously considered?

Sincerely,  
Kathy Bailey  
San Francisco, CA 94122

**From:** [smoughan@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:smoughan@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Sarah Moughan](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Friday, July 18, 2025 8:24:30 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which destroys the character of our most beautiful and historic neighborhoods by replacing lovely buildings with 6 story towers.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):

Sincerely,  
Sarah Moughan  
San Francisco, CA 94123

**From:** [bilgepump100@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:bilgepump100@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Robert Hall](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Friday, July 18, 2025 9:32:09 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):

Sincerely,  
Robert Hall  
San Francisco, CA 94117

**From:** [diana.giampaoli@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:diana.giampaoli@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Diana Giampaoli](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Saturday, July 19, 2025 8:28:48 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

Please we ask for you to keep the building to a 3 to 4 story minimum. This won't be affordable housing. People won't take the transportation along Lombard. Right now it's for Google, Facebook, etc at Silicon Valley. SF has enough buildings for housing. STOP THE UPZONING. Thank you.

Sincerely,  
Diana Giampaoli  
San Francisco, CA 94123

**From:** [angieschuppek@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:angieschuppek@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Angelique Schuppek](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Saturday, July 19, 2025 9:31:42 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):

I live in North Beach. I love our neighborhood full of character and beautiful views! This is why I moved here. I don't want to change it into a neighborhood full of modern buildings. Thank you!

Sincerely,  
Angelique Schuppek  
San Francisco, CA 94133

**From:** [schuppek@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:schuppek@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Richard Schuppek](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Saturday, July 19, 2025 3:38:21 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

I strongly oppose the upzoning in North Beach, where we live, as that will take away from charm and community feel which we love about our neighborhood and of the City. Thank you.

Sincerely,  
Richard Schuppek  
San Francisco, CA 94133



**From:** [krf.1sf@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:krf.1sf@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Karen Franklin](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Saturday, July 19, 2025 6:07:41 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):

Sincerely,  
Karen Franklin  
San Francisco, CA 94109

**From:** [pennyfino@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:pennyfino@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Penelope Finocchiaro](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Sunday, July 20, 2025 5:24:04 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as mandate.

Never disregard our elegant city and how careful planning has added growth with reason. I don't understand the urgency for demolition and building where it is not necessary. It's about money. What about huge deserted areas that could be repurposed- like the block of the old flower mart.

I'm a longtime owner in North Beach/telegraph hill. Yes to improving long deserted properties but NOT destroying everyone's waterfront views for the few privileged. Disgusting.

Sincerely,  
Penelope Finocchiaro  
San Francisco, CA 94133

**From:** [jim-connelly@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:jim-connelly@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [jim.connelly](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Sunday, July 20, 2025 6:31:16 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

This is insane! We have lived in Cow Hollow for nearly 50 years and have never seen such an assault on the neighborhood that we love.

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):

Sincerely,  
jim connelly  
San Francisco, CA 94123

**From:** [aj.t.swoboda@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:aj.t.swoboda@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [AJ Swoboda](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Monday, July 21, 2025 6:47:19 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

My wife and I are raising our family in Jordan Park, a neighborhood that is ALREADY adding 1300+ housing units without all of these insane plans the Mayor is proposing. We want development and we believe in SF, but we also can't adopt a tech policy of "move fast; break things" when it comes to something so permanent and long lasting. I have spoke at two hearings in City Hall about how thoughtless the Mayor's initial (and still current version) of zoning is. He and his administration are clearly looking to ram plans through by relying on citizens to either be unaware or to eventually give up fighting.

Sincerely,  
AJ Swoboda  
San Francisco, CA 94118

**From:** [isadore.rosenthal@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:isadore.rosenthal@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Isadore Rosenthal](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Monday, July 21, 2025 12:26:15 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):

Sincerely,  
Isadore Rosenthal  
San Francisco, CA 94122

**From:** [ericajoykatrak@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:ericajoykatrak@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Erica Katrak](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** URGENT: Make San Francisco Affordable, Not Just Buildable [File No: 250700 and 250701]  
**Date:** Monday, July 21, 2025 1:45:21 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan and someone who would like to stay here and enjoy this beautiful and creative city throughout the rest of my life, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's upzoning plan, which would encourage the demolition of existing homes, displace renters and small businesses, and turn our neighborhoods into corridors of unaffordable luxury towers.

This plan empowers and enriches developers, silences residents, and is being rushed to satisfy a state mandate that's not only outdated and out of touch with San Francisco's current reality, but poorly thought through from the beginning and not in the interest of the average citizen. That mandate should be CHALLENGED — not used to justify permanent zoning changes.

You cannot rewrite the city's land use overnight with most residents unaware. Once this plan is adopted, there is no going back — even if it proves disastrous. You can only ruin a city once.

I, like a huge number of people who live here, urge you to:

- Protect renters and small businesses
- Preserve irreplaceable and unique historic character
- Preserve the vistas that draw visitors from around the world
- Require real affordability
- Slow the process and engage the public

Don't rush a decision that will reshape San Francisco forever. We need thoughtful planning — and leadership that listens.

Sincerely,  
Erica Katrak  
San Francisco, CA 94114

**From:** [esthermk@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:esthermk@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Esther Marks](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Monday, July 21, 2025 7:11:13 PM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):

Sincerely,  
Esther Marks  
San Francisco, CA 94117

**From:** [ALSundby@everyactioncustom.com](mailto:ALSundby@everyactioncustom.com) on behalf of [Ann Sundby](#)  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 22, 2025 9:27:26 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):

Sincerely,  
Ann Sundby  
San Francisco, CA 94127



**From:** vernshah@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Verna Shaheen  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** Protect Our Neighborhoods — Reject Extreme Upzoning & SB 79 [Files: 250700, 250701, and 250727]  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 22, 2025 11:13:57 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's plan, which incentivizes the demolition of existing homes, displacement of renters and small businesses, and transformation of our neighborhoods into unaffordable luxury high-rise corridors.

We call on you to:

- Drastically scale back the Mayor's upzoning maps
- Support the resolution from Supervisors Chan, Fielder and Chen opposing SB 79
- Urge Assemblymembers Stefani and Haney to vote NO on SB 79
- Request a postponement of the arbitrary January 2026 upzoning deadline

Senator Wiener's SB 79 and Mayor Lurie's upzoning maps would impose permanent, irreversible changes to San Francisco's land use — going far beyond what is required, with zero affordability guarantees.

Together, these plans clear the way for mass demolition and luxury towers, prioritizing the interests of developers and billionaire investors while silencing the voices of the very communities they are supposed to represent. This is not planning — it is displacement disguised as progress.

I have been active in preservation efforts in SF for at least 25 years. I am a member of SF Heritage and SF Victorian Alliance in addition to SF History Association. We must preserve our architectural gems, neighborhood character, and proper scale. Let's find more creative solutions as well as inventory all of the vacant hotels and other buildings before unnecessarily building more!!!

Thank you.

Sincerely,  
Verna Shaheen  
San Francisco, CA 94123

**From:** taylor.lint@everyactioncustom.com on behalf of Taylor Lint  
**To:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** URGENT: Make San Francisco Affordable, Not Just Buildable [File No: 250700 and 250701]  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 22, 2025 10:46:09 AM

---

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

As a San Franciscan, I strongly oppose Mayor Lurie's upzoning plan, which would encourage the demolition of existing homes, displace renters and small businesses, and turn our neighborhoods into corridors of unaffordable luxury towers.

This plan empowers developers, silences residents, and is being rushed to satisfy a state mandate that's outdated and out of touch with San Francisco's current reality. That mandate should be challenged — not used to justify permanent zoning changes.

You can not rewrite the city's land use overnight with most residents unaware. And once this plan is adopted, there is no going back — even if it proves disastrous.

We urge you to:

- Protect renters and small businesses
- Preserve neighborhood scale and historic character
- Require real affordability
- Slow the process and engage the public

Don't rush a decision that will reshape San Francisco forever. We need thoughtful planning — and leadership that listens.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional):

Sincerely,  
Taylor Lint  
San Francisco, CA 94109

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Operations](#); [BOS Legislation. \(BOS\)](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Applicant Comment on Board File No. 250735 (Appeal of 3-Lot Subdivision at 1979 Mission Street)  
**Date:** Wednesday, July 23, 2025 4:32:44 PM  
**Attachments:** [7.23.2025 Letter to Board of Supervisors re Board File No. 250735.pdf](#)

---

Hello,

Please see attached regarding **File No. 250735:**

Hearing of persons interested in or objecting to the decision of Public Works, dated June 25, 2025, approving a Tentative Parcel Map for a three-lot subdivision at 1979 Mission Street, Assessor's Parcel Block No. 3553, Lot No. 052. (District 9) (Appellant: Ali Gilmore) (Filed: July 3, 2025).

Regards,

John Bullock  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-5184  
[BOS@sfgov.org](mailto:BOS@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

***Disclosures:** Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Niran Somasundaram <NSomasundaram@hansonbridgett.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 23, 2025 4:26 PM  
**To:** Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>  
**Cc:** Claire H. Collins <CCollins@hansonbridgett.com>; Christopher D. Jensen <CJensen@hansonbridgett.com>; Zena Alkhatib <ZAlkhatib@hansonbridgett.com>

**Subject:** Applicant Comment on Board File No. 250735 (Appeal of 3-Lot Subdivision at 1979 Mission Street)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Clerk of the Board and Board of Supervisors,

Please find the attached comment on Board File No. 250735 (Appeal of 3-Lot Subdivision at 1979 Mission Street set for hearing on July 29), submitted on behalf of 1979 Mission Street PSH Associates, LP.

Thank You,

Niran Somasundaram

**Niran Somasundaram**  
**Senior Counsel**

[NSomasundaram@hansonbridgett.com](mailto:NSomasundaram@hansonbridgett.com)

Direct: [\(415\) 995-5872](tel:4159955872)



**Hanson Bridgett LLP**

425 Market Street, 26th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94105

[Website](#) | [LinkedIn](#)

Costa Mesa • Los Angeles • Sacramento • San Francisco • San Rafael • Walnut Creek

This communication, including any attachments, is confidential and may be protected by privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, any use, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please immediately notify the sender by telephone or email, and permanently delete all copies, electronic or other, you may have. The foregoing applies even if this notice is embedded in a message that is forwarded or attached.

July 23, 2025

Angela Calvillo  
Clerk of the Board  
Board of Supervisors of the City and County  
of San Francisco  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, City Hall,  
Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102-4689

Re: Board File No. 250735, Appeal of 3-Lot Subdivision at 1979 Mission Street

Dear Board of Supervisors:

Our office represents 1979 Mission Street PSH Associates, LP (co-developers Mission Housing Development Corporation and Mission Economic Development Agency), the applicant for the approved affordable housing development at Mission and 16<sup>th</sup> Street that will construct 136 dwelling units with supportive services to serve vulnerable populations in the neighborhood and City at large. (the "Supportive Housing Project"). The development includes a subdivision of an existing parcel into three parcels, one of which will be occupied by the Supportive Housing Project.

On May 27, 2025, the Planning Department approved the Supportive Housing Project, authorizing the subdivision of 2970 16th Street into three new lots, the demolition of an existing two-story commercial building, and the construction of a new nine-story residential building. The Planning Department approval was in accordance with State laws requiring by-right approval of supportive housing projects in certain residential zones and protecting affordable housing developments from denial on the basis of non-objective criteria, such as concerns about neighborhood character and architectural style that are often weaponized to prevent affordable housing projects.

On June 25, 2025, pursuant to the City's Subdivision Ordinance, the Public Works Department issued a conditional approval of a tentative parcel map subdividing 2970 16th Street into three new lots – a procedural necessity for development of the Supportive Housing Project already approved by the Planning Department. On July 3, 2025, an appeal of Public Work's conditional approval of the tentative parcel map subdividing 2970 16th Street was filed, citing concerns about the Supportive Housing Project's design, the potential for the project to generate excessive traffic, and concerns about impacts on "neighborhood conditions."

The Board of Supervisors must deny this appeal. First and foremost, the purported issues the appeal cites are completely unrelated to the approval of the tentative map, which is solely intended to ensure compliance with the requirements of the City's Subdivision Ordinance. Moreover, even setting aside this disqualifying factor, the Supportive Housing Project's design is

in compliance with applicable City ordinances and applicable objective standards. The Planning Department correctly concluded that the Supportive Housing Project must be approved by right under State law. The Board of Supervisors may not grant the appeal unless the Supportive Housing Project would violate a written, objective and quantifiable public health or safety standard. No such standards are violated here. Even if the underlying project approval could be considered, State law requires the Board of Supervisors to affirm the Planning Department's decision and deny the appeal.

We urge the Board of Supervisors to deny the meritless appeal and ensure that sorely needed housing and supportive programs can move forward.

### **The Appeal Exceeds the Scope of the Public Works Department's Subdivision Map Approval**

The appeal before the Board solely concerns the Public Works Department's approval of a tentative parcel map subdividing 2970 16th Street into three lots. As the Planning Department's July 21, 2025 response to the appeal explains, none of the issues raised by the appeal concern any of the criteria that the Public Works Department or the Planning Department consider in approving a tentative parcel map under the City's subdivision ordinance. Appellants do not contend, for instance, that the parcels created under the tentative parcel map do not conform to any City standards, or that the proposed subdivision map fails to comply with the requirements of local or State law. Instead, the appeal solely addresses aspects of the design of the future supportive housing building and subjective, speculative fears regarding the operation of said building, both of which concern the Planning Department's approval of the *building* on May 27, 2025. Appellants did not appeal the May 27, 2025 approval, and should not be permitted to hijack the subdivision map approval process to air grievances concerning matters that were never under the scope of the Public Works Department's review. The appeal must be denied because it does not raise any alleged deficiencies related to the conditional approval of the tentative parcel map.

### **The City Cannot Deny a Supportive Housing Project On the Basis of Appellants' Subjective Concerns.**

Appellants' failure to raise any issues germane to the Public Works Department's consideration of the tentative parcel map is a sufficient reason for the Board to deny the appeal.

That said, even if the Board were to improperly entertain the issues raised by appellants, State law requires the City to approve the Supportive Housing Project. Under AB 2162 (Gov. Code §§ 65650-65656), all supportive housing projects are subject to streamlined by-right City review. The by-right review process limits the criteria that the City is permitted to consider when reviewing such projects. Under Government Code § 65651(b)(1), the City may only require the Supportive Housing Project to comply with the objective, written development standards and policies that apply to other multifamily development within the same zone. The City's guidelines for implementing AB 2162 clarify that City decisionmakers may not rely on any standards that require personal or subjective judgment in reviewing the Supportive Housing Project. (See Planning Director Bulletin No. 5 (attached)).

The appeal raises subjective concerns and does not identify any objective, written development standards or policies that could legally serve as a basis for denial of the requested project approvals.

While the appeal raises subjective concerns about height, massing, and building footprint, the Planning Department's July 21, 2025 response to the appeal establishes that the proposed building is far below the applicable 105 foot height standard. This is consistent with the Planning Department's findings in its initial May 27, 2025 approval of the Supportive Housing Project, which determines that the Project is in compliance with applicable development standards and policies in the General Plan, Mission Area Plan, and Planning Code. (May 27, 2025 Planning Approval Letter for 2025-002304PRJ at pp. 6-9). The May 27, 2025 Planning Approval Letter also includes findings authorizing the Supportive Housing Project use of State Density Bonus Law to waive development standards relating to building bulk (Planning Code § 270) and alter the rear yard setback requirements. (Planning Code § 134). To the extent appellants are suggesting that use of State Law Density Bonus waivers and concessions render the Supportive Housing Project inconsistent with objective bulk and setback standards, appellants' position is explicitly contrary to the City's guidance on AB 2162 implementation. (See Planning Director Bulletin No. 5 at p. 3 ["Any waivers, concessions, or incentives, conferred through the State Density Bonus Law are considered code-complying, and therefore are consistent with the objective standards of the Planning Code."]). The City's guidance is consistent with State Density Bonus Law requirements, which require the City to waive any development standards that "would physically preclude construction of that project as designed." (*Bankers Hill 150 v. City of San Diego* (2022) 74 Cal.App.5th 755, 775.)<sup>1</sup> Similarly, the appellants' discussion of "traffic and parking congestion" does not cite any objective, written development standards that the Supportive Housing Project are not addressed by the Planning Department's approval. Under Planning Code § 151.1, there are, in fact, no required parking space for any residential project in the Mission Street Neighborhood Commercial Transit (NCT) District.<sup>2</sup> The appellants' unsupported assertion that the Supportive Housing Project "will amplify issues of homeless, drug use, and crime" is not based on any development standard – it is precisely the type of personal or subjective judgment that AB 2162 is designed to remove from the approval process. The pages of cherry-picked articles that appellants have submitted along with their appeal have no bearing on the City's obligation to evaluate the Supportive Housing Project on the basis of objective development standards.

Lastly, appellants request that the City "hold a full environmental impact report on this proposed project at 1979 Mission." This requested course of action would be a flagrant violation of AB

---

<sup>1</sup> Under State Density Bonus Law (and the Housing Accountability Act), development standards that preclude the construction of the project as designed can be applied only if the project would have a "a significant, quantifiable, direct, and unavoidable impact, based on objective, identified written public health or safety standards, policies, or conditions as they existed on the date the application was deemed complete." (Gov. Code, §§ 65589.5(d)(2), 65915(d)(3), 65915(e)(1).) Neither the appeal letter nor any other evidence in the record identifies any relevant objective standards or provides credible evidence of a public health or safety impact.

<sup>2</sup> Elimination of minimum parking requirements for this location advances the City's goal of encouraging dense, transit-oriented development in an area with excellent excess to transit. The project advances this policy goal, which is embodied in the objective development standards that the Planning Department correctly applied.

2162, which requires the City to ministerially approve the Supportive Housing Project for the purpose of avoiding the delay and expense of additional environmental review for development in a location that the City has already zoned for dense residential development. (See Gov. Code, § 65651(d)(1) [AB 2162 supportive housing is not a "project" subject to CEQA].)"

### **Granting the Appeal Would Violate the Housing Accountability Act.**

The Housing Accountability Act restricts the ability of the City to deny a 100 percent affordable housing project. Under Government Code § 65589.5(d) the City may only deny the Supportive Housing Project if it determines that there is direct and unavoidable impact on public health or safety based on objective, identified written public health or safety standards, policies, or conditions. (Gov. Code, § 65589.5(d)(2).) Any action the Board takes to disapprove a required land use approval or entitlement needed to facilitate a housing project, including a tentative parcel map, is considered a denial. (Gov. Code § 65589.5(h)(6); *Honchariw v. County of Stanislaus* (2011) 200 Cal.App.4th 1066, 1074.)

The appeal identifies no objective, written public health or safety standards that the Supportive Housing Project would violate. Therefore, under the Housing Accountability Act, the Board cannot grant the appeal without violating State housing law.<sup>3</sup>

\*

\*

\*

The Supportive Housing Project presents an invaluable opportunity for the City to increase its supply of supportive housing units and supportive programs. Policy 8 of the City's Housing Element specifically directs the City to expand permanent supportive housing options for vulnerable individuals and families, and Housing Element Action 8.6.2 directs the City to utilize and comply with the state-wide streamlining opportunities to expedite and increase the production of permanent supportive housing. The Planning Department has already determined that the Supportive Housing Project complies with all applicable development standards. We appreciate the City's adherence to its subdivision ordinance appeal procedures; however, the substance of this appeal is composed of precisely the type of nebulous and non-objective objections that have hindered the development of affordable and supportive housing projects throughout the City and the State. We urge the Board to continue its support for the development of affordable and supportive housing in the City and comply with its duty under State law. The Board should reject this meritless appeal.

Sincerely,



Claire H. Collins  
Partner

---

<sup>3</sup> Apart from the complete absence of evidence of any non-compliance with any objective standard in the record, the City determined the project to be complete on April 2, 2025 and did not identify any inconsistencies with objective standards within 30 days of that date. Any standard not identified by that date cannot serve as the basis for denial of the project under the Housing Accountability Act. (See Gov. Code, § 65589.5(j)(2)(A)(i).)



**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS Legislation, \(BOS\)](#); [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Concerning ADA Anniversary Resolution (File: 250759)  
**Date:** Tuesday, July 22, 2025 12:02:53 PM

---

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding **File No. 250759:**

Resolution celebrating the 35th anniversary of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the work of disability rights leaders and the San Francisco Office on Disability and Accessibility, and the opening of the San Francisco Disability Cultural Center.

Regards,

John Bullock  
Office of the Clerk of the Board  
San Francisco Board of Supervisors  
1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 554-5184  
[BOS@sfgov.org](mailto:BOS@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

---

**From:** Jordan Wasilewski <jodav1026@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, July 21, 2025 11:00 AM  
**To:** Mandelman, Rafael (BOS) <rafael.mandelman@sfgov.org>; Ho, Calvin (BOS) <calvin.ho@sfgov.org>; ahn.v.ha@sfgov.org; Mathewson, Melanie (BOS) <melanie.mathewson@sfgov.org>; Phelps, Brad (BOS) <brad.phelps@sfgov.org>; Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>; Sherrill, Stephen (BOS) <stephen.sherrill@sfgov.org>; SherrillStaff <SherrillStaff@sfgov.org>; Sauter, Danny (BOS) <Danny.Sauter@sfgov.org>; SauterStaff <SauterStaff@sfgov.org>; Engardio, Joel (BOS)

<joel.engardio@sfgov.org>; EngardioStaff (BOS) <EngardioStaff@sfgov.org>; Mahmood, Bilal (BOS) <bilal.mahmood@sfgov.org>; MahmoodStaff <MahmoodStaff@sfgov.org>; Dorsey, Matt (BOS) <matt.dorsey@sfgov.org>; DorseyStaff (BOS) <DorseyStaff@sfgov.org>

**Subject:** Concerning ADA Anniversary Resolution (File: 250759)

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Mandelmonster,

I want to give my input on this resolution. Though it should not be controversial, and I would ultimate vote yes on it if I ever became supervisor, which should be shortly before the heat death of the universe, I think that the fact that a person like you is carrying this resolution is fucking disgusting.

First, you have ignored the need for permanent supportive housing and voluntary services first in favor of MOAR CONSERVATORSHIPS. I am a metalhead who doesn't listen to crappy pop music, but I still think what happened to Britney was gross, and the fact that the state of California and it's subdivisions have not moved towards more circumspection towards conservatorship is appalling, and it is appalling that we are moving in the opposite direction. What's next, sending homeless people to another Willowbrook?

There is a fucking dark history of institutionalizing neurodivergent people here in the US, and believe me, conservatorships can be abused. Someone could come to my door and take me away, even though I am at worse, a non-violent asshole. Also, the LGBTQ and disability rights movement grew up together.

Also, take it from Jon Oliver, your visions for MOAR CONSERVATORSHIPS is only gonna lead to unintended consequences.

<https://youtu.be/nG2pEffLEJo?si=t8FOA6v27efJjkMj>

There also is the fact that you constantly suck Israel's dick. How many Palestinians children and adults have been permanently disabled because of Israeli bombs, implements of death that are funded by the US in lieu of universal healthcare (Medicaid is kind of a joke), reforming our benefits system, and more services and economic empowerment for disabled people. You have no right to take the lead on something like this.

And then there is the disinvestment in PSH, as well as drug testing assistance recipients.

Substance use is a real disability, and denying someone housing and benefits because of it is ableist. Also, shelter first systems are ableist too, they would try to practice ABA on me.

So, fuck you and fuck Supervisors Sherill, Sauter, Engardio, Mahmood, and Dorsey for thks performative bullshit. You do not get to commemorate the ADA and then fight against us on the issues of the day. In fact, if you killed the resolution, it would be more honest of you.

But regardless, we are holding your pathetic ableist asses accountable. Expect us.

Fuck you and the horse you rode in on,

-Jordan

**From:** [Board of Supervisors \(BOS\)](#)  
**To:** [BOS-Supervisors](#); [BOS-Legislative Aides](#)  
**Cc:** [BOS-Operations](#); [Calvillo, Angela \(BOS\)](#); [De Asis, Edward \(BOS\)](#); [Entezari, Mehran \(BOS\)](#); [Mchugh, Eileen \(BOS\)](#); [Ng, Wilson \(BOS\)](#); [Somera, Alisa \(BOS\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: JFK  
**Date:** Thursday, July 24, 2025 8:04:57 AM

---

Hello,

Please see below communication regarding John F. Kennedy Drive.

Regards,

John Bullock

Office of the Clerk of the Board

San Francisco Board of Supervisors

1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place, Room 244

San Francisco, CA 94102

(415) 554-5184

[BOS@sfgov.org](mailto:BOS@sfgov.org) | [www.sfbos.org](http://www.sfbos.org)

**Disclosures:** *Personal information that is provided in communications to the Board of Supervisors is subject to disclosure under the California Public Records Act and the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance. Personal information provided will not be redacted. Members of the public are not required to provide personal identifying information when they communicate with the Board of Supervisors and its committees. All written or oral communications that members of the public submit to the Clerk's Office regarding pending legislation or hearings will be made available to all members of the public for inspection and copying. The Clerk's Office does not redact any information from these submissions. This means that personal information—including names, phone numbers, addresses and similar information that a member of the public elects to submit to the Board and its committees—may appear on the Board of Supervisors website or in other public documents that members of the public may inspect or copy.*

-----Original Message-----

From: Robert Tischer <Robert.Tischer.493120281@advocacymessages.com>

Sent: Thursday, July 24, 2025 5:56 AM

To: Board of Supervisors (BOS) <board.of.supervisors@sfgov.org>

Subject: JFK

This message is from outside the City email system. Do not open links or attachments from untrusted sources.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

A compromise for John F. Kennedy Drive was reached in 2007 that allowed all users of Golden Gate Park to share the roads. It is time to reopen JFK Drive back to the way it was before COVID. The select few that are the most vocal are doing us all a disservice that want a reasonable compromise.

Please reopen JFK Drive like it was before COVID!

Regards,

Robert Tischer

San Francisco, CA 94122

<<http://admin.phone2action.com/email/open/leg/633598/127161265>>  
<<http://url571.advocacymessages.com/wf/open?upn=u001.M4e5TqSVyPqHoKomd3Bba-2B3s6Hod5iPA545Q2SiW2x1aE5C-2FliSzWNUuLUKxi26VYnS-2BPV9oJ6WlYIMxA3I6zRIg-2FCyvo2SLiuXaoRpDC46bw8WuflJB54ZaBDOqpprsju5K5E940-2BBpR3PbUjMCL-2BDZjAWudyjHcG0ROEixIUM15Oq261Nyof4a7rQtNTWNI6Q-2BoZMc1Y42Ixm8NQm8bUZ-2FjSK72cWWs9giglrSC3pow0-2Be9IlxClfW9vXjZUXeYNjB41QEISrp8O0U-2BKBGnYxlxAPH6-2BM42PsFGAw3Phkw7TTstQvLwEqA1ElqdmCGoDh-2Bcn-2Be3iiGjZsdNhQNc48-2B3hjsMfohV1NZOsuJfM-3D>>